

# NORMALIZED IMAGE OF A VECTOR BY AN INFINITE PRODUCT OF NONNEGATIVE MATRICES

ALAIN THOMAS

*Dedicated to the memory of Adrien Douady*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\nu$  be a measure on the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , linearly representable by means of a finite set  $\mathcal{M}$  of square nonnegative matrices. To prove that  $\nu$  has the weak Gibbs property, one generally use the uniform convergence on  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of the sequence of vectors  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n c}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n c\|}$ , where  $c$  is a positive column-vector. We give a sufficient condition for this sequence to converge, that we apply to some measures defined by Bernoulli convolution. Secondly we prove that the sequence of matrices  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n\|}$  in general diverges.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns the sofic measures and the infinite products of matrices. It does not contain any result about the first subject, nevertheless the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) about the image of a vector by an infinite product of nonnegative matrices is applied in §3.2 to some sofic measures, called Bernoulli convolutions.

By Carathéodory's extension theorem one can define a probability measure  $\nu$  on the Borel algebra of the set  $\Omega_a := \{0, 1, \dots, a-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , by setting – for any any cylinder-set  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] := \{\xi \in \Omega_a ; \xi_1 = \omega_1, \dots, \xi_n = \omega_n\}$

$$(1) \quad \nu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] := r_{\omega_1} M_{\omega_2} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c$$

where  $r_0, \dots, r_{a-1}$  are  $d$ -dimensional nonnegative row-vectors (i.e. with nonnegative entries),  $M_0, \dots, M_{a-1}$  are  $d \times d$  nonnegative matrices, and  $c$  a  $d$ -dimensional positive column-vector (i.e. with positive entries), such that  $\sum_i r_i c = 1$  and  $\sum_i M_i c = c$ .

**Remark 0.1.** *This generalize the definition of a Markov probability measure  $\mu$  by the classical formula  $\mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] := p_{\omega_1} p_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \cdots p_{\omega_{n-1}, \omega_n}$ , because this formula has the form (1) with  $r_k := (0 \dots p_k \dots 0)$ ,  $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,*

$$M_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & p_{0,k} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & p_{a-1,k} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (matrix with null columns except the } (k+1)^{\text{th}} \text{).}$$

The measure  $\nu$  is called "linearly representable" [6, Definition 4.12]. This measure is also called "sofic", "hidden Markov chain", "function of Markov

---

*Date:* August 2021.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15B48; 28A12.

*Key words and phrases.* Infinite products of nonnegative matrices; Projective spaces; Functions of finite Markov chains; Bernoulli convolutions.

chain”, ”probabilistic function of Markov chain”, see [6, §2.4 and Theorem 4.20], [16, 34, 46] and [51, §2].

The third section concerns some linearly representable measures defined by Bernoulli convolution [43]. The Bernoulli convolution associated to a real  $\beta > 1$  and a positive probability vector  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_{b-1})$ , is the probability distribution of the random variable

$$(2) \quad \Omega_b \ni (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^n} \quad \text{with } \beta > 1, \varepsilon_n \text{ i.i.d., } P(\varepsilon_n = k) = p_k.$$

We denote this measure by  $\nu = \nu_{\beta,p}$ , or  $\nu = \nu_{\beta,b}$  in the case  $p_k = \text{constant} = \frac{1}{b}$ . Clearly  $\nu$  satisfies the self-similarity relation

$$(3) \quad \nu(B) = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} p_k \nu(\beta B - k).$$

By [30, §4] this equation has a unique solution. If  $\beta$  is an integer and if  $B$  is the interval of the reals  $x \in [0, 1)$  whose  $\beta$ -expansion begins by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ , the relation (3) may be rewritten in the following matrix form (see §3.2):

$$(4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu(B) \\ \nu(B+1) \\ \vdots \\ \nu(B+q) \end{pmatrix} = M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu \quad \text{with } M_k := \begin{pmatrix} p_k & p_{k-1} & \cdots & p_{k-q} \\ p_{k+\beta} & p_{k+\beta-1} & \cdots & p_{k+\beta-q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{k+\beta q} & p_{k+\beta q-1} & \cdots & p_{k+\beta q-q} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\forall i \notin \{0, \dots, b-1\} p_i := 0$ , and  $q+1 := \left\lceil \frac{b-1}{\beta-1} \right\rceil$  is the order of the matrix  $M_k$  ( $k \in \{0, \dots, \beta-1\}$ ), and the column-vector  $c_\nu$  has entries  $\nu([0, 1) + h)$  ( $h \in \{0, \dots, q\}$ ). One can say that the measures  $\nu(\cdot + h)$  are linearly representable with respect to the numeration in base  $\beta$ . If the measures  $\nu(\cdot + h)$  have densities  $\psi_h$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure, the curve parametrized by  $(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_q)$  is called ”fundamental curve associated to the matrices  $M_k$ ” and studied for instance in [36].

In §3 we deduce, from the uniform convergence of  $n \mapsto \frac{M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu}{\|M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu\|}$ , the weak-Gibbs property of  $\nu_{\beta,p}(\cdot + h)$  when  $\beta$  is an integer. In the less immediate case where  $\beta \approx 1.755$  is the real solution of the equation  $\beta^3 = 2\beta^2 - \beta + 1$ , we prove in the same way the weak-Gibbs property of  $\nu_{\beta,p}(\cdot/(\beta-1))$  when  $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . See [53, §5], [23, Definition 1.1], [31, Definition 1.1] for the definition of the weak-Gibbs property, and [15] for the continuous singularity:  $\nu_{\beta,p}$  does not have a density when  $\beta$  is a Pisot number less than 2 and  $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . On <https://kozyakin.github.io/jsrbib/JSRbib.html> are listed hundreds of papers about the infinite products of matrices. We do not use them nor other papers on the same subject [4, 24, 38, 39, ...], nevertheless we note below some correlations or analogies with more general results and applications contained in these papers.

The first section concerns the existence of the vector  $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n c}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n c\|}$ , where the matrices  $A_n$  are  $d \times d$  nonnegative and  $c$  a  $d$ -dimensional positive column-vector. Suppose there exists  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_1 \cdots A_n$ , then the limit-vector  $v$  also exists and is equal to  $\frac{Ac}{\|Ac\|}$  provided  $Ac \neq 0$ . Unfortunately  $A = 0$  by a direct consequence of [14, Theorem 1], if the set of matrices  $\{M; A_n = M \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$  is finite and if the elements of this set do not have a common left-eigenvector for the eigenvalue 1.

So we use other methods in the examples of §3. In the first example we use [48, Theorem 3.10] (contraction property of the positive matrices). According to this theorem, any matrix  $M$  whose rows are either positive or null, has a Birkhoff contraction coefficient  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(M) < 1$ . But with the matrices of the second example, as explained in Appendix A, there exists a non-countable set of sequences  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(A_m \cdots A_n) = 1$  for any  $m$  and  $n$ ; this is why we use Theorem 1.1, whose proof is simpler than [48, §3.4], where the precise value of the Birkhoff contraction coefficient is computed.

We look now at the left-products of  $2 \times 2$  nonnegative matrices. If the sequence of left-products  $n \mapsto A_n \cdots A_1$  converges and if  $\{M ; \exists n A_n = M\}$  is finite and equal to  $\{M ; A_n = M \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$ , then one can compute by hands  $A_n \cdots A_1$ , distinguishing the cases where the common nonnegative right-eigenvector is positive or not [50, §1.1]. For any sequence of stochastic matrices  $S_n = \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 1-x_n \\ y_n & 1-y_n \end{pmatrix}$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for  $n \mapsto S_n \cdots S_1$  to converge is obvious after computation of this product [50, Proposition 1.2]. Note that the  $(2, 1)$ -entry of  $S := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \cdots S_1$  is

$$(5) \quad s_{2,1} = y_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} y_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \det S_i.$$

Mukherjea, Nakassis, Ratti [38, 39] study the weak limit  $\lambda$  of the distribution of the random variable  $Y_n \cdots Y_1$  when the random matrices  $Y_n$  are i.i.d in a finite set of stochastic matrices with positive entries, that is, a set of matrices of the form  $M_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k & 1-x_k \\ y_k & 1-y_k \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x_k, y_k \in (0, 1)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . In view of (5) it is the random variable

$$\{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \ni (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto y_{k_1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} y_{k_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \det M_{k_i}.$$

In the special case where  $x_{k+1} - x_k$  and  $x_k - y_k$  do not depend on  $k$ , one recognizes the Bernoulli convolution defined in (2) (up to an affine transformation). From [38, Proposition 1] the density of the Bernoulli convolution  $\nu_{\beta, N}$  with  $\beta = \sqrt[m]{N}$ , is a piecewise polynomial of degree not exceeding  $m$ . The main result of [38] concerns the continuous singularity of  $\lambda$ , and does not include the case of the Bernoulli convolutions  $\nu_{\beta, b}$  with  $\beta < b$ . It is extended to the  $d \times d$  matrices in [37].

About the infinite products of matrices in general, the introduction of [9] for instance deals with:

\* RCP sets: sets of matrices  $\{M_k\}_{k \in K}$  such that the limit-matrix  $M(\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n}$  exists for any sequence  $\omega \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

\* continuous RCP sets: finite sets  $\{M_0, \dots, M_{b-1}\}$  such that  $M(\cdot)$  is continuous for the usual topology on  $\Omega_b$ ,

\* real-continuous RCP sets:  $M(\omega(\cdot))$  is continuous, where  $\omega(x) = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the expansion of  $x \in [0, 1)$  in base  $b$  ( $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_n / b^n$ ).

The sixth section of [9] give some details about the applications of the RCP sets: nonhomogeneous Markov chains, self-similar curves [2] like the ones of von Koch and de Rham, lattice two-scale difference functional equations [7, 8, 1, 52], that is, the equations of the form

$$(6) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n f(ax - n) \quad (a, N \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 2, c_n \in \mathbb{C}).$$

We note that if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a nonnegative solution of (6), then the measure with density  $f$  (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) is solution of (3) with  $\beta = a$ ,  $b = N + 1$ ,  $p_n = c_n/a$  ( $n = 0, \dots, N$ ), and one has  $\sum_{n=0}^N c_n = a$ . This measure is the Bernoulli convolution  $\nu_{a,p}$  up to a multiplicative constant. In the case where  $c_n$  is constant, the equation (6) has a solution if and only if  $a$  divides  $b = N + 1$ , because from [13, Proposition 5.3] the measure  $\nu_{a,b}$  is absolutely continuous only in this case. Another example with  $c_n$  non-constant is given at the end of [9, §6]. In [8, §2] (case  $a = 2$  with  $\sum_{n \text{ even}} c_n = \sum_{n \text{ odd}} c_n$ ) the function  $f$ , if exists, is computed in terms of infinite products of matrices belonging to a set of two matrices (they are the matrices  $2M_0$  and  $2M_1$  defined in (4), with  $\beta = 2$ ), in order to study the smoothness of  $f$ . Note that the condition  $\sum_{n \text{ even}} c_n = \sum_{n \text{ odd}} c_n \neq 0$  is equivalent to the existence of a common left-eigenvector of  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  for a non-null eigenvalue.

