

# NIR spectroscopic survey of protostellar jets in the star-forming region IC 1396N<sup>★,★★</sup>

F. Massi<sup>1</sup>, R. López<sup>2</sup>, M. T. Beltrán<sup>1</sup>, R. Estalella<sup>2</sup>, and J. M. Girart<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125 Firenze, Italy.

<sup>2</sup> Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica, Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona, IEEC-UB, Martí i Franquès, 1, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (ICE), CSIC, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n, E-08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain.

<sup>4</sup> Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), E-08034 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

received ; accepted

## ABSTRACT

**Context.** The bright-rimmed cloud IC 1396N, associated with an intermediate-mass star-forming region, hosts a number of CO, molecular hydrogen, and Herbig-Haro (HHs) outflows powered by a set of millimetre compact sources.

**Aims.** The aim of this work is to characterise the kinematics and physical conditions of the H<sub>2</sub> emission features spread throughout the IC 1396N region. The features appear as chains of knots with a jet-like morphology and trace different H<sub>2</sub> outflows. We also obtain further information about (and an identification of) the driving sources.

**Methods.** Low-resolution, long-slit near-infrared spectra were acquired with the NICS camera at the TNG telescope, using gratings KB ( $R \sim 1200$ ), HK, and JH ( $R \sim 500$ ). Several slit pointings and position angles were used throughout the IC 1396N region in order to sample a number of the H<sub>2</sub> knots that were previously detected in deep H<sub>2</sub> 2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  images.

**Results.** The knots exhibit rich ro-vibrational spectra of H<sub>2</sub>, consistent with shock-excited excitation, from which radial velocities and relevant physical conditions of the IC 1396N H<sub>2</sub> outflows were derived. These also allowed estimating extinction ranges towards several features. [Fe II] emission was only detected towards a few knots that also display unusually high H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3)/S(1) flux ratios. The obtained radial velocities confirm that most of the outflows are close to the plane of the sky. Nearby knots in the same chain often display different radial velocities, both blue-shifted and red-shifted, which we interpret as due to ubiquitous jet precession in the driving sources or the development of oblique shocks. One of the chains (strand A, i. e. knots A1 to A15) appears as a set of features trailing a leading bow-shock structure consistent with the results of 3D magneto-hydrodynamical models. The sides of the leading bow shock (A15) exhibit different radial velocities. We discuss possible explanations. Our data cannot confirm whether strands A and B have both originated in the intermediate mass young stellar object [BGE2002] BIMA 2 because a simple model of a precessing jet cannot account for their locations.

**Conclusions.** Near-infrared spectroscopy has confirmed that most of the H<sub>2</sub> ro-vibrational emission in IC 1396N is shock-excited rather than uv-excited in photon-dominated regions. It has shown a complex kinematical structure in most strands of emitting knots as well.

**Key words.** ISM: jets and outflows – ISM: individual objects: IC 1396N – stars: formation – Infrared: ISM – Techniques: spectroscopic

## 1. Introduction

The bright-rimmed cloud IC 1396N (also known as BRC38; Sugitani et al. 1991), associated with the H II region IC 1396, is an interesting laboratory for studying a range of star formation processes in progress. It exhibits a cometary structure, whose bright rim faces the ionising star HD 206267 towards the south, and its tail points to the north. HD 206267 is a multiple system with HD 206267 Aa (O5V+B0V) and Ab (O9V), and is located in the cluster Trumpler 37 (Maíz Apellániz & Barbá 2020) in-

side the H II region IC1396. The distance to the system estimated from GAIA parallaxes is  $1093^{+67}_{-59}$  pc (Maíz Apellániz & Barbá 2020). This value points to a slightly larger distance to IC 1396N than assumed in previous works (usually 750 pc). This is also confirmed by other authors using GAIA data. Thus, we adopt here a revised distance of  $910 \pm 49$  pc from the catalogue of distances to molecular clouds of Zucker et al. (2020), obtained by combining stellar photometric data and GAIA parallaxes. Stellar optical polarisation measurements show that the magnetic field in the cloud is almost aligned with the ionising radiation, forming an average angle of  $\sim 20^\circ$  with it (Soam et al. 2018). The magnetic field is in fact roughly parallel to the Galactic plane, as expected on a larger scale.

The head of the cloud is characterised by diffuse emission in the mid-infrared (MIR; see e.g. Fig. 5 of Beltrán et al. 2009), whereas the tail appears as a dark lane running from south to north (see e.g. the *JHK* image in Fig. 1 of Beltrán et al. 2009). This morphology is well reflected in millimetre (mm) line emission (Codella et al. 2001; Sugitani et al. 2002). The star forma-

<sup>★</sup> Based on observations made with the Italian Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) operated on the island of La Palma by the Fundación Galileo Galilei of the INAF (Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica) at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (time awarded by IAC, programme CAT\_32/2007, P. I. M. Beltrán).

<sup>★★</sup> Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5 are only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/>.

tion activity inside the cloud is clearly evident because both HH objects are visible at optical wavelengths (Reipurth et al. 2003) and chains of knots of  $\text{H}_2$  2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  line emission are detected in the near-infrared (NIR; Nisini et al. 2001; Sugitani et al. 2002; Caratti o Garatti et al. 2006; Beltrán et al. 2009). Most of the  $\text{H}_2$  knots originated in shocked gas in the outflows rather than in uv-excited fluorescence, as confirmed by their coincidence with mm outflows (Codella et al. 2001; Beltrán et al. 2002; Beltrán et al. 2012). The stellar population around the head was studied in the NIR, MIR, and in X-rays to discuss possible scenarios of triggered star formation (Getman et al. 2007; Beltrán et al. 2009; Choudhury et al. 2010).

The  $\text{H}_2$  knots towards the head of IC 1396N are associated with the intermediate-mass young stellar object (YSO) IRAS 21391+5802 (and other nearby YSOs; Beltrán et al. 2002). Other  $\text{H}_2$  knots and the Herbig-Haro object HH 777 in the middle of the cloud are associated with the NIR source HH 777/IRS331 (Reipurth et al. 2003; Beltrán et al. 2002), whereas most of the  $\text{H}_2$  knots in the tail of the cloud are associated with two mm compact sources (Codella et al. 2001; Beltrán et al. 2012). In particular, Beltrán et al. (2012) discussed what was probably the first documented occurrence of the interaction between outflows, causing a complex structure of  $\text{H}_2$  line emission. So far, only one other case of a possible outflow collision has been reported (in BHR 71; see Zapata et al. 2018).

The ro-vibrational line  $v = 1-0\ S(1)$  at 2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  of molecular hydrogen has proven to be an efficient tracer of protostellar jets and outflows through narrow-band imaging.  $\text{H}_2$  ro-vibrational emission spectra originate in slow, non-dissociative C-shocks or in the post-shock regions of fast ( $\gtrsim 25 - 50\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ ) dissociative J-shocks (e.g. Hollenbach & McKee 1979; Hollenbach & McKee 1980; Hollenbach & McKee 1989; Kaufman & Neufeld 1996).  $\text{H}_2$  line emission also occurs in photon-dominated regions (PDRs) due to fluorescent excitation (Black & van Dishoeck 1987). In principle, radiative fluorescent emission can be distinguished from thermal emission based on the ro-vibrational spectra if gas densities are  $\lesssim 10^4\ \text{cm}^{-3}$ , whereas thermal emission becomes dominant at higher gas densities even with intense UV fields (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989). In this respect, higher-excitation ro-vibrational lines falling in the *J* and *H* bands can be instrumental in distinguishing the excitation mechanism (Black & van Dishoeck 1987), which unfortunately happens to be a spectral region that is more extinguished than *K*. Other interesting lines may occur in the *JH* band, such as the  $[\text{Fe II}]$  lines originating from the upper level  $3d^6(^5D)4s\ a^4D_{7/2}$  of ionised iron at 1.6440, 1.3209, and 1.2570  $\mu\text{m}$ , which trace J-shocks (Hollenbach & McKee 1989). When these are present, they can be used to estimate extinction (Pecchioli et al. 2016).

With this in mind, we carried out a long-slit low-resolution ( $R \sim 500$  to 1250) survey of IC 1396N in the *JHK* bands to distinguish the different flows (and to distinguish between shock-excited and fluorescent-excited  $\text{H}_2$  emission) in the head of the cloud and identify new possible driving sources. Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) have reported on long-slit low-resolution ( $R \sim 500$ ) NIR spectra of targets in IC 1396N, but they are limited to the two chains (or strands) of knots called A and B towards the head of the cloud without information about the single knots of each chain.

The paper is laid out as follows: the observations and data reduction are described in Sect. 2, the main results are described in Sect. 3 and are further discussed in Sect. 4. Sect. 5 summarises the main findings, and some important issues are detailed in the appendix.

## 2. Observations and data reduction

Low-resolution long-slit spectra were obtained at several slit positions (see Fig. 1) with the NIR camera NICS (Baffa et al. 2001) at the 3.58 m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) on August 22, 23, and 24, 2007, using grisms KB (spanning the spectral range 1.95 – 2.34  $\mu\text{m}$ ), HK (1.40 – 2.50  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and JH (1.15 – 1.75  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and a 1'' wide slit, achieving spectral resolutions of 1250, 500, and 500, respectively. The plate scale is 0.25''/pix, yielding a field of view (fov) of  $4'.2 \times 4'.2$ , whereas the slit length is 4'. For each integration, pointing and slit position angle (PA) were selected so that several knots were encompassed by the slit at the same time (see Fig. 1). The slit was positioned on the plane of the sky by using reference stars. PA and reference stars were chosen based on a careful analysis of the  $\text{H}_2$  2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  image of Beltrán et al. (2009). All observations were carried out as multiple AB cycles, taking two exposures with the target shifted along the slit (i.e. in positions A and B). However, as several knots span the slit length in various positions, the slit was moved completely off-source in the B position. Spectra of two telluric standards, an A0 star (HIP 109079) and a G2V star (HIP 110327), were obtained with one ABBA cycle each per grism at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of each night, with the slit roughly oriented at the parallactic angle. On July 24, HIP 109079 was imaged in various positions along the slit to allow deriving a set of traces in different parts of the frame to use as references in the subsequent extraction of the target spectra. Details are given in Table 1. Halogen and Ar lamp spectra were obtained in the afternoon preceding each observing night for flat-fielding and wavelength calibrating.

