VARIATION OF HODGE STRUCTURES FOR NON-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

WEI XIA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we discuss variation of Hodge structures on non-Kähler manifolds. In particular, given a holomorphic family of $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifolds the period map is shown to be holomorphic and transversal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of variation of Hodge structures (VHS for short) is a extensively studied subject in complex geometry and mathematical physics [GGK13, CMSP17, KP16, CK99]. The purpose of this note is to extend some parts of Griffiths' classical theory of VHS [Gri68] to the non-Kähler setting. There are two motivations to do this, the first one is the works of Popovici and Anthes-Cattaneo-Rollenske-Tomassini [Pop19, ACRT18] where they showed local Torelli theorem holds for Clabi-Yau $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -manifolds and $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -complex symplectic manifolds, respectively; the second one is that we want to understand the proof of Voisin on a density criterion of complex projective manifolds [Voi05].

Given a holomorphic family of $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifolds $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ and $0 \leq p \leq k \leq n =: \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$, the *period map* is defined as follows

(1.1)
$$\Phi^{p,k}: B \longrightarrow \operatorname{Grass}\left(f^{p,k}, H^k(X, \mathbb{C})\right), \quad t \longmapsto F^p H^k(X_t),$$

where $f^{p,k} := \dim F^p H^k(X)$ and

$$F^{p}H^{k}(X_{t}) := \operatorname{im}\left(\bigoplus_{r \ge p} H^{r,k-r}_{BC}(X) \longrightarrow H^{k}(X,\mathbb{C})\right)$$

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorem 4.4). Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ holomorphic family of $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifolds and assume X is equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric.

- (i) The period map $\Phi^{p,k}$ as defined in (1.1) is holomorphic;
- (ii) Griffiths transversality: the tangent map

$$d\Phi_0^{p,k}: T_0B \longrightarrow Hom(F^pH^k(X), H^k(X, \mathbb{C})/F^pH^k(X))$$

has values in $Hom(F^pH^k(X), F^{p-1}H^k(X)/F^pH^k(X)).$

A main ingredient of this theorem is the fact that the exponential operator preserves Hodge structures, see Theorem 4.2.

Date: May 20, 2022.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11901590 and Scientific Research Foundation of Chongqing University of Technology.

2. The exponential operator

The exponential operator

$$e^{i_{\phi(t)}} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i_{\phi(t)}^k}{k!}$$

which first appear in the work of Todorov (see Remark 2.4.9. in [Tod89, pp. 339]) turns out to be very useful for the studying of deformations of complex manifolds, see e.g. [Cle05, LSY09, LRY15, RZ18, Xia21b, LS20]. Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ be a complex analytic family in the sense of Kodaira-Spencer, that is, π is a holomorphic submersion which is surjective and proper over the connected complex manifold B. Assume B is a small polydisc (centered in 0) with coordinates $t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots)$, then by Ehresmann's theorem we have the following commutative diagram



where F is a diffeomorphism. For any $t \in B$ set $f_t := F \mid_{X \times \{t\}}$, then f_t is a diffeomorphism from X to X_t . We use the isomorphism

$$f_t^* : A^{\bullet}(X_t) \longrightarrow A^{\bullet}(X)$$

to identify forms/cohomology on X_t with forms/cohomology on X. Let z^1, \dots, z^n and w^1, \dots, w^n be holomorphic coordinates on X and X_t respectively, the Beltrami differential can be defined by

(2.1)
$$\phi(t) := \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)_{\alpha\gamma}^{-1} \frac{\partial w^{\gamma}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\beta}} d\bar{z}^{\beta} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} \in A^{0,1}(X, T^{1,0}) ,$$

where by abuse of notations, we write $w^i = f_t^* w^i = w^i \circ f_t$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From

(2.2)
$$dw^{\beta} = \frac{\partial w^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}} dz^{\alpha} + \frac{\partial w^{\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha}} d\bar{z}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial w^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}} (1 + i_{\phi(t)}) dz^{\alpha},$$

and

$$e^{i_{\phi(t)}}(dz^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz^{i_p}) = (dz^{i_1} + i_{\phi(t)}dz^{i_1})\wedge\cdots\wedge (dz^{i_p} + i_{\phi(t)}dz^{i_p}),$$

