Stability of Majorana Edge Zero Modes against Interactions

Tohru Koma

Department of Physics, Gakushuin University (retired), Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, JAPAN

Abstract: We study an interacting Majorana chain with an open boundary condition. In the case without interactions, the system shows a prototypical Majorana edge zero mode in the sector of the ground state with a spectral gap above the sector. We prove that both of the Majorana edge zero mode and the non-vanishing spectral gap are stable against generic weak interactions whose fermion parity is even. We also deal with the corresponding ladder systems, and discuss the \mathbb{Z}_2 index for the Majorana edge zero modes.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Majorana-Hubbard chains	5
4	Majorana-Hubbard ladders and edge \mathbb{Z}_2 index	7
5	Explicit construction of Majorana edge zero modes5.1Exactly solvable cases5.2A series expansion method	8 8 9
\mathbf{A}	Stability of the spectral gap	12
в	Proof of the existence of γ satisfying $[H, \gamma] = 0$	21
\mathbf{C}	Locality of Majorana edge zero mode	23

1 Introduction

Majorana fermions have been in the focus of many researchers, so far. In particular, Majorana edge zero modes [1] are the most typical ones in condensed matter physics. Although they exhibit the peculiarity, i.e., one half of a usual complex fermion, they are indeed realized at open boundaries of tight binding models. However, the effects of interactions are still unclear. Fidkowski and Kitaev [2] discussed the effects of interactions for Majorana ladders from the point of view of topological classification. They concluded that the topological invariant \mathbb{Z} for the free fermion classification is broken to \mathbb{Z}_8 , due to the introduction of generic interactions, under a certain assumption on the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. Katsura, Schuricht and Takahashi [3] obtained the explicit forms of the left and right Majorana edge zero modes for a one-parameter family of interacting Majorana chains which are derived from a certain XYZ spin chain in a magnetic field, via Jordan-Wigner transformation. Fendley [4] obtained the zero mode operator for the exactly solvable XYZ chain. Moreover, the parafermionic edge zero modes which are a generalization of the Majorana edge zero mode were treated in [5–7]. For those zero modes, the dynamics [8,9] and the thermal effect [10] have been studied as well. See also [11-18]for other several aspects of parafermions. However, mathematical treatments of Majorana edge zero modes for interacting systems are still rare.

In the present paper, we study an interacting Majorana chain and ladders with an open boundary. In the case without interactions, these systems show Majorana edge zero modes in the sector of the ground state with a spectral gap above the sector. For the single chain, we prove that both of the Majorana edge zero mode and the non-vanishing spectral gap are stable against generic weak interactions that have even fermion parity. The results are summarized as Theorem 3.1 in Sec. 3 below.

In Sec. 4, we deal with the ladders with L legs for $L \geq 2$. Clearly, for the L copies of the noninteracting Majorana chains, there appear L Majorana edge zero modes at the left edge. When L is even, certain interchain interactions lift all the degeneracy for these Majorana edge zero modes. On the other hand, when L is odd, there remains an unpaired Majorana edge zero mode for any weak interaction that has even fermion parity. Namely, the evenoddness of the Majorana edge zero modes is invariant against weak perturbations with even parity. This implies that for adding Majorana edge zero modes, the corresponding index is given by the additive group \mathbb{Z}_2 in the sense of the stability against generic weak perturbations.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Tomonari Mizoguchi for many useful discussions. I also thank Hosho Katsura for many helpful comments.

2 Preliminaries

Consider first a prototypical Majorana chain whose Hamiltonian is given by [1,2]

$$H_0 := i\kappa \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell-1} c_{2\ell} + i \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell} c_{2\ell+1}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where κ is a real parameter, and c_i is the Majorana fermion operator at the site $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2N\}$ with the length 2N of the chain. The Majorana fermion operators satisfy $c_i^{\dagger} = c_i$ and obey the anticommutation relations,

$$\{c_i, c_j\} = 2\delta_{i,j}.$$

We assume $0 \leq |\kappa| < 1$ so that there appears a spectral gap above the ground state of H_0 . Since the Hamiltonian H_0 does not contain c_{2N} , c_{2N} commutes with H_0 . Namely, the right edge mode is trivially given by c_{2N} .

