

The geometry of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel mapping

Richard Cushman¹

This paper details the geometry of the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel mapping, which regularizes the Hamiltonian of the Kepler problem. It leans heavily on the paper [2] by J.-C. van der Meer. We use the theory of differential spaces, see [1, chpt VII §3] or [4].

1 A \mathbb{T}^2 action on $T\mathbb{R}^4$

Let $T\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^8$ be the tangent bundle of \mathbb{R}^4 with coordinates (q, p) and standard symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^4 dq_i \wedge dp_i$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^4 .

Consider a Hamiltonian action of the 2-torus \mathbb{T}^2 on $T\mathbb{R}^4$, which is generated by the flow $\varphi_t^{H_2}$ of the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_2} of the harmonic oscillator

$$H_2(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, q \rangle)$$

and the flow φ_s^Ξ of the Hamiltonian vector field X_Ξ of the Hamiltonian

$$\Xi(q, p) = q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 + q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3.$$

The momentum mapping of this \mathbb{T}^2 action is

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} : (q, p) \mapsto (H_2(q, p), \Xi(q, p)). \quad (1)$$

For every (h, ξ) in the image of \mathcal{J} we determine the \mathbb{T}^2 reduced space $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, \xi)/\mathbb{T}^2$. We do this in stages. First we find the reduced space $\Xi^{-1}(\xi)/S^1$ of the S^1 action generated by φ_s^Ξ . The algebra of polynomials on \mathbb{R}^8 , which are invariant under the action φ_s^Ξ , is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &= q_1^2 + q_2^2, & \pi_2 &= q_3^2 + q_4^2, & \pi_3 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2, \\ \pi_4 &= p_3^2 + p_4^2, & \pi_5 &= q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2, & \pi_6 &= q_3 p_3 + q_4 p_4, \\ \pi_7 &= q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1, & \pi_8 &= q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3, & \pi_9 &= q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3, \\ \pi_{10} &= q_1 q_3 + q_2 q_4, & \pi_{11} &= p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3, & \pi_{12} &= p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4, \\ \pi_{13} &= q_1 p_4 - q_2 p_3, & \pi_{14} &= q_1 p_3 + q_2 p_4, & \pi_{15} &= q_4 p_1 - q_3 p_2, \\ \pi_{16} &= q_3 p_1 + q_4 p_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The image of the orbit map

$$\Pi : \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{16} : (q, p) \mapsto (\pi_1(q, p), \dots, \pi_{16}(q, p))$$

¹e-mail: r.h.cushman@gmail.com

is the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 of the S^1 action φ_s^Ξ , which is a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^{16} that will be explicitly described below. \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 is a locally compact subcartesian differential space with differential structure $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$, where $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ if and only if $\Pi^* f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8)^{S^1}$, the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^8 that are invariant under the S^1 action φ_s^Ξ .

We now show that $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ has a Poisson structure. The quadratic polynomials π_1, \dots, π_{16} generate a Poisson subalgebra of the Poisson algebra of quadratic polynomials \mathcal{Q} on $T\mathbb{R}^4$, using the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_\mathcal{Q}$ associated to the symplectic form ω , that is, for every $f, g \in \mathcal{Q}$ one has $\{f, g\}_\mathcal{Q}(q, p) = \omega(q, p)(X_g(q, p), X_f(q, p))$ for every $(q, p) \in T\mathbb{R}^4$. Since every smooth function on the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 is a smooth function of π_1, \dots, π_{16} , the structure matrix $\mathcal{W}_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)}$ of the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_{\mathbb{R}^8/S^1} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{16} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \pi_1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \pi_j} \{\pi_i, \pi_j\}_\mathcal{Q}$$

for $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ is $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{Q}$.

Next we find an explicit description of the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 as a semialgebraic variety in \mathbb{R}^{16} . For this we need a new set of generators of the algebra of invariant polynomials. Let

