

ON THE PROBABILISTIC WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL PERIODIC NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH THE QUADRATIC NONLINEARITY $|u|^2$

RUOYUAN LIU

ABSTRACT. We study the two-dimensional periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with the quadratic nonlinearity $|u|^2$. In particular, we study the quadratic NLS with random initial data distributed according to a fractional derivative (of order $\alpha \geq 0$) of the Gaussian free field. After applying the standard Wick renormalization on the quadratic NLS, we prove that it is almost surely locally well-posed for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and is probabilistically ill-posed for $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ in a suitable sense. These results show that in the case of rough random initial data and a quadratic nonlinearity, the standard probabilistic well-posedness theory for NLS breaks down before reaching the critical value $\alpha = 1$ predicted by the scaling analysis due to Deng, Nahmod, and Yue (2019), and thus this paper is a continuation of the work by Oh and Okamoto (2021) on stochastic nonlinear wave and heat equations by building an analogue for NLS.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Quadratic NLS with random initial data	2
1.2. Probabilistic well-posedness of the renormalized quadratic NLS	4
1.3. Probabilistic ill-posedness of the renormalized quadratic NLS	7
1.4. Organization of the paper	10
2. Notations and preliminary lemmas	11
2.1. Notations	11
2.2. Fourier restriction norm method	11
2.3. Counting estimates and a convolution lemma	12
2.4. Tools from stochastic analysis	13
3. Bilinear estimates	14
3.1. Deterministic bilinear estimates	15
3.2. Probabilistic bilinear estimates	16
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2	24
4.1. The non-zero frequencies of u_M	24
4.2. The zeroth frequency of u_M	25
5. Proof of Proposition 1.6	27
5.1. The zeroth frequency of the second iterate term	27
5.2. The non-zero frequencies of the second iterate term	28
References	29

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q55, 35R60.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear Schrödinger equation; random initial data; well-posedness; ill-posedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Quadratic NLS with random initial data. We consider the Cauchy problem for the following quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) on the two-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = |u|^2 \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, we study (1.1) with the following Gaussian random initial data:

$$u_0^\omega(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{in \cdot x}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ controls the roughness of the initial data and $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a set of independent complex-valued Gaussian random variables with $\mathbb{E}g_n = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}|g_n|^2 = 1$. Note that when $\alpha = 0$, u_0^ω is the Gaussian random initial data distributed according to the massive Gaussian free field on $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $s < 0$.

Over the past several decades, we have witnessed tremendous progress on well-posedness issues of NLS with various types of nonlinearities from both deterministic and probabilistic points of views. Let us first briefly mention the deterministic well-posedness results for NLS on periodic domains. In [2], Bourgain introduced the Fourier restriction norm method (see Subsection 2.2) and proved NLS with a gauge-invariant nonlinearity in the low regularity setting. In particular, he proved local well-posedness of the cubic NLS (i.e. with nonlinearity $|u|^2 u$) in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for any $s > 0$ by proving the following L^4 -Strichartz estimate on \mathbb{T}^2 (See also Lemma 2.2):

$$\|e^{it\Delta} u\|_{L^4([-1,1]; L^4(\mathbb{T}^2))} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad (1.3)$$

for any $s > 0$. Regarding the quadratic NLS (1.1), which is the main focus of this paper, one can easily obtain local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s > 0$ by using the L^3 -Strichartz estimate with a derivative loss, which follows from interpolating (1.3) and the trivial L^2 bound. In [21], Kishimoto proved ill-posedness of (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for $s < 0$. In a recent preprint [23], the author and Oh proved local well-posedness of (1.1) in $H^0(\mathbb{T}^2) = L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, thus completing the deterministic well-posedness theory of (1.1).

A natural question is whether one can go beyond the L^2 threshold of well-posedness of (1.1) from a probabilistic perspective. The answer is positive if one considers random initial data. The idea of constructing local-in-time solutions of NLS using random initial data was first introduced by Bourgain in [4], where he proved almost sure local well-posedness of the (renormalized) cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 with random initial data (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$. See also [5, 7, 10, 11, 13] for more results on almost sure local well-posedness of NLS with various types of nonlinearities on periodic domains with random initial data of the form (1.2). The almost sure local well-posedness results of NLS with a quadratic nonlinearity $|u|^2$, to the best of the author's knowledge, have not been explored yet. In this paper, we consider the quadratic NLS (1.1) with the random initial data u_0^ω given by (1.2). Note that the initial data u_0^ω almost surely belongs to $H^{-\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2) \setminus H^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. See Lemma B.1 in [6]. When $\alpha < 0$, the initial data u_0^ω almost surely belongs to $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for sufficiently small $s = s(\alpha) > 0$, so that we can easily prove almost sure local well-posedness of (1.1) by using the L^3 -Strichartz estimate mentioned above. Our goal in this paper is to obtain

sharp probabilistic local well-posedness and probabilistic ill-posedness results of (1.1) with rougher random initial data, i.e. $\alpha \geq 0$. Specifically, we show that (a renormalized version of) (1.1) is almost surely locally well-posed when $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and is probabilistically ill-posed in a suitable sense when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Before moving onto the precise statements for our well-posedness and ill-posedness results, we discuss a pathological behavior that occurs in (1.1) with the initial data u_0^ω given by (1.2) when $\alpha \geq 0$. Let

$$u_{0,M}^\omega = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |n| \leq M}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{in \cdot x} \quad (1.4)$$

be the truncation of the random initial data u_0^ω to frequencies $\{|n| \leq M\}$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\zeta_M(t) := \zeta_M^\omega(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_{0,M}^\omega = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |n| \leq M}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{-it|n|^2 + in \cdot x} \quad (1.5)$$

be the solution of the linear Schrödinger equation with the random initial data u_0^ω :

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \zeta_M + \Delta \zeta_M = 0 \\ \zeta_M|_{t=0} = u_{0,M}^\omega. \end{cases}$$

Consider the following Picard second iterate:

$$\zeta_M^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|\zeta_M(t')|^2) dt'.$$

Note that the spatial Fourier transform of $\zeta_M^{(2)}(t)$ at frequency $n = 0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_x \zeta_M^{(2)}(t, 0) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |k| \leq M}} \frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2 t}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}}.$$

When $\alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |k| \leq M}} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2 t}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} \right] = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |k| \leq M}} \frac{t}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} \longrightarrow \infty$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$ as long as $t \neq 0$. By independence and Kolmogorov's three-series theorem [12, Theorem 2.5.8], when $\alpha \geq 0$ and $t \neq 0$, we have

$$P \left(\left| \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_x \zeta_M^{(2)}(t, 0) \right| < \infty \right) < 1.$$

Thus, by Kolmogorov's zero-one law [12, Theorem 2.5.3], we obtain that for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $t \neq 0$,

$$P \left(\left| \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_x \zeta_M^{(2)}(t, 0) \right| < \infty \right) = 0,$$

which shows that the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_x \zeta_M^{(2)}(t, 0)$ diverges almost surely for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $t \neq 0$. In particular, this means that when $\alpha \geq 0$, the Picard second iterate $\zeta_M^{(2)}$ almost surely does not converge to a distribution-valued function of time. To fix this issue at

frequency zero, we need to introduce a proper renormalization of (1.1), which allows us to explore its well-posedness issues when $\alpha \geq 0$. See Subsection 1.2 below.

Remark 1.1. In fact, one can show that the sequence of random variables $\{\mathcal{F}_x \zeta_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law as $M \rightarrow \infty$, which is a stronger notion of divergence. See Subsection 1.3 and Section 5 for more details.

1.2. Probabilistic well-posedness of the renormalized quadratic NLS. In this subsection, we state our almost sure local well-posedness theorem for (a renormalized version of) the quadratic NLS (1.1) with the random initial data u_0^ω given by (1.2) and describe our strategy for proving our result. The precise statement of our almost sure local well-posedness result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a divergent sequence of positive numbers $\{\sigma_M\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the following holds true; there exist $T_0 > 0$ and constants $C, c, \theta > 0$ such that for all $0 < T \leq T_0$, there exists a set $\Omega_T \subset \Omega$ with $P(\Omega \setminus \Omega_T) \leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ such that the smooth global solutions $\{u_M\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ to*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_M + \Delta u_M = |u_M|^2 - \sigma_M \\ u_M|_{t=0} = u_{0,M}^\omega \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

with $u_{0,M}^\omega$ given as the truncated initial data in (1.4), converges to some (unique) limiting distribution u in $C([-T, T]; H^{-\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2))$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

We point out that the range $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ for our almost sure local well-posedness result is sharp in the sense that (1.6) is probabilistically ill-posed in a suitable sense for $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. See Subsection 1.3 for more details.

The main idea for proving Theorem 1.2 is the first order expansion [24, 4, 8]. However, if we proceed with the usual first order expansion $u_M = \zeta_M + w_M$ as in [24, 4, 8], where ζ_M is the random linear solution as defined in (1.5) and w_M is the residual term, we will encounter some issues with the zeroth frequency term in our estimates. See Remark 1.3 below.

