

Off-shell probes of the Higgs Yukawa couplings: light quarks and charm

Natascia Vignaroli

Dipartimento di Fisica “E. Pancini”, Università di Napoli Federico II
and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, via Cinthia, 80126 Napoli (NA), Italy

Abstract

We review the present status and the future prospects for the measurements of the Higgs Yukawa couplings to light quarks and charm. A special focus is given to new proposed off-shell probes, which offer promising and complementary opportunities to test light quark Yukawas in triboson final states. Additionally, a new off-shell strategy to test the charm Yukawa coupling in the final state with two bosons plus a charm and a jet is considered. First estimates for the HL-LHC and the FCC-hh sensitivities on the channel are presented, showing encouraging results.

1 The Higgs Yukawa couplings

The discovery of the Higgs boson at CERN ten years ago [1, 2] marked a breakthrough in our understanding of the physics of fundamental interactions, confirming the existence of the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism for the electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). However, it leaves us with unresolved questions in the Standard Model (SM), above all the non-explanation of the origin of the EWSB, the precarious nature of the associated potential, the unknown origin of the neutrino masses, the Higgs naturalness problem and the mysterious pattern of Yukawa couplings. The investigation of the properties of the Higgs, including its interactions, and in general of the EWSB sector is the primary objective of the LHC, which is approaching the upgrade to its high luminosity phase (HL-LHC) [3], as well as that of future planned experiments at the energy frontiers, e.g. the FCC [4–6], the ILC [7] or a multi-TeV muon collider [8]. ATLAS [9–12] (Fig. 1) and CMS [13–15] measurements so far have given firm evidence of Higgs interactions to top, bottom and tau. The corresponding Yukawa couplings are measured with an accuracy of the order of 10% [9]. There has been recently the first evidence for Higgs decay to muons [16, 17], which represents the first probe of the Higgs interaction with the second generation of fermions and could give hints on scenarios beyond the SM (BSM) [18]. Crucial indication on the Higgs role in the mass generation of 1st and 2nd families would come from the challenging measurement of the light quark Yukawas. These are extremely difficult measurements but several techniques, which we are going to review, have been recently developed to improve the detectability of the Higgs couplings to light quarks and to set bounds on possible deviations induced by physics beyond the SM. The current best constraints are placed by considering global fits to the Higgs strength, which is modified by shifts on the quark Yukawas (δy_q):

$$\mu = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_q (2\delta y_q + \delta y_q^2) \text{Br}(h \rightarrow qq)_{\text{SM}}} \quad (1)$$

where we are neglecting modifications to the Higgs production rate, since this would be affected only by $\delta y_q \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10^3)$. Using the most recent measurements from CMS [19] and ATLAS [20], respectively $\mu = 1.06 \pm 0.07$ and $\mu = 1.02^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$, one can set the 95% C.L. bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y_d < 400, \delta y_u < 820, \delta y_s < 19 & \quad (\text{ATLAS}) \\ \delta y_d < 450, \delta y_u < 930, \delta y_s < 22 & \quad (\text{CMS}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Considering the expectation for the High-Luminosity LHC of measuring the total Higgs signal strength with an error of order 2-3% [3], these bounds from global fits could be improved up to

$$\delta y_d \lesssim 340, \delta y_u \lesssim 700, \delta y_s \lesssim 17 \quad (\text{HL-LHC}) . \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: Measurements of Higgs Yukawa couplings confronted with the SM prediction. Plot extracted from the ATLAS study in Ref. [9].

Note that the different partonic content of the proton has a significant impact on the limits, and the improvement at HL-LHC might depend on the knowledge of the parton distribution functions (PDFs) at that time. Complementary alternative strategies have been proposed to test the light quark Yukawas. These include the study of rare Higgs decays into vector mesons, which gives limits $\delta y_q \lesssim 10^6$ [21], the analysis of the $W^\pm h$ charge asymmetry, with a sensitivity $\delta y_d \lesssim 1300$ [22] and, in particular, the study of the double Higgs production channel [23] and of the Higgs kinematics (p_T and rapidity) [24], which show competitive sensitivities with those from global fits at the HL-LHC:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y_d &\lesssim 850, \delta y_u \lesssim 1200 && \text{(double Higgs prod.)} \\ \delta y_d &\lesssim 380, \delta y_u \lesssim 640 && \text{(Higgs kinematics)} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

All of these techniques represent *on-shell Higgs* probes. We will instead discuss novel strategies which relies on the study of *off-shell Higgs* channels, following the idea of “measuring the Higgs couplings without the Higgs” [25]. The key observation behind this approach relies on the fact that modifications to the Higgs couplings affect the delicate cancellations which avoid violation of perturbative unitarity at high energy in scatterings involving electroweak gauge bosons. This leads to measurable energy-growing effects.

