

Instability of hairy black holes in regularized 4-dimensional Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity

Shinji Tsujikawa¹

Department of Physics, Waseda University, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

ABSTRACT

In regularized 4-dimensional Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity derived from a Kaluza-Klein reduction of higher-dimensional EGB theory, we study the existence and stability of black hole (BH) solutions on a static and spherically symmetric background. We show that asymptotically-flat hairy BH solutions realized for a flat internal maximally symmetric space are unstable against linear perturbations for any rescaled GB coupling constant. The propagation of even-parity perturbations for high angular momentum modes plays a crucial role to reach the conclusion of BH instability in the vicinity of an event horizon.

¹ tsujikawa@waseda.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

There have been numerous attempts for constructing gravitational theories beyond General Relativity (GR) [1–5]. This is not only motivated by the construction of an ultraviolet complete theory of gravity but also by the firm observational evidence of dark matter and dark energy. Moreover, the dawn of gravitational-wave astronomy [6] started to offer a new opportunity for probing the physics of strong gravity regimes in the vicinity of black holes (BHs) and neutron stars (NSs) [7, 8]. It is important to scrutinize the possible deviation from GR on the cosmological and strong gravitational backgrounds.

GR is the 4-dimensional spacetime theory that leads to conserved and symmetric field equations of motion with derivatives up to second order in the metric tensor. One way of modifying the gravitational equations in GR is to add new degrees of freedom such as scalar/vector fields coupled to gravity. For example, Horndeski theories [9–12] are the most general scalar-tensor theories whose equations of motion contain derivatives of the scalar field and metric tensor up to second order. In such second-order gravitational theories, one can avoid an Ostrogradski instability [13] arising from the Hamiltonian unbounded from below.

The other way of constructing alternative theories of gravity is to consider spacetime dimensions higher than 4. In this vein, Lovelock [14] derived the gravitational field equations of motion with 2-rank symmetric tensors satisfying the conserved and second-order properties. In 4 dimensions, GR is the unique theory of gravity being compatible with such properties [15]. In spacetime dimensions higher than 4, there is a new term known as the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) term defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{GB}}^2 = \mathcal{R}^2 - 4\mathcal{R}_{AB}\mathcal{R}^{AB} + \mathcal{R}_{ABCD}\mathcal{R}^{ABCD}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{R}_{AB} , and \mathcal{R}_{ABCD} are the Ricci scalar, Ricci tensor, and Riemann tensors in $D > 4$ dimensions, respectively [16, 17]. In 4 spacetime dimensions, the field equations of motion following from the Lagrangian $L = \sqrt{-g} \hat{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\text{GB}}^2$ (where g is a determinant of the metric tensor and $\hat{\alpha}$ is a GB coupling) identically vanish by reflecting the fact that the GB curvature invariant reduces to a topological surface term.

If we rescale the GB coupling constant as $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha/(D-4)$ and take the limit $D \rightarrow 4$, it is possible to extract non-trivial contributions of the higher-dimensional GB term in 4 dimensions [18]. This theory is known as 4D-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (4DEGB) gravity, which has received much attention over the past few years (see Ref. [17] for a review). In the original version proposed by Glavan and Lin [18], there are some ill-defined terms in the field equations that lead to the divergence

of second-order perturbation equations about a Minkowski background [19, 20]. Furthermore, the $D \rightarrow 4$ limit gives rise to unphysical divergences in the on-shell action [21]. In Refs. [22, 23], it was also argued that a simple rescaling of the GB coupling originally suggested by Glavan and Lin does not yield covariant equations of a massless graviton in 4 dimensions.

However, there are several possibilities for constructing regularized 4DEGB theories that can evade the aforementioned problems of original 4DEGB gravity. One of such improved theories arises from a conformal regularization introducing a counter-term to eliminate divergent parts of the theory [24, 25]. The other is a Kaluza-Klein reduction of the higher-dimensional EGB theory with a scalar field ϕ characterizing the size of a maximally symmetric internal space [26, 27]. In the former and latter approaches, the 4-dimensional actions obtained from the rescaling $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha/(D-4)$ belong to subclasses of shift-symmetric and non-shift-symmetric Horndeski theories, respectively. For a flat internal space with the Kaluza-Klein reduction, the 4-dimensional effective action coincides with the one derived from the conformal regularization. There is yet another regularization scheme in which the temporal diffeomorphism invariance is explicitly broken [28].

The regularized 4DEGB theories have been applied to the search for static and spherically symmetric BH solutions. In the original 4DEGB gravity, there exists an exact BH solution [18] analogous to the one obtained in the framework of higher-dimensional EGB theories [29, 30] (see also Refs. [31–45] for related works). The same kind of solutions is also present in two regularized versions of 4DEGB theories mentioned above [26, 46]. In the conformal regularization, this is the unique asymptotically-flat BH solution allowed in the theory.

To study the linear stability of static and spherically symmetric BHs in 4 dimensions, we need to classify perturbations into the odd- and even-parity sectors depending on the types of parities under the rotation in two-dimensional plane (θ, φ) [47, 48]. In Horndeski theories, the BH stability against odd- and even-parity perturbations for a time-independent scalar field was originally addressed by Kobayashi *et al.* [49, 50] (see also Refs. [51–55]). Except for the propagation of even-parity modes along the angular direction, Kobayashi *et al.* derived conditions for the absence of ghost/Laplacian instabilities in high frequency limits. In 4DEGB gravity, the stability of BHs has been discussed in Refs. [56–59] by paying particular attention to the behavior of perturbations along the radial direction.