In order to avoid the issues with the zeroth frequency term, let us consider the zeroth frequency of u_M and the non-zero frequencies of u_M separately. We first solve the equation for non-zero frequencies of u_M , which we denote as $P_{\neq 0} u_M$, uniformly in M . In this case, we use the following first order expansion:

$$P_{\neq 0} u_M = z_M + v_M, \quad (1.7)$$

where z_M is defined as

$$z_M(t, x) := z_M^\omega(t, x) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |n| \leq M}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{-it|n|^2 + in \cdot x}, \quad (1.8)$$

and v_M is the remainder term that satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v_M + \Delta v_M = P_{\neq 0}(|z_M + v_M|^2) \\ v_M|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that both z_M and v_M have no frequency zero terms. In Subsection 4.1, we show that, outside an exceptional set of exponentially small probability, v_M can be bounded

uniformly in a suitable subspace of $C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently small, in which v_M admits a unique limit v as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the limiting space-time function v solves the following limiting equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v + \Delta v = P_{\neq 0}(|z + v|^2) \\ v|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where z is the limiting distribution of z_M as $M \rightarrow \infty$ which can be written formally as

$$z(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{-it|n|^2 + in \cdot x}. \quad (1.10)$$

We then use the convergence of v_M to deal with the zeroth frequency of u_M , which we denote as $P_0 u_M$. Note that $P_0 u_M$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t P_0 u_M = P_0(|u_M|^2 - \sigma_M) \\ P_0 u_M|_{t=0} = g_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

By using (1.7), we can write

$$u_M = P_0 u_M + z_M + v_M, \quad (1.11)$$

so that $P_0 u_M$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t P_0 u_M = P_0(|P_0 u_M + v_M|^2 + (P_0 u_M + v_M)\overline{z_M} + \overline{(P_0 u_M + v_M)}z_M \\ \quad + (|z_M|^2 - \sigma_M)) \\ P_0 u_M|_{t=0} = g_0(\omega). \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Here, the term $|z_M|^2 - \sigma_M$ is a renormalization of $|z_M|^2$, which means that by choosing an appropriate renormalization constant σ_M , the term $P_0(|z_M|^2 - \sigma_M)$ converges almost surely as $M \rightarrow \infty$. For this purpose, we choose the renormalization constant σ_M as

$$\sigma_M := \mathbb{E}[|z_M|^2], \quad (1.13)$$

which is independent of t and x ,¹ so that $P_0(|z_M|^2 - \sigma_M)$ converges almost surely to a random variable which we denote as z_2 . For more details, see Subsection 4.2, where we show that $P_0 u_M$ admits a unique limit in $C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, outside an exceptional set of exponentially small probability. We denote this limit as $P_0 u$, which solves the following limiting equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t P_0 u = P_0(|P_0 u + v|^2 + (P_0 u + v)\overline{z} + \overline{(P_0 u + v)}z) + z_2 \\ P_0 u|_{t=0} = g_0(\omega). \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

Hence, by (1.11) and taking $M \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that the limiting distribution u as stated in Theorem 1.2 has the decomposition

$$u = P_0 u + z + v,$$

where z is the limiting distribution as in (1.10), v is the solution to (1.9), and $P_0 u$ is the solution to (1.14). The uniqueness of u stated in Theorem 1.2 refers to the uniqueness of z

¹This fact can be shown by a direct computation. It can be explained by the stationarity (in both t and x) of the process $\{z_M(t, x)\}_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2}$, which is due to the rotational invariance of Gaussian random variables.

as the limit of z_M , the uniqueness of v as a solution to (1.9), and the uniqueness of P_0u as a solution to (1.14). See also Remark 1.4 below.

Remark 1.3. In the above steps for proving Theorem 1.2, we separately analyze the zeroth frequency and the non-zero frequencies of u_M . We point out that this is the novelty of this paper which is necessary for our purpose to deal with almost sure local well-posedness of the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity $|u|^2$. Specifically, suppose that we proceed with the usual first order expansion $u_M = \zeta_M + w_M$ with ζ_M as defined in (1.5). In this situation, the bilinear estimate in Proposition 3.2 (ii) will not hold for $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ (if we replace z_M and v_M by ζ_M and w_M , respectively). Instead, the expansion $P_{\neq 0}u_M = z_M + v_M$ (i.e. deleting the zeroth frequency term g_0 from the random linear solution) provides us with the advantage that the remainder term v_M has no zeroth frequency term, which is crucial for obtaining the bilinear estimate in Proposition 3.2 (ii) for $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. See also Remark 3.3.

Remark 1.4. Let $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}^2; [0, 1])$ be a mollification kernel such that $\int \eta dx = 1$ and $\text{supp } \eta \subset (-1, 1]^2 \simeq \mathbb{T}^2$. For $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we define $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-2}\eta(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$, so that $\{\eta_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ forms an approximate identity on \mathbb{T}^2 . With a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can show that when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists a divergent family of constants $\{\sigma_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) such that the solution u_ε to

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_\varepsilon + \Delta u_\varepsilon = |u_\varepsilon|^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \\ u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \eta_\varepsilon * u_0^\omega \end{cases}$$

converges in probability to some (unique) limiting distribution u in $C([-T_\omega, T_\omega]; H^{-\alpha-}(\mathbb{T}^2))$ with $T_\omega > 0$ almost surely. Here, the limiting distribution u is independent of the choice of the mollification kernel η .

Remark 1.5. Let us also consider probabilistic well-posedness of NLS with other quadratic nonlinearities:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \mathcal{N}(u) \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0^\omega \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

with $\mathcal{N}(u) = u^2$ or \bar{u}^2 and u_0^ω as defined in (1.2). We first point out that these nonlinearities have different corresponding phase functions: $n \cdot n_2$ for $|u|^2$, $n_1 \cdot n_2$ for u^2 , and $|n|^2 + |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2$ for \bar{u}^2 . Here, n_1 corresponds to the frequency of the first incoming wave, n_2 corresponds to the frequency of the second incoming wave, and n corresponds to the frequency of the outgoing wave.

For $\mathcal{N}(u) = u^2$, we can use a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 to obtain almost sure local well-posedness of (1.15) when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, we do not have the pathological behavior described at the end of Subsection 1.1, so that a renormalization is not needed.

For $\mathcal{N}(u) = \bar{u}^2$, due to the different nature of the corresponding phase function, we expect that one can go beyond the range $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ established for the almost sure local well-posedness for NLS with nonlinearities $|u|^2$ and u^2 . However, the method for proving Theorem 1.2 based on the first order expansion is not enough for this purpose, since the corresponding bilinear estimate involving the product of two random linear solutions (Proposition 3.2 (iii)) is still only valid when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, it may be possible to establish almost sure local well-posedness for some range of $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ using higher order expansions as in [1, 28, 15].

1.3. Probabilistic ill-posedness of the renormalized quadratic NLS. In this subsection, we discuss probabilistic ill-posedness issues of the renormalized quadratic NLS (1.6) for $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Given $M \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the following Picard second iterate:

$$z_M^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|z_M(t')|^2 - \sigma_M) dt', \quad (1.16)$$

where $M \in \mathbb{N}$, z_M is as defined in (1.8).² Here, we allow $\{\sigma_M\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be any sequence of constants. We now state the following proposition regarding the non-convergence of the Picard second iterate $z_M^{(2)}$.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\{\sigma_M\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence of constants and let $t \neq 0$.*

- (i) *For $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the sequence $\{\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2]\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ goes to infinity as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, the sequence of random variables $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law.*
- (ii) *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. For $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$, the sequence $\{\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)|^2]\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ goes to infinity as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, the sequence of random variables $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law.*

See Section 5 for the proof of Proposition 1.6.

Part (i) of Proposition 1.6 implies that when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \neq 0$, no matter what values we assign to the renormalization constants σ_M , the Picard second iterate $z_M^{(2)}(t)$ does not converge to a distribution-valued function of time even in a weak sense (i.e. does not converge in law). In particular, this means that our probabilistic well-posedness result for the renormalized quadratic NLS (1.6) in Theorem 1.2 is sharp. See Remark 1.9 for a discussion on random renormalization constants.

Part (ii) of Proposition 1.6 shows that when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$, every Fourier coefficient of the Picard second iterate $z_M^{(2)}$ does not converge in law. This in particular implies that standard methods for establishing probabilistic local well-posedness such as the first order expansion [24, 4, 8] or its higher order variants [1, 28, 15] do not work for $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$.

Bearing in mind the above discussion, we now briefly discuss the probabilistic scaling and the associated critical regularity introduced by Deng, Nahmod, and Yue in [10]. The notion of this probabilistic scaling is based on the observation that, if one wants to obtain local well-posedness, the Picard second iterate should not be rougher than the random linear solution. In [10], Deng, Nahmod, and Yue provided heuristics for one to compute the probabilistic scaling critical regularity without too much difficulty, and they conjectured in the paper that for NLS with nonlinearities $|u|^{p-1}u$ ($p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$), almost sure local well-posedness should hold for all subcritical regularities. Indeed, in [11], Deng, Nahmod, and Yue proved almost sure local well-posedness for NLS with nonlinearity $|u|^{p-1}u$ ($p \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$) on \mathbb{T}^d ($d \in \mathbb{N}$) in the full subcritical range relative to the probabilistic scaling. We point out that for NLS with the quadratic nonlinearity $|u|^2$, however, the probabilistic scaling does not seem to provide a useful prediction for probabilistic well-posedness issues, as we shall see in the following.

Let us compute the probabilistic scaling critical regularity for the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity $|u|^2$. Let u_0^ω be the random initial data as defined in (1.2). Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a

²Whether or not including the zeroth frequency term g_0 in z_M does not affect our ill-posedness result.

dyadic number and consider the initial data u_0^ω supported on frequencies $\{|n| \sim N\}$:

$$P_N u_0^\omega = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |n| \sim N}} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Note that $\|P_N u_0^\omega\|_{H^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sim 1$. Consider the following second iterate term:³

$$z_N^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(t-t')\Delta} (|e^{it'\Delta} P_N u_0^\omega|^2) dt',$$

whose n th Fourier coefficient can be computed as

$$\mathcal{F}_x z_N^{(2)}(t, n) = \int_0^t e^{-it|n|^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ |n_1| \sim N, |n_2| \sim N}} e^{it'(|n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2)} \frac{g_{n_1}(\omega) \overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle n_1 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} dt'.$$

We restrict our attention to the frequency range $\{|n| \sim N\}$ of $z_N^{(2)}(t)$. Thus, by the Wiener chaos estimate (see Lemma 2.11 below) and a counting estimate (see Lemma 2.6 (i) below), we can estimate the $H^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ -norm of $z_N^{(2)}(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_N^{(2)}\|_{H^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 &\sim \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |n| \sim N}} \langle n \rangle^{-2\alpha} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ |n_1| \sim N, |n_2| \sim N}} \frac{g_{n_1}(\omega) \overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle \langle n_1 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim C_\omega \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ |n|, |n_1|, |n_2| \sim N}} \frac{\langle n \rangle^{-2\alpha}}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle^2 \langle n_1 \rangle^{2-2\alpha} \langle n_2 \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} \\ &\sim C_\omega N^{2\alpha-4} \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ |n|, |n_1|, |n_2| \sim N}} \frac{1}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle^2} \\ &\lesssim C_\omega N^{2\alpha-2+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 < C_\omega < \infty$ almost surely and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small. In order to have $\|z_N^{(2)}\|_{H^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim 1$, we need $2\alpha - 2 + \varepsilon \leq 0$, which is equivalent to $\alpha < 1$.