2 Light quark Yukawas in triboson final states

Modifications of light quark Yukawas lead to energy-growing effects in the *off-shell Higgs* triboson channel, which can be then analyzed to put con-




Figure 2: Feynman diagrams in the unitary gauge contributing to the triple electroweak gauge boson channel, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow VVV$.

straints on δy_q . Fig. 2 shows the leading tree-level Feynman diagrams contributing to the triple electroweak gauge boson channel, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow VVV$. In the SM, the Higgs exchange diagrams cancel the bad high-energy growing behavior of the remaining diagrams. Modifications of the Higgs Yukawa coupling spoil these delicate cancellations and lead to energy-growing amplitudes. We review the main results of the study in [26], which relies on this effect.

The framework of the SM effective field theory (SMEFT) is adopted in [26]. In particular, this sub-set of dimension-6 gauge-invariant operators are assumed to encode the dominant BSM contribution to the Higgs Yukawa couplings to light quarks:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} \supset -\frac{Y_u |H|^2}{v^2} \bar{u}_R Q_{1,L} H - \frac{Y_d |H|^2}{v^2} \bar{d}_R H^\dagger Q_{1,L} - \frac{Y_s |H|^2}{v^2} \bar{s}_R H^\dagger Q_{2,L} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (5)$$

where $Q_{1,L} = (u_L, d_L)$ and $Q_{2,L} = (c_L, s_L)$ represent the left-handed 1st and 2nd generation SM quark doublets, H is the Higgs doublet, and $v \approx 246$ GeV is the Higgs VEV. The parameters Y_q are assumed to be real, for simplicity. An example of a BSM scenario that can generate the operators in (5) is that of a new strong dynamics including a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone composite Higgs [27] with decay constant f , where Higgs non-linearities can give shifts of the order v^2/f^2 to the Yukawa couplings. More in general, the operators in (5) can be generated by heavy vector-like quarks (also typically predicted in composite Higgs models) with masses of order $v/\sqrt{|Y_q|}$, which mix with the SM fermions after the EWSB.

Yukawa couplings are parametrized as

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{h}{v} \sum_{q=u,d,s} m_q (1 + \delta y_q) \bar{q} q. \quad (6)$$

Modifications to the Yukawas with respect to the SM values are encoded in the parameters δy_q , which are related to the coefficients of the operators in Eq. (5) by

$$\delta y_q = \frac{Y_q}{y_q^{\text{SM}}}. \quad (7)$$

Here the SM Yukawa couplings are defined as $y_q^{\text{SM}} \equiv \sqrt{2}m_q/v$.¹

The leading effect of the modification of the light quark Yukawas is manifested in the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow 3V_L$ channel. A clear picture of the effects induced by the BSM operators in (5) to the triboson process is obtained by adopting a non-unitary gauge description. The Higgs doublet can be then parametrized, introducing the would-be-Goldstone bosons G_i and the Higgs field h , as

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{2}G_+ \\ v + h + iG_z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

It is then easy to observe that the effective operators in (5) generate contact interactions between two quarks and three Goldstone bosons:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & \frac{1}{v^2} \left(G_+ G_- + \frac{1}{2} G_z^2 \right) \left\{ i y_u^{\text{SM}} \delta y_u \left(\sum_{q'=d,s} \bar{u}_R q'_L G_+ - \bar{u}_R u_L \frac{G_z}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + i \sum_{q'=d,s} y_{q'}^{\text{SM}} \delta y_{q'} \left(\bar{q}'_R u_L G_- + \bar{q}'_R q'_L \frac{G_z}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \text{h.c.} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These interactions characterize the $\mathcal{M}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow GGG)$ amplitudes which, by virtue of the equivalence theorem [28], approximate the high-energy behavior of the $\mathcal{M}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow VVV)$ amplitudes. At high energies, $\sqrt{s} \gg m_Z$, the diagram in Fig. 3 represents the dominant contribution to the triboson channel.