The spectra were reduced using standard IRAF routines<sup>1</sup>. For each night run, the halogen lamp frames taken in the afternoon were averaged together and normalised row by row to a third-degree polynomial fit, as advised in the TNG web-page. The science frames were corrected for flat field and for cross-talk using the software provided by the TNG staff, and bad pixels were removed. For each AB cycle, the second frame was then subtracted from the first frame. The 2D spectra were then straightened and wavelength calibrated using the lines in the afternoon Ar lamp spectra. When the same target was acquired with more than one AB cycle, we checked that the spatial profiles in a bright emission line were consistent with each other. The subtracted 2D frames were then added together (generally, no shift was needed in the spatial direction to overlap the spectra). In a few cases only did we find some inconsistencies, and we did not combine the frames. These were clearly caused by small pointing differences.

There is usually some residual shift (a few Å to a few dozen Å) along the wavelength axis between the Ar lamp frames and the target frames. This shift is variable with pointing location and slit position angle (see Massi et al. 2008). We therefore realigned the science and telluric standard frames taken during the same night by using sky emission lines as references. Finally, we extracted 1D spectra for each identified knot and each telluric standard star in all 2D frames. For the standard stars, we were able to derive a suitable trace (i.e. the curve describing the image of a point at a given slit position in a 2D frame) from the star itself. For each knot, however, we used the trace derived from the stellar continuum spectrum as a reference that lay nearest to the location of the knot in the frame out of the set of ob-

<sup>1</sup> IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

**Table 1.** Log of spectral observations.


Date	Targeted knots <sup>(a)</sup>	grism	Slit position angle <sup>(c)</sup>	Parallactic angle <sup>(b)</sup>	Number of AB cycles <sup>(c)</sup>	Integration time single exposure (s)
22/08/2007	HIP 109079	KB	0	95	1 ABBA	20
	A	KB	52.5	62	1	600
	B	KB	80.5	51	1	600
	C	KB	94.5	35	1	600
	HIP 109079	KB	55	45	1 ABBA	30
	F	KB	125	158	2	900
	G	KB	130	121	2	900
23/08/2007	HIP 109079	KB	100	96	1 ABBA	20
	HIP 109079	HK	-85	-87	1 ABBA	9
	HIP 110327	HK	-95	-95	1 ABBA	20
	C	HK	108.5	64	4	900
	C	JH	108.5	12	4	900
	HIP 109079	JH	110	129	1 ABBA	7
	HIP 109079	HK	110	127	1 ABBA	7
	HIP 110327	HK	140	144	1 ABBA	16
	HIP 110327	JH	140	142	1 ABBA	16
	G	JH	130	121	4	900
	HIP 110327	JH	90	96	1 ABBA	16
24/08/2007	HIP 109079	JH	80	82	1 ABBA	5
	HIP 109079	JH	100	94	1 ABBA	9
	HIP 110327	JH	90	86	1 ABBA	30
	AB	JH	79.5	117	4	900
	HIP 109079	JH	40	43	1 ABBA	9
	HIP 110327	JH	30	24 24	1 ABBA	20
	F	JH	125	170	4	900
	A	JH	52, 5	114	3	900
	HIP 109079	JH	-90	-94	1 ABBA	9
	HIP 110327	JH	-90	-87	1 ABBA	35

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009). <sup>(b)</sup> 180° is sometimes added to the actual parallactic angle for comparison with the slit position angle. <sup>(c)</sup> Position B was still on-source for the telluric stars, but it was off-source for the science fields.

servations made in the same night and through the same grism. This trace was then shifted along the spatial direction to the peak of the brightest emission line of the knot, and its width was re-set accordingly. This was done because no continuum emission is associated with the knots. Only a few emission lines exist, which prevents us from deriving the traces from their own spectra. Background subtraction was performed as well by choosing nearby spatial intervals on the same 2D spectrum that were devoid of line emission. This further corrected for residual sky emission that was still present in the subtracted frames.

Several knots were encompassed by the slit for each pointing, as described above. These were easily identified on the 2D spectra before extraction by using the following procedure. First, we extracted the whole spatial profile throughout the slit length at the wavelength of a bright emission line. For each 2D spectrum, we then rotated the H<sub>2</sub> image from Beltrán et al. (2009) by the same position angle, and we extracted the sum of four adjoining columns (the plate scale is the same as for the spectra; four columns correspond to 1'', i.e. the slit width) passing through the approximate slit centre location of the corresponding spectra. Shifting the set of summed columns along the perpendicular direction by a few pixels soon resulted in spatial profiles that matched those obtained from the spectra very well. This allowed us to identify the relevant knots.

The observed telluric standard stars were used to correct the spectra for telluric absorption. Correction spectra were obtained from the A0V star with *xtellcor*, which uses a high-resolution spectrum of Vega to remove the intrinsic stellar hydrogen lines, following Vacca et al. (2003). Correction spectra were also obtained from the G2V star following Maiolino et al. (1996), using a high-resolution spectrum of the Sun to remove the intrinsic stellar metallic lines. By multiplying a correction and a science



**Fig. 1.** H<sub>2</sub> (line plus continuum) image of IC 1396N from Beltrán et al. (2009) overlaid with the slit locations selected for this work (sX: slit; kX: knot chain). The dotted line in the bottom right corner marks the photo-ionised strip encompassed by slit A.

spectrum, the effects of atmospheric transmission are removed provided the two spectra were taken at a similar airmass and as close as possible in time.

More details about the telluric correction are given in Appendix B. As discussed there, we do not expect the uncertainties on the telluric absorption correction to affect line ratios by more than ~ 10 % in the clear part of the observed spectral band (outside the yellow areas in Fig. A.1). Lines coinciding with telluric

absorption bands of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, or CH<sub>4</sub> may have larger errors, but these are probably still at the  $\sim 10\%$  level. However, Pecchioli et al. (2016) reported that the flux of lines occurring in wavelength regions characterised by crowded unsaturated telluric absorption bands is likely to be underestimated when measured in low-resolution spectra. In addition, the flux of lines falling in the wings of the strong telluric water absorption regions should be regarded with caution.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Line kinematics

We used the molecular hydrogen lines extracted from the higher-resolution spectra (grism KB) to derive some kinematical information. All lines appear unresolved in the dispersion direction, therefore the emitting gas always spans a velocity range that is narrower than the spectral resolution element ( $R \sim 1250$ , i.e.  $\Delta V \lesssim 240 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). Nevertheless, radial velocities can be obtained with a better accuracy than the spectral resolution.

We measured the wavelengths of the identified 1–0 S(0), S(1), and S(2) transitions (i.e. the brightest detected lines) by fitting Gaussian distributions to the line profiles. We assumed rest (vacuum) wavelengths of 2.223290, 2.121834, and 2.033758  $\mu\text{m}$  for the S(0), S(1), and S(2) transition, respectively, from Roueff et al. (2019). We then refined the wavelength calibration by measuring the radial velocities of the OH sky lines in the same (unsubtracted) frames. We identified the OH lines falling in intervals of  $\sim 0.07 \mu\text{m}$  centred around the rest wavelength of the three transitions and derived a local linear correction in each of the three intervals (the frames were all previously wavelength calibrated and straightened with the lamp spectra). The (vacuum) wavelengths of the reference sky lines were taken from Rousselot et al. (2000). The r.m.s. of the measured wavelengths minus the Rousselot wavelengths of the OH lines were  $\lesssim 0.0001\text{--}0.0002 \mu\text{m}$  (i.e.  $\sim 15\text{--}30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), confirming that the observed H<sub>2</sub> line wavelengths can be derived with an accuracy better than the spectral resolution. Finally, the differences between observed (lamp calibrated) and theoretical H<sub>2</sub> wavelength after the OH-based correction were converted into radial velocities.

We note that the uncertainty on the radial velocities has two components. A systematic uncertainty related to the wavelength calibration, which we assume to be equal to the r.m.s. of the observed (lamp-calibrated) minus theoretical wavelengths of the comparison OH sky lines in each considered interval. And a random uncertainty, which we assume to be equal to the line width divided by the signal-to-noise ratio of each H<sub>2</sub> feature. Thus, H<sub>2</sub> features originating from the three transitions we used may have different systematic errors in the same frame. Conversely, H<sub>2</sub> features from different knots in the same frame and originating from the same H<sub>2</sub> transition have the same systematic error and can be compared to each other with better accuracy.


The radial velocities we determined are listed in Table 2. Only those derived from the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) transition (i.e. the feature with the best signal-to-noise ratio, S/N) are indicated. However, when the S(0) and S(2) transitions are also detected with good S/N, the derived radial velocities are consistent with each other within the errors, even more so when a shift is applied to the radial velocities obtained from the S(0) and S(2) transitions so that they coincide with that derived from the S(1) transition for the brightest knot in each frame to minimise the effects of the systematic component of the uncertainty.