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= -(\pi_{10} + \pi_{12}) = -(q_1 q_3 + q_2 q_4 + p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_4) \\ K_2 &= -(\pi_9 + \pi_{11}) = -(q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3 + p_1 p_4 - p_2 p_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3a)$$

$$K_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_2 + \pi_4 - \pi_1 - \pi_3) = \frac{1}{2}(q_3^2 + q_4^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \pi_{15} - \pi_{13} = q_4 p_1 - q_3 p_2 + q_2 p_3 - q_1 p_4 \\ L_2 &= \pi_{14} - \pi_{16} = q_1 p_3 + q_2 p_4 - q_3 p_1 - q_4 p_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 &= \pi_8 - \pi_7 = q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3 + q_2 p_1 - q_1 p_2 \\ H_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \pi_3 + \pi_4) = \frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2 + p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2) \\ \Xi &= \pi_7 + \pi_8 = q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 + q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3 \end{aligned} \quad (3c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= -(\pi_5 + \pi_6) = -(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3 + q_4 p_4) \\ U_2 &= \pi_{10} - \pi_{12} = q_1 q_3 + q_2 q_4 - p_1 p_3 - p_2 p_4 \end{aligned} \quad (3d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_3 &= \pi_9 - \pi_{11} = q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3 + p_2 p_3 - p_1 p_4 \\ U_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_1 - \pi_2 + \pi_4 - \pi_3) = \frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 - q_4^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2) \\ V_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_1 + \pi_2 - \pi_3 - \pi_4) = \frac{1}{2}(q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 + q_4^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2) \\ V_2 &= \pi_{14} + \pi_{16} = q_1 p_3 + q_2 p_4 + q_3 p_1 + q_4 p_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 &= \pi_{13} + \pi_{15} = q_1 p_4 - q_2 p_3 + q_4 p_1 - q_3 p_2 \\ V_4 &= \pi_5 - \pi_6 = q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3 - q_4 p_4. \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\mathbb{R}^{16} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{16} : (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{16}) \mapsto (K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V)$$

is linear and invertible with inverse

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(H_2 - K_3 + U_4 + V_1) & \pi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + K_3 - U_4 + V_1) \\
\pi_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(H_2 - K_3 - U_4 - V_1) & \pi_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + K_3 + U_4 - V_1) \\
\pi_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(V_4 - U_1) & \pi_6 &= -\frac{1}{2}(U_1 + V_4) & \pi_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Xi - L_3) \\
\pi_8 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Xi + L_3) & \pi_9 &= \frac{1}{2}(U_3 - K_2) & \pi_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}(U_2 - K_1) \\
\pi_{11} &= -\frac{1}{2}(U_3 - K_2) & \pi_{12} &= -\frac{1}{2}(U_2 + K_1) & \pi_{13} &= \frac{1}{2}(V_3 - L_1) \\
\pi_{14} &= \frac{1}{2}(V_2 + L_2) & \pi_{15} &= \frac{1}{2}(V_3 + L_1) & \pi_{16} &= \frac{1}{2}(V_2 - L_2).
\end{aligned}$$

So $(K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V)$ is another set of generators of the algebra of polynomials on \mathbb{R}^8 , which are invariant under the S^1 action φ_s^Ξ . Instead of giving the structure matrix of the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ we list the Poisson vector fields Y_G on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 induced from the Hamiltonian vector fields X_G on $(T\mathbb{R}^4, \omega)$, where G is one of the coordinate functions $(K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V)$ listed in (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{K_1} &= -2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} + 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} - 2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} \\
&\quad - 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} - 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} + 2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} \\
Y_{K_2} &= 2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} + 2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} - 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} \\
&\quad - 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} - 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} + 2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} \\
Y_{K_3} &= -2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} + 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} - 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} + 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} \\
&\quad - 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} + 2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{L_1} &= -2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} + 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} - 2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} \\
&\quad - 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} + 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} + 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{L_2} &= 2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} + 2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} - 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} \\
&\quad + 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} - 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} + 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} - 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{L_3} &= -2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} + 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} - 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} + 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} \\
&\quad - 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} - 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} + 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} \\
Y_{H_2} &= 2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} + 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} + 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} \\
&\quad - 2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} - 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} - 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} - 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{\Xi} &= 0 \\
Y_{U_1} &= 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} + 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} + 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} - 2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad + 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} + 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} + 2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} \\
Y_{U_2} &= -2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} - 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad - 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} - 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} \\
Y_{U_3} &= -2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} + 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} - 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad - 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} - 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} - 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{U_4} &= -2U_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} - 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad - 2K_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_1} + 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} + 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} - 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{V_1} &= 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad + 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} + 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} + 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} + 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{V_2} &= -2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_1} - 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} + 2U_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad - 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_2} - 2K_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} + 2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} - 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{V_3} &= -2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_2} + 2V_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} - 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_3} + 2U_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad + 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_3} - 2K_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} - 2L_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3} + 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_4} \\
Y_{V_4} &= -2V_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial K_3} - 2V_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} + 2V_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial L_2} + 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial H_2} \\
&\quad + 2H_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial U_4} - 2U_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_1} + 2L_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_2} - 2L_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial V_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Table 1. List of Hamiltonian vector fields of coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 induced from $(T\mathbb{R}^4, \omega)$.

The orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 of this S^1 action is the 7 dimensional semialgebraic variety in \mathbb{R}^{16} with coordinates $(K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle U, U \rangle &= U_1^2 + U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 = H_2^2 - \Xi^2 \geq 0, \quad H_2 \geq 0 \\
\langle V, V \rangle &= V_1^2 + V_2^2 + V_3^2 + V_4^2 = H_2^2 - \Xi^2 \geq 0, \\
\langle U, V \rangle &= U_1V_1 + U_2V_2 + U_3V_3 + U_4V_4 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2V_1 - U_1V_2 &= L_1\Xi - K_1H_2, \\
U_3V_1 - U_1V_3 &= L_2\Xi - K_2H_2, \\
U_4V_1 - U_1V_4 &= L_3\Xi - K_3H_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_4V_3 - U_3V_4 &= K_1\Xi - L_1H_2, \\
U_2V_4 - U_4V_2 &= K_2\Xi - L_2H_2, \\
U_3V_2 - U_2V_3 &= K_3\Xi - L_3H_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4c}$$

The orbit map of the S^1 action φ_s^Ξ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Pi} : \mathbb{R}^8 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{16} : \\
(q, p) &\mapsto (K(q, p), L(q, p), H_2(q, p), \Xi(q, p); U(q, p), V(q, p)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

whose image is the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 (4). Since the S^1 action generated by φ_s^Ξ is linear, Schwartz' theorem [3] shows that every smooth S^1 invariant function on \mathbb{R}^8 is a smooth function of the invariant polynomials K_j , L_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$; H_2 , Ξ ; and U_i , V_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Thus $\Xi^{-1}(\xi)/S^1$ is defined by setting $\Xi = \xi$ in the relations (4a), (4b), and (4c). This completes the first stage of studying the \mathbb{T}^2 action.

The second stage in determining $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, \xi)/\mathbb{T}^2$ begins by looking at the harmonic oscillator vector field $X_{H_2} = \sum_{i=1}^4 (p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i})$ on $T\mathbb{R}^4$. X_{H_2} induces the vector field

$$Y_{H_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^4 (V_i \frac{\partial}{\partial U_i} - U_i \frac{\partial}{\partial V_i}) \tag{6}$$

on \mathbb{R}^{16} , since

$$L_{X_{H_2}} H_2 = L_{X_{H_2}} \Xi = L_{X_{H_2}} K_j = L_{X_{H_2}} L_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3$$

and

$$L_{X_{H_2}} U_i = 2V_i \quad L_{X_{H_2}} V_i = -2U_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

$L_{X_{H_2}}$ leaves invariant the ideal of polynomials, whose zeroes define the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 , see equation (4). The induced vector field Y_{H_2} is a derivation of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$, each of whose integral curves is the image of an integral curve of X_{H_2} on \mathbb{R}^8 under the orbit map Π . The integral curves of Y_{H_2} are defined for all time, since X_{H_2} is a complete vector field. Consequently, Y_{H_2} is a complete vector field on $\mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{16}$. Its flow is the restriction to \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 of the flow of the vector field Y_{H_2} on \mathbb{R}^{16} , given by

$$\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}(K, L, \Xi, H_2; U, V) = (0, 0, 0, 0; 2U \cos u + 2V \sin u, -2U \sin u + 2V \cos u).$$

This defines a smooth S^1 action on the subcartesian space $\mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{16}$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$ the polynomials K_j , L_j , Ξ , and H_2 on \mathbb{R}^{16} generate the algebra of polynomials, which are invariant under the S^1 action $\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}$. Thus the restriction of the preceding polynomials to the orbit space \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 generate the algebra

$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)^{S^1}$ of smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 , which are invariant under the flow $\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}|(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ of the vector field $Y_{H_2}|(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$.