Figure 3: The Feynman diagram that gives, in the non-unitary gauge, the dominant contribution to the $\mathcal{M}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow GGG)$ amplitude at high energies. By virtue of the equivalence theorem $\mathcal{M}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow GGG) \approx \mathcal{M}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow V_L V_L V_L)$ at $\sqrt{s} \gg m_Z$.

Simple analytic expressions can be derived for the cross sections of the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow GGG$ processes induced by the interactions in Eq. (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(q\bar{q} \rightarrow G_z G_+ G_-) &= (y_q^{\text{SM}} \delta y_q)^2 I(\hat{s}), \\ \sigma(q\bar{q} \rightarrow 3G_z) &= \frac{3}{2} (y_q^{\text{SM}} \delta y_q)^2 I(\hat{s}), \\ \sigma(u\bar{q}' \rightarrow G_+ G_z G_z) + \sigma(q'\bar{u} \rightarrow G_- G_z G_z) &= \frac{1}{2} [(y_u^{\text{SM}} \delta y_u)^2 + (y_{q'}^{\text{SM}} \delta y_{q'})^2] I(\hat{s}), \\ \sigma(u\bar{q}' \rightarrow G_+ G_+ G_-) + \sigma(q'\bar{u} \rightarrow G_- G_- G_+) &= 2 [(y_u^{\text{SM}} \delta y_u)^2 + (y_{q'}^{\text{SM}} \delta y_{q'})^2] I(\hat{s}), \\ I(\hat{s}) &\equiv \frac{\hat{s}}{6144\pi^3 v^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

¹ y_q^{SM} are evaluated at the Higgs mass scale.

where $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ is the centre-of-mass energy of the parton-level quark-antiquark annihilation and $q = u, d, s, q' = d, s$. By the equivalence theorem, for $\sqrt{\hat{s}} \gg m_Z$, these cross sections are approximately equal to those for the parton-level triple EW gauge boson production, with the identification $G_{\pm} \rightarrow W_L^{\pm}$ and $G_z \rightarrow Z_L$. It is manifest from (10) the energy-growing behavior of the cross section for the triboson channel, for $\delta y_q \neq 0$. In particular

$$\sigma(q\bar{q} \rightarrow V_L V_L V_L) \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\delta y_q^2 \frac{\hat{s}}{v^4}\right). \quad (11)$$




Figure 4: Differential distributions, normalized to unit area, for the WWW trilepton channel. Top-left: p_T of the leading-lepton, top-right: p_T of the second-leading lepton, bottom-left: E_T^{miss} , bottom-right: the azimuthal separation $\Delta\Phi(\ell^{\pm}, \ell^{\pm})$. The distributions for the pure BSM triboson signal are indicated by blue lines, those for the SM by yellow lines. Solid lines refer to the 14 TeV LHC, dashed ones to the 100 TeV FCC-hh.

Interestingly, the triboson production has been recently measured for the first time at the LHC by CMS [29]. This shows the LHC potential to further probe this channel. The main features of the BSM δy_q signal are the growing with energy of the cross section, but also a peculiar final state, characterized by the hard emission of gauge bosons and by distinctive angular distributions. The study in [26] exploits these characteristics to isolate the BSM δy_q induced signals from the background. It is clear that this specific

| HL-LHC | SM | BSM ($Y_d = 1$) | BSM ($Y_u = 1$) | BSM ($Y_s = 1$) |
|---------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $W^+W^-W^+$ | 152 fb | 3.6 pb | 3.6 pb | 110 fb |
| $W^+W^-W^-$ | 87 fb | 1.5 pb | 1.5 pb | 110 fb |
| ZZW^+ | 40 fb | 1.0 pb | 1.0 pb | 31 fb |
| ZZW^- | 23 fb | 0.43 pb | 0.43 pb | 31 fb |
| ZW^+W^- | 191 fb | 1.5 pb | 2.4 pb | 120 fb |
| ZZZ | 16 fb | 0.99 pb | 1.7 pb | 66 fb |

| FCC-hh | SM | BSM ($Y_d = 1$) | BSM ($Y_u = 1$) | BSM ($Y_s = 1$) |
|---------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $W^+W^-W^+$ | 2.35 pb | 290 pb | 290 pb | 16 pb |
| $W^+W^-W^-$ | 1.76 pb | 140 pb | 140 pb | 16 pb |
| ZZW^+ | 756 fb | 74 pb | 74 pb | 4.4 pb |
| ZZW^- | 579 fb | 36 pb | 36 pb | 4.4 pb |
| ZW^+W^- | 3.93 pb | 94 pb | 150 pb | 12 pb |
| ZZZ | 231 fb | 110 pb | 180 pb | 11 pb |