In the present paper the second section, independent of the first, is about the divergence of the sequence of matrices  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n \cdots A_1}{\|A_n \cdots A_1\|}$ . S. Friedland proves in [24, Theorem 1.1] that this sequence converges to a rank-one matrix if the matrices  $A_n$  are positive and if  $n \mapsto A_n$  converges to a positive matrix. One deduces easily that  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n\|}$  converges to a rank-one matrix if the matrices  $\frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  are positive and if  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  converges to a positive matrix. In Theorem 2.1 we give a partial converse of this result: if the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  does not converge and if the limit-points of this sequence do not have a common left-eigenvector, then  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n\|}$  diverges.

See for instance [4, 5, 9, 11, 14, 24, 25, 32, 35] for various results about the infinite products of matrices. In [41] (resp. [49]) there is a necessary and sufficient condition on the finite sets  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $2 \times 2$  nonnegative matrices, for the sequences  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  to converge uniformly (resp. pointwise) on  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . See [3, 6, 12, 16] for the sofic measures and [15, 43] for the Bernoulli convolutions. For the multifractal analysis and Lyapunov exponents, see [22, 19, 17, 20, 18, 21, 23, 33, 10, 25].

**Acknowledgement.** – *We thank several mathematicians for their comments and help. Adrien Douady was interested in the study of some examples with specific methods, not to find a general theorem about the convergence of  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n c}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n c\|}$ . Eric Olivier contributes to the applications. Various referees and editors give some information about related subjects.*

## 1. THE SEQUENCE $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n c}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n c\|}$

We denote by  $\|X\|$  the sum of the absolute values of the entries of  $X$  (matrix or vector). Note that for any couple of norms  $(N_1, N_2)$ , the convergence of a sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{X_n}{N_1(X_n)}$  is equivalent to the convergence of  $n \mapsto \frac{X_n}{N_2(X_n)}$  because  $\frac{X_n}{N_2(X_n)} = \frac{X_n/N_1(X_n)}{N_2(X_n/N_1(X_n))}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  the set of the indices of the non-null entries in  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$  the  $(0, 1)$ -matrix or vector such that  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(M)) = \mathcal{I}(M)$ . We denote by  $u_1, \dots, u_d$  the canonical column-vectors and by  $u$  their sum. The shift  $\sigma$  is defined by  $\sigma((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We use the following notations for any sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $d \times d$  matrices:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} P_n &:= A_1 \cdots A_n \quad (n > 0) \\ P_{m,n} &:= A_{m+1} \cdots A_n \quad (n > m \geq 0) \\ P_{n,n} = P_0 &:= \text{the unit } d \times d \text{ matrix.} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\forall n \ P_n \neq 0$ , we call "normalized dominant columns of  $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ " the normalized columns of the limit-points  $P$  of the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$ . Such a limit-point always exists and consequently, given a vector  $c$  such that  $Pc \neq 0$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|} = \frac{(P_n / \|P_n\|)c}{\|(P_n / \|P_n\|)c\|}$  has limit-point  $\frac{Pc}{\|Pc\|}$ . In the following theorem we prove the unicity of the normalized dominant column under some conditions on  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . This is useful when one cannot compute  $P$  but only have an idea of  $\mathcal{Z}(P)$ .

**1.1. The case of  $2 \times 2$  matrices.** According to [49], the only nontrivial cases of divergence of  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  when the matrices  $A_n$  belong to a finite set  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $2 \times 2$  nonnegative matrices, are the cases

$$\mathcal{M} \ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } abc \neq 0 < d' - a', \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{M} \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } bcd \neq 0 < a' - d'.$$

Consider for instance the product

$$(8) \quad Q_k := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{n_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{n_k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} p_k & 2^{-n_k} p_{k-1} \\ q_k & 2^{-n_k} q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{with } \begin{cases} p_k = 2^{-n_k} p_{k-1} + 2^{-n_{k-1}} p_{k-2} \\ q_k = 2^{-n_k} q_{k-1} + 2^{-n_{k-1}} q_{k-2} \end{cases} \quad (\text{see}[?]).$$

For any  $k$ , if  $n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}$  are known, the limits when  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$  of the differences  $\frac{1}{p_k + q_k} \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ q_k \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{p_{k-2} + q_{k-2}} \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\frac{Q_k v}{\|Q_k v\|} - \frac{1}{p_{k-i} + q_{k-i}} \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-i} \\ q_{k-i} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $i = 1$  if  $v_1 = 0$  or  $i = 0$  otherwise, are null. So, choosing  $n_k$  large enough they have norm  $\leq 2^{-k} \varepsilon$ . Consequently  $\frac{Q_k v}{\|Q_k v\|}$  is approximately  $\frac{1}{p_1 + q_1} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\frac{1}{p_2 + q_2} \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and the error term has norm at most  $\varepsilon$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{Q_k v}{\|Q_k v\|}$  diverges because (8) implies  $\begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} p_2 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}$  non-collinear.

**1.2. Sufficient condition of convergence.** The previous subsection gives an example of product  $P_n$  of matrices belonging to a set of two matrices, that cannot be approximated by a rank-one matrix (a matrix  $R_n = c_n r_n \neq 0$  where  $c_n$  is a column-vector and  $r_n$  a row-vector). Because, looking at the matrices  $Q_{k,h} := Q_k \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2^h} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $h = 0, 1, \dots, n_{k+1}$ , the ratio  $\frac{\|Q_{k,h} u_1\|}{\|Q_{k,h} u_2\|}$  is  $> 1$  for  $h = 0$  and  $< 1$  for  $h = n_{k+1}$ , implying  $\exists h(k) \frac{\|Q_{k,h(k)} u_1\|}{\|Q_{k,h(k)} u_2\|} \in [1, 2]$ , while the limit-points of the normalized columns of  $Q_{k,h(k)}$  are non-collinear.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of nonnegative matrices. We suppose there exists a limit-point  $P^{(s)} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n_k}^{(s)}}{\|P_{n_k}^{(s)}\|}$  ( $s = (n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  increasing) such that*

$$(H_1) \exists K \in \mathbb{N} \inf \left\{ \frac{\|P_n\|}{\|P_{n_k}\| \|P_{n_k, n}\|} ; k \geq K \text{ and } n_{k+1} \leq n < n_{k+2} \right\} > 0,$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) for any limit-point  $Q^{(s)}$  of the sequence  $k \mapsto \frac{P_{n_k, n_{k+1}}}{\|P_{n_k, n_{k+1}}\|}$ , there does not exist a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $P^{-1} Q^{(s)} P = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & C & D \\ 0 & 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$ , where the diagonal blocks  $A$  and  $C$  are column-allowable (without null column).

Then the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  converges for any positive column-vector  $c$ , and more generally for any  $c \in \mathcal{V}_A := \{v \geq 0 ; \inf_n \frac{\|P_n v\|}{\|P_n\|} > 0\}$ . The limit does not depend on  $c$ .

**Proof.** We consider the matrices  $Q_n := \frac{P_{n_k, n}}{\|P_{n_k, n}\|}$  ( $k$  such that  $n_{k+1} \leq n < n_{k+2}$ ) and a limit-point  $Q^{(s, s')} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n'_i}$  ( $s' = (n'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  increasing). The hypothesis  $(H_1)$  implies  $P^{(s)} Q^{(s, s')} \neq 0$ , and we use the following straightforward lemma:

**Lemma 1.2.** *If two sequences of  $d \times d$  matrices satisfy  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} = A$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_n}{\|B_n\|} = B$  and  $AB \neq 0$ , there exists  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n B_n}{\|A_n B_n\|} = \frac{AB}{\|AB\|}$ .*

**Proof.** Because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} \frac{B_n}{\|B_n\|} = AB$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|A_n B_n\|}{\|A_n\| \|B_n\|} = \|AB\|$ .  $\square$

Applying this lemma to  $A_i = \frac{P_{n_k}}{\|P_{n_k}\|}$  and  $B_i = \frac{P_{n_k, n'_i}}{\|P_{n_k, n'_i}\|}$  ( $k = k(i)$  such that  $n_{k+1} \leq n'_i < n_{k+2}$ ), we obtain

$$(9) \quad \exists P^{(s')} := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n'_i}}{\|P_{n'_i}\|} \text{ and } P^{(s')} = \frac{P^{(s)} Q^{(s, s')}}{\|P^{(s)} Q^{(s, s')}\|}.$$

In the special case where  $s'$  is a subsequence of  $s$ , this formula becomes  $P^{(s)} = \frac{P^{(s)} Q^{(s, s')}}{\|P^{(s)} Q^{(s, s')}\|}$ .

Suppose there exist two distinct extreme points  $v_1, v_2$  of the convex hull  $\mathcal{C}$  of the normalized vectors  $\frac{P^{(s)} u_j}{\|P^{(s)} u_j\|}$ , defined for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $P^{(s)} u_j \neq 0$ . From the definition of the extreme points,  $v_1, v_2$  cannot be positive linear combinations of several vectors  $\frac{P^{(s)} u_j}{\|P^{(s)} u_j\|}$ , hence there exist two disjoint nonempty sets  $J_1, J_2$  such that  $j \in J_1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{P^{(s)} u_j}{\|P^{(s)} u_j\|} = v_1$  and  $j \in J_2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{P^{(s)} u_j}{\|P^{(s)} u_j\|} = v_2$ .

By definition of  $Q^{(s)}$ ,  $Q_n$  and  $Q^{(s, s')}$ ,  $\exists s' Q^{(s)} = Q^{(s, s')}$  ( $s'$  subsequence of  $(n_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ). The relation (9) implies that the columns of  $Q^{(s)}$  with index in  $J_1$  (resp.  $J_2$ ) have null entries except the one indexed by  $J_1$  (resp.  $J_2$ ). This contradicts  $(H_2)$ , so  $\mathcal{C}$  has only one extreme point  $v^{(s)}$ . By Theorem 0.4 of <http://www.mat.unimi.it/users/libor/AnConvessa/ext.pdf> (theorem of Minkowski)  $\mathcal{C}$  is the convex hull of its extreme points. So  $\mathcal{C} = \{v^{(s)}\}$  and there exists a row-vector  $r^{(s)}$  such that  $P^{(s)} = v^{(s)} r^{(s)}$ .

