

Complex FIOs and composition of Toeplitz operators

Lewis COBURN ^{*} Michael HITRIK [†] Johannes SJÖSTRAND [‡]

Abstract: We study Toeplitz operators on the Bargmann space, with Toeplitz symbols that are exponentials of complex quadratic forms, from the point of view of Fourier integral operators in the complex domain. Sufficient conditions are established for the composition of two such operators to be a Toeplitz operator.

Contents

1	Introduction and statement of results	1
2	Composition of metaplectic FIOs in the complex domain	7
3	Composing complex Weyl quantizations	11
4	From Toeplitz quantization to Weyl quantization and back	15
5	Examples	20
5.1	Boundedness of a class of metaplectic Toeplitz operators	21
5.2	Composition of special metaplectic Toeplitz operators	25
A	Adjoint of complex FIOs	30

1 Introduction and statement of results

This work can be regarded as a natural continuation of the series of papers [7], [8], where certain links have been established between the theory of Toeplitz operators acting on Bargmann spaces and Fourier integral operators (FIOs) in the complex domain,

^{*}Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA, lcburn@buffalo.edu

[†]Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles CA 90095-1555, USA, hitrik@math.ucla.edu

[‡]IMB, Université de Bourgogne 9, Av. A. Savary, BP 47870 FR-21078 Dijon, France and UMR 5584 CNRS, johannes.sjostrand@u-bourgogne.fr

associated to positive complex linear canonical transformations. In particular, the point of view of complex FIOs has been used in those works to show that the boundedness of Toeplitz operators, with Toeplitz symbols that are exponentials of complex quadratic forms, is implied by the boundedness of the corresponding Weyl symbols. This result is in agreement with a long standing conjecture of [3], stating that a Toeplitz operator is bounded on the Bargmann space precisely when its Weyl symbol is a bounded function. The purpose of this paper is to apply the point of view of complex FIOs, developed in [7], [8], to the study of the composition of Toeplitz operators acting on Bargmann spaces, deriving sufficient conditions for the composition of two such operators to be a Toeplitz operator. By way of motivation, following [7], let us first recall, briefly and sketchily, the connection between positivity and boundedness, which will also play a crucial role in this work.

Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n and let us introduce the Bargmann space

$$H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0} L(dx)) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad (1.1)$$

with $L(dx)$ being the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{C}^n . We have the orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0} : L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (1.2)$$

Let q be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n and assume that

$$\text{Re } q(x) < \Phi_{\text{herm}}(x) := (1/2)(\Phi_0(x) + \Phi_0(ix)), \quad x \neq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

In this work, we shall be concerned with Toeplitz operators of the form

$$\text{Top}(e^q) = \Pi_{\Phi_0} \circ e^q \circ \Pi_{\Phi_0} : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (1.4)$$

Any such operator can be represented as the Weyl quantization,

$$\text{Top}(e^q) = a^w(x, D_x), \quad (1.5)$$

see [16], [20], where the Weyl symbol a is given by

$$a(x, \xi) = \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{4} (\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}} \right) e^q \right) (x), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here we have introduced the real linear subspace

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_0} = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right), x \in \mathbf{C}^n \right\} \subset \mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_\xi^n = \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (1.7)$$

which is I-Lagrangian and R-symplectic, in the sense that the restriction of the complex symplectic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} to Λ_{Φ_0} is real and non-degenerate. In the context of the Weyl quantization in the complex domain, the subspace Λ_{Φ_0} is to be regarded as the real

phase space, see [16], [20], [10]. Assuming that (1.3) is satisfied and making an additional mild assumption of geometric nature, to be recalled below, it is established in [7, Theorem 1.2] that the implication $a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}) \implies \text{Top}(e^q) \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n))$ holds true. The principal steps in the proof are as follows: the starting point is an application of the method of exact stationary phase to (1.6), which allows us to write

$$a(x, \xi) = C \exp(iF(x, \xi)), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \quad (1.8)$$

for some constant $C \neq 0$, where F is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} . It follows then from (1.5) and (1.8) that the Toeplitz operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ can be viewed as a Fourier integral operator in the complex domain, associated to the canonical relation

$$\kappa : \rho + \mathcal{F}\rho \mapsto \rho - \mathcal{F}\rho, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (1.9)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} F''_{\xi\xi} & F''_{\xi\xi} \\ -F''_{xx} & -F''_{x\xi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.10)$$

is the fundamental matrix of F , and the geometric assumption mentioned above is that κ in (1.9) should be a canonical transformation, or equivalently that $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$. The basic observation now, made in [7], is that $a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$ precisely when the canonical transformation κ is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} ,

$$\frac{1}{i} \left(\sigma(\kappa(\rho), \iota_{\Phi_0}\kappa(\rho)) - \sigma(\rho, \iota_{\Phi_0}(\rho)) \right) \geq 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (1.11)$$

Here $\iota_{\Phi_0} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is the anti-holomorphic reflection in the maximally totally real subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$, see [7]. The boundedness of the Toeplitz operator (1.5) is then a consequence of some general arguments in the theory of complex FIOs. First, the positivity of κ implies the mapping property

$$\kappa(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}) = \Lambda_{\Phi}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\Phi \leq \Phi_0$ is quadratic strictly plurisubharmonic, see [7, Theorem 1.1]. Next, the general results of [15], [4, Appendix B] show that the mapping property (1.12) allows us to realize the Fourier integral operator $a^w(x, D_x)$ by means of a suitable $2n$ -dimensional contour of integration, a good contour in the terminology of [15]. Once the operator has been equipped with a good contour, the final step in the proof of the boundedness of the operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ is an application of Schur's lemma, see [15], [4, Appendix B].

Having recalled the link between the positivity and boundedness for complex FIOs, let us proceed to describe the assumptions and state the main results of this work. Let q be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n such that (1.3) holds and let \tilde{q} be a second quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n such that we also have

$$\text{Re} \tilde{q}(x) < \Phi_{\text{herm}}(x), \quad x \neq 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Associated to the Toeplitz operators $\text{Top}(e^q)$, $\text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}})$ are the Weyl symbols a , $\tilde{a} \in C^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$, and let us write similarly to (1.8),

$$a(x, \xi) = C \exp(iF(x, \xi)), \quad \tilde{a}(x, \xi) = \tilde{C} \exp(i\tilde{F}(x, \xi)), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (1.14)$$

Here F, \tilde{F} are holomorphic quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^{2n} and $C \neq 0$, $\tilde{C} \neq 0$ are constants. Let $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the fundamental matrices of F, \tilde{F} , respectively, and assume that $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$, $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$.

Assume furthermore that the Weyl symbols of $\text{Top}(e^q)$, $\text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}})$ satisfy

$$a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad \tilde{a} \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}). \quad (1.15)$$

As reviewed above, one of the principal results of [7] tells us then that the operators

$$\text{Top}(e^q) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad \text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \quad (1.16)$$

are bounded. The following is the first main result of this work, with Op^w denoting the Weyl quantization in the complex domain, see [16], [20, Chapter 13], [11].

Theorem 1.1 *Let q, \tilde{q} be complex valued quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^n such that (1.3), (1.13) hold. Let $a, \tilde{a} \in C^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$ be the Weyl symbols of the Toeplitz operators $\text{Top}(e^q)$, $\text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}})$, respectively, which are of the form (1.8), (1.14), and let us assume that $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$, $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$. Here $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are the fundamental matrices of the quadratic forms F, \tilde{F} , respectively. Assume that (1.15) holds and that $-1 \notin \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})$. We have*

$$\text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \text{Top}(e^q) = C \text{Op}^w(e^{i\hat{F}}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad (1.17)$$

for some $0 \neq C \in \mathbf{C}$. Here \hat{F} is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} such that

$$\text{Im } \hat{F}|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_0}} \geq 0. \quad (1.18)$$

The fundamental matrix $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of \hat{F} given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = (1 + \mathcal{F})(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) - 1. \quad (1.19)$$

Before stating the second main result, we shall recall the notion of polarization of a quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n . Given a complex valued quadratic form $f(x)$ on \mathbf{C}^n , the polarization $f^\pi(x, y)$ of f is the unique holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_{x,y}^{2n}$ such that $f^\pi(x, \bar{x}) = f(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. We shall use this system of notation below, with the following two exceptions: the polarization of the positive definite Hermitian form Φ_{herm} in (1.3) will be denoted by Ψ_{herm} and the polarization of the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form Φ_0 will be denoted by Ψ_0 .

Let G be a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} and let us observe that the polarization of the quadratic form

$$f(x) = G\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x)\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (1.20)$$

is given by

$$f^\pi(x, y) = G\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, y)\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (1.21)$$

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} such that*

$$\operatorname{Im} G|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_0}} \geq 0. \quad (1.22)$$

Assume that the holomorphic quadratic form

$$iG\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z)\right) + 4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x, z), \quad (x, z) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n} \quad (1.23)$$

is non-degenerate, and let us set

$$Q^\pi(y, \theta) = \operatorname{vc}_{x,z} \left(4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x - y, z - \theta) + iG\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z)\right) \right), \quad (y, \theta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (1.24)$$

where $\operatorname{vc}_{x,z}$ indicates that we take the critical value with respect to x, z . Here the critical value is attained at a unique critical point which is non-degenerate. Assume furthermore that the restriction $Q(y) = Q^\pi(y, \bar{y})$ of the holomorphic quadratic form Q^π on \mathbf{C}^{2n} to the anti-diagonal satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} Q(y) < \Phi_{\text{herm}}(y), \quad 0 \neq y \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (1.25)$$

Then the Weyl quantization $\operatorname{Op}^w(e^{iG})$ is a bounded Toeplitz operator, with the Toeplitz symbol of the form Ce^Q , for some constant $C \neq 0$.

Remark. Applying Theorem 1.2 in the case when $G = \widehat{F}$ in Theorem 1.1, we obtain a general criterion for when the composition of two Toeplitz operators in (1.17) is a Toeplitz operator.

Remark. We shall see in Section 5 below that if the condition (1.25) fails, then the bounded operator $\operatorname{Op}^w(e^{iG})$ in Theorem 1.2 does not need to be a Toeplitz operator.

