

SCHMIDT SUBSPACES OF HANKEL OPERATORS

MARIA T. NOWAK, PAWEŁ SOBOLEWSKI, AND ANDRZEJ SOŁTYSIAK

ABSTRACT. We consider bounded Hankel operators H_ψ acting on the Hardy space H^2 to $L^2 \ominus H^2$ and obtain results on the Schmidt subspaces $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ of such operators defined as the kernels of $H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I$ where $s > 0$. These spaces have been recently studied in [GP] and [GP1] in the context of anti-linear Hankel operators. We also discuss the range of the Hankel operators with symbols being the complex conjugates of functions in the unit ball of H^∞ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H^2 denote the classical Hardy space of the unit disk \mathbb{D} and let $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$. Under the standard identification of a function $f \in H^2$ with its nontangential boundary value function in $L^2(\mathbb{T}) = L^2$, also denoted by f , we consider H^2 as a closed subspace of L^2 . Let H_0^2 denote the subspace of H^2 consisting of functions vanishing at 0, and P_+ and P_- the orthogonal projections of L^2 onto H^2 and $L^2 \ominus H^2 = \overline{H_0^2}$, respectively, where $\overline{H_0^2}$ consists of complex conjugates of the functions in H_0^2 .

For $\varphi \in L^2$, we define the Hankel operator $H_\varphi f : H^2 \rightarrow \overline{H_0^2}$ on the dense subset of polynomials in H^2 by the formula

$$(1) \quad H_\varphi f = (I - P_+)(\varphi f) = P_-(\varphi f).$$

It is worth noting here that Hankel operators of this type are not only studied on the Hardy space but also on different spaces of holomorphic function, see, e.g. [ER], [HV], [DZ]. However, in this paper we deal with classical Hardy space H^2 .

It is known (see [P, Theorem 1.3], [Z, pp. 196–197]) that H_φ is bounded on H^2 if and only if there exists a function $\psi \in L^\infty$ such that $H_\psi = H_\varphi$. This implies that bounded Hankel operators may be also represented by Hankel operators with symbols being conjugates of analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation (BMOA).

Throughout the paper we will use a conjugation operator $C : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$Cf(z) = \bar{z} \overline{f(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It is known that C is an anti-linear surjection satisfying the following relations:

$$C^2 = I, \quad CP_+ = P_-C, \quad \text{and} \quad CH^2 = \overline{H_0^2} = L^2 \ominus H^2, \quad \text{see, e.g., [N1, p. 336].}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. Hankel operators, Hardy space, model spaces, de Branges-Rovnyak spaces, nearly S^* -invariant subspaces, kernels of Toeplitz operators.

For a Hankel operator H_ψ and $s > 0$, the Schmidt space $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is defined as the kernel of the operator $H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I$. A real number $s > 0$ is called a singular value of H_ψ if s^2 is an eigenvalue of $H_\psi^* H_\psi$.

In the recent papers [GP] and [GP1] the authors described the Schmidt spaces for anti-linear Hankel operators \tilde{H}_u with the symbols u being BMOA functions. The operator \tilde{H}_u is defined on H^2 by

$$\tilde{H}_u f = P_+(u\bar{f}).$$

The Schmidt spaces $E_{\tilde{H}_u}(s)$ for anti-linear Hankel operators \tilde{H}_u are defined analogously, that is,

$$E_{\tilde{H}_u}(s) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{H}_u^2 - s^2 I), \quad s > 0.$$

The main result in the above mentioned papers states that every Schmidt space $E_{\tilde{H}_u}(s)$ is of the form pK_θ , where θ is an inner function, $K_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ is the corresponding model space and $p \in H^2$ is an isometric multiplier on K_θ .

