

Comment on “Universal and Non-Universal Correction Terms of Bose Gases in Dilute Region: A Quantum Monte Carlo Study” [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 91, 024001 (2022)]

Adam Raçon

Univ. Lille, CNRS UMR 8523 - PhLAM - Laboratoire des Lasers Atomes et Molécules, F-59000 Lille, France

(Dated: May 20, 2022)

Recently, Masaki-Kato et al. have investigated the thermodynamics of a hardcore Bose gas on the cubic lattice in the dilute limit [1]. In this regime, the system is expected to be very-well described as a gas of bosons in the continuum with effective mass $m = \hbar^2/(2ta^2)$, with t the hopping amplitude on the lattice and a the lattice spacing, and a contact interaction characterised by its s-wave scattering length a_s [2]. By comparing their numerical results obtained by quantum Monte-Carlo simulations to the results of Bogoliubov theory, they were able to estimate numerically the ratio $a_s/a = 0.316(2)$, as well as the constant c_3 describing the non-universal next order correction in the expansion in na_s^3 , with n the density.

The goals of this comment are two-fold. Firstly, we show that the s-wave scattering length of hardcore bosons on the cubic lattice can be computed analytically and reads [3]

$$a_s/a = \frac{8\sqrt{6}\pi^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{24}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{24}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{24}\right)} \simeq 0.31487023\dots \quad (1)$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Gamma function. This results can be obtained by computing the T-matrix of the Bose-Hubbard model in the limit of infinite interactions (implementing effectively the hardcore constraint). It is in agreement with the estimate obtained by Masaki-Kato et al. Secondly, we show that the results obtained in [1] agree with first order spin-wave calculations that take the hardcore constraint into account exactly, without referring to the Bose-Hubbard model with finite interaction.

1) *Analytic calculation of the s-wave scattering length-* The s-wave scattering length is obtained from the low-energy limit $E \rightarrow 0$ of the T-matrix $T(E)$, which is obtained by resumming the ladder diagrams describing the multiple interactions between two bosons [4, 5]. This generalizes directly to the case of bosons on a lattice with on-site interaction U , for which [4]

$$T(0) = \left(\frac{1}{U} + \int_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} = 2t(3 - \cos(q_x) - \cos(q_y) - \cos(q_z))$ is the dispersion on the cubic lattice (such that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} \simeq t\mathbf{q}^2$ at low momenta, from which we read the effective mass) and $\int_{\mathbf{q}} \equiv \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}$ with BZ the first Brillouin zone $[-\pi, \pi]^3$. Here and thereafter, we use the lattice spacing a as units of length and $\hbar = 1$.

The scattering length is defined by

$$\frac{4\pi a_s}{m} = 8\pi t a_s = T(0), \quad (3)$$

and in the limit $U \rightarrow \infty$ this leads to

$$a_s = \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{4\pi t}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}}}. \quad (4)$$

Evaluating the integral numerically, one recovers the result quoted in the note added in proof of [1], based on [5]. However, it so happen that the integral

$$I_3 = \int_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{3 - \cos(q_x) - \cos(q_y) - \cos(q_z)}, \quad (5)$$

known as one of Watson’s triple integrals [6], can be performed analytically and gives the rather amazing result

$$I_3 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{96\pi^3} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{24}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{24}\right), \quad (6)$$

which allows us to obtain Eq. (1). A similar analysis shows that on the square lattice, one finds the two-dimensional scattering length of hardcore bosons is $a_s/a = e^{-C}/(2\sqrt{2}) \simeq 0.198506\dots$ with C the Euler constant [2, 4].

2) *Spin-wave calculation of the ground-state energy of hardcore bosons-* In the dilute limit, the thermodynamics of hardcore bosons can be recovered in at least two ways. Mapping hardcore bosons on the quantum spin-1/2 XY model in a transverse field, a perturbation theory in $1/S$ to lowest order (spin-wave or semi-classical approximation) corresponds to a Bogoliubov theory for magnons in the dilute limit. Careful comparison, between the $1/S$ expansion and quantum Monte-Carlo on the square lattice have shown that the spin-wave approximation is in very good agreement with the numerics already at this order [7]. Another possibility is to use a (quantum) Weiss theory for hardcore bosons, that treat the on-site constraint exactly and the hopping at mean-field. This can then be improved by including loop corrections, that can be organized within the framework of the (lattice) functional renormalization group [2]. Both methods are equivalent, and the ground-state energy per unit-volume in the grand-canonical ensemble at lowest order (equivalent to Bogoliubov theory for dilute bosons) reads in d -dimensions [2]

$$E_{GS} = -\frac{dt}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{2dt}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{q}} (E_{\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}), \quad (7)$$

where $E_{\mathbf{q}} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} \left(\left(\frac{\mu}{2dt}\right)^2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} + 2dt \left(1 - \left(\frac{\mu}{2dt}\right)^2\right) \right)}$ is the Bogoliubov dispersion of the spin-waves. The first term corresponds to the mean-field approximation, while the second term is the $1/S$ correction (with $S = 1/2$) coming from the fluctuations of the Bogoliubov excitations. This result is valid for $-2dt \leq \mu \leq 2dt$ and works very well compared to Monte-Carlo in this whole range in $d = 2$ [7]. Since fluctuations are expected to be weaker in higher dimensions, this approximation is expected to be very good in dimension three.

