arXiv:2205.10436v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 9 Nov 2023

Emergence of bacterial glass

Hisay Lama,¹ Masahiro J. Yamamoto,^{2,1} Yujiro Furuta,^{3,4} Takuro Shimaya,^{1,4} and Kazumasa A. Takeuchi^{1,4,*}

¹Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

²National Metrology Institute of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba, Japan

³Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

(Dated: November 13, 2023)

Dense bacteria show a plethora of collective states with biological implications regarding colonies and biofilms, but their physical characterization remains challenging. A primary difficulty was to realize a uniform growth condition for dense populations. Here we overcome this by a membranebased microfluidic device and report the emergence of glassy states in two-dimensional suspension of *Escherichia coli*. As the number density increases by cell growth, populations of motile bacteria transition to a glassy state, where cells are packed and unable to move. This takes place in two steps, the first one suppressing only the orientational modes and the second one vitrifying the motion completely. Characterizing each phase through statistical analyses and investigations of individual motion of bacteria, we find a few properties that may distinguish our glassy bacterial populations from thermal glass. These include the spontaneous formation of micro-domains of aligned cells with collective motion, the appearance of an unusual signal in the dynamic susceptibility, and the dynamic slowdown with a density dependence generally forbidden for thermal systems. Our results are expected to capture general characteristics of such active rod glass, which may serve as a physical mechanism underlying dense bacterial aggregates.

Dense bacterial populations, especially biofilms, abound in diverse natural environments and beyond [1, 2], being also encountered in medicine and industries often as the bane [2–4]. Whereas understanding biofilms certainly requires characterizing their multifaceted aspects [1, 2], including extracellular substances and cell phenotypes, physical consequences of being dense aggregates receive less attention. Physically, such dense particle systems may undergo glass and jamming transitions as the number density is increased, showing drammatic changes in both single-particle dynamics and material properties as suspension [5–9]. A few recent studies indeed pointed out that such transitions may serve for the regulation of motility and three-dimensional cell growth in bacterial colonies [10, 11].

Characterization of glassy dynamics and transitions in dense bacterial populations has been faced with challenges. First, unlike thermal systems made of, e.g., colloidal particles, bacterial cells grow and self-propel. Such active characters of particles are known to have nontrivial effects upon glass transitions, leading to the recent surge of interest in active glass systems [12, 13]. Experimentally, cytoplasm and cell extracts [14–16] as well as mammalian tissues [17–19] are best studied in this context, posing interesting problems both in physics and biology [12, 13]. By contrast, glassy states of bacterial populations remain largely unexplored, especially under controlled environments that are necessary for the quantitative investigation. A primary difficulty is to keep uniform growth conditions for such dense cell populations for long time. While microfluidics is generally suitable for controlled experiments, conventional devices that deliver nutrients through channels cannot maintain uniform growth conditions for large and dense populations [20].

Here we overcame past difficulties and report a controlled experiment characterizing emergent glassy phases of dense populations of motile bacteria. We used a membrane-type microfluidic device developed in [21] (Fig. 1a), named the extensive microperfusion system (EMPS). Delivering growth medium to bacteria through a porous membrane, this device can maintain a uniform growth condition for bacteria trapped in a 2D well, even if the bacterial cells are densely packed [21]. In the present work, we cultured motile bacteria (E. coli, strain RP437) in a closed 2D well (diameter 71.2 \pm 0.5 µm, depth $\approx 1.4 \,\mu\mathrm{m}$) supplied with growth medium (tryptone broth supplemented with surfactant; see Methods), and monitored their spatiotemporal dynamics in a region near the center. Bacteria were initially swimming actively. However, as the area fraction of bacteria, ϕ , increased by cell growth and division (Fig. 1b-e and Supplementary Fig. 1), we found that the motion of the bacterial population started to be hampered rapidly (Videos 1-5), while their positions and orientations remained globally disordered in space (Fig. 1f-i). In particular, the static structure factor does not show a significant change in its shape (Fig. 2a) in the range of ϕ where the bacterial motion slows down.

The rapid suppression of dynamics can be evaluated by the difference of phase-contrast image intensities taken at two different times, $\Delta I(\vec{r}, t, \Delta t) = I(\vec{r}, t + \Delta t) - I(\vec{r}, t)$. Figure 1**j-m** shows that, with increasing ϕ , the region with $\Delta I(\vec{r}, t, \Delta t) \approx 0$ (where bacteria hardly moved during the chosen time period) expanded, and eventually, near $\phi \approx 0.88$, the entire population became kinetically arrested, i.e., vitrified. We also notice that this kinetic arrest took place heterogeneously (see Fig. 1**k**,**l** and Video 1), analogously to glassy systems showing the



FIG. 1. Experimental setup and vitrification of the bacterial population. a, Sketch of the experimental system. b-e, Phase-contrast images for different area fractions ϕ . f-i, Orientation field (pseudocolor) overlaid on the phase-contrast images. j-m, Intensity difference $\Delta I(\vec{r}, t, \Delta t) = I(\vec{r}, t + \Delta t) - I(\vec{r}, t)$ with $\Delta t = 0.053$ s.

dynamic heterogeneity [6, 7].