In order to obtain the Majorana edge zero mode γ_0 at the left edge for the Hamiltonian H_0 , we set

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_{m=1}^N u_m c_{2m-1}.$$
(2.2)

The coefficients u_m are determined so as to satisfy the commutation relation $[H_0, \gamma_0] = 0$. Clearly, when this relation is fulfilled, the state $\gamma_0|0\rangle$ has the same energy for the ground state $|0\rangle$, i.e., it is the Majorana zero mode. Note that

$$[H_0, \gamma_0] = i\kappa \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N} [c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell}, c_{2m-1}]u_m + i \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_{2m-1}]u_m.$$

The commutators in the summands are computed as follows:

$$c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell}c_{2m-1} - c_{2m-1}c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell} = -2\delta_{\ell,m}c_{2\ell},$$

and

$$c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}c_{2m-1} - c_{2m-1}c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1} = 2\delta_{\ell+1,m}c_{2\ell}.$$

Substituting these into the above the right-hand side, one has

$$[H_0, \gamma_0] = -2i\kappa \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell} u_\ell + 2i \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell} u_{\ell+1} = 0.$$

This implies $u_{\ell+1} = \kappa u_{\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., N-1$. Therefore, the coefficients u_{ℓ} are given by $u_{\ell} = \kappa^{\ell-1}u_1$ with a constant u_1 . As a result, one obtains

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \kappa^{\ell-1} c_{2\ell-1}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where we have set $u_1 = 1$ for simplicity. Since $|\kappa| < 1$ from the assumption, the mode is localized at the left edge of the chain. The normalized operator is given by

$$\hat{\gamma}_0 := \sqrt{\frac{1-\kappa^2}{1-\kappa^{2N}}}\gamma_0, \qquad (2.4)$$

which satisfies $\hat{\gamma}_0^2 = 1$.

Let us consider the situation when the Hamiltonian H_0 is diagonalized in terms of the usual complex fermions. The two Majorana edge modes are also treated in terms of the corresponding complex single fermion. For this purpose, we introduce a fermion operator,

$$a_{\rm E} := \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\gamma}_0 + i c_{2N}). \tag{2.5}$$

One can check $\{a_{\rm E}, a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}\} = 1$, and $a_{\rm E}^2 = 0$. Let $|0\rangle$ be the ground state of H_0 which satisfies $a_{\rm E}|0\rangle = 0$. Then, the other ground state is given by $a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$. Clearly, one has $\langle 0|a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}|0\rangle = 0$. This implies that the sector of the ground state of H_0 is spanned by $|0\rangle$ and $a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$.

3 Majorana-Hubbard chains

Next we introduce interactions V for the free Hamiltonian H_0 of (2.1). More specifically, we consider the Majorana-Hubbard chains [19] whose generic form of the Hamiltonians is given by

$$H_g = H_0 + gV \tag{3.1}$$

with the interaction Hamiltonian,

$$V = \sum_{i < j < k < \ell} K_{ijk\ell} c_i c_j c_k c_\ell, \qquad (3.2)$$

where g and $K_{ijk\ell}$ are real parameters. For simplicity, we assume that the interaction V is of finite range. In our approach, we can treat more general interactions with even parity such as many-body interactions consisting of six or eight Majorana fermion operators. We also assume that V dose not contain c_{2N} . Therefore, c_{2N} is still the right edge mode.

To begin with, we present a general argument about the Majorana edge zero modes. When g = 0 for the Hamiltonian $H_g = H_0 + gV$, the sector of the ground state is spanned by the ground state $|0\rangle$ and $a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ as mentioned at the end of the preceding section. We write P(0) for the projection onto the sector of the ground state of H_0 .

In Appendix A, we prove that the spectral gap above the sector of the ground state is stable against weak perturbations [20–22] under the assumption that the sector of the ground state is two-fold degenerate. This degeneracy will be proved below in this section. Namely, there exists a positive constant g_{max} such that for $|g| \leq g_{\text{max}}$, a non-vanishing spectral gap above the sector of the ground state exists. Therefore, for $|g| \leq g_{\text{max}}$, there exists a unitary operator U(g) such that the spectral projection P(g) onto the low energy sector for the Hamiltonian H_g is given by [23, 24]

$$P(g) = U(g)P(0)U(g)^{\dagger}.$$
 (3.3)

These low energy states are given by

$$|0,g\rangle := U(g)|0\rangle$$
 and $a_{\rm E}(g)^{\dagger}|0,g\rangle$,

where have written $a_{\rm E}(g) := U(g)a_{\rm E}U(g)^{\dagger}$. In fact, from the parity conservation, these two states are an eigenstate of H_g and orthogonal to each other. However, it is not clear whether or not the energies are degenerate.

