

ON THE NUMERICAL INDEX OF THE REAL TWO-DIMENSIONAL L_p SPACEJAVIER MERÍ¹ and ALICIA QUERO

Departamento de Análisis Matemático
 Facultad de Ciencias
 Universidad de Granada
 18071 Granada, SPAIN

E-mail addresses: jmeri@ugr.es, aliciaquero@ugr.es

ABSTRACT. We compute the numerical index of the two-dimensional real L_p space for $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and $3 \leq p \leq 6$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The numerical index of a Banach space is a constant relating the norm and the numerical radius of bounded linear operators on the space. Let us recall the relevant definitions. Given a Banach space X , we will write X^* for its topological dual and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, its *numerical radius* is defined as

$$v(T) := \{|x^*(Tx)| : x^* \in X^*, x \in X, \|x^*\| = \|x\| = x^*(x) = 1\}$$

which is a seminorm on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfying $v(T) \leq \|T\|$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. The *numerical index* of X is the constant given by

$$n(X) := \inf\{v(T) : T \in \mathcal{L}(X), \|T\| = 1\}$$

or, equivalently, $n(X)$ is the greatest constant $k \geq 0$ satisfying $k\|T\| \leq v(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Classical references on numerical index are the paper [3] and the monographs by F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan [1, 2] from the seventies. In the last decades this field of study has grown in various directions with the contribution of several authors. The reader will find the state of the art on the subject in the survey paper [8] and a more recent account in the first chapter of the book [7].

In the following we recall some results concerning the numerical index which will be relevant to our discussion. It is clear that $0 \leq n(X) \leq 1$ for every Banach space X . In the real case, the numerical index can take any value in $[0, 1]$. In the complex case, one has $1/e \leq n(X) \leq 1$ and all of these values are possible. Let us also mention that $v(T^*) = v(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, where T^* is the adjoint operator of T (see [1, § 9]), so it clearly follows that $n(X^*) \leq n(X)$. Although the equality does not always hold, when X is a reflexive space, one clearly gets $n(X) = n(X^*)$. There are some classical Banach spaces for which the numerical index has been calculated. If H is a Hilbert space of dimension greater than one, then $n(H) = 0$ in the real case and $n(H) = 1/2$ in the complex case. Besides, $n(L_1(\mu)) = 1$ and the same happens to all its isometric preduals. In particular, it follows that $n(C(K)) = 1$ for every compact K and the same is true for all finite-codimensional subspaces of $C[0, 1]$.

The computation of the numerical index of L_p -spaces when $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$ has proved to be a difficult task and remains as an important open problem in the theory of numerical index since it started. Let us present

Date: April 27th, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20, 47A12.

Key words and phrases. numerical radius, numerical index, L_p -spaces.

Research partially supported by projects PGC2018-093794-B-I00 (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE), P20-00255 (Junta de Andalucía/FEDER, UE), A-FQM-484-UGR18 (Junta de Andalucía/FEDER, UE), and FQM-185 (Junta de Andalucía/FEDER, UE). The second author is also supported by the Ph.D. scholarship FPU18/03057 (MECD).

¹Corresponding author. *E-mail:* jmeri@ugr.es

the known results on the matter. For $1 < p < \infty$, we write ℓ_p^m for the m -dimensional L_p -space, $q = p/(p-1)$ for the conjugate exponent of p , and

$$M_p := \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p} = \max_{t \geq 1} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p},$$

which is the numerical radius of the operator represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ defined on the real space ℓ_p^2 . This is stated in [9, Lemma 2], where it is also observed that $M_q = M_p$. It is known that the sequence $(n(\ell_p^m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and that $n(L_p(\mu)) = \inf\{n(\ell_p^m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for every measure μ such that $\dim(L_p(\mu)) = \infty$, this can be found in [4, 5, 6]. Moreover, in the real case, the inequality $n(L_p[0, 1]) \geq \frac{M_p}{12}$ holds for every $1 < p < \infty$ [10]. Also in the real case, one has $\max\{\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{2^{1/q}}\} M_p \leq n(\ell_p^2) \leq M_p$ [9].