Table 1: Cross section values at the $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV LHC (upper table), and at the $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV FCC-hh (lower table) for different triboson production channels in the SM (computed at NLO in QCD) and for the BSM signals induced by the dimension-6 operators in Eq. (5), with $Y_d = 1$ ($Y_{\neq d} = 0$), $Y_u = 1$ ($Y_{\neq u} = 0$) and $Y_s = 1$ ($Y_{\neq s} = 0$), respectively.

search would significantly benefit from increasing the center of mass energy. It is indeed an ideal case to be studied at the energy frontier experiments, in particular at the FCC-hh. Tab. 2 shows the cross section values at the HL-LHC and at the FCC-hh of the triboson production channels in the SM and for the BSM signals generated by the operators in Eq. (5) and associated to modifications of down, up and strange Yukawa couplings. Cross sections are computed with `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` [30], at the Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) in QCD for the SM [31,32] and at LO for the BSM. The BSM signals are generated by using an UFO model [33], which is available at [42]. The study in [26] performs an analysis of different triboson channels and final states. The most efficient are the WWW channels in same-sign dilepton and trilepton final states. It is however important to consider a combined analysis of several triboson channels to increase the sensitivity and to possibly disentangle the different δy_q . For example, the study of the neutral ZZZ and ZW^+W^- channels could in principle distinguish between δy_u and δy_d . The analyses performed in [26] apply a set of cuts on several distinctive observables of the processes. In particular, as shown in Fig. 4 for the WWW trilepton channel, the p_T of the leptons in the final states, the missing E_T , or the angular azimuthal separation between leptons in the final states are particularly efficient to distinguish the δy_q signals, which are characterized by harder final states and a peculiar angular kinematics, from the SM back-

ground. The search is then refined by performing a binned-likelihood shape analysis on the p_T distributions of the leading lepton. More details on the analysis can be found in [26]. Clearly, there is a large room for improvement of this study by considering, for example, combined shape analyses on several interesting p_T , E_T and angular distributions. The final results are shown on Table 2. The HL-LHC sensitivities on δy_q from the analysis of the triboson channels are competitive with those from global fits to Higgs data, with the possibility to test δy_d up to order 400. The sensitivities are greatly enhanced at the FCC-hh, which could probe δy_d up to order 30. This corresponds to testing new physics scale for the operators in (5) of the order of 10 (3) TeV at the FCC-hh (HL-LHC), as shown in Fig. 5.




Figure 5: Main results from Ref. [26]. HL-LHC (blue) and FCC-hh (red) projected 2σ reach, for different triboson channels, on the new physics scale Λ (with Wilson coefficient C_i) at which the dimension-6 Yukawa operators in (5) are generated.

| | WWW | | | ZZZ | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| | $\ell^\pm \ell^\pm + 2\nu + 2j$ | $\ell^\pm \ell^\pm \ell^\mp + 3\nu$ | Comb. | $4\ell + 2\nu$ | $4\ell + 2j$ | Comb. |
| δy_d | 430 (36) | 840 (54) | 420 (34) | 1500 (65) | 1300 (93) | 1100 (60) |
| δy_u | 850 (71) | 1700 (110) | 830 (68) | 2300 (100) | 1800 (140) | 1600 (92) |
| δy_s | 150 (13) | 230 (33) | 140 (13) | 300 (12) | 290 (16) | 250 (11) |

Table 2: HL-LHC (FCC-hh) 2σ sensitivities on δy_q for the different sub-channels analyzed in [26].