**Table 2.** Radial velocities (with respect to the local standard of rest) obtained from the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line. The listed knots can be identified in Fig. 2.

knot <sup>(a)</sup>	$V_{\text{LSR}}^{(b)}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )
frame A <sup>(c)</sup>	
A1	$3 \pm 3$ (8.5)
A4	$8 \pm 3$ (8.5)
A8	$-1 \pm 5$ (8.5)
A11	$3 \pm 5$ (8.5)
A14	$41 \pm 2$ (8.5)
A15 south	$50 \pm 2$ (8.5)
A16	$8 \pm 1$ (8.5)
photo-ionised strip	$-17 \pm 11$ (8.5)
Q1	$-18 \pm 27$ (8.5)
Q5	$-15 \pm 8$ (8.5)
Q6	$-15 \pm 12$ (8.5)
frame B <sup>(c)</sup>	
A12	$-36 \pm 4$ (23)
A15 north	$-34 \pm 4$ (23)
east of B2	$5 \pm 5$ (23)
B3	$-15 \pm 3$ (23)
between B3/B4	$-17 \pm 4$ (23)
B4	$-8 \pm 2$ (23)
B5	$-10 \pm 2$ (23)
B7	$8 \pm 7$ (23)
B8	$11 \pm 1$ (23)
I3	$0 \pm 14$ (23)
S2	$15 \pm 21$ (23)
frame C <sup>(c)</sup>	
C6	$-47 \pm 4$ (23)
C8	$-25 \pm 0.4$ (23)
unclassified east of C8/C10	$-33 \pm 1$ (23)
C10	$-43 \pm 1$ (23)
C14	$-8 \pm 1$ (23)
unclassified north-east of C14	$-45 \pm 1$ (23)
C16	$-10 \pm 2$ (23)
frame F <sup>(c)</sup>	
C1	$-21 \pm 10$ (28)
F1	$9 \pm 5$ (28)
F2	$-11 \pm 3$ (28)
F4	$-33 \pm 7$ (28)
F5	$4 \pm 4$ (28)
O1	$22 \pm 7$ (28)
frame G <sup>(c)</sup>	
A6/A7	$-10 \pm 1$ (21)
plateau A7	$3 \pm 3$ (21)
G1	$-7 \pm 3$ (21)
G2	$2 \pm 2$ (21)
G3	$40 \pm 1$ (21)
G4	$-17 \pm 2$ (21)
G7	$-31 \pm 5$ (21)
N1	$22 \pm 6$ (21)

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009). <sup>(b)</sup> the random error is estimated as the line width divided by line S/N; the systematic component of uncertainty (due to the wavelength calibration uncertainty) is indicated in brackets. <sup>(c)</sup> The knots listed below were recorded simultaneously in the same frame.

One interesting result concerns knots A1 to A15 (see Fig. 2 to identify the emission features discussed in the text). As can be deduced from Table 2, the southern chain of knots (A15 south, A14, A11) are red-shifted with velocities in the range  $3\text{--}50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , whereas the northern chain (A15 north, A12, A6/A7) are blue-shifted with velocities in the range  $-10\text{ to }-36 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This is fully consistent with the mm data from the CO(1–0) line (see Fig. 7 of Beltrán et al. 2009), where blue-shifted ( $-3.5\text{ to }-9.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and red-shifted ( $3.5\text{ to }9.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) emission overlap towards the strand of knots A1–A15 and split in the eastern tip, with blue-shifted emission in the north and red-shifted emission in the south. This is evident with knot A15, a V-shaped feature



**Fig. 2.** Map of the H<sub>2</sub> emission features in IC 1396N from the H<sub>2</sub> 2.12  $\mu$ m image of Beltrán et al. (2009). The knots discussed in the text are labelled. The location of the photo-ionised strip (pis) is only indicative.

that consists of two peaks that we call A15 south and A15 north. These two peaks are clearly well separated in radial velocity beyond the errors: A15 south is red-shifted and A15 north is blue-shifted. The adjoining knots A12 and A14 roughly exhibit the same velocities, suggesting that A15 south and A14 constitute a separate system from A15 north and A12. One possibility is that they are delineating a large bow shock moving eastward on the plane of the sky.

Knots C1–C17 are also consistent with CO(1–0) emission (see Fig. 2 of Beltrán et al. 2012), which delineates a cavity towards C with velocities ranging from  $\sim -10$  to  $\sim -40$  km s<sup>−1</sup> (the systemic velocity is  $\sim 0$  km s<sup>−1</sup>), the same as exhibited by the knots of H<sub>2</sub> NIR emission. Interestingly, the chain composed of knots C6, C8, and C10, facing source C of Beltrán et al. (2012), clearly consists of the most blue-shifted knots beyond the errors.

CO(1–0) emission (see Fig. 1 of Beltrán et al. 2012) indicates that knots F1–F5 should be red-shifted with radial velocities in the range 0–20 km s<sup>−1</sup>. Table 2 shows that F1 and F5 are consistent with the CO data. Nevertheless, F2 and F4 are clearly blue-shifted compared to F1 and F5 beyond the errors. This may suggest that knots F1–F5 trace an oblique shock or possibly jet precession.

For knots B1–B11, two separate chains of blue-shifted (B3, B4, and B5) and red-shifted (B7 and B8) knots are clearly identifiable. This requires further mm investigation to study the outflow structure more closely. If associated with [BGE2002] BIMA 2, as strand A is thought to be as proposed by Beltrán et al. (2009), this would confirm jet precession as suggested by its wiggled morphology.

The strand of knots G is likely to be associated with the NIR source 331 of Beltrán et al. (2009) located south-east of them. This source is also thought to originate HH 777, perpendicular to strand G, suggesting that it is a double star (Reipurth et al. 2003). The farthest knots from 331, G4 and G7, are blue-shifted, while the nearest knots become progressively more red-shifted from G1 to G3, with G3 clearly red-shifted above the errors compared to all the others. This again suggests a complex structure of the flow.

One of the slits perpendicularly encompassed a strip of emission running south-east north-west and located south-west of strand A, marking the PDR that borders the molecular cloud (hereafter the “photo-ionised strip”; it is barely visible in the bottom right corner of Fig. 1; see also Fig. 2). Interestingly, this is clearly blue-shifted compared to knots A1–A15, indicating that the heated gas in the PDR is expanding outward of the cloud.

### 3.2. Line fluxes

The knots in strand C have the largest spectral range coverage. They were observed through both grisms HK and JH. Grism KB spectra were meant to mainly study kinematics and include several knots of strands A, B, C, F, and G (see Table 2). Finally, we used grism JH to search for [Fe II] emission lines as well, observing knots in strands A, B, C, F, and G.

All detected spectra are composed of ro-vibrational lines of H<sub>2</sub>, and in only a few cases, of the forbidden lines [Fe II] at 1.257, 1.320, and 1.644  $\mu$ m. The measured fluxes are listed in Table 3 and Table 4, normalised for each knot to the flux of the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line at 2.1218  $\mu$ m in the HK and KB grism bands, and to the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(7) line at 1.7480  $\mu$ m in the JH band. We adopted the knot designation used in Beltrán et al. (2009) with a few additions as some of the line emission is from features that were not classified in Beltrán et al. (2009). Table 5 provides an updated photometry of the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line emission for the knots detected in our spectra based on the narrow-band image of Beltrán et al. (2009). This photometry can be used to calibrate the fluxes in the HK and KB grism bands (and in the JH band when the corresponding HK band spectrum is available as well). The photometry has been revised to include the knots that were previously not classified. We note that all the main physical properties of the knots can be derived from the normalised fluxes, as discussed in the next section.

### 3.3. Line ratios

The ratio of the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line at 2.1218  $\mu$ m to the 2–1 S(1) line at 2.2477  $\mu$ m ( $R_{21}$ ) is often used to distinguish between shock-excited and UV-fluorescent H<sub>2</sub> emission. Where the 2–1 line is detected (knots C, A, and G),  $R_{21} \sim 10$ . In other cases, lower limits ( $R_{21} > 3 - 5$ ) are found (see Tables 3 and 4).  $R_{21} \geq 10$  is usually considered an indication of shock excitation, although quiescent dense gas near to intense UV sources can yield high  $R_{21}$  values as well (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989). In our case, most of the knots are associated with outflows, which confirms the shock nature. For the photo-ionised strip, we obtain  $R_{21} \sim 2.1$ . This value is typical of radiative fluorescent emission at densities  $\leq 10^4$  cm<sup>−3</sup> (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989). Even an extinction  $A_V \sim 20$  would cause  $R_{21}$  to be underestimated by only  $\sim 20$  %. Another notable difference between the photo-ionised strip and the other knots is the ratio of the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line to the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(0) line at 2.2233  $\mu$ m. Most knots exhibit a value of  $\sim 3 - 5$ , whereas the strip exhibits a ratio  $\sim 1$ . Both the 1–0 S(0) and the 1–0 S(2) lines display fluxes comparable to that of the 1–0 S(1) line. The main ionising source originating in the PDR is the O5V star HD2006267. Assuming it is at a distance of  $\sim 13$  pc from the cloud, which is the projected separation between star and cloud at a distance of 910 pc, we can estimate that the intensity in the boundary radiation field is  $\sim 100$  times the average value in the solar neighbourhood (in the units used by Black & van Dishoeck 1987, with the parameters given for an O5V star by Vacca et al. 1996 and either the rela-




tion scaled to the flux at  $1000 \text{ \AA}$  given by Black & van Dishoeck 1987 or equation A6 of Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989). With this UV field, the models of Black & van Dishoeck (1987) predict intense fluorescently excited  $\text{H}_2$   $1-0$  S(0) and S(2) lines, with ratios  $S(0)/S(1)$  and  $S(2)/S(1) \sim 0.5 - 0.6$  for molecular gas densities in the range  $10^2 - 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The signal-to-noise ratio of the photo-ionised strip spectrum is too low to allow us to carry out a more detailed analysis, other than noting that it clearly looks different from the spectra of the other knots and is consistent with fluorescent excitation.


More accurate constraints on the physical conditions of the emitting gas can be obtained from the normalised fluxes (Tables 3 and 4) where a large number of  $\text{H}_2$  ro-vibrational lines are detected. Knots C1–C17 exhibit the richest spectra, with detected  $\text{H}_2$  lines from  $v = 1-0, 2-1, 2-0, 3-1, 4-2$  transitions throughout *JHK* (see Fig. 3), partly due to the good S/N we achieved. The S/N depends not only on knot brightness and exposure time, but also on how well the slit was positioned over the knots. Due to the blind pointing, we were not able to optimise the slit positions straight on the knots. This is easily seen in Fig 4, which compares the KB spectra of knots A15, B8, C14, F5, and G3. Clearly, the better the S/N, the higher the number of detected transitions. We used the  $\text{H}_2$   $1-0$  S(6), S(7), S(8), and S(9) lines at  $1.7880, 1.7480, 1.7147, \text{ and } 1.6878 \text{ \mu m}$ , respectively, to intercalibrate the *JH* and *HK* spectra of knots C1–C17. The fluxes were weighted according to their spectrophotometric errors. In a few cases, these four lines exhibit slightly different ratios between *JH* and *HK*, partly because S(6) and S(7) lie in a region in which atmospheric absorption is more critical (see Fig. A.1). However, this also indicates that the slit positions in *JH* and *HK* were slightly different. We note again that the slit was set on the image field by using fixed position angles and reference stars (the knots are too faint to set the slit directly). The complete spectrum of knot C14 is shown in Fig. 3 as an example.