We now determine the orbit space of the S^1 action on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 generated by $\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}|(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$. Substituting the equations (4a), (4b) and (4c) into the identity

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (U_i V_j - U_j V_i)^2 + \langle U, V \rangle^2 = \langle U, U \rangle \langle V, V \rangle \quad (7)$$

gives the identity

$$(H_2^2 - \Xi^2)^2 = (K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2 + L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2)(H_2^2 + \Xi^2) - 4(K_1 L_1 + K_2 L_2 + K_3 L_3) \Xi H_2,$$

which holds if

$$0 \leq K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2 + L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 = H_2^2 + \Xi^2, \quad 0 \leq |\Xi| \leq H_2 \quad (8a)$$

$$K_1 L_1 + K_2 L_2 + K_3 L_3 = \Xi H_2. \quad (8b)$$

Using equation (3), a calculation shows that equations (8a) and (8b) indeed hold. Adding and subtracting $\frac{1}{2}$ times equation (8b) from $\frac{1}{4}$ times equation (8a) gives

$$\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 = \frac{1}{4}(H_2 + \Xi)^2, \quad 0 \leq |\Xi| \leq H_2 \quad (9a)$$

$$\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2 = \frac{1}{4}(H_2 - \Xi)^2, \quad (9b)$$

where $\xi_j = \frac{1}{2}(K_j + L_j)$ and $\eta_j = \frac{1}{2}(K_j - L_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Equations (9a) and (9b) define the orbit space $(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)/S^1$ of the S^1 action $\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}|(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 . The orbit space $(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)/S^1$ is the orbit space $\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2$ of the \mathbb{T}^2 action on \mathbb{R}^8 generated by φ_s^Ξ and $\varphi_t^{H_2}$. The orbit map of the S^1 action $\varphi_u^{Y_{H_2}}|(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)$ on \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 induced from the S^1 action $\varphi_t^{H_2}$ on \mathbb{R}^8 is

$$\wp : (\mathbb{R}^8/S^1, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/S^1)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2)), \quad (10)$$

which is the restriction to \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 of the smooth map

$$\mathbb{R}^{16} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8 : (K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V) \mapsto (\xi, \eta, H_2, \Xi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(K + L), \frac{1}{2}(K - L), H_2, \Xi\right),$$

and thus is a smooth mapping of locally compact subcartesian differential spaces.

From equation (4a) it follows that the range of the momentum map \mathcal{J} (1) of the \mathbb{T}^2 action on \mathbb{R}^8 is the closed wedge $W = \{(h, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq |\xi| \leq h\}$. When $(h, \xi) \in \text{int } W$, the reduced space $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, \xi)/\mathbb{T}^2$, defined by equations (9a) and (9b) with $H_2 = h$ and $\Xi = \xi$, is diffeomorphic to $S_{\frac{1}{2}(h+\xi)}^2 \times S_{\frac{1}{2}(h-\xi)}^2$. When $(h, \xi) \in \partial W \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, that is $\pm \xi = h > 0$, the reduced space $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, \pm h)/\mathbb{T}^2$ is diffeomorphic to S_h^2 . When $(h, \xi) = (0, 0)$ the reduced space $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(0, 0)/\mathbb{T}^2$ is the point $(0, 0) \in T\mathbb{R}^4$.

Since the momentum map \mathcal{J} (1) is invariant under the S^1 action φ_s^Ξ , it induces a smooth map

$$J : \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{16} \rightarrow W \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} : (K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V) \mapsto (H_2, \Xi), \quad (11)$$

which is surjective. We now determine the geometry of the fibration defined by J . Observe that $J = j \circ \varphi$, where

$$j : \mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow W \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} : (\xi, \eta, H_2, \Xi) \mapsto (H_2, \Xi)$$

is induced by the momentum mapping of the \mathbb{T}^2 action on $T\mathbb{R}^4$. Since $\text{int } W$ is simply connected, the fibration

$$J|_{J^{-1}(\text{int } W)} : J^{-1}(\text{int } W) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \rightarrow \text{int } W \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}$$

is trivial. Hence for each $(h, \xi) \in \text{int } W$ the fiber $J^{-1}(h, \xi)$ is diffeomorphic to the fiber $J^{-1}(h, 0)$, where $(h, 0) \in \text{int } W$. The fiber $J^{-1}(h, 0)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, U \rangle &= h^2 = \langle V, V \rangle, \quad \langle U, V \rangle = 0, \quad h > 0 \\ -h^{-1}(U_2V_1 - U_1V_2) &= K_1 & -h^{-1}(U_4V_3 - U_3V_4) &= L_1 \\ -h^{-1}(U_3V_1 - U_1V_3) &= K_2 & -h^{-1}(U_2V_4 - U_4V_2) &= L_2 \\ -h^{-1}(U_4V_1 - U_1V_4) &= K_3 & -h^{-1}(U_3V_2 - U_2V_3) &= L_3. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence $J^{-1}(h, 0)$ is diffeomorphic to

