

Existence of solutions to Chern-Simons-Higgs equations on graphs

Songbo Hou*

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, P.R. China

Jiamin Sun

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, P.R. China

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We consider the existence of solutions to a generalized Chern-Simons-Higgs equation

$$\Delta u = -\lambda e^{g(u)} (e^{g(u)} - 1)^2 + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}$$

on G , where λ is a positive constant; $g(u)$ is the inverse function of $u = f(v) = 1 + v - e^v$ on $(-\infty, 0]$; N is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N are distinct vertices of V and δ_{p_j} is the Dirac delta mass at p_j . We prove that there is critical value λ_c such that the generalized Chern-Simons-Higgs equation has a solution if and only if $\lambda \geq \lambda_c$. We also prove the existence of solutions to the Chern-Simons-Higgs equation

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^u - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}$$

on G when λ takes the critical value λ_c and this completes the results of An Huang, Yong Lin and Shing-Tung Yau (Commun. Math. Phys. 377, 613-621 (2020)).

Key words: finite graph, Chern-Simons-Higgs equation, upper and lower solution.

2010 MSC: 35J91, 05C22

1. Introduction

The PDE is an important tool in various applications on graphs, such as filtering, denoising, segmentation and clustering, et al. [3, 7]. The study of PDEs on graphs has become an interesting subject.

We recall the definition of a graph. Let V be the vertex set and E be the edge set. We use $G = (V, E)$ to denote a finite graph. We assume that for each edge $xy \in E$, its weight ω_{xy} satisfies

*Corresponding author: Songbo Hou

Email addresses: housb@cau.edu.cn (Songbo Hou), 1416525364@qq.com (Jiamin Sun)

*Preprint submitted to ****

May 17, 2022

$\omega_{xy} > 0$ and $\omega_{xy} = \omega_{yx}$. For any $x, y \in E$, we assume that they can be connected via finite edges and then G is called a connected graph. Let $\mu : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a finite measure. For any function $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the μ -Laplace is defined by

$$\Delta u(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy}(u(y) - u(x)),$$

where $y \sim x$ means $xy \in E$. For a pair of functions u and v , the gradient form is defined by

$$\Gamma(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy}(u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)).$$

When $u = v$, we write $|\nabla u|^2 = \Gamma(u, u)$. For a function $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote the integral over V by

$$\int_V u d\mu = \sum_{x \in V} \mu(x)u(x).$$

In order to study the PDEs on graphs, we also need to define the Sobolev space and the norm. Let $W^{1,2}(V)$ be the space of functions $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\int_V (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) d\mu < +\infty.$$

The norm of u in $W^{1,2}(V)$ is defined as

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} = \left(\int_V (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Recently, the methods to deal with the PDEs in the Euclidean settings were performed on graphs successfully. Grigor'yan, Lin and Yang [8, 9] used the variation method and the mountain-pass theorem to obtain the existence results for some nonlinear equations on graphs. The similar method was used by the first author to prove the existence of multiple solutions to a nonlinear biharmonic equation on graphs [14]. Using the Nehari method, Zhang and Zhao [23] studied the convergence of ground state solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations on graphs. The Nehari method was also used by Han, Shao and Zhao [12] to obtain the existence and convergence of solutions for nonlinear biharmonic equations on graphs. For the Kazdan-Warner equation, using the calculus of variations and a method of upper and lower solutions, Grigor'yan, Lin and Yang [10] obtained the existence of solutions under various conditions. Keller and Schwarz [18] studied the Kazdan-Warner equation on canonically compactifiable graphs and proved the existence results under various conditions. Liu and Yang [22] studied the multiple solutions of Kazdan-Warner equation in the negative case. The existence of the solutions to the p -th Kazdan-Warner equation was studied in [4, 24]. Related results also include [5, 6, 20, 21, 25] and so on.

There are a lot of study about vortices in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Chern-Simons gauge theory in recent years. Burzlaff, Chakrabarti and Tchraikian [1] proposed certain generalisations of the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs model [15, 16], whereas the existence of double periodic vortices for the models had been an open problem. Han [13] reduced the generalized self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs equation to a semilinear elliptic equation. Then he solved the existence problem by an upper-lower solution method.