In principle, line ratios of  $\text{H}_2$  transitions originating from the same upper level can be used to derive the extinction towards a knot. In our case  $1-0$  S(*i*) and  $1-0$  Q(*i* + 2), and  $2-1$  S(*i*) and  $2-0$  S(*i*) might be suitable. Unfortunately, each pair of lines provides different results because either one of the transitions is faint or falls in a region in which atmospheric correction is important, for instance, Q(*i* + 2), or the line ratio is affected by the *JH*–*HK* inter-calibration error. In addition, this computation requires that a knot has at least been observed through the HK grism. The KB grism band encloses no suitable line pairs. However, all  $\text{H}_2$  detected lines can be used to simultaneously derive the excitation temperature  $T_{\text{ex}}$  and the extinction  $A_V$  minimising the uncertainty. Assuming that the gas is thermalised, the points in a ro-vibrational diagram plotting  $\ln[I/(g_{v,J}A_{v,J})]$  versus  $E_{v,J}$  (i.e. a so-called Boltzmann plot) are aligned in a straight line provided the line flux *I* has been corrected for extinction. In our notation,  $A_{v,J}$  is the ro-vibrational transition probability,  $E_{v,J}$  is the energy of the upper level, and  $g_{v,J}$  is the statistical weight. As the line slope depends on  $T_{\text{ex}}$ , a simple linear fit, varying  $A_V$ , simultaneously provides the best approximating  $T_{\text{ex}}$  and  $A_V$ . By adopting the extinction law of Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) in the form prescribed by Nisini et al. (2005), which is based on Draine (1989), using the transition probabilities given in Turner et al. (1977) and deriving  $E_{v,J}$  from Huber & Herzberg (1979), we performed the fit for knots C1–C17 with full *JHK* coverage, using only the points with S/N > 3. These fits are shown in Fig. 5.


The obtained  $T_{\text{ex}}$  (2000–3000 K) is typical of  $\text{H}_2$  emission of knots from Class 0 and Class I sources (see e.g. Caratti o Garatti et al. 2006). To evaluate the reliability of the linear fits, we repeated them by using the lines that fell in only one of the HK,



**Fig. 3.** *HK* and *JH* spectrum of knot C14. The flux scale in *HK* (black) is normalised to the peak flux density of the  $\text{H}_2$   $1-0$  S(1) emission, and the *JH* spectrum (red) has been scaled such that line  $\text{H}_2$   $1-0$  S(7) exhibits the same normalised flux in the *JH* and *HK* spectra. The identified molecular hydrogen ro-vibrational lines are indicated.



**Fig. 4.** Comparison of the KB spectra of knots A15, B8, C14, F5, and G3. The ratio of the  $\text{H}_2$   $1-0$  S(1) peak flux density and the r.m.s. in the adjacent spectral regions is indicated in each panel.



**Fig. 5.** Ro-vibrational plots (Boltzmann plots) of the H<sub>2</sub> lines from knots C1–C17, with full *JHK* coverage. Open black squares mark transitions from the upper vibrational level  $v = 1$ , open red circles transitions from  $v = 2$ , open blue triangles transitions from  $v = 3$ , and full black triangles upper limits for various undetected transitions. The straight lines mark the best linear fits obtained for both the excitation temperature and the extinction simultaneously. The data displayed have been corrected for the extinction value providing the best fit. The obtained temperature, extinction, and reduced  $\chi^2$  are also indicated in each panel.

*JH*, and *KB* grism bands. By limiting the fit to the *HK* band, we obtained a slightly lower  $T_{\text{ex}}$  with an either similar to or at most  $\sim 2$  mag higher  $A_V$ . We note that a few spectra from which we derived  $A_V \sim 0$  yield the lowest  $\chi^2$  for negative values of  $A_V$ , which is unphysical. As sometimes this occurs when the band is limited to *HK* as well, which means that it is not always caused by the addition of the *JH* band, a possible explanation is that emission from higher vibrational levels originates in warmer gas. A gas stratification with different temperatures has been noted by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) for example. When we limit the fit to the *JH* points, we obtain significantly higher temperatures with an extinction either similar to or different by about  $\pm 2$  mag, confirming that the emission from higher vibrational levels may arise from hotter gas. By limiting the fit to the points in the *KB* grism band, we obtain slightly lower  $T_{\text{ex}}$  (by few 10 %) than when the whole *JHK* band is used, usually (but not always) with largely overestimated extinctions, provided that the vibrational 2–1 transitions are also detected. Thus, the *KB* grism band only is not suitable to constrain the extinction. This is plausible because the *KB* grism band does not contain pairs of transitions originating in the same upper level.

Another interesting feature is evident in Fig. 4. Knots A15, F5, and G3 exhibit a more intense H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3) line ( $1.9576 \mu\text{m}$ ) than the S(1) line. The knots in strand A display S(3) lines that are even a factor of  $> 2$  brighter than the S(1) line after telluric correction. J-shock (e.g. Smith 1995) and fluorescent excitation (Sternberg & Dalgarno 1989) models may both exhibit H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3) lines that are slightly brighter than the S(1) transition, but possibly not such high ratios. The telluric correction of H<sub>2</sub> 1–0

S(3) must therefore be investigated. This line falls inside a telluric CO<sub>2</sub> band (Fermi triad). Figure A.1 shows that this band causes the largest absorption between  $\sim 2.05 - 2.07 \mu\text{m}$ , far from the S(3) line. However, this region is in the wing of the deep water absorption separating the *H* and *K* bands, so it can be affected by large water vapour variations. Table C.1 compares the S(3)/S(1) line ratios, both corrected and uncorrected for telluric absorption, for all knots in each frame. For each frame, a comparison is also displayed with the telluric star spectrum used for the correction. Although a difference in atmospheric water vapour content between the telluric star and frame A observations can explain part of the line ratio, high line ratios are obtained throughout the night, regardless of the telluric spectrum. In addition, Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) found S(3)/S(1) line ratios of  $\sim 2$  for knots A1–A15 and  $\sim 1.4$  for knots B1–B11 (see their Table 22), as well as ratios  $> 1$  in several other regions. The problem may be inherent in the telluric correction method, maybe related to the intrinsic absorption hydrogen Brackett line Br8 in the telluric star spectrum near to the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3) line. In this case, the corrected H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3) line flux would be systematically overestimated for all knots. Nevertheless, we verified that there is no significant difference in telluric correction in the S(3) region between using the A0 or the G2 telluric star.

We note that line ratios from different knots in the same frame can be compared to each other regardless of the telluric correction, that is, ratios normalised to that of a reference knot do not depend on atmospheric correction. Knots A1–A15 clearly display the highest S(3)/S(1) ratios. A check of the 2D spectra that were not corrected for telluric extinction also confirms that the emission in a few of these knots is dominated by the S(1) and S(3) lines in the *KB* grism band. If knots C1–C17 are only slightly extinguished this may indicate different excitation or physical conditions for knots A1–A15. The *JH* spectra of knots A1–A15 do not exhibit H<sub>2</sub> lines in the *J* band, maybe due to extinction, and the lines in the *H* band cannot be inter-calibrated with the lines in the *KB* grism band. The photo-ionised strip also exhibits a high S(3)/S(1) ratio, which may be accounted for by the ongoing H<sub>2</sub> formation mechanism, as discussed above.

We detected [Fe II] lines only towards knots A7, A11, A12, C14, and O1, confirming the results of Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006), who clearly found [Fe II] emission at  $1.644 \mu\text{m}$  from A1, A7, A11, A10–A12, and HH 593, but not towards knots C1–C17 (see their Fig. 17). In addition, knots A7, A11, A12, and O1 do not exhibit H<sub>2</sub> lines in the *J* band. Emission in the [Fe II]  $1.644$  and  $1.257 \mu\text{m}$ , which arises from the same upper level, is intense enough to allow us to derive the extinction to those knots following the prescriptions by Pecchioli et al. (2016). For C14, both the  $1.257$  and the  $1.320 \mu\text{m}$  lines fall in a region with relatively intense H<sub>2</sub> ro-vibrational lines, making it difficult even to estimate upper limits. For the other knots, we found  $A_V$  in the range 5–10 mag, which is consistent with  $A_V = 10 \pm 5$  quoted by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) for knots A1–A15 and knots B1–B11. Thus, our detection of H<sub>2</sub> lines in the *J* band towards knots C1–C17 and their lack towards knots A1–A15 and knots B1–B11 is consistent with the hypothesis that knots C1–C17 are less extinguished than knots A1–A15 and knots B1–B11. Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) reported, based on a sample of various star-forming regions including some of knots A1–A15 and B1–B11, that bright H<sub>2</sub> lines in the *J* band are generally observed where  $A_V < 5$  and undetected where  $A_V > 10$ . On the other hand, the [Fe II] line emission and the high S(3)/S(1) ratios towards the more extinguished knots A1–A15 both clearly indicate different excitation mechanisms between knots C1–C17 and knots A1–A15 (C shocks versus J shocks?).