We characterize the observed vitrification by the differential variance analysis (DVA) [22], which uses the intensity difference $\Delta I(\vec{r}, t, \Delta t)$ to analyze the structural relaxation and the dynamic heterogeneity. The structural relaxation is studied by the overlap function defined by

$$Q(\Delta t) = 1 - \frac{V(\Delta t)}{V(\infty)},\tag{1}$$

where $V(\Delta t) = \langle \Delta I(\vec{r}, t, \Delta t)^2 \rangle_{\vec{r},t}$ is the intensity variance taken over position \vec{r} and reference time t, and $V(\infty)$ is evaluated by twice the variance of $I(\vec{r}, t)$. The quantity $Q(\Delta t)$ roughly corresponds to the fraction of bacteria that did not move over lag time Δt . More quantitatively, $Q(\Delta t)$ was reported to behave similarly to the selfintermediate scattering function [22], a quantity often used to characterize the structural relaxation of glassy materials.

Figure 2b shows the result of structural relaxation assessed through $Q(\Delta t)$ for different ϕ . For low ϕ , $Q(\Delta t)$ decays to zero after a relatively short relaxation time, indicating fast structural relaxation. This corresponds to what is called the α -relaxation in the literature [5– 9]. However, this α -relaxation time increases rapidly for $\phi \gtrsim 0.85$, soon exceeding the observation time. We therefore fit the data by a stretched exponential function, $Q(\Delta t) \sim e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_Q)^{\beta_Q}}$, well-known to describe the α -relaxation of glassy materials [5, 6], to evaluate the relaxation time τ_Q (Supplementary Fig. 2). The result indeed shows rapid increase of τ_Q (Fig. 2d blue symbols), by nearly two orders of magnitude in 0.78 $\lesssim \phi \lesssim 0.88$. The observed superexponential growth of τ_Q indicates that our bacterial populations are a fragile glass former [5–7]. It is compatible with typical growth laws documented in the literature, such as the power-law divergence $\tau_Q \sim (\phi_c^Q - \phi)^{-\gamma_Q}$ predicted by mode-coupling theories (MCT) [5, 6, 9] (Fig. 2d blue dashed line) as well as the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman law [5–7] (Supplementary Fig. 3). This allows us to evaluate the glass transition point (in the sense of MCT) at $\phi_c^Q = 0.882(4)$, where the number(s) in the parentheses represents the uncertainty in the last digit(s) (see Methods).

At this point, it is worth recalling the spherocylindrical shape of the constituting entity, namely *E. coli*, which has both translational and orientational degrees of freedom. The relaxation of the orientational degrees of freedom can be evaluated by the orientational correlation function

$$C_{\theta}(\Delta t) = \langle \cos 2[\theta(\vec{r}, t + \Delta t) - \theta(\vec{r}, t)] \rangle_{\vec{r}, t}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\theta(\vec{r},t)$ represents the nematic orientation angle. Figure 2c shows $C_{\theta}(\Delta t)$ for different ϕ . Similarly to $Q(\Delta t)$, $C_{\theta}(\Delta t)$ also decays, following the stretched exponential form $C_{\theta}(\Delta t) \sim e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_{\theta})^{\beta_{\theta}}}$ (Supplementary Fig. 5), with a characteristic relaxation time τ_{θ} that increases rapidly with ϕ (Fig. 2d red symbols). Importantly, we find that the orientational relaxation time τ_{θ} is larger than that of the overlap function τ_Q by an order of magnitude or more, and seems to diverge at lower ϕ . This is underpinned by the MCT fitting $\tau_{\theta} \sim (\phi_c^{\theta} - \phi)^{-\gamma_{\theta}}$ (Fig. 2d red dashed line), which gives $\phi_c^{\theta} = 0.881(11)$ that is significantly smaller than $\phi_c^Q = 0.882(4)$. The same conclusion was reached when the data were fitted with the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman law (Supplementary Fig. 3). From $\phi_c^{\theta} < \phi_c^Q$, we conclude that the orientational de-

3



FIG. 2. Static structure factor, structural relaxation and dynamic susceptibility. a, The static structure factor $S(\vec{q})$ for different area fractions ϕ . In the main panel, it is shown as a function of $q = |\vec{q}|$ by taking average over all angles in the reciprocal space. b, The overlap function $Q(\Delta t)$ for different area fractions ϕ (legend). c, The orientational correlation function $C_{\theta}(\Delta t)$ for different area fractions ϕ (legend). d, The translational (overlap) and the orientational relaxation times, τ_Q (blue) and τ_{θ} (red), respectively, as functions of ϕ . The dashed lines indicate the results of the MCT fitting, $\tau \sim (\phi_c - \phi)^{-\gamma}$, with $\phi_c^Q = 0.882(4)$ for τ_Q and $\phi_c^{\theta} = 0.851(11)$ for τ_{θ} . e, The dynamic susceptibility $\chi_4^{\theta}(\Delta t)$ associated with the overlap function, for different area fractions ϕ (legend). f, The dynamic susceptibility $\chi_4^{\theta}(\Delta t)$ associated with the orientation modes, for different area fractions ϕ (legend). The same symbols indicate the same values of ϕ in all panels expect d.

grees of freedom vitrify earlier than the rest, i.e., the translational degrees of freedom, the latter of which essentially governed the relaxation of the overlap function. In other words, the glass transition in our system takes place in two steps, the first being a transition to the orientation glass at $\phi_{\rm c}^{\theta} = 0.851(11)$ and the second the ultimate transition to the complete glass at $\phi_c^Q = 0.882(4)$ (Fig. 2d). A similar two-step transition was also reported for glass transitions of ellipsoidal colloids [23–26], while there also exist theoretical and numerical studies which showed that translational degrees of freedom may vitrify before the orientational ones [27, 28]. It is also interesting to note that our estimates of the exponent γ , specifically $\gamma_Q = 1.5(3)$ and $\gamma_{\theta} = 1.5(12)$, seem to suggest the athermal nature of our system, because MCT generally gives $\gamma > 1.76...$ for thermal systems [5] (see).