For any couple of vectors  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{V}_A$ , we have to prove that any limit-point  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{m_i} c_1}{\|P_{m_i} c_1\|}$  is equal to  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n_k} c_2}{\|P_{n_k} c_2\|}$ . By compactity we can replace the sequence  $t := (m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  by a subsequence, for  $i \mapsto Q_{m_i}$  to converge. By (9),  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{m_i}}{\|P_{m_i}\|} = \frac{P^{(s)} Q^{(s, t)}}{\|P^{(s)} Q^{(s, t)}\|}$ . Since  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{V}_A$ , the vectors  $P^{(s)} Q^{(s, t)} c_1$  and  $P^{(s)} c_2$  are non-null, consequently  $\frac{P_{m_i} c_1}{\|P_{m_i} c_1\|} = \frac{(P_{m_i} / \|P_{m_i}\|) c_1}{\|(P_{m_i} / \|P_{m_i}\|) c_1\|}$  has limit  $\frac{P^{(s)} Q^{(s, t)} c_1}{\|P^{(s)} Q^{(s, t)} c_1\|}$  and  $\frac{P_{n_k} c_2}{\|P_{n_k} c_2\|} = \frac{(P_{n_k} / \|P_{n_k}\|) c_2}{\|(P_{n_k} / \|P_{n_k}\|) c_2\|}$  has limit  $\frac{P^{(s)} c_2}{\|P^{(s)} c_2\|}$ , and both limits are  $v^{(s)}$ .  $\square$

2. DIVERGENCE OF THE SEQUENCE  $n \mapsto \frac{A_1 \cdots A_n}{\|A_1 \cdots A_n\|}$ 

Using [24, Theorem 1.1], the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  converges to a rank-one matrix if the matrices  $\frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  are positive and converge to a positive matrix. The following theorem is a partial converse, obtained by an argument similar to the one of Elsner and Friedland in [14, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in the space of the  $d \times d$  matrices on  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\forall n P_n \neq 0$ . The sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  diverges if  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  diverges and if its limit-points do not have a common left-eigenvector.*

**Proof.** We prove this assertion by contraposition and first prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** *If the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  converges there exist a row-vector  $r \neq 0$  and a sequence of positive reals  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r \left( \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} - \lambda_n I_d \right) = 0.$$

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\lambda_n := \frac{\|P_n\|}{\|P_{n-1}\| \cdot \|A_n\|}$ . The bounded sequence  $n \mapsto \lambda_n$  satisfies  $\lambda_n \left( \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|} - \frac{P_{n-1}}{\|P_{n-1}\|} \right) = \frac{P_{n-1}}{\|P_{n-1}\|} \left( \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} - \lambda_n I_d \right)$  hence, if  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  converges to a matrix  $P$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n-1}}{\|P_{n-1}\|} \left( \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} - \lambda_n I_d \right) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} - \lambda_n I_d \right) = 0$ . Since  $P$  has norm 1, it has at least one non-null row  $r$  and

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r \left( \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} - \lambda_n I_d \right) = 0. \quad \square$$

If  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  converges the relation (10) implies, by the second triangular inequality,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|r \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}\| - \lambda_n \|r\|) = 0$ . So for any limit-point  $A = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{n_k}}{\|A_{n_k}\|}$ , the sequence  $k \mapsto \lambda_{n_k}$  converges to  $\lambda = \frac{\|rA\|}{\|r\|}$  and, by (10),  $r$  is a left-eigenvector of  $A$ . The sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  converges or has several limit-points, with  $r$  as common left-eigenvector.  $\square$

We deduce in the following corollary that  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  in general diverges. For this we use the infinite product of a non-atomic Borel probability measure  $p$  with support  $\mathbb{C}$ : this means that, for the random variable  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the probability that the  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $A_n$  belongs to a Borel set  $B_{n,i,j} \subset \mathbb{C}$  for any  $n, i, j$  is  $\prod_{n,i,j} p(B_{n,i,j})$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $p$  be a non-atomic Borel probability measure with support  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $p^*$  the product-probability on  $\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For  $p^*$ -a.e. sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{N}}$  the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  exists and diverges.*

**Proof.** We have to prove that the following sets have probability 0:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0 &:= \{(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; \exists n, P_n = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{E} &:= \{(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; \text{the limit-points of } \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|} \text{ have at least one common left-eigenvector}\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{E}_0$  is a subset of  $\{(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; \exists n \det A_n = 0\}$ . It is sufficient to prove that the set  $\mathcal{E}_d := \{M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) ; \det M = 0\}$  has probability 0. Suppose by induction hypothesis that  $\mathcal{E}_{d-1}$  has probability 0. This implies that the co-matrix  $M'$  of a matrix  $M \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  has non-null  $(1, 1)$ -entry with probability 1. If this entry is non-null and if  $\det M = 0$ , the  $(1, 1)$ -entry of  $M$ , say  $m_{1,1}$ , is a function of the other entries of  $M$ :  $m_{1,1} = f(m_{i,j}, (i, j) \neq (1, 1))$ . Since  $p$  is non-atomic, the conditional probability of  $m_{1,1} = f(m_{i,j}, (i, j) \neq (1, 1))$ , given the entries  $m_{i,j}$  for  $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ , is null, hence  $\mathcal{E}_d$  has probability 0 and  $p^*(\mathcal{E}_0) = 0$ .

To prove that  $p^*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  we consider the normalized triangular matrices with entries 1 under (resp. upper) the diagonal:

$$T^{(0)} := \frac{2}{d(d+1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T^{(1)} := \frac{2}{d(d+1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

that do not have a common left-eigenvector. We denote by  $t_{i,j}^{(0)}$  and  $t_{i,j}^{(1)}$  their entries respectively, and by  $\alpha_{i,j}^{(n)}$  the ones of  $\frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a subset of  $\cup_k \cap_{\ell \geq k} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  with  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} := \{(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; \exists i, j \exists h \in \{0, 1\} |\alpha_{i,j}^{(2\ell-h)} - t_{i,j}^{(h)}| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$  because, if  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is in the complementary of  $\cup_k \cap_{\ell \geq k} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  has limit-points  $T^{(0)}$  and  $T^{(1)}$  without common left-eigenvector. The set  $\{(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; |\alpha_{i,j}^{(2\ell-h)} - t_{i,j}^{(h)}| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$  has probability  $\leq 1 - \beta$  with  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\beta$  independent of  $h, i, j$ , hence  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  has probability  $\leq 1 - \beta^{2d^2}$  and consequently  $p^*(\cap_{\ell \geq k} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) = 0$ ,  $p^*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a non-eventually constant sequence of matrices that belong to a finite set  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  whose any couple of matrices do not have a common left-eigenvector. If  $\forall n P_n \neq 0$  the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  diverges.*

**Proof.** If  $n \mapsto A_n$  is non-eventually constant,  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|}$  is no more eventually constant otherwise  $\mathcal{M}$  contains two collinear matrices whose left-eigenvectors are the same. Hence  $n \mapsto \frac{A_n}{\|A_n\|_n}$  admits at least two distinct limit-points  $\frac{M}{\|M\|}$  and  $\frac{M'}{\|M'\|}$  with  $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}$  and, by Theorem 2.1,  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  diverges.  $\square$

The remarks below concern (Remark 2.5) the convergence of  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  in the straightforward case of the normalized image of a positive vector by an infinite product of positive matrices when they belong to a finite set. In the example of  $7 \times 7$  matrices studied in Appendix A,  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  converges but  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  in general diverges (Remark 2.6). Remarks 2.7 and 2.8 are about the approximation of a sequence of matrices by a matrix of rank 1, a matrix  $c_n r_n$  where  $c_n$  can be constant in certain cases. And (Remark 2.9) is a precision about the theorem of Elsner and Friedland cited at the beginning of this section.

**Remark 2.5.** *If  $A_n$  belongs to a finite set of positive matrices, the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  converges to a limit independent of the positive vector  $c$  because the Birkhoff contraction coefficient of  $P_n$  tends to 0, see [28, §2] or [48, §3].*



**Remark 2.6.** *If the matrices  $A_n$  belong to the set  $\{M_0, M_1, M_2\}$  defined in Lemma 3.5 and if the sets  $\{n ; A_n = M_0\}$  and  $\{n ; A_n \in \{M_1, M_2\}\}$  are infinite, the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  diverges because*

\*  $M_1$  has left-eigenvectors  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, a, b)$  ( $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ ) and approximately  $(1.22, 0, 1.49, 1.82, 1, 0, 0)$  and  $(-0.72, 0, 0.52, -0.38, 1, 0, 0)$ ,

\*  $M_2$  has left-eigenvectors  $(a, b, -a, 0, -a, c, d)$  ( $(a, b, c, d) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$ ) and  $(a, 0, 0, -a, b, 0, a)$  ( $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ ),

\* no of them is eigenvector of  $M_0$ .

On the other hand  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  converges by Lemma A.5.

**Remark 2.7.** *Let  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of complex-valued  $d \times d$  matrices with singular values  $\delta_1(n) \geq \delta_2(n) \geq \dots$  and let us prove the equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_2(n)}{\delta_1(n)} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \exists c_n \text{ column-vector, } r_n \text{ row-vector} \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} - c_n r_n \right) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{any limit point of } \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} \text{ has rank 1.} \end{aligned}$$

For this we write  $M_n = S_n \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1(n) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \delta_d(n) \end{pmatrix} {}^t \overline{T_n}$  with  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  unitary

matrices. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_2(n)}{\delta_1(n)} = 0$ , the entries  $\delta_i(n), i \geq 2$ , are in  $o(\|M_n\|)$  and consequently  $\frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} = \left( \frac{\delta_1(n)}{\|M_n\|} S_n u_1 \right) ({}^t u_1 {}^t \overline{T_n}) + o(1) =: c_n r_n + o(1)$ .

Suppose now that  $\frac{\delta_2(n)}{\delta_1(n)}$  does not converge to 0. There exists an increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n_k}}{\|M_{n_k}\|} = S \Delta {}^t \overline{T}$ , where  $S, T$  are unitary and the two first diagonal entries of the diagonal matrix  $\Delta$  are positive. Consequently  $\text{rank}(S \Delta {}^t \overline{T}) = \text{rank}(\Delta) \geq 2$ . The vectors  $c_n, r_n$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} - c_n r_n \right) = 0$  do not exist: this should imply that  $c_{n_k} r_{n_k}$  (of rank 1) tends to  $S \Delta {}^t \overline{T}$  (of rank  $\geq 2$ ).

The second assertions is equivalent to "all the limit points of  $\frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|}$  have rank 1" because the set of the rank-one normalized matrices is closed.

Note that in the counterexample  $M_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{2^k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{2^k}$ ,  $c_n$  is non-constant.

**Remark 2.8.** *Let us prove the equivalence*

$$\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_n c}{\|M_n c\|} \text{ independent of } c > 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists v, r_n \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} - v r_n \right) = 0.$$

Note that  $v$  is collinear to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_n c}{\|M_n c\|}$  and to the limit of the first singular vector of  $M_n$ . Suppose  $\exists w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_n v}{\|M_n v\|}$  independent of  $v > 0$ . Then for the vector  $u_{j,k} := u_j + \frac{1}{k} u$ ,  $\exists n_k, n \geq n_k \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{M_n u_{j,k}}{\|M_n u_{j,k}\|} - w \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ . Using the classical inequality  $\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y\|}{\max(\|x\|, \|y\|)}$  we deduce  $\left\| \frac{M_n u_j}{\|M_n u_j\|} - w \right\| \leq \frac{2\|M_n\|}{k\|M_n u_j\|} + \frac{1}{k}$ . We multiply by  $\frac{\|M_n u_j\|}{\|M_n\|}$  and conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} - c r_n \right) = 0$  with  $c = w$  and  $r_n$  of entries  $\frac{\|M_n u_j\|}{\|M_n\|}$ .

Conversely suppose  $\exists(c, r_n) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{M_n}{\|M_n\|} - cr_n \right) = 0$ . We multiply at the right by  $\frac{\|M_n\|}{\|M_n v\|} v$  (bounded vector) and deduce  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{M_n v}{\|M_n v\|} - \lambda_n c \right\| = 0$  with  $\lambda_n = \frac{\|M_n\|}{\|M_n v\|} r_n v$ . This implies  $\|\lambda_n c\| \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{\|c\|}$  and  $\frac{M_n v}{\|M_n v\|} \rightarrow \frac{c}{\|c\|}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** The Theorem 1 of [14] we cite at the beginning of this section is not the main theorem of [14] but the end of this paper, just after the proof of the main theorem, the authors note that Theorem 1 can be seen as a necessary and sufficient condition: given a finite set  $\mathcal{M} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  of complex-valued matrices without common left-eigenvector for the eigenvalue 1, an infinite product containing an infinite number of each  $A_i$  converges iff there exist a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $q < 1$  such that  $\forall i \|A_i\| \leq 1$  and  $\|A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}\| \leq q$  when  $\forall i \exists j i = i_j$ . The authors regret that an infinite number of conditions is required. In case  $\mathcal{M} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  is a set of three nonnegative matrices this can be reduced to a finite number of conditions, by using the finite set  $\mathcal{L}$  of the limit-points of the sequences  $n \mapsto A_i^n$ . That is, any infinite product containing an infinite number of each  $A_i$  converges iff there exist a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $q < 1$  such that  $\|A_i\| \leq 1$  for any  $i$  and  $\|B_{\sigma(1)} B_{\sigma(2)} B_{\sigma(3)}\| \leq q$  for any  $\sigma$  permutation of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and for any  $B_i \in \mathcal{L} \cup \{A_i, A_i^2, \dots, A_i^a\}$  (choosing the constant  $a$  large enough).