The recent general analysis of BH and NS perturbations in Horndeski theories [60] shows that the angular propagation of even-parity modes with large multipoles $\ell \gg 1$ plays an important role to exclude some hairy BH solutions by the instability in the vicinity of an event horizon [61]. This instability can arise for BHs with static scalar hair whose kinetic term X is a non-vanishing constant

X_s on the horizon [62]. For example, the derivative coupling with an Einstein tensor gives rise to exact hairy BH solutions [63–65] with $X_s \neq 0$, but they are prone to either ghost or Laplacian instabilities. To recognize such properties, the propagation speeds of even-parity perturbations for large angular momentum modes are crucial.

In this paper, we study the existence and stability of BHs against odd- and even-parity perturbations in regularized 4DEGB gravity derived from the Kaluza-Klein reduction of higher-dimensional EGB theory. Our analysis also accommodates hairy BHs present in 4DEGB theory with the conformal regularization. We will show that asymptotically-flat hairy BH solutions present in such regularized 4DEGB theories are excluded by the ghost/Laplacian instability in the vicinity of an event horizon.

II. BLACK HOLE SOLUTIONS IN REGULARIZED 4DEGB THEORIES

We first briefly revisit the regularized Kaluza-Klein reduction of D -dimensional EGB gravity on a $(D - 4)$ -dimensional maximally symmetric space with constant curvature λ . In this setup, the D -dimensional metric is expressed in the form

$$ds_D^2 = ds_4^2 + e^{-2\phi} d\sigma_{D-4}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where ds_4^2 is the line element of 4-dimensional spacetime, and $d\sigma_{D-4}^2$ is the line element of an internal space whose Riemann tensor is given by $\mathcal{R}_{abcd} = \lambda(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc})$. The scalar field ϕ , which characterizes the size of internal space, depends only on the 4-dimensional coordinates $x^\mu = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$.

We consider the action of D -dimensional EGB theory given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{R} + \hat{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\text{GB}}^2), \quad (2.2)$$

where G_D is the gravitational constant in D -dimensions. Substituting the metric ansatz (2.1) into Eq. (2.2) and performing the integration by parts, we obtain the following reduced action in 4 dimensions [26, 27] (see also Refs. [66, 67]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} e^{-(D-4)\phi} & \left[R - (D-4)(D-5) \left(2X - \lambda e^{2\phi} \right) + \hat{\alpha} R_{\text{GB}}^2 \right. \\ & - 2\hat{\alpha}(D-4)(D-5) \left(2G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - \lambda R e^{2\phi} \right) \\ & - 4\hat{\alpha}(D-4)(D-5)(D-6) \{ X \square \phi + (D-5) X^2 \} \\ & \left. - \hat{\alpha}(D-4)(D-5)(D-6)(D-7) \left(4\lambda X e^{2\phi} - \lambda^2 e^{4\phi} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where R , $G^{\mu\nu}$, and R_{GB}^2 are the Ricci scalar, Einstein tensor, and GB term in 4 dimensions, respectively, and $X = -(1/2)\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\mu\phi$ is the scalar kinetic term, and ∇_μ is a covariant derivative operator. We performed a volume integral with respect to the internal space and absorbed the integration constant into G_D to define the 4-dimensional gravitational constant G . Since the GB combination is topological in 4 dimensions, we can add a counter term $-(16\pi G)^{-1} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \hat{\alpha} R_{\text{GB}}^2$ to the action (2.3). To extract contributions of the higher-dimensional GB term, we rescale the coupling constant to be $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha/(D-4)$ as in the original prescription of Glavan and Lin. Taking the $D \rightarrow 4$ limit at the end, we obtain the action of regularized 4DEGB theory with the Kaluza-Klein reduction in the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \alpha \left\{ 4G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - \phi R_{\text{GB}}^2 - 8X \square \phi + 8X^2 - 2\lambda e^{2\phi} \left(R - 12X + 3\lambda e^{2\phi} \right) \right\} \right]. \quad (2.4)$$

Here and in the following, we use the unit $(16\pi G)^{-1} = 1$. For flat internal space ($\lambda = 0$), the action (2.4) recovers the one derived by a counter term regularization with a conformal rescaling of the metric [24, 25].

The regularized 4DEGB gravity (2.4) belongs to a subclass of Horndeski theories given by the action [9–12]

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[G_2 - G_3 \square \phi + G_4 R + G_{4,X} \left\{ (\square \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi) \right\} + G_5 G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{6} G_{5,X} \left\{ (\square \phi)^3 - 3(\square \phi)(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi)(\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu \phi) + 2(\nabla^\mu \nabla_\alpha \phi)(\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi)(\nabla^\beta \nabla_\mu \phi) \right\} \right], \quad (2.5)$$

where $G_{j,X} \equiv \partial G_j / \partial X$ (with $j = 4, 5$), and

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= \alpha \left(8X^2 + 24\lambda X e^{2\phi} - 6\lambda^2 e^{4\phi} \right), & G_3 &= 8\alpha X, \\ G_4 &= 1 + 4\alpha X - 2\alpha \lambda e^{2\phi}. & G_5 &= 4\alpha \ln |X|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here, we used the fact that the term $-4\alpha\phi$ in G_5 is equivalent to the derivative coupling $4\alpha X$ in G_4 [11]. For $\lambda = 0$, the functions $G_{2,3,4,5}$ depend on X alone. In this case, the theory belongs to a subclass of shift-symmetric Horndeski theories whose equations of motion are invariant under the shift $\phi \rightarrow \phi + c$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, the shift symmetry is explicitly broken.