$$M_{h,0} = \{(U, V) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \langle U, U \rangle = h^2 = \langle V, V \rangle \ \& \ \langle U, V \rangle = 0\},$$

because $J^{-1}(h, 0)$ is the graph of the smooth mapping

$$M_{h,0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8 : (U, V) \mapsto (K(U, V), L(U, V), h, 0),$$

where $(U, V) \mapsto K(U, V)$ and $(U, V) \mapsto L(U, V)$ are smooth functions defined by equation (12). $M_{h,0}$ is diffeomorphic to $T_h S_1^3$, the tangent h sphere bundle to the unit 3 sphere S_1^3 , via the mapping $(U, V) \mapsto (h^{-1}U, V)$. Since $(\partial W)^\pm = \{(h, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \pm \xi = h > 0\}$ is simply connected, the fibration

$$J|_{J^{-1}((\partial W)^\pm)} : J^{-1}((\partial W)^\pm) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \rightarrow (\partial W)^\pm \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}$$

is trivial. Hence for each $(h, \pm h) \in (\partial W)^\pm$ with $h > 0$ the fiber $J^{-1}(h, \pm h)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, U \rangle &= \langle V, V \rangle = h^2, \quad \langle U, V \rangle = 0, \quad h > 0 \\ h^{-1}(U_2V_1 - U_1V_2) &= \mp h^{-1}(U_4V_3 - U_3V_4) = \pm(L_1 - K_1) = \mp \eta_1 \\ h^{-1}(U_3V_1 - U_1V_3) &= \mp h^{-1}(U_2V_4 - U_4V_2) = \pm(L_2 - K_2) = \mp \eta_2 \\ h^{-1}(U_4V_1 - U_1V_4) &= \mp h^{-1}(U_3V_2 - U_2V_3) = \pm(L_3 - K_3) = \mp \eta_3. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hence $J^{-1}(h, \pm h)$ is diffeomorphic to $M_{h,0}$ because it is the graph of the smooth mapping

$$M_{h,0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8 : (U, V) \mapsto (\pm(L - K)(U, V), h, \pm h)$$

where $\pm(L - K)$ is the smooth function defined by equation (13). When $(h, \xi) = (0, 0) \in W$, the fiber $J^{-1}(0, 0)$ is the point $(0, 0, 0, 0; 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$, since $h = 0$ implies $q = p = 0$, which gives $K = L = U = V = \Xi = 0$.

2 The Kustaanheimo-Stiefel mapping

In this section we define the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel mapping and detail its relation to regularizing the Kepler vector field.

For $(h, 0) \in \text{int } W$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{h,0} : T_h S_1^3 &= \mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, 0)/S^1 \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow S_h^2 \times S_h^2 = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(h, 0)/\mathbb{T}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^6 : \\ (U, V) &\mapsto (\xi(U, V), \eta(U, V)) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(K(U, V) + L(U, V)), \frac{1}{2}(K(U, V) - L(U, V))\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\wp_{h,0}(U, V) = \wp(\xi(U, V), \eta(U, V), h, 0; U, V)$, is the orbit map of the pre-regularized Kepler Hamiltonian (18). We describe its relation to the Kepler vector field in more detail.

On $T_0\mathbb{R}^3 = (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x, y) and standard symplectic form $\sum_{i=1}^3 dx_i \wedge dy_i$, the Kepler Hamiltonian on the negative energy level set $-\frac{1}{2}k^2$ with $k > 0$ is

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 - \frac{1}{|x|} = -\frac{1}{2}k^2. \quad (14)$$

Here $|\cdot|$ is the norm associated to the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 . Consider

$$\widehat{K}(x, y) = \frac{|x|}{k}(K(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}k^2) + \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{2k}|x|(|y|^2 + k^2). \quad (15)$$

The Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\widehat{K}}$ has integral curves which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{|x|}{k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} \\ \frac{dy}{ds} &= -\frac{|x|}{k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} - (K(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}k^2) \frac{\partial |x|}{\partial x}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

On the level set $\widehat{K}^{-1}(\frac{1}{k})$ they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{|x|}{k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} \\ \frac{dy}{ds} &= -\frac{|x|}{k} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

With $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{|x|}{k}$ a solution to equation (17) is a time reparametrization of an integral curve of the Kepler vector field on the level set $K^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2}k^2)$. The pre-regularized Kepler Hamiltonian on the level set $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)$ is

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}|x|(|y|^2 + 1), \quad (18)$$

which is obtained from the Hamiltonian \widehat{K} (15) using the symplectic coordinate change $(x, y) \mapsto (\frac{1}{k}x, ky)$.