### 3. BERNOULLI CONVOLUTIONS $\nu_{\beta, p}$

**3.1. Case  $\beta$  is an integer.** In this subsection  $b > \beta$  are two integers and  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_{b-1})$  a positive probability vector; we put  $p_h = 0$  for the other values of  $h$ . There exist two positive integers  $q, r$  such that

$$(11) \quad b - 1 = (\beta - 1)q + r, \quad r \leq \beta - 1.$$

Since  $q < \frac{b-1}{\beta-1} \leq q + 1$  we have  $\left\lceil \frac{b-1}{\beta-1} \right\rceil = q + 1$ . According to the definition of  $\nu_{\beta, p}$ , its support is a subset of  $[0, q + 1]$ .

Let  $B \subset \left[ \frac{k}{\beta}, \frac{k+1}{\beta} \right) \subset [0, 1)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, \beta - 1$ . There exists  $B' \subset [0, 1)$  such that  $B = \frac{k+B'}{\beta}$  and we apply (3) to the translated sets  $B + \ell$  for any  $\ell \in [0, q]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(B + \ell) &= \sum_h p_h \nu(\beta B + \beta \ell - h) \\ &= \sum_h p_h \nu(B' + k + \beta \ell - h) \\ &= \sum_{\ell'} p_{k+\beta \ell - \ell'} \nu(B' + \ell') \end{aligned}$$

and (4) follows.

Let  $M'_0, M'_r$  be the primitive matrices and  $v_0, v_r$  the nonnull vectors such that  $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 & 0 \\ v_0 & M'_0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_r = \begin{pmatrix} M'_r & v_r \\ 0 & p_{b-1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** (i) Under the following hypothesis:

(H) :  $\frac{b-1}{\beta-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $\frac{b-1}{\beta-1} \notin \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_{b-1} \leq \rho(M'_r)$  (spectral radius of  $M'_r$ ),

the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu}{\|M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu\|}$  converges uniformly on  $\omega \in \{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

(ii) The measures  $\nu_{\beta, p}(\cdot + \ell)$  defined for any  $\ell = 0, \dots, q$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$  have the weak-Gibbs property with respect to the numeration in base  $\beta$ , if and only if (H) holds.

**Proof.** (i) We first describe the matrices  $M_k$  and their products. For any word  $w = \omega_1 \dots \omega_n$  on the alphabet  $\{0, \dots, \beta - 1\}$  we denote by  $M_w$  the product-matrix  $M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n}$ . For any

**Lemma 3.2.** (i) *Let the word  $w$  have length  $q$ . The rows of  $M_w$  are positive except eventually the first and the last. The first row (resp. the last row) of  $M_w$  is positive if the first letter of  $w$  is nonnull (resp. is  $< r$ ). The last row of  $M_w$  is null if the first letter of  $w$  is  $> r$ .*

(ii) *For any nonnegative matrix or vector  $X$ , we denote by  $\Lambda(X)$  the largest ratio between two nonnull entries of a same column,  $\Lambda(X) := \max_{\substack{i, i', j \\ x_{i', j} \neq 0}} \frac{x_{i, j}}{x_{i', j}}$ .*

*Let  $w$  have length  $q$  and first letter different from 0 (resp.  $r$ ) and let  $c$  be a positive column-vector. Then the ratio between any entry of  $M_w c$  and any nonnull entry with same column-index and row-index different from  $q + 1$  (resp. 0) is at most  $\Lambda(M_w)$ .*

(iii) \* *If  $\rho(M_0) = \rho(M'_0)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_0^n}{\|M_0^n\|} = c_0 \ell_0$  where  $c_0$  and  $\ell_0 = {}^t u_1$  or  $\ell_0$  positive, are right and left eigenvectors of  $M_0$  respectively.*

\* *If  $\rho(M_r) = \rho(M'_r)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_r^n}{\|M_r^n\|} = c_r \ell_r$  where  $c_r$  and  $\ell_r = {}^t u_{q+1}$  or  $\ell_r$  positive, are right and left eigenvectors of  $M_r$  respectively.*

\* *Let  $k = 0$  or  $r$ . If  $\rho(M_k) > \rho(M'_k)$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_k^n}{\|M_k^n\|} = c_k \cdot {}^t u_j$ , where  $c_k$  is positive right-eigenvector of  $M_k$  and  $j = 1$  in the first case,  $j = q + 1$  in the second.*

**Proof.** (i) The diagonal entries of the matrix  $M_k$ , that is, the entries  $p_{k+i\beta-i}$ , are positive, except on the last row when  $k > r$ . The entries whose "distance" to the diagonal is 1, that is, the entries  $p_{k+i\beta-(i\pm 1)}$ , are positive, except for  $k = 0$  in the first row and for  $k \geq r$  in the last row.

So if  $w$  has length  $q$  and first letter  $k$ , the entries of  $M_k$  whose "distance" to the diagonal is at most  $q$  (i.e. all the entries) are positive, except for  $k = 0$  in the first row and for  $k \geq r$  in the last row.

Moreover if  $k > r$  the last row of  $M_k$  is null, hence so is the last row of  $M_w$ .

(ii) The ratio  $\frac{\sum_j m_{i, j} c_j}{\sum_j m_{i', j} c_j}$ ,  $m_{i, j}$  entries of  $M_w$  and  $c_j$  entries of  $c$ , cannot exceed  $\Lambda(M_w)$  if  $\{j ; m_{i, j} \neq 0\}$  is a subset of  $\{j ; m_{i', j} \neq 0\}$ .

(iii) This follows from the formulas  $M_0^n = \begin{pmatrix} p_0^n & 0 \\ p_0^n \sum_1^n p_0^{-i} M_0^{i-1} v_r & M_0^n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_0^n = \rho(M'_0)^n (c'_0 r'_0 + N_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = 0$ , and the analogous formulas for  $M_r^n$  and  $M_r'^n$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is often used to prove the uniform convergence of a sequence of the form  $n \mapsto c_{\omega, n} := \frac{M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c}{\|M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c\|}$ :

**Lemma 3.3.** (i) *Given a set of matrices  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_0, \dots, M_{\alpha-1}\}$  and a column-vector  $c$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto c_{\omega, n}$  converges uniformly over  $\Omega_\alpha$  if and only if the following pointwise convergence holds for any  $\omega \in \Omega_\alpha$*

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup \{ \|c_{\xi, p} - c_{\xi, q}\| ; \xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_n], p \geq n, q \geq n \} \right) = 0.$$

(ii) *In particular if  $c$  and the matrices  $M_k$  are nonnegative, the sequence  $n \mapsto c_{\omega, n}$  converges uniformly over  $\Omega_\alpha$  if the difference between any couple of normalized columns of  $M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n}$  converges pointwise to 0.*

**Proof.** (i) The direct implication is given by the Cauchy criterion. Conversely, suppose that (12) holds for any  $\omega \in \Omega_\beta$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_\beta$  there exists a rank  $n(\omega)$  such that

$$\xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n(\omega)}], p \geq n(\omega), q \geq n(\omega) \Rightarrow \|c_{\xi,p} - c_{\xi,q}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Each cylinder set  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n(\omega)}]$  is an open set containing  $\omega$ . Because  $\Omega_\beta$  is compact, it is covered by finitely many of such sets, say  $[\omega_1^i \dots \omega_{n(\omega^i)}^i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $n = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} n(\omega^i)$ . The inequality  $\|c_{\xi,p} - c_{\xi,q}\| \leq \varepsilon$  holds for any  $\omega \in \Omega_\beta$ ,  $\xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]$ ,  $p \geq n, q \geq n$ , proving that the sequence  $n \mapsto c_{\omega,n}$  is uniformly Cauchy and converges uniformly on  $\Omega_\beta$ .

(ii) Denoting by  $c_j$  the  $j^{\text{th}}$  normalized columns of  $M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}$  we have  $\forall p \geq n$   $c_{\xi,p} = \sum_j \lambda_j(p) c_j$ , where the coefficients  $\lambda_j(p)$  are nonnegative and have sum 1. It follows that  $\|c_{\xi,p} - c_{\xi,q}\|$  do not exceed  $\max_{j,j'} \|c_j - c_{j'}\|$  and (12) holds.  $\square$

To end the proof of the theorem we consider four cases: either there exist infinitely many  $n$  such that  $\omega_n \notin \{0, r\}$ , or there exist infinitely many  $n$  such that  $(\omega_n, \omega_{n+1}) = (0, r)$ , or  $\omega_n = 0$  for  $n$  large enough, or  $\omega_n = r$  for  $n$  large enough.

In the first case we use the Hilbert projective distance  $d_{\mathcal{H}}$  and the submultiplicative Birkhoff contraction coefficient  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$  defined in [48, §3] and computed in [48, Theorem 3.10]:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(M) = \max_{j,j'} \tanh(d_{\mathcal{H}}(Mu_j, Mu_{j'})/4) \leq 1$$

with strict inequality if each row of  $M$  is either positive or null. The norm of the difference between two normalized vector is bounded by  $d$  times their Hilbert projective distance.

Let  $\tau < 1$  be the maximum of  $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}(M_w)$  for the words  $w$  of length  $q$  whose first letter is not 0 nor  $r$ . Lemma 3.3(ii) applies because the norm of the difference between any couple of normalized columns of  $M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}$  is bounded by  $d \cdot \tanh^{-1}(4\tau^{k(n)})$ , where  $k(n)$  is the number of disjoint occurrences of such words in  $\omega_1 \dots \omega_n$ .

The proof is about the same in the second case because Lemma 3.2(i) implies that each row of  $M_w$  is either positive or null if  $w$  has length  $q+1$  and first letters  $(0, r)$ .

In the case  $\exists m \forall n \geq m \omega_n = 0$  we use Lemma 3.3(i). Let  $n \geq m, \xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_n], p, q \geq n$  and  $k = \max\{h; \xi_{m+1} = \dots = \xi_{m+h} = 0\}$ . According to Lemma 3.2(iii) the product-matrix  $P_p = M_{\xi_1} \dots M_{\xi_p}$  is

$$P_p = P_m M_0^k P_{m+h,p} \text{ with } \frac{M_0^k}{\|M_0^k\|} = c_0 \ell_0 + N_k, \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} N_k = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2(ii) the entries of the vector  $v = \frac{P_{m+k,p} c_\nu}{\|P_{m+k,p} c_\nu\|}$ , but the last, are bounded from 0. We have  $\frac{P_p c_\nu}{\|P_p c_\nu\|} = \frac{P_m(c_0 \ell_0 + N_k)v}{\|P_m(c_0 \ell_0 + N_k)v\|}$ . Using the usual inequality  $\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\| \leq \frac{2\|x-y\|}{\max(\|x\|, \|y\|)}$ , and because the first entry of  $\ell_0$  is nonnull, we have  $\left\| \frac{P_p c_\nu}{\|P_p c_\nu\|} - \frac{P_m c_0}{\|P_m c_0\|} \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  if  $n$  is large enough and the same inequality for  $\frac{P_q c_\nu}{\|P_q c_\nu\|}$ , implying (12).

