

REMOVABLE SINGULARITIES FOR SUB-SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS INVOLVING WEIGHTED VARIABLE EXPONENT SOBOLEV SPACES

JUAN ALCON APAZA

ABSTRACT. We study the removability of a singular set for elliptic equations involving weight functions and variable exponents. We consider the case where the singular set satisfies conditions related to some generalization of *upper Minkowski content* or a *net measure*, and give sufficient conditions for removability of this singularity for equations in the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the study of conditions guaranteeing the removability of singular set for non-negative weak sub-solutions, in the weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces, for nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, u, \nabla u) + B(x, u) = 0.$$

For the exact requirements on the mappings A and B we refer to Section 3. In this work we employ the arguments used to prove the following results.

Theorem A. (see [13, Theorem 1]) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be an open set, and $E \subset \Omega$ be closed in Ω . Denote by $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(E)$ the α -dimensional upper Minkowski content of E . Suppose that $\alpha \in [0, n-2]$ and $\mathcal{M}^\alpha(E) = 0$. If f is subharmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$ and satisfies*

$$f(x) \leq C d(x, E)^{\alpha+2-n} \quad (x \in \Omega \setminus E)$$

for some positive constant C , then f has a subharmonic extension to Ω .

Theorem B. (see [13, Theorem 3]) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be an open set, and $E \subset \Omega$ be closed in Ω . Denote by $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E)$ the α -dimensional Hausdorff measure of E . Suppose that $\alpha \in [0, n-2]$ and $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) = 0$. If f is subharmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$ and satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} \max\{f, 0\} dx \leq C r^{\alpha+2-n} \quad (\overline{B(x, r)} \subset \Omega)$$

for some positive constant C , then f has a subharmonic extension to Ω .

Motivated by these theorems we give a generalization of the *upper Minkowski content* and the *net measure* involving weight and variable exponents, see the next section. In the case that certain smoothness, Hausdorff measure or uniform Minkowski condition is imposing on the singular set, a different approach can be found in [6, 8, 10].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the whole article Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. We will work on Ω with the Lebesgue measure dx . We first recall some facts on spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ and $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. We write

$$L_+^\infty(\Omega) = \{p \in L^\infty(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{ess\,inf}_\Omega p > 1\}.$$

For $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$, we define

$$W(\Omega) = \{\vartheta \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\Omega) \mid \vartheta > 0 \text{ almost everywhere in } \Omega\}.$$

An element in $W(\Omega)$ is called a *weight function*. For $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$, set

$$W_p(\Omega) = \left\{ \vartheta \in W(\Omega) \mid \vartheta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\Omega) \right\}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A21, 35J57, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. variable exponent, weight function, singular set, removable singularity.

Let $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in W(\Omega)$, we define the functional

$$\rho_{p,\vartheta}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \vartheta dx.$$

The *weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is the class of all functions u such that $\rho_{p,\vartheta}(tu) < \infty$, for some $t > 0$. $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \rho_{p,\vartheta} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\};$$

see [11, Theorem 2.5]. In the case that $\vartheta \equiv 1$, we have $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta) = L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

For any $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$, we denote by $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ the conjugate function.

Proposition 2.1. (see [11, Theorem 2.1].) *Let $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| dx \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proposition 2.2. (see [15, pp. 4], [5, Theorem 1.3].) *Let $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, we have*

$$\min \left\{ \rho_{p,\vartheta}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \rho_{p,\vartheta}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \leq \max \left\{ \rho_{p,\vartheta}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \rho_{p,\vartheta}(u)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)}^{p^-}, \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)}^{p^+} \right\} \leq \rho_{p,\vartheta}(u) \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)}^{p^-}, \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)}^{p^+} \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $p^- = \text{ess inf}_{\Omega} p$ and $p^+ = \text{ess sup}_{\Omega} p$.

Let $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$. The *weighted variable exponent Sobolev space* $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is the class of all functions $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ which have the property $|\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. The space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} = \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)};$$

see [11, Theorem 3.1] and [15, Proposition 3.2].

In the proof our main results we employed the following type of partition of unity:

Lemma 2.1. (see [7, Lemma 3.1]) *Let $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a finite disjoint collection of dyadic of length ℓ_i . For each i , there is a non-negative function $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{spt } \varphi_i \subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and $\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i = 1$ on $\cup_{i=1}^N Q_i$. Furthermore, for each multi-index λ , there is a constant $C_\lambda = C_\lambda(n, \lambda) > 0$ for which $|D^\lambda \varphi_i(x)| \leq C_\lambda \ell_i^{-|\lambda|}$ for all x and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.*

To define the *Minkowski content*, let $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow [0, n]$ be a measurable function and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we write

$$F_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, F) < \varepsilon\},$$

where $d(x, F)$ denote the Euclidean distance from a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to F .

Definition 2.1. *The (α, ϑ) -upper Minkowski content of F is defined by*

$$\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^\alpha(F) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{F_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta,$$

where $\vartheta \in W(\Omega)$ and $d\vartheta = \vartheta dx$.