To study BH solutions in regularized 4DEGB theory given by the action (2.5), we consider the static and spherically symmetric background with the line element

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + h^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (2.7)$$

where f and h are functions of the radial coordinate r . The scalar field is assumed to be a function of r . Computing (2.5) on the background (2.7) and varying the reduced action with respect to f ,

h , and ϕ , respectively, we obtain the following equations

$$f' = -f \frac{r^2(h-1) + \alpha[h^2 - 2h(1-2j-2r\phi'j) + 1 - 4j + 3j^2]}{hr[r^2 - 2\alpha(h-1+j+r\phi'j)]}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{f'}{f} = -\frac{4\alpha r j(\phi'^2 - \phi'')}{r^2 - 2\alpha(h-1+j+r\phi'j)}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$J' = \frac{2\alpha\lambda e^{2\phi}[r(j'+\phi'j)+j]}{1+r\phi'} \sqrt{\frac{f}{h}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where a prime represents the derivative with respect to r , and

$$j \equiv 1 - h(1+r\phi')^2 + \lambda r^2 e^{2\phi}, \quad J \equiv \sqrt{\frac{h}{f}} \alpha (f' + 2\phi'f) j. \quad (2.11)$$

There is no standard kinetic term X in the couplings functions (2.6), so both the left and right hand-sides of Eq. (2.10) vanish in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

We search for hairy asymptotically-flat BH solutions where the metric components and scalar field have the following dependence at large distances

$$f = f_0 + \frac{f_1}{r} + \frac{f_2}{r^2} \dots, \quad h = 1 + \frac{h_1}{r} + \frac{h_2}{r^2} \dots, \quad \phi = \phi_0 + \frac{\phi_1}{r} + \frac{\phi_2}{r^2} \dots, \quad (2.12)$$

where f_j , h_j , and ϕ_j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are constants. With this boundary condition of ϕ , the size of internal space approaches a constant value ϕ_0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then, at large distances, the leading-order contribution to j for $\lambda \neq 0$ is given by $\lambda r^2 e^{2\phi_0}$, so the right hand-side of Eq. (2.10) has the dependence $6\alpha\lambda^2 e^{4\phi_0} f_0^{1/2} r^2$. Meanwhile, the term J behaves as $J = -\alpha(2f_0\phi_1 + f_1)f_0^{-1/2} \lambda e^{2\phi_0} + \mathcal{O}(1/r)$. Then, the consistency of Eq. (2.10) at spatial infinity leads to

$$\lambda = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

In other words the asymptotic flatness requires the flat internal space, so we consider BH solutions in this case.

For $\lambda = 0$ the right hand-side of Eq. (2.10) vanishes, and hence $J = C = \text{constant}$. On using the expansions (2.12), we have $J = \sqrt{h/f} \alpha (f' + 2\phi'f)[1 - h(1+r\phi')^2] \rightarrow 0$ at spatial infinity. To satisfy this boundary condition, we require that $C = 0$ and hence

$$J = \sqrt{\frac{h}{f}} \alpha (f' + 2\phi'f) j = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Since f , h , f' , and ϕ' should be finite throughout the horizon exterior, it follows that

$$j = 1 - h(1+r\phi')^2 = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Among the two branches of Eq. (2.15), the solution that behaves as $\phi' \propto 1/r^2$ at spatial infinity is

$$\phi' = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} - 1 \right), \quad (2.16)$$

whose existence requires that $h > 0$. The other branch, $\phi' = (1/r)(-1/\sqrt{h}-1)$, has the asymptotic behavior $\phi' \simeq -2/r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, so the scalar field exhibits a logarithmic divergence $\phi \rightarrow -2 \ln r$. Hence we take the branch (2.16) as a physically acceptable solution. For $\alpha \neq 0$, we do not have the no-hair branch $\phi' = 0$. This is an outcome of the absence of a standard kinetic term X in the coupling functions (2.6).

From Eq. (2.16), the field kinetic term is given by

$$X = -\frac{(1 - \sqrt{h})^2}{2r^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

On the horizon characterized by the radius r_s , X has a non-vanishing value $X_s = -1/(2r_s^2)$, with the divergent field derivative $\phi' \propto 1/(r\sqrt{h})$. The metric components around $r = r_s$ can be expanded as $f = \hat{f}_1(r - r_s) + \hat{f}_2(r - r_s)^2 + \dots$ and $h = \hat{h}_1(r - r_s) + \hat{h}_2(r - r_s)^2 + \dots$. Then, the term $\phi' f$ in J vanishes at $r = r_s$, so $j = 0$ is the solution to $J = 0$ even on the horizon. Substituting $j = 0$ into Eq. (2.9), we obtain the solution $h = C_1 f$, where C_1 is a constant. With a suitable time reparametrization of f , we can choose $C_1 = 1$. Then, the differential Eq. (2.8) reduces to

$$f' = -\frac{(f-1)[r^2 + \alpha(f-1)]}{r[r^2 - 2\alpha(f-1)]}. \quad (2.18)$$

The integrated solution to this equation, which is consistent with the boundary conditions (2.12) at spatial infinity, is given by

$$f = h = 1 + \frac{r^2}{2\alpha} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\alpha M}{r^3}} \right], \quad (2.19)$$

where M is an integration constant. While we are considering regularized 4DEGB gravity given by the effective action (2.4), the BH solution with same metric components as (2.19) was also found in the original 4DEGB theory [18]. At large distances metric components behave as $f = h = 1 - 2M/r + \dots$, so the field derivative (2.16) decreases as $\phi' \simeq M/r^2$. For a small coupling α , expanding Eq. (2.19) with respect to α leads to $f = h = 1 - 2M/r + 4\alpha M^2/r^4 + \dots$. In the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (2.19) reduces to the Schwarzschild metric.