In this paper, we first consider the generalized Chern-Simons-Higgs equation derived by Han [13], i.e.,

$$\Delta u = -\lambda e^{g(u)} (e^{g(u)} - 1)^2 + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \quad (1.1)$$

on a graph $G = (V, E)$ where λ is a positive constant; $g(u)$ is the inverse function of $u = f(v) = 1 + v - e^v$ on $(-\infty, 0]$; N is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N are distinct vertices of V and δ_{p_j} is the Dirac delta mass at p_j .

We prove the following existence result for Eq.(1.1) on G .

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a critical value λ_c depending on the graph satisfying*

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{27\pi N}{|V|},$$

such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_c$, Eq.(1.1) has a negative solution, and if $\lambda < \lambda_c$, Eq.(1.1) has no solution.

Secondly, we consider the Chern-Simons-Higgs equation

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^u - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}, \quad (1.2)$$

which was proposed in [2]. Huang, Lin and Yau [11] prove that on a finite graph G , there is a critical λ_c satisfying

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{16\pi N}{|V|},$$

such that Eq.(1.2) has a solution if $\lambda > \lambda_c$, and Eq.(1.2) has no solution if $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

We study Eq.(1.2) when $\lambda = \lambda_c$ and get the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Eq.(1.2) has a solution when $\lambda = \lambda_c$ on a finite graph G .*

In the proof of the main theorems, we take the similar treatments as in the continuous case [2, 13, 19]. The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section Two, we give some preliminaries which will be used later. In Section Three, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section Four, we prove Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1. *Lü and Zhong [17] obtained the existence of the single solution to Eq.(1.1) by a different method. They also studied the existence of multiple solutions.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce three lemmas which will be used in Section Three and Section Four.

Lemma 2.1. *(Huang-Lin-Yau [11])*

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. If for some constant $K > 0$, $\Delta u(x) - Ku(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$, then $u \leq 0$ on V .

Lemma 2.2. (Grigor'yan-Lin-Yang [10])

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. Then there exists some constant C depending only on G such that

$$\int_V u^2 d\mu \leq C \int_V |\nabla u|^2 d\mu,$$

for all $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\int_V u d\mu = 0$, where $V^{\mathbb{R}} = \{u \mid u \text{ is a real function : } V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$.

Lemma 2.3. (Grigor'yan-Lin-Yang [10])

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. For any $\alpha > 0$ and all functions $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\int_V |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \leq 1$ and $\int_V u d\mu = 0$, there exists some constant $C > 0$ depending only on α and G such that

$$\int_V e^{\alpha u^2} d\mu \leq C.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Noting

$$\int_V \left(-\frac{4\pi N}{|V|} + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \right) d\mu = 0,$$

we assert that there exists a solution v_0 of the equation

$$\Delta v_0 = -\frac{4\pi N}{|V|} + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}. \quad (3.1)$$

Letting $u = v_0 + v$, then we rewrite Eq.(1.1) as

$$\Delta v = -\lambda e^{g(v_0+v)} \left(e^{g(v_0+v)} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}. \quad (3.2)$$

We will use an upper-lower solution method to derive the existence results of Eq.(3.2). A function v_- is called a lower solution of (3.2) if it satisfies

$$\Delta v_- \geq -\lambda e^{g(v_0+v_-)} \left(e^{g(v_0+v_-)} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

for all $x \in V$. Similarly, a function v_+ is called an upper solution of (3.2) if it satisfies

$$\Delta v_+ \leq -\lambda e^{g(v_0+v_+)} \left(e^{g(v_0+v_+)} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

for all $x \in V$. Let $\psi_0 = -v_0$. Obviously, ψ_0 is an upper solution of (3.2). We introduce the following iterative sequence $\{\psi_n\}$,

$$(\Delta - K) \psi_n = -\lambda e^{g(v_0+\psi_{n-1})} \left(e^{g(v_0+\psi_{n-1})} - 1 \right)^2 - K \psi_{n-1} + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}, \quad (3.3)$$

where K is a positive constant and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $K > 2\lambda$. Let $\{\psi_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (3.3). For any lower solution v_- of (3.2), there holds

$$\psi_0 > \psi_1 > \psi_2 > \cdots > \psi_n > \cdots > v_-.$$

Therefore, if (3.2) has a lower solution, then $\{\psi_n\}$ converges to a maximal solution of (3.2).