Another hallmark of glassy dynamics is the dynamic

heterogeneity, which concerns nontrivial spatiotemporal correlation that develops near the glass transition [6, 7]. It is often quantified by the dynamic susceptibility χ_4 , which is essentially the variance of the structural correlation function. In DVA [22], it can be evaluated through the variance of $Q(t, \Delta t)$, defined analogously to Eq. (1) but with a given t, without taking average over time. Here we adopt the following definition:

$$\chi_4^Q(\Delta t) = \phi[\langle Q(t,\Delta t)^2 \rangle_t - \langle Q(t,\Delta t) \rangle_t^2], \qquad (3)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_t$ denotes time averaging. The result in Fig. 2e shows that $\chi_4^Q(\Delta t)$ develops a peak as the second transition point $\phi_c^Q = 0.882(4)$ is approached, at the time scale consistent with τ_Q . This is typical of glassy systems [6], providing another support of characteristic glassy dynamics in our bacteria. By contrast, Fig. 2e also shows an unusual peak development for low ϕ , at small Δt .

This will be scrutinized below, through the analysis of the motion of bacteria and the collectivity. We also evaluated the dynamic susceptibility associated with the orientational degrees of freedom, defined by $\chi_4^{\theta}(\Delta t) = \phi[\langle C_{\theta}(t, \Delta t)^2 \rangle - \langle C_{\theta}(t, \Delta t) \rangle^2]$ (Fig. 2f). In this quantity, we only see the peak due to the transition to the orientation glass, with the profile change controlled by the increase of τ_{θ} (inset).

Now we characterize the observed phases. First, we tracked single cells and investigated the evolution of their position $\vec{r}_i(t)$ and orientation $\theta_i(t)$. Figure 3a displays an example in the orientation glass phase, shown with time series of the displacement $\Delta \vec{r}_i(t) = \vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0)$ and the orientation $\theta_i(t)$ (Fig. 3b,c, respectively; see also Video 6). This cell was initially caged by neighbors $(t \leq 5 \text{ s})$, but eventually escaped and moved significantly, over 4 μ m or so during 5 s $\lesssim t \lesssim$ 7 s, until it was caged again. This is the cage effect, another characteristic of glassy systems [5–7]. Moreover, Fig. 3d shows that the displacement tends to occur along the cell orientation, even in such a dense population where cells are pushed by neighbors in all directions. In other words, cells are led to move along the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$, which is frozen in the orientation glass. As a result, it is practically only when bacteria move, by escaping from a cage, that they change the orientation significantly in the orientation glass phase (compare Fig. 3bc). By contrast, in the active fluid phase $\phi < \phi_{\rm c}^{\theta},$ the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r},t)$ along which bacteria tend to move evolves in space and time.

Then we scrutinize the spatial structure of the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$, which turned out to guide the motion of bacteria. Figures 4a, b show, in their top left corner, $\theta(\vec{r},t)$ measured in the entire well, for $\phi = 0.785(6)$ (active fluid phase) and $\phi = 0.873(4)$ (orientation glass), respectively (see also Videos 7-10). Both figures indicate the formation of nematic microdomains, composed of cells that are oriented in similar directions, reminiscent of those reported earlier for growing colonies of nonmotile bacteria [29]. By plotting $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)|^2$ (main panels of Fig. 4a,b), we find that these domains are surrounded largely, if not entirely, by nearly discontinuous borders (red; notice the logarithmic scale of the pseudocolor code) with neighboring domains oriented in different directions. This microdomain structure is considered to be a result of the competition between the steric interaction, which tends to align the cells locally, and the activitydriven force, which originates from self-propulsion and cell growth and tends to destabilize the ordered alignment. We characterize the distribution of microdomain areas A and find an exponential distribution e^{-A/A_0} , with characteristic area $A_0 = 8.3(21) \ \mu m^2$ that hardly depends on ϕ (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 6). The exponential distribution was also found for nonmotile bacterial colonies [29], but the characteristic area A_0 turned out to be much smaller in our motile bacteria, presumably because of the stronger destabilizing force due to the self-propulsion.

An important consequence of the formation of microdomains is the emergence of collective motion. Since individual bacteria tend to move along the orientation field, they attempt to move collectively in each microdomain, though the motion is largely hampered in the glassy states. However, in the active fluid phase, bacteria do move in the form of microdomains or clusters (Fig. 5a,b and Videos 7 and 8), more visibly for lower ϕ , similarly to swarming states of bacteria [30–34]. The collective motion is evidenced by the presence of the velocity correlation between nearby cells (Fig. 5c), within a distance of $\approx 2 \ \mu m$, in accordance with the typical size of the microdomains reported above. Note that the velocity correlation is generally absent in thermal systems, hence another athermal characteristic of our system, and is known to play a significant role in active glassy dynamics [12, 35]. In our system, the velocity correlation is formed as a result of the microdomain structure of the orientations, but it is noteworthy that the observed velocity correlation indicates the presence of polar order, despite the essentially nematic nature of the steric interaction. This produces nontrivial spatiotemporal correlation, which presumably results in the anomalous peak of the dynamic susceptibility χ^Q_4 found to develop for low ϕ (Fig. 2e). This argument is also supported by the fact that the anomalous peak is absent in the dynamic susceptibility of the orientation (Fig. 2f), χ_4^{θ} , which is not affected by the bacterial motion. Interestingly, a similar short-time peak was also reported in a recent simulation of particles self-propelling in discrete directions and attributed to cooperative vibrational motion of active particles [36].