We claim that for $u \in \text{BMOA}$ the Schmidt spaces $E_{\tilde{H}_u}(s)$ and $E_s^+(H_{\overline{zu}})$ coincide. To see this notice that if C is the conjugation defined above, then

$$CH_{\overline{uz}}(f) = CP_-(\overline{uz}f) = P_+C(\overline{uz}f) = P_+(u\bar{f}) = \tilde{H}_u f$$

and

$$H_{\overline{uz}}^* C(f) = P_+(uzC(f)) = P_+(u\bar{f}) = \tilde{H}_u f.$$

Consequently,

$$H_{\overline{uz}}^* H_{\overline{uz}} = H_{\overline{uz}}^* C \cdot CH_{\overline{uz}} = \tilde{H}_u^2.$$

For $\chi \in L^\infty$ the Toeplitz operator T_χ on H^2 is given by $T_\chi f = P_+(\chi f)$. Let $S = T_z$ denote the unilateral shift on H^2 . Then $S^* = T_{\bar{z}}$ is the so called backward shift operator on H^2 . A closed subspace M of H^2 is said to be nearly S^* -invariant if

$$(f \in M, f(0) = 0) \implies S^* f \in M.$$

Nearly S^* -invariant spaces are characterized by Hitt's Theorem ([H], [S1], [S2], [FM]).

The nontrivial closed subspace M of H^2 is nearly S^ -invariant if and only if there exists a function $f \in M$ of unit norm such that $f(0) > 0$ and an S^* -invariant subspace M' on which T_f acts isometrically and such that $M = T_f M'$.*

It is known that the kernels of Toeplitz operators are nearly S^* -invariant but not every nearly S^* -invariant space is the kernel of a Toeplitz operator ([S2], [FM]).

In Section 3, we show that if $s = \|H_\psi\|$, then $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is actually the kernel of a Toeplitz operator. Consequently, Lemma 7.1 in [GP] follows from the known properties of kernels of Toeplitz operators (see e.g. [S2], [FM, Chapter 30]). We also prove, in our setting, that if not all functions in the space $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ vanish at 0, then this space is nearly S^* -invariant. In Theorem 5 we show that if all functions in $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ have zeros at 0 of order n , but not of order $n + 1$, then $E_s^+(H_\psi) = z^n N$, where N is a nearly S^* -invariant subspace of H^2 . The proof of Theorem 5 is different from the proof of the analogous result for anti-linear Hankel operators given in [GP1, Subsection 2.5].

In the next section we also discuss the range of $H_{\bar{b}}$, where b is a function from the unit ball of H^∞ .

2. REMARKS ON THE RANGE OF SOME HANKEL OPERATORS

It is well known that a Hankel operator is a partial isometry if and only if it has the form $H_{\bar{\theta}}$ where θ is an inner function. The initial space of $H_{\bar{\theta}}$ is the model space $K_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ and the final space of $H_{\bar{\theta}}$ is

$$(2) \quad \overline{H_0^2} \ominus \overline{\theta H_0^2} = \bar{z} \overline{K_\theta}.$$

It is also worth noting that if the kernel of H_ψ is trivial, then the range of H_ψ cannot be closed in $\overline{H_0^2}$, because for any $\psi \in L^\infty$, $\|H_\psi z^n\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The Hankel operators with closed ranges are characterized by Theorem 2.8 in [P]. They are exactly Hankel operators with symbols $\psi = \bar{\theta}\varphi$, where θ is an inner function, $\varphi \in H^\infty$, and θ and φ satisfy the corona condition.

Observe that (2) means that $CH_{\bar{\theta}}$ maps H^2 onto K_θ . In Theorem 1 we show that for b in the unit ball of H^∞ the range of $CH_{\bar{b}}$ is contained in the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$, and when b is an extreme point of the unit ball of H^∞ , $\text{Ran } H_b$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Recall that for b in the unit ball of H^∞ , the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is the image of H^2 under the operator $(I - T_b T_{\bar{b}})^{1/2}$ with the range norm $\|\cdot\|_b$ defined by

$$\|(I - T_b T_{\bar{b}})^{1/2} f\|_b = \|f\|_2 \quad \text{for } f \in H^2 \ominus \text{Ker}(I - T_b T_{\bar{b}})^{1/2}.$$

Analogously, the space $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$ is defined as the image of H^2 under $(I - T_{\bar{b}} T_b)^{1/2}$ with the corresponding range norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{b}}$. Proofs of the stated below properties of these spaces can be found in [S] and [FM].