From Eq. (2.19), there are two horizons located at

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - \alpha}. \quad (2.20)$$

The outer horizon r_+ exists for the couplings

$$-8M^2 < \alpha < M^2. \quad (2.21)$$

In the coupling range $0 < \alpha < M^2$, we have $f > 0$ for $0 \leq r < r_-$ and $r_+ < r$, while $f < 0$ for $r_- < r < r_+$. In the range $-8M^2 < \alpha < 0$, metric components (2.19) become imaginary for $r < r_* \equiv (-8M\alpha)^{1/3}$, so only the region $r \geq r_*$ is physically meaningful. Since $r_+ \geq r_*$, r_* is located inside the outer horizon r_+ . For $\alpha = M^2$ there is only the single horizon at $r_{\pm} = M$, in which case $f \geq 0$ at any radius r .

For the flat internal space ($\lambda = 0$), we thus showed the uniqueness of BH solution (2.19) with the field derivative (2.16). The same conclusion was also reached in Ref. [46]. We have also seen that, for $\lambda \neq 0$, imposing the asymptotic flatness of solutions is not consistent with the scalar-field Eq. (2.10).

III. INSTABILITY OF HAIRY BLACK HOLES

We now address the linear stability of asymptotically-flat hairy BHs present for $\lambda = 0$. We study the behavior of odd- and even-parity perturbations on the background solution (2.19) with (2.16) in the region close to the outer horizon $r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - \alpha}$. Since $M = (r_+^2 + \alpha)/(2r_+)$, the metric components and field kinetic term expanded in the vicinity of $r = r_+$ are given by

$$f = h = \frac{r_+^2 - \alpha}{r_+(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)}(r - r_+) - \frac{r_+^6 - 3\alpha r_+^4 - 6\alpha^2 r_+^2 - \alpha^3}{r_+^2(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)^3}(r - r_+)^2 + \frac{(r_+^2 + \alpha)(r_+^8 - 10\alpha r_+^6 - 12\alpha^2 r_+^4 - 4\alpha^3 r_+^2 - 2\alpha^4)}{r_+^3(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)^5}(r - r_+)^3 + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^4), \quad (3.1)$$

$$X = -\frac{1}{2r_+^2} + \left[\frac{r_+^2 - \alpha}{r_+^5(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)} \right]^{1/2} (r - r_+)^{1/2} + \frac{r_+^2 + 5\alpha}{2r_+^3(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)}(r - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^{3/2}), \quad (3.2)$$

which are valid for $r > r_+$. In the parameter range (2.21) we have $r_+^2 - \alpha > 0$ and $r_+^2 + 2\alpha > 0$, so the coefficient in front of $(r - r_+)^{1/2}$ in Eq. (3.2) is a positive real value. Although X is finite on the horizon, it is not an analytic function of r . In Refs. [61, 62] the analytic property of X was assumed to study the BH stability around the horizon, so we need to handle the present case separately from those discussed in Refs. [61, 62].

The quantities relevant to the stability against odd-parity perturbations are given by [49]

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv 2G_4 + 2h\phi'^2 G_{4,X} - h\phi'^2 \left(G_{5,\phi} + \frac{f'h\phi'G_{5,X}}{2f} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv 2G_4 + h\phi'^2 G_{5,\phi} - h\phi'^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}h'\phi' + h\phi'' \right) G_{5,X}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv 2G_4 + 2h\phi'^2 G_{4,X} - h\phi'^2 G_{5,\phi} - \frac{h^2\phi'^3 G_{5,X}}{r}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the no-ghost condition corresponds to $\mathcal{G} > 0$. The squared propagation speeds of odd-parity gravitational perturbations along the radial and angular directions are given, respectively,

by $c_{r,\text{odd}}^2 = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F}$ and $c_{\Omega,\text{odd}}^2 = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$. Under the no-ghost condition $\mathcal{G} > 0$, the Laplacian instabilities are absent for $\mathcal{F} > 0$ and $\mathcal{H} > 0$.

Using the expanded solutions (3.1) and (3.2) for the theories given by the couplings (2.6) with $\lambda = 0$, the quantities \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} , and \mathcal{H} expanded around $r = r_+$ reduce, respectively, to

$$\mathcal{G} = 4\alpha \left[\frac{r_+^2 - \alpha}{r_+^3(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)} \right]^{1/2} (r - r_+)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^0), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{2(r_+^4 - 2r_+^2\alpha - 2\alpha^2)}{r_+^2(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^{1/2}), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{2r_+^2 + 4\alpha}{r_+^2} + \mathcal{O}(r - r_+). \quad (3.8)$$

If $\alpha > 0$, the ghost is absent in the vicinity of the outer horizon. Under this condition we have $\mathcal{H} > 0$, while the condition $\mathcal{F} > 0$ translates to $r_+^2 > (1 + \sqrt{3})\alpha$, i.e., $\alpha < 4(3\sqrt{3} - 5)M^2$. Then, the stability against odd-parity perturbations around $r = r_+$ requires that

$$0 < \alpha < 4(3\sqrt{3} - 5)M^2. \quad (3.9)$$

In the vicinity of $r = r_+$, the squared propagation speeds in the radial and angular directions reduce, respectively, to

$$c_{r,\text{odd}}^2 = \frac{2\alpha[r_+(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)(r_+^2 - \alpha)]^{1/2}}{r_+^4 - 2r_+^2\alpha - 2\alpha^2} (r - r_+)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^0), \quad (3.10)$$

$$c_{\Omega,\text{odd}}^2 = 2\alpha \left[\frac{r_+(r_+^2 - \alpha)}{(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)^3} \right]^{1/2} (r - r_+)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^0). \quad (3.11)$$

As r approaches r_+ , both $c_{r,\text{odd}}^2$ and $c_{\Omega,\text{odd}}^2$ exhibit divergences. This is the signal of strong coupling. We note that the divergence of $c_{r,\text{odd}}^2$ on the horizon was also reported in Ref. [58].