We see that

(2.3) $e^{i_{\phi(t)}} : A^{p,0}(X) \longrightarrow A^{p,0}(X_t).$

We will use the following formula (c.f. [Man04, LRY15, Xia19]):

(2.4)
$$d_{\phi(t)} := e^{-i_{\phi(t)}} de^{i_{\phi(t)}} = \partial + \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} = \partial + \bar{\partial} - \mathcal{L}^{1,0}_{\phi(t)}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\phi(t)}^{1,0} := i_{\phi(t)}\partial - \partial i_{\phi(t)}$ is the Lie derivative. A remarkable property about the exponential operator (as observed in [FM06, FM09, WZ20]) is that while it does not preserve all the types of (p,q)-forms, it does map elements in a filtration on X to elements in the corresponding filtration on X_t :

(2.5)
$$e^{i_{\phi(t)}} : F^p A^k(X) = \bigoplus_{p \le \lambda \le n} A^{\lambda, k-\lambda}(X) \longrightarrow F^p A^k(X_t),$$

where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$. In fact, let $\varphi \in A^{\lambda,k-\lambda}(X)$ with $p \leq \lambda \leq k$, locally we write $\varphi = \varphi_{IJ} dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^J$ where $dz^I = dz^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^{i_\lambda}$ and $d\bar{z}^J = d\bar{z}^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^{j_{k-\lambda}}$, then $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\varphi$ $= (\varphi_{IJ}(e^{i_{\phi(t)}} dz^I) \wedge d\bar{z}^J)$

$$=\varphi_{IJ}(e^{i\phi(t)}dz^{I})\wedge(\frac{\partial\bar{z}^{j_{1}}}{\partial w^{l}}dw^{l}+\frac{\partial\bar{z}^{j_{1}}}{\partial\bar{w}^{l}}d\bar{w}^{l})\wedge\cdots\wedge(\frac{\partial\bar{z}^{j_{k-\lambda}}}{\partial w^{l}}dw^{l}+\frac{\partial\bar{z}^{j_{k-\lambda}}}{\partial\bar{w}^{l}}d\bar{w}^{l}),$$

which is clearly an element in $F^p A^k(X_t)$.

3. The canonical Bott-Chern deformation of (p, q)-forms

The Bott-Chern cohomology of X is defined by

$$H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) := \frac{\ker d \cap A^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)}{\operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial} \cap A^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)} \ .$$

3.1. Hodge decomposition for Bott-Chern cohomology. Let X be a compact complex manifold equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric. The Bott-Chern Laplacian operator $\Box_{BC} : A^{\bullet, \bullet}(X) \to A^{\bullet, \bullet}(X)$ is defined as

$$(3.1) \quad \Box_{BC} := (\partial\bar{\partial})(\partial\bar{\partial})^* + (\partial\bar{\partial})^*(\partial\bar{\partial}) + (\bar{\partial}^*\partial)(\bar{\partial}^*\partial)^* + (\bar{\partial}^*\partial)^*(\bar{\partial}^*\partial) + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} + \partial^*\partial,$$

Define the Bott-Chern harmonic space by

(3.2)
$$\mathcal{H}_{BC} := \ker \Box_{BC} = \ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \cap \ker(\partial \bar{\partial})^* ,$$

then the following orthogonal direct sum decomposition holds:

(3.3)
$$A^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) = \ker \Box_{BC} \oplus \operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial} \oplus (\operatorname{im} \partial^* + \operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}^*),$$

which is equivalent to the existence of the Green operator G_{BC} such that

$$1 = \mathcal{H}_{BC} + \Box_{BC} G_{BC},$$

where \mathcal{H}_{BC} denotes the orthogonal projection operator to the Bott-Chern harmonic space.

3.2. Canonical Bott-Chern deformations. Recall the following

Definition 3.1. [Xia21b] Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ be a small deformation of a compact complex manifold X over a small polydisc B centered in 0 such that for each $t \in B$ the complex structure on X_t is represented by Beltrami differential $\phi(t)$. Given $y \in \ker d \cap A^{p,q}(X)$ and $T \subseteq B$, which is a complex subspace (i.e. analytic subset) of B containing 0, a *Bott-Chern deformation* of y (w.r.t. $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$) on T is a family of (p, q)-forms $\sigma(t) \in A^{p,q}(X)$ such that