Let us consider the eigenvalue equation,

$$H_g|0,g\rangle = E_0(g)|0,g\rangle,\tag{3.4}$$

where $E_0(g)$ is the eigenenergy of $|0, g\rangle$. By multiplying the both sides by c_{2N} and using $[H_g, c_{2N}] = 0$, one has

$$H_g c_{2N} |0,g\rangle = E_0(g) c_{2N} |0,g\rangle.$$

Therefore, the state $c_{2N}|0,g\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H_g with the same eigenvalue $E_0(g)$. However, it has the opposite fermion parity to that of $|0,g\rangle$. Since such a state is unique and must be equal to $a_{\rm E}(g)^{\dagger}|0,g\rangle$. As a result, we have

$$H_g a_{\mathrm{E}}(g)^{\dagger} |0,g\rangle = E_0(g) a_{\mathrm{E}}(g)^{\dagger} |0,g\rangle.$$

Thus, the two states are degenerate. Further, this can be rewritten as

$$[H_g, a_{\mathrm{E}}(g)^{\dagger}]|0, g\rangle = 0.$$

The operator $a_{\rm E}(q)^{\dagger}$ in the commutator can be written

$$a_{\rm E}(g)^{\dagger} = U(g)a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}U(g)^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}U(g)(\hat{\gamma}_0 - ic_{2N})U(g)^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger} - \frac{i}{2}c_{2N}$$

from the definitions and the assumption $[H_g, c_{2N}] = 0$ on the Hamiltonian H_g . These yield

$$[H_g, U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger}]|0, g\rangle = 0.$$
(3.5)

Thus, the operator $U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger}$ creates the Majorana edge zero mode above the ground state $|0,g\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian H_g . Namely, the Majorana edge zero mode is stable against the perturbations.

The above result (3.5) suggests that the operator relation $[H_g, U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger}] = 0$ holds without acting on the state $|0, g\rangle$. In fact, Goldstein and Chamon [25] proved that there exists a non-trivial operator γ with odd fermion parity such that it satisfies $[H, \gamma] = 0$ for a generic Hamiltonian H which consists of an odd number of Majorana fermion operators. If the operator γ satisfies $[H, \gamma] = 0$, then $[H, \gamma^{\dagger}] = 0$. This yields $[H, \gamma + \gamma^{\dagger}] = 0$. Therefore, one can take γ to satisfy $\gamma^{\dagger} = \gamma$. The proof of the existence of γ is given in Appendix B.

Since the present Hamiltonian H_g consists of the odd number of the Majorana fermions, there exists an operator $\hat{\gamma}_g$ such that $[H_g, \hat{\gamma}_g] = 0$. Clearly, this operator $\hat{\gamma}_g$ also creates the Majorana edge zero mode at the left edge. Therefore, we have

$$U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger}|0,g\rangle = \hat{\gamma}_g|0,g\rangle \tag{3.6}$$

for a small coupling constant g. The locality of the Majorana edge mode is proved in Appendix C. Thus, our main results are summarized as follows:

Theorem 3.1 There exists a positive constant g_{\max} such that for $|g| \leq g_{\max}$, the ground state of the Hamiltonian H_g of (3.1) is two-fold degenerate with a non-vanishing spectral gap above the sector of the ground state. One of the two ground-state vectors can be taken to be a Majorana edge mode which is localized near the left edge.

4 Majorana-Hubbard ladders and edge \mathbb{Z}_2 index

In this section, we deal with Majorana ladders [2] which are a system of L Majorana chains with interchain interactions. Here, $L \ge 2$ is the number of the legs of the ladder. As we will show below, we can expect that the evenoddness of the Majorana left edge modes is stable against generic weak perturbations with even fermion parity.

Consider first the case with L = 2. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0^{(2)} := i \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} (\kappa c_{2\ell-1,j} c_{2\ell,j} + c_{2\ell,j} c_{2\ell+1,j}), \qquad (4.1)$$

which is the sum of the two copies of the Majorana chain of (2.1). The two copies of the set of the Majorana operators satisfy the anti-commutation relations,

$$\{c_{m,i}, c_{n,j}\} = 2\delta_{m,n}\delta_{i,j}, \quad \text{for } m, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1; \ i, j = 1, 2.$$
(4.2)

The two operators, $c_{2N,j}$ j = 1, 2, are the trivial right edge modes. Clearly, the two left Majorana edge modes are given by

$$\gamma_{0,j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \kappa^{\ell-1} c_{2\ell-1,j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$
(4.3)

The normalized operators are given by

$$\hat{\gamma}_{0,j} := \sqrt{\frac{1 - \kappa^2}{1 - \kappa^{2N}}} \gamma_{0,j}, \tag{4.4}$$

for j = 1, 2, which satisfy $(\hat{\gamma}_{0,j})^2 = 1$ for j = 1, 2.