Proof. We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$, we have

$$(\Delta - K)\psi_1 = -K\psi_0 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}.$$

It follows that

$$(\Delta - K)(\psi_1 - \psi_0) = 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Then Lemma 2.1 implies that $\psi_1 \leq \psi_0$ on V . We claim that $\psi_1(x) < \psi_0(x)$ for all $x \in V$. To this end, we only need to prove $M = \psi_1(x_0) - \psi_0(x_0) = \max_{x \in V} \{\psi_1(x) - \psi_0(x)\} < 0$. Suppose not, we have

$$\Delta(\psi_1 - \psi_0)(x_0) \geq K(\psi_1 - \psi_0)(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Hence $(\psi_1 - \psi_0)(y) = (\psi_1 - \psi_0)(x_0)$ if $y \sim x_0$. By the connectedness of G , we conclude $(\psi_1 - \psi_0)(y) = (\psi_1 - \psi_0)(x_0)$ for any $y \in V$. This contradicts (3.4) at $p_j \in V$ and confirms our claim.

Suppose that

$$\psi_0 > \psi_1 > \cdots > \psi_k.$$

Noting $K > 2\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - K)(\psi_{k+1} - \psi_k) &= -\lambda \left[e^{g(v_0 + \psi_k)} (e^{g(v_0 + \psi_k)} - 1)^2 - e^{g(v_0 + \psi_{k-1})} (e^{g(v_0 + \psi_{k-1})} - 1)^2 \right] - K(\psi_k - \psi_{k-1}) \\ &= -\lambda g'(\xi) e^{g(\xi)} (3e^{g(\xi)} - 1) (e^{g(\xi)} - 1) (\psi_k - \psi_{k-1}) - K(\psi_k - \psi_{k-1}) \\ &= [\lambda e^{g(\xi)} (3e^{g(\xi)} - 1) - K] (\psi_k - \psi_{k-1}) \\ &\geq (2\lambda - K)(\psi_k - \psi_{k-1}) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the mean value theorem, $v_0 + \psi_k \leq \xi \leq v_0 + \psi_{k-1}$, $g'(\xi) = 1/(1 - e^{g(\xi)})$. Then Lemma 2.1 yields $\psi_{k+1} \leq \psi_k$. Using the same argument as in proving $\psi_1 < \psi_0$, we get $\psi_{k+1} < \psi_k$.

Next we prove that $\psi_k > v_-$. For $k = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v_- - \psi_0) &\geq -\lambda e^{g(v_- - \psi_0)} (e^{g(v_- - \psi_0)} - 1)^2 + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \\ &= -2\lambda e^{g(v_- - \psi_0)} g'(\xi) e^{g(\xi)} (e^{g(\xi)} - 1) (v_- - \psi_0) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \quad (3.5) \\ &= 2\lambda e^{g(v_- - \psi_0)} e^{g(\xi)} (v_- - \psi_0) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ is between $v_- - \psi_0$ and 0. Let $v_-(x_0) - \psi_0(x_0) = \max_{x \in V} \{v_-(x) - \psi_0(x)\}$. If $(v_-(x_0) - \psi_0(x_0)) \geq 0$, then

$$\Delta(v_- - \psi_0)(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Hence $(v_- - \psi_0)(y) = (v_- - \psi_0)(x_0)$ if $y \sim x_0$. Noting G is connected, we obtain $(v_- - \psi_0)(x) \equiv (v_- - \psi_0)(x_0)$, which contradicts (3.5) at p_j . As a conclusion, $v_- < \psi_0$.