Now, we proceed to define the *net measure*. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\ell > 0$, we denote by

$$Q(x, \ell) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x_i - y_i| \leq \ell/2, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

the cube of center x and length ℓ . Recall that a *dyadic cube* is represented as

$$\left[m_1 2^{-k}, (m_1 + 1) 2^{-k} \right] \times \dots \times \left[m_n 2^{-k}, (m_n + 1) 2^{-k} \right],$$

where k, m_1, \dots, m_n are integers.

For a cube Q of length $\ell(Q) = \ell$, we let $\frac{3}{2}Q$ denote the cube with the same center and length $3\ell/2$. For any integer k let G_k be a collection of cubes represented by a form

$$\left[m_1 2^{-k}, (m_1 + 1) 2^{-k} \right] \times \dots \times \left[m_n 2^{-k}, (m_n + 1) 2^{-k} \right],$$

for some integers m_1, \dots, m_n .

Let $\gamma > 0$ be fixed. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}^\varepsilon(F) = \inf \left\{ \sum_j \left[\int_{Q_j} \left(2^{-k_j} \right)^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta \right]^\gamma \mid F \subset \text{int}(\cup_j Q_j), Q_j \in G_{k_j}, 2^{-k_j} < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Definition 2.2. The $(\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta)$ -net measure of F is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(F) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}^\varepsilon(F).$$

We finish this section, introducing the following condition used in this work.

Assumption 2.1. Assume $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$. Suppose $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ has compact support $\text{spt } \varphi = K$. Then there exist functions $\varphi_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\text{spt } \varphi_m \subset K_{d(K, \partial\Omega)/2}$, such that

$$\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi \quad \text{in } W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta).$$

Remark. In the case that $\vartheta \equiv 1$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$ is bounded, the Assumption 2.1 is verified, see [2, Corollary 11.2.4]. On the other hand, if $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$ is bounded and ϑ satisfies the Muckenhoupt condition, see [1, 3], following the same lines of the proof of [12, Lemma 2.4] we obtain the Assumption 2.1; for auxiliary results see [14, Theorem 2.1.4], [4, Appendix C.5], [1, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, if $p \equiv \text{constant}$ and ϑ belongs to Muckenhoupt's A_p -class, the Assumption 2.1 is satisfied; see [9, Theorem 2.5].

3. MAIN RESULTS

In the sequel $E \subset \Omega$ is a compact set. For us a function is *integrable* if it has an integral (which may equal $\pm\infty$).

Definition 3.1. Let $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$ and $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. We define

$$W_{E, \text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ is integrable, } u|_{\Omega \setminus E} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega \setminus E, \vartheta), \right.$$

$$\left. \text{and there is a integrable function } \widetilde{\nabla} u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ so that } \widetilde{\nabla} u = \nabla u \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \setminus E \right\}.$$

Now, we will divide our main results into two sections.

3.1. Singular set satisfying $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^\alpha(E) = 0$. First, we will work with nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$-\text{div } A(x, u, \nabla u) + B(x, u) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We assume that $A : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions. Furthermore, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that the following conditions are satisfied almost everywhere:

$$\langle A(x, u, \eta), \eta \rangle \geq \left(\mu |\eta|^{p(x)} - \mu^{-1} u^{p(x)} + a_1(x) \right) \vartheta(x), \quad (3.5)$$

$$|A(x, u, \eta)| \leq \left(\mu^{-1} |\eta|^{p(x)-1} + \mu^{-1} u^{p(x)-1} + a_2(x) \right) \vartheta(x), \quad (3.6)$$

$$|B(x, u)| \leq \left(\mu^{-1} u^{p(x)-1} + a_3(x) \right) \vartheta(x), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$, $a_1 \in L^{q_1}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $a_2 \in L^{q_2}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $a_2 \geq 0$, $a_3 \in L^{q_3}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $a_3 \geq 0$, $\alpha, \beta : \Omega \rightarrow [0, n]$ are measurable functions, and $p, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. Furthermore,

$$(p\beta)^+ \leq n - \alpha^+ - p^+ - 1, \quad (3.8)$$

$$q_1^-, q_3^- \geq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^+, q_2^- \geq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^+, \frac{n - \alpha^+}{n - \alpha^+ - \beta^+ - 2} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Definition 3.2. We will say that $u \in W_{E, \text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is a (weak) sub-solution of equation (3.4) in $\Omega \setminus E$ if

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle + B(\cdot, u) \varphi dx \leq 0 \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, with compact support in $\Omega \setminus E$.

Definition 3.3. We will say that the sub-solution u of equation (3.4) in $\Omega \setminus E$ has a removable singularity at E : if $u \in W_{E, \text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ implies $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ and the equality (3.10) is fulfilled for all $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, with compact support.