On $T_*\mathbb{R}^4 = T\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{q = 0\}$ consider the mapping

$$\text{ks} : T_*\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow T_0\mathbb{R}^3 : (q, p) \mapsto (x, y), \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 2(q_1q_3 + q_2q_4) = U_2 - K_1 \\ x_2 &= 2(q_1q_4 - q_2q_3) = U_3 - K_2 \end{aligned} \quad (20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 - q_4^2 = U_4 - K_3 \\ y_1 &= \frac{1}{\langle q, q \rangle} (q_1p_3 + q_2p_4 + q_3p_1 + q_4p_2) = (H_2 + V_1)^{-1}V_2. \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{\langle q, q \rangle} (q_1p_4 - q_2p_3 - q_3p_2 + q_4p_1) = (H_2 + V_1)^{-1}V_3 \quad (20b) \\ y_3 &= \frac{1}{\langle q, q \rangle} (q_1p_1 + q_2p_2 - q_3p_3 - q_4p_4) = (H_2 + V_1)^{-1}V_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map ks has components which are invariant under φ_s^Ξ , it sends an orbit of the vector field X_Ξ on the level set $\Xi^{-1}(\xi) \cap T^*\mathbb{R}^4$ to a point in $T_0\mathbb{R}^3$.

A calculation shows that ks is a Poisson map, that is, $(\text{ks})^*\{f, g\}_{T\mathbb{R}^3} = \{(\text{ks})^*f, (\text{ks})^*g\}_{T\mathbb{R}^4}$ for every $f, g \in C^\infty(T\mathbb{R}^3)$. In particular, the map ks pulls back the structure matrix

$$\mathcal{W}_{T\mathbb{R}^3}(x, y) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \{x_i, x_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^3} & \{x_i, y_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^3} \\ \hline \{y_i, x_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^3} & \{y_i, y_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^3} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 2I_3 \\ \hline -2I_3 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

of the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_{T\mathbb{R}^3}$ on $T\mathbb{R}^3$ to the structure matrix

$$\mathcal{W}_{T\mathbb{R}^4}(q, p) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \{q_i, q_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^4} & \{q_i, p_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^4} \\ \hline \{p_i, q_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^4} & \{p_i, p_j\}_{T\mathbb{R}^4} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_4 \\ \hline -I_4 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

of the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_{T\mathbb{R}^4}$ on $T\mathbb{R}^4$ associated to $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^4 dq_i \wedge dp_i$.

The following calculation determines the pull back of the preregularized Kepler Hamiltonian \mathcal{K} (18) by the ks map on the level set $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)$. Using equation (20a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^2 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \\ &= 4(q_2q_3 + q_2q_4)^2 + 4(q_1q_4 - q_2q_3)^2 + (q_1^2 + q_2^2 - q_3^2 - q_4^2)^2 \\ &= \langle q, q \rangle^2 = (H_2 + V_1)^2, \\ |y|^2 &= y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = \frac{1}{(H_2 + V_1)^2} (V_1^2 + V_2^2 + V_3^2 + V_4^2 - V_1^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(H_2 + V_1)^2} (H_2^2 - \Xi^2 - V_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ks})^*\mathcal{K} &= \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + V_1) \left[\frac{1}{(H_2 + V_1)^2} (H_2^2 - \Xi^2 - V_1^2) \right] + \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + V_1) \\ &= H_2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{H_2 + V_1} \Xi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Because ks is a Poisson map, it follows that the vector fields X_{H_2} on $T_*\mathbb{R}^4$ and $2X_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $T_0\mathbb{R}^3$ are ks related on $\Xi^{-1}(0) \cap T_*\mathbb{R}^4$.