Suppose that $v_- < \psi_k$ for any $k \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - K)(v_- - \psi_{k+1}) &\geq -\lambda \left[e^{g(v_0+v_-)} (e^{g(v_0+v_-)} - 1)^2 - e^{g(v_0+\psi_k)} (e^{g(v_0+\psi_k)} - 1)^2 \right] - K(v_- - \psi_k) \\ &= \left[\lambda e^{g(\xi)} (3e^{g(\xi)} - 1) - K \right] (v_- - \psi_k) \\ &\geq (2\lambda - K)(v_- - \psi_k) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_- + v_0 \leq \xi \leq \psi_k + v_0$. By the same argument as before, we derive $v_- < \psi_{k+1}$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.2. *When λ is sufficient big, Eq.(3.2) has a solution.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, if Eq.(3.2) has a lower solution, then $\{\psi_n\}$ converges to a solution of (3.2). Let v_0 be the solution of (3.1). Noting v_0 is bounded on V , we can choose a positive constant c' such that $v_0 - c' < 0$. Let $v_- = -c'$ and pick up a sufficient big λ such that

$$-\lambda e^{g(v_0+v_-)} (e^{g(v_0+v_-)} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} < 0.$$

It follows that

$$\Delta v_- \geq -\lambda e^{g(v_0+v_-)} (e^{g(v_0+v_-)} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}.$$

Hence v_- is a lower solution of (3.2). The proof is finished. \square

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a critical value λ_c satisfying*

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{27\pi N}{|V|},$$

such that if $\lambda > \lambda_c$, Eq.(3.2) has a solution and Eq.(3.2) has no solution if $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

Proof. Define

$$\Lambda = \{ \lambda > 0 \mid \lambda \text{ is such that (3.2) has a solution} \}.$$

We will prove that Λ is an interval. Letting λ' be in Λ , we only need to show

$$[\lambda', +\infty) \subset \Lambda.$$

Suppose that v' is the solution of Eq.(3.2) at $\lambda = \lambda'$. Observing $v_0 + v' < 0$, we obtain

$$\Delta v' \geq -\lambda e^{g(v_0+v')} (e^{g(v_0+v')} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

if $\lambda > \lambda'$. Hence v' is a lower solution of (3.2) for $\lambda > \lambda'$. By Lemma 3.1, Eq.(3.2) has a solution. It yields that $[\lambda', +\infty) \subset \Lambda$. Denote $\lambda_c = \inf\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let $h(t) = -e^t(e^t - 1)^2$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. We can see that h has a minimal value $-\frac{4}{27}$. Hence

$$\Delta v \geq -\frac{4}{27}\lambda + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}, \quad (3.6)$$

if v satisfies (3.2). Integrating (3.6) over V , we obtain

$$\lambda \geq \frac{27\pi N}{|V|}.$$

Passing to the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$, we have

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{27\pi N}{|V|}.$$

□

Next we will prove that if $\lambda = \lambda_c$, Eq.(3.2) has a solution. First we show the monotonicity of the solution of (3.2) with respect to λ .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{v_\lambda \mid \lambda > \lambda_c\}$ be the family of maximal solutions of (3.2) obtained by Lemma 3.2. Then there holds $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$.*

Proof. Suppose that v_λ is a solution of (3.2) and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. We know $v_0 + v_\lambda < 0$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_{\lambda_2} &= -\lambda_2 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &= -\lambda_1 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 \\ &\geq -\lambda_1 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that v_{λ_2} is the lower solution of (3.2) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $v_{\lambda_1} \geq v_{\lambda_2}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}) &= -\lambda_2 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 + \lambda_1 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1)^2 \\ &= -\lambda_1 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 + \lambda_1 e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1)^2 \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 \\ &> -\lambda_1 \left[e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1)^2 - e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} (e^{g(v_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1)^2 \right] \\ &= \left[\lambda_1 e^{g(\xi)} (3e^{g(\xi)} - 1) \right] (v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}) \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 (v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $v_0 + v_{\lambda_2} \leq \xi \leq v_0 + v_{\lambda_1}$. If $v_{\lambda_1}(x_0) - v_{\lambda_2}(x_0) = \min_{x \in V} \{v_{\lambda_1}(x) - v_{\lambda_2}(x)\} = 0$, then by (3.7) we have

$$\Delta(v_{\lambda_1} - v_{\lambda_2})(x_0) < 0,$$

which is impossible. Therefore $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$.