It is known that $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel

$$k_w^b(z) = \frac{1 - \overline{b(w)}b(z)}{1 - \bar{w}z} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}).$$

If b is inner, then $\mathcal{H}(b) = K_b$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b}) = \{0\}$ if and only if b is an inner function. We also mention that $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is a dense subset of H^2 in $\|\cdot\|_2$ norm if and only if b is not inner and $H^2 = \mathcal{H}(b)$ if and only if $\|b\|_\infty < 1$.

Many properties of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ depend on whether b is or is not extreme point of the closed unit ball of H^∞ . It is known that b is an extreme point of the unit ball of H^∞ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |b(e^{it})|^2) dt = -\infty.$$

We will simply say that b is an extreme or nonextreme function. Clearly, every inner function is extreme.

Theorem 1. *If b is a function in the closed unit ball of H^∞ , then $CH_{\bar{b}}$ maps H^2 into $\mathcal{H}(b)$. Moreover, if b is extreme, then $CH_{\bar{b}}(H^2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.*

Proof. To prove the first statement we use the following characterization of the space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ (see [S, I-8], [FM, Thm. 17.8]): if $g \in H^2$, then

$$g \in \mathcal{H}(b) \iff T_{\bar{b}}g \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$$

and for $g \in \mathcal{H}(b)$,

$$(3) \quad \|g\|_b^2 = \|g\|_2^2 + \|T_{\bar{b}}g\|_{\bar{b}}^2.$$

For $f \in H^2$ set

$$g = CH_{\bar{b}}f = CP_-(\bar{b}f) = P_+C(\bar{b}f) = P_+(b\bar{z}\bar{f}).$$

Then for any $h \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\bar{b}}g, h \rangle &= \langle T_{\bar{b}}P_+(b\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle = \langle P_+(\bar{b}P_+(b\bar{z}\bar{f})), h \rangle = \langle \bar{b}P_+(b\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle \\ &= \langle P_+(b\bar{z}\bar{f}), bh \rangle = \langle b\bar{z}\bar{f}, bh \rangle = \langle |b|^2\bar{z}\bar{f}, h \rangle = \langle P_+(|b|^2\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle \\ &= -\langle P_+((1 - |b|^2)\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle + \langle P_+(\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle = -\langle P_+((1 - |b|^2)\bar{z}\bar{f}), h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T_{\bar{b}}g = -P_+((1 - |b|^2)\bar{z}\bar{f}).$$

Since the space $\mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$ consists of the Riesz projections of functions from the weighted space $L^2(1 - |b|^2)$ of measurable functions h on \mathbb{T} such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}}(1 - |b(e^{i\theta})|^2)|h(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty$ ([FM, Thm. 25.1, Cor. 25.2], [S, III-2]), we see that $T_{\bar{b}}g \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{b})$ and our claim is proved.

Now assume that b is extreme. If b is an inner function, then by (2), $CH_{\bar{b}}(H^2) = K_b = \mathcal{H}(b)$.