To summarize, we report that dense populations of motile bacteria spontaneously vitrify as the number density increases by cell growth and division. We found that this transition takes place in two steps as sketched in Fig. 2d, first from the active fluid phase to the orientation glass, where only the orientational degrees of freedom are arrested, and second to the complete glass where the remaining translational degrees of freedom are also arrested. These transitions were found to show characteristic properties of glass transitions, such as rapid slowdown, fragility, dynamic heterogeneity, and cage effects. We also showed that bacteria form nematic microdomains, leading to collective motion which seems to result in the unusual signal in the dynamic susceptibility χ_4^Q for low ϕ . These are attributed to the rod shape and self-propulsion of bacteria, and as such, can be characteristic of active rod systems in general. All in all, our experiment represents a model system for studying dense bacterial populations, which may be relevant in broad contexts including biofilms, as well as characteristic glassy dynamics of such active rod systems.



FIG. 3. Glassy dynamics in the motion of individual bacteria. The displayed results are for $\phi = 0.873(4)$, which is in the orientation glass phase. **a**, Trajectory of a single cell for $0 \le t \le 13.14$ s, drawn on the phase-contrast image taken at the last time frame. The positions at t = 0, 3.29, 6.58, 9.87, 13.14 s are shown by colored disks with label $1, 2, \dots, 5$, respectively. **b,c**, Time series of the displacement from the initial position, $|\Delta \vec{r_i}(t)|$ (**b**), and that of the orientation $\theta_i(t)$ (**c**) of the cell tracked in panel **a**. These time series show a cage escape event that took place during $5 \text{ s} \le t \le 7 \text{ s}$. **d**, Histogram of the angle difference between the cell orientation and the displacement (see the sketch). The cell orientations and the displacements are measured between two times separated by an interval Δt , with $\Delta t = 0.026$ s (blue) and 0.26 s (red).



FIG. 4. Formation of microdomains of aligned cells. a,b, The orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$ (top right) and its gradient squared $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)|^2$ (main) overlaid on the phase-contrast image, for $\phi = 0.785(6)$ (a, active fluid) and $\phi = 0.873(4)$ (b, orientation glass). See also Videos 7-10. The pseudocolor code for the orientation is identical to that used in Fig. 1f-i. c, Histograms of the microdomain area A for different ϕ (legend). The results were shifted vertically for the sake of visibility. The dashed lines are guides for the eyes indicating the exponential distribution e^{-A/A_0} with $A_0 = 8.3(21) \,\mu\text{m}^2$. The presented results were obtained with a threshold $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)| \geq 10^{-2} \,(\text{rad}/\mu\text{m})^2$ here. Changing this threshold results in a constant vertical shift (Supplementary Fig. 6), while it hardly affects the characteristic area A_0 of the exponential distribution.

METHODS

Culture of bacteria.

We used a motile strain of *Escherichia coli*, RP437, in this work. First we inoculated bacteria into a sterile test tube with 5 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (containing bacto-tryptone 10 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, and NaCl 10 g/L). Then we incubated the liquid culture inside a shaking incubator at 37 °C for 12 h at the shaking speed of 220 rpm. We resuspended 100 μ L of the overnight culture into 10 mL of fresh tryptone broth (TB, containing bacto-tryptone 10 g/L and NaCl 10 g/L), and again incubated it for 4 h in the same culture condition. Finally, we measured the optical density of the liquid culture by a spectrophotometer. The optical density at wave length 600 nm, OD₆₀₀, was 0.4, which is equivalent to 10^8 cells/mL. We diluted the liquid culture to a concentration of OD₆₀₀ = 0.1 (~ 10^7 cells/mL) by resuspending it into a fresh TB medium containing $0.02~{\rm wt\%}$ of surfact ant Tween-20.

Fabrication of microfluidic device.

We performed experiments using a membrane-based microfluidic device, namely, the extensive microperfusion system (EMPS) [21] (Fig. 1a). The EMPS comprises a micropatterned coverslip, a bilayer porous membrane (cellulose and polyethylene terephthalate), and a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) pad with an inlet and two outlets. The coverslip substrate used in the present work has an array of circular wells (diameter $\approx 70 \ \mu$ m and depth $\approx 1.4 \ \mu$ m) that we microfabricated. To assemble the device, we first soaked the coverslip substrate in 1 wt% solution of 3-(2-aminoethyl aminopropyl) trimethoxysilane (Shin-Etsu Chemical) and then treated with biotin solution. We also prepared a bilayer membrane of EMPS, which comprises a biotin-coated polyethylene terephthalate porous