Inspired by Theorem A we have our first main result.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (3.5) - (3.9) are satisfied, furthermore $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^\alpha(E) = 0$. If u is a non-negative sub-solution of (3.4) in $\Omega \setminus E$, and

$$u \leq C d(\cdot, E)^{-\beta} \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \setminus E, \quad (3.11)$$

where C is a suitable positive constant, then the singularity of u at E is removable.

Proof. 1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. Since $M_\vartheta^\alpha(E) = 0$, there is $r_0 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\overline{E_{r_0}} \subset \Omega$ and

$$\int_{E_r} r^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, r_0]. \quad (3.12)$$

For $r \in [0, r_0]$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots$, we write

$$K_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, E) < 2^{-j}r\},$$

then

$$E_r = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} (K_j \setminus K_{j+1}),$$

and, by (3.11), (3.12) and (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} u^p d\vartheta &\leq \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} C^p d(\cdot, E)^{-\beta p} d\vartheta \leq C_1(p) \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} \left(2^{-(j+1)}r\right)^{-\beta p} d\vartheta \\ &= C_1 \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} 2^{\beta p} (2^{-j}r)^{n-\alpha-\beta p} (2^{-j}r)^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta \leq C_1 2^{(\beta p)^+} (2^{-j}r)^{n-\alpha^+-(\beta p)^+} \varepsilon \\ &\leq C_2(p, \beta) 2^{-j-1} r^{p^++1} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, considering $|E| = 0$,

$$\int_{E_r} u^p d\vartheta = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} u^p d\vartheta \leq C_2 \varepsilon r^{p^++1}, \quad (3.13)$$

for all $r \in [0, r_0]$. Thus $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$.

2. Now, we prove $|\widetilde{\nabla} u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. For $r < 2r_0/5$, let $\psi_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that

$$\psi_r(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < r/2 \text{ or } t > 5r/2, \\ 1 & \text{if } r < t < 2r, \end{cases}$$

$0 \leq \psi_r \leq 1$ and $|\psi_r'| \leq C_3/r$, where C_3 is a positive constant. Set

$$\varphi = \left(\psi_r^{p^+} \circ d(\cdot, E) \right) u.$$

We have $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, with compact support in $\Omega \setminus E$. For simplicity we write $\psi_r = \psi_r \circ d(\cdot, E)$ and $\psi_r' = \psi_r' \circ d(\cdot, E)$. Substituting φ into (3.10),

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \left\langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \psi_r^{p^+} \nabla u + p^+ \psi_r^{p^+-1} \psi_r' u \nabla d(\cdot, E) \right\rangle + B(\cdot, u) \psi_r^{p^+} u dx \leq 0.$$

By conditions (3.5) - (3.9),

$$q_1^- \geq \frac{n - \alpha^+}{n - \alpha^+ - 1}, \quad q_2^- \geq \frac{n - \alpha^+}{n - \alpha^+ - \beta^+ - 2}, \quad q_3^- \geq \frac{n - \alpha^+}{n - \alpha^+ - \beta^+ - 1} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{\frac{r}{2} \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq \frac{5r}{2}\}} \psi_r^{p^+} (\mu |\nabla u|^p - \mu^{-1} u^p + a_1) \, d\vartheta \\
 & \leq \int_{\{\frac{r}{2} \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq \frac{5r}{2}\}} \frac{C_3}{r} p^+ \psi_r^{p^+-1} (\mu^{-1} |\nabla u|^{p-1} + \mu^{-1} u^{p-1} + a_2) u \, d\vartheta \\
 & + \int_{\{\frac{r}{2} \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq \frac{5r}{2}\}} \psi_r^{p^+} (\mu^{-1} u^{p-1} + a_3) u \, d\vartheta
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (3.13), (2.1), (2.2), Young's inequality and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{r \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq 2r\}} |\nabla u|^p \, d\vartheta \leq C_4 \varepsilon \frac{5r}{2} \\
 & + C_4 r^{(n-\alpha^+)(\frac{q_1^-}{q_1})} \|a_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \left[\int_{E_{5r/2}} \left(\frac{5r}{2}\right)^{\alpha-n} \, d\vartheta \right]^{\left(\frac{q_1-1}{q_1}\right)^-} \\
 & + C_4 \int_{\{\frac{r}{2} \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq \frac{5r}{2}\}} C_5 (\varepsilon_1, p) \left(\frac{u}{r}\right)^p + \varepsilon_1 \psi_r^{\frac{(p^+-1)p}{p-1}} |\nabla u|^p \, d\vartheta \\
 & + C_4 r^{(n-\alpha^+)(\frac{q_2^-}{q_2})-\beta^+-1} \|a_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \left[\int_{E_{5r/2}} \left(\frac{5r}{2}\right)^{\alpha-n} \, d\vartheta \right]^{\left(\frac{q_2-1}{q_2}\right)^-} \\
 & + C_4 r^{(n-\alpha^+)(\frac{q_3^-}{q_3})-\beta^+} \|a_3\|_{L^{q_3(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \left[\int_{E_{5r/2}} \left(\frac{5r}{2}\right)^{\alpha-n} \, d\vartheta \right]^{\left(\frac{q_3-1}{q_3}\right)^-},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_4 = C_4(\mu, \alpha, p, C_2) > 0$. Take $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2C_4}$. From (3.14), (3.11), (3.12), (3.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\{r \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq 2r\}} |\nabla u|^p \, d\vartheta \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} r + C_6 \varepsilon r^{n-\alpha^+-(p\beta)^+-1} \leq 2C_6 \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} r.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{E_r} |\widetilde{\nabla} u|^p \, d\vartheta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{2^{-(i+1)}r \leq d(\cdot, E) \leq 2^{-i}r\}} |\nabla u|^p \, d\vartheta \leq C_7 \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} r, \quad (3.15)$$