In the even-parity sector, there are two dynamical variables ψ and $\delta\phi$ corresponding to the gravitational and scalar-field perturbations, respectively [50, 60]. Under the condition $\mathcal{F} > 0$, the ghost is absent for

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv 2\mathcal{P}_1 - \mathcal{F} > 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \equiv \frac{h\mu}{2fr^2\mathcal{H}^2} \left(\frac{fr^4\mathcal{H}^4}{\mu^2h} \right)', \quad \mu \equiv \frac{2(\phi'a_1 + r\sqrt{f\hbar}\mathcal{H})}{\sqrt{f\hbar}}. \quad (3.13)$$

The explicit form of a_1 is given in Appendix A. In the limit of large frequencies, the radial propagation speed squared $c_{r1,\text{even}}^2$ of gravitational perturbation ψ is equivalent to $c_{r,\text{odd}}^2 = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F}$. The explicit form of radial propagation speed squared $c_{r2,\text{even}}^2$ of scalar-field perturbation $\delta\phi$ is given in

Refs. [50, 60]. In the limit of large multipoles $\ell \gg 1$, the squared propagation speeds of even-parity perturbations in the angular direction are given by [60]

$$c_{\Omega\pm}^2 = -B_1 \pm \sqrt{B_1^2 - B_2}, \quad (3.14)$$

where B_1 and B_2 are given in Appendix A. The angular Laplacian instability can be avoided for $c_{\Omega\pm}^2 > 0$. These conditions can be satisfied if

$$B_1^2 \geq B_2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 < 0. \quad (3.15)$$

If $B_2 < 0$, the BH solutions are subject to the Laplacian instability since one of $c_{\Omega\pm}^2$ is at least negative.

Using the expanded solutions (3.1)-(3.2) in the vicinity of $r = r_+$ and computing the product $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}B_2$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}B_2 = -\frac{\alpha^2[(2r_+^2 + \alpha)^2 + 3\alpha^2]^2}{r_+^2(r_+^2 + 2\alpha)^4} (r - r_+)^{-2} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^{-1}). \quad (3.16)$$

For $\alpha \neq 0$, the leading-order contribution to $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}B_2$ is negative. This shows that either \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{K} , or B_2 is negative, so the BH solution (2.19) with the field derivative (2.16) is inevitably plagued by instabilities around the outer horizon. The same property holds for hairy BH solutions where X is an analytic function of r with a non-vanishing constant X_s on the horizon [61, 62]. Here, we have shown that the BH instability also persists for the non-analytic function (3.2) of X in the vicinity of the outer horizon.

For the specific coupling

$$\alpha = r_+^2, \quad (3.17)$$

there is a single horizon located at $r_+ = M$. In this case, metric components f and h in Eq. (2.19) do not have negative values at any radius r . For this coupling, the term proportional to $r - r_+$ in Eq. (3.1) and the second term on the right hand-side of Eq. (3.2) are vanishing. Using the expansions (3.1) and (3.2) in the vicinity of $r_+ = M$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{G} = 6 + \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3} + \mathcal{O}(r - r_+), \quad \mathcal{F} = -2 + \mathcal{O}(r - r_+), \quad \mathcal{H} = 6 + \mathcal{O}(r - r_+), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\mathcal{K} = -\frac{8(44\sqrt{3} + 67)}{9r_+}(r - r_+) + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^2), \quad (3.19)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{(21\sqrt{3} - 2)r_+^3}{1319}(r - r_+)^{-3} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_+)^{-2}). \quad (3.20)$$

At leading order we have $\mathcal{F} < 0$ with $\mathcal{G} > 0$ and $\mathcal{H} > 0$, so the squared radial propagation speeds $c_{r,\text{odd}}^2 = c_{r1,\text{even}}^2 = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F}$ are negative. Moreover the leading-order term of \mathcal{K} is negative outside the

horizon, so there is a ghost in the even-parity sector. Although the product $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}B_2$ is positive for $\alpha = r_+^2$, the negative values of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} lead to the instability of BHs in the vicinity of $r = r_+$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the existence and stability of BH solutions on a static and spherically symmetric background in regularized 4DEGB gravity obtained from a Kaluza-Klein reduction of higher-dimensional EGB theory. The regularized 4DEGB theory derived by the rescaling of the GB coupling constant $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha/(D-4)$ belongs to a subclass of Horndeski theories given by the action (2.4). On using the background equation (2.10) of the scalar field, we showed that hairy asymptotically-flat BH solutions respecting the boundary conditions (2.12) at spatial infinity can exist only for a flat internal space ($\lambda = 0$).