- 1. $\sigma(t)$ is holomorphic in $t \in T$ and $\sigma(0) = y$;
- 2. $d_{\phi(t)}\sigma(t) = 0, \ \forall t \in T.$

We will always assume X has been equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric. A Bott-Chern deformation $\sigma(t)$ of y on T is called *canonical* if

$$\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 - G_{BC}(\partial^* \partial \partial^* + \partial^*) \partial i_{\phi(t)} \sigma(t), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

It can be shown that for any given $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{p,q}(X)$, its canonical Bott-Chern deformation exists on an analytic subset of B and is uniquely given by a convergent power series as follows,

$$\sigma(t) = \sum_k \sigma_k \in A^{p,q}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k = -G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^* \partial \partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*) \sum_{i+j=k} \partial i_{\phi_j} \sigma_i \ ,$$

where $\phi(t) = \sum_{j} \phi_{j}$ and each ϕ_{j} , σ_{k} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k with coefficients in $A^{0,1}(X, T^{1,0})$, $A^{p,q}(X)$ respectively. Note that up to contraction to a smaller polydisc if possible we may assume the power series $\sigma(t)$ converges on B. It may happen that for some $t \in B$, $d_{\phi(t)}\sigma(t) \neq 0$ or $\sigma(t)$ is $d_{\phi(t)}$ -exact. These are exactly the two facts which make dim $H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X)$ different from dim $H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$, where

$$H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X) := \frac{\ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)}{\operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)}$$

is the deformed Bott-Chern cohomology and

$$\bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} : A^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow A^{p,q+1}(X) ,$$

is the deformed Dolbeault operator defined by $\bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} := \bar{\partial} - \mathcal{L}^{1,0}_{\phi(t)}$ (c.f. [Xia22, Xia21a]) In fact, for any $t \in B$ and a vector subspace $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$, we set

 $V_t := \{ \sigma_0 \in V \mid \sigma(t) \in \ker d_{\phi(t)}, \text{ where } \sigma(t) \text{ is the canonical deformation of } \sigma_0 \}.$ The following mapping

$$\tilde{f}_t : V_t \longrightarrow \ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap \ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X),$$

$$\sigma_0 \longmapsto \sigma(t) = \sum_k \sigma_k, \text{ where } \sigma_k = -G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^* \partial \partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*) \sum_{i+j=k} \partial i_{\phi_j} \sigma_i, \ \forall k \neq 0,$$

is an isomorphism and

$$f_t: V_t \longrightarrow \frac{\ker(\partial \bar{\partial})^* \cap \ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)}{\ker(\partial \bar{\partial})^* \cap \operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)} \cong H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X),$$
$$\sigma_0 \longmapsto [\tilde{f}_t \sigma_0].$$

is surjective with kernel equal to $\ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap \operatorname{im} \partial\bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)$. The following result give a precise description about the relation between the behavior of canonical Bott-Chern deformations and the changing of $\dim H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X)$ (see Theorem 4.13 and Proposition 4.3 in [Xia21b]):

Theorem 3.2. Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ be a small deformation of a compact Hermitian manifold X such that for each $t \in B$ the complex structure on X_t is represented by a Beltrami differential $\phi(t)$. For each $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, set

$$v_t^{p,q} := \dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) - \dim \ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap \ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap A^{p,q}(X) \ge 0,$$

and

$$u_t^{p,q} := \dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) - \dim \ker \partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap \left(\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + im(\partial \bar{\partial})^*\right) \cap A^{p,q}(X) \ge 0,$$

then we have

$$\dim \ker(\partial \bar{\partial})^* \cap \operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X) = \dim u_t^{p-1,q-1} \,$$

and

(3.4)
$$\dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) = \dim H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X) + v^{p,q}_t + u^{p-1,q-1}_t .$$

3.3. Bott-Chern deformations on $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold. Let X be a compact complex manifold satisfying the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma, see [DGMS75, Pop19, AT13] for more information. Such manifolds will be called a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ be a deformation of a $\partial\partial$ -manifold X (equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric) over a small polydisc B such that for each $t \in B$ the complex structure on X_t is represented by a Beltrami differential $\phi(t)$. Then for any $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$ its canonical Bott-Chern deformation $\sigma(t) = \sum_k \sigma_k$ exists on B and if $\sigma(t) \in im \partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)}$ then $\sigma_0 = 0$.