The proof is the same in the case  $\exists m \forall n \geq m \omega_n = r$ , except if  $p_{b-1} > \rho(M'_r)$  because in this case the last entry of  $v$  can be null and  $\ell_r v = 0$ .

(ii) Denoting by  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]$  the set of the reals  $x \in [0, 1)$  whose  $\beta$ -expansion begins by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ , one has by (4)

$$\nu_{\beta,p}([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] + \ell) = {}^t u_{\ell+1} M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c_\nu.$$

If (H) holds, the uniform convergence of  $n \mapsto c_{\omega,n}$  implies the uniform convergence of the  $n$ -step potential  $n \mapsto \frac{\nu_{\beta,p}([\omega_2 \dots \omega_n] + \ell)}{\nu_{\beta,p}([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] + \ell)}$ , and consequently the measures  $\nu_{\beta,p}(\cdot + \ell)$  have the weak-Gibbs property (see [23, Lemma 1.2] or Theorem B.3 below).

Conversely if the measures  $\nu_{\beta,p}(\cdot + \ell)$  have the weak-Gibbs property, the definition of this property implies

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_{2n}])}{\nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n])\nu([\omega_{n+1} \dots \omega_{2n}])} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 \quad (\text{uniformly on } \omega \in \Omega_\beta).$$

Consequently (H) holds, otherwise  $p_{b-1} > \rho(M'_r)$  and (13) is not true for  $\omega_1 \dots \omega_{2n} = r^n(r+1)^n$ .  $\square$

We prove in [51, Theorem 7] that a probability measure is linearly representable iff it is sofic; this result is known, we use the methods of [6, Theorem 4.28] and [16].

We explain in the following subsection how to use the convergence of the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{M_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_n} c}{\|M_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_n} c\|}$  to study some properties of the sofic measures.

**3.2. Case  $\beta$  is the real solution of the equation  $\beta^3 = 2\beta^2 - \beta + 1$ .** From now  $\beta \approx 1.755$  is the unique real solution of  $\beta^3 = 2\beta^2 - \beta + 1$  and  $\nu = \nu_{\beta,2}$  is the Bernoulli convolution associated to  $\beta$  with probabilities  $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\mu_\beta := \ast_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{(\beta-1)\beta^{-n}} \right).$$

We choose this value of  $\beta$  because in other cases the involved matrices are very large (for instance when  $\beta^3 = \beta^2 + 1$ ) or small (for multinacci [40] or quadratic numbers).

To get a linear representation of  $\nu_{\beta,2}$  we consider the following intervals:

**Definition 3.4.** *We consider the three words  $w_0 := 0$ ,  $w_1 := 10$ ,  $w_2 := 1100$  on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\xi_1 \dots \xi_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}^n$  and let  $\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_N \in \{0, 1\}^N$  be the concatenation of the words  $w_{\xi_1}, \dots, w_{\xi_n}$ . The interval  $[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]$  is by definition*

$$[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n] := \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i}, \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i} + \frac{1}{\beta^N} \right),$$

*included in  $[0, 1)$  with equality if  $\xi_1 \dots \xi_n$  is the empty word.*

$\beta$ -numeration [47]: one says that  $x \in [0, 1)$  has representation  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^n}$  in base  $\beta$  if  $\varepsilon_n = \lfloor \beta T^{n-1}(x) \rfloor$  with  $T(x) := \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor$ . We call " $\beta$ -expansion of  $x$ " the infinite word  $\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots$ , it can be any infinite concatenation of words  $w_0 := 0$ ,  $w_1 := 10$  and  $w_2 := 1100$  because  $[0, 1)$  is the image of  $[0, \frac{1}{\beta})$ ,  $[\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2})$  and  $[\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}, 1)$  by  $T$ ,  $T^2$  and  $T^4$  respectively. For any  $\omega \in \Omega_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we denote by  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]$  the set of the reals  $x \in [0, 1)$  whose  $\beta$ -representation begins by the concatenation of words  $w_{\omega_1} \dots w_{\omega_n}$ .

$$\textbf{Lemma 3.5. } \nu_\beta\left(\frac{1}{\beta-1}[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]\right) = \begin{cases} {}^t u_1 M_{\xi_2} \dots M_{\xi_n} c_\beta & \text{if } \xi_1 = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} {}^t u_3 M_{\xi_2} \dots M_{\xi_n} c_\beta & \text{if } \xi_1 = 1 \\ \frac{1}{8} {}^t u_5 M_{\xi_2} \dots M_{\xi_n} c_\beta & \text{if } \xi_1 = 2 \end{cases} \text{ with}$$

$$2M_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 4M_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 16M_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 20c_\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 8 \\ 13 \\ 4 \\ 12 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The measure  $\mu_\beta$  has support  $[0, 1]$ . Setting

$$S_0(x) := \frac{x}{\beta}, \quad S_1(x) := \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{x}{\beta^2}, \quad S_2(x) := \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{x}{\beta^4},$$

and, with Lemma 3.5,

**Proof.** Let  $i_1 := 0, i_2 := \beta - 1, i_3 := \frac{1}{\beta^2}, i_4 := \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}, i_5 := \frac{1}{\beta}, i_6 := \frac{\beta+1}{\beta^2}, i_7 = 1$ , we first prove that

$$(14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\beta(i_1 + (\beta-1)[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]) \\ \vdots \\ \nu_\beta(i_7 + (\beta-1)[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]) \end{pmatrix} = M_{\xi_1} \dots M_{\xi_n} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\beta(i_1 + (\beta-1)[0,1]) \\ \vdots \\ \nu_\beta(i_7 + (\beta-1)[0,1]) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose for instance that  $\xi_1 = 1$ . Then  $[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n] = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}[\xi_2 \dots \xi_n]$ . The random variable  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\beta^k}$  belongs to  $i_j + (\beta-1)[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]$  iff

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\beta^{k-2}} \in i + (\beta-1)[\xi_2 \dots \xi_n] \text{ with } i = -\omega_1\beta - \omega_2 + \beta^2 i_j + (\beta-1)\beta.$$

$\omega_1, \omega_2$  take the values 0 and 1 with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $i = i(\omega_1, \omega_2, j)$  belongs to the interval  $(1 - \beta, \frac{1}{\beta-1})$  because  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_k}{\beta^k} \in (0, \frac{1}{\beta-1})$ . Let us prove that  $\exists j' i = i_{j'}$ . For  $j = 1, j' = 3$  or  $4$ ; for  $j = 2, j' = 6$ ; and so one. So the couples  $(j, j')$  are the indices of the nonnull entries in  $M_1$ . We proceed in the same way in the cases  $\xi_1 = 0$  and  $\xi_1 = 2$ .

Consequently, if the formula (14) is true for  $[\xi_2 \dots \xi_n]$ , it is true for  $[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n]$ . Since (14) is obvious when  $\xi_1 \dots \xi_n$  is the empty word, it is true for any  $n$ .

The column-vector at the right of (14) is an eigenvector of  $M_0 + M_1 + M_2$  (by applying this formula to  $n = 1$ ). To prove that this vector is  $c_\beta$ , it is sufficient to note that the sum of its first two entries is  $\nu_\beta([0, 2(\beta-1)]) = 1$ . Lemma 3.5 follows from (14) because

$$\frac{1}{\beta-1}[\xi_1 \dots \xi_n] = \begin{cases} i_1 + (\beta-1)[\xi_2 \dots \xi_n] & \text{if } \xi_1 = 0 \\ i_2 + (\beta-1)[0\xi_2 \dots \xi_n] & \text{if } \xi_1 = 1 \\ i_2 + (\beta-1)[10\xi_2 \dots \xi_n] & \text{if } \xi_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

□

We prove in Appendix A the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.6.** *The sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} c_\beta}{\|M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} c_\beta\|}$  converges uniformly on  $\{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and the measure  $\nu(\frac{\cdot}{\beta-1})$  has the weak-Gibbs property with respect to the numeration in base  $\beta$ .*

#### APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.6

In the case of the matrices  $M_0, M_1, M_2$  defined in Lemma 3.5, the Birkhoff contraction property can not be used as is. Indeed there exists a non-countable set of sequences  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , for instance the sequences

$$\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots = (1100000)^{i_1} (1012)^{j_1} (1100000)^{i_2} (1012)^{j_2} \dots \text{ with } i_k, j_k \geq 1,$$

for the sets  $\mathcal{I}(M_{\xi_m} \cdots M_{\xi_n})$  ( $m \leq n$ ) not to have the form  $I \times J$ , and consequently the Birkhoff contraction coefficient of  $M_{\xi_m} \cdots M_{\xi_n}$  is 1.

So we use another method and we first study the properties of the product-matrices

$$P_n = A_1 \cdots A_n, \quad A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M} := \{A := 2M_0, B := 4M_1, C := 16M_2\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of the vectors  $v$  such that  $v - (u_1 + u_3 + u_5)$  is nonnegative.

**Lemma A.1.** (i)  $\mathcal{S}$  is stable by left-multiplication by matrices of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(ii) The first or third or fifth column of  $P_3$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{I}(P_3(u_1 + u_3 + u_5)) = \mathcal{I}(P_3 \sum_j u_j)$ .

(iv) If  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\exists I_n, J_n \subset \{1, \dots, 7\}$  (depending on  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ ) such that

\*  $J_n \cap \{1, 3, 5\} \neq \emptyset$

\*  $\forall j \mathcal{I}(P_n u_j) \subset I_n$  with equality if  $j \in J_n$

\* the entries of  $P_n u_j$  are at most  $2 \times 7^3$  if  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_j) \neq I_n$ ;

\* There exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\frac{\|P_n u_{j'}\|}{\|P_n u_j\|} \leq C$  if  $j \in J_n$ .

**Proof.** (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from simple computation.

(iv) Let  $J_n := \{j ; A_{n-2}A_{n-1}A_n u_j \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . By the second item  $J_n$  contains 1 or 3 or 5 and, by the third, the set  $\mathcal{I}(A_{n-5} \cdots A_n u_j)$  does not depend on  $j \in J_n$  and contains  $\mathcal{I}(A_{n-5} \cdots A_n u_{j'})$  for  $j' \notin J_n$ . Consequently this is also true for  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_j)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_{j'})$ .

So we define  $I_n := \mathcal{I}(P_n u_j)$  ( $j \in J_n$ ). Suppose  $j' \notin J_n$  and  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_{j'}) \neq I_n$ . Then  $P_{3,n} u_{j'} \notin \mathcal{S}$  (otherwise, by (iii),  $\exists j \in J_n \mathcal{I}(P_n u_{j'}) = \mathcal{I}(P_n u_j) = I_n$ ). A quick computation proves that the columns, in any product of matrices of  $\mathcal{M}$ , belong either to  $\mathcal{S}$  or to a set of 19 vectors with entries at most 2. The images of such vectors by  $P_3$  have entries at most  $2 \times 7^3$ .