□

Lemma 3.5. Assume that v_λ is a maximal solution of (3.2). We decompose v_λ as $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, where $\bar{v}_\lambda = \frac{1}{|V|} \int_V v_\lambda d\mu$ and $v'_\lambda = v_\lambda - \bar{v}_\lambda$. Then we get

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on V . Furthermore we derive

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)$$

and

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

Proof. Since $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, multiplying Eq.(3.2) by v'_λ and integrating over V , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2 &= \lambda \int_V e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} (e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} - 1)^2 v'_\lambda d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda \int_V |v'_\lambda| d\mu \leq C\lambda |V|^{1/2} \|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2. Hence

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda. \quad (3.8)$$

Noting $v_0 + v_\lambda = v_0 + \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda < 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{v}_\lambda < -\frac{1}{|V|} \int_V v_0(x) d\mu, \quad (3.9)$$

which gives an upper bound of \bar{v}_λ .

Next we will find a lower bound of \bar{v}_λ . In view of $v_0 + v_\lambda < 0$ and (3.2), we have

$$\Delta v_\lambda + \lambda e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} (1 - e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)}) \geq \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}.$$

Integrating the above inequality over V , we obtain

$$\lambda \int_V e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} d\mu \geq \lambda \int_V e^{2g(v_0+v_\lambda)} d\mu + 4\pi N > 4\pi N. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $g(t)$ is also an increasing function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} g(t) = -\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} g'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{g(t)}} = 1.$$

Hence there is positive constant M such that

$$g(t) \leq \frac{t}{2},$$

if $t < -M$. We decompose V as $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, where

$$V_1 = \{x \in V \mid v_0 + v_\lambda < -M\}, \quad V_2 = \{x \in V \mid -M \leq v_0 + v_\lambda < 0\}.$$

Noting that $g(t)$ is bounded on $[-M, 0]$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|g(t)| \leq C,$$

if $t \in [-M, 0]$. Here and after we use C to denote a variable constant.

If $x \in V_1$, we have

$$e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} \leq e^{\frac{v_0+v_\lambda}{2}} \leq e^{\frac{v_0+v_\lambda}{2}+2C}.$$

If $x \in V_2$, we enlarge C such that $C \geq \frac{M}{2}$, then

$$e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} \leq e^C \leq e^{\frac{v_0+v_\lambda}{2}+2C}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} d\mu &\leq \int_V e^{\frac{v_0+v_\lambda}{2}+2C} d\mu \\ &= e^{2C} e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \int_V e^{\frac{v_0+v'_\lambda}{2}} d\mu \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \left(\int_V e^{v_0} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_V e^{v'_\lambda} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \left(\int_V e^{v'_\lambda} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &= C e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \left(\int_V e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \frac{v'_\lambda}{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2}} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \left(\int_V e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2 + \frac{v'_\lambda|^2}{4\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} e^{\frac{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.3. It follows from that (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$e^{\frac{\bar{v}_\lambda}{2}} \geq C\lambda^{-1} e^{-\frac{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}{2}}.$$

This together with (3.8) and (3.9) yields

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

In addition,

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *The equation (3.2) has a solution at $\lambda = \lambda_c$.*

Proof. Set $\lambda_c < \lambda < \lambda_c + 1$. Then Lemma 3.5 implies that $\{v_\lambda\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(V)$. Noting that $W^{1,2}(V)$ is pre-compact and $\{v_\lambda\}$ is increasing with respect to λ , we have

$$v_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{v} \text{ in } W^{1,2}(V),$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$ and

$$v_0 + \tilde{v}_\lambda < 0, \text{ in } V.$$

Since the convergence of $\{v_\lambda\}$ is pointwise, we have

$$\Delta v_\lambda \rightarrow \Delta \tilde{v}$$

and

$$e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} (e^{g(v_0+v_\lambda)} - 1)^2 \rightarrow e^{g(v_0+\tilde{v})} (e^{g(v_0+\tilde{v})} - 1)^2,$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$. Therefore \tilde{v} is solution of (3.2) and the proof is completed. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let v_0 be the solution of (3.1) and $u = v_0 + v$. Then we rewrite