For $\lambda = 0$, there is a unique hairy BH described by the metric components (2.19) with the scalar kinetic term (2.17). This solution has two horizons located at $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - \alpha}$, where the GB coupling is in the range $-8M^2 < \alpha < M^2$ for the existence of an outer horizon r_+ . We found that the absence of ghost/Laplacian instabilities against odd-parity perturbations requires that $0 < \alpha < 4(3\sqrt{3}-5)M^2$. For this hairy BH solution, the scalar field has a non-vanishing kinetic term X_s on the horizon. This property mostly arises from the existence of a derivative coupling term $4\alpha X$ in G_4 . Since there is no standard kinetic term X in G_2 , we do not have the no-hair branch $\phi'(r) = 0$ for $\alpha \neq 0$.

Applying linear stability conditions in the even-parity sector to the hairy BH solution in the coupling range $0 < \alpha < 4(3\sqrt{3}-5)M^2$ and computing the product $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{K}B_2$, we showed that the leading-order term of this product is negative in the vicinity of an outer horizon. This means that, even if \mathcal{F} is positive, either \mathcal{K} or B_2 is negative. Hence we encounter either ghost or angular Laplacian instabilities of even-parity perturbations. The squared propagation speeds of even-parity perturbations (3.14) along the angular direction with large multipoles ($\ell \gg 1$) play a crucial role to recognize this kind of instability. There is a specific coupling $\alpha = r_+^2 = M^2$ that gives rise to a single horizon, but the resulting BH solution suffers from the radial Laplacian instability of odd modes as well as ghosts in the even-parity sector.

Our argument of the instability of BHs is valid for the effective 4-dimensional action (2.4) that belongs to a subclass of Horndeski theories. To discuss the stability of higher-dimensional BH solutions present in $D > 4$ EGB theories [29, 68], we need to reformulate BH perturbations on the higher-dimensional background. In the presence of an electromagnetic Lagrangian $-F_{AB}F^{AB}/4$,

it is known that there are exact charged BH solutions in $D > 4$ dimensions [69, 70]. In 4DEGB gravity reduced from $D > 4$ EGB theory with the rescaling $\hat{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha/(D-4)$, we also have hairy charged BHs [33, 35, 42] whose metric components are the same as those derived in the context of 4D gravity with a conformal anomaly [71, 72]. It will be of interest to address the stability of such charged BH solutions against linear perturbations, along the line of Refs. [73–75] performed in particular classes of vector-(scalar)-tensor theories.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Masato Minamitsuji for useful comments on the draft. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Fund of the JSPS Nos. 19K03854 and 22K03642.

Appendix A: Coefficients relevant to the stability of perturbations

The term a_1 appearing in Eq. (3.13) is given by

$$a_1 = \sqrt{fh} \left\{ \left[G_{4,\phi} + \frac{1}{2}h(G_{3,X} - 2G_{4,\phi X})\phi'^2 \right] r^2 + 2h\phi' \left[G_{4,X} - G_{5,\phi} - \frac{1}{2}h(2G_{4,XX} - G_{5,\phi X})\phi'^2 \right] r + \frac{1}{2}G_{5,XX}h^3\phi'^4 - \frac{1}{2}G_{5,X}h(3h-1)\phi'^2 \right\}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The quantities B_1 and B_2 are expressed as

$$B_1 = \frac{r^3\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H}(4h\beta_0\beta_1 + \beta_2 - 4\phi'a_1\beta_3) - 2fh\mathcal{G}[r\sqrt{f\bar{h}}(2\mathcal{P}_1 - \mathcal{F})\mathcal{H}(\beta_0 + \phi'a_1) + 2\phi'^2a_1^2\mathcal{P}_1]}{4fh(2\mathcal{P}_1 - \mathcal{F})\mathcal{H}\beta_0^2}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$B_2 = -r^2\frac{r^2h\beta_1(2fh\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\beta_0 + r^2\beta_2) - r^4\beta_2\beta_3 - fh\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}(\phi'fh\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}a_1 + 2r^3\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H}\beta_3)}{fh\phi'a_1(2\mathcal{P}_1 - \mathcal{F})\mathcal{F}\beta_0^2}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where

$$\beta_0 = \phi'a_1 + r\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H}e_4 - \phi'(\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H})'c_4 + \frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{h'}{h} - \frac{2}{r} \right) \mathcal{H} + \frac{2\mathcal{F}}{r} \right] \phi'c_4 + \frac{f\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}{2r^2}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\beta_2 = \left[\frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{F}}{r^2} \left(2hr\phi'^2c_4 + \frac{r\phi'f'\sqrt{h}}{2\sqrt{f}}\mathcal{H} - \phi'\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{G} \right) - \frac{\phi'fh\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}}{r} \left(\frac{\mathcal{G}'}{\mathcal{G}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{f'}{2f} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right] a_1 - \frac{2}{r}(fh)^{3/2}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\beta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{H}}{2}\phi' \left(hc'_4 + \frac{1}{2}h'c_4 - \frac{d_3}{2} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{r} + \mathcal{H}' \right) \left(2h\phi'c_4 + \frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{G}}{2r} + \frac{f'\sqrt{h}\mathcal{H}}{4\sqrt{f}} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{F}}{4r} \left(2h\phi'c_4 + \frac{3\sqrt{f\bar{h}}\mathcal{G}}{r} + \frac{f'\sqrt{h}\mathcal{H}}{2\sqrt{f}} \right), \quad (\text{A7})$$