Very recently, it has been proved [11] that the numerical index is attained at the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for many absolute and symmetric norms on \mathbb{R}^2 and, as a major consequence, it is shown that $n(\ell_p^2) = M_p$ for $\frac{3}{2} \leq p \leq 3$. In [12] the authors polished skilfully the arguments of [11] to provide a slight improvement: the equality $n(\ell_p^2) = M_p$ is proved for $1 + \alpha_0 \leq p \leq \alpha_1$ where α_0 is the root of $f(x) = 1 + x^{-2} - (x^{-\frac{1}{x}} + x^{\frac{1}{x}})$ and $\frac{1}{1+\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} = 1$ ($\alpha_0 \approx 0.4547$). The aim of this paper is to show that $n(\ell_p^2) = M_p$ holds for $p \in [\frac{6}{5}, 6]$. The main difference with previous works is the use of Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem (see [13, Theorem 2.1 in chapter 2], for instance) to estimate the norm of operators on ℓ_p^2 . More precisely, we will use that the inequality

$$\|T\| \leq \|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}$$

holds for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$.

To finish the introduction, we recall two facts about numerical radius that we will need in our discussion. Let X be a Banach space, and suppose that $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is an onto isometry. Then, for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, it is easy to check that

$$v(T) = v(\pm S^{-1}TS).$$

The following result, which can be deduced from [3, Lemma 3.2], will be useful to compute the numerical radius of operators in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$. Then*

$$v(T) = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|a + dt^p| + |bt + ct^{p-1}|}{1 + t^p}, \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|d + at^p| + |ct + bt^{p-1}|}{1 + t^p} \right\}.$$

In particular, $M_p = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}$.

2. THE RESULTS

Our first result gives some information about the point t_0 where the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$ attains its numerical radius which will be of help in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2. *Let $t_0 \in]0, 1[$ be such that $M_p = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p} = \frac{|t_0^{p-1} - t_0|}{1 + t_0^p}$. The inequalities*

$$\left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \leq t_0 \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{2p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad t_0^{2p-3} \leq \frac{q}{p}$$

hold for every $p \in [\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}]$.

Proof. We start showing that $\left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \leq t_0 \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{2p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. To do so, take $\xi = \frac{p-1}{3}$, define the functions

$$f(t) = \frac{t^\xi}{1+t^p} \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = t^{p-1-\xi} - t^{1-\xi} \quad (t \in [0, 1]),$$

and observe that $\frac{t^{p-1-t}}{1+t^p} = f(t)g(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that f increases until $t_1 = \left(\frac{\xi}{p-\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{p-1}{2p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and then decreases since

$$f'(t) = \frac{(\xi + (\xi - p)t^p)}{t^{1-\xi}(1+t^p)^2} \quad (0 < t < 1).$$

Similarly, g increases until $t_2 = \left(\frac{p-1-\xi}{1-\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} = \left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$ and then decreases as

$$g'(t) = \frac{(p-1-\xi) - (1-\xi)t^{2-p}}{t^{2-p+\xi}} \quad (0 < t < 1).$$

Therefore, the function $\frac{t^{p-1-t}}{1+t^p} = f(t)g(t)$ increases in the interval $]0, \min\{t_1, t_2}[$ and decreases in the interval $] \max\{t_1, t_2\}, 1[$, so we deduce that $\min\{t_1, t_2\} \leq t_0 \leq \max\{t_1, t_2\}$. Let us show now that $t_2 \leq t_1$. To do so, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \leq \left(\frac{p-1}{2p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\iff \frac{1}{2-p} \log\left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \log\left(\frac{p-1}{2p+1}\right) \\ &\iff p(\log(2) + \log(p-1) - \log(4-p)) \leq (2-p)(\log(p-1) - \log(2p+1)) \\ &\iff p \log(2) + (2p-2)\log(p-1) + (2-p)\log(2p+1) \leq p \log(4-p) \end{aligned}$$

and define the functions $h, k : \left[\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(p) = p \log(2) + (2p-2)\log(p-1) + (2-p)\log(2p+1) \quad \text{and} \quad k(p) = p \log(4-p).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} k'(p) &= \log(4-p) - \frac{p}{4-p} \\ k''(p) &= -\frac{1}{4-p} - \frac{4}{(4-p)^2} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get that k' is decreasing which, together with $k'(\frac{3}{2}) > 0$, tells us that k is increasing. Besides, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(p) &= \log(2) + 2\log(p-1) + 2 - \log(2p+1) + \frac{4-2p}{2p+1} \\ h''(p) &= \frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{2}{2p+1} - \frac{10}{(2p+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{4p^2 + 14}{(p-1)(2p+1)^2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $h'(p)$ is increasing. This, together with $h'(\frac{6}{5}) < 0$ and $h'(\frac{3}{2}) > 0$, tells us that

$$\max_{p \in \left[\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}\right]} h(p) = \max \left\{ h\left(\frac{6}{5}\right), h\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right\} = h\left(\frac{6}{5}\right).$$

So $\max_{p \in \left[\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}\right]} h(p) = h\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) < k\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) < \min_{p \in \left[\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}\right]} k(p)$.