Let us consider a very simple interchain interaction,

$$V = i\hat{\gamma}_{0,1}\hat{\gamma}_{0,2},\tag{4.5}$$

which is instructive to understand the difference between the ladder with two legs and the single chain. Clearly, this interaction has even fermion parity and is of short range.

In order to diagonalize the total Hamiltonian $H_g = H_0^{(2)} + gV$ in terms of usual complex fermions, we introduce a complex fermion operator,

$$\chi := \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\gamma}_{0,1} + i\hat{\gamma}_{0,2}). \tag{4.6}$$

Then, one has

$$\{\chi, \chi^{\dagger}\} = 1 \tag{4.7}$$

$$i\hat{\gamma}_{0,1}\hat{\gamma}_{0,2} = 2\chi^{\dagger}\chi - 1.$$
 (4.8)

This implies that the two eigenvalues of V are given by ± 1 . Similarly, for the right edge modes, we can introduce the corresponding complex fermion $(c_{2N,1} + ic_{2N,2})/2$. Therefore, the degeneracy of the left zero modes is lifted by the perturbation V even for any small coupling g.

Clearly, this result can be extended to the generic ladders with even number legs. On the other hand, for odd number legs, there must remain a unpaired Majorana fermion. Thus, the evenoddness of Majorana edge modes can be expected to be the \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant under weak perturbations with even parity.

5 Explicit construction of Majorana edge zero modes

5.1 Exactly solvable cases

Clearly, from the result $[H_0, \gamma_0] = 0$ of Sec. 2, one has $[H_g, \gamma_0] = [H_0, \gamma_0] + g[V, \gamma_0] = g[V, \gamma_0]$. Therefore, if $[V, \gamma_0] = 0$, then γ_0 is the desired solution.

Since the operator γ_0 consists of c_i at only the odd site $i = 2\ell - 1$, γ_0 commutes with an interaction V which consists of c_j at only the even site j = 2k. Namely, the interaction V has the form,

$$V = \sum_{i < j < k < \ell} K_{2i,2j,2k,2\ell} c_{2i} c_{2j} c_{2k} c_{2\ell}.$$

In a sense, it may be said that this is a trivial case.

In order to present other examples, we introduce an operator,

$$b_{\ell} := \kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1}.$$

Note that

$$\{b_{\ell}, c_{2\ell-1} + \kappa c_{2\ell+1}\}$$

$$= (\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})(c_{2\ell-1} + \kappa c_{2\ell+1}) + (c_{2\ell-1} + \kappa c_{2\ell+1})(\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})$$

$$= \kappa + \kappa^2 c_{2\ell-1} c_{2\ell+1} - c_{2\ell+1} c_{2\ell-1} - \kappa + \kappa - c_{2\ell-1} c_{2\ell+1} + \kappa^2 c_{2\ell+1} c_{2\ell-1} - \kappa$$

$$= 0.$$

Using this identity, we have

$$\{b_{\ell}, \gamma_0\} = \sum_{m=1} \{b_{\ell}, \kappa^{m-1} c_{2m-1}\} \\ = \{b_{\ell}, \kappa^{\ell-1} c_{2\ell-1} + \kappa^{\ell} c_{2\ell+1}\} \\ = \kappa^{\ell-1} \{b_{\ell}, c_{2\ell-1} + \kappa c_{2\ell+1}\} = 0$$

As an interaction V, let us consider

$$V = \sum_{\ell=1} b_{\ell} c_{2\ell} c_{2\ell+2} c_{2\ell+4} = \sum_{\ell=1} (\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1}) c_{2\ell} c_{2\ell+2} c_{2\ell+4}.$$

and

From the above observations, we have $[\gamma_0, V] = 0$.