3 Off-shell probe of the charm Yukawa

We now focus on probing modifications to the Higgs Yukawa coupling of the charm by analyzing the *off-shell Higgs* channel with two gauge bosons plus a tagged charm and a jet in the final state: $VV + c + j$. The leading Feynman diagram, in the non-unitary gauge, is shown in Fig. 6. In the case of the charm, this two-boson signal is enhanced, compared to the triboson channel considered in the previous section, by the larger parton distribution




Figure 6: Leading Feynman diagram for the signal of modification to y_c in the non-unitary gauge. The red dot denotes the effective coupling (Eq. (14)).

function, compared to the charm PDF, of the valence quark in the initial state. We will show that this off-shell probe is competitive with other on-shell strategies considered so far: the direct test of the $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ decay [34,35], possibly considering the associated Higgs production with a photon [36], the global fit to the Higgs signal strength [37], the indirect test via the radiative process $h \rightarrow J/\Psi + \gamma$ [38], the analysis of the Higgs plus charm channel [39] or the indirect probe from precision Higgs measurements [40]. These latter methods appear to be the most efficient, with the possibility to test, at the 95% C.L. at the HL-LHC, values:

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta y_c| &\lesssim 2.6 \quad (\text{Higgs plus charm}) \\ |\delta y_c| &\lesssim 2.1 \quad (\text{Higgs precision measurements}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Analogously to the analysis for the triboson channel, we consider modification to the charm Yukawa

$$\delta y_c = \frac{Y_c}{y_c^{\text{SM}}} \quad (13)$$

induced by the following dim-6 operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} \supset -\frac{Y_c |H|^2}{v^2} \bar{c}_R Q_{1,L} H + \text{h.c.} \quad (14)$$

We assume Y_c to be a real parameter. As for the case discussed in the previous section, this operator spoils the mechanism of cancellation by the Higgs exchange of the energy-growing diboson amplitudes and leads to $(\delta y_c^2 \frac{\hat{s}}{v^4})$ energy enhancement effects, analogous to those described in Eq. (10), (11). The BSM contribution can be estimated by considering an expansion of the inclusive cross section in the terms: SM ($Y_c = 0$), plus the SM-BSM interference term, which is linear in the coefficient Y_c , plus the pure BSM term, which is quadratic in Y_c :

$$\sigma \approx \sigma^{\text{SM}}(Y_c = 0) + Y_c \sigma^{\text{INT}}(Y_c = 1) + Y_c^2 \sigma^{\text{BSM}}(Y_c = 1) . \quad (15)$$

We find the cross section values in the different $VVcj$ channels reported in Tab. 3. Calculations have been made with `MadGraph5_aMC@NLO` at LO in QCD by using the UFO model available at [42]. Note that, differently from the previous case of the modifications to the light quark Yukawas, the interference term here is not negligible. This, as we will show, can give an handle to the possibility to test the sign of the modification to the charm Yukawa.

| HL-LHC | SM ($Y_c = 0$) | INT ($Y_c = 1$) | BSM ($Y_c = 1$) |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| W^+W^-cj | 2.3 pb | 0.58 pb | 63 pb |
| W^+Zcj | 0.86 pb | 0.17 pb | 17 pb |
| W^-Zcj | 0.79 pb | 0.09 pb | 9.1 pb |
| $ZZcj$ | 0.19 pb | 0.14 pb | 15 pb |
| W^+W^+cj | 29 fb | 0.42 fb | 94 fb |
| W^-W^-cj | 23 fb | 0.31 fb | 90 fb |

| FCC-hh | SM ($Y_c = 0$) | INT ($Y_c = 1$) | BSM ($Y_c = 1$) |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| W^+W^-cj | 92 pb | 6.4 pb | 660 pb |
| W^+Zcj | 36 pb | 1.8 pb | 190 pb |
| W^-Zcj | 35 pb | 1.3 pb | 130 pb |
| $ZZcj$ | 6.8 pb | 1.6 pb | 180 pb |
| W^+W^+cj | 0.76 pb | 2.8 fb | 3.0 pb |
| W^-W^-cj | 0.68 pb | 3.2 fb | 3.0 pb |

Table 3: Values of different $VVcj$ cross sections for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV LHC (upper table) and $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV FCC-hh (lower table) for the SM and with the addition of the dimension-6 operator from Eq. (14), with $Y_c = 1$. We show the cross section for the interference term and the purely BSM quadratic term. Calculations are at LO in QCD and a minimum requirement $p_T(j), (c) > 20$ GeV is applied.