The inequality $t_0^{2p-3} \leq \frac{q}{p} = \frac{1}{p-1}$ is equivalent to $1 \leq \frac{1}{p-1} t_0^{3-2p}$. As we already know that $\left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \leq t_0$, the required inequality will follow if we prove that

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left(\frac{2p-2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{3-2p}{2-p}},$$

which is equivalent to show that

$$\left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{3-2p} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)^{p-1}}.$$

To see this, consider the functions $\phi, \psi : [\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\phi(p) = \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{3-2p} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(p) = \frac{1}{(p-1)^{p-1}},$$

and observe that ϕ is decreasing since

$$\phi'(p) = \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{3-2p} \left(-2 \log\left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right) - \frac{3-2p}{4-p}\right) < 0$$

for $\frac{6}{5} \leq p < \frac{3}{2}$. Besides, we have that

$$\psi'(p) = (p-1)^{(1-p)} (-\log(p-1) - 1)$$

so ψ increases in $]\frac{6}{5}, 1 + \frac{1}{e}[$ and decreases in $]1 + \frac{1}{e}, \frac{3}{2}[$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\min_{p \in [\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}]} \psi(p) = \min \left\{ \psi\left(\frac{6}{5}\right), \psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \right\} = \psi\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) > \phi\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) = \max_{p \in [\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}]} \phi(p)$$

which finishes the proof. \square

We are ready to present and prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3. *Let $p \in [\frac{6}{5}, 6]$. Then,*

$$n(\ell_p^2) = M_p = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}.$$

Proof. Using that $n(\ell_p^2) = n(\ell_q^2)$ and that the result is already known for $p \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ we only need to work for $1 < p \leq \frac{3}{2}$. We divide the proof into three claims, the first two are valid for all the values of $1 < p \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} n(\ell_p^2) &= \inf \left\{ \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf \left\{ \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), \|T\|_\infty \leq \|T\|_1 \right\}, \inf \left\{ \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), \|T\|_1 \leq \|T\|_\infty \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [9, Remark 3], if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$ is such that $\|T\|_\infty \leq \|T\|_1$, then $M_p = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{t^{p-1} - t}{1 + t^p} \leq \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|}$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), \|T\|_1 \leq \|T\|_\infty \right\} \geq M_p. \quad (1)$$

In order to give a lower estimation for $v(T)$, we make some observations. First, we may suppose that $\|T\|_1 = \max\{|a| + |c|, |b| + |d|\} = |a| + |c|$. Indeed, the operator

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies that $v(S) = v(T)$ and $\|S\| = \|T\|$ since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an isometry and $S^{-1} = S$.

In addition, we may assume that $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$. Indeed, if $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, we may consider the operator $S = \begin{pmatrix} |a| & |b| \\ -|c| & -|d| \end{pmatrix}$ which clearly satisfies that $\|S\| = \|T\|$ and $v(S) \leq v(T)$.

So, from now on we consider operators of the form $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and satisfying $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq \|T\|_\infty$. For this class of operators, using Lemma 1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v(T) &= \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|a - dt^p| + |bt - ct^{p-1}|}{1 + t^p}, \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|d - at^p| + |ct - bt^{p-1}|}{1 + t^p} \right\} \\ &\geq \max \left\{ \frac{|a - dt_0^p| + |bt_0 - ct_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p}, \frac{|d - at_0^p| + |ct_0 - bt_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where t_0 is taken as in Lemma 2. Let us write

$$F(T) = \frac{|a - dt_0^p| + |bt_0 - ct_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p} \quad \text{and} \quad G(T) = \frac{|d - at_0^p| + |ct_0 - bt_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p}$$

and recall that by Riesz-Thorin theorem we have that $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}$. Hence, using (2), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf \left\{ \frac{v(T)}{\|T\|} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), \|T\|_1 \leq \|T\|_\infty \right\} \geq \\ &\inf \left\{ \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c, d \geq 0, \|T\|_1 = a + c \leq \|T\|_\infty \right\} := (\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1), to prove the theorem it is enough to show that

$$(\alpha) \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

To do so, we distinguish three cases:

- $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq a + b = \|T\|_\infty$.
- $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq c + d = \|T\|_\infty$ and $c + a - d \leq ct_0^{2-p}$.
- $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq c + d = \|T\|_\infty$ and $ct_0^{2-p} \leq c + a - d$.