The composition problem for Toeplitz operators on the Bargmann space $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ has been studied in [1], [5], see also [19], [12], as well as [16], [20, Chapter 13] for the semiclassical setting. In fact, the situation is particularly pleasant in that case, where the composition calculus often works with $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ – errors. To recall a rough statement

of it, following [16], [20, Theorem 13.11], [6], [10], let $p_1, p_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be such that $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_{\bar{x}}^\beta p_j \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$, $j = 1, 2$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^n$, and let us consider the semiclassical Toeplitz quantizations

$$\text{Top}_h(p_j) = \Pi_{\Phi_0, h} \circ p_j \circ \Pi_{\Phi_0, h} = \mathcal{O}(1) : H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (1.26)$$

Here, similarly to (1.1), we set $H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0/h} L(dx)) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, and

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0, h} : L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0/h} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n)$$

is the orthogonal projection. We then have

$$\text{Top}_h(p_1)\text{Top}_h(p_2) - \text{Top}_h(p) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0, h}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (1.27)$$

Here $p \in C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$ admits a complete asymptotic expansion in integer powers of h , as $h \rightarrow 0^+$, that we shall only recall in the case when $\Phi_0(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{4}$, see [20, Theorem 13.11] for the case of a general quadratic weight,

$$p(x) \sim \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{(-2h)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha p_1(x) \partial_{\bar{x}}^\alpha p_2(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (1.28)$$

In the non-semiclassical case, i.e. for $h = 1$, the composition formula (1.28) is still valid and becomes exact when the Toeplitz symbols p_1, p_2 are polynomials in x, \bar{x} , see [5]. Furthermore, it also holds, with absolute convergence, for p_1, p_2 which are Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of compactly supported measures on \mathbf{C}^n , [5]. The Toeplitz symbols considered in this work may be unbounded, exhibiting some super-exponential growth at infinity, and when understanding the composition of the corresponding operators we shall rely crucially on the complex FIO point of view, developed in [7], [8], and reviewed above.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some essentially well known results concerning the composition of metaplectic Fourier integral operators in the complex domain associated to complex linear canonical transformations, that are positive relative to the maximally totally real subspace Λ_{Φ_0} in (1.7). This discussion is specialized in Section 3 to FIOs given as Weyl quantizations of symbols of the form $e^{iF(x, \xi)}$, where F is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} , and we show that under mild additional assumptions, the composition of two such operators is again an operator of this form. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 are then completed in Section 4, by passing from the Toeplitz symbols to the Weyl ones, along the lines of [7], [8], and then back, by means of a well known critical value inversion formula, somewhat in the spirit of the inversion formula for the Legendre transformation. In Section 5 we discuss the boundedness and composition properties of some explicit families of metaplectic Toeplitz operators in a quadratic Bargmann space, and in particular we illustrate Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in this case. Appendix A, finally, is devoted to some

remarks concerning adjoints of complex metaplectic FIOs quantizing positive complex linear canonical transformations, realized as linear continuous maps between spaces of entire holomorphic functions with quadratic exponential weights. We compute, in particular, the canonical transformation associated to the complex adjoint of such an operator.

2 Composition of metaplectic FIOs in the complex domain

The discussion in this section is well known, see [15, Chapter 4], [4], [7], and serves as a convenient starting point for us. Let

$$\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n} \quad (2.1)$$

be a complex linear canonical transformation, and let $\varphi(x, y, \theta)$ be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^N$, which is a non-degenerate phase function in the sense of Hörmander [13],

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi''_{\theta x} & \varphi''_{\theta y} & \varphi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} = N, \quad (2.2)$$

generating the graph of κ , so that

$$\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \ni (y, -\varphi'_y(x, y, \theta)) \mapsto (x, \varphi'_x(x, y, \theta)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad \varphi'_\theta(x, y, \theta) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

For future reference, let us recall from [4] that the fact that the canonical relation

$$\{(x, \varphi'_x(x, y, \theta); y, -\varphi'_y(x, y, \theta)), \varphi'_\theta(x, y, \theta) = 0\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n} \times \mathbf{C}^{2n} \quad (2.4)$$

is the graph of a linear canonical transformation is equivalent to the following condition,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi''_{xy} & \varphi''_{x\theta} \\ \varphi''_{\theta y} & \varphi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Assume that

$$\kappa(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_1}, \quad (2.6)$$

where Φ_0, Φ_1 are strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^n . Here we have set as in (1.7),

$$\Lambda_{\Phi_j} = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial x}(x) \right), x \in \mathbf{C}^n \right\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n} = \mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_\xi^n, \quad (2.7)$$

for $j = 0, 1$. It follows from [15], [4, Appendix B] that the plurisubharmonic quadratic form

$$\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^N \ni (y, \theta) \mapsto -\text{Im} \varphi(0, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y) \quad (2.8)$$

is non-degenerate of signature $(n + N, n + N)$. Let $(y_c(x), \theta_c(x)) \in \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^N$ be the unique critical point of

$$\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^N \ni (y, \theta) \mapsto -\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y), \quad (2.9)$$

for each $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$, and let us recall from [4, Appendix B] that

$$\Phi_1(x) = \operatorname{vc}_{y, \theta} (-\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y)). \quad (2.10)$$

It follows that there exists an affine subspace $\Gamma(x) \subset \mathbf{C}_{y, \theta}^{n+N}$ of real dimension $n + N$, passing through the critical point $(y_c(x), \theta_c(x))$ such that

$$-\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y) \leq \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{C} \operatorname{dist}((y, \theta), (y_c(x), \theta_c(x)))^2,$$

along $\Gamma(x)$. In such a situation, here and below, we say that $\Gamma(x) \subset \mathbf{C}_{y, \theta}^{n+N}$ is a good contour for the plurisubharmonic function

$$\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^N \ni (y, \theta) \mapsto -\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y).$$

We conclude, following [15], [4, Appendix B] that the corresponding realization of a Fourier integral operator A quantizing κ ,

$$A_\Gamma u(x) = \iint_{\Gamma(x)} e^{i\varphi(x, y, \theta)} a u(y) dy d\theta, \quad a \in \mathbf{C}, \quad (2.11)$$

defines a bounded linear map,

$$A_\Gamma = A : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (2.12)$$

Here the Bargmann space $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ is defined in (1.1), with the space $H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ having an analogous definition.

Let next $\tilde{\kappa} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be a second complex linear canonical transformation, and let $\psi(x, y, w)$ be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_w^M$, which is a non-degenerate phase function in the sense of Hörmander, such that

$$\tilde{\kappa} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \ni (y, -\psi'_y(x, y, w)) \mapsto (x, \psi'_x(x, y, w)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad \psi'_w(x, y, w) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly to (2.6), assume that

$$\tilde{\kappa}(\Lambda_{\Phi_1}) = \Lambda_{\Phi_2}, \quad (2.14)$$

where Φ_2 is a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n . Letting $\tilde{\Gamma}(x) \subset \mathbf{C}_{y, w}^{n+M}$ be a good contour for the plurisubharmonic function

$$\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^M \ni (y, w) \mapsto -\operatorname{Im} \psi(x, y, w) + \Phi_1(y),$$

we consider the corresponding realization of a Fourier integral operator B quantizing $\tilde{\kappa}$,

$$B_{\tilde{\Gamma}}u(x) = \iint_{\tilde{\Gamma}(x)} e^{i\psi(x,y,w)} b u(y) dy dw, \quad b \in \mathbf{C}, \quad (2.15)$$

defining a bounded linear map,

$$B_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = B : H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_2}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (2.16)$$

The composition $B_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \circ A_{\Gamma}$ takes the form

$$(B_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \circ A_{\Gamma}u)(x) = \iiint_{\hat{\Gamma}(x)} e^{i(\psi(x,z,w) + \varphi(z,y,\theta))} ab u(y) dy d\theta dz dw, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}(x) \subset \mathbf{C}_z^n \times \mathbf{C}_w^M \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^N$ is the composed contour of real dimension $2n + N + M$ given by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(x) = \left\{ (z, w, y, \theta); (z, w) \in \tilde{\Gamma}(x), (y, \theta) \in \Gamma(z) \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let us set

$$\Phi(x, y; z, w, \theta) = \psi(x, z, w) + \varphi(z, y, \theta), \quad (2.19)$$

with $\chi = (z, w, \theta) \in \mathbf{C}_z^n \times \mathbf{C}_w^M \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^N$ viewed as the fiber variables. We claim that the holomorphic quadratic form $\Phi(x, y; \chi)$ is a non-degenerate phase function in the sense of Hörmander, and when verifying the claim we proceed similarly to [17, Chapter 6]. We need to show that the $(n + M + N) \times (n + n + n + M + N)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi''_{\chi x} & \Phi''_{\chi y} & \Phi''_{\chi \chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi''_{zx} & \Phi''_{zy} & \Phi''_{zz} & \Phi''_{zw} & \Phi''_{z\theta} \\ \Phi''_{wx} & \Phi''_{wy} & \Phi''_{wz} & \Phi''_{ww} & \Phi''_{w\theta} \\ \Phi''_{\theta x} & \Phi''_{\theta y} & \Phi''_{\theta z} & \Phi''_{\theta w} & \Phi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.20)$$

has full rank, and using (2.19) we see that the matrix in (2.20) is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi''_{zx} & \varphi''_{zy} & \psi''_{zz} + \varphi''_{zz} & \psi''_{zw} & \varphi''_{z\theta} \\ \psi''_{wx} & 0_{M \times n} & \psi''_{wz} & \psi''_{ww} & 0_{M \times N} \\ 0_{N \times n} & \varphi''_{\theta y} & \varphi''_{\theta z} & 0_{N \times M} & \varphi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.21)$$

Here in view (2.5), the $(n + N) \times (n + N)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi''_{zy} & \varphi''_{z\theta} \\ \varphi''_{\theta y} & \varphi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.22)$$

is non-degenerate, and we have

$$\text{rank} (\psi''_{wx} \quad \psi''_{wz} \quad \psi''_{ww}) = M. \quad (2.23)$$

Let B be an invertible $M \times M$ matrix, whose columns are among the columns of the matrix $(\psi''_{wx} \ \psi''_{wz} \ \psi''_{ww})$. Observing that an $(n + M + N) \times (n + M + N)$ matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & \varphi''_{zy} & \varphi''_{z\theta} \\ B & 0_{M \times n} & 0_{M \times N} \\ C & \varphi''_{\theta y} & \varphi''_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.24)$$

is non-degenerate, independently of matrices A, C of size $n \times M$ and $N \times M$, respectively, we conclude that the matrix in (2.20) is of full rank, giving the claim.

It follows that the associated canonical relation

$$\mathbf{C}^{2n} \ni (y, -\Phi'_y(x, y; \chi)) \mapsto (x, \Phi'_x(x, y; \chi)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad \Phi'_z = 0, \ \Phi'_w = 0, \ \Phi'_\theta = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

is of dimension $2n$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{C}^{2n} \ni (y, -\varphi'_y(z, y, \theta)) \mapsto (x, \psi'_x(x, z, w)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\psi'_z(x, z, w) + \varphi'_z(z, y, \theta) = 0, \ \psi'_w(x, z, w) = 0, \ \varphi'_\theta(z, y, \theta) = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

It is therefore clear that the canonical relation (2.25) is the graph of the canonical transformation $\tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa$.