The above computation shows that the probabilistic scaling critical regularity is $\alpha_* = 1$. Proposition 1.6, however, shows that the second iterate term $z_M^{(2)}$ satisfies that (i) $\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)$ does not converge in law as $M \rightarrow \infty$ when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and that (ii) $\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)$ does not converge in law for $n \neq 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$ when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$. Both situations happen before α reaches the critical value $\alpha_* = 1$, which shows that the probabilistic scaling introduced in [10] fails in the quadratic case. We point out that this discrepancy is mainly due to the fact that the probabilistic scaling only considers the special case when all frequencies have comparable sizes, which oversimplifies the situation in the context of a quadratic nonlinearity. Also, this discrepancy is closely related to the fact that we are considering *very* rough random initial data (rougher than the Gaussian free field initial data), which is

³Here, we do not need to include the renormalization constant since later on we only focus on the case when $|n| \sim N$.

in particular relevant in studying NLS with a polynomial nonlinearity of low degree and in low dimensions. See Remark 1.7 below. Similar phenomena also occur in the contexts of wave equations and stochastic parabolic equations. See Remark 1.10 and Remark 1.11 for further details.

We finish this subsection by stating several remarks.

Remark 1.7. The proof of Proposition 1.6, the probabilistic ill-posedness results of the quadratic NLS (1.6), can easily be adapted to general dimensions. Specifically, on \mathbb{T}^d for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, when $\alpha \geq 1 - \frac{d}{4}$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law for any sequence of constants $\{\sigma_M\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$; when $\alpha \geq \frac{5}{4} - \frac{d}{4}$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n \neq 0$ does not converge in law.

The probabilistic scaling for the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity $|u|^2$ can also be easily extended to general \mathbb{T}^d , in which the probabilistic scaling critical regularity is $\alpha_* = 2 - \frac{d}{2}$. We note that when $d = 1, 2$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ does not converge in law before α reaches the critical value α_* ; when $d = 3$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law before α reaches the critical value α_* .

Remark 1.8. We can also address ill-posedness issues of the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity u^2 or \bar{u}^2 with random initial data (1.2). Specifically, on \mathbb{T}^d , with either nonlinearity u^2 or nonlinearity \bar{u}^2 , every Fourier coefficient of the Picard second iterate does not converge in law when $\alpha \geq 2 - \frac{d}{4}$. The reason for this different range of α from that in the context of nonlinearity $|u|^2$ is mainly due to the different phase functions corresponding to these nonlinearities. See Section 5 and Remark 5.2.

We can also compute the probabilistic scaling for the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity u^2 or \bar{u}^2 , each of which has the same critical regularity $\alpha_* = 2 - \frac{d}{2}$. It is interesting to note that in the context of nonlinearity u^2 or \bar{u}^2 , the divergence of the Picard second iterate does not happen before α reaches the critical regularity.

Remark 1.9. Let us consider the situation when the renormalization constants σ_M are allowed to be random (i.e. be dependent on $\omega \in \Omega$). Note that for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, by defining σ_M as

$$\sigma_M(\omega) := \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} \frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}}, \quad (1.17)$$

we have that the Picard second iterate $z_M^{(2)}$ as defined in (1.16) has no frequency zero term. In this case, the divergence result in Part (i) of Proposition 1.6 no longer holds (but Part (ii) of Proposition 1.6 still applies for any random renormalization constants $\sigma_M(\omega)$). This leaves a gap of well-posedness issues of the quadratic NLS (1.6) in the range $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{4}$. We would like to address this issue in a forthcoming work.

If some well-posedness results of the quadratic NLS (1.6) (with renormalization constants $\sigma_M(\omega)$ as in (1.17)) can be achieved in the range $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{4}$, this will imply that NLS behaves better than the nonlinear wave equation (NLW) in the quadratic case, which will be interesting because usually NLW behaves at least as well as NLS. See Remark 1.10 below or [27] for well-posedness issues of NLW with a quadratic nonlinearity.

Remark 1.10. In [27], Oh and Okamoto studied well-posedness issues of the stochastic nonlinear wave equation (NLW) with a quadratic nonlinearity on \mathbb{T}^2 . Let us compare the situations for the quadratic NLS (1.1) and the following quadratic NLW on \mathbb{T}^2 :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + (1 - \Delta)u = u^2 \\ (u, \partial_t u)|_{t=0} = (u_0^\omega, u_1^\omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

where

$$(u_0^\omega, u_1^\omega) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{g_{0,n}(\omega)}{\langle n \rangle} e^{in \cdot x}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle n \rangle^\alpha g_{1,n}(\omega) e^{in \cdot x} \right).$$

Here, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{g_{0,n}, g_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a sequence of independent standard complex Gaussian random variables conditioned that $g_{j,-n} = \overline{g_{j,n}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $j = 0, 1$. We point out that the probabilistic well-posedness and ill-posedness results in [27] for the quadratic SNLW also apply to (1.18) (with the standard Wick renormalization): (1.18) is almost surely locally well-posed when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and every Fourier coefficient of the Picard second iterate diverges almost surely when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

We note that both the quadratic NLS (1.1) and the quadratic NLW (1.18) are almost surely locally well-posed when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Regarding the pathological behaviors, for the quadratic NLS (1.1) (more precisely, the renormalized quadratic NLS (1.6)), the zero frequency of the Picard second iterate does not converge in law when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and the non-zero frequencies does not converge in law when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$; whereas for the quadratic NLW (1.18), *every* frequency of the Picard second iterate diverges almost surely when $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, which also happens before reaching the critical regularity $\alpha_* = 1$ of (1.18). See Proposition 1.6 in [27] for more details. The difference of the pathological behaviors of the two equations is mainly due to the different structures of the corresponding Duhamel operators.

Remark 1.11. Let us also mention some failures of scaling analysis that happen in the context of parabolic equations forced by rough noises. In the past decade, there has been a huge progress in the study of stochastically forced parabolic equations using the theory of regularity structures introduced by Hairer [16, 17, 18, 19]. In particular, the theory of regularity structures is able to solve a wide range of parabolic equations with a space-time white noise forcing that are subcritical according to the notion of local subcriticality introduced by Hairer [17]. However, when the stochastic forcing is rougher than the space-time white noise, the scaling analysis may fail to provide a prediction for well-posedness issues. For example, in [20], Hoshino showed that for the KPZ equation driven by a fractional derivative of a space-time white noise, the standard solution theory breaks down before reaching the critical regularity. See also [27] for a similar phenomenon that occurs in the context of the stochastic nonlinear heat equation forced by a fractional derivative of a space-time white noise.

1.4. Organization of the paper. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations, definitions, and preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, we establish bilinear estimates that are crucial for proving our almost sure local well-posedness result of the renormalized quadratic NLS (1.6). In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2, the almost sure local well-posedness result of (1.6) when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. In Section 5, we prove Proposition 1.6, the probabilistic ill-posedness result of (1.6) for higher values of α .

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

In this section, we discuss some relevant notations and lemmas.

2.1. Notations. For a space-time function u defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, we write $\mathcal{F}_x u$ to denote the space Fourier transform of u and we write \widehat{u} to denote the space-time Fourier transform of u . We also define the following twisted space-time Fourier transform:

$$\widetilde{u}(\tau, k) = \widehat{u}(\tau - |k|^2, k).$$

Given a dyadic number $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$, we let P_N be the frequency projector onto the spatial frequencies $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \frac{N}{2} < \langle n \rangle \leq N\}$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For any subset $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, we let P_Q be the frequency projector onto Q . Also, we use P_0 to denote the restriction to zero frequency and use $P_{\neq 0}$ to denote the restriction to non-zero frequencies.

Let χ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$.

We use $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq CB$ for some constant $C > 0$, and we write $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Also, we write $A \ll B$ if $A \leq cB$ for some sufficiently small $c > 0$. In addition, we use $a+$ and $a-$ to denote $a + \varepsilon$ and $a - \varepsilon$, respectively, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

2.2. Fourier restriction norm method. In this subsection, we introduce definitions and lemmas of $X^{s,b}$ -spaces, also called the Bourgain spaces, due to Klainerman-Machedon [22] and Bourgain [2]. Given $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $X^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ norm as

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} := \|\langle n \rangle^s \langle \tau + |n|^2 \rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau, n)\|_{L^2_t \ell^2_n(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^2)}.$$

The space $X^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2)$ is then defined by the completion of functions that are C^∞ in space and Schwartz in time with respect to this norm. For $T > 0$, we define the space $X_T^{s,b}$ by the restriction of distributions in $X^{s,b}$ onto the time interval $[-T, T]$ via the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{s,b}} := \inf \{ \|v\|_{X^{s,b}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2)} : v|_{[-T, T]} = u \}.$$

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$, we have $X_T^{s,b} \subset C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$, where $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is the L^2 -based Sobolev space on \mathbb{T}^2 with regularity s .