CLAIM 1. Let $1 < p \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ be a non-zero operator in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$ with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq a + b = \|T\|_\infty$. Then

$$(\alpha) \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

Observe that it suffices to prove that $(\alpha)_F \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}$ where

$$(\alpha)_F := \inf \left\{ \frac{F(T)}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c, d \geq 0, \|T\|_1 = a + c \leq a + b = \|T\|_\infty \right\}.$$

Note that the restriction $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq a + b = \|T\|_\infty$ is equivalent to impose $c \leq b$ and $b + d \leq a + c$, which clearly implies $d \leq a$ and so

$$F(T) = \frac{a - dt_0^p + |bt_0 - ct_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

In order to estimate $(\alpha)_F$ we may suppose that $bt_0^{2-p} \leq c$ (equivalently, $bt_0 \leq ct_0^{p-1}$). Indeed, if otherwise $bt_0^{2-p} > c$, we consider the operator $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -bt_0^{2-p} & -d \end{pmatrix}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Claim 1 since

$$\|S\|_1 = a + bt_0^{2-p} > a + c \geq b + d \quad \text{and} \quad \|S\|_\infty = a + b > d + bt_0^{2-p}.$$

Moreover, $\|S\|_1 > \|T\|_1$, $\|S\|_\infty = \|T\|_\infty$, and $F(S) = \frac{a - dt_0^p}{1 + t_0^p} < F(T)$, so

$$\frac{F(S)}{\|S\|_1^{1/p} \|S\|_\infty^{1/q}} < \frac{F(T)}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}}.$$

Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha)_F &= \inf \left\{ \frac{F(T)}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} : 0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2), T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}, a, b, c, d \geq 0, c \leq b, b + d \leq a + c \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + t_0^p} \inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in A_1} \frac{a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{(a+c)^{1/p}(a+b)^{1/q}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1 = \left\{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} : a, b, c, d \geq 0, bt_0^{2-p} \leq c \leq b, b + d \leq a + c \right\}.$$

From the restriction $d \leq a + c - b$, it follows that

$$a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0 \geq a(1 - t_0^p) + b(t_0^p - t_0) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0^p)$$

so

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in A_1} \frac{a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{(a+c)^{1/p}(a+b)^{1/q}} \geq \inf_{(a,b,c) \in A_2} \frac{a(1 - t_0^p) + b(t_0^p - t_0) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0^p)}{(a+c)^{1/p}(a+b)^{1/q}},$$

where

$$A_2 = \left\{ (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} : a, b, c \geq 0, bt_0^{2-p} \leq c \leq b \leq a + c \right\}.$$

We define the function

$$f(a, b, c) = \frac{a(1 - t_0^p) + b(t_0^p - t_0) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0^p)}{(a+c)^{1/p}(a+b)^{1/q}} \quad ((a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3)$$

and our goal is to show that

$$\inf_{(a,b,c) \in A_2} f(a, b, c) \geq t_0^{p-1} - t_0.$$

Observe that f decreases in the variable b since $t_0^p - t_0 < 0$, so using that $b \leq a + c$, it is clear that $f(a, b, c) \geq f(a, a + c, c)$ for every $(a, b, c) \in A_2$. Therefore, we have to minimize the two-variable function given by

$$g(a, c) = f(a, a + c, c) = \frac{a(1 - t_0) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0)}{(a+c)^{1/p}(2a+c)^{1/q}} \quad ((a, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$$

on the set $A_3 = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} : a, c \geq 0, at_0^{2-p} \leq c(1 - t_0^{2-p}) \right\}$.

To get the inequality $g(a, c) \geq t_0^{p-1} - t_0$ it suffices to show that g is decreasing in c , since in such a case it follows that $g(a, c) \geq \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g(a, c) = t_0^{p-1} - t_0$ for every $(a, c) \in A_3$ as desired. So let us prove that

$\frac{\partial g}{\partial c}(a, c) \leq 0$ for every $(a, c) \in A_3$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial g}{\partial c}(a, c) (a+c)^{1/p+1} (2a+c)^{1/q+1} \\ &= (t_0^{p-1} - t_0)(a+c)(2a+c) - \left(a(1 - t_0) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0) \right) \left(\frac{1}{p}(2a+c) + \frac{1}{q}(a+c) \right) \\ &= \left(2t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) a^2 + \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1 \right) ac. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$2t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1 - \frac{1}{p}(1 - t_0^{p-1}) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1,$$

so to finish the proof of the claim it is enough to show that $\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)t_0^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t_0 - 1 \leq 0$. To do so, define the function

$$u(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)t^{p-1} - \frac{1}{q}t - 1 \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

and note that $u(1) = 0$. Thus, the inequality $u(t) \leq 0$ will hold for every $t \in [0, 1]$ if we prove that u is an increasing function. This is easy to check as

$$u'(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)(p-1)t^{p-2} - \frac{1}{q}$$

and

$$u''(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)(p-1)(p-2)t^{p-3} \leq 0$$

for every $t \in]0, 1[$, hence u' is decreasing. Since $u'(1) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)(p-1) - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{q}(p-1) \geq 0$, it follows that $u'(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in]0, 1[$ and u is an increasing function as desired. Therefore, Claim 1 is proved.