**Table 6.** Excitation temperature, extinction, and reduced  $\chi^2$  from fits to the  $H_2$  lines (plus  $A_V$  from [Fe II] line ratios). The listed knots can be identified in Fig. 2.

knot <sup>(a)</sup>	$T_{\text{ex}}$ (K)	$A_V$ (mag)	Reduced $\chi^2$
<i>HK + JH band<sup>(b)</sup></i>			
C6	2305 ± 180	5 ± 3	0.2
C7	2417 ± 15	0 ± 0.3	21
C10 south	2734 ± 27	0 ± 0.3	12
C12 north	2632 ± 19	0 ± 0.3	39
C14	2266 ± 10	0 ± 0.2	146
C16 north	2336 ± 31	6.3 ± 0.5	35
<i>HK band<sup>(b)</sup></i>			
C17 north	1292 ± 239	0 ± 4	1
<i>JH band<sup>(b)</sup></i>			
C15	3589 ± 221	1.2 ± 0.7	5
A7 <sup>c</sup>	–	9 ± 3	–
A11 <sup>c</sup>	–	5 ± 2	–
A12 <sup>c</sup>	–	7 ± 2	–
O1 <sup>c</sup>	–	7 ± 2	–
<i>KB grism band<sup>(b,d)</sup></i>			
C8	2215 ± 42	14 ± 1	15
C10	2246 ± 29	19 ± 1	11
east of C8–C10	2278 ± 89	4.4 ± 2.4	0.2
north-east of C14	2182 ± 59	21 ± 2	5
C14	2241 ± 31	14 ± 1	5
A7 <sup>e</sup>	2089 ± 124	0 ± 8	0.4
A15 <sup>e</sup>	2425 ± 137	0 ± 9	0.05
B8	2617 ± 285	22 ± 18	0.3
G1 <sup>e</sup>	2240 ± 275	16 ± 11	3
G2 <sup>e</sup>	2331 ± 268	7 ± 12	0.2
G3 <sup>e</sup>	2249 ± 72	0 ± 9	0.3
G4 <sup>e</sup>	2405 ± 145	5 ± 11	0.4

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009). <sup>(b)</sup> Spectra spanning the indicated band. <sup>(c)</sup>  $A_V$  from 1.644/1.257  $\mu\text{m}$  [Fe II] line ratios. <sup>(d)</sup> Fits in this band underestimate  $T_{\text{ex}}$  and overestimate  $A_V$  (see text). <sup>(e)</sup>  $H_2$  1–0 S(3) line point at 1.957  $\mu\text{m}$  not included in the fit to obtain a consistent result.

The excitation temperature and extinction derived from the fit to the  $H_2$  lines and the [Fe II] line ratios are listed in Table 6. We recall the caveats noted above when only part of the *JHK* band is available. We also note that where the  $H_2$  1–0 S(3) line is much brighter than the  $H_2$  1–0 S(1), this has not been used for the fit.

### 3.4. $H_2$ luminosities

After we derived a suitable range for extinction and excitation temperature, we used the  $H_2$  2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  line fluxes from Beltrán et al. (2009) and the ratio of flux at 2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  to total  $H_2$  ro-vibrational lines flux computed by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) assuming LTE conditions to constrain the total  $H_2$  luminosity of the various knots. From Table 6, we assumed  $T_{\text{ex}} = 2400 - 2700$  K and  $A_V$  in the range 0–2 mag for C, 5–10 mag for A and B, and 2–10 mag for G. The extinction correction is based on the reddening law by Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). As for knots C1–C17,  $A_V = 2$  appears as a conservative upper limit for most of the knots, but to estimate the extinction range of G, we took into account that the extinction is usually overestimated from fitting the  $H_2$  lines in the KB grism band alone. The ranges of total  $H_2$  luminosities, which also assume isotropic emission, are listed in Table 7. The luminosities of the single knots provided in Table D.1 were summed to derive the values in Table 7.

The total  $H_2$  luminosity of knots C1–C17 is clearly comparable to that of knots A1–A15 and B1–B11. Using the relation derived by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006), this luminosity could

**Table 7.** Total  $H_2$  luminosity obtained assuming the extinction range indicated,  $T_{\text{ex}} = 2400 - 2700$  K, LTE conditions, and isotropic emission (scaled to a distance of 910 pc). The listed knots can be identified in Fig. 2.

knot chain <sup>(a)</sup>	$L_{H_2}$ ( $L_{\odot}$ )	$A_V$ range (mag)
HH 593	$(2.1-4.1) \times 10^{-2}$	5–10
A	$(1.5-2.8) \times 10^{-1}$	5–10
B	$(2.8-5.3) \times 10^{-1}$	5–10
C	$(1.8-2.6) \times 10^{-1}$	0–2
G	$(2.4-6.2) \times 10^{-2}$	2–10

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009).

be associated with a driving source of  $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 13 - 25 L_{\odot}$ . This would indicate that the two driving sources in which knots C1–C17 originate, source C and source I (Beltrán et al. 2012), are solar-mass protostars, or even sub-solar mass protostars if the emission towards C is enhanced due to the outflow collision. This is also consistent with the outflow mechanical luminosities derived from CO(1–0) by Beltrán et al. (2012). When the same relation is applied to this, the driving source producing strand G should have a bolometric luminosity of  $0.4-2 L_{\odot}$ , that is, it would be a low-mass protostar.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Strands A and B

The most remarkable result from the kinematical information (see Sect. 3.1) are the nearby knots in the same strand with significantly different radial velocities (strands A, B, and G). The simplest inference is that these jets lie roughly on the plane of the sky and do not indicate an overlap of jets from different driving sources.

In this respect, the most notable example is probably knot A15, which resembles a bow shock whose wings exhibit different radial velocities, as described above. We compared A15 with the 3D models of bow shocks by Gustafsson et al. (2010). The knot spans  $\sim 4''$  in width, which means  $\sim 3600$  au at a distance of 910 pc. Assuming that each of the wings is  $\sim 1''$  in radius, which is an upper limit, we obtain from Table 5 that A15 north, for instance, has a mean brightness of  $\sim 3.5 - 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$  (which is a lower limit) after correcting for  $A_V = 5 - 10$ . This is consistent with a bow shock seen almost edge-on that propagates in a medium with a density of  $\sim 10^4 - 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  with a velocity of  $40 - 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Both the excitation temperature ( $\sim 2400$  K, see Table 6) and the 2–1 S(1)/1–0 S(1) ratio ( $\sim 0.17$  in the north and  $\sim 0.14$  in the south, see Table 3) are accounted for by the models as well. Gustafsson et al. (2010) also predicted that the wings of the bow shock exhibit a different radial velocity if the magnetic field does not lie in the plane of the sky. The larger difference arises when the projected magnetic field is roughly perpendicular to the direction of propagation. The projected magnetic field derived by Soam et al. (2018) is even almost parallel to the apparent axis of A15, but this holds for the edge of the cloud, so it is likely that the orientation inside the cloud may be different. Most of the cases shown in Gustafsson et al. (2010) adopt a magnetic field strength of  $500 - 1600 \mu\text{G}$  (in the density range of our interest), whereas Soam et al. (2018) estimated a magnetic field of  $220 \mu\text{G}$  towards IC 1396N. Again, this estimate holds at the tip of the cloud, not in the inner regions. Thus the main problem is that the velocity difference predicted for the cases examined by Gustafsson et al. (2010) is lower than  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , while we




can deduce a difference of few times  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  from Table 2. In addition, the model parameter space should be explored in more detail to determine not only whether this velocity difference can be matched, but also whether the structure on a slightly larger scale, with the knots trailing A15 north and A15 south exhibiting a similar velocity difference, can be reproduced. Interestingly, if A15 represents a real bow shock, then its axis points towards a position in between [BGE2002] BIMA 2 and [BGE2002] BIMA 3, rather than towards [BGE2002] BIMA 2, which is the driving source that is clearly indicated by the mm outflow.

The knots in the south-western part of strand A (A1, A4, A8, and A11) exhibit the same radial velocity within the errors, a value between the extremes of A15 north and A15 south. When the systematic error is considered, this value is consistent with the velocity of the blue lobe of the outflow seen at mm wavelengths that overlaps the strand (see Fig. 6, adapted from Fig. 7a of Beltrán et al. 2009). This suggests that these knots are associated with the same flow that causes A15. [Fe II] line emission has been observed towards some of the knots, indicating the presence of J shocks in a few areas; in particular, Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) also found emission ahead of A15 north, as expected if this bow-shock knot represents the apex of the flow. Neither Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006) nor we detected [Fe II] line emission towards A15 south.

An alternative explanation for the different radial velocities of A15 north and south is jet rotation. The peaks of the two knots are  $\sim 2''$  apart and the difference in radial velocity is  $> 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (a lower limit that takes the systematic error into account and assumes that the outflow lies on the plane of the sky). This would indicate a rotation velocity of  $> 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at a distance  $\sim 1''$  (i.e. 910 au) from the outflow axis. Such a high rotational speed so far away from the driving source would suggest that a rotational signature should be detected in the mm outflow near to the source as well. Figure 6 (or Fig. 7 of Beltrán et al. 2009) indeed shows that blue-shifted and red-shifted mm emission do not overlap near [BGE2002] BIMA 2. However, if this were the case, rotation would occur in the opposite direction compared to what is derived from knot A15. In addition, purely hydrodynamic simulations of rotating jets do not reproduce the morphology of strand A and indicate that jet rotation signatures are only preserved close to the driving source (Smith & Rosen 2007). Since a new analysis of the old mm data is beyond the scope of this work, we just note that the available NIR and mm observations both show how complex the scenario towards strand A is. Further high-resolution observations are required.

Strand B is located east of strand A and is composed of a chain of knots that is roughly aligned in an east-west direction. The radial velocity increases from west to east, ranging from  $\sim -15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (knot B3) to  $\sim 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (knot B8). Even when the systematic error is taken into account, these radial velocities are close to the systemic velocity, indicating that the knots move near to the plane of the sky. This would confirm that strand B may be part of the same outflow system that causes strand A, as suggested in the literature (Beltrán et al. 2009). Other similarities between B and A are the H<sub>2</sub> luminosity (B is roughly twice as luminous as A, see Table 7) and the extinction range. Strand B exhibits a wiggled morphology that may result from jet precession, which would explain the radial velocity variations along the strand as well. The strand is  $\sim 1'$  in length (i.e.  $\sim 0.26 \text{ pc}$ , or  $\sim 54000 \text{ au}$ , at 910 pc) and assuming a projected velocity of  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  this results in a precession period of  $< 2600 \text{ yr}$ .