We define the truncated Duhamel operator as

$$\mathcal{I}_\chi F(t) = \chi(t) \int_0^t \chi(t') e^{i(t-t')\Delta} F(t') dt'. \quad (2.1)$$

We first recall the following linear estimates. See [2, 14, 30].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > \frac{1}{2}$. Then, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{I}_\chi F\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim_b \|F\|_{X^{s,b-1}}.$$

Next, we recall the following L^4 -Strichartz estimate. See [2, 3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let Q be a spatial frequency ball of radius N (not necessarily centered at the origin). Then, we have*

$$\|P_Q u\|_{L^4_{t,x}([-1,1] \times \mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim N^{0+} \|u\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}}.$$

We also recall the following time localization estimate. For a proof, see Proposition 2.7 in [10].

Lemma 2.3. *Let φ be a Schwartz function, and let $\varphi_T(t) = \varphi(t/T)$ for $0 < T \leq 1$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq b_1 < 1$. Then, for any space-time function u that satisfies $u(0, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$, we have*

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot u\|_{X^{s,b}} \lesssim T^{b_1-b} \|u\|_{X^{s,b_1}}.$$

We also record the following lemma. For a proof, see Lemma 3.1 in [9].

Lemma 2.4. *For all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have the formula*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_\chi \widetilde{F}(\tau, n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(\tau, \tau') \widetilde{F}(\tau', n) d\tau',$$

where the kernel K satisfies

$$|K(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_\tau K(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_{\tau'} K(\tau, \tau')| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\langle \tau \rangle^3} + \frac{1}{\langle \tau - \tau' \rangle^3} \right) \frac{1}{\langle \tau' \rangle} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau - \tau' \rangle}.$$

2.3. Counting estimates and a convolution lemma. In this subsection, we recall some counting estimates and a convolution lemma. We first record the following fact from number theory. For a proof, see Lemma 4.3 in [10].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be such that $m \neq 0$. Let $M_1, M_2 > 0$. Then, the number of tuples $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}[i])^2$ that satisfies*

$$ab = m, |a - a_0| \leq M_1, |b - b_0| \leq M_2$$

is $O(M_1^\varepsilon M_2^\varepsilon)$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, where the constant depends only on ε .

We now show the following counting estimates.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $N, N_1, N_2 > 0$. Let $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that n lies in a ball of radius N , n_1 lies in a ball of radius N_1 , n_2 lies in a ball of radius N_2 , $n - n_1 + n_2 = 0$, and $|n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 = m$ for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) *The number of tuples $(n, n_1, n_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^3$ that satisfy the above conditions is $O(N_1 N_2 \max\{N_1^\varepsilon, N_2^\varepsilon\})$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, where the constant depends only on ε .*

(ii) *If n_1 is fixed, then the number of tuples $(n, n_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^2$ that satisfy the above conditions is $O(\max\{N^\varepsilon, N_2^\varepsilon\})$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, where the constant depends only on ε .*

(iii) *If n_2 is fixed and $n_2 \neq 0$, then the number of tuples $(n, n_1) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^2$ that satisfy the above conditions is $O(N_1)$.*

Proof. (i) See Lemma 4.3 in [10] for the proof of this part.

(ii) Since n_1 is fixed, we know that $n + n_2 = n_1$ is fixed. Let $k = (k_1, k_2) = n - n_2$, so that we have that

$$(k_1 + ik_2)(k_1 - ik_2) = |k|^2 = 2|n|^2 + 2|n_2|^2 - |n + n_2|^2 = 2m + |n_1|^2$$

is fixed. Since $k = n - n_2$ lies in a ball of radius $\leq N + N_2$, by Lemma 2.5, we know that the number of choices for k is $O(\max\{N^\varepsilon, N_2^\varepsilon\})$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, the number of choices for (n, n_2) is $O(\max\{N^\varepsilon, N_2^\varepsilon\})$ for any small $\varepsilon > 0$.

(iii) Note that since $n = n_1 - n_2$, we have

$$m = |n_1 - n_2|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 = -2n_1 \cdot n_2 + 2|n_2|^2.$$

This shows that $n_1 \cdot n_2$ is fixed, which means that n_1 is restricted to a line. Thus, the number of choices for n_1 is $O(N_1)$, and so is the number of tuples (n, n_1) because of $n = n_1 - n_2$. \square

We also record the following estimate. For a proof, see Claim 5.2 in [10].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $M > 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the function $F_{k,\beta}(\ell) = -2k \cdot \ell + \beta$ with the domain $\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |\ell| \lesssim M, |-2k \cdot \ell + \beta| \lesssim M^C\}$ for some constant $C > 0$. Then, as $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ varies, there are $\lesssim M^{C_1}$ possibilities of such functions $F_{k,\beta}$ with non-empty domains, where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant.*

We end this subsection by recording the following convolution inequality. For a proof, see Lemma 4.2 in [14].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $0 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\beta \langle x - a \rangle^\gamma} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle a \rangle^\beta}.$$

2.4. Tools from stochastic analysis. In this subsection, we present some probabilistic lemmas. We first recall the Wiener chaos estimate. Let (H, B, μ) be an abstract Wiener space, where μ is a Gaussian measure on a separable Banach space B and $H \subset B$ is its Cameron-Martin space. Let $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B$ be an orthonormal system of $H^* = H$. We define a polynomial chaos of order k as an element of the form $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{k_j}(\langle x, e_j \rangle)$. Here, $x \in B$, $k_j \neq 0$ for finitely many j 's, $k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_j$, H_{k_j} is the Hermite polynomial of degree k_j , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = {}_B \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{B^*}$ denotes the $B - B^*$ duality pairing. We denote the closure of all polynomial chaoses of order k under $L^2(B, \mu)$ by \mathcal{H}_k , whose elements are called homogeneous Wiener chaoses of order k . We also denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{\leq k} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathcal{H}_j$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let L be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. It is known that any element in \mathcal{H}_k is an eigenfunction of L with eigenvalue $-k$. Then, we have the following Wiener chaos estimate [29, Theorem I.22] as a consequence of the hypercontractivity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $U(t) = e^{tL}$ due to Nelson [25].

Lemma 2.9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $p \geq 2$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq k}$, we have*

$$\|X\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq (p-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \|X\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We now show the following estimate. Recall that $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a sequence of independent standard complex-valued Gaussian random variables.

Lemma 2.10. *Define*

$$F(\omega) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_n (|g_n(\omega)|^2 - 1)$$

for some deterministic coefficients $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. Then, for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\|F\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim (p-1)\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Consequently, there exists constants $C, c > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$P(|F| > \lambda) \leq C \exp\left(-c \frac{\lambda}{\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we can write $g_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_n + iY_n)$, where $\{X_n, Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ is a sequence of independent standard real-valued Gaussian random variables. Thus, we have

$$|g_n|^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{2}H_2(X_n) + \frac{1}{2}H_2(Y_n)$$

with $H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$ the Hermite polynomial of order 2. This shows that $|g_n|^2 - 1 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, and so $F \in \mathcal{H}_2$. The inequality (2.2) then follows from the Wiener chaos estimate (Lemma 2.9), and the tail bound (2.3) follows from (2.2) and Chebyshev's inequality. \square

We also record the following lemma, which is also a consequence of the Wiener chaos estimate (Lemma 2.9). For a proof, see Proposition 2.4 in [31].

Lemma 2.11. *Define*

$$F_1(\omega) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_n g_n(\omega),$$

$$F_2(\omega) := \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2} b_{n_1 n_2} g_{n_1}(\omega) \overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}$$

for some deterministic coefficients $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and $\{b_{n_1 n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in \ell^2((\mathbb{Z}^2)^2)$. Then, for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\|F_1\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim (p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$\|F_2\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim (p-1) \|F_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Consequently, there exists constants $C_1, C_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$P(|F_1| > \lambda) \leq C_1 \exp\left(-c_1 \frac{\lambda^2}{\|F_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}\right),$$

$$P(|F_2| > \lambda) \leq C_2 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\lambda}{\|F_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}\right).$$

3. BILINEAR ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish several bilinear estimates that are crucial for proving Theorem 1.2, the almost sure local well-posedness result of (1.6). Specifically, we need to estimate the following term

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)} \overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}},$$

where $s, \delta > 0$ are sufficiently small, $\varphi_T(t) = \varphi(t/T)$ with φ being a Schwartz function and $0 < T \leq 1$, and \mathcal{I}_χ is the truncated Duhamel operator as defined in (2.1) with χ being a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$. Here, each of $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$ is either a deterministic space-time function supported on $[-1, 1] \times \mathbb{T}^2$ or $\chi \cdot z_M$, where z_M is the truncated random linear solution as defined in (1.8).

3.1. Deterministic bilinear estimates. We first consider the case when both $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$ are deterministic space-time functions. Specifically, we show the following bilinear estimate.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Let $0 < T \leq 1$. Then, we have*

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim T^\delta \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim T^\delta \|\mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+2\delta}} \lesssim T^\delta \|v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}}\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2}+2\delta}}. \quad (3.1)$$

By duality and dyadic decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}}\|_{X^{s, -\frac{1}{2}+2\delta}} &= \sup_{\|w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}-2\delta}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \langle \nabla \rangle^s (v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}}) \overline{w} \, dx dt \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}-2\delta}} \leq 1} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \langle \nabla \rangle^s (P_{N_1} v^{(1)} \overline{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}) \overline{P_N w} \, dx dt \right| \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Let n_1, n_2, n be the frequencies corresponding to the three terms $P_{N_1} v^{(1)}, P_{N_2} v^{(2)}, P_N w$, respectively. In order for the above integral on \mathbb{T}^2 to be non-zero, we must have $n_1 - n_2 - n = 0$. This leads us to the following three cases.

Case 1: $N_1 \sim N_2$.

In this case, we have $N \lesssim N_1 \sim N_2$. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \langle \nabla \rangle^s (P_{N_1} v^{(1)} \overline{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}) \overline{P_N w} \, dx dt \right| \\ &\lesssim N^s \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_N w\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\lesssim N_1^{0-} \|N_1^{s/2+} P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|N_2^{s/2} P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_N w\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\ &\lesssim N_1^{0-} \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|P_N w\|_{X^{0,0}} \\ &\leq N_1^{0-} \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2}-2\delta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and summing over $N_1 \sim N_2 \gtrsim N$, we obtain the desired estimate.