Now we want to identify the images of $k_{\lambda}(z) = (1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-1}$, the reproducing kernels for H^2 , under $CH_{\bar{b}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (CH_{\bar{b}}k_{\lambda})(z) &= C\left(\overline{b(z)}k_{\lambda}(z) - P_+(\bar{b}k_{\lambda})(z)\right) = C\left(\overline{b(z)}k_{\lambda}(z) - \overline{b(\lambda)}k_{\lambda}(z)\right) \\ &= C\left(\frac{\overline{b(z)} - \overline{b(\lambda)}}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $|z| = 1$,

$$(4) \quad (CH_{\bar{b}}k_{\lambda})(z) = C\left(\frac{\bar{z}(\overline{b(z)} - \overline{b(\lambda)})}{\bar{z} - \bar{\lambda}}\right) = \frac{b(z) - b(\lambda)}{z - \lambda} = Q_{\lambda}^b(z).$$

It is known that in the case b is extreme, the family of the difference quotients $\{Q_{\lambda}^b : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is complete in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ ([FM, Cor. 26.18]), that is

$$\mathcal{H}(b) = \text{span}\{Q_{\lambda}^b : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\},$$

where the closure is taken in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Now assume that $h \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Then $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n$, where $h_n = \alpha_1 Q_{\lambda_1}^b + \dots + \alpha_n Q_{\lambda_n}^b$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Since in view of (4), $h_n = CH_{\bar{b}}(f_n)$, where $f_n = \alpha_1 k_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \alpha_n k_{\lambda_n}$ we have $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} CH_{\bar{b}}(f_n)$. This proves the density of $\text{Ran } CH_{\bar{b}}$ in $\mathcal{H}(b)$. \square

3. SCHMIDT SPACES OF HANKEL OPERATORS

For a singular value s of H_ψ , a pair of functions (f, g) , $f \in H^2$, $g \in \overline{H_0^2}$, is called an s -Schmidt pair or (s -pair) of H_ψ if $H_\psi f = sg$ and $H_\psi^* g = sf$. We note that $f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ if and only if $(f, \frac{1}{s}H_\psi f)$ is an s -Schmidt pair.

It is known that if (f, g) is an s -pair, then $\psi_s = \frac{g}{f}$ is a unimodular function that does not depend on the choice of an s -pair (f, g) ([P], [N1]).

It is also defined $E_s^-(H_\psi)$ as the kernel of $H_\psi H_\psi^* - s^2 I$. Clearly (f, g) is an s -pair of H_ψ if and only if (g, f) is an s -pair of H_ψ^* . We also note that the conjugation C maps $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ onto $E_s^-(H_\psi)$. Indeed, since $CH_\psi = H_\psi^* C$,

$$H_\psi^* H_\psi f = H_\psi^* C \cdot CH_\psi f = CH_\psi CH_\psi f = CH_\psi H_\psi^* C f, \quad f \in H^2,$$

we see that

$$H_\psi^* H_\psi f = s^2 f \iff CH_\psi H_\psi^* C f = s^2 f \iff H_\psi H_\psi^* C f = s^2 C f.$$

The following theorem characterizes the Schmidt space in the case when $\|H_\psi\| = s$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $s = \|H_\psi\| > 0$ is a singular value of H_ψ , $\psi \in L^\infty$. Then

$$\text{Ker}(H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I) = \text{Ker } T_\varphi,$$

where $\varphi = \frac{H_\psi f}{f}$ and f is any nonzero function in $\text{Ker}(H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I)$.

Proof. We start the proof with the observation that under the assumption $\|H_\psi\| = s > 0$ the following conditions are equivalent for $f \in H^2$:

- (i) $f \in \text{Ker}(H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I)$;
- (ii) $\|H_\psi f\| = s\|f\|$.

Indeed, if $f \in \text{Ker}(H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I)$, then

$$\|H_\psi^* H_\psi f\| = s^2 \|f\|,$$

which implies

$$s^2 \|f\| \leq \|H_\psi^*\| \|H_\psi f\| = s \|H_\psi f\|.$$

Since

$$\|H_\psi f\| \leq s \|f\|,$$

(ii) holds true.