We see furthermore, directly from the definitions, that the plurisubharmonic quadratic form

$$\mathbf{C}_z^n \times \mathbf{C}_w^M \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^N \ni (z, w, y, \theta) \mapsto -\text{Im} \psi(0, z, w) - \text{Im} \varphi(z, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y) \quad (2.28)$$

is negative definite along the contour $\widehat{\Gamma}(0)$ of real dimension $2n + N + M$, and therefore, the quadratic form (2.28) is non-degenerate of signature $(2n + N + M, 2n + N + M)$. It follows that the composed contour $\widehat{\Gamma}(x)$ is good for the function

$$\mathbf{C}_z^n \times \mathbf{C}_w^M \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^N \ni (z, w, y, \theta) \mapsto -\text{Im} \psi(x, z, w) - \text{Im} \varphi(z, y, \theta) + \Phi_0(y), \quad (2.29)$$

and we conclude therefore that the composition $B_{\widehat{\Gamma}} \circ A_{\Gamma}$ is a realization of a Fourier integral operator quantizing the canonical transformation $\tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa$.

Assume next that the complex linear canonical transformations $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}$ are positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , so that

$$\frac{1}{i} \left(\sigma(\kappa(\rho), \iota_{\Phi_0} \kappa(\rho)) - \sigma(\rho, \iota_{\Phi_0}(\rho)) \right) \geq 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (2.30)$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\kappa}$. Here $\iota_{\Phi_0} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is the unique anti-linear involution such that $\iota|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_0}} = 1$, see [7], and

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge dx_j \quad (2.31)$$

is the complex symplectic form on $\mathbf{C}^{2n} = \mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_\xi^n$. It follows from [7, Theorem 1.1] that (2.6) holds, where the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form Φ_1 satisfies $\Phi_1 \leq \Phi_0$. Let us next check that (2.14) holds as well, with Φ_2 quadratic strictly plurisubharmonic on \mathbf{C}^n satisfying $\Phi_2 \leq \Phi_0$. When doing so, we observe that since $\tilde{\kappa}$ is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , we have that the plurisubharmonic quadratic form

$$\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^M \ni (y, w) \mapsto -\operatorname{Im} \psi(0, y, w) + \Phi_0(y) \quad (2.32)$$

is non-degenerate of signature $(n + M, n + M)$. Using that

$$-\operatorname{Im} \psi(0, y, w) + \Phi_1(y) \leq -\operatorname{Im} \psi(0, y, w) + \Phi_0(y), \quad (2.33)$$

we conclude that since the left hand side in (2.33) is a plurisubharmonic quadratic form, it is also non-degenerate of signature $(n + M, n + M)$. It follows that (2.14) holds with

$$\Phi_2(x) = \operatorname{vc}_{y,w}(-\operatorname{Im} \psi(x, y, w) + \Phi_1(y)). \quad (2.34)$$

An application of the fundamental lemma of [15] allows us to conclude that Φ_2 is plurisubharmonic, and since the real linear subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_2} = \tilde{\kappa}(\Lambda_{\Phi_1})$ is R-symplectic, the plurisubharmonicity of Φ_2 is necessarily strict. We also have

$$\Phi_2(x) = \operatorname{vc}_{y,w}(-\operatorname{Im} \psi(x, y, w) + \Phi_1(y)) \leq \operatorname{vc}_{y,w}(-\operatorname{Im} \psi(x, y, w) + \Phi_0(y)), \quad (2.35)$$

and the strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form in the right hand side is $\leq \Phi_0$, in view of the positivity of $\tilde{\kappa}$ relative to Λ_{Φ_0} .

We may summarize the discussion in this section in the following well known result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n , and let $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be complex linear canonical transformations that are positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} . Let A, B be metaplectic Fourier integral operators quantizing $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}$, respectively, realized with the help of good contours. Then the composition $B \circ A$ is a Fourier integral operator associated to the canonical transformation $\tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa$, which is also positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} . The operator $B \circ A$ can be realized with the help of a good contour and we have that*

$$B \circ A : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$$

is bounded.

3 Composing complex Weyl quantizations

Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}_x^n and let F be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_{x,\xi}^{2n}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Im} F \geq 0 \quad \text{along } \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here the real $2n$ -dimensional linear subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_0} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ has been introduced in (1.7). Assume that the fundamental matrix of F ,

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} F''_{\xi x} & F''_{\xi\xi} \\ -F''_{xx} & -F''_{x\xi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

satisfies $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$. Then, as explained in [7], [8], the Weyl quantization $\text{Op}^w(e^{iF})$ can be regarded as a Fourier integral operator in the complex domain associated to the complex linear canonical transformation

$$\kappa = (1 - \mathcal{F})(1 + \mathcal{F})^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here we may notice that the map

$$\kappa + 1 = (1 - \mathcal{F} + 1 + \mathcal{F})(1 + \mathcal{F})^{-1} = 2(1 + \mathcal{F})^{-1} \quad (3.4)$$

is bijective, and we have the inverse relation

$$\mathcal{F} = (1 + \kappa)^{-1}(1 - \kappa). \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, as we have seen in [7], the assumption (3.1) implies that κ is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , i.e., that (2.30) holds. In particular, the operator

$$\text{Op}^w(e^{iF}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \quad (3.6)$$

is bounded.

Let \tilde{F} be a second holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_{x,\xi}^{2n}$ such that

$$\text{Im } \tilde{F} \geq 0 \quad \text{along } \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (3.7)$$

Assume also that $\pm 1 \notin \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the fundamental matrix of \tilde{F} . It follows therefore from Theorem 2.1 that the composition $\text{Op}^w(e^{i\tilde{F}}) \circ \text{Op}^w(e^{iF})$ is a Fourier integral operator associated to the complex linear canonical transformation

$$\hat{\kappa} := \tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (3.8)$$

which is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} . Here $\tilde{\kappa} = (1 - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}$. Assume that $-1 \notin \text{Spec}(\hat{\kappa})$ and let us set, similarly to (3.5),

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = (1 + \hat{\kappa})^{-1}(1 - \hat{\kappa}). \quad (3.9)$$

Using the fact that $\hat{\kappa}$ is canonical, we see that the complex linear map $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to σ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} + \hat{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma$ is the symplectic transpose of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, given by

$$\sigma(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\mu, \nu) = \sigma(\mu, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma\nu), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}.$$

Writing

$$\sigma(\mu, \nu) = J\mu \cdot \nu, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^t = -J, \quad J^2 = -1, \quad (3.12)$$

we see that (3.10) is equivalent to the statement that $J\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is symmetric. It follows that the holomorphic quadratic form

$$\widehat{F}(\rho) = \sigma(\rho, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\rho) = -J\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\rho \cdot \rho, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (3.13)$$

satisfies

$$\sigma(t, H_{\widehat{F}}(\rho)) = Jt \cdot H_{\widehat{F}}(\rho) = d\widehat{F}(\rho) \cdot t = -2J\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\rho \cdot t = 2\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\rho \cdot Jt, \quad t \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (3.14)$$

We get $H_{\widehat{F}}(\rho) = 2\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\rho$, and therefore, in view of (3.2), we conclude that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the fundamental matrix of the quadratic form \widehat{F} . Using (3.9), we observe also that the linear map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} + 1 = (1 + \widehat{\kappa})^{-1}(1 - \widehat{\kappa} + 1 + \widehat{\kappa}) = 2(1 + \widehat{\kappa})^{-1} \quad (3.15)$$

is bijective, and therefore $1 - \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is bijective as well. It follows furthermore from (3.9) that the canonical transformation $\widehat{\kappa}$ takes the form

$$\left(1 + \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\right) \rho \mapsto \left(1 - \widehat{\mathcal{F}}\right) \rho, \quad (3.16)$$

and recalling the positivity of $\widehat{\kappa}$ relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , we conclude, following [7], that the holomorphic quadratic form in (3.13) satisfies

$$\text{Im } \widehat{F} \geq 0 \quad \text{along } \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (3.17)$$

It follows that the holomorphic quadratic form

$$\mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_y^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^n \ni (x, y, \theta) \mapsto (x - y) \cdot \theta + \widehat{F} \left(\frac{x + y}{2}, \theta \right)$$

is a non-degenerate phase function in the sense of Hörmander, which generates the positive complex linear canonical transformation $\widehat{\kappa} = \widehat{\kappa} \circ \kappa$ in (3.8).

The discussion above can be summarized in the following result.

Proposition 3.1 *Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n , and let F, \tilde{F} be holomorphic quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^{2n} such that*

$$\operatorname{Im} F \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right) \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \tilde{F} \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

Assume that the fundamental matrices $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of the quadratic forms F, \tilde{F} , respectively, satisfy $\pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{F}), \pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$. Let

$$\kappa = (1 - \mathcal{F})(1 + \mathcal{F})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\kappa} = (1 - \tilde{\mathcal{F}})(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}, \quad (3.18)$$

and assume that $-1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa)$. We have then

$$\operatorname{Op}^w(e^{i\tilde{F}}) \circ \operatorname{Op}^w(e^{iF}) = C \operatorname{Op}^w(e^{i\hat{F}}), \quad (3.19)$$

for some $0 \neq C \in \mathbf{C}$, where \hat{F} is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} such that

$$\operatorname{Im} \hat{F} \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

The operators in (3.19) are bounded: $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$.

Remark. The constant $C \neq 0$ in (3.19) can be computed, see [14].

Remark. Assume that one of the canonical transformations $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}$ in (3.18) is strictly positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} . It follows that $\hat{\kappa} = \tilde{\kappa} \circ \kappa$ is also strictly positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} ,

$$\frac{1}{i} \left(\sigma(\hat{\kappa}(\rho), \iota_{\Phi_0} \hat{\kappa}(\rho)) - \sigma(\rho, \iota_{\Phi_0}(\rho)) \right) > 0, \quad 0 \neq \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (3.20)$$

and in particular $-1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{\kappa})$. More generally, we may observe that the spectrum of a strictly positive complex linear canonical transformation avoids the set $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; |\lambda| = 1\}$. We also recall from [7] that the strict positivity of κ in (3.18) relative to Λ_{Φ_0} is equivalent to the ellipticity property,

$$\operatorname{Im} F \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right) \asymp |x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (3.21)$$

We shall finish this section by deriving an explicit expression for the fundamental matrix $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of the quadratic form \hat{F} in (3.19) in terms of the fundamental matrices $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. See also [18] for closely related computations.