In three dimensions, the dilute limit corresponds to $\mu = -6t + \delta\mu$, with $\delta\mu/t \ll 1$. Using Eq. (4) and rewriting the energy as

$$E_{GS} = -\frac{\delta\mu^2}{16\pi t a_s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{q}} \left(E_{\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{\delta\mu^2}{12t} + \frac{\delta\mu^2}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}} \right), \quad (8)$$

a careful analysis shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{q}} \left(E_{\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{\delta\mu^2}{12t} + \frac{\delta\mu^2}{2\epsilon_{\mathbf{q}}} \right) = \frac{8t}{15\pi^2} \left(\frac{\delta\mu}{t} \right)^{5/2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta\mu^3), \quad (9)$$

and we recover the ground-state energy of a dilute Bose gas in the grand-canonical ensemble, including the Lee-Huang-Yang correction

$$E_{GS} = -\frac{m\delta\mu^2}{8\pi a_s} \left(1 - \frac{64}{15\pi} \sqrt{m a_s^2 \delta\mu} + C_{\text{cor.}} \right). \quad (10)$$

Note that the correction $C_{\text{cor.}}$, of order $m a_s^2 \delta\mu$, is non-universal and comes in part from lattice effects, and we have estimated it numerically to be $C_{\text{cor.}} = \alpha m a_s^2 \delta\mu + o(m a_s^2 \delta\mu)$, with a conservative estimate $\alpha \simeq 3.43(1)$. From this, we recover the energy per particles in the canonical ensemble,

$$E/N = \frac{2\pi a_s n}{m} \left(1 + \frac{128}{15\pi} \sqrt{n a_s^3} + \frac{4(256 - 9\pi^2 \alpha)}{9\pi} n a_s^3 + \mathcal{O}(n a_s^3) \right). \quad (11)$$

Note that correction term of order $n a_s^3$ explicitly written here is not the full contribution, as we expect the next order in the loop (or $1/S$) expansion to also contribute at this order (in addition to a universal term in $n a_s^3 \log(n a_s^3)$). Masaki-Kato et al. have estimated the full contribution at order $n a_s^3$ to be $c_3 = 130(40)$, and with $\frac{4(256 - 9\pi^2 \alpha)}{9\pi} \simeq -6.9(1)$ we conclude that the first order in $1/S$ contributes for a few percent to c_3 in the opposite direction than the next order.

In summary, we have shown that the results of Masaki-Kato et al. are in very good agreement with the analytical results from the spin-wave approximation describing a dilute gas hardcore bosons. The latter includes the Lee-Huang-Yang correction as well as some of the non-universal corrections at the next order in $n a_s^3$. We have also shown that the scattering-length a_s , Eq. (1), naturally appears in the ground-state energy. This comment leaves open some interesting questions. On the simulation side, it would be interesting to see if using a_s as an input, and not as a fitting parameter, would give a better estimate of c_3 . It would also be interesting to compare the numerical results to the spin-wave result Eq. (7) away from the dilute limit (i.e. in the range $|\mu| \leq 6t$), to see if it compares as favourably as in two-dimensions. On the theory side, it would be interesting to compute the next correction in $1/S^2$ to the energy (as has been done in two dimensions in [7]) to have a theoretical estimate of c_3 and see how the universal correction in $n a_s^3 \log(n a_s^3)$ is recovered.

-
- [1] A. Masaki-Kato, Y. Motoyama, and N. Kawashima, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **91**, 024001 (2022).
 - [2] A. Rançon, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 214418 (2014).
 - [3] A. Rançon, arXiv:1404.3425 (2014).
 - [4] A. Rançon and N. Dupuis, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 174513 (2011).
 - [5] Y. Castin, *Proceedings of the International School of Physics “Enrico Fermi”* **164**, 289–349 (2007).
 - [6] J. M. Borwein, M. L. Glasser, R. C. McPhedran, J. G. Wan, and I. J. Zucker, *Lattice Sums Then and Now* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
 - [7] T. Coletta, N. Laflorencie, and F. Mila, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 104421 (2012).