Proof. First, since X satisfies the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma, we have that X_t satisfies the $\partial_t \bar{\partial}_t$ -lemma [Wu06, AT13] and also the $\partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)}$ -lemma holds for each $t \in B$ (see [Xia21b, Coro. 5.5]) which implies that

$$\dim H^{p,q}_{BC\phi(t)}(X) = \dim H^{p,q}_{\bar{\partial}_t}(X_t) = \dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X_t) = \dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) , \quad \forall \ t \in \ B \ ,$$

where in the last equality we have used the deformation invariance of Hodge numbers for $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifolds. Now by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$v_t^{p,q} = \dim H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) - \dim \ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap \ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap A^{p,q}(X) = 0$$

and

$$u_t^{p-1,q-1} = \dim \ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap \operatorname{im} \partial\bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X) = 0 .$$

The former equality says that for $V = \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{p,q}(X)$, the mapping

$$f_t : \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{BC}(X) \to \ker(\partial\bar{\partial})^* \cap \ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^{p,q}(X)$$

defined as above is an isomorphism which shows that for any $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{p,q}(X)$ its canonical Bott-Chern deformation $\sigma(t) = \sum_k \sigma_k$ is $d_{\phi(t)}$ -closed for any $t \in B$. The latter equality implies that if $\sigma(t) \in \operatorname{im} \partial \overline{\partial}_{\phi(t)}$ then $\sigma_0 = 0$ in view of the mapping f_t .

4. Deformations of Hodge filtration

On a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold X, there is a natural Hodge decomposition given by¹

(4.1)
$$H^{k}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X), \quad \text{with } H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) := \frac{\ker d \cap A^{p,q}(X)}{\operatorname{im} \partial \bar{\partial} \cap A^{p,q}(X)}.$$

¹We could also use the pure type de Rham cohomology $H^{p,q}_d(X) := \frac{\ker d \cap A^{p,q}(X)}{\operatorname{im} d \cap A^{p,q}(X)}$ in this decomposition. Here we prefer to adopt the Bott-Chern cohomology because later we need to apply the deformation theory of Bott-Chern classes established in [Xia21b]. Moreover, since $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma holds on X the natural map $H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) \to H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ is injective, so we can regard $H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$ as a subspace of $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$.

Together with $H^k(X,\mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$, where Tor denotes the torsion subgroup of $H^k(X,\mathbb{Z})$, (4.1) define an integral Hodge structure of weight k. Equivalently, this Hodge structure can be determined by the Hodge filtration

(4.2)
$$H^{k}(X,\mathbb{C}) = F^{0}H^{k}(X) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^{k}H^{k}(X) = H^{k,0}(X),$$

where

$$F^{p}H^{k}(X_{t}) := \operatorname{im}\left(\bigoplus_{r \ge p} H^{r,k-r}_{BC}(X) \longrightarrow H^{k}(X,\mathbb{C})\right).$$

Lemma 4.1. Let X be a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold. If $\sigma \in F^p A^k(X) \cap \ker d$, then there exists $x \in A^{k-1}(X)$ and $\beta^{r,k-r} \in A^{r,k-r}(X) \cap \ker d$ for each $r \ge p$ such that

$$\sigma = dx + \sum_{r \ge p} \beta^{r,k-r}$$

Proof. Since X is a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold, we have $[\sigma] \in H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$ which implies that there exists $x \in A^{k-1}(X)$ and $\beta^{r,k-r} \in A^{r,k-r}(X) \cap \ker d$ for each $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\sigma = dx + \sum_{r \geq 0} \beta^{r,k-r}$$

Now because $\sigma \in F^p A^k(X)$, we have

$$\sigma = \sum_{r \ge p} \partial x^{r-1,k-r} + \sum_{r \ge p} \bar{\partial} x^{r,k-r-1} + \sum_{r \ge p} \beta^{r,k-r} \, .$$

where $x = \sum_{r \ge 0} x^{r,k-r}$ with $x^{r,k-r} \in A^{r,k-r}(X)$. Rearranging this identity, we get

$$\sigma = d \sum_{r \ge p+1} x^{r-1,k-r} + \partial x^{p-1,k-p} + \sum_{r \ge p} \beta^{r,k-r} ,$$

which shows that $\partial x^{p-1,k-p} \in A^{p,k-p}(X) \cap \ker d$. Hence $\partial x^{p-1,k-p} = \partial \overline{\partial} y^{p-1,k-p-1}$ for some $y^{p-1,k-p-1} \in A^{p-1,k-p-1}(X)$ by the $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -lemma. The conclusion follows. \Box