Case 2: $N_1 \gg N_2$.

In this case, we have $N \sim N_1 \gg N_2$. We partition the annulus $\{|n_1| \sim N_1\}$ into balls of radius $\sim N_2$ and denote the set of these balls as \mathcal{J}_1 , and we partition the annulus $\{|n| \sim N\}$ into balls of radius $\sim N_2$ and denote the set of these balls as \mathcal{J} . Note that for each fixed $J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$, the product $\mathbf{1}_{J_1}(n_1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_J(n)$ is non-zero for at most $O(1)$ many $J \in \mathcal{J}$, and we denote the set of these J 's as $\mathcal{J}(J_1)$. Thus, by Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.2, and the

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in J_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \langle \nabla \rangle^s (P_{N_1} v^{(1)} \overline{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}) \overline{P_N w} \, dx dt \right| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}(J_1)} N_1^s \|P_{J_1} P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{L_{t,x}^4} \|P_J P_N w\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}(J_1)} N_1^s N_2^{0+} \|P_{J_1} P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_J P_N w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} - 2\delta}} \quad (3.4) \\
& \lesssim N_1^s N_2^{0-} \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_N w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} - 2\delta}} \\
& \sim N_2^{0-} \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_{N_2} v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \|P_N w\|_{X^{0, \frac{1}{2} - 2\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $N_1 \sim N$, and summing over $N_1 \sim N \gg N_2$, we obtain the desired estimate.

Case 3: $N_1 \ll N_2$.

The steps in this case are similar to those in Case 2, so that we omit details. \square

3.2. Probabilistic bilinear estimates. We now consider the case when at least one of $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$ is the random linear solution with a time cut-off $\chi \cdot z_M$, where we recall that z_M is the truncated random linear solution as defined in (1.8) and χ is a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$. For simplicity, we write $z = z_M$ since our estimates will not depend on M .

Our goal in this subsection is to prove the following estimates, where the idea comes from [10].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s, \delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Let $0 < T \leq 1$.*

(i) *Let $\alpha < 1$. If $v^{(1)}$ is deterministic and $v^{(2)} = \chi \cdot z$, we have*

$$\left\| \varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)} \overline{v^{(2)}}) \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim T^{\delta - \theta} \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \quad (3.5)$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$.

(ii) *Let $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. If $v^{(1)} = \chi \cdot z$, $v^{(2)}$ is deterministic and has mean zero (i.e. has no zeroth frequency term), we have*

$$\left\| \varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)} \overline{v^{(2)}}) \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim T^{\delta - \theta} \|v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \quad (3.6)$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$.

(iii) *Let $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. If both $v^{(1)}$ and $v^{(2)}$ are $\chi \cdot z$, we have*

$$\left\| P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)} \overline{v^{(2)}})) \right\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \lesssim T^{\delta - \theta} \quad (3.7)$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$.

Proof. We first do the following general setup. By Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4, duality, and dyadic decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\
 & \lesssim T^\delta \|\mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+2\delta}} \\
 & = T^\delta \left\| \langle n \rangle^s \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+2\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(\tau, \tau') \widetilde{v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}}}(\tau', n) d\tau' \right\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \\
 & = T^\delta \sup_{\|\widetilde{w}\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \langle n \rangle^s \int \int \int K(\tau, |n|^2 + (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) - (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \widetilde{v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\widetilde{v^{(2)}}}(n_2, \tau_2) \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+2\delta} \overline{\widetilde{w}}(n, \tau) d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right| \tag{3.8} \\
 & \lesssim T^\delta \sup_{\|\widetilde{w}\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \leq 1} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \langle n \rangle^s \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int \int \int K(\tau, |n|^2 + (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) - (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) \\
 & \quad \times \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\widetilde{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}}(n_2, \tau_2) \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+2\delta} \overline{\widetilde{P_N w}}(n, \tau) d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the kernel K satisfies

$$|K(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_\tau K(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_{\tau'} K(\tau, \tau')| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \tau \rangle \langle \tau - \tau' \rangle}.$$

We define $\eta(\tau, \tau') := K(\tau, \tau - \tau') \langle \tau \rangle$, so that we have

$$|\eta(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_\tau \eta(\tau, \tau')| + |\partial_{\tau'} \eta(\tau, \tau')| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle \tau' \rangle}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, from (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim T^\delta \sup_{\|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{w}\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \leq 1} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s \\
 & \quad \times \left| \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \int \eta(\tau, (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\widetilde{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}}(n_2, \tau_2) \overline{\widetilde{P_N w}}(n, \tau) d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right|. \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Define the quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{X} := & \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s \left| \sum_{\substack{n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \int \eta(\tau, (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) \right. \\
 & \quad \times \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\widetilde{P_{N_2} v^{(2)}}}(n_2, \tau_2) \overline{\widetilde{P_N w}}(n, \tau) d\tau d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now separately discuss the three situations (i), (ii), and (iii).

(i) We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $\langle \tau \rangle \gg N_2^{10}$.

In this case, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities in τ_1 , τ , and n followed by Lemma 2.8 with (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{-5+20\delta} N_2^2 N_2^{-1+\alpha} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{\langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2} \sum_{\langle n \rangle \sim N} \int \int |\eta(\tau, (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n + n_2|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2))| \\
&\quad \times |P_{N_1} \widetilde{v}^{(1)}(n + n_2, \tau_1)| |g_{n_2}(\omega) \widehat{\chi}(\tau_2)| |\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{P}_N w(n, \tau)| d\tau_1 d\tau_2 d\tau \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{-4+20\delta+\alpha} \sup_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} |g_{n_2}(\omega)| |\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} P_{N_1} \widetilde{v}^{(1)}(n_1, \tau_1)| \|_{\ell_{n_1}^2 L_{\tau_1}^2} \\
&\quad \times \| \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{P}_N w(n, \tau) \|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-4+20\delta+\alpha} \sup_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} |g_{n_2}(\omega)| \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\
&\quad \times \| \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{P}_N w(n, \tau) \|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Note that we have the following Gaussian tail bound:

$$\sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} P(|g_{n_2}| > T^{-\theta} N_2^\delta) < C \exp\left(-c \frac{N_2^\delta}{T^\theta}\right)$$

for some constants $C, c > 0$ and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$, so that (3.11) gives

$$\mathcal{X} \lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-4+21\delta+\alpha} \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \| \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{P}_N w(n, \tau) \|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \tag{3.12}$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-cN_2^\delta/T^\theta)$. Recall that δ and s can be made sufficiently small and $\alpha < 1$. If $N \gg N_1$, we have $N \sim N_2$, so that we can use $N^s \sim N^{0-N_2^{s+}}$, sum up dyadic N, N_1, N_2 in (3.12), and use (3.10) to obtain (3.5). If $N \ll N_1$, we have $N_1 \sim N_2$, so that we can use $N^s \ll N^{0-N_2^{s+}}$, sum up dyadic N, N_1, N_2 in (3.12), and use (3.10) to obtain (3.5). If $N \sim N_1$, we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $N \sim N_1$, sum up dyadic $N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ in (3.12), and use (3.10) to obtain (3.5).

Case 2: $\langle \tau \rangle \lesssim N_2^{10}$.

In this case, we let w_0 be a space-time function such that $\widetilde{w}_0(n, \tau) = \langle \tau \rangle^{-3\delta} \widetilde{w}(n, \tau)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{30\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \\ &\quad \times \left| \sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{\overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\chi}(\tau_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \eta(\tau, (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right| d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

with w_0 satisfying

$$\|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} \widetilde{w}_0(n, \tau)\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \leq 1. \quad (3.14)$$

We want to apply Lemma 2.11, but we need to ensure that the probability of the exceptional sets is summable in τ . Let us decompose the domain $\{\langle \tau \rangle \lesssim N_2^{10}\}$ into a set \mathcal{I}_0 of intervals of length N_2^{-10} . We define the function $\mathcal{A}\eta$ as

$$\mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_0} \frac{\mathbf{1}_I(\tau)}{|I|} \int_I \eta(\tau', (\tau' - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) d\tau',$$

so that for each interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_0$, $\mathcal{A}\eta$ is constant in τ on I . Note that by Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{\tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2} \int |\eta - \mathcal{A}\eta|^2 d\tau \lesssim N_2^{-10} \int |\partial_\tau \eta|^2 d\tau. \quad (3.15)$$

Note that by (3.9), we easily obtain that

$$|\mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2) \rangle}. \quad (3.16)$$

We can then bound (3.13) by the sum of the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{30\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \left| \sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{\overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\chi}(\tau_2) (\eta - \mathcal{A}\eta)(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right| d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{30\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \left| \sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{\overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\chi}(\tau_2) \mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \left. \right| d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Note that by (3.15) and (3.9), we obtain a desired bound for (3.17) by applying similar steps as in Case 1. To bound (3.18), we consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $N \lesssim N_2$.