Similarly, we can consider

$$V = \sum_{\ell=1} [b_{\ell} b_{\ell+1} c_{2\ell} c_{2\ell+2} + \text{h.c.}].$$

This also satisfies $[\gamma_0, V] = 0$. In passing, we note that

$$b_{\ell}b_{\ell+1}c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+2} + \text{h.c.} = b_{\ell}b_{\ell+1}c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+2} + c_{2\ell+2}c_{2\ell}b_{\ell+1}b_{\ell}$$

= $(b_{\ell}b_{\ell+1} - b_{\ell+1}b_{\ell})c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+2}$
= $2(\kappa^2 c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell+1} - \kappa c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell+3} + c_{2\ell+1}c_{2\ell+3})c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+2},$

where we have used

$$b_{\ell}b_{\ell+1} - b_{\ell+1}b_{\ell} = (\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})(\kappa c_{2\ell+1} - c_{2\ell+3}) - (\kappa c_{2\ell+1} - c_{2\ell+3})(\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})$$

= $2\kappa^2 c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell+1} - 2\kappa c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell+3} + 2c_{2\ell+1}c_{2\ell+3}.$

5.2 A series expansion method

When the coupling constant g of the interaction is weak compared to the spectral gap above the ground-state sector of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 , a series expansion method can be expected to work well [21, 26].

In order to find γ satisfying $[H_0 + gV, \gamma] = 0$ for a given interaction V with a weak coupling g, we expand γ into power series of g as follows:

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=0} g^n \gamma_n.$$

Substituting this into $[H_0, \gamma] = -g[V, \gamma]$, one has

$$\sum_{n=0} g^{n}[H_{0}, \gamma_{n}] = -\sum_{n=0} g^{n+1}[V, \gamma_{n}].$$

The zeroth order is given by

$$[H_0, \gamma_0] = 0.$$

This is already fulfilled. The n-th order is given by

$$[H_0, \gamma_n] = -[V, \gamma_{n-1}] \tag{5.1}$$

for n = 1, 2, ...

As a first demonstration, we consider a very simple case $V = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4$. It consists of only a single term, but it can be expected to represent one of essential, effective interactions because the Majorana edge mode is localized at the edge.

Let us consider the right-hand side of (5.1) in the case of n = 1. Note that

$$[V, \gamma_0] = [c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4, c_1] + \kappa [c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4, c_3]$$

= $-2c_2 c_3 c_4 - 2\kappa c_1 c_2 c_4.$

Therefore, in order to find γ_1 , it is sufficient to solve

$$[H_0, \gamma_1] = 2c_2c_3c_4 + 2\kappa c_1c_2c_4 \tag{5.2}$$

from (5.1). Before searching for a solution γ_1 , we remark that there are many solutions even restricting γ_1 to a sum of terms of three Majorana fermions. In fact, the quantity $(\gamma_0 H_0 + H_0 \gamma_0)/2$ commutes with H_0 , and is a sum of terms of three Majorana fermions. Since γ_0 has the form of the power series of κ , we similarly set

Since γ_0 has the form of the power series of κ , we similarly set

$$\gamma_1 = \sum_{j=0} \kappa^j \gamma_1^{(j)}.$$
(5.3)

Note that

$$[H_0, \gamma_1] = \kappa[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1] + [H_{0,0}, \gamma_1] = \sum_{j=0} \kappa^{j+1} [H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(j)}] + \sum_{j=0} \kappa^j [H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(j)}],$$
 (5.4)

where we have written

$$H_0 = \kappa H_{0,1} + H_{0,0}$$

with

$$H_{0,1} := i \sum_{\ell=1} c_{2\ell-1} c_{2\ell}$$

and

$$H_{0,0} := i \sum_{\ell=1} c_{2\ell} c_{2\ell+1}.$$

Therefore, from (5.2) and (5.4), we have

$$[H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(0)}] = 2c_2 c_3 c_4, \tag{5.5}$$

$$[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(0)}] + [H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(1)}] = 2c_1 c_2 c_4,$$
(5.6)

and

$$[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(j)}] + [H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(j+1)}] = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$
(5.7)

In order to find the solution $\gamma_1^{(0)}$ of (5.5), we try $\gamma_1^{(0)} = -ic_2c_3c_5$ as a candidate. Actually, we have

$$[H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(0)}] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_2c_3c_5]$$

= $[c_2c_3, c_2c_3c_5] + [c_4c_5, c_2c_3c_5]$
= $2c_2c_3c_4.$

Thus, $\gamma_1^{(0)} = -ic_2c_3c_5$ is one of the solutions of (5.5).