To prove the other implication it enough to notice that the operator $s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi$ is positive, or in other words for any $g \in H^2$,

$$\langle (s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi)g, g \rangle \geq 0$$

and (ii) implies that

$$(5) \quad \langle (s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi)f, f \rangle = 0.$$

Now, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|\langle (s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi)f, g \rangle|^2 \leq \langle (s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi)f, f \rangle \langle (s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi)g, g \rangle, \quad g \in H^2,$$

which together with (5) gives

$$(s^2 I - H_\psi^* H_\psi) f = 0.$$

Thus, $f \in \text{Ker}(H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I)$ with $s = \|H_\psi\|$, if and only if the Hankel operator H_ψ attains its norm on the unit ball of H^2 at $f/\|f\|$. Moreover, we know that $(f, \frac{1}{s} H_\psi f)$ is an s -pair for H_ψ and, consequently, $|\varphi| = s$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Furthermore by [FM, Theorem 11.5] (or by [P, Theorem 1.4]), $H_\psi = H_\varphi$. Thus

$$H_\psi^* H_\psi - s^2 I = H_\varphi^* H_\varphi - s^2 I = T_{\bar{\varphi}} H_\varphi - s^2 I = T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\varphi.$$

Indeed, for $f \in H^2$, we get

$$T_{\bar{\varphi}}(\varphi f - P_+(\varphi f)) - s^2 f = P_+(s^2 f) + T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\varphi f - s^2 f = T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\varphi f.$$

Since $\text{Ker}(T_{\bar{\varphi}} T_\varphi) = \text{Ker } T_\varphi$, the claim is proved. \square

For a general case we obtain the following:

Theorem 3. *For $\psi \in L^\infty$ let H_ψ be a Hankel operator defined by (1). Let $s > 0$ be a singular value for H_ψ . Then there exist an inner function θ and $\varphi \in L^\infty$ such that*

$$E_s^+(H_\psi) \subset \theta \text{Ker } T_\varphi \subset E_s^+(H_{s\psi_s}),$$

where ψ_s is defined at the beginning of this section.

In the proof of this theorem we apply some ideas coming from the proof of the fundamental Adamyan-Arov-Krein Theorem [AAK] that states that the best rank n approximation of the Hankel operator H_ψ is the same as its best approximation by rank n Hankel operators (see also [P], [N1]).

The following lemma is actually contained in Lemma 7.2.6 in [N1] but we include its short proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 1. *Assume that θ is an inner function and $f \in H^2$ are such that $f\theta \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$. Then $f \in E_s^+(H_{\theta\psi})$.*

Proof. If

$$H_\psi^* H_\psi(\theta f) = s^2 \theta f,$$

then for any $g \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\theta\psi}^* H_{\theta\psi} f, g \rangle &= \langle \bar{\theta} \bar{\psi} P_-(\theta \psi f), g \rangle = \langle \bar{\psi} P_-(\theta \psi f), \theta g \rangle \\ &= \langle P_+(\bar{\psi} P_-(\psi \theta f)), \theta g \rangle = \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi(\theta f), \theta g \rangle = \langle s^2 \theta f, \theta g \rangle = \langle s^2 f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 3. We first show that

$$E_s^+(H_\psi) \subset \theta \text{Ker } T_\varphi.$$

First note that if ψ_s is as specified above, then the Schmidt space $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is a subspace of the Schmidt space $E_s^+(H_{s\psi_s})$. We observe that if (f, g) is an s -pair for H_ψ , then

$$H_{s\psi_s} f = P_- \left(s \frac{g}{f} \right) = s P_-(g) = sg$$

and since $|\psi_s| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} ,

$$H_{s\psi_s}^* g = P_+ (s\bar{\psi}_s g) = sP_+ \left(\frac{f}{g} \right) = sf.$$