In this subcase, we apply Lemma 2.11 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) &\lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{31\delta} N_2^{-1+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \\
&\times \left[\sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \widetilde{\chi}(\tau_2) \right. \right. \\
&\left. \left. \times \mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} d\tau, \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where, since $\mathcal{A}\eta$ is constant in τ in each one of the intervals with length N_2^{-10} that partition the domain $\{\langle \tau \rangle \lesssim N_2^{10}\}$, the exceptional set that (3.19) does not hold has probability $\leq C_1 N_2^{120} \exp(-cN_2^\delta/T^\theta) \leq C \exp(-cN_2^\delta/T^\theta)$ for some universal constants $C_1, C, c > 0$. Continuing with (3.19), by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities in τ_1, τ_2, τ , and n , and Lemma 2.8 with (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) &\lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int \langle \tau \rangle^{1+2\delta} |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int \langle \tau \rangle^{-1-2\delta} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n, \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \int \langle \tau_1 \rangle^{1+2\delta} |\widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1)|^2 d\tau_1 \right. \\
&\left. \times \langle \tau - |n|^2 + |n_1|^2 - |n_2|^2 \rangle^{-1-2\delta} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_2^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} \|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int \langle \tau_1 \rangle^{1+2\delta} |\widetilde{P_{N_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1)|^2 d\tau_1 \right. \\
&\left. \times \sum_{\substack{n, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n+n_2=n_1 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N, \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle^{1+2\delta}} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

For each fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 = m$ and for each fixed n_1 , we apply Lemma 2.6 (ii) to obtain that the number of tuples $(n, n_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^2$ that also satisfies $\langle n \rangle \sim N$, $\langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2$, and $n + n_2 = n_1$ is at most $O(N_2^{2\delta})$. Thus, continuing with (3.20) gives

$$(3.18) \lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-s} N_2^{-1+32\delta+\alpha} \|P_{N_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \widetilde{w_0}(n, \tau)\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2},$$

and so we can use (3.14), $N^s \lesssim N^{0-} N_2^{s+}$, and sum over dyadic $N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ to obtain the desired bound, given that δ and s are sufficiently small and $\alpha < 1$.

Subcase 2.2: $N \gg N_2$.

In this subcase, we also want to apply Lemma 2.11 on (3.18). However, since $N \gg N_2$, we cannot directly control the summability of the probability of the exceptional set, so that we need to deal with this issue in this subcase.

Note that if we have $\langle \tau_1 \rangle \gg N_2^{10}$ or $\langle \tau_2 \rangle \gg N_2^{10}$, we can argue as in Case 1 to obtain the desired bound, so that we can assume that $\langle \tau_1 \rangle \lesssim N_2^{10}$ and $\langle \tau_2 \rangle \lesssim N_2^{10}$. Also, if we have

$$(\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2) \gg N_2^{10},$$

then by (3.16) we have

$$|\mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2)| \lesssim \frac{N_2^{-4}}{\langle (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}},$$

so that because of this N_2^{-4} gain, we can again use similar steps as in Case 1 to obtain the desired bound. Thus, we can assume that

$$|(\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)| \lesssim N_2^{10},$$

which implies that $||n|^2 - |n_1|^2| \lesssim N_2^{10}$.

We now perform an orthogonality argument. Note that we have $N_1 \sim N \gg N_2$ in this subcase. We decompose the entire domain of n into balls of radius $\sim N_2$ and denote the set of these balls as \mathcal{J} , and we decompose the entire domain of n_1 into balls of radius $\sim N_2$ and denote the set of these balls as \mathcal{J}_1 . Note that for each fixed $J \in \mathcal{J}$, the product $\mathbf{1}_J(n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{J_1}(n_1)$ is non-zero for at most $O(1)$ many $J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$, and we denote the set of these J_1 's as $\mathcal{J}_1(J)$. Thus, (3.18) is then bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N_2^{30\delta} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1(J)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int |\langle n \rangle^s \widetilde{P_J w_0}(n, \tau)| \left| \sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{\overline{g_{n_2}}(\omega)}{\langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ & \times \left. \sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n}} \int \int \widetilde{P_{J_1} v^{(1)}}(n_1, \tau_1) \overline{\chi}(\tau_2) \mathcal{A}\eta(\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, n, n_1, n_2) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right| d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Assume that n is supported in the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |n - k| \lesssim N_2\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, which implies that

$$|n_1 - k| \leq |n_1 - n| + |n - k| \lesssim N_2.$$

We define

$$\ell := n - k \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1 := n_1 - k,$$

so that $|\ell| \lesssim N_2$ and $|\ell_1| \lesssim N_2$. Thus, we have

$$N_2^{10} \gtrsim ||n|^2 - |n_1|^2| = |2k \cdot (\ell - \ell_1) + |\ell|^2 - |\ell_1|^2|,$$

so that

$$|2k \cdot (\ell - \ell_1)| \lesssim N_2^{10}.$$

By Lemma 2.7, as $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ varies, there are at most $O(N_2^{C_2})$ choices of functions of the form $F_k(\ell') = 2k \cdot \ell'$ for some universal constant $C_2 > 0$.

We can now apply Lemma 2.11 as in the previous subcase, and the exceptional set has probability $\lesssim N_2^{C_2+10} \exp(-cN_2^\delta/T^\theta) \leq C \exp(-cN_2^\delta/T^\theta)$ for some universal constants $C, c > 0$. Using similar steps as in (3.20), by (3.21) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in J with (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) &\lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N_2^{-1+32\delta+\alpha} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1(J)} \|P_{J_1} v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \widetilde{P_J} w_0(n, \tau) \right\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N_2^{-1+32\delta+\alpha} \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\
&\lesssim T^{-\theta} \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired, as long as $\alpha < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

(ii) This part follows essentially from part (i) by switching the roles of n_1 (or N_1) and n_2 (or N_2), except for the counting estimate at the end of (3.20). In this part, what we need to estimate is

$$\sum_{\substack{n, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n = n_2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N, \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle^{1+2\delta}},$$

where $n_2 \neq 0$ is fixed. Here, we need to invoke Lemma 2.6 (iii), and the rest of the steps are similar.

(iii) We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $\langle \tau \rangle \gg N_1^{10} N_2^{10}$.

In this case, by Lemma 2.8 with (3.9) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in τ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X} &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-5+20\delta} N_2^{-5+20\delta} N_1^2 N_2^2 N_1^{-1+\alpha} N_2^{-1+\alpha} \\
&\quad \times \sup_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1}} \sup_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} |g_{n_1}(\omega)| |g_{n_2}(\omega)| \int \frac{|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-2\delta} \widetilde{P_N} w(n_1 - n_2, \tau)|}{\langle \tau - |n_1 - n_2|^2 + |n_1|^2 - |n_2|^2 \rangle} d\tau \\
&\lesssim \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-4+20\delta+\alpha} N_2^{-4+20\delta+\alpha} \sup_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1}} \sup_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} |g_{n_1}(\omega)| |g_{n_2}(\omega)|.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the following Gaussian tail bounds:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1}} P(|g_{n_1}| > T^{-\theta} N_1^\delta) &< C \exp\left(-c \frac{N_1^\delta}{T^\theta}\right), \\
\sum_{\substack{n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} P(|g_{n_2}| > T^{-\theta} N_2^\delta) &< C \exp\left(-c \frac{N_2^\delta}{T^\theta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{X} \lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-4+21\delta+\alpha} N_2^{-4+21\delta+\alpha}.$$

Note that either $N \lesssim N_1$ or $N \lesssim N_2$, δ and s can be made sufficiently small, and $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, we can sum over dyadic $N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ to obtain (3.7).

Case 2: $\langle \tau \rangle \lesssim N_1^{10} N_2^{10}$.

In this case, we again let w_0 be a space-time function such that $\widetilde{w}_0(n, \tau) = \langle \tau \rangle^{-3\delta} \widetilde{w}(n, \tau)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} \lesssim & \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{30\delta} N_2^{30\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \left| \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1, \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{g_{n_1}(\omega) \overline{g_{n_2}(\omega)}}{\langle n_1 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle n_2 \rangle^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ & \left. \times \int \int \widehat{\chi}(\tau_1) \overline{\widehat{\chi}}(\tau_2) \eta(\tau, (\tau - |n|^2) - (\tau_1 - |n_1|^2) + (\tau_2 - |n_2|^2)) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right| d\tau \end{aligned}$$

with w_0 satisfying

$$\|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \widetilde{w}_0(n, \tau)\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \leq 1. \quad (3.22)$$

Using the same reduction as in part (i), we decompose the domain $\{\langle \tau \rangle \lesssim N_1^{10} N_2^{10}\}$ into intervals of length $N_1^{-10} N_2^{-10}$, and we can assume that η is constant in τ on each interval. By Lemma 2.11, (3.9), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in τ and n , and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} \lesssim & T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} N_2^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \int |\widetilde{P_N w_0}(n, \tau)| \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1, \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{\langle \tau - |n|^2 + |n_1|^2 - |n_2|^2 \rangle^2} \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ \lesssim & T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} N_2^{-1+31\delta+\alpha} \|\langle \tau \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \widetilde{w}_0(n, \tau)\|_{\ell_n^2 L_\tau^2} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \langle n \rangle \sim N}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n_1 - n_2 = n \\ \langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1, \langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2}} \frac{1}{\langle |n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 \rangle^{1+2\delta}} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-cN_1^\delta N_2^\delta / T^\theta)$. For each fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|n|^2 - |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 = m$, we obtain from Lemma 2.6 (i) that the number of tuples $(n, n_1, n_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^3$ that also satisfies $\langle n \rangle \sim N$, $\langle n_1 \rangle \sim N_1$, $\langle n_2 \rangle \sim N_2$, $n + n_2 = n_1$, and $n, n_2 \neq 0$ is at most $O(N_1 N_2 \max\{N_1^\delta, N_2^\delta\})$. Thus, noticing that either $N \lesssim N_1$ or $N \lesssim N_2$, we continue with (3.23), use (3.22), and sum over dyadic $N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{X} \lesssim T^{-\theta} \sum_{\substack{N, N_1, N_2 \geq 1 \\ \text{dyadic}}} N^s N_1^{-\frac{1}{2}+32\delta+\alpha} N_2^{-\frac{1}{2}+32\delta+\alpha} \lesssim T^{-\theta},$$

as long as s and δ are sufficiently small and $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Remark 3.3. In part (ii) of Proposition 3.2, the assumption that $v^{(2)}$ has mean zero is important for us to obtain the desired estimate. Without this assumption, i.e. when $v^{(2)}$ is allowed to be a non-zero constant, the LHS of (3.6) essentially becomes $\|\chi \cdot z_M\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}$, which almost surely does not converge as $M \rightarrow \infty$ unless $\alpha < 0$.