$\frac{\|P_n u_{j'}\|}{\|P_n u_j\|} = \frac{\sum_i x_i y'_i}{\sum_i x_i y_i} \leq \max_i \frac{y'_i}{y_i}$  with  $x = {}^t u P_{n-6}$ ,  $y = P_{n-6,n} u_j$ ,  $y' = P_{n-6,n} u_{j'}$  because the indices  $i$  such that  $x_i y'_i \neq 0$  satisfy also  $x_i y_i \neq 0$ . The constant  $C$  is the maximum of  $\frac{y'_i}{y_i}$  when  $y$  and  $y'$  belongs to the finite set of the nonnull entries in the products of 6 matrices of  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

Reading the product  $P_n = A_1 \cdots A_n$  from the right to the left, we see that either  $\exists m A_m \cdots A_n \in \mathcal{E} := \{ABA^\alpha, B^2 A^\alpha, CBA^\alpha, CA^\alpha, B, A^2 C^\alpha, A^2 BAC^\alpha, BABAC^\alpha, CABAC^\alpha, B^2 AC^\alpha, CBAC^\alpha, CAC^\alpha, ABC^\alpha, B^2 C^\alpha, CBC^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ , or  $A_1 \cdots A_n \in \mathcal{E}' := \{I, A^\alpha, BA^\alpha, C^\alpha, AC^\alpha, BAC^\alpha, ABAC^\alpha, BC^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

By reiterating one obtains

$$(15) \quad A_1 \cdots A_n = E_0 E_1 \cdots E_k \text{ with } k \geq 0, E_0 \in \mathcal{E}' \text{ and } E_1, \dots, E_k \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Suppose that the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not eventually equal to the constant  $A$ , nor to the constant  $C$ . While each  $E_i$  depend on  $n$ , its length has a bound (depending on  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ), hence there exists necessarily a non-increasing sequence of infinite sets  $S_i \subset \mathbb{N}$  for the  $(i+1)$ -uplet  $(E_0, \dots, E_i)$  to be the same for any  $n \in S_i$ . In this way we obtain an infinite sequence  $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(16) \quad \forall k \exists n = n_k E_0 \cdots E_k = A_1 \cdots A_n.$$

The map  $\Lambda$  defined in Lemma 3.2(ii) is bounded on  $\mathcal{E}$ : for instance  $ABA^{4\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha+1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda(ABA^{4\alpha}) = 2$ . Let us bound also  $\Lambda(A_1 \cdots A_n)$ .

The search of a bound of  $\Lambda(M_1 \cdots M_k)$ , valid for any nonnegative matrices  $M_1, \dots, M_k$ , leads to the following lemma:

**Lemma A.2.** For  $M = (m_{i,j})$  nonnegative, let  $J_i := \{j ; m_{i,j} \neq 0\}$  and

$$\lambda(M) := \max_{i,i' \text{ such that } J_i \neq \emptyset} \frac{\sum_{j \notin J_i} m_{i',j}}{\sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i,j}}.$$

If  $\max_i \lambda(M_i) \leq \lambda$  and  $\max_i \Lambda(M_i) \leq \Lambda$ ,

(i)  $\Lambda(M_1 \cdots M_k) \leq \frac{\lambda^k - 1}{\lambda - 1} \Lambda$  (or  $k\Lambda$  if  $\lambda = 1$ );

(ii)  $\Lambda(M_1 \cdots M_k) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{1 - \lambda}$  if  $\lambda < 1$ .

**Proof.** The proof of the formula  $\Lambda(M_1 \cdots M_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^{i-1} \Lambda(M_i)$  by induction on  $k$ , is straightforward if one has previously proved this formula for  $k = 2$ . So we consider a product-matrix  $P = MN$ : we have

$$\forall i, i', \ell \quad \frac{P_{i',\ell}}{P_{i,\ell}} = \frac{\sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i',j} n_{j,\ell} + \sum_{j \notin J_i} m_{i',j} n_{j,\ell}}{\sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i,j} n_{j,\ell}}.$$

For  $j \in J_i$  we have  $m_{i',j} \leq \Lambda(M) m_{i,j}$ . For  $j \notin J_i$ ,  $n_{j,\ell} \leq \Lambda(N) \min_{j'} n_{j',\ell}$ , so


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{i',\ell}}{P_{i,\ell}} &\leq \frac{\Lambda(M) \sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i,j} n_{j,\ell}}{\sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i,j} n_{j,\ell}} + \frac{\Lambda(N) \min_{j'} n_{j',\ell} \sum_{j \notin J_i} m_{i',j}}{\min_{j'} n_{j',\ell} \sum_{j \in J_i} m_{i,j}} \\ &\leq \Lambda(M) + \lambda(M) \Lambda(N). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma A.3.** (i) Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be non-eventually  $A$  nor eventually  $C$ . If the set  $\{n ; A_{n+1} \cdots A_{n+6} = BA^2BA^2\}$  is empty,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = \infty$ .

(ii)  $\sup_{E_i \in \mathcal{E}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda(E_1 \cdots E_k) < \infty$  and  $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \sup_{E_i \in \mathcal{E}} \sup_{k \geq k_0} \lambda(E_1 \cdots E_k) =: \lambda_0 < 1$ .

**Proof.** (i) According to the following graph:



a product-matrix  $M_1^{i_1} \cdots M_n^{i_n}$  with  $n \geq 9$ ,  $M_k \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $M_k \neq M_{k+1}$ ,  $i_k \geq 1$  transforms any vector  $v \in \mathcal{S}$  to a vector  $v' \in 2 \cdot \mathcal{S}$ , except if  $BA^2BA^2$  is part of this product. Hence so do the products of at least 9 matrices of  $\mathcal{E}$  and, using (16) and Lemma A.1(i) and (ii),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = \infty$ .



(ii) There exist  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $E_1 \cdots E_k = A_1 \cdots A_n = P_n$ . If  $\exists i A_{i+1} \cdots A_{i+6} = BA^2BA^2$  (matrix of rank 1), then  $\lambda(P_n) = 0$ . If not, Lemma A.1(i) and (ii) imply  $\exists \iota E_{k-2}E_{k-1}E_k u_\iota \in \mathcal{S}$ . Consequently, if  $k-6 \geq 9\kappa$  then  $E_4 \cdots E_k u_\iota$  belongs to  $2^\kappa \cdot \mathcal{S}$ , hence so do  $A_4 \cdots A_n u_\iota$ . Let  $J$  be the set of the indices  $j$  such that  $A_4 \cdots A_n u_j \in \mathcal{S}$ . The set of indices  $I = \mathcal{I}(P_n u_j)$  does not depend on  $j \in J$ , contains  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_{j'})$  for  $j' \notin J$ , and  $P_n u_{j'}$  has entries at most  $2 \cdot 7^3$  if  $\mathcal{I}(P_n u_{j'}) \neq I$ . The definitions of  $\lambda(\cdot)$  implies that  $\lambda(P_n)$  is bounded and, since  $P_n u_\iota$  has all its entries at least  $2^\kappa$ ,  $\lambda(P_n) \leq \text{constant} < 1$  if  $k-6 \geq 9\kappa$  with  $2^\kappa > 2 \cdot 7^3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.** (i) If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not eventually the constant  $A$  nor the constant  $C$ , there exists a constant  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $\Lambda(P_n) \leq \Lambda_0 + \Lambda(E_0)$ . (ii) The first, third or fifth column of  $\frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  has entries at least  $\frac{1}{7^2(\Lambda_0 + \Lambda(E_0))C}$ .

**Proof.** (i) (16) implies that for any  $n$

$$\exists k(n) A_1 \cdots A_n = E_0 \cdots E_{k(n)-1} E'_{k(n)}, \text{ where } \exists i, j E'_{k(n)} A_i \cdots A_j = E_{k(n)}.$$

In fact either  $E'_{k(n)}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}$  or it is a product of at most 4 matrices of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We use the integer  $k_0$  of Lemma A.3(ii) and we write

$$A_1 \cdots A_n = E_0 \cdot (E_1 \cdots E_{k_0}) \cdot (E_{k_0+1} \cdots E_{2k_0}) \cdots$$

One know, by computation, that  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda$  are bounded by 3 on  $\mathcal{E}$ , as well as  $\lambda(E_0)$  and  $\Lambda(E'_{k(n)})$ . By using both inequalities of Lemma A.2 we deduce that  $\Lambda(A_1 \cdots A_n) - \Lambda(E_0)$  is bounded.

(ii) This inequality is due to Lemma A.1(iv), from which  $\exists j \in \{1, 3, 5\}$   $\frac{\|P_n\|}{\|P_n u_j\|} \leq 7C$ , and to the inequality  $\frac{\|P_n u_j\|}{\min_i ({}^i u_i P_n u_j)} \leq 7\Lambda(P_n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.5.** If the sequence  $\mathcal{A} = (A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not eventually equal to  $A$  nor eventually equal to  $C$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  converges to a vector independent of  $c$ , uniformly on the set of nonnegative vectors  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha := \{c; \|c\| = 1, c_1 \geq \alpha, c_3 \geq \alpha, c_5 \geq \alpha\}$  with  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ .

**Proof.** We first note that if  $\exists n A_{n+1} \cdots A_{n+6} = BA^2BA^2$ , then there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $n \geq m \Rightarrow \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|} = \frac{P_m v}{\|P_m v\|}$  with  $v$  left-eigenvector of  $BA^2BA^2$  (matrix of rank 1), proving Lemma A.5 in this case.

Suppose now  $\forall n A_{n+1} \cdots A_{n+6} \neq BA^2BA^2$ . We use the sequence  $s = (n_k)_{k \geq 0}$  defined in (16), that we replace eventually by a subsequence for  $n \mapsto \frac{P_{n_k}}{\|P_{n_k}\|}$  to converge, and for  $\|P_{n_k, n_{k+1}}\|$  to tend to  $\infty$  (we chose  $n_{k+1}$  by applying Lemma A.3(i) to the shifted sequence  $(P_{n_k+n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ).

To check the first condition of Theorem 1.1 we apply Lemma A.4(ii) to  $\frac{P_{n_k}}{\|P_{n_k}\|}$

and  $\frac{P_{n_k, n}}{\|P_{n_k, n}\|}$ . For the second matrix, we note that the matrix  $E'_0$  associated by (16) to the shifted sequence  $(A_{n_k+n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the identity matrix  $I$ , and  $\Lambda(I) = 1$ . So we obtain  $\left\| \frac{P_{n_k}}{\|P_{n_k}\|} \cdot \frac{P_{n_k, n}}{\|P_{n_k, n}\|} \right\| \geq \frac{1}{7^2(\Lambda_0 + \Lambda(E_0))C} \cdot \frac{1}{7^2(\Lambda_0 + 1)C}$ .

The second condition of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied because Lemma A.1(iv) and Lemma A.4 imply that the set of indices  $\mathcal{I}(Q^{(s)})$  has the form  $I \times J$ .