Let us use (3.5) and the corresponding expression for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in terms of $\tilde{\kappa}$ to write

$$\mathcal{F} = (1 + \kappa)^{-1}(1 - \kappa), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = (1 + \tilde{\kappa})^{-1}(1 - \tilde{\kappa}). \quad (3.22)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} &= 1 + (1 + \tilde{\kappa})^{-1}(1 - \tilde{\kappa})(1 + \kappa)^{-1}(1 - \kappa) \\
&= (1 + \tilde{\kappa})^{-1} \left((1 + \tilde{\kappa})(1 + \kappa) + (1 - \tilde{\kappa})(1 - \kappa) \right) (1 + \kappa)^{-1} \\
&= 2(1 + \tilde{\kappa})^{-1} (1 + \tilde{\kappa}\kappa) (1 + \kappa)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) (1 + \tilde{\kappa}\kappa) (1 + \mathcal{F}). \quad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Here in the last equality we have used (cf. (3.4)) that

$$\mathcal{F} + 1 = 2(1 + \kappa)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + 1 = 2(1 + \tilde{\kappa})^{-1}. \quad (3.24)$$

Using (3.23), we get that

$$2(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})(1 + \mathcal{F})^{-1} = 1 + \tilde{\kappa}\kappa. \quad (3.25)$$

In Proposition 3.1 we have assumed that the linear map $1 + \tilde{\kappa}\kappa = 1 + \hat{\kappa}$ is bijective, and using (3.25) we conclude that this assumption is equivalent to the bijectivity of $1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}$. We get

$$2(1 + \hat{\kappa})^{-1} = (1 + \mathcal{F})(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}), \quad (3.26)$$

and recalling (3.15) we conclude that

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = (1 + \mathcal{F})(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) - 1. \quad (3.27)$$

Combining Proposition 3.1 with (3.27), we shall be able to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 4 below, once we have recalled how to express a Toeplitz operator of the form (1.4) as a Weyl quantization.

4 From Toeplitz quantization to Weyl quantization and back

Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n and let q be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n such that (1.3) holds. From [7], [8] we recall that when equipped with the natural domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{Top}(e^q)) = \{u \in H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n); e^q u \in L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0} L(dx))\}, \quad (4.1)$$

the Toeplitz operator

$$\text{Top}(e^q) = \Pi_{\Phi_0} \circ e^q \circ \Pi_{\Phi_0} : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \quad (4.2)$$

becomes densely defined. Here

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0} : L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \quad (4.3)$$

is the orthogonal projection.

Remark. Let Ψ_0 be the polarization of Φ_0 . Using the well known property

$$2\operatorname{Re} \Psi_0(x, \bar{y}) - \Phi_0(x) - \Phi_0(y) = -\Phi_{\text{herm}}(x - y) \asymp -|x - y|^2, \quad (4.4)$$

see [16], together with (1.3), we obtain that

$$e^{2\Psi_0(\cdot, \bar{y})} \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Top}(e^q)), \quad y \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (4.5)$$

Here we may also observe that the linear span of $\{e^{2\Psi_0(\cdot, \bar{y})}; y \in \mathbf{C}^n\}$ is dense in $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$.

Let us write, following [16], [7],

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^q) = a^w(x, D_x), \quad (4.6)$$

where $a \in C^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$ is the Weyl symbol of the Toeplitz operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$, given by

$$a(x, \xi) = \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{4} (\Phi''_{0, x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}} \right) e^q \right) (x), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (4.7)$$

An application of the method of exact stationary phase allows us to conclude that

$$a(x, \xi) = C \exp(i(F(x, \xi))), \quad (x, \xi) \in \Lambda_{\Phi_0}, \quad (4.8)$$

for some constant $C \neq 0$, where F is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} . See also (4.17) and the computations below. We may write therefore

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^q) = C \operatorname{Op}^w(e^{iF}). \quad (4.9)$$

In what follows, we shall assume that the fundamental matrix \mathcal{F} of F satisfies $\pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{F})$.

Let \tilde{q} be a second complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n satisfying (1.13), and let us write similarly to (4.9),

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) = \tilde{C} \operatorname{Op}^w(e^{i\tilde{F}}), \quad \tilde{C} \neq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Here \tilde{F} is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} . Assume also that the fundamental matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of \tilde{F} is such that $\pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$.

Assume that the Weyl symbols satisfy

$$e^{iF} \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad e^{i\tilde{F}} \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad (4.11)$$

and that $1 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}$ is bijective. The discussion in Section 3 applies therefore to the composition $\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q)$, in view of (4.9), (4.10), as it stands, implying Theorem 1.1.

We shall now proceed to give a proof of Theorem 1.2, and to this end, it will first be convenient to take a closer look at the formula for the Weyl symbol (4.7), and to give a more explicit description of the Fourier multiplier in (4.7). When doing so, we observe that the symbol of the second order constant coefficient differential operator on \mathbf{C}_x^n ,

$$-\frac{1}{4}(\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1}\partial_x\cdot\partial_{\bar{x}} \quad (4.12)$$

is given by the positive definite quadratic form

$$\frac{1}{2}(8\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1}\bar{\xi}\cdot\xi, \quad \xi\in\mathbf{C}^n. \quad (4.13)$$

Here it will be convenient to recall from [9] that the dual of a real valued non-degenerate quadratic form $\mathbf{R}^N\ni x\mapsto\frac{1}{2}Ax\cdot x$ is by definition the quadratic form $\mathbf{R}^N\ni\xi\mapsto\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\xi\cdot\xi$. Assuming that the quadratic form is positive definite, we can express its dual as the Legendre transform,

$$\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\xi\cdot\xi=\sup_x\left(x\cdot\xi-\frac{1}{2}Ax\cdot x\right). \quad (4.14)$$

It follows therefore that the dual of the quadratic form in (4.13) is given by the positive definite quadratic form

$$\sup_{\xi}\left(\operatorname{Re}(\xi\cdot\bar{x})-\frac{1}{2}(8\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1}\bar{\xi}\cdot\xi\right)=4\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}}\bar{x}\cdot x=4\Phi_{\text{herm}}(x). \quad (4.15)$$

Combining this observation with the standard formula,

$$e^{-\frac{AD\cdot D}{2}}u(x)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}}\frac{1}{(\det A)^{1/2}}\int e^{-\frac{A^{-1}y\cdot y}{2}}u(x-y)dy, \quad (4.16)$$

where A is an $N\times N$ real symmetric positive definite matrix and $u\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, we conclude, in view of (4.7), that we have

$$a(x,\xi)=C_{\Phi_0}\int_{\mathbf{C}^n}\exp(-4\Phi_{\text{herm}}(x-y))e^{q(y)}L(dy), \quad (x,\xi)\in\Lambda_{\Phi_0}. \quad (4.17)$$

Here $C_{\Phi_0}\neq 0$ and the integral converges thanks to (1.3).

We shall next evaluate a Gaussian integral of the general form (4.17). To this end, let Q be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n such that

$$\operatorname{Re}Q(x)<\Phi_{\text{herm}}(x), \quad 0\neq x\in\mathbf{C}^n. \quad (4.18)$$

Introducing the polarization Q^π of Q and Ψ_{herm} of Φ_{herm} , we may write in view of (4.17), for some $C \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{4} (\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}} \right) e^Q \right) (x) \\ &= C \iint_{\Gamma} \exp (-4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x-y, \bar{x}-\theta) + Q^\pi(y, \theta)) dy d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Here $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{C}_{y,\theta}^{2n}$ is the contour given by $\theta = \bar{y}$ (the anti-diagonal). Let us next make the following general observation.

Proposition 4.1 *Let F be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n such that $\text{Re } F < 0$ in the sense of quadratic forms. Let F^π be the polarization of F . Then the holomorphic quadratic form F^π on \mathbf{C}^{2n} is non-degenerate.*

Proof: The pluriharmonic quadratic form $\text{Re } F^\pi$ satisfies

$$\text{Re } F^\pi|_{\Gamma} < 0, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{C}_{x,y}^{2n}$ is the anti-diagonal. It follows that the signature of $\text{Re } F^\pi$ is $(2n, 2n)$ so that $\text{Re } F^\pi$ is non-degenerate,

$$|\nabla \text{Re } F^\pi(x, y)| \asymp |x| + |y| \quad \text{on } \mathbf{C}_{x,y}^{2n}, \quad (4.21)$$

where the gradient is taken in the real sense of \mathbf{R}^{4n} . The result follows in view of the following general fact: let $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbf{C}_z^N)$. Then

$$|\nabla \text{Re } f(z)| = 2 |\partial_z \text{Re } f(z)| = |\partial_z f(z)|. \quad (4.22)$$

□

Applying Proposition 4.1 together with (4.18), we conclude that the holomorphic quadratic form

$$\mathbf{C}_{y,\theta}^{2n} \ni (y, \theta) \mapsto -4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(y, \theta) + Q^\pi(y, \theta) \quad (4.23)$$

is non-degenerate, and therefore the holomorphic function

$$\mathbf{C}_{y,\theta}^{2n} \ni (y, \theta) \mapsto -4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x-y, z-\theta) + Q^\pi(y, \theta) \quad (4.24)$$

has a unique critical point which is non-degenerate, for each $(x, z) \in \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n$. In view of the method of exact (quadratic) stationary phase and (4.19), it is clear therefore that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\exp \left(\frac{1}{4} (\Phi''_{0,x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}} \right) e^Q \right) (x) \\ &= C \exp (\text{vc}_{y,\theta} (-4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x-y, \bar{x}-\theta) + Q^\pi(y, \theta))). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

When proving Theorem 1.2, we would like to give a general criterion for when an operator of the form $\text{Op}^w(e^{iG})$, where G is a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^{2n} , is a non-vanishing multiple of an operator the form $\text{Top}(e^Q)$, where Q is quadratic, and in view of (4.25), this holds precisely when the polarization

$$iG \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z) \right), \quad (x, z) \in \mathbf{C}_{x,z}^{2n} \quad (4.26)$$

of the quadratic form $iG \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right)$ is of the form

$$iG \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z) \right) = \text{vc}_{y,\theta} (-4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x - y, z - \theta) + Q^\pi(y, \theta)). \quad (4.27)$$

We need to invert the critical value formula (4.27), and to this end we shall make use of the following well known result, see [15, Chapter 4], [4].