Now we consider the operators satisfying $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq c + d = \|T\|_\infty$. Observe that this restriction is equivalent to impose $a \leq d$ and $b + d \leq a + c$ (and also gives $b \leq c$), so from now on we have

$$F(T) = \frac{|a - dt_0^p| + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{1 + t_0^p} \quad \text{and} \quad G(T) = \frac{d - at_0^p + |ct_0 - bt_0^{p-1}|}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

CLAIM 2. Let $1 < p \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ be a non-zero operator in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$ with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$, $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq c + d = \|T\|_\infty$, and $c + a - d \leq ct_0^{2-p}$. Then

$$(\alpha) \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

In this case we will use only $G(T)$ to estimate (α) . Define

$$B_1 = \left\{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} : a, b, c, d \geq 0, a \leq d, b \leq c + a - d \leq ct_0^{2-p} \right\}$$

and observe that

$$(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{1 + t_0^p} \inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in B_1} \frac{d - at_0^p + ct_0 - bt_0^{p-1}}{(a+c)^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}}.$$

Using that $b \leq c + a - d$, we obtain

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in B_1} \frac{d - at_0^p + ct_0 - bt_0^{p-1}}{(a+c)^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}} \geq \inf_{(a,c,d) \in B_2} \frac{-a(t_0^{p-1} + t_0^p) - c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0) + d(1 + t_0^{p-1})}{(a+c)^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}},$$

where $B_2 = \left\{ (a, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} : a, c, d \geq 0, a \leq d - c(1 - t_0^{2-p}) \right\}$. Therefore, defining

$$f(a, c, d) = \frac{-a(t_0^{p-1} + t_0^p) - c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0) + d(1 + t_0^{p-1})}{(a+c)^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}} \quad ((a, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^3),$$

our problem is to show that

$$\inf_{(a,c,d) \in B_2} f(a, c, d) \geq t_0^{p-1} - t_0.$$

It is clear that f is decreasing in a , therefore $f(a, c, d) \geq f(d - c(1 - t_0^{2-p}), c, d)$ for every $(a, c, d) \in B_2$, so we have to minimize

$$g(c, d) = f(d - c(1 - t_0^{2-p}), c, d) = \frac{c(t_0^p - t_0^2) + d(1 - t_0^p)}{(d + ct_0^{2-p})^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}} \quad ((c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$$

on the set $B_3 = \{(c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} : c, d \geq 0, c(1 - t_0^{2-p}) \leq d\}$. Observe that g is increasing in d since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial d}(c, d) & (d + ct_0^{2-p})^{1/p+1} (c+d)^{1/q+1} \\ &= (1 - t_0^p)(d + ct_0^{2-p})(c+d) - (ct_0^p - t_0^2) + d(1 - t_0^p) \left(\frac{1}{p}(c+d) + \frac{1}{q}(d + ct_0^{2-p}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q}(1 - t_0^p)(c+d)d - \frac{1}{q}(d + ct_0^{2-p})(ct_0^p - t_0^2) + d(1 - t_0^p) + \left(t_0^{2-p} - \frac{1}{q}t_0^2 - \frac{1}{p}t_0^p \right) (c+d)c \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q}(1 - t_0^p)(c+d)d - \frac{1}{q}(c+d)(ct_0^p - t_0^2) + d(1 - t_0^p) + \left(t_0^{2-p} - \frac{1}{q}t_0^2 - \frac{1}{p}t_0^p \right) (c+d)c \\ &= (t_0^{2-p} - t_0^p)(c+d)c \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $(c, d) \in B_3$, we have that

$$g(c, d) \geq g(c, c(1 - t_0^{2-p})) = \frac{1 - t_0^{2-p}}{(2 - t_0^{2-p})^{1/q}} = \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{(2t_0^p - t_0^2)^{1/q}} \geq t_0^{p-1} - t_0,$$

where we have used that

$$t_0^p \leq \frac{p-1}{2p+1} \leq p-1$$

by Lemma 2 and so, $2t_0^p - t_0^2 \leq 2t_0^p \leq 2p-2 \leq 1$.

We consider now the remaining case.