Restricting the mapping ks (19) to $\Xi^{-1}(0) \cap T_*\mathbb{R}^4$ gives the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel mapping

$$\text{KS} : \Xi^{-1}(0) \cap T_*\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow T_0\mathbb{R}^3 : (q, p) \mapsto (x, y), \quad (22)$$

where (x, y) are given in equation (20). KS is a Poisson map, because the map ks (19) is. From equation (21) it follows that KS pulls back the preregularized Hamiltonian \mathcal{K} (18) on $T_0\mathbb{R}^3$ to the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian H_2 on $\Xi^{-1}(0)$ and sends an integral curve of the harmonic oscillator vector field X_{H_2} on $\Xi^{-1}(0) \cap H_2^{-1}(1)$ to an integral curve of the vector field $2X_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)$, which is just a reparametrization of an integral curve of the Kepler vector field $X_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2})$. Below we show that those integral curves of X_{H_2} on $\Xi^{-1}(0)$ that intersect the plane $\{q = 0\}$ are sent by the KS map to integral curves of the Kepler vector field which are collision orbits that reach $\{x = 0\}$ in finite time, see equation (23), the KS map *regularizes* the Kepler vector field on the level set $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2})$.

First we find the pull back of the integrals of angular momentum J and eccentricity vector e of the preregularized Kepler vector field on the level set $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)$ by the KS map and determine their relation to the integrals L_j and K_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ of the harmonic oscillator vector field on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) = H_2^{-1}(1) \cap \Xi^{-1}(0)$. We use equations (4a) and (4b) and the definition of the KS map (20a) and (20b). We start with L_3 , the third component of L . On $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$ we have

$$L_3 = U_2V_3 - U_3V_2 = U_2(1 + V_1)y_2 - U_3(1 + V_1)y_2.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + V_1)^{-1}L_3 &= (K_1 + x_1)y_2 - (K_2 + x_2)y_3 = K_1y_2 - K_2y_3 + x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \\ &= (U_1V_2 - U_2V_1)\frac{1}{1 + V_1}V_3 - (U_1V_3 - U_3V_1)\frac{1}{1 + V_1}V_2 + x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{1 + V_1}V_1(U_2V_3 - U_3V_2) + x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{1 + V_1}V_1L_3 + x_1y_2 - x_2y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$

$$L_3 = [(1 + V_1)^{-1} + V_1(1 + V_1)^{-1}]L_3 = \text{KS}^*(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) = \text{KS}^*(J_3).$$

A similar argument shows that L_1 and L_2 on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$ are equal to the pull back by KS of J_1 and J_2 , respectively, on $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)$. Before dealing with e_3 , the third component of the eccentricity vector, we compute the pull back of the Euclidean inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ of x and y on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{KS})^*(\langle x, y \rangle) &= (\text{KS})^*(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3) \\
&= (1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_2V_2 + U_3V_3 + U_4V_4 - (V_2K_1 + V_3K_2 + V_4K_3)] \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_1V_1 + V_2K_1 + V_3K_2 + V_4K_3] \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_1V_1 + (U_1V_2 - U_2V_1)V_2 + (U_1V_3 - U_3V_1)V_3 \\
&\quad + (U_1V_4 - U_4V_1)V_4] \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_1V_1 + U_1(V_1^2 + V_2^2 + V_3^2 + V_4^2) \\
&\quad - V_1(U_1V_1 + U_2V_2 + U_3V_3 + U_4V_4)] \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_1V_1 + U_1] = -U_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the pull back by KS of the third component of the eccentricity vector on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{KS})^*e_3 &= (\text{KS})^*\left(-\frac{x_3}{|x|} + (y \times (x \times y))_3\right) = (\text{KS})^*\left(-\frac{x_3}{|x|} + x_3|y|^2 - y_3\langle x, y \rangle\right) \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [(U_4 - K_3) - (U_4 - K_3)(1 - V_1) + V_4U_1] \\
&= -(1 + V_1)^{-1} [U_4 - K_3 - U_4 + (K_3 - (U_1V_4 - U_4V_1)) - V_1K_3] \\
&= K_3.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$ the pull back by KS of first and second component e_1 and e_2 of the eccentricity vector e are equal to the first and second component K_1 and K_2 , respectively.

Next we determine the set \mathcal{C} of initial conditions of the integral curves of X_{H_2} on $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^4$, which pass through the collision set $C = \{q = 0\}$ in $T\mathbb{R}^4$. We show that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \cap L^{-1}(0)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(q, p) \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \mid L_1^2(q, p) + L_2^2(q, p) + L_3^2(q, p) = 0\}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma_{(q,p)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^4$ is an integral curve of X_{H_2} which starts at $(q, p) \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$ and passes through the collision set C , that is, $(q, p) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there is a positive time τ such that $q \cos \tau + p \sin \tau = 0$, since