The following corollary will be useful in proving the convergence of the solutions we constructed.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $s, \delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Let $0 < T \leq 1$. The following statements hold true outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$.*

(i) *Let $\alpha < 1$ and let $v^{(1)}$ be a deterministic function. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(T, \varepsilon, \|v^{(1)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq N_0$,*

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(v^{(1)}(\overline{\chi \cdot z_{M_1} - \chi \cdot z_{M_2}}))\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} < \varepsilon.$$

(ii) *Let $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $v^{(2)}$ be a deterministic function with mean zero. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(T, \varepsilon, \|v^{(2)}\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq N_0$,*

$$\|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi((\chi \cdot z_{M_1} - \chi \cdot z_{M_2})\overline{v^{(2)}})\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} < \varepsilon.$$

(iii) *Let $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 = N_0(T, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq N_0$,*

$$\|P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\chi \cdot z_{M_1}|^2 - |\chi \cdot z_{M_2}|^2))\|_{X^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.2 with minor modifications. Thus, we omit details. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2, the almost sure local well-posedness result of the renormalized quadratic NLS (1.6). We fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ throughout this section. As mentioned in Subsection 1.2, we separately analyze the zero frequency and the non-zero frequencies of u_M .

4.1. The non-zero frequencies of u_M . Recall the following first order expansion:

$$P_{\neq 0}u_M = z_M + v_M.$$

Here, z_M is the random linear solution as in (1.8) and the remainder term v_M satisfies (1.9), which we can write in the following Duhamel formulation:

$$v_M(t) = \Gamma_1[v_M](t) := -i\mathcal{I}_\chi(P_{\neq 0}(|z_M + v_M|^2))(t), \quad (4.1)$$

where $0 < t \leq 1$ and \mathcal{I}_χ is the Duhamel operator as defined in (2.1) with χ being a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$. Note that both z_M and v_M have no frequency zero terms, and we drop the symbol $P_{\neq 0}$ below for simplicity. Our main goal is to show that v_M converges to some limiting space-time function v in $X_T^{s, b} \subset C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^2))$ outside an exceptional set of small probability.

Let $s, \delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Let φ be an arbitrary smooth function with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$, and let $\varphi_T(t) = \varphi(t/T)$ for $0 < T \leq 1$. By the definition of $X_T^{s,b}$ -norm, (4.1), Proposition 3.1, and Proposition 3.2, we have that for every $0 < T \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma_1[v_M]\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} &\leq \|P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\chi \cdot z_M + \varphi_T \cdot v_M|^2))\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\varphi_T \cdot v_M|^2)\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\varphi_T \cdot v_M \overline{\chi \cdot z_M})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\quad + \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\chi \cdot z_M \overline{\varphi_T \cdot v_M})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + \|P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\chi \cdot z_M|^2))\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\delta-\theta} (\|\varphi_T \cdot v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}}^2 + 2\|\varphi_T \cdot v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + 1), \end{aligned}$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$. Taking the infimum over φ , we obtain

$$\|\Gamma_1[v_M]\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \lesssim T^{\delta/2} (\|v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + 1)^2.$$

By a standard contraction argument, there exists $R > 0$ and a sufficiently small $T_0 = T_0(R) > 0$ such that

$$\|v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq R \tag{4.2}$$

for all $0 < T \leq T_0$ and uniformly in $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also, for $0 < T \leq T_0$, the following holds true outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$; given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_0 = M_0(T, \varepsilon, R) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq M_0$, we obtain the following difference estimate by using the definition of $X_T^{s,b}$ -norm, (4.1), Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2, Corollary 3.4, and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{M_1} - v_{M_2}\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} &\leq \|P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\chi \cdot z_{M_1} + \varphi_T \cdot v_{M_1}|^2 - |\chi \cdot z_{M_2} + \varphi_T \cdot v_{M_2}|^2))\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\varphi_T \cdot v_{M_1}|^2 - |\varphi_T \cdot v_{M_2}|^2)\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\quad + \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\varphi_T \cdot v_{M_1} \overline{\chi \cdot z_{M_1}} - \varphi_T \cdot v_{M_2} \overline{\chi \cdot z_{M_2}})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\quad + \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\chi \cdot z_{M_1} \overline{\varphi_T \cdot v_{M_1}} - \chi \cdot z_{M_2} \overline{\varphi_T \cdot v_{M_2}})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\quad + \|P_{\neq 0}(\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(|\chi \cdot z_{M_1}|^2 - |\chi \cdot z_{M_2}|^2))\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \\ &\lesssim T^{\delta-\theta} (R+1) \|v_{M_1} - v_{M_2}\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} + (R+1)\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we take the infimum over φ . Thus, by possibly shrinking the value of $T_0 > 0$, we have that for all $0 < T \leq T_0$,

$$\|v_{M_1} - v_{M_2}\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}$$

as $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that v_M converges to some limiting space-time function v in $X_T^{s,b}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

4.2. The zeroth frequency of u_M . It remains to show that the zero frequency of u_M , denoted by $P_0 u_M$, converges in $C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})$ outside an exceptional set of small probability.

We recall $P_0 u_M$ satisfies (1.12), which we can write in the following Duhamel formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0 u_M(t) &= \Gamma_2[P_0 u_M](t) \\
&:= g_0(\omega) - i \int_0^t P_0(|P_0 u_M(t') + v_M(t')|^2 + (P_0 u_M(t') + v_M(t'))\overline{z_M}(t')) \\
&\quad + \overline{(P_0 u_M(t') + v_M(t'))} z_M(t') + (|z_M(t')|^2 - \sigma_M)) dt' \\
&= g_0(\omega) - i \int_0^t |P_0 u_M(t')|^2 dt' - i \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_x v_M(t', n)|^2 dt' \\
&\quad - i \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_x v_M(t', n) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x z_M}(t', n) dt' \\
&\quad - i \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_x z_M(t', n) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x v_M}(t', n) dt' \\
&\quad - i \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_x z_M(t', n)|^2 - \sigma_M \right) dt',
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where we used the fact that z_M and v_M have no frequency zero terms.

We now deal with the four integrals on the right-hand side of (4.4) that involve v_M and z_M . Let $s, \delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Note that we have the following bound:

$$\left\| \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_x v_M(t', n)|^2 dt' \right\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \leq T \|v_M\|_{C([-T, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2))}^2 \leq T \|v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}}^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Let φ be an arbitrary smooth function with $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$, and let $\varphi_T(t) = \varphi(t/T)$ for $0 < T \leq 1$. Recall that \mathcal{I}_χ is the Duhamel operator as define in (2.1) with χ being a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[-1, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of $[-2, 2]$. By Proposition 3.2 (i) and (ii), we obtain the following bounds outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$ with $C, c > 0$ being constants and $0 < \theta \ll \delta$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_x v_M(t', n) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x z_M}(t', n) dt' \right\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \\
&\lesssim \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\varphi_T \cdot v_M \overline{\chi \cdot z_M})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\
&\lesssim T^{\delta - \theta} \|v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_x z_M(t', n) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x v_M}(t', n) dt' \right\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \\
&\lesssim \|\varphi_T \cdot \mathcal{I}_\chi(\chi \cdot z_M \overline{\varphi_T \cdot v_M})\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}} \\
&\lesssim T^{\delta - \theta} \|v_M\|_{X_T^{s, \frac{1}{2} + \delta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we took the infimum over φ in both (4.6) and (4.7). We also recall from (1.13) that $\sigma_M = \mathbb{E}|z_M|^2$, so that by Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_x z_M(t', n)|^2 - \sigma_M \right) dt' \right\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} &\leq T \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |n| \leq M}} \frac{|g_n(\omega)|^2 - 1}{\langle n \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} \right| \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\theta} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$. Thus, by (4.4), the Gaussian tail bound for g_0 , (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), and (4.2), we have

$$\|\Gamma_2[P_0 u_M]\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \lesssim T^{\delta-\theta} (\|P_0 u_M\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})}^2 + R^2 + R + 1).$$

By a standard contraction argument, there exists $R' > 0$ such that, by possibly shrinking the value of T_0 in the previous subsection,

$$\|P_0 u_M\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \leq R' \quad (4.9)$$

for any $0 < T \leq T_0$ and uniformly in $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, for $0 < T \leq T_0$, the following holds true outside an exceptional set of probability $\leq C \exp(-\frac{c}{T^\theta})$; given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_0 = M_0(T, \varepsilon, R) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $M_1 \geq M_2 \geq M_0$, we obtain the following difference estimate by (4.4), (4.9), (4.3), (4.2), Corollary 3.4, and Lemma 2.10:

$$\|P_0 u_{M_1} - P_0 u_{M_2}\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \lesssim T R' \|P_0 u_{M_1} - P_0 u_{M_2}\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} + C R \varepsilon.$$

Thus, by possibly shrinking the value of $T_0 > 0$, we have that for all $0 < T \leq T_0$,

$$\|P_0 u_{M_1} - P_0 u_{M_2}\|_{C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})} \rightarrow 0$$

as $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that $P_0 u_M$ converges to some limiting function $P_0 u$ in $C([-T, T]; \mathbb{C})$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6, the non-convergence of the second iterate term $z_M^{(2)}$ as defined in (1.16).

5.1. The zeroth frequency of the second iterate term. We first consider the zero frequency of $z_M^{(2)}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $0 < |k| \leq M$, we define

$$X_k := \frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2 - 1}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}}.$$

By computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0) &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} \frac{|g_k(\omega)|^2 t}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} - \sigma_M t \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} X_k t + (C_M - \sigma_M) t, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_M = \sum_{0 < |k| \leq M} \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}}$. Note that for each k , $\mathbb{E}[X_k] = 0$, so that by independence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2] = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} \mathbb{E}[|X_k|^2] t^2 + |C_M - \sigma_M|^2 t^2 \geq \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} \frac{t^2}{\langle k \rangle^{4-4\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.1)$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$ as long as $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $t \neq 0$.