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^u - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}$$

as

$$\Delta v = \lambda e^{v_0+v} (e^{v_0+v} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 in [11] imply that if (4.1) has a lower solution, then it has a maximal solution which is negative.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{v_\lambda \mid \lambda > \lambda_c\}$ be the family of maximal solutions of (4.1). Then we get $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Noting $v_\lambda + v_0 < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_{\lambda_2} &= \lambda_2 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &= \lambda_1 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (1 - e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}}) \\ &\geq \lambda_1 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that v_{λ_2} is lower solution of (4.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Hence $v_{\lambda_1} \geq v_{\lambda_2}$ by Lemma 4.2 in [11]. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}) &= \lambda_2 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) - \lambda_1 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} - 1) \\ &= \lambda_1 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) - \lambda_1 e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} - 1) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (1 - e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}}) \\ &> \lambda_1 [e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_2}} - 1) - e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{v_0+v_{\lambda_1}} - 1)] \\ &= \lambda_1 e^{2v_0} (e^{2v_{\lambda_2}} - e^{2v_{\lambda_1}}) - \lambda_1 e^{v_0} (e^{v_{\lambda_2}} - e^{v_{\lambda_1}}) \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 e^{2v_0+2\xi} (v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$v_{\lambda_2} \leq \xi \leq v_{\lambda_1}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(v_{\lambda_1} - v_{\lambda_2}) < 2\lambda_1 e^{2v_0+2\xi}(v_{\lambda_1} - v_{\lambda_2}).$$

Supposing that $v_{\lambda_1}(x_0) - v_{\lambda_2}(x_0) = \min_{x \in V} \{v_{\lambda_1}(x) - v_{\lambda_2}(x)\} = 0$, then we have

$$\Delta(v_{\lambda_1} - v_{\lambda_2})(x_0) < 0,$$

which contradicts $\Delta(v_{\lambda_1} - v_{\lambda_2})(x_0) \geq 0$. Therefore, we conclude that $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For a maximal solution v_λ of (4.1), we decompose it as $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, where $v'_\lambda = v_\lambda - \bar{v}_\lambda$. Then we have*

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on V . Furthermore we obtain

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)$$

and

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2),$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on G .

Proof. In view of $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, multiplying Eq.(4.1) by v'_λ and integrating over V , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2 &= \lambda \int_V e^{v_0+v_\lambda} (1 - e^{v_0+v_\lambda}) v'_\lambda d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda \int_V |v'_\lambda| d\mu \leq C\lambda |V|^{1/2} \|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda. \quad (4.3)$$

Using $v_0 + v_\lambda = v_0 + \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda < 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{v}_\lambda < -\frac{1}{|V|} \int_V v_0(x) d\mu. \quad (4.4)$$

Integrating Eq.(4.1) with $v = v_\lambda$ over V , we have

$$\lambda \int_V e^{v_0+v_\lambda} d\mu = \lambda \int_V e^{2(v_0+v_\lambda)} d\mu + 4\pi N > 4\pi N. \quad (4.5)$$

By the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V e^{v_0+v_\lambda} d\mu &= \int_V e^{v_0+\bar{v}_\lambda+v'_\lambda} d\mu \\
&= e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \int_V e^{v_0+v'_\lambda} d\mu \\
&\leq e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \left(\int_V e^{2v_0} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_V e^{2v'_\lambda} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \left(\int_V e^{2v'_\lambda} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\
&= C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \left(\int_V e^{2\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \frac{v'_\lambda}{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2}} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \left(\int_V e^{2\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2 + \frac{|v'_\lambda|^2}{2\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which together with (4.5) leads to

$$e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \geq C\lambda^{-1} e^{-\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Combining (4.3), (4.4) and (4.7), we get

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

Moreover,

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *The equation (4.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_c$ has a solution.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_c < \lambda < \lambda_c + 1$. By Lemma 4.2, we have $\{v_\lambda\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(V)$. Since $W^{1,2}(V)$ is pre-compact and $\{v_\lambda\}$ is increasing with respect to λ , we obtain

$$v_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{v} \text{ in } W^{1,2}(V),$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$ and

$$v_0 + \tilde{v}_\lambda < 0, \text{ in } V.$$

In view of the pointwise convergence of $\{v_\lambda\}$, we have

$$\Delta v_\lambda \rightarrow \Delta \tilde{v}$$

and

$$e^{v_0+v_\lambda} (e^{v_0+v_\lambda} - 1) \rightarrow e^{v_0+\tilde{v}} (e^{v_0+\tilde{v}} - 1),$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$. It is clear that \tilde{v} is solution of (4.1).