This shows that any s -pair of H_ψ is an s -pair of $H_{s\psi_s}$, which proves the inclusion of the corresponding Schmidt spaces. Hence

$$H_\psi f = H_{s\psi_s} f \quad \text{for } f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$$

or equivalently,

$$(H_\psi - H_{s\psi_s})f = H_{\psi-s\psi_s} f = 0.$$

Since the kernel of a Hankel operator is the S -invariant subspace of H^2 , $\text{Ker } H_{\psi-s\psi_s} = \theta H^2$, for some inner function θ . This also means that $E_s^+(H_\psi) \subset \theta H^2$. Moreover, for $f \in H^2$ the following equalities hold

$$(6) \quad H_{\theta\psi} f = H_\psi \theta f = H_{s\psi_s} \theta f = H_{s\theta\psi_s} f.$$

These equalities and Lemma 1 yield

$$\theta f \in E_s^+(H_\psi) \implies f \in E_s^+(H_{\theta\psi}) = E_s^+(H_{\theta s\psi_s}).$$

Now, the reasoning analogous to that used in the proof of Theorem 2 gives

$$\text{Ker}(H_{s\theta\psi_s}^* H_{s\theta\psi_s} - s^2 I) = \text{Ker } T_{\theta\psi_s}.$$

To prove the other inclusion assume that $h \in \text{Ker } T_{\theta\psi_s}$. Then $h\theta\psi_s \in \overline{H_0^2}$, and consequently,

$$H_{s\psi_s}(h\theta) = P_-(s\psi_s h\theta) = sh\theta\psi_s.$$

Since ψ_s is a unimodular function, we get

$$H_{s\psi_s}^* H_{s\psi_s}(\theta h) = s^2 P_+(\bar{\psi}_s h\theta\psi_s) = s^2 \theta h,$$

which proves that $\theta \text{Ker } T_\varphi \subset E_s^+(H_{s\psi_s})$, where $\varphi = \theta\psi_s$. \square

In our next theorem we prove that if the space $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is not orthogonal to the one-dimensional subspace of constant functions ($E_s^+(H_\psi) \not\perp 1$), then it is nearly S^* -invariant. In view of our remark in the Introduction this theorem is actually a restatement of the result obtained in [GP] and [GP1] for anti-linear Hankel operators. We present its proof in our setting in connection with Theorem 5 which describes a general case of Schmidt subspaces.

Theorem 4. *For $\psi \in L^\infty$ let H_ψ be a Hankel operator defined by (1). If $s > 0$ is a singular value for H_ψ and $E_s^+(H_\psi) \not\perp 1$, then $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is a nearly S^* -invariant subspace of H^2 .*

Proof. We first show that for $f \in H^2$,

$$(*) \quad S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi S f = H_\psi^* H_\psi f - \langle z\psi f, 1 \rangle P_+(\bar{\psi}\bar{z}).$$

To this end observe that for a $g \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi S f, g \rangle &= \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi (z f), z g \rangle = \langle P_+(\bar{\psi} P_-(\psi z f)), z g \rangle = \langle \bar{\psi} P_-(\psi z f), z g \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi z f, P_-(\psi z g) \rangle = \langle \psi f, \bar{z} P_-(\psi z g) \rangle = \langle P_-(z P_-(\psi f)), \psi z g \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}P_-(z P_-(\psi f)) &= P_-\left(z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \psi f, \bar{z}^n \rangle \bar{z}^n\right) = P_-\left(\langle \psi f, \bar{z} \rangle 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \langle \psi f, \bar{z}^n \rangle \bar{z}^{n-1}\right) \\ &= z P_-(\psi f) - \langle z \psi f, 1 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi S f, g \rangle &= \langle z P_-(\psi f) - \langle z \psi f, 1 \rangle, \psi z g \rangle = \langle \bar{\psi} P_-(\psi f) - \bar{z} \bar{\psi} \langle z \psi f, 1 \rangle, g \rangle \\ &= \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi f - \langle z \psi f, 1 \rangle P_+(\bar{z} \bar{\psi}), g \rangle\end{aligned}$$

which proves (*). Replacing f by $S^* f$ in (*) we get

$$(7) \quad S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi S S^* f = H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f - \langle z \psi S^* f, 1 \rangle P_+(\bar{\psi} \bar{z}).$$