CLAIM 3. Let $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ be a non-zero operator in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$ with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$, $\|T\|_1 = a + c \leq c + d = \|T\|_\infty$, and $ct_0^{2-p} \leq c + a - d$. Then

$$(\alpha) \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}.$$

First, in order to estimate (α) , observe that we may suppose that $a \geq dt_0^p$. Indeed, if otherwise $a < dt_0^p$, we consider the operator $S = \begin{pmatrix} dt_0^p & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Claim 3 since $ct_0^{2-p} \leq c + a - d < c + dt_0^p - d$,

$$\|S\|_1 = dt_0^p + c > a + c \geq b + d, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S\|_\infty = c + d \geq b + d > b + dt_0^p.$$

Moreover, $\|S\|_1 > \|T\|_1$, $\|S\|_\infty = \|T\|_\infty$,

$$F(S) = \frac{ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{1 + t_0^p} < F(T), \quad \text{and} \quad G(S) = \frac{d - dt_0^{2p} + |bt_0^{p-1} - ct_0|}{1 + t_0^p} < G(T),$$

so

$$\frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} \geq \frac{\max\{F(S), G(S)\}}{\|S\|_1^{1/p} \|S\|_\infty^{1/q}}.$$

Additionally, we may assume that $ct_0^{2-p} \leq b$. Indeed, if otherwise $ct_0^{2-p} > b$, we consider the operator $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & ct_0^{2-p} \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Claim 3 since $ct_0^{2-p} \leq c + a - d$,

$$\|S\|_1 = a + c \geq ct_0^{2-p} + d, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S\|_\infty = c + d > ct_0^{2-p} + a.$$

Furthermore, $\|S\|_1 = \|T\|_1$, $\|S\|_\infty = \|T\|_\infty$, and, using that $ct_0^{2-p} > b$, it is clear that

$$F(S) = \frac{a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - ct_0^{2-p}t_0}{1 + t_0^p} < F(T) \quad \text{and} \quad G(S) = \frac{d - at_0^p}{1 + t_0^p} < G(T),$$

so

$$\frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} \geq \frac{\max\{F(S), G(S)\}}{\|S\|_1^{1/p} \|S\|_\infty^{1/q}}.$$

Therefore, we assume from now on that $a, b, c, d \geq 0$, $dt_0^p \leq a \leq d$, and $ct_0^{2-p} \leq b \leq c + a - d$. Under such restrictions, we have

$$F(T) = \frac{a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{1 + t_0^p} \quad \text{and} \quad G(T) = \frac{d - at_0^p + bt_0^{p-1} - ct_0}{1 + t_0^p}$$

and so our goal is to give a lower bound of

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in C_1} \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}}$$

where $C_1 = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} : a, b, c, d \geq 0, dt_0^p \leq a \leq d, ct_0^{2-p} \leq b \leq c + a - d\}$. To do so, notice that

$$F(T) \leq G(T) \iff a - dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0 \leq d - at_0^p + bt_0^{p-1} - ct_0 \iff b \geq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}, \quad (3)$$

and the equality holds if and only if $b = c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$. Our next step is to observe that we may compute the infimum using only operators satisfying $b = c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$, but first we need to show that

$$ct_0^{2-p} \leq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} \leq c + a - d.$$

On the one hand, we claim that $1 + t_0^p \geq t_0^{p-1} + t_0$ and, consequently, $c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} \leq c + a - d$. Consider the function $u: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$u(t) = 1 + t^p - t^{p-1} - t \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

and observe that $u(1) = 0$. Hence, the inequality $1 + t^p \geq t^{p-1} + t_0$ will follow immediately if we prove that u decreases in t . Indeed,

$$u'(t) = pt^{p-1} - (p-1)t^{p-2} - 1$$

and

$$u''(t) = p(p-1)t^{p-2} - (p-1)(p-2)t^{p-3} \geq 0$$

for every $t \in]0, 1[$, thus u' is increasing. Since $u'(1) = 0$, it follows that $u'(t) \leq 0$ for every $t \in]0, 1[$ as desired.

On the other hand, we may and do assume that $ct_0^{2-p} \leq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$. Indeed, if otherwise $c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} < ct_0^{2-p}$, we consider the operator $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & ct_0^{2-p} \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ which satisfies the conditions in C_1 . Moreover, $\|S\|_1 = \|T\|_1$, $\|S\|_\infty = \|T\|_\infty$, and $G(S) = \frac{d - at_0^p}{1 + t_0^p} < G(T)$. Now, it follows from (3) that $F(T) < G(T)$ and $F(S) < G(S)$ as $c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} < ct_0^{2-p} \leq b$, therefore

$$\max\{F(S), G(S)\} = G(S) < G(T) = \max\{F(T), G(T)\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in C_1} \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} \geq \inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in C_2} \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}}$$

where

$$C_2 = \left\{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} : a, b, c, d \geq 0, dt_0^p \leq a \leq d, ct_0^{2-p} \leq b \leq c + a - d, ct_0^{2-p} \leq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} \right\}.$$

Let us observe that the infimum

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in C_2} \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}}$$

can be computed using only operators satisfying $F(T) = G(T)$, that is, satisfying $b = c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$.