If strands A and B are caused by the same jet (driven by [BGE2002] BIMA 2), then the jet is precessing. This link is in principle indicated by the mm CO emission outlining the out-



**Fig. 6.** Best fit of the projected morphology of a precessing jet as parametrised by Eisloffel et al. (1996) to the locations of the knots in strands A and B, overlaid with the H<sub>2</sub> 2.12  $\mu\text{m}$  image (continuum subtracted) in grey scale and CO ( $J = 1 - 0$ ) emission integrated in the  $[\pm 3.5, \pm 9.5] \text{ km s}^{-1}$  velocity interval in red and blue contours (adapted from Fig. 7a of Beltrán et al. 2009). The crosses mark the location of the compact radio sources [BGE2002] BIMA 1, 2, and 3.

**Table 8.** Jet parameters obtained from fitting the function by Eisloffel et al. (1996) to the locations of knots A1–A15 and/or B1–B11. We always assumed [BGE2002] BIMA 2 as the driving source.

Strand fit	$\chi^2$	$\psi$ ( $^\circ$ )	$\alpha$ ( $^\circ$ )	$\lambda$ ( $''$ )	$\chi_0$ ( $^\circ$ )	$T^{(a)}$ (yr)
A	0.15	-82	18	44	35	1899
B	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	-71	4	128	108	5525
A and B	0.32	-77	9	32	-51	1380

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Obtained from  $\lambda$  by assuming a jet velocity of  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

flow, which stretches from A to B but does not overlap B (see Fig. 6, adapted from Fig. 7a of Beltrán et al. 2009). To further study this scenario, we tried fitting the function given by Eisloffel et al. (1996) to the positions of the knots in strands A and B from Beltrán et al. (2009). The free parameters in this simple model are the precession amplitude  $\alpha$ , the precession length scale  $\lambda$ , the position angle  $\psi$  of the outflow axis on the plane of the sky, and the initial phase  $\phi_0$  at the driving source. As shown in Fig. 6, our best fit does not account simultaneously for the spatial arrangement of the two strands. We tried to constrain some of the free parameters as well, but were unable to find a better visual agreement. In Table 8 we list the parameters obtained from the fits to strand A, to strand B, and to strand A and B simultaneously, all assuming [BGE2002] BIMA 2 as the driving source. Clearly, if [BGE2002] BIMA 2 did cause strands A and B, then a simple jet precession is not sufficient to explain their locations. One possible scenario is that an event between the ejection of B and A has resulted in changing the jet position angle, increasing the amplitude and decreasing the timescale of the precession (see Table 8). This would require a close encounter of [BGE2002] BIMA 2 with another stellar object during an accretion phase (Bate et al. 2000), for example. Another scenario is that strand A is caused by the interaction of two or more jets from different driving sources. Finally, A and B could have been caused by two different driving sources. At present, our data do not allow us to distinguish between these three possible interpretations.

#### 4.2. Other emission features

The chain of knots G exhibits a radial velocity pattern similar to that of strand B. The knots are aligned in a south-east to north-west direction, and the radial velocity increases from knot G1 ( $-7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) to G3 ( $40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), then decreases again to G7 ( $-31 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). These values oscillate around a value close to the systemic velocity (i.e.  $\sim 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), even when the systematic errors are taken into account, suggesting that in this case, the outflow also lies close to the plane of the sky. The most likely explanation is jet precession or the orbital motion of the driving source in a double system (e.g. Estalella et al. 2012). If the knots are much closer than strand B to the driving source, we can expect that a wiggled morphology has not yet developed above the spatial resolution of the available images. Using the same computation as for B, we obtain a precession period of  $\sim 1300 \text{ yr}$ . Strand G has commonly been associated with the infrared source HH 777/IRS331, which also seems to drive a perpendicular outflow originating the Herbig-Haro object HH 777 (Beltrán et al. 2009). If this is the case, HH 777/IRS331 would be a double system, and jet precession or the effects of orbital motion are both plausible.

Strand F is associated with the red lobe of the outflow from source I (Beltrán et al. 2012), and its knots are therefore expected to exhibit radial velocities in the range  $\sim 0 - 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (see Fig. 2 of Beltrán et al. 2012). Although the radial velocity of knots F1 and F5 agrees, the knots in between, F2 and F4, are clearly blue-shifted with radial velocities of  $-11$  and  $-33 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , respectively. On the other hand, knots C1–C17 are associated with the blue lobes of the outflows from sources I and C and display radial velocities in the range  $-8$  to  $-47 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , in agreement with the radial velocities obtained from the mm emission. The pattern in the radial velocities of knots F1–F5 is not easily interpreted as jet precession. Alternatively, it could be due to oblique shocks developing due to gas inhomogeneities. The detailed pattern exhibited by knots C1–C17 is more difficult to interpret as this region results from the overlap (possibly the collision) of the two different outflows. This pattern is explored in detail in a twin paper (López et al. 2022) using proper motion determination.

We note that the difference (i.e. not the single values) in radial velocities between knots in the same strand never exceeds the critical speed for  $\text{H}_2$  dissociation (e.g. Gustafsson et al. 2010), which confirms that each strand represents one single system and did not originate from the overlap of different jets.

The most notable result from the excitation analysis of the  $\text{H}_2$  emission from the knots in strand C is that they appear to be less extincted than the other features in the cloud. This suggests that they are closer to the cloud surface facing the observer. We detected  $[\text{Fe II}]$  emission only towards knot C14, the brightest in the strand (see Table 5), although we would expect more widespread  $[\text{Fe II}]$  emission if the outflow-collision scenario were correct. In this respect, a clue might come from the presence of higher temperature gas, which unfortunately is only hinted at by our data.

The only other knot in which we detected  $[\text{Fe II}]$  emission is O1, which also exhibits a high  $\text{H}_2$  1–0 S(3)/S(1) flux ratio, as found towards A. It is a highly reddened ( $A_V \sim 7$ ) red-shifted ( $\sim 22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) isolated feature aligned with strand F. This is consistent with O1 being a terminal shock associated with the red lobe of the outflow driven by source I.

The photo-ionised strip borders the south-western rim of the dark optical nebula (see e.g. Fig. 1 of Codella et al. 2001) and is roughly perpendicular to the direction to HD20626. A patch of blue-shifted molecular gas was observed towards this part of the dark nebula (see Fig. 3 of Codella et al. 2001); its radial velocity,

$\sim -5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , coincides with the radial velocity we measured for the strip (see Table 2) within the errors. Its  $\text{H}_2$  emission therefore marks the PDR facing the ionising source, seen edge-on. Its blue-shifted radial velocity is likely to be the signature of a photo-evaporation process. However, a PDR should expand at the sound speed of the warm gas (a few  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ ; Gorti & Hollenbach 2002), hence the  $\text{H}_2$  radial velocity we found, if confirmed, cannot be accounted for by gas expansion alone.

#### 4.3. Jets from sources in orbital motion

An alternative to jet precession is that the driving source is in orbital motion in a double system, as we described above. Although in some cases the two scenarios may be hardly distinguishable (e.g. see Ferrero et al. 2022), we can show that this can probably be ruled out for strand A. Based on the simple formulation by Masciadri & Raga (2002), if the driving source is located towards [BGE2002] BIMA 2, we can estimate the orbital radius  $r_0$  from their Eq. 13 (under the assumption of a circular orbit) deriving  $\Delta z$  from our Fig. 6 ( $\sim 35''$ ) and a lower limit for  $k = \tan \alpha$  from Table 8 ( $\alpha \sim 9^\circ$ ). Then, we can estimate a minimum mass  $M_p$  for the driving source from their Eq. 1, using a period  $T \sim 2000 \text{ yr}$  from Table 8 and noting that  $M_p$  is minimum when  $M_p/M_s = 1$  ( $M_p \geq M_s$ ). We obtain  $M_p \lesssim 500 M_\odot$ , which is clearly inconsistent. We conclude that the morphology of strand A cannot be produced by a driving source that coincides with [BGE2002] BIMA 2 and is in orbital motion.

### 5. Summary and conclusions

We have presented long-slit NIR  $J$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$  spectroscopy of the  $\text{H}_2$  outflows reported by Beltrán et al. (2009) in the bright-rimmed cloud IC 1396N. The analysis of the spectra allowed us to derive physical properties (from the grisms spanning the  $JH$  and  $HK$  bands with  $R \sim 500$  and the grism spanning the  $K$  band with  $R \sim 1200$ ) and kinematics (from the grism spanning the  $K$  band with  $R \sim 1200$ ) for the knots encompassed by the slit (using several pointings and position angles). The main results are listed below.

1. The spectra of the jet knots show a set of ro-vibrational lines of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $[\text{Fe II}]$  forbidden lines. The  $[\text{Fe II}]$  lines are only detected towards knots A7, A11, A12, C14, and O1.
2. We derived the radial velocity of the jet knots from the  $\text{H}_2$  1–0 S(1) transition. In addition, we verified that the radial velocities derived from the S(0) and S(2) transitions (where these lines are detected with a good S/N) are consistent within the errors with the S(1) results.
3. We found blue-shifted and red-shifted radial velocity values ranging from  $-40$  to  $+50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For the strands of knots A and C, the blue-shifted and red-shifted emission is fully consistent with the mm data from the CO emission of Beltrán et al. (2009) and Beltrán et al. (2012).
4. Knots F1–F5 lie in the red-shifted lobe of one of the CO outflow. We found red-shifted velocities for knots F1 and F5, in agreement with CO data, while F2 and F4 have blue-shifted velocities. Knots B1–B11 and G1–G7 show two separate chains of blue-shifted and red-shifted knots.
5. The simultaneous presence of blue-shifted and red-shifted knots in the same strands indicates that the outflows roughly lie on the plane of the sky. It also suggests that jet precession is ubiquitous in the region, as was confirmed by the wiggling morphology of strand B, for example.