where $C_i = C_i(\mu, \alpha, \beta, p, a_1, a_2, a_3) > 0$, $i = 6, 7$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in (0, 1)$. Hence $|\widetilde{\nabla} u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$.

3. Recall from step 1 and 2 that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ and $|\widetilde{\nabla} u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. We now claim $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. To verify this assertion, fix $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we assume that $|\varphi| \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 1$. For $r \in (0, r_0/2)$, let $\zeta_r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that

$$\zeta_r(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |t| \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2r \leq |t|, \end{cases}$$

$0 \leq \zeta_r \leq 1$ and $|\zeta_r'| \leq C_8/r$, where C_8 is a positive constant. For simplicity we write $\zeta_r = \zeta_r \circ d(\cdot, E)$ and $\zeta_r' = \zeta_r' \circ d(\cdot, E)$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} u [(1 - \zeta_r) \varphi]_{x_i} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega \setminus E} u_{x_i} (1 - \zeta_r) \varphi \, dx,$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $r \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$\int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{x_i} \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} \varphi \, dx. \quad (3.16)$$

Indeed, (2.1), (2.2) and (3.13) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus E} u (\zeta_r)_{x_i} \varphi \, dx \right| \leq 2 \left\| \frac{C_8 u}{r} \vartheta^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(E_{2r})} \left\| \vartheta^{-1/p} \right\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(E_{2r})} \\
 & \leq C_9(p, \beta) \left\| \vartheta^{-1/p} \right\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(E_{r_0})} (\varepsilon r)^{1/p^+} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0^+,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$. So (3.16) is proved.

4. To complete the proof of the theorem. Let $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ be with compact support and $\varphi \geq 0$. By Assumption 2.1, we consider the case $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 1$. We show

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle + B(\cdot, u) \varphi dx \leq 0.$$

Let $K = \text{spt } \varphi$. We may suppose that $\overline{K_{r_0}} \subset \Omega$. Choose $\ell = 2^{-k}$ so small that $3\ell\sqrt{n} < r_0$. Cover K by a finite collection of dyadic cubes Q_i with same length $\ell(Q_i) = \ell$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2}Q_i \cap E &\neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N_*, \\ \frac{3}{2}Q_i \cap E &= \emptyset \quad \text{for } i = N_* + 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

for some $N_* \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq N_* \leq N$. By Lemma 2.1, we have there exist non-negative functions $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{spt } \varphi_i \subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i = 1$ on $\cup_{i=1}^N Q_i \supset K$. Furthermore, $|\nabla \varphi_i| \leq C_{10}(n)\ell^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, on \mathbb{R}^n . Since u is a sub-solution of (3.4) in $\Omega \setminus E$, and $\varphi \varphi_i \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \varphi_i \geq 0$, $i = N_* + 1, \dots, N$ has compact support in $\Omega \setminus E$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla (\varphi \varphi_i) \rangle + B(\cdot, u) (\varphi \varphi_i) dx \leq 0, \quad (3.17)$$