Indeed, if T is an operator satisfying the conditions in C_2 , consider the operator

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$$

which clearly satisfies the conditions in C_2 , $F(S) = G(S)$, and $\|S\|_1^{1/p} \|S\|_\infty^{1/q} = \|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}$. Observe that if $b \leq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$ then $\max\{F(T), G(T)\} = F(T) \geq F(S)$. If otherwise $b \geq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$ then $\max\{F(T), G(T)\} = G(T) \geq G(S)$. So in either case we have $F(S) = G(S) \leq \max\{F(T), G(T)\}$.

For operators satisfying satisfying $b = c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(T) = G(T) &= \frac{a \left(1 - t_0 \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}\right) + d \left(t_0 \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} - t_0^p\right) + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0)}{1 + t_0^p} \\ &= \frac{a \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0^{p+1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} + d \frac{t_0 - t_0^{2p-1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0)}{1 + t_0^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, defining the function

$$f(a, c, d) = \frac{a \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0^{p+1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} + d \frac{t_0 - t_0^{2p-1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} + c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0)}{(a + c)^{1/p} (c + d)^{1/q}} \quad ((a, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^3)$$

we have that

$$\inf_{(a,b,c,d) \in C_2} \frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + t_0^p} \inf_{(a,c,d) \in C_3} f(a, c, d)$$

where

$$C_3 = \left\{ (a, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} : a, c, d \geq 0, dt_0^p \leq a \leq d, ct_0^{2-p} \leq c - (d - a) \frac{1 + t_0^p}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} \right\}.$$

Our aim is to prove that f decreases in c for $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}$. In such a case, it is clear that

$$f(a, c, d) \geq \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} f(a, c, d) = t_0^{p-1} - t_0$$

for every $(a, c, d) \in C_3$ and, as a consequence, $(\alpha) \geq \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0}{1 + t_0^p}$ as desired.

So, let us show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial c}(a, c, d) \leq 0$ for every $(a, c, d) \in C_3$. Calling $K = a \frac{t_0^{p-1} - t_0^{p+1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0} + d \frac{t_0 - t_0^{2p-1}}{t_0^{p-1} + t_0}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial c}(a, c, d) & (a+c)^{1/p+1}(c+d)^{1/q+1} \\ &= (t_0^{p-1} - t_0)(a+c)(c+d) - \left(c(t_0^{p-1} - t_0) + K\right) \left(\frac{1}{p}(c+d) + \frac{1}{q}(a+c)\right) \\ &= \left((t_0^{p-1} - t_0) \left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{d}{q}\right) - K\right) c + (t_0^{p-1} - t_0)ad - K \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{d}{p}\right) \\ &\leq \left((t_0^{p-1} - t_0) \left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{d}{q}\right) - K\right) \left(c + \frac{a}{q} + \frac{d}{p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{d}{q}\right) \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{d}{p}\right) = \frac{a^2 + d^2}{pq} + \left(\frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{q^2}\right) ad = \frac{(a-d)^2}{pq} + ad \geq ad.$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (t_0^{p-1} - t_0) \left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{d}{q}\right) - K \leq 0 &\iff (t_0^{2p-2} - t_0^2) \left(\frac{a}{p} + \frac{d}{q}\right) \leq a(t_0^{p-1} - t_0^{p+1}) + d(t_0 - t_0^{2p-1}) \\ &\iff a \left(t_0^{p-1} - t_0^{p+1} - \frac{1}{p}t_0^{2p-2} + \frac{1}{p}t_0^2\right) \geq d \left(\frac{1}{q}t_0^{2p-2} - \frac{1}{q}t_0^2 - t_0 + t_0^{2p-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last inequality, using that $a \geq dt_0^p$, it is enough to prove that

$$t_0^{2p-1} - t_0^{2p+1} - \frac{1}{p}t_0^{3p-2} + \frac{1}{p}t_0^{p+2} \geq \frac{1}{q}t_0^{2p-2} - \frac{1}{q}t_0^2 - t_0 + t_0^{2p-1}$$