6. A photo-ionised strip, located south-west of strand A, marks the edge-on PDR at the side of the cloud facing the ionising star and displays a blue-shifted velocity, which may (at least partly) be accounted for by gas photo-evaporation.
7. The H<sub>2</sub> line ratios 1–0 S(1) to 2–1 S(1), and 1–0 S(1) to 1–0 S(0) were used to distinguish between shock-excited and UV-fluorescent mechanisms giving rise to the H<sub>2</sub> emission. The ratios we found for the knots of the chains we mapped are consistent with shock excitation as expected for the emission of knots associated with H<sub>2</sub> outflows. These line ratios are significantly different for the photo-ionised strip south-west of the chain of knots A, which confirms a fluorescent mechanism of the emission.
8. We used all the H<sub>2</sub> detected lines to simultaneously derive the excitation temperature ( $T_{\text{ex}}$ ) and the extinction ( $A_V$ ) assuming that the gas is thermalised. The  $T_{\text{ex}}$  obtained (2000–3000 K) is typical of H<sub>2</sub> jet knots from Class 0 and Class I sources. We found that the chain of knots C has a lower extinction ( $A_V$  in the range of 0–2 mag) than chains A, B (5–10 mag), and G (2–10 mag). This is consistent with the lack of detection of H<sub>2</sub> lines in the *J* band toward knots A1–A15 and B1–B11, while these lines are detected in knots C1–C17. In addition, the higher-excitation lines indicate higher-temperature layers of gas.
9. The detection of [Fe II] lines and the high H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3)/S(1) ratios towards the more extincted knots of the A chain and O1 indicate that different excitation mechanisms (C shocks versus J shocks) cause the emission from knots C1–C17, O1, and A1–A15. However, because the H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3) wavelength coincides with a spectral region in which atmospheric extinction is an issue, the ratio H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(3)/S(1) in these (and similar other features in a number of regions) deserves further investigation. Our data strongly suggest that the high values of this ratio are real.
10. We derived the total H<sub>2</sub> luminosity of the knots, assuming LTE conditions and the ranges of  $A_V$  and  $T_{\text{ex}}$  found previously. The luminosities of strand C are consistent with the hypothesis that the mm sources I and C of Beltrán et al. (2009) are solar or sub-solar mass (proto)stars.
11. We found that a simple precession model cannot account for the hypothesis that strands A and B both originate from source [BGE2002] BIMA 2, as suggested in the literature. We also ruled out that the outflow morphology results from a driving source in orbital motion towards [BGE2002] BIMA 2, which would need to possess an inconsistently high mass. This indicates either a more complex scenario or that these strands are not produced by the same driving source.
12. By comparing strand A with numerical 3D models, we determined that the eastern tip of the strand is consistent with a bow shock leading an outflowing parcel of gas. Knot O1 is likely to represent a terminal shock associated with strand F and the red lobe of the outflow from mm source I.

Further high spatial-resolution mm observations are needed to study the relation (if any) between strands A and B and find out the exact origin of the radial velocity oscillations displayed within some of the strands.


**Acknowledgements.** This work has been partially supported by the Spanish MINECO grants AYA2014-57369-C3 and AYA2017-84390-C2 (co-funded with FEDER funds) and MDM-2014-0369 of ICCUB (Unidad de Excelencia ‘María de Maeztu’). We would like to thank the referee, A. Raga, for his insightful comments.

## References

- Baffa, C., Comoretto, G., Gennari, S., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 378, 722  
 Bate, M. R., Bonnell, I. A., Clarke, C. J., et al. 2000, *MNRAS*, 317, 773  
 Beltrán, M. T., Girart, J. M., Estalella, R., Ho, P. T. P., & Palau, A. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 246  
 Beltrán, M. T., Massi, F., Fontani, F., Codella, C., & López, R. 2012, *A&A*, 542, L26  
 Beltrán, M. T., Massi, F., López, R., Girart, J. M., & Estalella, R. 2009, *A&A*, 504, 97  
 Black, J. H. & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1987, *ApJ*, 322, 412  
 Caratti o Garatti, A., Giannini, T., Nisini, B., & Lorenzetti, D. 2006, *A&A*, 449, 1077  
 Choudhury, R., Mookerjee, B., & Bhatt, H. C. 2010, *ApJ*, 717, 1067  
 Codella, C., Bachiller, R., Nisini, B., Saraceno, P., & Testi, L. 2001, *A&A*, 376, 271  
 Draine, B. T. 1989, in *Infrared Spectroscopy in Astronomy*, ed. E. Böhm-Vitense, 93  
 Eisloffel, J., Smith, M. D., Davis, C. J., & Ray, T. P. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 2086  
 Estalella, R., López, R., Anglada, G., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 144, 61  
 Ferrero, L. V., Günthardt, G., García, L., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 657, A110  
 Getman, K. V., Feigelson, E. D., Garmire, G., Broos, P., & Wang, J. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 316  
 Gorti, U. & Hollenbach, D. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 215  
 Gustafsson, M., Ravkilde, T., Kristensen, L. E., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 513, A5  
 Hollenbach, D. & McKee, C. F. 1979, *ApJS*, 41, 555  
 Hollenbach, D. & McKee, C. F. 1980, *ApJ*, 241, L47  
 Hollenbach, D. & McKee, C. F. 1989, *ApJ*, 342, 306  
 Huber, K. P. & Herzberg, G. 1979, *Spectral and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules* (New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold)  
 Kaufman, M. J. & Neufeld, D. A. 1996, *ApJ*, 456, 611  
 López, R., Estalella, R., Beltrán, M. T., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 661, A106  
 Maiolino, R., Rieke, G. H., & Rieke, M. J. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 537  
 Maíz Apellániz, J. & Barbá, R. H. 2020, *A&A*, 636, A28  
 Masciadri, E. & Raga, A. C. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, 733  
 Massi, F., Codella, C., Brand, J., di Fabrizio, L., & Wouterloot, J. G. A. 2008, *A&A*, 490, 1079  
 Nisini, B., Bacciotti, F., Giannini, T., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 441, 159  
 Nisini, B., Massi, F., Vitali, F., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 376, 553  
 Noll, S., Kausch, W., Barden, M., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 543, A92  
 Pecchioli, T., Sanna, N., Massi, F., & Oliva, E. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 073001  
 Reipurth, B., Armond, T., Raga, A., & Bally, J. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L47  
 Rieke, G. H. & Lebofsky, M. J. 1985, *ApJ*, 288, 618  
 Roueff, E., Abgrall, H., Czachorowski, P., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 630, A58  
 Rousselot, P., Lidman, C., Cuby, J. G., Moreels, G., & Monnet, G. 2000, *A&A*, 354, 1134  
 Smith, M. D. 1995, *A&A*, 296, 789  
 Smith, M. D. & Rosen, A. 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 691  
 Soam, A., Maheswar, G., Lee, C. W., Neha, S., & Kim, K.-T. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 4782  
 Sternberg, A. & Dalgarno, A. 1989, *ApJ*, 338, 197  
 Sugitani, K., Fukui, Y., & Ogura, K. 1991, *ApJS*, 77, 59  
 Sugitani, K., Tamura, M., Nakaya, H., et al. 2002, in 8th Asian-Pacific Regional Meeting, Volume II, ed. S. Ikeuchi, J. Hearnshaw, & T. Hanawa, 213–214  
 Turner, J., Kirby-Docken, K., & Dalgarno, A. 1977, *ApJS*, 35, 281  
 Vacca, W. D., Cushing, M. C., & Rayner, J. T. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 389  
 Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, 914  
 Zapata, L. A., Fernández-López, M., Rodríguez, L. F., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 239  
 Zucker, C., Speagle, J. S., Schlafly, E. F., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 633, A51

## Appendix A: Atmospheric transmission

We used the *ESO Advanced Cerro Paranal Sky Model*<sup>2</sup> (Noll et al. 2012) to build a picture of the atmospheric transmission in the *JHK* bands and identify the more critical wavelength ranges for spectroscopy (Fig. A.1). Lines falling in the wings of the water telluric absorption features separating the *JHK* bands clearly need to be considered with caution, especially because the transmission in these regions can be highly variable.



**Fig. A.1.** Atmospheric transmission in the bands spanned by grism HK (top panel) and JH (bottom panel). The vertical bars indicate the wavelengths of the  $H_2$  lines (black) and  $[Fe II]$  lines (red) discussed in the main text. The yellow areas mark the wavelength ranges within which correction for atmospheric extinction may exhibit large errors. The range spanned by grism KB is limited by the vertical dashed lines.

## Appendix B: Telluric correction

We performed a simple test of the accuracy of our telluric correction by dividing pairs of 1D spectra of the same telluric standard star taken at different times during the same night. This clearly removes the intrinsic stellar spectrum, and the obtained curve,  $r(\lambda) [= T_1(\lambda)/T_2(\lambda)]$ , allows mapping the differences in flux ratios between two wavelengths when the telluric correction curve derived from one of the two stellar spectra rather than the other is used. In particular, if a wavelength  $\lambda_a$  is selected as a reference (we used  $2.1 \mu m$  for grisms KB and HK, and  $1.7 \mu m$  for grism JH), we can derive the difference in the flux ratio  $R_i(\lambda_a, \lambda_b) [= S(\lambda_b)/S(\lambda_a)]$  of two hypothetical lines centred at  $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda_b$  when using either the first ( $R_1$ ) or the second ( $R_2$ ) stellar spectrum to correct for a target spectrum. The quantity  $r(\lambda)$  typically has an average value  $\sim 1$  and exhibits small oscillations or even a slight gradient. The average value is not relevant for the line ratios because its variations are due to seeing changes, pointing inaccuracies, different airmasses, and so on during the two integrations on the telluric stars. The average value does not affect the line ratios because

$$\frac{r(\lambda_a)}{r(\lambda_b)} = \frac{R_1(\lambda_a, \lambda_b)}{R_2(\lambda_a, \lambda_b)} \quad (B.1)$$

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/skytools/skymodel>

yields the relative difference in the ratios of flux at  $\lambda_b$  and at our reference wavelength  $\lambda_a$  between the two corrections.

Standard spectral ratios  $r$  between pairs of stellar spectra in the same ABBA cycle indicate flux ratio changes of at most  $\sim 5\%$  in the HK and KB grism bands, and  $\sim 10\%$  in the JH band. Thus, we averaged all four 1D spectra from any ABBA cycle before constructing the associated telluric correction curve (Sect. 2). No significant difference is found between the correction spectra obtained from the A0V and the G2V stars. Finally, even the ratios  $r$  obtained from the average spectra taken at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of a night, point to maximum line ratio differences of  $\sim 10\%$  when both lines fall outside the regions of strong telluric absorption (see Fig. A.1), particularly water.