We now show that the sequence of random variables $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law. Assume that $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in law, so that the sequence of random variables $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight. Note that we can argue as in Lemma 2.10 to deduce that $X_k \in \mathcal{H}_2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, so that $\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0) \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq 2}$ for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by the Paley-Zygmund inequality and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$P\left(|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2 > \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2]}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2])^2}{\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^4]} \geq \frac{1}{324}. \quad (5.2)$$

By tightness, we know that there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P(|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)| > A) < \frac{1}{324}. \quad (5.3)$$

Due to (5.2) and (5.3), we must have $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)|^2] \leq 2A^2$ for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$. which is a contradiction to (5.1). Therefore, the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, 0)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law, and so we finish our proof in this case.

5.2. The non-zero frequencies of the second iterate term. We now consider the non-zero frequencies of $z_M^{(2)}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n) &= \int_0^t e^{-i(t-t')|n|^2} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M \\ 0 < |n+k| \leq M}} e^{-it'|n+k|^2 + it'|k|^2} \frac{g_{n+k}(\omega) \overline{g_k(\omega)}}{\langle n+k \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle k \rangle^{1-\alpha}} dt' \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M \\ 0 < |n+k| \leq M}} \frac{g_{n+k}(\omega) \overline{g_k(\omega)}}{\langle n+k \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle k \rangle^{1-\alpha}} e^{-it|n|^2} \frac{1 - e^{-2itn \cdot k}}{2in \cdot k}. \end{aligned}$$

By independence, we can compute that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)|^2] = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M \\ 0 < |n+k| \leq M}} \frac{1}{\langle n+k \rangle^{2-2\alpha} \langle k \rangle^{2-2\alpha}} \frac{2 \sin(tn \cdot k)^2}{|n \cdot k|^2}. \quad (5.4)$$

Consider the case when $n \cdot k = 0$, so that (5.4) is bounded from below (up to some constant depending only on n and t) by

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ n \cdot k = 0 \\ 0 < |k| \leq M}} \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle^{4-4\alpha}}. \quad (5.5)$$

We write $n = (n_1, n_2)$. Note that if either $n_1 = 0$ or $n_2 = 0$, then we can easily see that (5.5) diverges as $M \rightarrow \infty$ when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$. If $n_1 \neq 0$ and $n_2 \neq 0$, we note that all k 's that satisfy $n \cdot k = 0$ are of the form $k = ak'$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$k' = \left(-\frac{n_2}{\gcd(n_1, n_2)}, \frac{n_1}{\gcd(n_1, n_2)} \right).$$

Thus, (5.5) is bounded below by

$$\sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 < |a| \leq M/|k'|}} \frac{1}{|a|^{4-4\alpha} \langle k' \rangle^{4-4\alpha}},$$

which increases to infinity as $M \rightarrow \infty$ when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$. This shows that $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)|^2] \rightarrow \infty$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Using the same reasoning as in Subsection 5.1, we conclude that the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)\}_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in law, and so we finish our proof.

Remark 5.1. In the proof above, although we only focused on the case when $n \cdot k = 0$, we point out that the range $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$ for the divergence of $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}_x z_M^{(2)}(t, n)|^2]$ is sharp. More precisely, suppose that we have $\alpha < \frac{3}{4}$. Note that the RHS of (5.4) converges as $M \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if the following integral converges:

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2: |x| \leq M\}} \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{4-4\alpha}} \frac{\sin(tn \cdot x)^2}{|n \cdot x|^2} dx. \quad (5.6)$$

By using a change of variable, we note that the convergence of (5.6) is equivalent to the convergence of the following term:

$$\int_0^M \int_0^M \frac{1}{(1 + |y_1|^2 + |y_2|^2)^{2-2\alpha}} \frac{\sin(ty_1)^2}{|y_1|^2} dy_1 dy_2,$$

which can easily be seen to converge when $\alpha < \frac{3}{4}$.

Remark 5.2. The method in the above proofs can also be used to show ill-posedness issues of the quadratic NLS with nonlinearity u^2 or \bar{u}^2 with random initial data (1.2). More precisely, with nonlinearity u^2 , one can show that every Fourier coefficient of the second iterate term does not converge in law when $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{2}$. The same result applies to nonlinearity \bar{u}^2 . Note that in these cases, the difference of the ranges for α is mainly due to the difference of the phase functions corresponding to these nonlinearities (i.e. $2n \cdot n_2$ for $|u|^2$, $2n_1 \cdot n_2$ for u^2 , and $|n|^2 + |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2$ for \bar{u}^2).

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor, Tadahiro Oh, for suggesting this problem and for his support throughout the entire work. The author is also grateful to Yuzhao Wang, Guangqu Zheng, and Younes Zine for helpful suggestions and discussions. R.L. was supported by the European Research Council (grant no. 864138 ‘‘SingStochDispDyn’’).

REFERENCES

- [1] . Benyi, T. Oh, O. Pocovnicu, *Higher order expansions for the probabilistic local Cauchy theory of the cubic nonlinear Schrodinger equation on \mathbb{R}^3* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B 6 (2019), 114–160.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, I: Schrodinger equations*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3 (1993), 107–156.

- [3] J. Bourgain, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Hyperbolic equations and frequency interactions (Park City, UT, 1995), 3–157, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [4] J. Bourgain, *Invariant measures for the 2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., 176 (1996), no. 2, 421–445.
- [5] J. Bourgain, *Invariant measures for the Gross-Piatevskii equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 76 (1997), no. 8, 649–702.
- [6] N. Burq, N. Tzvetkov, *Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. I. Local theory*, Invent. Math., 173 (2008), no. 3, 449–475.
- [7] J. Colliander, T. Oh, *Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below $\ell^2(\mathbb{T})$* , Duke Math. J., 161 (2012), no. 3, 367–414.
- [8] G. Da Prato, A. Debussche, *Strong solutions to the stochastic quantization equations*, Ann. Probab., 31 (2003), no. 4, 1900–1916.
- [9] Y. Deng, A. Nahmod, H. Yue, *Optimal local well-posedness for the periodic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 384 (2021), no. 2, 1061–1107.
- [10] Y. Deng, A. Nahmod, H. Yue, *Invariant Gibbs measures and global strong solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in dimension two*, arXiv:1910.08492 [math.AP].
- [11] Y. Deng, A. Nahmod, H. Yue, *Random tensors, propagation of randomness, and nonlinear dispersive equations*, Invent. math. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-021-01084-8>
- [12] R. Durrett, *Probability-theory and examples*, Fifth edition. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019. xii+419 pp.
- [13] C. Fan, Y. Ou, G. Staffilani, H. Wang, *2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random data on irrational tori*, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput. 9 (2021), no. 1, 142–206.
- [14] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi, G. Velo, *On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system*, J. Funct. Anal., 151 (1997), 384–436.
- [15] M. Gubinelli, H. Koch, T. Oh, *Paracontrolled approach to the three-dimensional stochastic nonlinear wave equation with quadratic nonlinearity*, arXiv:1811.07808 [math.AP]
- [16] M. Hairer, *Solving the KPZ equation*, Ann. of Math. 178 (2013), no. 2, 559–664.
- [17] M. Hairer, *A theory of regularity structures*, Invent. Math. 198 (2014), no. 2, 269–504.
- [18] M. Hairer, *Singular stochastic PDEs*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians-Seoul 2014. Vol. 1, 685–709, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014.
- [19] M. Hairer, *Introduction to regularity structures*, Braz. J. Probab. Stat. 29 (2015), no. 2, 175–210.
- [20] M. Hoshino, *KPZ equation with fractional derivatives of white noise*, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput. 4 (2016), no. 4, 827–890.
- [21] N. Kishimoto, *A remark on norm inflation for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 18 (2019), no. 3, 1375–1402.
- [22] S. Klainerman, M. Machedon, *Space-time estimates for null forms and the local existence theorem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 46 (1993), 1221–1268.
- [23] R. Liu, T. Oh, *Sharp local well-posedness of the two-dimensional periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a quadratic nonlinearity $|u|^2$* , arXiv:2203.15389 [math.AP].
- [24] H.P. McKean, *Statistical mechanics of nonlinear wave equations. IV. Cubic Schrödinger*, Comm. Math. Phys., 168 (1995), no. 3, 479–491. *Erratum: Statistical mechanics of nonlinear wave equations. IV. Cubic Schrödinger*, Comm. Math. Phys., 173 (1995), no. 3, 675.
- [25] E. Nelson, *A quartic interaction in two dimensions*, 1966 Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles (Proc. Conf., Dedham, Mass., 1965) pp. 69–73 M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- [26] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, *Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus. From Stein’s method to universality*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 192. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. xiv+239 pp. ISBN: 978-1-107-01777-1.
- [27] T. Oh, M. Okamoto, *Comparing the stochastic nonlinear wave and heat equations: a case study*, Electron. J. Probab. 26 (2021), Paper No. 9, 44 pp.
- [28] T. Oh, O. Pocovnicu, N. Tzvetkov, *Probabilistic local well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear wave equation in negative Sobolev spaces*, to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble).
- [29] B. Simon, *The $P(\varphi)_2$ Euclidean (quantum) field theory*, Princeton Series in Physics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974. xx+392 pp.
- [30] T. Tao, *Nonlinear dispersive equations. Local and global analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 106. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. xvi+373 pp.

- [31] L. Thomann, N. Tzvetkov, *Gibbs measure for the periodic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, *Nonlinearity* 23 (2010), no. 11, 2771–2791.

RUOYUAN LIU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, AND THE MAXWELL INSTITUTE FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, THE KING'S BUILDINGS, PETER GUTHRIE TAIT ROAD, EDINBURGH, EH9 3FD, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: ruoyuan.liu@ed.ac.uk