Proposition 4.2 *Let $f(X, Y)$ be a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_X^N \times \mathbf{C}_Y^N$ such that $\det f''_{XY}(X, Y) \neq 0$ and let $g(Y)$ be a holomorphic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^N such that $f(0, Y) + g(Y)$ is non-degenerate. Set*

$$h(X) = \text{vc}_Y (f(X, Y) + g(Y)), \quad X \in \mathbf{C}^N, \quad (4.28)$$

where the critical value is attained at a unique critical point which is non-degenerate. Then $-f(X, 0) + h(X)$ is non-degenerate, and we have the inversion formula,

$$g(Y) = \text{vc}_X (-f(X, Y) + h(X)). \quad (4.29)$$

Proof: We recall the main ideas of the proof for completeness. Let us introduce the complex linear canonical transformation

$$\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2N} \ni (Y, -f'_Y(X, Y)) \mapsto (X, f'_X(X, Y)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2N} \quad (4.30)$$

and the \mathbf{C} -Lagrangian plane

$$\Lambda_g = \{(Y, g'(Y)), Y \in \mathbf{C}^N\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2N}. \quad (4.31)$$

The holomorphic quadratic form $f(0, Y) + g(Y)$ is non-degenerate on \mathbf{C}^N precisely when Λ_g and $\kappa^{-1}(T_0^* \mathbf{C}^N)$ are transversal, and it follows that the \mathbf{C} -Lagrangian plane $\kappa(\Lambda_g)$ is of the form

$$\Lambda_h = \{(Y, h'(Y)), Y \in \mathbf{C}^N\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2N},$$

where the holomorphic quadratic form h is given by (4.28). Next, the \mathbf{C} -Lagrangian planes Λ_h and $\kappa(T_0^* \mathbf{C}^N)$ are transversal, so that $-f(X, 0) + h(X)$ is non-degenerate. Writing $\Lambda_g = \kappa^{-1}(\Lambda_h)$ and using that κ^{-1} is of the form

$$\kappa^{-1} : \mathbf{C}^{2N} \ni (X, f'_X(X, Y)) \mapsto (Y, -f'_Y(X, Y)) \in \mathbf{C}^{2N}, \quad (4.32)$$

we infer therefore the inversion formula (4.29). \square

When applying Proposition 4.2 to (4.27), we let $N = 2n$, $Y = (x, z) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}$, $X = (y, \theta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}$,

$$g(Y) = iG \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z) \right),$$

and

$$f(X, Y) = 4\Psi_{\text{herm}}(x - y, z - \theta) = 4\Phi''_{0, \bar{x}x}(y - x) \cdot (\theta - z). \quad (4.33)$$

We have

$$f''_{XY} = f''_{(y, \theta), (x, z)} = \begin{pmatrix} f''_{yx} & f''_{yz} \\ f''_{\theta x} & f''_{\theta z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4\Phi''_{0, x\bar{x}} \\ -4\Phi''_{0, \bar{x}x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.34)$$

is invertible, and therefore Proposition 4.2 applies. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

Remark. In the discussion above, we have considered links between the Weyl and Toeplitz quantizations in the complex domain. The purpose of this remark is to observe that such links become more direct when considering the anti-classical, rather than Weyl, quantization. Indeed, let us assume that for simplicity that the pluriharmonic part of Φ_0 vanishes, so that $\Phi_0(x) = \Phi''_{0, x\bar{x}} \bar{x} \cdot x$. Given $p \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$, let us consider the anti-classical quantization of p ,

$$\text{Op}_0(p)u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\Gamma_0} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} p(y, \theta) u(y) dy \wedge d\theta, \quad (4.35)$$

where the contour of integration $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}_{y, \theta}$ is given by

$$\theta = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial y}(y) = \frac{2}{i} \Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}} \bar{y}. \quad (4.36)$$

Along Γ_0 , we have $dy \wedge d\theta = 2^{2n} \det(\Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}}) L(dy)$, provided that the orientation has been chosen properly. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}_0(p)u(x) &= \frac{2^n \det(\Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}})}{\pi^n} \int e^{2(x-y)\cdot\Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}} \bar{y}} p \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}} \bar{y} \right) u(y) L(dy) \\ &= \frac{2^n \det(\Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}})}{\pi^n} \int e^{2\Psi_0(x, \bar{y})} p \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \Phi''_{0, y\bar{y}} \bar{y} \right) u(y) e^{-2\Phi_0(y)} L(dy) = \text{Top}(p|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_0}})u(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

5 Examples

The purpose of this section is to discuss the boundedness and composition properties for an explicit class of metaplectic Toeplitz operators in the quadratically exponentially weighted space of entire holomorphic functions $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. In particular, we shall discuss examples illustrating Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. It will be assumed throughout this section that

$$\Phi_0(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{4}, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.1)$$

5.1 Boundedness of a class of metaplectic Toeplitz operators

Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, let A be a complex symmetric $n \times n$ matrix, and let us set

$$q(x) = \lambda |x|^2 + A\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.2)$$

We shall assume that

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \|A\| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\|A\|$ is the Euclidean operator norm of $A : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$. It follows, in particular, that (1.3) holds, so that the Toeplitz operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ is densely defined on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Our purpose here is to characterize the boundedness of $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ in terms of the parameters λ and $\|A\|$. In the case when $A = 0$, such a characterization has been established in [3], [7], [8], where the boundedness of $\operatorname{Top}(e^{\lambda|x|^2})$ on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ has been shown to be equivalent to the boundedness of the Weyl symbol of this operator. As we shall see below, this equivalence is still valid for $A \neq 0$, in agreement with the general conjecture of [3], [6].

We shall first establish a sufficient condition for the operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ to be bounded on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\Phi_0(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{4}$ and*

$$q(x) = \lambda |x|^2 + A\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, A is a complex symmetric $n \times n$ matrix such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \|A\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Assume that

$$4\|A\| \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|^2} - 1, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda}. \quad (5.5)$$

Then the operator

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^q) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$$

is bounded.

Proof: Let us recall from [7] that $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ can be viewed as a metaplectic Fourier integral operator in the complex domain, associated to the canonical relation

$$\kappa : (y, -F'_y(x, y, \theta)) \mapsto (x, F'_x(x, y, \theta)), \quad F'_\theta(x, y, \theta) = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$F(x, y, \theta) = \frac{2}{i} (\Psi_0(x, \theta) - \Psi_0(y, \theta)) + \frac{1}{i} q(y, \theta) = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{(x-y) \cdot \theta}{2} + \lambda y \cdot \theta + A\theta \cdot \theta \right). \quad (5.7)$$

In particular, we have $\det F''_{y\theta} \neq 0$, and it follows from (2.4), (2.5) that the canonical relation (5.6) is the graph of a complex linear canonical transformation. The critical manifold of the non-degenerate phase function $F(x, y, \theta)$ in (5.7) is given by $F'_\theta(x, y, \theta) = 0 \iff x = (1 - 2\lambda)y - 4A\theta$, and a simple computation using (5.6), (5.7) shows that the canonical transformation κ is given by

$$\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \ni (y, \eta) \mapsto \left((1 - 2\lambda)y - \frac{8iA\eta}{1 - 2\lambda}, \frac{\eta}{1 - 2\lambda} \right) = \left(\frac{y}{\gamma} - 8i\gamma A\eta, \gamma\eta \right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (5.8)$$

We know from [7] that the canonical transformation κ in (5.8) is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , i.e. that (2.30) holds, precisely when the Weyl symbol of the operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ is bounded along Λ_{Φ_0} , which is a sufficient condition for the boundedness of $\text{Top}(e^q)$ on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. It suffices to check therefore that the condition (5.4) implies the positivity of κ relative to Λ_{Φ_0} . It follows from [7, equation (2.4)] that the anti-linear involution $\iota_{\Phi_0} : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ is given by

$$\iota_{\Phi_0} : (y, \eta) \mapsto \left(\frac{2\bar{\eta}}{i}, \frac{\bar{y}}{2i} \right), \quad (5.9)$$

and we have therefore,

$$\frac{1}{i}\sigma((y, \eta), \iota_{\Phi_0}(y, \eta)) = \frac{1}{i}\sigma\left((y, \eta), \left(\frac{2\bar{\eta}}{i}, \frac{\bar{y}}{2i}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 - 2|\eta|^2. \quad (5.10)$$

Recalling (2.30), we conclude that the canonical transformation κ in (5.8) is positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} precisely when we have

$$\left| \frac{y}{\gamma} - 8i\gamma A\eta \right|^2 - |y|^2 + 4(1 - |\gamma|^2)|\eta|^2 \geq 0, \quad (y, \eta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (5.11)$$

or equivalently,

$$\left(\frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2} \right) |y|^2 + 16\text{Re} \left(i \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\gamma} \bar{A}\bar{\eta} \cdot y \right) + 64|\gamma|^2 |A\eta|^2 + 4(1 - |\gamma|^2)|\eta|^2 \geq 0, \quad (y, \eta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (5.12)$$

It is now elementary to check that the positivity property (5.12) is implied by (5.4), and when doing so we may assume that the matrix A is non-vanishing, so that $|\gamma| < 1$. The property (5.12) may therefore be equivalently rewritten as follows,

$$|y|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left(i\bar{a} \bar{A}\bar{\eta} \cdot y \right) + b|A\eta|^2 + c|\eta|^2 \geq 0, \quad (y, \eta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (5.13)$$

or in other words,

$$|y - iaA\eta|^2 + (b - |a|^2)|A\eta|^2 + c|\eta|^2 \geq 0, \quad (y, \eta) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (5.14)$$

Here

$$a = \frac{8|\gamma|^2}{1 - |\gamma|^2} \frac{\gamma}{\bar{\gamma}}, \quad b = \frac{64|\gamma|^4}{1 - |\gamma|^2} > 0, \quad c = 4|\gamma|^2 > 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Now (5.14) holds precisely when

$$c|\eta|^2 \geq (|a|^2 - b)|A\eta|^2, \quad \eta \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (5.16)$$

and observing that, in view of (5.15),

$$|a|^2 - b = \frac{64|\gamma|^6}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^2},$$

we immediately conclude that (5.16) follows from (5.4). The proof is complete. \square

Remark. It follows from the proof of Proposition 5.1 that the condition (5.4) is in fact also necessary for the positivity of the canonical transformation κ in (5.8) relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , so that (5.4) holds precisely when the Weyl symbol of the operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ is bounded.

Remark. Alternatively, Proposition 5.1 can also be established by computing the Weyl symbol of $\text{Top}(e^q)$, evaluating the Gaussian integral in (4.17), and checking that (5.4) is sufficient, and in fact also necessary, for the boundedness of the Weyl symbol. Here we have preferred to examine more directly the positivity of the corresponding complex linear canonical transformation in (5.8).