with

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{f}}{\sqrt{h}} \left\{ \frac{h\phi'}{f} \left[2G_{4,X} - 2G_{5,\phi} - h(2G_{4,XX} - G_{5,\phi X})\phi'^2 - \frac{h\phi'(3G_{5,X} - G_{5,XX}\phi'^2 h)}{r} \right] f' \right. \\ \left. + 4G_{4,\phi} + 2h(G_{3,X} - 2G_{4,\phi X})\phi'^2 + \frac{4h(G_{4,X} - G_{5,\phi})\phi' - 2h^2(2G_{4,XX} - G_{5,\phi X})\phi'^3}{r} \right\}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$d_3 = -\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{2\phi''}{\phi'} + \frac{h'}{h} \right) a_1 + \frac{f^{3/2} h^{1/2}}{(f'r - 2f)\phi'} \left(\frac{2\phi''}{h\phi'r} + \frac{f'^2}{f^2} - \frac{f'h'}{fh} - \frac{2f'}{fr} + \frac{2h'}{hr} + \frac{h'}{h^2 r} \right) \mathcal{H} \\ + \frac{f'r - 2f}{2r} \sqrt{\frac{h}{f}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\sqrt{f}}{\phi' \sqrt{hr^2}} \mathcal{F} - \frac{f^{3/2}}{\sqrt{h}(f'r - 2f)\phi'} \left(\frac{f'}{fr} + \frac{2\phi''}{\phi'r} + \frac{h'}{hr} - \frac{2}{r^2} \right) \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$e_4 = \frac{1}{\phi'} c'_4 - \frac{f'}{4fh\phi'^2} \left(\sqrt{fh} \mathcal{H} \right)' - \frac{\sqrt{f}}{2\phi'^2 \sqrt{hr}} \mathcal{G}' + \frac{1}{h\phi'r^2} \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi'} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h'}{h} \right) a_1 \\ + \frac{\sqrt{f}}{8\sqrt{h}\phi'^2} \left[\frac{(f'r - 6f)f'}{f^2 r} + \frac{h'(f'r + 4f)}{fhr} - \frac{4f(2\phi''h + h'\phi')}{\phi'h^2 r(f'r - 2f)} \right] \mathcal{H} + \frac{h'}{2h\phi'} c_4 \\ - \frac{f'r - 2f}{4\sqrt{f}hr\phi'} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{f'hr - f}{2r^2 \sqrt{f}h^{3/2}\phi'^2} \mathcal{F} + \frac{\sqrt{f}}{2r\phi'^2 h^{3/2}} \left[\frac{f(2\phi''h + h'\phi')}{h\phi'(f'r - 2f)} + \frac{2f - f'hr}{2fr} \right] \mathcal{G}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

- [1] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 1753 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0603057.
- [2] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010), arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc].
- [3] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, and C. Skordis, *Phys. Rept.* **513**, 1 (2012), arXiv:1106.2476 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] A. Joyce, B. Jain, J. Khoury, and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rept.* **568**, 1 (2015), arXiv:1407.0059 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] L. Heisenberg, *Phys. Rept.* **796**, 1 (2019), arXiv:1807.01725 [gr-qc].
- [6] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
- [7] E. Berti *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 243001 (2015), arXiv:1501.07274 [gr-qc].
- [8] L. Barack *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 143001 (2019), arXiv:1806.05195 [gr-qc].
- [9] G. W. Horndeski, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363 (1974).
- [10] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer, and G. Zahariade, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 064039 (2011), arXiv:1103.3260 [hep-th].
- [11] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **126**, 511 (2011), arXiv:1105.5723 [hep-th].
- [12] C. Charmousis, E. J. Copeland, A. Padilla, and P. M. Saffin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 051101 (2012), arXiv:1106.2000 [hep-th].
- [13] M. Ostrogradsky, *Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg* **6**, 385 (1850).
- [14] D. Lovelock, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 498 (1971).

- [15] D. Lovelock, *J. Math. Phys.* **13**, 874 (1972).
- [16] T. Padmanabhan and D. Kothawala, *Phys. Rept.* **531**, 115 (2013), arXiv:1302.2151 [gr-qc].
- [17] P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, and D. J. Mulryne, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 063001 (2022), arXiv:2202.13908 [gr-qc].
- [18] D. Glavan and C. Lin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 081301 (2020), arXiv:1905.03601 [gr-qc].
- [19] J. Arrechea, A. Delhom, and A. Jiménez-Cano, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 013107 (2021), arXiv:2004.12998 [gr-qc].
- [20] J. Arrechea, A. Delhom, and A. Jiménez-Cano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 149002 (2020), arXiv:2009.10715 [gr-qc].
- [21] S. Mahapatra, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 992 (2020), arXiv:2004.09214 [gr-qc].
- [22] M. Gürses, T. c. Şişman, and B. Tekin, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 647 (2020), arXiv:2004.03390 [gr-qc].
- [23] M. Gürses, T. c. Şişman, and B. Tekin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 149001 (2020), arXiv:2009.13508 [gr-qc].
- [24] P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, and D. J. Mulryne, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 024025 (2020), arXiv:2004.08362 [gr-qc].
- [25] R. A. Hennigar, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann, and C. Pollack, *JHEP* **07**, 027 (2020), arXiv:2004.09472 [gr-qc].
- [26] H. Lu and Y. Pang, *Phys. Lett. B* **809**, 135717 (2020), arXiv:2003.11552 [gr-qc].
- [27] T. Kobayashi, *JCAP* **07**, 013 (2020), arXiv:2003.12771 [gr-qc].
- [28] K. Aoki, M. A. Gorji, and S. Mukohyama, *Phys. Lett. B* **810**, 135843 (2020), arXiv:2005.03859 [gr-qc].
- [29] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2656 (1985).
- [30] J. T. Wheeler, *Nucl. Phys. B* **273**, 732 (1986).
- [31] R. A. Konoplya and A. F. Zinhailo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 1049 (2020), arXiv:2003.01188 [gr-qc].
- [32] M. Guo and P.-C. Li, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 588 (2020), arXiv:2003.02523 [gr-qc].
- [33] P. G. S. Fernandes, *Phys. Lett. B* **805**, 135468 (2020), arXiv:2003.05491 [gr-qc].
- [34] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 084038 (2020), arXiv:2003.07788 [gr-qc].
- [35] K. Hegde, A. Naveena Kumara, C. L. A. Rizwan, A. K. M., and M. S. Ali, arXiv:2003.08778 [gr-qc].
- [36] S. G. Ghosh and S. D. Maharaj, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **30**, 100687 (2020), arXiv:2003.09841 [gr-qc].
- [37] S. G. Ghosh and R. Kumar, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37**, 245008 (2020), arXiv:2003.12291 [gr-qc].
- [38] S. A. Hosseini Mansoori, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **31**, 100776 (2021), arXiv:2003.13382 [gr-qc].
- [39] S.-W. Wei and Y.-X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 104018 (2020), arXiv:2003.14275 [gr-qc].
- [40] A. K. Mishra, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **52**, 106 (2020), arXiv:2004.01243 [gr-qc].
- [41] D. V. Singh and S. Siwach, *Phys. Lett. B* **808**, 135658 (2020), arXiv:2003.11754 [gr-qc].
- [42] B. Eslam Panah, K. Jafarzade, and S. H. Hendi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **961**, 115269 (2020), arXiv:2004.04058 [hep-th].
- [43] S.-J. Yang, J.-J. Wan, J. Chen, J. Yang, and Y.-Q. Wang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 937 (2020), arXiv:2004.07934 [gr-qc].
- [44] X.-X. Zeng, H.-Q. Zhang, and H. Zhang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 872 (2020), arXiv:2004.12074 [gr-qc].