^a $10\mu m$ $10\mu m$

FIG. 5. Collective motion of bacteria in the active fluid phase for $\phi = 0.785(6)$. a,b, The displacements $\vec{r}_i(t+\Delta t) - \vec{r}_i(t)$ (a) and the orientation changes $\theta_i(t + \Delta t) - \theta_i(t)$ (b) of the individual bacteria during a short period of time, $\Delta t = 0.053$ s, displayed on top of the image at time t. In a, the displacements are indicated by the white arrows, whose end points are placed at the positions of the center of mass at times t and $t + \Delta t$. In b, the orientation at time t and $t + \Delta t$ is shown by the white and cyan lines, respectively. c, The velocity correlation $\langle \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j \rangle$ as a function of distance $r_{ij} = |\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i|$. The distances r_{ij} between cells were divided into bins and the average was taken in each bin. The error bars indicate the standard error, evaluated by regarding all pairs of cells as independent.

membrane (taken from Transwell 3450, Corning, with nominal pore size of 0.4 μ m) and a streptavidin-decorated cellulose membrane (Spectra/Por 7, Repligen, Waltham, MA, molecular weight cut-off 25,000). Prior to the experiment, we put 1 μ L of the bacterial suspension (OD₆₀₀ = 0.1, prepared by the aforementioned method) on top of wells on the substrate and attached the bilayer membrane to confine bacteria in the wells below the membrane, by biotinstreptavidin bonding between the cellulose membrane and the coverslip. Then we placed a double-sided tape (also acting as a spacer) of thickness 100 μ m on the coverslip, enclosing the micropatterned region, and attached a PDMS pad on the double-sided tape. This completes the fabrication of EMPS.

Observation of bacteria.

The assembled EMPS device was placed on the stage of an inverted optical microscope (Leica DMi8), equipped with a 63x (NA=1.30) oil immersion objective and operated by the software Leica LasX. During the experiment, bacteria in the microfluidic wells were kept supplied with TB medium containing 0.02 wt%of surfactant Tween-20, through the bilayer porous membrane of EMPS. This medium was infused from a syringe by a pump (NE-1000, New Era Pump Systems), at the flow rate of 60 mL/h for the first 5 min and 2 mL/h for the rest. As a result, bacteria grow and proliferate, leading to an increase of the area fraction ϕ in the observed well. We monitored bacterial population in a well (diameter $71.2(5) \mu m$) by phase-contrast microscopy, capturing images (pixel size 0.1724 μ m, optical resolution 0.258 μ m) by a charge-coupled device camera (DFC3000G, Leica). For the main experiment presented in this work, we repeated series of acquisition of 1000 images at an interval of ≈ 0.0263 s, preceded by automatic focus adjustment (Leica adaptive focus control, single-shot mode). We further grouped the images into sets of 500 consecutive images and statistical analysis was carried out for each group. For the uninterrupted observation shown in Video 1, phase-contrast images were acquired at a regular interval of 1 s with automatic focus adjustment (continuous mode).

Image pre-processing.

Pre-processing of the phase-contrast images consists of the following two parts. We corrected effect of non-uniform illumination, by normalizing the image intensity with the local threshold intensity evaluated by adapt thresh function of MATLAB. Also, unless otherwise stipulated, we cropped the images to the region of interest of size 259 × 214 pixels (44.7 μ m × 36.9 μ m) near the center of the well, to avoid influence from the well perimeter.

Estimation of the area fraction.

The area fraction ϕ , i.e., the ratio of the area occupied by bacteria to the total area of the region of interest, was evaluated by binarizing the pre-processed phase-contrast images as follows. The binarization threshold was set by a method called the 3-class fuzzy c-means clustering [37]. Then we evaluated the fraction of the darker pixels in the binarized images. Using all 500 images of each data set, we evaluated the mean and the standard deviation of the fraction of the darker pixels, and used them as the most probable value and the error, respectively, of the area fraction ϕ .

Static structure factor.

The static structure factor, $S(\vec{q})$ is defined as the squared modulus of the Fourier transform of the image intensity $I(\vec{r}, t)$, i.e., $S(\vec{q}) = \langle |\text{FT}[I(\vec{r}, t)|^2] \rangle_t$, where $\text{FT}[\cdots]$ is the 2D Fourier transform and $\vec{q} = (q_x, q_y)$ is the wavenumber vector.

Orientation field.

The coarse-grained orientation field of bacteria, $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$ with $\vec{r} = (x, y)$, was obtained by the structure tensor analysis [38]. From the intensity field I(x, y) of a pre-processed phase-contrast image (here t is omitted from the argument for simplicity), the structure tensor

J(x, y) is defined by

$$J(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle (\Delta_x^{\sigma}I)^2 \rangle_{\sigma} & \langle (\Delta_x^{\sigma}I)(\Delta_y^{\sigma}I) \rangle_{\sigma} \\ \langle (\Delta_y^{\sigma}I)(\Delta_x^{\sigma}I) \rangle_{\sigma} & \langle (\Delta_y^{\sigma}I)^2 \rangle_{\sigma} \end{bmatrix},$$
(4)

where

$$\langle g(x,y) \rangle_{\sigma} = \sum_{x',y'} g(x',y') f_{\sigma}(x-x',y-y')$$
(5)

$$\Delta_x^{\sigma} I = \sum_{x', y'} g(x', y') \frac{\partial f_{\sigma}}{\partial x} (x - x', y - y') \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta_y^{\sigma} I = \sum_{x', y'} g(x', y') \frac{\partial f_{\sigma}}{\partial y} (x - x', y - y') \tag{7}$$

with a Gaussian kernel $f_{\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ and $\sigma = 6$ pixels = 1.03 μ m. Then, the orientation field $\theta(x,y,t)$ was obtained by

$$\theta(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2 \langle (\Delta_x^{\sigma} I) (\Delta_y^{\sigma} I) \rangle_{\sigma}}{\langle (\Delta_x^{\sigma} I)^2 \rangle_{\sigma} - \langle (\Delta_y^{\sigma} I)^2 \rangle_{\sigma}} \right).$$
(8)

Tracking bacteria.