Let  $v = \alpha u_{135} + w$  be an element of  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ . It has coordinates  $\frac{w_i}{1-3\alpha} \geq 0$  in the basis  $e_i := \alpha u_{135} + (1-3\alpha)u_i$ . Since for any  $i$  the sequence of vectors  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n e_i}{\|P_n e_i\|}$

converges to the same vector  $c_{\mathcal{A}}$ , one has  $\|P_n e_i - \|P_n e_i\| c_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \varepsilon_n \|P_n e_i\|$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ . By the triangular inequality,  $\|P_n c - \|P_n c\| c_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \varepsilon_n \|P_n c\|$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|} = c_{\mathcal{A}}$  uniformly on  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove that (12) holds for  $c_{\xi, n, \beta} = \frac{M_{\xi_1} \cdots M_{\xi_n} c_{\beta}}{\|M_{\xi_1} \cdots M_{\xi_n} c_{\beta}\|}$ ,  $M_0, M_1, M_2, c_{\beta}$  defined in Lemma 3.5. So given  $\omega \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we search  $n_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$(17) \quad \xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{n_{\varepsilon}}], p, q \geq n_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \|c_{\xi, p, \beta} - c_{\xi, q, \beta}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Suppose first that the sequence  $\omega$  is not eventually 0 nor eventually 2, and let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ . By Lemma A.5,

$$(18) \quad \exists c_{\omega}, n'_{\varepsilon} \forall n \geq n'_{\varepsilon} \forall c \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \|c_{\omega, n} - c_{\omega}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (c_{\omega, n} = \frac{M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c}{\|M_{\omega_1} \cdots M_{\omega_n} c\|}).$$

Choosing  $n_{\varepsilon}$  large enough, there exist at least five occurrences of  $0^h$ ,  $1^h$  or  $2^h$  in the word  $\omega_{n'_{\varepsilon}+1} \dots \omega_{n_{\varepsilon}}$  (seen as a concatenation of words  $0^h$ ,  $1^h$  and  $2^h$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We use the decomposition  $A_1 \cdots A_p = E_0 \cdots E_k$  of (15) for the matrices  $A_i = 2^{2^{\xi_i}} M_{\xi_i}$ . From the hypothesis on  $n_{\varepsilon}$  there exist  $n \in \{n_{\varepsilon'}, \dots, n_{\varepsilon}\}$  and  $h \leq k$  such that  $A_1 \cdots A_n = E_0 \cdots E_h$ . And there exists  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  for  $c = \frac{P_{n, p} c_{\beta}}{\|P_{n, p} c_{\beta}\|}$  to belong to  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ , by using Lemma A.4(i) and the inequality  $c \geq \frac{P_{n, p}}{\|P_{n, p}\|} \cdot \frac{c_{\beta}}{\|c_{\beta}\|}$ . Applying (18) to  $c$ , and to  $c' = \frac{P_{n, q} c_{\beta}}{\|P_{n, q} c_{\beta}\|}$ , we obtain (17).

Suppose now  $\exists m \forall n \geq m \omega_n = 0$  (resp.  $\forall n \geq m \omega_n = 2$ ). The proof is about the same: since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A^n c}{\|A^n c\|}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C^n c}{\|C^n c\|}$  are respectively

$$c_{\mathcal{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{if the first entry of } c \text{ is nonnull}) \quad \text{and} \quad c_C := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{if its fifth entry is nonnull}),$$

we have for any  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  and  $c \in \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$

$$(19) \quad \exists m_{\varepsilon} \geq m \forall n \geq m_{\varepsilon} \|c_{\omega, n} - \frac{P^n c_{\mathcal{A}}}{\|P^n c_{\mathcal{A}}\|}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|c_{\omega, n} - \frac{P^n c_C}{\|P^n c_C\|}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\xi \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{m_{\varepsilon}}]$ , we use the decomposition  $A_1 \cdots A_p = E_0 \cdots E_k$  of (15) for the matrices  $A_i = 2^{2^{\xi_i}} M_{\xi_i}$ . There exist  $n \geq m_{\varepsilon}$  and  $h \leq k$  such that  $A_1 \cdots A_n = E_0 \cdots E_h$ . Choosing the smallest value of  $n$ , we have  $X_i = \omega_i = 0$  (resp.  $2$ ) for any  $i \leq n$ , so we can use (19). The end of the proof is the same as in the previous case.

The proof of the weak-Gibbs property of the measure  $\nu(\frac{\cdot}{\beta-1})$  is the same as in the integral case §3.1.

## APPENDIX B. MULTIFRACTAL FORMALISM [26, 29, 42, 45] AND WEAK-GIBBS PROPERTY [53, 31]

Both notions are related, and the weak-Gibbs property of a sofic measure is related to the uniform convergence of a sequence of the form  $n \mapsto \frac{P_n c}{\|P_n c\|}$  (Theorem B.3).

The *multifractal analysis* is a particular way of analysing the local structure of measures. Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ ; its *singularity spectrum*

$\tau_{\text{sing}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, 1]$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{sing}}(\alpha) &:= \text{H-dim}(E_\mu(\alpha)) \quad (\text{Hausdorff dimension of } E_\mu(\alpha)), \text{ where} \\ E_\mu(\alpha) &:= \{x ; \dim_\mu(x) \text{ exists and } \dim_\mu(x) = \alpha\} \quad (\text{level-set of } \mu), \\ \dim_\mu(x) &:= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \log(\mu([x-r, x+r])) / \log r \quad (\text{local dimension of } \mu) \end{aligned}$$

(by convention, the Hausdorff dimension of the empty set is  $-\infty$ ). Its *scale spectrum* (or  *$L^q$ -spectrum*)  $\tau_{\text{scale}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, 1]$  is defined by

$$\tau_{\text{scale}}(q) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \log_r \left( \inf_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu(I_k))^q \right) \right) \right),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the set of the covers of the support of  $\mu$  by closed intervals of length  $r$ .

The scale spectrum is easier to compute or to approximate than the singularity spectrum. The multifractal formalism, defined as follows, enables to compute the second when one knows the first.

**Definition B.1.** *One says that  $\mu$  satisfies the multifractal formalism if the singularity spectrum is the Legendre-transform conjugate of the scale spectrum (see for instance [27]) in the sense that, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\tau_{\text{sing}}(\alpha) = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}} (\alpha q - \tau_{\text{scale}}(q)).$$

**Definition B.2.** *Let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\Omega_b$ .*

(i) *One says that  $\nu$  has the weak-Gibbs property if there exists a continuous map  $\Phi : \Omega_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , called a potential of  $\mu$ , such that*

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n])}{\exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(\sigma^k \omega) \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 \text{ uniformly on } \Omega_b.$$

(ii) *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a system of affine contractions  $S_k : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1]$  for  $k \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$  that satisfy the condition  $[0, 1] = \bigcup_{k \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}} S_k([0, 1])$  (disjoint union). We define the basic subintervals  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]_{\mathcal{S}} \subset [0, 1]$  and the probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]$ , by*

$$[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]_{\mathcal{S}} := S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_n}([0, 1]) \text{ and } \mu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]_{\mathcal{S}}) := \nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n])$$

*and we say that  $\mu$  has the weak-Gibbs property with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $\nu$  has the weak-Gibbs property.*

According to [23, Theorem A'] the weak-Gibbs measures on  $[0, 1]$  satisfy the multifractal formalism.

Below is a method to prove the weak-Gibbs property for a sofic measure:

**Theorem B.3.** *A sofic measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega_b$  has the weak-Gibbs property if the vectors and matrices of its linear representation satisfy the conditions:*

- \*  $c_{\omega, n} := \frac{M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} c}{\|M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} c\|}$  converges uniformly on  $\Omega_b$  to a limit  $c_\omega$ ;
- \*  $\forall i \in \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ ,  $(r_i c_{\omega, n})^{\frac{1}{n}}$  converges to 1 uniformly on  $\Omega_b$ ;
- \*  $\forall \omega \in \Omega_b$   $M_i c_\omega \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** We compute

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n])}{\|c\|} &= \frac{r_{\omega_1} M_{\omega_2} \dots M_{\omega_n} c}{\|M_{\omega_2} \dots M_{\omega_n} c\|} \cdot \frac{\|M_{\omega_2} \dots M_{\omega_n} c\|}{\|M_{\omega_3} \dots M_{\omega_n} c\|} \dots \frac{\|M_{\omega_n} c\|}{\|c\|} \\ &= r_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega, n-1} \cdot \|M_{\omega_2} c_{\sigma^2 \omega, n-2}\| \dots \|M_{\omega_n} c_{\sigma^n \omega, 0}\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(\sigma^k \omega)\right) = \|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\| \cdots \|M_{\omega_n} c_{\sigma^n \omega}\|.$$

By the second condition,  $(r_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega, n-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}$  converges uniformly to 1, as well as  $\|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$  because the third condition and the continuity of  $\omega \mapsto c_\omega$  imply  $\forall \omega \frac{1}{K} \leq \|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\| \leq K$  with  $K > 0$  constant. Since  $c_{\omega, n}$  converges uniformly to  $c_\omega$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that, for any  $\omega \in \Omega_b$ ,

$$1 - \varepsilon_n \leq \frac{\|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega, n-1}\|}{\|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\|} \leq 1 + \varepsilon_n.$$

So (21) and (22) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega, n-1}}{\|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\|} (1 - \varepsilon_{n-1}) \cdots (1 - \varepsilon_1) &\leq \frac{\nu([\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]) / \|c\|}{\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(\sigma^k \omega)\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{r_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega, n-1}}{\|M_{\omega_1} c_{\sigma \omega}\|} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}) \cdots (1 + \varepsilon_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 \pm \varepsilon_k)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$ ,  $\nu$  satisfies (20).  $\square$

### APPENDIX C. UPPER TRIANGULAR $3 \times 3$ MATRICES

Let  $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n \\ 0 & d_n & e_n \\ 0 & 0 & f_n \end{pmatrix}$  belong to a finite set of upper-triangular matrices with positive entries. Theorem 1.1(ii) is not useful because one can compute

$$P_n = f_1 \cdots f_n \begin{pmatrix} u_n v_n & s_n v_n & \tau_n \\ 0 & v_n & t_n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \begin{cases} u_n := \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{d_1 \cdots d_n} \\ v_n := \frac{d_1 \cdots d_n}{f_1 \cdots f_n} \end{cases}$$

and  $s_n := \sum_1^n \alpha_k u_k$ ,  $\tau_n := \sum_1^n (\gamma_k s_{k-1} + \beta_k u_k) v_k$ ,  $t_n := \sum_1^n \gamma_k v_k$ ,  $\alpha_k := \frac{b_n}{a_n}$ ,  $\beta_k := \frac{c_n}{d_n}$ ,  $\gamma_k := \frac{e_n}{d_n}$ . Note that the limits  $s := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ ,  $\tau := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n$ ,  $t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$  are finite iff  $\sum_n u_k$ ,  $\sum_n s_k v_k$ ,  $\sum_n v_k$  respectively are finite, because  $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k$  are bounded from 0 and  $\infty$ . And the ratio of the  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $P_n$  by the  $(i-1, j)^{\text{th}}$  or the  $(i, j-1)^{\text{th}}$  entry is bounded from 0. Note that  $n \mapsto \frac{\tau_n}{t_n}$  has the same limit as  $n \mapsto \frac{\gamma_k s_{k-1} + \beta_k u_k}{\gamma_k}$  if  $t$  is infinite.

To prove the existence of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  ( $v$  positive) we look at the ratios between the entries of  $P_n$ . We use the following general fact: any sequence of the form  $n \mapsto \frac{\sum_1^n u_k v_k}{\sum_1^n v_k}$  with  $u_k$  positive non-decreasing,  $v_k$  positive, is itself non-decreasing and, if  $\sum_1^\infty v_k = \infty$ , it has the same limit as  $n \mapsto u_n$ . So if  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\alpha_n^{2,2}}{\alpha_n^{3,3}} = \infty$  (or equivalently if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{2,3} = \infty$ ), the sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{t_n}{s_n^{2,3}}$  has the same limit as  $n \mapsto s_n^{1,2}$ .