- [45] N. Dadhich, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 832 (2020), arXiv:2005.05757 [gr-qc].
- [46] P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, and D. J. Mulryne, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 044029 (2021), arXiv:2107.00046 [gr-qc].
- [47] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063 (1957).
- [48] F. J. Zerilli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24**, 737 (1970).
- [49] T. Kobayashi, H. Motohashi, and T. Suyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 084025 (2012), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 109903 (2017)], arXiv:1202.4893 [gr-qc].
- [50] T. Kobayashi, H. Motohashi, and T. Suyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084042 (2014), arXiv:1402.6740 [gr-qc].
- [51] A. De Felice, T. Suyama, and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 104035 (2011), arXiv:1102.1521 [gr-qc].
- [52] H. Motohashi and T. Suyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 084041 (2011), arXiv:1107.3705 [gr-qc].
- [53] R. Kase, L. A. Gergely, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 124019 (2014), arXiv:1406.2402 [hep-th].
- [54] O. J. Tattersall and P. G. Ferreira, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 104047 (2018), arXiv:1804.08950 [gr-qc].
- [55] R. Kase, R. Kimura, S. Sato, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 084037 (2020), arXiv:2007.09864 [gr-qc].
- [56] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **30**, 100697 (2020), arXiv:2003.12492 [gr-qc].
- [57] M. A. Cuyubamba, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **31**, 100789 (2021), arXiv:2004.09025 [gr-qc].
- [58] D. Langlois, K. Noui, and H. Roussille, arXiv:2204.04107 [gr-qc].
- [59] D. Langlois, K. Noui, and H. Roussille, arXiv:2205.07746 [gr-qc].
- [60] R. Kase and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 024059 (2022), arXiv:2110.12728 [gr-qc].
- [61] M. Minamitsuji, K. Takahashi, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 104001 (2022), arXiv:2201.09687 [gr-qc].
- [62] M. Minamitsuji, K. Takahashi, and S. Tsujikawa, arXiv:2204.13837 [gr-qc].
- [63] M. Rinaldi, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 084048 (2012), arXiv:1208.0103 [gr-qc].
- [64] A. Anabalón, A. Cisterna, and J. Oliva, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084050 (2014), arXiv:1312.3597 [gr-qc].
- [65] M. Minamitsuji, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 064017 (2014), arXiv:1312.3759 [gr-qc].
- [66] K. Van Acoleyen and J. Van Doorselaere, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 084025 (2011), arXiv:1102.0487 [gr-qc].
- [67] C. Charmousis, B. Gouteraux, and E. Kiritsis, *JHEP* **09**, 011 (2012), arXiv:1206.1499 [hep-th].
- [68] R.-G. Cai, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084014 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0109133.
- [69] D. L. Wiltshire, *Phys. Lett. B* **169**, 36 (1986).
- [70] D. L. Wiltshire, *Phys. Rev. D* **38**, 2445 (1988).
- [71] R.-G. Cai, L.-M. Cao, and N. Ohta, *JHEP* **04**, 082 (2010), arXiv:0911.4379 [hep-th].
- [72] R.-G. Cai, *Phys. Lett. B* **733**, 183 (2014), arXiv:1405.1246 [hep-th].
- [73] R. Kase, M. Minamitsuji, S. Tsujikawa, and Y.-L. Zhang, *JCAP* **02**, 048 (2018), arXiv:1801.01787 [gr-qc].
- [74] R. Kase, M. Minamitsuji, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **782**, 541 (2018), arXiv:1803.06335 [gr-qc].
- [75] L. Heisenberg, R. Kase, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 124043 (2018), arXiv:1804.00535 [gr-qc].