Theorem 4.2. Let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, X) \to (B, 0)$ be a deformation of a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold X (equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric) over a small polydisc B such that for each $t \in B$ the complex structure on X_t is represented by a Beltrami differential $\phi(t)$. For any $t \in B$ and $p \leq k$, the exponential operator induces the following isomorphism of vector spaces (still denoted by $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}$)

(4.3)
$$e^{i_{\phi(t)}} : H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C}) : [\sigma_0] \longmapsto [e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma(t)],$$

so that

 $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}F^pH^k(X) = F^pH^k(X_t) ,$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the canonical Bott-Chern deformation of σ_0 .

Proof. First of all, note that (4.3) is the composition of the following two mappings

(4.4)
$$H^{k}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^{k}_{d_{\phi(t)}}(X) : [\sigma_{0}] \longmapsto [\sigma(t)],$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the canonical Bott-Chern deformation of σ_0 and

(4.5)
$$H^k_{d_{\phi(t)}}(X) \longrightarrow H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C}) : [\sigma] \longmapsto [e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma],$$

where $H^k_{d_{\phi(t)}}(X) := \frac{\ker d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^k(X)}{\operatorname{im} d_{\phi(t)} \cap A^k(X)}$. Since X is a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold, it follows from Proposition 3.3 that (4.4) is well-defined. To show (4.3) is an isomorphism we only need to show (4.4) is injective because (4.5) is clearly an isomorphism and dim $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) =$ dim $H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X) \subset H^k(X,\mathbb{C})$ be a Bott-Chern harmonic form, if $[\sigma(t)] = 0 \in H^k_{d_{\phi(t)}}(X)$ then by the $\partial \bar{\partial}_{\phi(t)}$ -lemma [Xia21b, Coro. 5.5] we know that $\sigma(t) \in \operatorname{im} \partial \overline{\partial}_{\phi(t)}$. Thus we must have $\sigma_0 = 0$ by Proposition 3.3. This shows that (4.3) is an isomorphism.

It is left to show the image of the subspace $F^pH^k(X) \subseteq H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ under $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}$ is exactly $F^pH^k(X_t) \subseteq H^k(X_t, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, let $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)$ be a Bott-Chern harmonic form with $r \ge p$, then it follows from (2.5) that $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma(t) \in F^pA^k(X_t) \cap \ker d$. Since X_t is also a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifold, we have that $[e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma(t)] \in F^p H^k(X_t)$ by Lemma 4.1. This shows $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}F^pH^k(X) \subseteq F^pH^k(X_t)$. On the other hand, since dim $H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$ is deformation invariant for $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -manifolds, we have

$$\dim F^p H^k(X) = \sum_{r \ge p} \dim H^{r,k-r}_{BC}(X) = \sum_{r \ge p} \dim H^{r,k-r}_{BC}(X_t) = \dim F^p H^k(X_t) .$$

e proof is complete.

The proof is complete.

Remark 4.3. Note that the mapping (4.3) may depend on the choices of the Hermitian metric on X.

In the context of Theorem 4.2, the *period map* can be defined as follows

(4.6)
$$\Phi^{p,k}: B \longrightarrow \operatorname{Grass}(f^{p,k}, H^k(X, \mathbb{C})), \quad t \longmapsto F^p H^k(X_t),$$

where $f^{p,k} := \dim F^p H^k(X)$. The period map $\Phi^{p,k}$ has the following properties (c.f. [Voi02, Chp. 10] for case of Kähler manifolds),

Theorem 4.4. Let X be a $\partial \overline{\partial}$ -manifold equipped with a fixed Hermitian metric.