The telluric lines or bands at  $\sim 1.24 - 1.28 \mu m$  ( $O_2$ ),  $\sim 1.56 - 1.6 \mu m$  ( $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ ),  $\sim 2 - 2.08 \mu m$  ( $CO_2$ ),  $\sim 1.65 - 1.7 \mu m$  and  $\sim 2.24 - 2.3 \mu m$  ( $CH_4$ ) showed variations during the same night that are mostly related with the airmass, as expected. The maximum flux ratio changes were found for  $\lambda_b$  coinciding with the wavelength of the peak of the strongest  $CO_2$  absorption line at  $\sim 2 \mu m$  ( $\sim 30\%$  for an airmass difference of  $\sim 0.4$ ) and for  $\lambda_b$  inside the range of the  $O_2$  band at  $\sim 1.24 - 1.28 \mu m$  ( $\sim 25\%$  for an airmass difference of  $\sim 0.4$ ).

## Appendix C: Telluric correction at the edge of the KB grism band

A puzzling result is the high value of the ratio  $H_2$  1–0 S(3)/S(1) measured towards some of the knots. Table C.1 compares the ratios before and after telluric correction for every knot in each KB grism frame and lists their relation with the telluric standard star we used.

**Table C.1.** Details of the telluric correction of the  $H_2$  1–0 S(3) line in the KB grism band. The listed knots can be identified in Fig. 2.

knot <sup>(a)</sup>	Uncorrected 1–0 S(3)/S(1) line ratio	Corrected <sup>(b)</sup> 1–0 S(3)/S(1) line ratio
frame A <sup>(c)</sup> , exposure start UT 21:54, airmass 1.32 position angle 52°5		
telluric standard HIP 109079, exposure start UT 20:54, airmass 1.65 position angle 0°		
A1	0.26	1.7
A4	0.26	1.6
A8	0.30	2.2
A11	0.4	3.1
A14	0.26	1.7
A15 south	0.41	2.7
A16	0.65	3.9
photo-ionised strip	0.45	2.6
frame B <sup>(c)</sup> , exposure start UT 22:34, airmass 1.25 position angle 80°5		
telluric standard HIP 109079, exposure start UT 00:00, airmass 1.07 position angle 55°		
A12	0.59	2.4
A15 north	0.36	1.53
B7	0.18	0.95
B8	0.16	0.78
frame C <sup>(c)</sup> , exposure start UT 23:14, airmass 1.19 position angle 94°5		
telluric standard HIP 109079, exposure start UT 00:00, airmass 1.07 position angle 55°		
C6	0.26	1.14
C8	0.18	0.8
unclassified east of C8/C10	0.24	0.96
C10	0.18	0.73
C14	0.18	0.77
unclassified north-east of C14	0.15	0.67
C16	0.07	0.30
frame F <sup>(c)</sup> , exposure start UT 02:39, airmass 1.24 position angle 125°		
telluric standard HIP 109079, exposure start UT 04:30, airmass 1.34 position angle 100°		
C1	0.19	< 1.3
F1	0.4	1.9
F2	0.37	1.6
F4	0.26	1.3
F5	0.32	1.5
O1	0.55	2.6
frame G <sup>(c)</sup> , exposure start UT 03:07, airmass 1.30 position angle 130°		
telluric standard HIP 109079, exposure start UT 04:30, airmass 1.34 position angle 100°		
A6/A7	0.32	1.56
plateau A7	0.5	2.3
G1	0.35	1.7
G2	0.31	1.3
G3	0.43	1.9
G4	0.41	2.0
G7	0.26	1.2

**Notes** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009). <sup>(b)</sup> Corrected for telluric absorption using for each frame the standard star observation summarised below the indicated frame name. <sup>(c)</sup> The knots listed below have been recorded simultaneously in the same frame.

## Appendix D: L<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> of single knots

Table D.1 lists the total luminosity in H<sub>2</sub> ro-vibrational transitions of single knots derived from the photometry of H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) line emission at 2.12  $\mu$ m given by Beltrán et al. (2009). The computation assumes the  $T_{\text{ex}}$  and  $A_V$  ranges deduced in Sect. 3.3 through linear fits in the Boltzmann plots to the detected H<sub>2</sub> lines or through [Fe II] line ratios, the extinction law of Rieke & Lebofsky (1985), LTE conditions, and isotropic emission from the knots. We used the relation between total H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> 1–0 S(1) fluxes in LTE as a function of  $T_{\text{ex}}$  computed by Caratti o Garatti et al. (2006).

**Table D.1.** Range of total H<sub>2</sub> luminosities obtained assuming the extinction range indicated,  $T_{\text{ex}} = 2400 - 2700$  K, LTE conditions, and isotropic emission (scaled to a distance of 910 pc). The listed knots can be identified in Fig. 2.

Knot <sup>(a)</sup>	Lower limit		Upper limit	
	$L_{\text{H}_2}$ ( $10^{-3}L_{\odot}$ )	$A_V$ (mag)	$L_{\text{H}_2}$ ( $10^{-3}L_{\odot}$ )	$A_V$ (mag)
HH 593A	5.02 $\pm$ 0.07	5	11.5 $\pm$ 0.1	10
HH 593B	6.09 $\pm$ 0.09	5	11.9 $\pm$ 0.1	10
HH 593C	4.36 $\pm$ 0.07	5	8.5 $\pm$ 0.1	10
HH 593D	6.04 $\pm$ 0.10	5	11.8 $\pm$ 0.1	10
A1	13.40 $\pm$ 0.15	5	26.4 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A2	3.97 $\pm$ 0.12	5	7.8 $\pm$ 0.1	10
A3	4.78 $\pm$ 0.09	5	9.4 $\pm$ 0.1	10
A4	9.1 $\pm$ 0.1	5	18.0 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A5	7.2 $\pm$ 0.1	5	14.0 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A6	3.9 $\pm$ 0.1	5	7.5 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A7	17.7 $\pm$ 0.1	5	34.7 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A8	3.9 $\pm$ 0.1	5	7.7 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A9	5.0 $\pm$ 0.1	5	9.7 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A10	9.7 $\pm$ 0.1	5	19.0 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A11	14.1 $\pm$ 0.3	5	27.5 $\pm$ 0.4	10
A12	14.1 $\pm$ 0.1	5	27.5 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A13	3.30 $\pm$ 0.09	5	6.5 $\pm$ 0.1	10
A14	7.9 $\pm$ 0.1	5	15.5 $\pm$ 0.3	10
A15	28.0 $\pm$ 0.1	5	54.6 $\pm$ 0.3	10
B1	13.0 $\pm$ 0.3	5	25.5 $\pm$ 0.4	10
B2	37.8 $\pm$ 0.3	5	74.2 $\pm$ 0.6	10
B3	12.2 $\pm$ 0.1	5	24.0 $\pm$ 0.3	10
B4	36.2 $\pm$ 0.3	5	71.0 $\pm$ 0.4	10
B5	55.9 $\pm$ 0.3	5	109.5 $\pm$ 0.4	10
B6	19.4 $\pm$ 0.1	5	38.1 $\pm$ 0.3	10
B7	28.0 $\pm$ 0.1	5	54.8 $\pm$ 0.3	10
B8	53.7 $\pm$ 0.3	5	105.4 $\pm$ 0.4	10
B9	5.0 $\pm$ 0.1	5	9.7 $\pm$ 0.1	10
B10	5.9 $\pm$ 0.1	5	11.6 $\pm$ 0.3	10
B11	5.8 $\pm$ 0.1	5	11.3 $\pm$ 0.1	10
C1	0.91 $\pm$ 0.07	0	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1	2
C2	1.74 $\pm$ 0.04	0	2.50 $\pm$ 0.06	2
C3	1.47 $\pm$ 0.04	0	2.12 $\pm$ 0.07	2
C4	1.65 $\pm$ 0.06	0	2.34 $\pm$ 0.07	2
C5	7.26 $\pm$ 0.04	0	10.41 $\pm$ 0.06	2
C6	13.57 $\pm$ 0.04	0	19.49 $\pm$ 0.06	2
C7	18.21 $\pm$ 0.06	0	26.13 $\pm$ 0.07	2
C8	18.42 $\pm$ 0.06	0	26.46 $\pm$ 0.09	2
C9	5.02 $\pm$ 0.06	0	7.23 $\pm$ 0.09	2
C10	14.84 $\pm$ 0.07	0	21.30 $\pm$ 0.09	2
C11	15.18 $\pm$ 0.07	0	21.8 $\pm$ 0.1	2
C12	10.73 $\pm$ 0.06	0	15.40 $\pm$ 0.07	2
C13	16.77 $\pm$ 0.07	0	24.06 $\pm$ 0.09	2
C14	26.65 $\pm$ 0.06	0	38.29 $\pm$ 0.07	2
C15	4.95 $\pm$ 0.09	0	7.1 $\pm$ 0.1	2
C16	19.26 $\pm$ 0.07	0	27.7 $\pm$ 0.1	2
C17	2.9 $\pm$ 0.4	0	4.1 $\pm$ 0.6	2
G1	1.24 $\pm$ 0.03	2	3.3 $\pm$ 0.1	10
G2	2.91 $\pm$ 0.04	2	7.8 $\pm$ 0.1	10
G3	9.01 $\pm$ 0.07	2	24.1 $\pm$ 0.1	10
G4	3.37 $\pm$ 0.06	2	9.0 $\pm$ 0.1	10
G5	1.09 $\pm$ 0.03	2	2.94 $\pm$ 0.09	10
G6	2.00 $\pm$ 0.06	2	5.3 $\pm$ 0.1	10
G7	3.74 $\pm$ 0.09	2	10.0 $\pm$ 0.1	10
O1	5.78 $\pm$ 0.1	7 $\pm$ 2	...	...

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Following the notation used in Beltrán et al. (2009).