We shall finish this subsection by showing that the condition (5.4) is also necessary for the boundedness of the Toeplitz operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, provided that the condition (5.3 is strengthened to the following,

$$\max(\text{Re } \lambda, 0) + \|A\| < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (5.17)$$

In doing so, we shall follow the ideas and computations of [8, Section 4] and study the operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ acting on the space of "coherent states", i.e. the normalized reproducing kernels for the Bargmann space $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Let us set, following [8],

$$k_w(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{2\Psi_0(x, \bar{w}) - \Phi_0(w)}, \quad w \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.18)$$

Using (4.4), we see that $k_w \in H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ with $\|k_w\|_{H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)} = 1$. A simple computation using [8, equations (4.13), (4.14), (4.15)] shows that

$$(\text{Top}(e^q)k_w)(x) = C_\lambda e^{2\Psi_0(x, \gamma \bar{w}) - \Phi_0(w) + A\gamma \bar{w} \cdot \gamma \bar{w}}, \quad (5.19)$$

provided that (5.17) holds. Here $C_\lambda \neq 0$ is a constant depending on λ only, and the parameter γ has been introduced in (5.5). Another application of (4.4) implies then that

$$\|\text{Top}(e^q)k_w\|_{H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)} = C \exp(\Phi_0(\bar{\gamma}w) - \Phi_0(w) + \text{Re}(A\gamma \bar{w} \cdot \gamma \bar{w})), \quad C \neq 0, \quad (5.20)$$

and we get therefore the following necessary condition for the boundedness of $\text{Top}(e^q)$ on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$,

$$\Phi_0(\bar{\gamma}w) - \Phi_0(w) + \text{Re}(A\gamma \bar{w} \cdot \gamma \bar{w}) \leq 0, \quad w \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.21)$$

Recalling (5.1), we may rewrite (5.21) as follows,

$$\operatorname{Re} (A\gamma w \cdot \gamma w) \leq \frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{4} |w|^2, \quad w \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.22)$$

or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} (Aw \cdot w) \leq \frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{4|\gamma|^2} |w|^2, \quad w \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.23)$$

To conclude that the condition (5.4) is also necessary for the boundedness of $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$, it suffices to apply the following well known elementary result, see [7].

Lemma 5.2 *Let A be an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix such that $\operatorname{Re} (Ax \cdot x) \leq \mu |x|^2$, $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$, for some $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\mu \geq 0$ and we have $\|A\| \leq \mu$.*

Proof: For completeness, let us recall the proof briefly, following [7]. First, replacing x by $e^{i\theta}x$ and varying $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, we get

$$|Ax \cdot x| \leq \mu |x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.24)$$

Writing next

$$Ax \cdot y = \frac{1}{4} (A(x+y) \cdot (x+y) - A(x-y) \cdot (x-y)), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (5.25)$$

we obtain in view of (5.24),

$$|Ax \cdot y| \leq \frac{\mu}{4} (|x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2) = \frac{\mu}{2} (|x|^2 + |y|^2) = \mu, \quad |x| = |y| = 1. \quad (5.26)$$

It follows that

$$|Ax \cdot y| \leq \mu |x| |y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (5.27)$$

and we get the result. \square

The discussion in this subsection may be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $\Phi_0(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{4}$, $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and let A be an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix such that $\max(\operatorname{Re} \lambda, 0) + \|A\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Let us set $q(x) = \lambda |x|^2 + A\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}$, $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The Toeplitz operator*

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^q) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$$

is bounded.

2. *The Weyl symbol $a \in C^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$ of $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ satisfies $a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0})$.*

3. *We have*

$$4\|A\| \leq \frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda}.$$

5.2 Composition of special metaplectic Toeplitz operators

In this subsection, we shall illustrate Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by applying them in the case when

$$q(x) = \lambda |x|^2, \quad \tilde{q}(x) = \tilde{\lambda} |x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.28)$$

Here $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < \frac{1}{4}$, $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\lambda} < \frac{1}{4}$, so that the assumptions (1.3), (1.13) are satisfied. The Weyl symbol a of the operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ has been computed in [8, Section 4], and we recall from that work that it is given by

$$a\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x)\right) = C \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} |x|^2\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad C \neq 0. \quad (5.29)$$

Similarly, the Weyl symbol \tilde{a} of the operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}})$ has the form

$$\tilde{a}\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x)\right) = \tilde{C} \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1-\tilde{\lambda}} |x|^2\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad \tilde{C} \neq 0. \quad (5.30)$$

Following (1.15), we shall assume that

$$a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad \tilde{a} \in L^\infty(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}), \quad (5.31)$$

which, in view of Theorem 5.3, is equivalent to the conditions

$$|1 - 2\lambda| \geq 1, \quad |1 - 2\tilde{\lambda}| \geq 1, \quad (5.32)$$

respectively.

Using (5.29), (5.30), we get

$$a(x, \xi) = C \exp(iF(x, \xi)), \quad F(x, \xi) = \frac{2\lambda}{1-\lambda} x \cdot \xi, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (5.33)$$

$$\tilde{a}(x, \xi) = \tilde{C} \exp(i\tilde{F}(x, \xi)), \quad \tilde{F}(x, \xi) = \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{1-\tilde{\lambda}} x \cdot \xi, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}, \quad (5.34)$$

and recalling (1.10), we see that the fundamental matrices $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of the quadratic forms F, \tilde{F} , respectively, are given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1-\tilde{\lambda}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.35)$$

In particular, $\pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{F}), \pm 1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$. In order to apply Theorem 1.1, we should also check that $-1 \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})$, where the product $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1-\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.36)$$

When verifying that -1 is not an eigenvalue of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}$, we observe that this is the case provided that at least one of the inequalities in (5.32) is strict. Indeed, it follows from the proof of Theorem 5.3 that the strict inequality $|1 - 2\lambda| > 1$, say, implies that the complex linear canonical transformation associated to the Fourier integral operator $\text{Top}(e^q)$ is strictly positive relative to Λ_{Φ_0} , and the general arguments of Section 3 imply then that $-1 \notin \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})$. To discuss the remaining case, let us make use of the following elementary observation.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{C}$ be such that $\text{Re } \lambda < \frac{1}{4}$, $\text{Re } \tilde{\lambda} < \frac{1}{4}$, and assume that*

$$|1 - 2\lambda| = 1, \quad |1 - 2\tilde{\lambda}| = 1. \quad (5.37)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1 - \tilde{\lambda}} \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \neq -1. \quad (5.38)$$

Proof: It follows from (5.37) that we have

$$\text{Re } \lambda = |\lambda|^2, \quad \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda} = |\tilde{\lambda}|^2, \quad (5.39)$$

and therefore,

$$|1 - \lambda|^2 = 1 - \text{Re } \lambda, \quad |1 - \tilde{\lambda}|^2 = 1 - \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda}, \quad (5.40)$$

implying that

$$\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} = \frac{i \text{Im } \lambda}{1 - \text{Re } \lambda}, \quad \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1 - \tilde{\lambda}} = \frac{i \text{Im } \tilde{\lambda}}{1 - \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda}}. \quad (5.41)$$

It suffices to check that

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{1 - \tilde{\lambda}} \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^2 = \frac{(\text{Im } \lambda)^2 (\text{Im } \tilde{\lambda})^2}{(1 - \text{Re } \lambda)^2 (1 - \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda})^2} \neq 1,$$

and to this end, we observe that (5.39) gives,

$$\frac{(\text{Im } \lambda)^2 (\text{Im } \tilde{\lambda})^2}{(1 - \text{Re } \lambda)^2 (1 - \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda})^2} = \frac{\text{Re } \lambda \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda}}{(1 - \text{Re } \lambda)(1 - \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda})} \neq 1, \quad (5.42)$$

since $\text{Re } \lambda + \text{Re } \tilde{\lambda} < 1$. The proof is complete. \square

An application of Theorem 1.1 gives therefore that

$$\text{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \text{Top}(e^q) = C \text{Op}^w(e^{i\hat{F}}), \quad C \neq 0, \quad (5.43)$$

where the fundamental matrix $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ of the quadratic form \widehat{F} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{F}} &= (1 + \mathcal{F})(1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) - 1 \\ &= (1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})(1 + \mathcal{F}) - 1 = (1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F})^{-1}(\mathcal{F} + \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}).\end{aligned}\quad (5.44)$$

Here we have used (5.36) as well as the fact that the matrices \mathcal{F} , $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ commute, in view of (5.35). A simple computation using (5.35), (5.36) gives therefore that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{\lambda + \widetilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}}{1 - \lambda - \widetilde{\lambda} + 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.\quad (5.45)$$

The computations above may be summarized in the following result.

Proposition 5.5 *Let $\Phi_0(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{4}$, and let $q(x) = \lambda|x|^2$, $\widetilde{q}(x) = \widetilde{\lambda}|x|^2$, with $\lambda, \widetilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < \frac{1}{4}$, $\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\lambda} < \frac{1}{4}$. Assume that*

$$|1 - 2\lambda| \geq 1, \quad |1 - 2\widetilde{\lambda}| \geq 1.\quad (5.46)$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^{\widetilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q) = C \operatorname{Op}^w(e^{i\widehat{F}}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n),\quad (5.47)$$

for some $C \neq 0$. Here the holomorphic quadratic form \widehat{F} is given by

$$\widehat{F}(x, \xi) = \frac{2(\lambda + \widetilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda})}{1 - \lambda - \widetilde{\lambda} + 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}} x \cdot \xi, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}.\quad (5.48)$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Im} \widehat{F} \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) \right) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

We shall next apply Theorem 1.2 to the Weyl quantization in (5.47). To this end, we observe that the holomorphic quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned}i\widehat{F} \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial x}(x, z) \right) + 4\Psi_{\operatorname{herm}}(x, z) &= i \frac{2(\lambda + \widetilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda})}{1 - \lambda - \widetilde{\lambda} + 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}} x \cdot \frac{2}{i} z + x \cdot z \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda - \widetilde{\lambda} + 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}} x \cdot z\end{aligned}\quad (5.49)$$

is non-degenerate on $\mathbf{C}_{x,z}^{2n}$, and following (1.24), let us set

$$Q^\pi(y, \theta) = \operatorname{vc}_{x,z} \left((x - y) \cdot (z - \theta) + \frac{\lambda + \widetilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}}{1 - \lambda - \widetilde{\lambda} + 2\lambda\widetilde{\lambda}} x \cdot z \right).\quad (5.50)$$

We obtain after a straightforward computation that

$$Q^\pi(y, \theta) = \left(\lambda + \tilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\tilde{\lambda} \right) y \cdot \theta, \quad (5.51)$$

and an application of Theorem 1.2 gives us therefore the following result.