- (i) The period map $\Phi^{p,k}$ is holomorphic:
- (ii) Griffiths transversality: the tangent map

$$d\Phi_0^{p,k}: T_0B \longrightarrow Hom(F^pH^k(X), H^k(X, \mathbb{C})/F^pH^k(X))$$

has values in $Hom(F^{p}H^{k}(X), F^{p-1}H^{k}(X)/F^{p}H^{k}(X))$:

(*iii*) The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} T_0B & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & H^1(X, T_X^{1,0}) \\ & & d\Phi_0^{p,k} \\ & & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}} \\ Hom \left(\mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X), H_{BC}^{r-1,k-r+1}(X) \oplus H_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X) \right) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X, T_X^{1,0}), \end{array}$$

where κ is the Kodaira-Spencer map, $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic projection map and ι is defined as follows: for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X, T_X^{1,0})$,

$$\iota(\varphi) : \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X) \longrightarrow H_{BC}^{r-1,k-r+1}(X) \oplus H_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)$$
$$x \longmapsto (i_{\varphi}x - \bar{\partial}(\partial\bar{\partial})^* G_{BC} \partial i_{\varphi}x) - \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r} \partial(\partial\bar{\partial})^* G_{BC} \partial i_{\varphi}x .$$

Proof. These statements can be deduced from Theorem 4.2. In fact, for any $t \in B$ we now have

$$\Phi^{p,k}(t) = F^p H^k(X_t) = \mathbb{C}\{e^{i_{\phi(t)}} \sigma^l(t)\}_{l=1}^{f^{p,k}}$$

where $\{\sigma_0^l\}_{l=1}^{f^{p,k}}$ is a basis of $\bigoplus_{r\geq p} \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)$ such that for each $q\geq p$,

$$\mathbb{C}\{\sigma_0^l \mid 1 + \sum_{r=p}^{q-1} \dim \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X) \le l \le \sum_{r=p}^q \dim \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)\} = \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{q,k-q}(X)$$

and $\sigma^{l}(t)$ is the canonical deformation of σ_{0}^{l} . This shows $\Phi^{p,k}$ is holomorphic because both $\phi(t)$ and each $\sigma^{l}(t)$ are holomorphic. This is (i).

Next, let $\sigma(t)$ be the canonical deformation of some $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)$ with $r \geq p$. Without loss of generality assume dim B = 1 and we can write $\phi(t) = \sum_{j\geq 1} \phi_j t^j$, $\sigma(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sigma_k t^k$, where $\sigma_k = -G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^* \partial \partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*) \sum_{i+j=k} \partial i_{\phi_j} \sigma_i$. So we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}\sigma(t) = \sigma_1 = -G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^*\partial\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*)\partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 \; .$$

Now if we differentiate $e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma(t)$ with respect to t at t=0, we get

(4.7)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} [e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma(t)] = [i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^*\partial\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*)\partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0]$$

Notice that since $(i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^*\partial\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*)\partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0) \in \ker d$, it follows that for $y := G_{BC}(\bar{\partial}^*\partial\partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*)\partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 \in A^{r,k-r}(X)$, we have

$$\partial y = 0 = \bar{\partial} i_{\phi_1} \sigma_0$$
 and $\partial i_{\phi_1} \sigma_0 = \bar{\partial} y$,

which by the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma implies that there exists $u \in A^{r-1,k-r}(X), \tau \in A^{r-1,k-r+1}(X) \cap \ker \partial$ and $\mu \in A^{r,k-r}(X) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ such that

$$i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 = \partial u + \tau$$
 and $y = -\partial u + \mu$.

From which we may observe that $\tau \in A^{r-1,k-r+1}(X) \cap \ker d$ and $\mu \in A^{r,k-r}(X) \cap \ker d$. Hence, we have the following equality in $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$:

$$[i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - y] = [\tau] - [\mu] \in F^{p-1}H^k(X) .$$

This is (ii).

For (iii), since

$$[\tau] = [i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - \bar{\partial}u] \in H^{r-1,k-r+1}_{BC}(X) ,$$

and

$$[\mu] = [\mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}(y+\partial u)] = [\mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}\partial u] \in H_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X)$$

by using the Hodge decomposition $H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$, we have the following equality in $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$:

$$[i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - y] = [\tau] - [\mu] = [i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0 - \bar{\partial}u] - [\mathcal{H}_{BC}^{r,k-r}\partial u] \in H_{BC}^{r-1,k-r+1}(X) \oplus H_{BC}^{r,k-r}(X) .$$

Since $u \in A^{r-1,k-r}(X)$ is a solution of $\partial\bar{\partial}u = \partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0$, we may set $u = (\partial\bar{\partial})^* G_{BC}\partial i_{\phi_1}\sigma_0$.