□

Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11721101), and by National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080.

References

- [1] J. Burzlaff, A. Chakrabarti, D.H. Tchrakian, Generalized self-dual Chern-Simons vortices, *Phys. Lett. B* 293 (1992) 127-131.
- [2] L.A. Caffarelli, Y. Yang, Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 168 (1995) 321-336.
- [3] A.Elmoataz, et al., Non-local morphological PDEs and p -Laplacian equation on graphs with applications in image processing and machine learning, *IEEE J.Sel.Top.Signal Process.*(2012).
- [4] Huabin Ge, The p th Kazdan-Warner equation on graphs, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* (2020) 1950052.
- [5] Huabin Ge, Wenfeng Jiang, Kazdan-Warner equation on infinite graphs, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 55(5) (2018) 1091-1101.
- [6] Huabin Ge, Bobo Hua, Wenfeng Jiang, A note on Liouville type equations on graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146(11) (2018) 4837-4842.
- [7] L.J. Grady, et al., *Discrete Calculus, Applied Analysis on Graphs for Computational Science*, Springer, 2010.
- [8] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yunyan Yang, Yamabe type equations on graphs, *J. Differential Equations* 261 (2016) 4924-4943.
- [9] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yunyan Yang, Existence of positive solutions to some nonlinear equations on locally finite graphs, *Science China Mathematics* 60(7) (2017) 1311-1324.
- [10] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yunyan Yang, Kazdan-Warner equation on graph, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55(4) (2016) Art. 92 13 pp.
- [11] An Huang, Yong Lin, Shing-Tung Yau, Existence of solutions to mean field equations on graphs, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 377(1) (2020) 613-621.
- [12] Xiaoli Han, Mengqiu Shao, Liang Zhao, Existence and convergence of solutions for nonlinear biharmonic equations on graphs, *J. Differential Equations* 268 (2020) 3936-3961.
- [13] Xiaosen Han, Existence of doubly periodic vortices in a generalized Chern-Simons model, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 16 (2014) 90-102.
- [14] Songbo Hou, Multiple solutions of a nonlinear biharmonic equation on graphs, *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, to appear.
- [15] J. Hong, Y. Kim, P. Y. Pac, Multivortex solutions of the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64(19) (1990) 2230-2233.
- [16] R.W. Jackiw, E.J. Weinberg, Self-dual Chen-Simons vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64 (1990) 2234-2237.
- [17] Yingshu Lü, Peirong Zhong, Existence of solutions to a generalized self-dual Chern-Simons equation on graphs, arXiv: 2107.12535.
- [18] Matthias Keller, Michael Schwarz, The Kazdan-Warner equation on canonically compactifiable graphs, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 57(2) (2018) Art. 70 18 pp.
- [19] Gabriella Tarantello, Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 37(8) (1996) 3769-3796.
- [20] Yong Lin, Yiting Wu, Yiting, Blow-up problems for nonlinear parabolic equations on locally finite graphs, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)* 38(3) (2018) 843-856.
- [21] Yong Lin, Yiting Wu, The existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation on graphs, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 56(4) (2017) Art. 102 22 pp.
- [22] Shuang Liu, Yunyan Yang, Multiple solutions of Kazdan-Warner equation on graphs in the negative case, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59(5) (2020) Art. 164 15pp.
- [23] Ning Zhang, Liang Zhao, Convergence of ground state solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations on graphs, *Sci. China Math.* 61(8) (2018) 1481-1494.
- [24] Xiaoxiao Zhang, Yanxun Chang, p -th Kazdan-Warner equation on graph in the negative case, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 466(1) (2018) 400-407.
- [25] Xiaoxiao Zhang, Aijin Lin, Positive solutions of p -th Yamabe type equations on infinite graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147(4) (2019) 1421-1427.