Furthermore, the identity

$$S S^* = I - \langle \cdot, 1 \rangle 1,$$

implies

$$(8) \quad S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi S S^* f = S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi f - \langle f, 1 \rangle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi 1.$$

It then follows from (7) and (8) that

$$(9) \quad S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi f - H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f = -\langle z \psi S^* f, 1 \rangle P_+(\bar{\psi} \bar{z}) + \langle f, 1 \rangle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi 1.$$

Now notice that for $f, h \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi f - H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f, h \rangle &= \langle S^* H_\psi^* H_\psi f, h \rangle - \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f, h \rangle \\ &= \langle s^2 S^* f, h \rangle - \langle s^2 S^* f, h \rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So, if we assume that $f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is such that $f(0) = 0$, then the last equality and (9) imply that for any $h \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$,

$$\langle z \psi S^* f, 1 \rangle \langle P_+(\bar{\psi} \bar{z}), h \rangle = \langle \psi f, 1 \rangle \langle P_+(\bar{\psi} \bar{z}), h \rangle = 0.$$

Now we are going to prove that if f is as above, then

$$\langle \psi f, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Let $h \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ be such that $h(0) \neq 0$ and put $g = H_\psi h$. Then $g \in E_s^-(H_\psi)$, $h_1 = Cg \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P_+(\bar{\psi} \bar{z}), h_1 \rangle &= \langle C P_-(\psi), h_1 \rangle = \langle C P_-(\psi), C g \rangle \\ &= \langle g, P_-(\psi) \rangle = \langle H_\psi h, H_\psi 1 \rangle = \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi h, 1 \rangle = s^2 \langle h, 1 \rangle \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

S^* -invariance of $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ follows from (7). \square

If all functions in a nontrivial Schmidt space $E_s^+(H_\psi)$ vanish at zero, then there is a positive integer n such that $E_s^+(H_\psi) = z^n N$, where N is a subspace of H^2 and $N \not\subset 1$.

In this case we get the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *If $s > 0$ is a singular value for H_ψ and $E_s^+(H_\psi) = z^n N$ where $N \not\subset 1$, then N is a nearly S^* -invariant subspace of H^2 .*

Proof. We first observe that the nearly S^* -invariance of N is equivalent to the implication: if $f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is such that $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then $S^*f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$. Indeed, such an f can be written as $f = z^n f_1 = S^n f_1$, where $f_1 \in N$ and $f_1(0) = 0$ and nearly S^* -invariance of N means that $S^*f_1 \in N$, or in other words,

$$z^n S^* f_1 = S^n S^* f_1 = S^{n-1} f_1 = S^* f \in E_s^+(H_\psi).$$

Next notice that it follows from Lemma 1 that $N \subset E_s^+(H_{z^n \psi})$. Moreover, the assumptions imply that $E_s^+(H_{z^n \psi}) \not\subset 1$ and, by the previous theorem, $E_s^+(H_{z^n \psi})$ is nearly S^* -invariant. Furthermore it follows from the proof of this theorem that

$$(10) \quad 0 = \langle \psi z^n f_1, 1 \rangle = \langle \psi f, 1 \rangle$$

for any $f_1 \in N$ such that $f_1(0) = 0$.