Then, by (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle + B(\cdot, u) \varphi dx &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla (\varphi \varphi_i) \rangle + B(\cdot, u) (\varphi \varphi_i) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla (\varphi \varphi_i) \rangle + B(\cdot, u) (\varphi \varphi_i) dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \mu^{-1} (2 + C_{10}) \ell^{-1} (|\nabla u|^{p-1} + u^{p-1}) + (1 + C_{10}) \ell^{-1} a_2 + a_3 d\vartheta \\ &\leq C_{11}(n, \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \ell^{-1} (|\nabla u|^p + 1) + \ell^{-1} (u^p + 1) + \ell^{-1} a_2 + a_3 d\vartheta \\ &= C_{11} \int_{E_{3\ell\sqrt{n}}} \ell^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) |\nabla u|^p + \ell^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) u^p d\vartheta \\ &\quad + C_{11} \int_{E_{3\ell\sqrt{n}}} \ell^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) d\vartheta \\ &\quad + C_{11} \int_{E_{3\ell\sqrt{n}}} \ell^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) a_2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \right) a_3 d\vartheta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Here $\chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i}$ is the characteristic function of $\frac{3}{2}Q_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N_*$. For each cube Q_i , $i = 1, \dots, N_*$, there are at most 3^n cubes Q_j , $\ell(Q_j) = \ell$, $j = 1, \dots, N_i \leq 3^n$ (adjacent cubes to Q_i with equal length), such that $\frac{3}{2}Q_i \cap \frac{3}{2}Q_j \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_*} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \leq 3^n \quad \text{on } E_{3\ell\sqrt{n}}. \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.18), (3.19), (3.15), (3.13), (3.12), (2.1) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle + B(\cdot, u) \varphi dx &\leq (C_7 + C_2) C_{11} \ell^{-1} 3^n (3\ell\sqrt{n}) \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} + C_{12} \ell^{-1} 3^n (3\ell\sqrt{n})^{n-\alpha^+} \varepsilon \\ &\quad + C_{11} \ell^{-1} 3^n 2 (3\ell\sqrt{n})^{(n-\alpha^+)(\frac{q_2^- - 1}{q_2^-})} \|a_2\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} + C_{11} 3^n 2 (3\ell\sqrt{n})^{(n-\alpha^+)(\frac{q_3^- - 1}{q_3^-})} \|a_3\|_{L^{q_3(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)} \varepsilon^{\gamma_1} \\ &\leq C_{13} \varepsilon^{\gamma_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_{12}, C_{13} are positive constants independents of ε . Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, u, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle + B(\cdot, u) \varphi dx \leq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

3.2. Singular set satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(E) = 0$. In this section we will work with nonlinear elliptic equations of the form

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

We assume that $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable function. Furthermore, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that the following conditions are satisfied almost everywhere:

$$\langle A(x, \eta), \eta \rangle \geq \mu |\eta|^{p(x)} \vartheta(x), \quad (3.21)$$

$$|A(x, \eta)| \leq \mu^{-1} |\eta|^{p(x)-1} \vartheta(x), \quad (3.22)$$

where $\vartheta \in W_p(\Omega)$, $\alpha, \tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, n]$ are measurable functions, and $p \in L_+^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$\tau \leq n - \alpha - p^+ - p^+/p^- \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega. \quad (3.23)$$

Definition 3.4. We will say that $u \in W_{E, \text{loc}}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ is a (weak) sub-solution of equation (3.20) in $\Omega \setminus E$ if

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx \leq 0 \quad (3.24)$$

for all $\varphi \in W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, with compact support in $\Omega \setminus E$.

Definition 3.5. We will say that the sub-solution u of equation (3.20) in $\Omega \setminus E$ has a removable singularity at E : if $u \in W_{E, \text{loc}}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ implies $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ and the equality (3.24) is fulfilled for all $\varphi \in W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, with compact support.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that there is a locally bounded function $\sigma : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_{Q(y, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta \leq \sigma(y, z) \int_{Q(z, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta, \quad (3.25)$$

for all adjacent dyadic cubes $Q(y, \ell), Q(z, \ell) \subset \Omega$. If $K \subset \Omega$ is a compact set, then

$$\int_{\frac{3}{2}Q(y, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta \leq C(n, \sigma, K) \int_{Q(z, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\int_{B(y, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta \leq C(n, \sigma, K) \int_{Q(y, \ell)} \ell^{-\tau} d\vartheta, \quad (3.27)$$

where $y = z$ or $Q(y, \ell), Q(z, \ell) \subset \Omega$ are adjacent dyadic cubes, with $y, z \in K$ and $\ell\sqrt{n} < d(K, \partial\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that (3.21) - (3.23), (3.25) are satisfied, and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(E) = 0$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1/p^+]$. If u is a non-negative sub-solution of (3.20) in $\Omega \setminus E$ and satisfies

$$\int_{B(y, r)} u^p d\vartheta \leq C_* \int_{B(y, r/\sqrt{n})} r^{-\tau} d\vartheta, \quad (3.28)$$

for all ball $B(y, r) \subset \subset \Omega$, where C_* is a suitable positive constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ \left(\int_{B(y, r_1)} |\widetilde{\nabla} u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\int_{B(y, r_1)} |\widetilde{\nabla} u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\ & \leq C_0 \max \left\{ \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

for all ball $B(y, r_2) \subset \subset \Omega$ with $0 < r_2 - r_1 < 1$, where $C_0 = C_0(n, \mu, p, \sigma, E, C_*) > 0$.

Proof. 1. Let $r_2 > r_1 > 0$ and $y \in \Omega$ be such that $\overline{B(y, r_2)} \subset \Omega$ and $r_2 - r_1 < 1$. Choose $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\overline{E_{r_0}} \subset \Omega$. Take $\varepsilon \in (0, r_0)$ be arbitrarily. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(E) = 0$ there is a finite collection of mutually disjoint dyadic cubes $Q_i = Q(y_i, \ell_i)$ so that $E \subset \text{int}(\cup_{i=1}^N Q_i)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{Q_i} \ell_i^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta \right)^\gamma < \varepsilon, \quad (3.30)$$

$3\ell_i \sqrt{n} < \varepsilon$ and $Q_i \cap E \neq \emptyset, i = 1, \dots, N$.