At this point, one can derive an estimate of the HL-LHC and FCC-hh sensitivities by considering a naive evaluation of the reducible background, which will contribute to the total background in addition to the irreducible component given by the SM $VVcj$ process. In particular, we estimate the significance σ by applying a background rescaling factor B , which parametrizes the number of reducible background events as a factor of the SM $VVcj$ events:

$$\sigma = \frac{N_{SM+BSM} - N_{SM}}{\sqrt{N_{SM} + B \times N_{SM}}}. \quad (16)$$

By focusing only on the semileptonic final state, $\ell^\pm \nu + c + X$, and considering a c-tagging efficiency of 25% [34, 35], we find the 1σ and the 2σ




Figure 7: 1σ (continuous curve) and 2σ (dotted curve) HL-LHC (14 TeV, $2\times 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$) and FCC-hh (100 TeV, 30 ab^{-1}) sensitivities on δy_c in the $VVcj$ channel and semileptonic final state, as functions of an arbitrary amount of reducible background, calculated as a factor B of the irreducible background given by the SM signal (cfr. Eq. (16)).

sensitivities on δy_c of the HL-LHC and of the FCC-hh in the $VVcj$ channel shown in Fig. 7. Sensitivities are presented as functions of the reducible background rescaling factor B . In the hypothesis of a negligible (compared to the SM $VVcj$ process) reducible background, we find the sensitivities on δy_c as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y_c &\lesssim 0.43 (1\sigma) - 0.77 (2\sigma) && (\text{HL-LHC}, 2\times 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}) \\ \delta y_c &\lesssim 0.12 (1\sigma) - 0.23 (2\sigma) && (\text{FCC-hh}, 30 \text{ ab}^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta y_c &\lesssim 3.1 (1\sigma) - 3.4 (2\sigma) && (\text{HL-LHC}, 2\times 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}) \\ -\delta y_c &\lesssim 2.8 (1\sigma) - 2.9 (2\sigma) && (\text{FCC-hh}, 30 \text{ ab}^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We consider these estimates a useful and encouraging starting point for more refined analysis. Future studies could exploit for example, similarly to what has been discussed for the triboson channels, the peculiar kinematic of the BSM process to better isolate its contribution from the background and minimize in particular the reducible component of the background. Our results indicate sensitivities on δy_c in the diboson channel which could be realistically below order 1 at the HL-LHC, thus competitive and complementary to other charm Yukawa probes, and of the order of 20% at the FCC-hh. Interestingly, there is also the possibility to test the sign of δy_c , since, because of interference effects, significances are higher in the case of a positive shift to the charm Yukawa. Negative shifts of the order of $3y_c^{\text{SM}}$ can be probed in the $VVcj$ channel.

4 Conclusions

The investigation of the properties of the Higgs boson, and in particular of its interactions and Yukawa couplings to SM fermions is of primary importance for the understanding of high energy physics. Crucial indication on the Higgs role in the mass generation of 1st and 2nd families would come from the measurement of the light quark Yukawas. We have reviewed a novel technique to improve this challenging test based on the study of the triboson channel, where the Higgs is off-shell. In this approach, the Yukawa couplings are determined indirectly, by their contributions via virtual Higgs exchange to the triboson process. This method relies on the fact that modifications of the Higgs Yukawas disturb the structure of the SM and leads to the violation of perturbative unitarity at high energy. The study of the triboson channel gives results competitive and complementary to other on-shell Higgs probe. In particular, the HL-LHC could test modification to the down (up) quark, δy_d (δy_u), up to order 400 (800). The sensitivities are greatly enhanced at the FCC-hh, which could probe δy_d (δy_u) up to order 30 (70). We have then considered an off-shell Higgs probe of the charm Yukawa. In this case, we focus on the channel $VVcj$. First estimates of the HL-LHC and FCC-hh sensitivities, presented in Fig. 7, indicate encouraging results and offer a useful starting point for more refined analyses at the LHC. Our first naive estimates indicate sensitivities on δy_c in the diboson channel which could be realistically below order 1 at the HL-LHC, thus competitive and complementary to other charm Yukawa probes, and of the order of 20% at the FCC-hh. Interestingly, there is also the possibility to test the sign of the shift in the charm Yukawa.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks A. Falkowski, S. Ganguly, P. Gras, J. M. No, K. Tobioka, T. You, M. Son and E. Venturini for discussions and collaboration in the related studies [26,41], and in particular K. Tobioka for enlightening discussions on the possibility to test the charm Yukawa in the $VVcj$ channel.