$$\varphi_t^{H_2}(q, p) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $\cos \tau \neq 0$. Then $q = -p \tan \tau = \lambda p$. From the definition of the functions L_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(\lambda p, p) &= (\lambda p_4)p_1 - (\lambda p_2)p_3 + (\lambda p_2)p_3 - (\lambda p_1)p_4 = 0 \\
L_2(\lambda p, p) &= (\lambda p_1)p_3 + (\lambda p_2)p_4 - (\lambda p_3)p_2 - (\lambda p_4)p_2 = 0 \\
L_3(\lambda p, p) &= (\lambda p_3)p_4 - (\lambda p_4)p_3 + (\lambda p_2)p_1 - (\lambda p_1)p_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(q, p) \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \cap L^{-1}(0)$. If $\cos \tau = 0$, then $\sin \tau \neq 0$. So $p = -q \cot \tau = \mu q$. Calculating as above shows that $L_1(q, \mu) = L_2(q, \mu q) = L_3(q, \mu q) = 0$. So $(q, p) \in L^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) = \mathcal{C}$.

Conversely, suppose that $(q, p) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $(q, p) \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \cap L^{-1}(0)$. Let $(x, y) = \text{KS}(q, p)$. Since $(\text{KS})^*(J|\mathcal{K}^{-1}(1)) = L|\mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0)$, it follows that $x \times y = 0$, because $L(q, p) = 0$. Let $\Gamma_{(x, y)}$ be an integral curve of the vector field $2X_K$ of energy -1 starting at (x, y) , whose angular momentum J vanishes. Then $\Gamma_{(x, y)} = r(t)e(x, y) = r(t)\frac{x}{|x|}$ is a ray such that $r = r(t) > 0$ and r satisfies

$$\dot{r}^2 - \frac{2}{r} = -1. \quad (24)$$

From equation (24) we see that $0 \leq r \leq 2$. Starting at $r(0) = r_0$ with $0 < r_0 \leq 2$ and $\dot{r}(0) = 0$ there is a finite positive time τ_0 such that $r(\tau_0) = 0$. To see this separate variables in equation (24) and integrate. Using the change of variables $s^2 = \frac{2}{r} - 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= \int_0^{\tau_0} dt = \int_{r_0}^0 \frac{dr}{\sqrt{2r^{-1} - 1}} \\ &= 4 \int_0^{s_0} \frac{ds}{(s^2 + 1)^2}, \text{ where } s_0 = \sqrt{2r_0^{-1} - 1} \geq 0 \\ &< 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(s^2 + 1)^2} = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 u \, du, \text{ using } s = \tan u \\ &= \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x| = \langle q, q \rangle$, the integral curve $\gamma_{(q, p)}$ of X_{H_2} starting at $(q, p) \in \mathcal{J}^{-1}(1, 0) \cap L^{-1}(0)$, whose image under the KS mapping is $\Gamma_{(x, y)}$, reaches the collision set \mathcal{C} at the finite positive time τ_0 . Hence $(q, p) \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

We now determine the structure matrix $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2}$ of the Poisson bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2}$ on the \mathbb{T}^2 orbit space $\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2$. The smooth surjective mapping

$$\wp : \mathbb{R}^8/S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2 : (K, L, H_2, \Xi; U, V) \mapsto (\xi, \eta, H_2, \Xi)$$

is a Poisson mapping, that is, $\wp^*\{f, g\}_{\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2} = \{\wp^*f, \wp^*g\}_{\mathbb{R}^8/S^1}$, for every $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^8/\mathbb{T}^2)$. Thus we need only determine the Poisson brackets $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ of the functions K_j and L_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ on $(T\mathbb{R}^4, \omega)$. A straightforward computation gives

$$\{K_i, K_j\} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} L_k, \quad \{L_i, L_j\} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} L_k, \quad \{K_i, L_j\} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} K_k,$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Using $\xi_j = \frac{1}{2}(K_j + L_j)$ and $\eta_j = \frac{1}{2}(K_j - L_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ the above equations become

$$\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \xi_k, \quad \{\eta_i, \eta_j\} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \eta_k, \quad \text{and } \{\xi_i, \eta_j\} = 0. \quad (25)$$

References

- [1] R.H. Cushman and L.M. Bates, “Global aspects of classical integrable systems”, second edition, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2015.
- [2] J.-C. van der Meer, Reduction and regularization of the Kepler problem, *Celestial. Mech. and Dynam. Astronom.* **132** (2021) 31–50.
- [3] G. Schwarz, Smooth functions invariant under the action of a compact Lie group, *Topology* **14** (1975) 63–68.
- [4] J. Śniatycki, “Differential geometry of singular spaces and reduction of symmetry”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013.