Now, we attach to each cube $Q_i, \ell(Q_i) = \ell_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, all adjacent dyadic cubes with the same length ℓ_i . Since two dyadic cubes are either mutually disjoint or one is contained in the other, we may drop extra cubes away. Proceeding in this way we get a collection of mutually disjoint cubes $Q_{j,k} = Q(y_{j,k}, \ell_{j,k}), (j, k) \in \{1, \dots, N_1\} \times \{1, \dots, m_j\}$ satisfying

$$\ell_{j,k} = \ell_{a_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_1 \leq N, \quad k = 1, \dots, m_j \leq 3^n, \quad (3.31)$$

where $\{1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_{N_1} \leq N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and furthermore $Q_{j,k}$ is adjacent to Q_{a_j} .

Additionally, by (3.28) and (3.26),

$$\int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} u^p d\vartheta \leq C_1 \int_{Q_{a_j}} \ell_{a_j}^{-\tau} d\vartheta, \quad (3.32)$$

$j = 1, \dots, N_1, k = 1, \dots, m_j$, for some constant $C_1 = C_1(n, \sigma, E_{2r_0/3}, C_*) > 0$.

2. We next choose non-negative test functions $\varphi_i, \varphi_{j,k}, i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, N_1, k = 1, \dots, m_j$, given by the Lemma 2.1, with the following properties:

$$\text{spt } \varphi_i \subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i, \quad |\nabla \varphi_i| \leq C_2(n) \ell_i^{-1}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\text{spt } \varphi_{j,k} \subset \frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}, \quad |\nabla \varphi_{j,k}| \leq C_2(n) \ell_{j,k}^{-1}, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\sum_i \varphi_i + \sum_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k} = 1 \quad \text{on } (\cup_i Q_i) \cup (\cup_{j,k} Q_{j,k}). \quad (3.35)$$

Let $\psi_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with $\text{spt } \psi_0 \subset B(y, r_2), 0 \leq \psi_0 \leq 1, |\nabla \psi_0| \leq C_3(n)(r_2 - r_1)^{-1}$, and $\psi = 1$ on $B(y, r_1)$. Write

$$\xi = \psi_0 \left[1 - \left(\sum_i \varphi_i + \sum_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k} \right) \right].$$

Substituting $\xi^{p^+} u$ into (3.24), we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla (\xi^{p^+} u) \rangle dx \leq 0, \\ & \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla u \rangle dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus E} p^+ \xi^{p^+-1} |u A(\cdot, \nabla u)| |\nabla \xi| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (3.21), (3.22), (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \leq \mu^{-2} \int_{\Omega \setminus E} p^+ \xi^{p^+-1} |\nabla \xi| |\nabla u|^{p-1} u d\vartheta \\ & \leq 2\mu^{-2} p^+ \left\| \xi^{p^+-1} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \vartheta^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\cdot)(\Omega \setminus E)} \left\| |\nabla \xi| u \vartheta^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq 2\mu^{-2} p^+ \max \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^+}, \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^-} \right\} \\ & \cdot \left[\sum_i \left\| |\nabla \varphi_i| u \vartheta^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\frac{3}{2}Q_i)} + \sum_{j,k} \left\| |\nabla \varphi_{j,k}| u \vartheta^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k})} + \left\| |\nabla \psi_0| u \vartheta^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(B(y, r_2))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (2.2), (3.28), (3.26), (3.32), (3.23) and (3.30), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{1 - \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^+}, \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{1 - \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^-} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_4(n, \mu, p) \max \left\{ \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2)} u^p d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2)} u^p d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\
 & + C_4 \sum_i \max \left\{ \left(\ell_i^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\ell_i^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\
 & + C_4 \sum_{j,k} \max \left\{ \left(\ell_{j,k}^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\ell_{j,k}^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_5 \max \left\{ \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} + C_6 \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_5 = C_5(n, \mu, p, \sigma, E_{2r_0/3}, C_*) > 0$ and $C_6 > 0$ is independent of ε . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \left\{ \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} \xi^{p^+} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_5 \max \left\{ \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} + C_6 \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \left\{ \left(\int_{B(y, r_1)} |\widetilde{\nabla} u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\int_{B(y, r_1)} |\widetilde{\nabla} u|^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_5 \max \left\{ \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left[(r_2 - r_1)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y, r_2/\sqrt{n})} r_2^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude (3.29). \square

Motivated by Theorem B we have our last result.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (3.21) - (3.23), (3.25) and (3.28) are satisfied, furthermore $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(E) = 0$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1/p^+]$. If u is a non-negative sub-solution of (3.20) in $\Omega \setminus E$, then the singularity of u at E is removable.