Proposition 5.6 *Let us make the same assumptions as in Proposition 5.5 and assume furthermore that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda + \tilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\tilde{\lambda} \right) < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (5.52)$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q) = C \operatorname{Top}(e^{\hat{q}}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad (5.53)$$

for some constant $C \neq 0$, where $\hat{q}(x) = \left(\lambda + \tilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\tilde{\lambda} \right) |x|^2$.

Remark. In the case when $\lambda = i$, $\tilde{\lambda} = -i$, the composition property in Proposition 5.6 has been observed in [2].

Remark. Let us set, following [5],

$$\lambda = \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1 + 2i}{5}, \quad (5.54)$$

so that (5.46) holds, with the equality sign, and in particular, Proposition 5.5 applies. It has been established in [5] that the composition in (5.47) satisfies

$$\| (\operatorname{Top}(e^q))^2 - \operatorname{Top}(p) \|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n))} \geq 1, \quad (5.55)$$

for all $p : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ measurable such that

$$e^{2\Psi_0(\cdot, \bar{y})} \in \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Top}(p)), \quad y \in \mathbf{C}^n. \quad (5.56)$$

Notice that in this case we have

$$\operatorname{Re} (2\lambda - 2\lambda^2) = \frac{16}{25} > \frac{1}{4}, \quad (5.57)$$

and therefore the assumption (1.25) in Theorem 1.2 cannot be removed entirely.

Remark. The purpose of this remark is to consider the composition of more general Toeplitz operators of the form $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$, where the quadratic form q is given by (5.2). Thus, let

$$q(x) = \lambda |x|^2 + A\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}, \quad \tilde{q}(x) = \tilde{\lambda} |x|^2 + \tilde{A}\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (5.58)$$

where following Theorem 5.3, we assume that

$$\max(\operatorname{Re} \lambda, 0) + \|A\| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad \max(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\lambda}, 0) + \|\tilde{A}\| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad (5.59)$$

and

$$4\|A\| \leq \frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{|\gamma|^2}, \quad 4\|\tilde{A}\| \leq \frac{1 - |\tilde{\gamma}|^2}{|\tilde{\gamma}|^2}. \quad (5.60)$$

Here

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{1 - 2\tilde{\lambda}}. \quad (5.61)$$

When computing $\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q)$, rather than applying Theorem 1.1, we shall consider the composition acting directly on the coherent states in (5.18). A straightforward computation making use of [8, equations (4.13), (4.14), (4.15)] gives that

$$\left(\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q) e^{2\Psi_0(\cdot, \bar{w})}\right)(x) = (\tilde{\gamma}\gamma)^n e^{2\Psi_0(x, \tilde{\gamma}\gamma\bar{w})} \exp\left(\tilde{A}\tilde{\gamma}\gamma\bar{w} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}\gamma\bar{w} + A\gamma\bar{w} \cdot \gamma\bar{w}\right). \quad (5.62)$$

Here we have also used the following more precise version of [8, equation (4.13)],

$$\left(\operatorname{Top}(e^{\lambda|x|^2}) e^{2\Psi_0(\cdot, \bar{w})}\right)(x) = \gamma^n e^{2\Psi_0(x, \gamma\bar{w})}, \quad (5.63)$$

which follows by the exact stationary phase. Setting

$$\hat{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}\gamma, \quad \hat{A} = \tilde{A} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}^2}A, \quad (5.64)$$

we obtain from (5.62) that

$$\left(\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q) k_w\right)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \hat{\gamma}^n e^{2\Psi_0(x, \hat{\gamma}\bar{w}) - \Phi_0(w)} \exp\left(\hat{A}\hat{\gamma}\bar{w} \cdot \hat{\gamma}\bar{w}\right). \quad (5.65)$$

Let us now set

$$\hat{q}(x) = \hat{\lambda}|x|^2 + \hat{A}\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}, \quad \hat{\lambda} = \lambda + \tilde{\lambda} - 2\lambda\tilde{\lambda}, \quad (5.66)$$

so that

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{1 - 2\hat{\lambda}}.$$

Assuming that

$$\max(\operatorname{Re} \hat{\lambda}, 0) + \|\hat{A}\| < \frac{1}{4}, \quad (5.67)$$

so that the operator $\operatorname{Top}(e^{\hat{q}})$ is densely defined, we conclude, in view of (5.65), that the following identity holds on the common dense domain of coherent states,

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^{\tilde{q}}) \circ \operatorname{Top}(e^q) = \operatorname{Top}(e^{\hat{q}}). \quad (5.68)$$

Here we observe that in view of (5.60), we have

$$4\|\widehat{A}\| \leq 4\|\widetilde{A}\| + \frac{4}{|\widetilde{\gamma}|^2}\|A\| \leq \frac{1-|\widetilde{\gamma}|^2}{|\widetilde{\gamma}|^2} + \frac{1-|\gamma|^2}{|\widetilde{\gamma}|^2|\gamma|^2} = \frac{1-|\widehat{\gamma}|^2}{|\widehat{\gamma}|^2}, \quad (5.69)$$

and therefore, the operator $\text{Top}(e^{\widehat{q}})$ is bounded on $H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, in view of Theorem 5.3. We obtain therefore the composition result,

$$\text{Top}(e^{\widehat{q}}) \circ \text{Top}(e^q) = \text{Top}(e^{\widehat{q}}) : H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n), \quad (5.70)$$

provided that (5.59), (5.60), and (5.67) hold.

A Adjoints of complex FIOs

The purpose of this appendix is to continue the discussion started in [7, Appendix A] and to review some of the basic facts concerning adjoints of metaplectic Fourier integral operators in the complex domain. Let Φ_0 be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbf{C}^n , and let us recall from [16], [11] that the orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0} : L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_0}L(dx)) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\mathbf{C}^n) \quad (A.1)$$

is given by

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0}u(x) = a_0 \int e^{2(\Psi_0(x,\bar{y})-\Phi_0(y))}u(y)dyd\bar{y}, \quad a_0 \neq 0. \quad (A.2)$$

Here Ψ_0 is the polarization of Φ_0 . In polarized form we may write for u holomorphic,

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0}u(x) = \iint_{\Gamma} e^{2(\Psi(x,\theta)-\Psi(y,\theta))}a_0u(y)dyd\theta. \quad (A.3)$$

Here the contour $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is given by $\theta = \bar{y}$. Omitting the contour of integration, we obtain a formal factorization

$$\Pi_{\Phi_0} = A \circ B, \quad (A.4)$$

where

$$Av(x) = \int e^{2\Psi_0(x,\theta)}a_0v(\theta)d\theta, \quad Bu(\theta) = \int e^{-2\Psi_0(y,\theta)}u(y)dy. \quad (A.5)$$

We notice that from this point of view, the construction of Π_{Φ_0} is reduced to the problem of inverting the operator B , i.e. finding a suitable constant amplitude $a_0 \neq 0$ such that $AB = 1$ in suitable H_{Φ} -spaces. The canonical transformations associated to A, B are given by

$$\kappa_A = \kappa_{2\Psi_0/i} : \left(\theta, -\frac{2}{i}\partial_{\theta}\Psi_0(x,\theta) \right) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{2}{i}\partial_x\Psi_0(x,\theta) \right), \quad (A.6)$$

and

$$\kappa_B = \kappa_{2\Psi_0/i}^{-1} : \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Psi_0(y, \theta) \right) \mapsto \left(\theta, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi_0(y, \theta) \right), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

respectively. Since κ_B is equal to the inverse of κ_A it is clear that AB is a multiple of the identity operator, and that we can choose the constant amplitude $a_0 \neq 0$ in (A.5) so that $AB = 1$.

Putting $\theta = \bar{y}$ in (A.7), we get

$$\kappa_B : \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Phi_0(y) \right) \mapsto \left(\bar{y}, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_{\bar{y}} \Phi_0(y) \right) = \left(\bar{y}, -\frac{2}{i} \partial(\Phi_0 \circ \dagger)(\bar{y}) \right) \in \Lambda_{-\Phi_0 \circ \dagger} = \dagger(\Lambda_{\Phi_0}),$$

where \dagger is the operator of complex conjugation of complex numbers or elements in \mathbf{C}^N , $\dagger(z) = \bar{z}$. Thus we have the following map between two maximally totally real subspaces of \mathbf{C}^{2n} ,

$$\kappa_B : \Lambda_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{-\Phi_0 \circ \dagger},$$

and κ_B in (A.7) is the holomorphic extension of this map. Here we observe that $-\Phi_0 \circ \dagger$ is strictly pluri-*super*-harmonic so it would not be meaningful to say that “ $B : H_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow H_{-\Phi_0 \circ \dagger}$ ”, or that “ $A : H_{-\Phi_0 \circ \dagger} \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}$.”

Let Φ_j , $j = 1, 2$, be strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^n , and let $\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be a complex linear canonical transformation which is positive relative to $(\Lambda_{\Phi_2}, \Lambda_{\Phi_1})$, in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{i} \left(\sigma(\kappa(\rho), \iota_{\Phi_2} \kappa(\rho)) - \sigma(\rho, \iota_{\Phi_1}(\rho)) \right) \geq 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Here, as above, $\iota_{\Phi_j} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ is the unique anti-linear involution which is equal to the identity on the maximally totally real subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_j} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$, $j = 1, 2$.