which, decomposing $t_0 = \frac{1}{p}t_0 + \frac{1}{q}t_0$ and $t_0^{2p+1} = \frac{1}{p}t_0^{2p+1} + \frac{1}{q}t_0^{2p+1}$, is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \left(t_0 + t_0^{p+2} - t_0^{2p+1} - t_0^{3p-2}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{q} \left(t_0^{2p-2} + t_0^{2p+1} - t_0^2 - t_0\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q}t_0^{2p-3} \left(t_0 + t_0^4 - t_0^{5-2p} - t_0^{4-2p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $t_0 + t_0^{p+2} - t_0^{2p+1} - t_0^{3p-2} \geq t_0 + t_0^4 - t_0^{5-2p} - t_0^{4-2p}$ for $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq \frac{3}{2}$ as

$$t_0^{p+2} - t_0^4 + t_0^{5-2p} - t_0^{2p+1} + t_0^{4-2p} - t_0^{3p-2} = t_0^{p+2} \left(1 - t_0^{2-p}\right) + t_0^{5-2p} \left(1 - t_0^{4p-4}\right) + t_0^{4-2p} \left(1 - t_0^{5p-6}\right) \geq 0,$$

therefore, it suffices to show that $t_0^{2p-3} \leq \frac{q}{p}$. But this inequality holds for $p \in [\frac{6}{5}, \frac{3}{2}]$ thanks to Lemma 2 and so Claim 3 is proved. \square

Remark 4. The only use of the restriction $\frac{6}{5} \leq p$ in the above proof was to guarantee that the inequality

$$t_0^{p+2} - t_0^4 + t_0^{5-2p} - t_0^{2p+1} + t_0^{4-2p} - t_0^{3p-2} = t_0^{p+2} \left(1 - t_0^{2-p}\right) + t_0^{5-2p} \left(1 - t_0^{4p-4}\right) + t_0^{4-2p} \left(1 - t_0^{5p-6}\right) \geq 0$$

holds. This inequality remains true for some values of p smaller than $\frac{6}{5}$ but close to it. The same happens with Lemma 2, so our procedure can give the equality $n(\ell_p^2) = M_p$ for a little wider range of values of p . However it seems that it does not work for p close to 1. Indeed, for $p = 1.16$, numerical computations give $t_0 \approx 0.073924$ and $M_p \approx 0.558064$. Besides, the operator $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$a = 0.0487295, \quad b = 13.639181, \quad c = 15, \quad \text{and} \quad d = 1$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\max\{F(T), G(T)\}}{\|T\|_1^{1/p} \|T\|_\infty^{1/q}} = \frac{1}{1+t_0^p} \frac{a-dt_0^p + ct_0^{p-1} - bt_0}{(a+c)^{1/p}(c+d)^{1/q}} \approx 0.557895 < M_p.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. F. BONSALL, AND J. DUNCAN, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **2**, Cambridge 1971.
- [2] F. F. BONSALL, AND J. DUNCAN, *Numerical Ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **10**, Cambridge 1973.
- [3] J. DUNCAN, C. M. MCGREGOR, J. D. PRYCE AND A. J. WHITE, The numerical index of a normed space, *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** (1970), 481–488.
- [4] E. ED-DARI, On the numerical index of Banach spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **403** (2005), 86–96.
- [5] E. ED-DARI AND M. KHAMSI, The numerical index of the L_p space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 2019–2025.
- [6] E. ED-DARI, M. KHAMSI, AND A. AKSOY, On the numerical index of vector-valued function spaces, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **55** (2007), 507–513.
- [7] V. KADETS, M. MARTÍN, J. MERÍ, AND A. PÉREZ, *Spear operators between Banach spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2205**. Springer, Cham, 2018. xv+161 pp.
- [8] V. KADETS, M. MARTÍN, AND R. PAYÁ, Recent progress and open questions on the numerical index of Banach spaces, *Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat.* **100** (2006), 155–182.
- [9] M. MARTÍN AND J. MERÍ, A note on the numerical index of the L_p space of dimension two, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **57** (2009), 201–204.
- [10] M. MARTÍN, J. MERÍ, AND M. POPOV, On the numerical index of real $L_p(\mu)$ -spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **184** (2011), 183–192.
- [11] J. MERÍ AND A. QUERO, On the numerical index of absolute symmetric norms on the plane, *Linear Mult. Algebra* **69** (2021), no. 5, 971–979.
- [12] MONIKA AND B. ZHENG, The numerical index of ℓ_p^2 , *Linear Mult. Algebra* (2022), published online, 1–6. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2022.2043818
- [13] E. STEIN, R. SHAKARCHI, *Functional analysis. Introduction to further topics in analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, **4**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011. xviii+423 pp.