References

- [1] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], “Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC,” Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1-29 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
- [2] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS], “Observation of a New Boson at a Mass of 125 GeV with the CMS Experiment at the LHC,” Phys. Lett. B

- 716**, 30-61 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021 [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
- [3] M. Cepeda, S. Gori, P. Ilten, M. Kado, F. Riva, R. Abdul Khalek, A. Aboubrahim, J. Alimena, S. Alioli and A. Alves, *et al.* “Report from Working Group 2: Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC,” CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr. **7**, 221-584 (2019) doi:10.23731/CYRM-2019-007.221 [arXiv:1902.00134 [hep-ph]].
 - [4] A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], “FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1,” Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.6, 474 (2019) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6904-3
 - [5] A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], “FCC-ee: The Lepton Collider: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 2,” Eur. Phys. J. ST **228**, no.2, 261-623 (2019) doi:10.1140/epjst/e2019-900045-4
 - [6] A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], “FCC-hh: The Hadron Collider: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 3,” Eur. Phys. J. ST **228**, no.4, 755-1107 (2019) doi:10.1140/epjst/e2019-900087-0
 - [7] T. Behnke, J. E. Brau, B. Foster, J. Fuster, M. Harrison, J. M. Paterson, M. Peskin, M. Stanitzki, N. Walker and H. Yamamoto, “The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 1: Executive Summary,” [arXiv:1306.6327 [physics.acc-ph]].
 - [8] D. Stratakis *et al.* [International Muon Collider], “A Muon Collider Facility for Physics Discovery,” [arXiv:2203.08033 [physics.acc-ph]].
 - [9] [ATLAS], “Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay using up to 139 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with the ATLAS experiment,” ATLAS-CONF-2021-053.
 - [10] [ATLAS], “Measurements of Higgs boson production cross-sections in the $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” ATLAS-CONF-2021-044.
 - [11] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], “Measurement of the associated production of a Higgs boson decaying into b -quarks with a vector boson at high transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector,” Phys. Lett. B **816**, 136204 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136204 [arXiv:2008.02508 [hep-ex]].
 - [12] M. Aaboud *et al.* [ATLAS], “Evidence for the associated production of the Higgs boson and a top quark pair with the ATLAS detector,” Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.7, 072003 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.072003 [arXiv:1712.08891 [hep-ex]].

- [13] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS], “Measurements of $t\bar{t}H$ Production and the CP Structure of the Yukawa Interaction between the Higgs Boson and Top Quark in the Diphoton Decay Channel,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, no.6, 061801 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.061801 [arXiv:2003.10866 [hep-ex]].
- [14] [CMS], “Measurement of Higgs boson production and decay to the $\tau\tau$ final state,” CMS-PAS-HIG-18-032.
- [15] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS], “Observation of Higgs boson decay to bottom quarks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.12, 121801 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.121801 [arXiv:1808.08242 [hep-ex]].
- [16] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], “A search for the dimuon decay of the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector,” *Phys. Lett. B* **812**, 135980 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135980 [arXiv:2007.07830 [hep-ex]].
- [17] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS], “Evidence for Higgs boson decay to a pair of muons,” *JHEP* **01**, 148 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)148 [arXiv:2009.04363 [hep-ex]].
- [18] N. Vignaroli, “Searching for a dilaton decaying to muon pairs at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 095023 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.095023 [arXiv:0906.4078 [hep-ph]].
- [19] [CMS], “Combined Higgs boson production and decay measurements with up to 137 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$,” CMS-PAS-HIG-19-005.
- [20] [ATLAS], “A combination of measurements of Higgs boson production and decay using up to 139 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ collected with the ATLAS experiment,” ATLAS-CONF-2020-027.
- [21] A. L. Kagan, G. Perez, F. Petriello, Y. Soreq, S. Stoynev and J. Zupan, “Exclusive Window onto Higgs Yukawa Couplings,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, no.10, 101802 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.101802 [arXiv:1406.1722 [hep-ph]].
- [22] F. Yu, “Phenomenology of Enhanced Light Quark Yukawa Couplings and the $W^\pm h$ Charge Asymmetry,” *JHEP* **02**, 083 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)083 [arXiv:1609.06592 [hep-ph]].
- [23] L. Alasfar, R. Corral Lopez and R. Gröber, “Probing Higgs couplings to light quarks via Higgs pair production,” *JHEP* **11**, 088 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2019)088 [arXiv:1909.05279 [hep-ph]].