Proof. 1. Let $\varphi \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$ be with compact support. By Assumption 2.1 we can suppose that $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 1$. Write $K = \text{spt } \varphi$, choose $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\overline{K_{r_0}} \subset \Omega$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, r_0)$ be arbitrarily given. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \gamma, \vartheta}(E) = 0$, there is a finite collection of mutually disjoint dyadic cubes $Q_i(y_i, \ell_i)$, $3\sqrt{n}\ell_i < \varepsilon$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, so that $E \subset \text{int}(\cup_{i=1}^N Q_i)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{Q_i} \ell_i^{\alpha-n} d\vartheta \right)^\gamma < \varepsilon. \quad (3.36)$$

We will cover K by a suitable collection of mutually disjoint dyadic cubes:

We attach to each cube Q_i , $\ell(Q_i) = \ell_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, all adjacent dyadic cubes with the same length ℓ_i . Since two dyadic cubes are either mutually disjoint or one is contained in the other, we may drop extra cubes away. Proceeding in this way we get a collection of mutually disjoint cubes $Q_{j,k} = Q(y_{j,k}, \ell_{j,k})$, $(j, k) \in \{1, \dots, N_1\} \times \{1, \dots, m_j\}$ satisfying

$$\ell_{j,k} = \ell_{a_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_1 \leq N, \quad k = 1, \dots, m_j \leq 3^n, \quad (3.37)$$

where $\{1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_{N_1} \leq N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and furthermore $Q_{j,k}$ is adjacent to Q_{a_j} .

Finally, we cover the remaining set $K \setminus [(\cup_i Q_i) \cup (\cup_{j,k} Q_{j,k})]$ by mutually disjoint dyadic cubes \tilde{Q}_h , all with the same length $\ell(\tilde{Q}_h) = \ell_0$, $h = 1, \dots, N_2$, where $\ell_0 = \min \{\ell_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$. We see

$$\frac{3}{2}\tilde{Q}_h \cap E = \emptyset \quad \text{for } h = 1, \dots, N_2.$$

2. From (3.29) we have $|\widetilde{\nabla} u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. We claim $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \vartheta)$. To verify this assertion, let $\psi_i, \psi_{j,k}$, $i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, N_1, k = 1, \dots, m_j$ be non-negative test functions given by the Lemma 2.1, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spt } \psi_i &\subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i, \quad |\nabla \psi_i| \leq C_1(n)\ell_i^{-1}, \\ \text{spt } \psi_{j,k} &\subset \frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}, \quad |\nabla \psi_{j,k}| \leq C_1(n)\ell_{j,k}^{-1}, \\ \sum_i \psi_i + \sum_{j,k} \psi_{j,k} &= 1 \quad \text{on } (\cup_i Q_i) \cup (\cup_{j,k} Q_{j,k}). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\zeta = \sum_i \psi_i + \sum_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}.$$

We have

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} u [(1 - \zeta) \varphi]_{x_b} dx = - \int_{\Omega \setminus E} u_{x_b} (1 - \zeta) \varphi dx,$$

where $b = 1, \dots, n$. If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$\int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{x_b} dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_{x_b} \varphi dx. \quad (3.38)$$

Indeed, (2.1), (2.2), (3.28), (3.26), (3.23) and (3.36) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus E} u \zeta_{x_b} \varphi dx \right| &\leq 2 \left\| u \zeta_{x_b} \vartheta^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \left\| \vartheta^{-1/p} \right\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_2(\vartheta) \sum_i \left\| u |\nabla \psi_i| \vartheta^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\frac{3}{2}Q_i)} + C_2 \sum_{j,k} \left\| u |\nabla \psi_{j,k}| \vartheta^{1/p} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k})} \\ &\leq C_3(\vartheta, n) \sum_i \max \left\{ \left(\ell_i^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\ell_i^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\ &\quad + C_3 \sum_{j,k} \max \left\{ \left(\ell_{j,k}^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \left(\ell_{j,k}^{-p^+} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} u^p d\vartheta \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \\ &\leq C_4(\vartheta, n, \sigma, K) \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

So (3.38) is proved.

3. Additionally we assume that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$. We show

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx \leq 0.$$

We next choose non-negative test functions $\varphi_i, \varphi_{j,k}, \tilde{\varphi}_h$, $i = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, N_1, k = 1, \dots, m_j, h = 1, \dots, N_2$, given by the Lemma 2.1, with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spt } \varphi_i &\subset \frac{3}{2}Q_i, \quad |\nabla \varphi_i| \leq C_5(n)\ell_i^{-1}, \\ \text{spt } \varphi_{j,k} &\subset \frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}, \quad |\nabla \varphi_{j,k}| \leq C_5(n)\ell_{j,k}^{-1}, \\ \text{spt } \tilde{\varphi}_h &\subset \frac{3}{2}\tilde{Q}_h, \quad |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h| \leq C_5(n)\ell_0^{-1}, \\ \sum_i \varphi_i + \sum_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k} + \sum_h \tilde{\varphi}_h &= 1 \quad \text{on } K. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\xi = \sum_i \varphi_i + \sum_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k} + \sum_h \tilde{\varphi}_h.$$