Let A be a metaplectic Fourier integral operator quantizing κ , and let us recall from [7] that we can realize A as a linear continuous map

$$A : H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_2}(\mathbf{C}^n). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We shall then also write $\kappa = \kappa_A$. With $\Psi_1 = \Phi_1^\pi$ being the polarization of Φ_1 , let

$$\Pi_1 u(x) = a_1 \iint e^{2\Psi_1(x, \bar{y})} u(y) e^{-2\Phi_1(y)} \frac{dy d\bar{y}}{(2i)^n}, \quad a_1 \neq 0, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

be the Bergman projection: $L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi_1} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$. Here we observe that the (n, n) -form

$$\frac{dy d\bar{y}}{(2i)^n} = \frac{1}{(2i)^n} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n \wedge d\bar{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{y}_n$$

can be naturally identified with the Lebesgue volume form $L(dy)$ on \mathbf{C}^n . An application of [7, Theorem A.1] allows us to write for $u \in H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$,

$$Au(x) = \iint K_A(x, \bar{y}) u(y) e^{-2\Phi_1(y)} \frac{dy d\bar{y}}{(2i)^n}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Here the kernel $K_A(x, \theta)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^n$, with $y \mapsto \overline{K_A(x, \bar{y})} \in H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, uniquely determined by (A.11). Arguing as in [7] we see, using the reproducing property of Π_1 on $H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, that

$$K_A(x, \theta) = A(a_1 e^{2\Psi_1(\cdot, \theta)})(x), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

see also [7, equation (3.9)]. We infer furthermore from the discussion in [7, Section 3] that the kernel K_A in (A.12) is of the form

$$K_A(x, \theta) = \widehat{a} e^{2\Psi(x, \theta)}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

for some $\widehat{a} \in \mathbf{C}$, where $\Psi(x, \theta)$ is a holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbf{C}_x^n \times \mathbf{C}_\theta^n$, such that $\det \Psi''_{x\theta} \neq 0$. Combining (A.11) and (A.13), we get therefore,

$$Au(x) = \widehat{a} \iint_{\Gamma} e^{2(\Psi(x, \theta) - \Psi_1(y, \theta))} u(y) \frac{dy d\theta}{(2i)^n}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Introducing the formal Fourier integral operator

$$\widetilde{A}v(x) = \int e^{2\Psi(x, \theta)} \widehat{a} v(\theta) d\theta, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

with the associated canonical transformation

$$\kappa_{\widetilde{A}} : \left(\theta, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi(x, \theta) \right) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Psi(x, \theta) \right), \quad (\text{A.16})$$

we obtain the factorization

$$\kappa_A = \kappa_{\widetilde{A}} \circ \kappa_{2\Psi_1/i}^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Here we have set, similarly to (A.6),

$$\kappa_{2\Psi_1/i} : \left(\theta, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi_1(y, \theta) \right) \mapsto \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Psi_1(y, \theta) \right). \quad (\text{A.18})$$

The Hilbert space adjoint $A^* : H_{\Phi_2}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ of A in (A.9) satisfies

$$A^*v(y) = \iint K_{A^*}(y, \bar{x}) v(x) e^{-2\Phi_2(x)} \frac{dx d\bar{x}}{(2i)^n}, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where $K_{A^*}(y, \bar{x}) = \overline{K_A(x, \bar{y})}$, so that

$$K_{A^*}(y, x) = \overline{K_A(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

We shall now compute the canonical transformation κ_{A^*} associated to the Fourier integral operator A^* . When doing so, recalling the notation $\dagger(z) = \bar{z}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^N$, let us put $f^\dagger = \dagger \circ f \circ \dagger$, if f is a continuous function on \mathbf{C}^N . When f is of class C^1 , we have $\partial(\dagger \circ f) = \dagger \circ \bar{\partial}f$, $\bar{\partial}(\dagger \circ f) = \dagger \circ \partial f$. We have the analogous relations for the composition with \dagger to the right and it follows that

$$\partial(f^\dagger) = (\partial f)^\dagger, \quad \bar{\partial}(f^\dagger) = (\bar{\partial}f)^\dagger. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

From (A.13) and (A.20), we get $K_{A^*}(y, x) = \tilde{a} e^{2\Psi^\dagger(x, y)}$, for some $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{C}$, and combining this with (A.19), we get that

$$A^*v(y) = \tilde{a} \iint_{\Gamma} e^{2(\Psi^\dagger(\theta, y) - \Psi_2(x, \theta))} v(x) \frac{dx d\theta}{(2i)^n}. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Here the contour Γ given by $\theta = \bar{x}$ and $\Psi_2 = \Phi_2^\pi$ is the polarization of Φ_2 . Associated to the formal Fourier integral operator

$$\widetilde{A^*}v(y) = \tilde{a} \int e^{2\Psi^\dagger(\theta, y)} v(\theta) d\theta \quad (\text{A.23})$$

is the canonical transformation

$$\kappa_{\widetilde{A^*}} : \left(\theta, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi^\dagger(\theta, y) \right) \mapsto \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Psi^\dagger(\theta, y) \right), \quad (\text{A.24})$$

and it follows from (A.22), similarly to (A.17), that the following factorization holds,

$$\kappa_{A^*} = \kappa_{\widetilde{A^*}} \circ \kappa_{2\Psi_2/i}^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Here $\kappa_{2\Psi_2/i}$ is defined similarly to (A.18). We shall now simplify (A.24). In view of (A.21) we have

$$\kappa_{\widetilde{A^*}} : \dagger \left(\dagger\theta, \frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi(\dagger\theta, \dagger y) \right) \mapsto \dagger \left(\dagger y, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Psi(\dagger\theta, \dagger y) \right), \quad (\text{A.26})$$

and replacing $\dagger\theta, \dagger y$ by θ, y , we get

$$\kappa_{\widetilde{A^*}} : \dagger \left(\theta, \frac{2}{i} \partial_\theta \Psi(\theta, y) \right) \mapsto \dagger \left(y, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_y \Psi(\theta, y) \right). \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Using the fact that $\dagger^{-1} = \dagger$ together with (A.16), (A.27) we obtain that

$$\dagger \circ \kappa_{\widetilde{A^*}} \circ \dagger = \kappa_A^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

We combine (A.28) with (A.17), (A.25), and get

$$\dagger \circ \kappa_{A^*} \circ \kappa_{2\Psi_2/i} \circ \dagger = \kappa_{2\Psi_1/i}^{-1} \circ \kappa_A^{-1},$$

$$(\kappa_{2\Psi_1/i} \circ \dagger) \circ \kappa_{A^*} \circ (\kappa_{2\Psi_2/i} \circ \dagger) = \kappa_A^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Here (A.18) gives that

$$\kappa_{2\Psi_1/i} \circ \dagger : \left(\bar{y}, \frac{2}{i} \overline{\partial_y \Psi_1(x, y)} \right) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Psi_1(x, y) \right),$$

or after the substitution $y \mapsto \bar{y}$,

$$\kappa_{2\Psi_1/i} \circ \dagger : \left(y, \frac{2}{i} \overline{\partial_y \Psi_1(x, \bar{y})} \right) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Psi_1(x, \bar{y}) \right). \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Recalling [7, equation (2.4)], we conclude that $\kappa_{2\Psi_1/i} \circ \dagger = \iota_{\Phi_1}$ is the unique antilinear involution

$$\iota_{\Phi_1} : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n},$$

which is equal to the identity on the maximally totally real subspace $\Lambda_{\Phi_1} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$. Similarly, $\kappa_{2\Psi_2/i} \circ \dagger = \iota_{\Phi_2}$ is the unique antilinear involution on \mathbf{C}^{2n} which agrees with the identity on $\Lambda_{\Phi_2} \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$. We get from (A.29) that

$$\iota_{\Phi_1} \circ \kappa_{A^*} \circ \iota_{\Phi_2} = \kappa_A^{-1},$$

or in other words,

$$\kappa_{A^*} = \iota_{\Phi_1} \circ \kappa_A^{-1} \circ \iota_{\Phi_2}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

The discussion in the appendix can be summarized in the following result.

Theorem A.1 *Let Φ_j be strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic forms on \mathbf{C}^n , $j = 1, 2$, and $\kappa : \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ be a complex linear canonical transformation which is positive relative to $(\Lambda_{\Phi_2}, \Lambda_{\Phi_1})$, in the sense that (A.8) holds. Let $A : H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_2}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be a realization of a Fourier integral operator associated to the canonical transformation $\kappa = \kappa_A$. The canonical transformation κ_{A^*} associated to the complex adjoint $A^* : H_{\Phi_2}(\mathbf{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_1}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ of A is given by*

$$\kappa_{A^*} = \iota_{\Phi_1} \circ \kappa_A^{-1} \circ \iota_{\Phi_2}. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Here ι_{Φ_j} is the anti-holomorphic reflection in Λ_{Φ_j} , $j = 1, 2$.

Remark. It follows from (A.32) and the positivity of κ_A relative to $(\Lambda_{\Phi_2}, \Lambda_{\Phi_1})$ that κ_{A^*} is positive relative to $(\Lambda_{\Phi_1}, \Lambda_{\Phi_2})$,

$$\frac{1}{i} \left(\sigma(\kappa_{A^*}(\rho), \iota_{\Phi_1} \kappa_{A^*}(\rho)) - \sigma(\rho, \iota_{\Phi_2}(\rho)) \right) \geq 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \quad (\text{A.33})$$

References

- [1] W. Bauer, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and composition formulas*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), 3107—3142.
- [2] W. Bauer, L. Coburn, and R. Hagger, *Toeplitz quantization on Fock space*, J. Funct. Anal. **274** (2018), 3531—3551.
- [3] C. A. Berger and L. A. Coburn, *Heat flow and Berezin-Toeplitz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. **116** (1994), 563—590.
- [4] E. Caliceti, S. Graffi, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand, *Quadratic PT -symmetric operators with real spectrum and similarity to selfadjoint operators*, Journal of Physics A, 2012.
- [5] L. Coburn, *On the Berezin-Toeplitz calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3331—3338.
- [6] L. Coburn, *Fock space, the Heisenberg group, heat flow and Toeplitz operators*, Chapter 1 (pp. 1–15) in *Handbook of analytic operator theory*, ed. Kehe Zhu, CRC Press, 2019.
- [7] L. Coburn, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand, *Positivity, complex FIOs, and Toeplitz operators*, Pure Appl. Anal. **1** (2019), 327—357.
- [8] L. Coburn, M. Hitrik, J. Sjöstrand, and F. White, *Weyl symbols and boundedness of Toeplitz operators*, Math. Res. Letters, **28** (2021), 681–696.
- [9] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [10] M. Hitrik, R. Lascar, J. Sjöstrand, and M. Zerzeri, *Semiclassical Gevrey operators in the complex domain*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, to appear.
- [11] M. Hitrik and J. Sjöstrand, *Two minicourses on analytic microlocal analysis*, "Algebraic and Analytic Microlocal Analysis", Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **269** (2018), 483–540.
- [12] Z. Hu and J. Virtranen, *Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space and the Berger-Coburn phenomenon*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), 3733—3753.
- [13] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79—183.
- [14] L. Hörmander, *Symplectic classification of quadratic forms, and general Mehler formulas*, Math. Z., **219** (1995), 413–449.

- [15] J. Sjöstrand, *Singularités analytiques microlocales*, Asterisque 1982.
- [16] J. Sjöstrand, *Function spaces associated to global I-Lagrangian manifolds*, Structure of solutions of differential equations, Katata/Kyoto, 1995, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (1996).
- [17] C. Sogge, *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [18] J. Viola, *The elliptic evolution of non-self-adjoint degree-2 Hamiltonians*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00801>.
- [19] J. Virtanen, *On the product formula for Toeplitz and related operators*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.12345>.
- [20] M. Zworski, *Semiclassical Analysis*, AMS, 2012.