To track the motion of individual bacteria, we first need to carry out cell segmentation of phase-contrast images. This was done by applying MiSiC [39], a deep learning-based method for segmentation of bacteria, iteratively to each pre-processed phase-contrast image. More specifically, after each application of MiSiC, we removed the regions where cells were detected, added small noise to the image intensity, varied parameters that set the criteria of cell detection slightly and randomly, and applied MiSiC again, unless cells were already detected in a sufficient fraction of the area or MiSiC was already applied sufficiently many times (specifically, 300 times). After this iteration, we manually corrected the segmentation result if necessary, at least for the cell we focused on and its neighbors for the results presented in Fig. 3, and for all cells in the field of view, except those on the boundary, for the results in Fig. 5. Then, using the segmentation results of all images in a given data set, we applied Blair and Dufresne's particle tracking code available at https://site.physics.georgetown.edu/matlab/, which is based on the algorithm developed by Crocker, Grier, and Weeks [40]. For the results on the active fluid phase shown in Fig. 5, manual correction of the tracking result was also necessary for bacteria that happened to move over a long distance through the neighbors.

Nonlinear fit.

All nonlinear fits presented in this work were carried out by using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm, known to solve non-linear least-squares problems reliably. The uncertainties of the fitting results indicate the 95% confidence interval.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon request.

CODE AVAILABILITY

The codes used to analyze the data are available upon request.

MATERIAL AVAILABILITY

The materials used in this work are available upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Y. Wakamoto and R. Okura for their help on the construction of a prototype of the experimental device used in this work. We thank A. Ikeda for his enlightening discussions on interpretations of the MCT fitting results (particularly for those leading to). H. Yoshino and A. Ikeda for discussions on glass transitions of anisotropic particles, G. Luca for his suggestion to carry out domain analysis (Fig. 4), Y. Han for his suggestion to measure the displacements (Fig. 5), and D. Nishiguchi for frequent discussions. We acknowledge the useful codes and libraries made available by S. Panigrahi and L. Espinosa for MiSiC [39], by J. C. Crocker, E. R. Weeks, D. Blair and E. Dufresne for the particle tracking, by G. Xiong for the 3-class fuzzy c-means clustering [37], and by F. Grussu for the structure tensor method. This work is supported in part by KAK-ENHI from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Grant Nos. JP16H04033, JP19H05800, JP20H00128, JP21K20350) and by "Planting Seeds for Research" program and Suematsu Award by Tokyo Institute of Technology.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.A.T. conceived the project and directed the research. H.L. and T.S. constructed the device. H.L. performed the experiments. H.L. and K.A.T. analyzed the data. M.J.Y. and Y.F. contributed to the development of the project. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results. H.L. and K.A.T. wrote the manuscript, and all authors revised or commented.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

- H.-C. Flemming and S. Wuertz, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 247 (2019).
- [2] K. Sauer, P. Stoodley, D. M. Goeres, L. Hall-Stoodley, M. Burmølle, P. S. Stewart, and T. Bjarnsholt, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 20, 608 (2022).
- [3] L. Hall-Stoodley, J. W. Costerton, and P. Stoodley, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 95 (2004).

^{*} kat@kaztake.org

- [4] V. Vishwakarma, J. Basic Microbiol. 60, 198 (2019).
- [5] W. Götze, Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids: A Mode-Coupling Theory, International series of monographs on physics (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2009).
- [6] L. Berthier and G. Biroli, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 587 (2011).
- [7] G. L. Hunter and E. R. Weeks, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 066501 (2012).
- [8] P. Charbonneau, J. Kurchan, G. Parisi, P. Urbani, and F. Zamponi, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 8, 265 (2017).
- [9] D. R. Reichman and P. Charbonneau, J. Stat. Mech. 2005, P05013 (2005).
- [10] B. Rhodeland, K. Hoeger, and T. Ursell, J. R. Soc. Interface 17, 20200147 (2020).
- [11] S. C. Takatori and K. K. Mandadapu, arXiv:2003.05618 (2020).
- [12] L. M. C. Janssen, J. Phys. Condens. Mat. **31**, 503002 (2019).
- [13] L. Berthier, E. Flenner, and G. Szamel, J. Chem. Phys. 150, 200901 (2019).
- [14] E. H. Zhou, X. Trepat, C. Y. Park, G. Lenormand, M. N. Oliver, S. M. Mijailovich, C. Hardin, D. A. Weitz, J. P. Butler, and J. J. Fredberg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 10632 (2009).
- [15] B. R. Parry, I. V. Surovtsev, M. T. Cabeen, C. S. O'Hern, E. R. Dufresne, and C. Jacobs-Wagner, Cell 156, 183 (2014).
- [16] K. Nishizawa, K. Fujiwara, M. Ikenaga, N. Nakajo, M. Yanagisawa, and D. Mizuno, Sci. Rep. 7, 15143 (2017).
- [17] T. E. Angelini, E. Hannezo, X. Trepat, M. Marquez, J. J. Fredberg, and D. A. Weitz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 4714 (2011).
- [18] J.-A. Park, J. H. Kim, D. Bi, J. A. Mitchel, N. T. Qazvini, K. Tantisira, C. Y. Park, M. McGill, S.-H. Kim, B. Gweon, *et al.*, Nat. Mater. **14**, 1040 (2015).
- [19] S. Garcia, E. Hannezo, J. Elgeti, J.-F. Joanny, P. Silberzan, and N. S. Gov, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 15314 (2015).
- [20] W. Mather, O. Mondragón-Palomino, T. Danino, J. Hasty, and L. S. Tsimring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 208101 (2010).
- [21] T. Shimaya, R. Okura, Y. Wakamoto, and K. A. Takeuchi, Commun. Phys. 4, 238 (2021).
- [22] R. Pastore, G. Pesce, and M. Caggioni, Sci. Rep. 7, 43496 (2017).