1<sup>st</sup> case:  $s$  and  $t$  are infinite, in this case the limit-points of  $\frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  have the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c > 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  ( $v$  nonnegative).

2<sup>nd</sup> case:  $s$  is infinite and  $t$  finite, in this case  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c > 0$ , and the third column is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  if  $v_3 > 0$ .

3<sup>rd</sup> case:  $s$  is finite and  $t$  infinite, in this case the limit-points of  $\frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  have the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & c \\ 0 & d & e \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c, e > 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if  $v_3 > 0$ .

4<sup>th</sup> case:  $s$  and  $t$  are infinite, in this case  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n}{\|P_n\|}$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$  with  $c, e, f > 0$ , and the third column is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n v}{\|P_n v\|}$  if  $v_3 > 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ali and A.H. Tewfik. Multiscale difference equation signal models. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 43(10):2332 - 2345, 1995.
- [2] M.F. Barnsley. *Fractals Everywhere*. 1988.
- [3] Z.I. Bezhaeva and V.I. Oseledets. Erdős measures, sofic measures, and Markov chains. *J. Math. Sci.*, 140(3):357–368, 2007.
- [4] P. Bougerol and J. Lacroix. *Products of Random Matrices with Applications to Schrödinger Operators*. Vol. 8. Birkhäuser, Boston – Basel – Stuttgart, 283 p., 1985.
- [5] M. Boyle. *Basic Perron Frobenius theory and inverse spectral problems*. [https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/sites/default/files/files/fichiers/lecturenotes\\_boyle1.pdf](https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/sites/default/files/files/fichiers/lecturenotes_boyle1.pdf)
- [6] M. Boyle and K. Petersen. Hidden Markov processes in the context of symbolic dynamics. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 385:5–71, 2011. [https://books.google.fr/books?id=1Zz\\_zHpfBIAC&printsec=frontcover&hl=fr#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.fr/books?id=1Zz_zHpfBIAC&printsec=frontcover&hl=fr#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- [7] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Two-scale difference equations. I: Existence and global regularity of solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 22(5):1388–1410, 1991.
- [8] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Two-scale difference equations. II: Local regularity, infinite products of matrices, and fractals. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(4):1031–1079, 1992.
- [9] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 161:227–263, 1992. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002437959290012Y>
- [10] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. On the thermodynamic formalism for multifractal functions. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 6(5a):1033–1070, 1994.
- [11] I. Daubechies and J.C. Lagarias. Corrigendum/addendum to: Sets of matrices all infinite products of which converge. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 327(1-3):69–83, 2001. <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0024379500003141?token=67BD719143B02646C9071D46E9E9C6C87BD37516BA999C2B57F30DA91816ECDBF8077A3D307A1787396CDD697CDA58C3&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20210805043608>
- [12] S.W. Dharmadhikari. Functions of finite Markov chains. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 34:1022–1032, 1963. [https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf\\_1/euclid.aoms/1177704025](https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.aoms/1177704025)
- [13] J.M. Dumont, N. Sidorov and A. Thomas. Number of representations related to a linear recurrent basis. *Acta Arith.* 8(4):371–396, 1999. <http://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/ksiazki/aa/aa88/aa8847.pdf>
- [14] L. Elsner and S. Friedland. Norm conditions for convergence of infinite products. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 250:133–142, 1997. <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~elsner/elsner-publications.html>
- [15] P. Erdős. On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions. *Am. J. Math.*, 61:974–976, 1939. [http://www.renyi.hu/~p\\_erdos/1939-05.pdf](http://www.renyi.hu/~p_erdos/1939-05.pdf)
- [16] R.V. Erickson. Functions of Markov chains. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 41:843–850, 1970. <https://projecteuclid.org/journals/annals-of-mathematical-statistics/volume-41/issue-3/Functions-of-Markov-Chains/10.1214/aoms/1177696962.full>
- [17] D.-J. Feng. Lyapunov exponents for products of matrices and Multifractal analysis. Part I: Positive matrices. *Isr. J. Math.*, 138:353–376, 2003.
- [18] D.-J. Feng. Lyapunov exponents for products of matrices and Multifractal analysis. Part II: General matrices. *Isr. J. Math.*, 170:355–394, 2009.

- [19] D.-J. Feng. Smoothness of the  $L^q$ -spectrum of self-similar measures with overlaps. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 68(1):102–118, 2003.
- [20] D.-J. Feng. The variational principle for products of non-negative matrices. *Nonlinearity*, 17(2):447–457, 2004.
- [21] D.-J. Feng and W. Huang. Lyapunov spectrum of asymptotically sub-additive potentials. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 297(1):1–43, 2010.  
<http://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/~djfeng/fengpapers/Feng-Huang/FH-CMP/FH-final.pdf>
- [22] D.-J. Feng and K.-S. Lau. The pressure function for products of non negative matrices. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(2-3):363–378, 2002.
- [23] D.-J. Feng and E. Olivier. Multifractal Analysis of Weak Gibbs Measures and Phase Transition - Application to some Bernoulli Convolutions. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 23(6):1751–1784, 2003.  
<https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/~djfeng/fengpapers/etds2003/F0.pdf>
- [24] S. Friedland. Convergence of products of matrices in projective spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 413:247–263, 2006.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024379504002988>
- [25] I. Ya. Goldsheid. Lyapunov exponents and asymptotic behaviour of the product of random matrices. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1486, 1991.
- [26] P. Grassberger. Generalized dimensions of strange attractors. *Phys. Lett. A*, 97:227–230, 1983.
- [27] T. Halsey, M. Jensen, L. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia & B. Shraiman. Fractal measures and their singularities: the characterization of strange sets. *Phys. Rev. A*, 33:1141–1151, 1986.  
<https://jfi.uchicago.edu/~leop/SciencePapers/Old%20Science%20Papers/Fractal%20measures%20and%20their%20singularities%20The%20characterization%20of%20strange%20sets.pdf>
- [28] D. J. Hartfiel. *Nonhomogeneous Matrix Products*. World Scientific Publishing (Singapore), 224 p., 2002.  
[http://books.google.fr/books?id=SBbzffGTmEsC&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs\\_ge\\_summary\\_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false](http://books.google.fr/books?id=SBbzffGTmEsC&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- [29] H. Hentschel and I. Procaccia. The finite number of generalized dimensions of fractals and strange attractors. *Physica D*, 8:435–444, 1983.
- [30] J.E. Hutchinson. Fractals and self similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30:713–747, 1981.  
<https://maths-people.anu.edu.au/~john/Assets/Research>
- [31] G. Iommi and Y. Yayama. Weak Gibbs measures as Gibbs measures for asymptotically additive sequences. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 145(4):1599–1614, 2017.  
[http://www.mat.uc.cl/~giommi/weak\\_gibbs.PAMS.pdf](http://www.mat.uc.cl/~giommi/weak_gibbs.PAMS.pdf)
- [32] V. Kozyakin. *An annotated bibliography on convergence of matrix products and the theory of joint/generalized spectral radius*. Preprint, 68 p., 2013.  
<http://iitp.ru/upload/publications/6413/JSRbib.v8.pdf>
- [33] F. Ledrappier. *Quelques propriétés des exposants caractéristiques*, In P.L. Hennequin, editor, *École d’été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XII - 1982*, Lect. Notes Math. **1097** (1984), 305–396.
- [34] F. W. Leysieffer. Functions of finite Markov chains. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 38:206–212, 1967.  
<https://projecteuclid.org/journals/annals-of-mathematical-statistics/volume-38/issue-1/Functions-of-Finite-Markov-Chains/10.1214/aoms/1177699072.full>
- [35] B.H. Lindqvist; Asymptotic properties of powers of nonnegative matrices, with applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114-115:555–588, 1989.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0024379589904801>
- [36] C. A. Micchelli and H. Prautzsch. Uniform refinement of curves. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114-115:841–870, 1989.  
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/82739905.pdf>

- [37] A. Mukherjea and A. Nakassis. On the continuous singularity of the limit distribution of products of i. i. d.  $d \times d$  stochastic matrices. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 15:903–918, 2002.
- [38] A. Mukherjea, A. Nakassis and J. S. Ratti. On the distribution of the limit of products of i. i. d.  $2 \times 2$  random stochastic matrices. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 12(2):571–583, 1999.
- [39] A. Mukherjea, A. Nakassis and J. S. Ratti. Addendum to "On the distribution of the limit of products of i. i. d.  $2 \times 2$  random stochastic matrices". *J. Theor. Probab.*, 12(4):1109–1112, 1999.
- [40] E. Olivier, N. Sidorov and A. Thomas. On the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions related to  $\beta$ -numeration in multinacci bases. *Monatshefte für Math.*, 145:145–174, 2005.
- [41] E. Olivier and A. Thomas. Projective convergence of inhomogeneous  $2 \times 2$  matrix products. *Asian J. Math.*, 19:811–843, 2015.  
<https://www.intlpress.com/site/pub/files/fulltext/journals/ajm/2015/00190005/AJM-2015-0019-0005-a002.pdf>
- [42] L. Olsen. Multifractal geometry, Fractal geometry and stochastics, II (Greifswald/Koserow, 1998) Basel : Birkhauser. *Progr. Probab.*, 46:3–37, 2000.
- [43] Y. Peres, W. Schlag and B. Solomyak. Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions. *Progress in Probability, Birkhäuser Verlag*, 46:39–65, 2000.  
<https://u.math.biu.ac.il/~solomyb/RESEARCH/sixty.pdf>.
- [44] O. Perron. *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*. New York, 524 p., 1950.
- [45] Y. Pesin. *Dimension theory in dynamical systems: contemporary views and applications*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1998.  
[https://books.google.fr/books?id=Q2RmUWJIun4C&printsec=frontcover&hl=fr&source=gbs\\_ge\\_summary\\_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.fr/books?id=Q2RmUWJIun4C&printsec=frontcover&hl=fr&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- [46] T. Petrie. Probabilistic Functions of Finite State Markov Chains. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 40:97–115, 1969.  
<https://projecteuclid.org/journals/annals-of-mathematical-statistics/volume-40/issue-1/Probabilistic-Functions-of-Finite-State-Markov-Chains/10.1214/aoms/1177697807.full>
- [47] A. Rényi. Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties. *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.*, 8:477–493, 1957.
- [48] E. Seneta. *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*. Springer Series in Statistics, New York, NY: Springer, 287 p., 2006.
- [49] A. Thomas. Infinite products of nonnegative  $2 \times 2$  matrices by nonnegative vectors. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4050.pdf>
- [50] A. Thomas. Can an infinite left-product of nonnegative matrices be expressed in terms of infinite left-products of stochastic ones? Preprint, 2009.  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.3538.pdf>
- [51] A. Thomas. Sofic measures and densities of level sets. *Unif. Distrib. Theory*, 11:91–124, 2016.  
<https://sciencedirect.com/article/10.1515/udt-2016-0015>
- [52] C. Xie, S. Yang and X. Yang. A new fast algorithm for solving lattice multiscale difference equation. *J. Math., Wuhan Univ.*, 18:95–97, 1998.
- [53] M. Yuri. Zeta functions for certain non-hyperbolic systems and topological Markov approximations. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Sys.*, 18:1589–1612, 1998.  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.00977.pdf>

ALAIN THOMAS, 448 ALLÉE DES CANTONS, 83640 PLAN D'AUPS SAINTE BAUME, FRANCE  
 Email address: [alain-yves.thomas@laposte.net](mailto:alain-yves.thomas@laposte.net)