Note that $\{e^{i_{\phi(t)}}\sigma^l(t)\}_{l=1}^{f^{p,k}}$ is a holomorphic frame of the Hodge bundle $\mathcal{F}^p := \bigcup_{t\in B} F^p H^k(X_t)$ (c.f.[LS18]).

References

- [ACRT18] B. Anthes, A. Cattaneo, S. Rollenske, and A. Tomassini. ∂∂-complex symplectic and Calabi-Yau manifolds: Albanese map, deformations and period maps. Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 54(3):377–398, 2018.
- [AT13] D. Angella and A. Tomassini. On the ∂∂-lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology. Invent. Math., 192(1):71–81, 2013.
- [CK99] David A. Cox and Sheldon Katz. Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry, volume 68 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Cle05] H. Clemens. Geometry of formal Kuranishi theory. Adv. Math., 198(1):311–365, 2005.
- [CMSP17] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach, and C. Peters. Period mappings and period domains, volume 168 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. Second edition.
- [DGMS75] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan. Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds. Invent. Math., 29(3):245-274, 1975.
- [FM06] D. Fiorenza and M. Manetti. L-infinity algebras, cartan homotopies and period maps. arXiv:math/0605297, 2006.
- [FM09] D. Fiorenza and M. Manetti. A period map for generalized deformations. J. Noncommut. Geom., 3(4):579–597, 2009.
- [GGK13] M. Green, P. Griffiths, and M. Kerr. Hodge theory, complex geometry, and representation theory, volume 118 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [Gri68] P. Griffiths. Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. ii: Local study of the period mapping. Amer. J. Math., 90(54):805–865, 1968.
- [KP16] M. Kerr and G. Pearlstein, editors. Recent advances in Hodge theory, volume 427 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [LRY15] K. Liu, S. Rao, and X. Yang. Quasi-isometry and deformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 199(2):423–453, 2015.
- [LS18] K. Liu and Y. Shen. Moduli spaces as ball quotients I, local theory. arXiv:1810.10892, 2018.
- [LS20] K. Liu and Y. Shen. Canonical sections of Hodge bundles on moduli spaces. J. Iranian Math. Soc., 1(1):97–115, 2020.
- [LSY09] K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau. Recent development on the geometry of the Teichmüller and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces. In Geometry of Riemann surfaces and their moduli spaces, volume XIV of Surveys in differential geometry, pages 221–259. 2009.
- [Man04] M. Manetti. Lectures on deformations of complex manifolds (deformations from differential graded viewpoint). Rend. Mat. Appl. (7), 24(1):1–183, 2004.
- [Pop19] D. Popovici. Holomorphic deformations of balanced Calabi-Yau ∂∂-manifolds. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 69(2):673–728, 2019.
- [RZ18] S. Rao and Q. Zhao. Several special complex structures and their deformation properties. J. Geom. Anal., 28(4):2984–3047, 2018.
- [Tod89] A. N. Todorov. The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of SU(≥ 3) (Calabi-Yau) manifolds i. Comm. Math. Phys., 126(2):325–346, 1989.
- [Voi02] C. Voisin. Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I, volume 76 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. Translated from the French original by Leila Schneps.

- [Voi05] C. Voisin. Hodge theory and the topology of compact Kähler and complex projective manifolds. In *Lecture Notes for the Seattle AMS Summer Institute*, 2005.
- [Wu06] C.-C. Wu. On the geometry of superstrings with torsion. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University.
- [WZ20] D. Wei and S. Zhu. Note on invariance of Hodge numbers for complex manifolds. preprint, 2020.
- [Xia19] W. Xia. Derivations on almost complex manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 147:559–566, 2019. Errata in arXiv:1809.07443v3.
- [Xia21a] Wei Xia. Deformations of Dolbeault cohomology classes for Lie algebra with complex structures. Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 60(3):709–734, 2021.
- [Xia21b] Wei Xia. On the deformed Bott-Chern cohomology. J. Geom. Phys., 166:Paper No. 104250, 19, 2021.
- [Xia22] Wei Xia. Deformations of Dolbeault cohomology classes. Math. Z., 300:2931–2973, 2022.

WEI XIA, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOL-OGY, CHONGQING, P.R.CHINA, 400054.

Email address: xiawei@cqut.edu.cn, xiaweiwei3@126.com