- [23] Z. Zheng, F. Wang, Y. Han, et al., Phys. Rev Lett 107, 065702 (2011).
- [24] C. K. Mishra, A. Rangarajan, and R. Ganapathy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 188301 (2013).
- [25] Z. Zheng, R. Ni, F. Wang, M. Dijkstra, Y. Wang, and Y. Han, Nat. Commun. 5, 3829 (2014).
- [26] J. Roller, A. Laganapan, J.-M. Meijer, M. Fuchs, and A. Zumbusch, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2018072118 (2021).
- [27] M. Letz, R. Schilling, and A. Latz, Phys. Rev. E 62, 5173 (2000).
- [28] R. Mandal, P. J. Bhuyan, P. Chaudhuri, M. Rao, and C. Dasgupta, Phys. Rev. E 96, 042605 (2017).
- [29] Z. You, D. J. G. Pearce, A. Sengupta, and L. Giomi, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031065 (2018).
- [30] M. C. Marchetti, J.-F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1143 (2013).
- [31] C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, and G. Volpe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 045006 (2016).
- [32] A. Doostmohammadi and J. M. Yeomans, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 227, 2401 (2019).
- [33] A. Be'er and G. Ariel, Mov. Ecol. 7, 9 (2019).
- [34] A. Be'er, B. Ilkanaiv, R. Gross, D. B. Kearns, S. Heidenreich, M. Bär, and G. Ariel, Commun. Phys. 3, 66 (2020).
- [35] G. Szamel, E. Flenner, and L. Berthier, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062304 (2015).
- [36] S. Dey, A. Mutneja, and S. Karmakar, Soft Matter 18, 7309 (2022).
- [37] M. Pant, T. Sharma, O. Verma, R. Singla, and A. Sikander, Soft Computing: Theories and Applications: Proceedings of SoCTA 2018, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing (Springer Singapore, 2020).
- [38] B. Jähne, Spatio-Temporal Image Processing: Theory and Scientific Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1993).
- [39] S. Panigrahi, D. Murat, A. L. Gall, E. Martineau, K. Goldlust, J.-B. Fiche, S. Rombouts, M. Nöllmann, L. Espinosa, and T. Mignot, eLife 10, e65151 (2021).
- [40] J. C. Crocker and D. G. Grier, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 179, 298 (1996).

Supplementary Information for "Emergence of bacterial glass"

Hisay Lama,¹ Masahiro J. Yamamoto,^{2,1} Yujiro Furuta,^{3,4} Takuro Shimaya,^{1,4} and Kazumasa A. Takeuchi^{1,4,*}

¹Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

²National Metrology Institute of Japan, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba, Japan

³Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

(Dated: November 13, 2023)

I. Mode-coupling theory exponent γ

Our bacterial glass transitions show rapid increase of relaxation times, τ_Q and τ_{θ} for translational and orientational degrees of freedom, respectively, as the area fraction ϕ is increased (Fig. 2c symbols). The observed dependence on ϕ is consistent with the power-law divergence that mode-coupling theories (MCT) predict [5, 6, 9] (Fig. 2c dashed lines),

$$\tau_Q \sim (\phi_c^Q - \phi)^{-\gamma_Q}, \qquad \tau_\theta \sim (\phi_c^\theta - \phi)^{-\gamma_\theta}, \qquad (S1)$$

as well as with the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman (VFT) law [5–7] (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Here we focus on the exponent γ of the MCT power law, Eq. (S1). Our fitting gives $\gamma_Q = 1.5(3)$ and $\gamma_{\theta} = 1.5(12)$ (see main text). In contrast, for thermal systems, it is actually known that MCT generally gives $\gamma \geq \gamma_0 \equiv 1.76...$ [5]. More precisely, for spherical particle systems near equilibrium, one may indeed prove $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ [5]. The situation is more involved for the aspherical case, where the same inequality has not been proven from first principles, but by using a diagonalization approximation of the MCT memory kernel, one can still show $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ [41]. This inequality has also been confirmed by simulations of different aspherical particle systems (e.g., [42, 43]), without any exception so far, to our knowledge. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ generally holds for systems near equilibrium. Our estimate $\gamma_Q = 1.5(3)$ apparently violates this bound, thereby indicating the non-equilibrium nature of our bacterial system. This observation raises some interesting questions that may deserve further investigations, such as clarifying conditions to violate the inequality $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, how generally this violation takes place in non-equilibrium or active systems, etc.

- [41] R. Schilling and T. Scheidsteger, Phys. Rev. E 56, 2932 (1997).
- [42] A. J. Moreno, S.-H. Chong, W. Kob, and F. Sciortino, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 204505 (2005).
- [43] P. Pfleiderer, K. Milinkovic, and T. Schilling, Europhys. Lett. 84, 16003 (2008).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Fig. 1: Growth of the area fraction ϕ . The area fraction ϕ was evaluated for each group of 500 images recorded over ≈ 13 s. The time point at the center is used in this plot.