- [24] Y. Soreq, H. X. Zhu and J. Zupan, “Light quark Yukawa couplings from Higgs kinematics,” JHEP **12**, 045 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2016)045 [arXiv:1606.09621 [hep-ph]].
- [25] B. Henning, D. Lombardo, M. Riembau and F. Riva, “Measuring Higgs Couplings without Higgs Bosons,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, no.18, 181801 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.181801 [arXiv:1812.09299 [hep-ph]].
- [26] A. Falkowski, S. Ganguly, P. Gras, J. M. No, K. Tobioka, N. Vignaroli and T. You, “Light quark Yukawas in triboson final states,” JHEP **04**, 023 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2021)023 [arXiv:2011.09551 [hep-ph]].
- [27] K. Agashe, R. Contino and A. Pomarol, “The Minimal composite Higgs model,” Nucl. Phys. B **719**, 165-187 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.04.035 [arXiv:hep-ph/0412089 [hep-ph]].
- [28] B. W. Lee, C. Quigg and H. B. Thacker, “Weak Interactions at Very High-Energies: The Role of the Higgs Boson Mass,” Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1519 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1519
- [29] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS], “Observation of the Production of Three Massive Gauge Bosons at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, no.15, 151802 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.151802 [arXiv:2006.11191 [hep-ex]].
- [30] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli and M. Zaro, “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations,” JHEP **07**, 079 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079 [arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph]].
- [31] S. Dittmaier, A. Huss and G. Knippen, “Next-to-leading-order QCD and electroweak corrections to WWW production at proton-proton colliders,” JHEP **09**, 034 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2017)034 [arXiv:1705.03722 [hep-ph]].
- [32] T. Binoth, G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, “NLO QCD corrections to tri-boson production,” JHEP **06**, 082 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/06/082 [arXiv:0804.0350 [hep-ph]].
- [33] C. Degrande, C. Duhr, B. Fuks, D. Grellscheid, O. Mattelaer and T. Reiter, “UFO - The Universal FeynRules Output,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **183**, 1201-1214 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2012.01.022 [arXiv:1108.2040 [hep-ph]].

- [34] [ATLAS], “Prospects for $H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ using Charm Tagging with the ATLAS Experiment at the HL-LHC,” ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-016.
- [35] T. Han, B. Nachman and X. Wang, “Charm-quark Yukawa Coupling in $h \rightarrow c\bar{c}\gamma$ at LHC,” Phys. Lett. B **793**, 90-96 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.04.031 [arXiv:1812.06992 [hep-ph]].
- [36] B. Carlson, T. Han and S. C. I. Leung, “Higgs boson to charm quark decay in vector boson fusion plus a photon,” Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 073006 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.073006 [arXiv:2105.08738 [hep-ph]].
- [37] G. Perez, Y. Soreq, E. Stamou and K. Tobioka, “Constraining the charm Yukawa and Higgs-quark coupling universality,” Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.3, 033016 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.033016 [arXiv:1503.00290 [hep-ph]].
- [38] G. T. Bodwin, F. Petriello, S. Stoynev and M. Velasco, “Higgs boson decays to quarkonia and the $H\bar{c}c$ coupling,” Phys. Rev. D **88**, no.5, 053003 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.053003 [arXiv:1306.5770 [hep-ph]].
- [39] I. Brivio, F. Goertz and G. Isidori, “Probing the Charm Quark Yukawa Coupling in Higgs+Charm Production,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no.21, 211801 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.211801 [arXiv:1507.02916 [hep-ph]].
- [40] N. M. Coyle, C. E. M. Wagner and V. Wei, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.7, 073013 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.073013 [arXiv:1905.09360 [hep-ph]].
- [41] G. Brooijmans, A. Buckley, S. Caron, A. Falkowski, B. Fuks, A. Gilbert, W. J. Murray, M. Nardecchia, J. M. No and R. Torre, *et al.* “Les Houches 2019 Physics at TeV Colliders: New Physics Working Group Report,” [arXiv:2002.12220 [hep-ph]].
- [42] <https://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/YqHEFT>