Now our aim is to show that if $f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ is such that $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, then the function $h(z) = H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f(z)$ satisfies the condition $h^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. To this end note that if $\langle f, z^k \rangle = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s^2 \langle f, z^k \rangle = \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi f, z^k \rangle = \langle P_-(\psi f), \psi z^k \rangle = \langle \bar{z} \psi f, \bar{z} P_-(\psi z^k) \rangle \\ &= \langle P_-(\bar{z} \psi f), \bar{z}(\psi z^k - P_+(\psi z^k)) \rangle = \langle \bar{\psi} P_-(\bar{z} \psi f), z^{k-1} \rangle - \langle z P_-(\bar{z} \psi f), P_+(\psi z^k) \rangle \\ &= \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f, z^{k-1} \rangle - \langle \langle \bar{z} \psi f, \bar{z} \rangle 1, P_+(\psi z^k) \rangle = \langle H_\psi^* H_\psi S^* f, z^{k-1} \rangle - \langle \psi f, 1 \rangle \langle 1, P_+(\psi z^k) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since by (10) the last term is zero, our claim is proved.

Now observe that if $f \in E_s^+(H_\psi)$ and f_1 are as above, then the nearly S^* -invariance of $E_s^+(H_{z^n \psi})$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 S^* f_1 &= H_{z^n \psi}^* H_{z^n \psi} S^* f_1 = P_+(\bar{z}^n \bar{\psi} P_-(z^n \psi S^* f_1)) \\ &= S^{*n} P_+(\bar{\psi} P_-(\psi S^* f)) = S^{*n} H_{\bar{\psi}}^* H_\psi S^* f. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $h = H_{\bar{\psi}}^* H_\psi S^* f$ has zero at least of order n at 0, multiplying the equality

$$s^2 S^* f_1 = S^{*n} H_{\bar{\psi}}^* H_\psi S^* f$$

by S^n from the left we arrive at

$$s^2 S^* f = H_{\bar{\psi}}^* H_\psi S^* f,$$

which ends the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [AAK] V. M. Adamyan, Z. Arov, M. G. Kreĭn, *Analytic properties of Schmidt pairs for a Hankel operator and the generalized Schur-Takagi problem*, Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol. 15 (1971), No. 1, 31–73.
- [ER] M. Engliš and R. Rochberg, *The Dixmier trace of Hankel operators on the Bergman space*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), No. 5, 1445–1479.
- [FM] E. Fricain and J. Mashreghi, *The Theory of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ Spaces*, Vol. 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press 2016.
- [GP] P. Gérard and A. Pushnitski, *Weighted model spaces and Schmidt subspaces of Hankel operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **101** (2020), 271–298.
- [GP1] P. Gérard and A. Pushnitski, *The structure of Schmidt subspaces of Hankel operators: a short proof*, Studia Math. **256** (2021), 61–71.
- [HV] R. Hagger and J. A. Virtanen, *Compact Hankel operators with bounded symbols*, J. Operator Theory **86** (2021), No. 2, 317–329.
- [H] D. Hitt, *Invariant subspaces of H^2 of an annulus*, Pacific J. Math. **134** (1988), 101–122.
- [N1] N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading*, vol. I: *Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [P] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003.
- [S1] D. Sarason, *Nearly invariant subspaces of the backward shift*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 35, Birkhäuser, Basel (1988), 481–493.
- [S2] D. Sarason, *Kernels of Toeplitz operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 35, Birkhäuser, Basel (1994), 153–164.
- [S] D. Sarason, *Sub-Hardy Hilbert Spaces in the Unit Disk*, in: University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 10, J. Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1994.
- [DZ] D. Zheng, *Toeplitz Operators and Hankel Operators on the Hardy Space of the Unit Sphere*, J. Funct. Anal. **149** (1997), 1–24.
- [Z] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1990.

MARIA T. NOWAK
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY
 PL. M. CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1
 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND
Email address: maria.nowak@mail.umcs.pl

PAWEŁ SOBOLEWSKI
 FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 LUBLIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
 38A NADBYSTRZYCKA ST.
 20-618 LUBLIN, POLAND
Email address: p.sobolewski@pollub.pl

ANDRZEJ SOLTYSIAK
 FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
 UL. UNIWERSYTETU POZNAŃSKIEGO 4
 61-614 POZNAŃ, POLAND
Email address: asoltys@amu.edu.pl