^{*} kat@kaztake.org



Supplementary Fig. 2: Results of fitting of the overlap function $Q(\Delta t)$ by a stretched exponential function. Each data was fitted by a stretched exponential function plus an offset, $Q(\Delta t) = f_Q e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_Q)^{\beta_Q}} + a_Q$. See Supplementary Fig. 4 for the obtained values of β_Q .



Supplementary Fig. 3: Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman (VFT) fitting of the relaxation times. The data of τ_Q and τ_{θ} displayed in Fig. 2c are fitted here with the VFT law, $\tau \sim \exp\left(\frac{c\phi}{\phi_{\rm VFT}-\phi}\right)$ (dashed lines). The transition points are evaluated at $\phi_{\rm VFT}^Q = 0.908(13)$ for the translational relaxation and $\phi_{\rm VFT}^{\theta} = 0.87(3)$ for the orientational relaxation. The two-step transition scenario is also confirmed by the VFT fitting.



Supplementary Fig. 4: Stretched exponential parameter β . The estimates of β_Q and β_θ from the stretched exponential fitting, $Q(\Delta t) = f_Q e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_Q)^{\beta_Q}} + a_Q$ and $C_{\theta}(\Delta t) = f_{\theta} e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_{\theta})^{\beta_{\theta}}} + a_{\theta}$ (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5, respectively) are shown. The exponent values are closer to 1 for lower area fractions ϕ , indicating that the relaxation becomes closer to that of simple liquids.



Supplementary Fig. 5: Results of fitting of the orientational correlation function $C_{\theta}(\Delta t)$ by a stretched exponential function. Each data was fitted by a stretched exponential function plus an offset, $C_{\theta}(\Delta t) = f_{\theta}e^{-(\Delta t/\tau_{\theta})^{\beta_{\theta}}} + a_{\theta}$. See Supplementary Fig. 4 for the obtained values of β_{θ} .



Supplementary Fig. 6: Microdomain size distributions for different thresholds of $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r},t)|^2$ (legend, in the unit of $(\text{rad}/\mu\text{m})^2$) and for different area fractions ϕ . The dashed lines indicate the results of the fitting using all the displayed curves for each ϕ . The resulting values of the characteristic area A_0 are $A_0 = 7.5 \pm 1.8 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ (a), $A_0 = 7.6 \pm 2.6 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ (b), $A_0 = 7.5 \pm 2.5 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ (c), and $A_0 = 8.6 \pm 4.8 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ (d).

VIDEO CAPTIONS

- Video 1: Uninterrupted video of bacteria undergoing glass transitions. The video starts from the active fluid phase where bacteria were actively swarming, and lasts until they become completely jammed. The video shows a central region of size 259×214 pixels (44.7 μ m × 36.9 μ m) and played at 20 times the real speed. Scale bar: 10 μ m. Note that this video was taken from an experiment independent from the other observations, using a different substrate (well diameter 76.3(4) μ m, depth $\approx 1.4 \mu$ m).
- **Video 2:** Video of bacteria at $\phi = 0.785(6)$ (active fluid phase). Scale bar 5 μ m. Played at real speed.
- **Video 3:** Video of bacteria at $\phi = 0.842(5)$ (active fluid phase, close to ϕ_c^{θ}). Scale bar 5 μ m. Played at real speed.
- Video 4: Video of bacteria at $\phi = 0.873(4)$ (orientation glass). Scale bar 5 μ m. Played at real speed.
- **Video 5:** Video of bacteria at $\phi = 0.888(4)$ (complete glass). Scale bar 5 μ m. Played at real speed.
- Video 6: Motion of individual bacteria at $\phi = 0.873(4)$ (orientation glass). The left panel shows the trajectory of a single cell drawn on the phase-contrast image. The right panels show time series of the displacement from the initial position, $|\Delta \vec{r_i}(t)|$ (top) and that of the orientation $\theta_i(t)$ (bottom) of the cell shown in the left panel. A cage escape event took place during 5 s $\lesssim t \lesssim 7$ s. See also Fig. 3.

- Video 7: Structure and evolution of microdomains at $\phi = 0.785(6)$ (active fluid phase). The left and right panels show the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$ and its gradient squared $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)|^2$, respectively, overlaid on the phase-contrast image. Played at real speed. See also Fig. 4.
- **Video 8:** Structure and evolution of microdomains at $\phi = 0.842(5)$ (active fluid phase, close to ϕ_c^{θ}). The left and right panels show the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r},t)$ and its gradient squared $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r},t)|^2$, respectively, overlaid on the phase-contrast image. Played at real speed. See also Fig. 4.
- Video 9: Structure and evolution of microdomains at $\phi = 0.873(4)$ (orientation glass). The left and right panels show the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$ and its gradient squared $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)|^2$, respectively, overlaid on the phase-contrast image. Played at real speed. See also Fig. 4.
- Video 10: Structure and evolution of microdomains at $\phi = 0.888(4)$ (complete glass). The left and right panels show the orientation field $\theta(\vec{r}, t)$ and its gradient squared $|\nabla \theta(\vec{r}, t)|^2$, respectively, overlaid on the phase-contrast image. Played at real speed. See also Fig. 4.