Since $\frac{3}{2}\tilde{Q}_h \cap E = \emptyset$ and $\text{spt } \tilde{\varphi}_h \subset \frac{3}{2}\tilde{Q}_h$, substituting $\varphi\tilde{\varphi}_h$ into (3.24), we have

$$\int_{\frac{3}{2}\tilde{Q}_h} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla(\varphi\tilde{\varphi}_h) \rangle dx \leq 0,$$

for $h = 1, \dots, N_2$. From this,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx &= \int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla(\varphi\xi) \rangle dx \\ &\leq \sum_i \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla(\varphi\varphi_i) \rangle dx + \sum_{j,k} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla(\varphi\varphi_{j,k}) \rangle dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

We can assume that $\int_{E_{r_0}} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta < 1$, since $|E| = 0$. By (3.39), (3.22), (3.26), (3.29) and (3.36),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx &\leq C_6 \sum_i \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_i} \ell_i^{-1} (|\nabla u|^p + 1) d\vartheta + C_6 \sum_{j,k} \int_{\frac{3}{2}Q_{j,k}} \ell_{j,k}^{-1} (|\nabla u|^p + 1) d\vartheta \\ &\leq C_7 \varepsilon + C_6 \sum_i \int_{B(y_i, 3\sqrt{n}\ell_i/4)} \ell_i^{-1} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta + C_6 \sum_{j,k} \int_{B(y_{j,k}, 3\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k}/4)} \ell_{j,k}^{-1} |\nabla u|^p d\vartheta \\ &\leq C_7 \varepsilon + C_8 \sum_i \ell_i^{-1} \max \left\{ \left[\left(\sqrt{n}\ell_i - \frac{3\sqrt{n}\ell_i}{4} \right)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y_i, \ell_i)} (\sqrt{n}\ell_i)^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \left[\left(\sqrt{n}\ell_i - \frac{3\sqrt{n}\ell_i}{4} \right)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y_i, \ell_i)} (\sqrt{n}\ell_i)^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{p^-}{p^+}} \right\} \\ &\quad + C_8 \sum_{j,k} \ell_{j,k}^{-1} \max \left\{ \left[\left(\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k} - \frac{3\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k}}{4} \right)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y_{j,k}, \ell_{j,k})} (\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k})^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. , \left[\left(\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k} - \frac{3\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k}}{4} \right)^{-p^+} \int_{B(y_{j,k}, \ell_{j,k})} (\sqrt{n}\ell_{j,k})^{-\tau} d\vartheta \right]^{\frac{p^-}{p^+}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Combining (3.40), (3.27), (3.23) and (3.36), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(\cdot, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx \leq C_9 \varepsilon,$$

here the C_i , $i = 6, \dots, 9$ are positive constants independents of ε . Since $\varepsilon \in (0, r_0)$ was arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] David Cruz-Uribe, Lars Diening, and Peter Hästö. The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 14(3):361–374, 2011.
- [2] Lars Diening, Petteri Harjulehto, Peter Hästö, and Michael Ruzicka. *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*. Springer, 2011.
- [3] Lars Diening and Peter Hästö. Muckenhoupt weights in variable exponent spaces. *preprint*, 2008.
- [4] Lawrence C Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [5] Xianling Fan and Dun Zhao. On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 263(2):424–446, 2001.
- [6] Stephen Gardiner. Removable singularities for subharmonic functions. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 147(1):71–80, 1991.
- [7] Reese Harvey and John Polking. Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations. *Acta Mathematica*, 125:39–56, 1970.
- [8] Kentaro Hirata and Takayori Ono. Removable sets for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear source or absorption terms. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 197(1):41–59, 2018.
- [9] Tero Kilpeläinen. Weighted sobolev spaces and capacity. *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Series A. I. Mathematica*, 19(1):95–113, 1994.

- [10] Pekka Koskela and Olli Martio. Removability theorems for solutions of degenerate elliptic partial differential equations. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 31(2):339–353, 1993.
- [11] Ondrej Kováčik and Jiří Rákosník. On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 41(4):592–618, 1991.
- [12] Nicholas Miller. Weighted Sobolev spaces and pseudodifferential operators with smooth symbols. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 269(1):91–109, 1982.
- [13] Juhani Riihenta. Removable sets for subharmonic functions. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 194(1):199–208, 2000.
- [14] Bengt O Turesson. *Nonlinear potential theory and weighted Sobolev spaces*, volume 1736. Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.
- [15] Cihan Unal and Ismail Aydın. Compact embeddings of weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces and existence of solutions for weighted $p(\cdot)$ -laplacian. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, 66(10):1755–1773, 2021.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS DO GRAGOATÁ, RUA PROF. MARCOS WALDEMAR DE FREITAS, S/N, BLOCO H, NITERÓI, RJ 24210-201, BRAZIL

Email address: jpablo@id.uff.br