Next, in order to obtain $\gamma_1^{(1)}$, let us compute the first commutator $[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(0)}]$ in the left-hand side of (5.6). Note that

$$[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(0)}] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell}, c_2c_3c_5]$$

= $[c_1c_2, c_2c_3c_5] + [c_3c_4, c_2c_3c_5] + [c_5c_6, c_2c_3c_5]$
= $2c_1c_3c_5 - 2c_2c_4c_5 - 2c_2c_3c_6.$

Substituting this into the left-hand side of (5.6), one has

$$[H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(1)}] = 2c_1c_2c_4 - 2c_1c_3c_5 + 2c_2c_4c_5 + 2c_2c_3c_6.$$
(5.8)

We prepare the following:

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_3c_4c_5] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_3c_4c_5] = [c_2c_3, c_3c_4c_5] + [c_4c_5, c_3c_4c_5] = 2c_2c_4c_5,$$

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_2c_3c_7] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_2c_3c_7] = [c_2c_3, c_2c_3c_7] + [c_6c_7, c_2c_3c_7] = 2c_2c_3c_6,$$

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_1c_3c_4] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_1c_3c_4] = [c_2c_3, c_1c_3c_4] + [c_4c_5, c_1c_3c_4] = 2c_1c_2c_4 - 2c_1c_3c_5,$$

and

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_1c_2c_5] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_1c_2c_5] = [c_2c_3, c_1c_2c_5] + [c_4c_5, c_1c_2c_5] = -2c_1c_3c_5 + 2c_1c_2c_4.$$

Therefore, we have a solution,

$$\gamma_1^{(1)} = -ic_3c_4c_5 - ic_2c_3c_7 - i\lambda_1^{(1)}c_1c_3c_4 - i(1-\lambda_1^{(1)})c_1c_2c_5,$$

where $\lambda_1^{(1)}$ is a real parameter. Further, $\gamma_1^{(2)}$ is determined by

$$[H_{0,0}, \gamma_1^{(2)}] = -[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(1)}]$$
(5.9)

from the equation (5.7) for j = 1. The right-hand side is calculated as

$$-[H_{0,1}, \gamma_1^{(1)}] = -\sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell}, c_3c_4c_5 + c_2c_3c_7 + \lambda_1^{(1)}c_1c_3c_4 + (1-\lambda_1^{(1)})c_1c_2c_5]$$

= $2c_3c_4c_6 - 2c_1c_3c_7 + 2c_2c_4c_7 + 2c_2c_3c_8 + 2\lambda_1^{(1)}c_2c_3c_4 + 2(1-\lambda_1^{(1)})c_1c_2c_6.$
(5.10)

Note that

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_2c_3c_9] = \sum_{\ell=1} [c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1}, c_2c_3c_9] = 2c_2c_3c_8,$$
(5.11)

and

$$[H_{0,0}, (-i)c_1c_2c_7] = [c_2c_3, c_1c_2c_7] + [c_6c_7, c_1c_2c_7] = -2c_1c_3c_7 + 2c_1c_2c_6.$$
(5.12)

By choosing $\lambda_1^{(1)} = 0$, we can obtain the three terms in the right-hand side of (5.10), and the rest are $2c_3c_4c_6$ and $2c_2c_4c_7$.

In order to obtain the corresponding contributions to the rest of the two terms, we prepare the following:

$$I_{246} := [H_{0,0}, (-i)c_2c_4c_6] = -2c_3c_4c_6 - 2c_2c_5c_6 - 2c_2c_4c_7,$$

$$I_{257} := [H_{0,0}, (-i)c_2c_5c_7] = -2c_3c_5c_7 + 2c_2c_5c_6 + 2c_2c_4c_7,$$

$$I_{347} := [H_{0,0}, (-i)c_3c_4c_7] = 2c_3c_4c_6 - 2c_3c_5c_7 + 2c_2c_4c_7,$$

and

$$I_{356} := [H_{0,0}, (-i)c_3c_5c_6] = 2c_3c_4c_6 - 2c_3c_5c_7 + 2c_2c_5c_6.$$

From these results, one has

$$2I_{246} + I_{257} - 2I_{347} + I_{356} = -6c_3c_4c_6 - 6c_2c_4c_7$$

This implies

$$[H_{0,0}, (\frac{2}{3}ic_2c_4c_6 + \frac{1}{3}ic_2c_5c_7 - \frac{2}{3}ic_3c_4c_7 + \frac{1}{3}ic_3c_5c_6)] = 2c_3c_4c_6 + 2c_2c_4c_7.$$

Combining this, (5.9), (5.10), (5.11) and (5.12), we obtain

$$\gamma_1^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3}ic_2c_4c_6 + \frac{1}{3}ic_2c_5c_7 - \frac{2}{3}ic_3c_4c_7 + \frac{1}{3}ic_3c_5c_6 - ic_1c_2c_7 - ic_2c_3c_9.$$

Thus, we can obtain the approximate solution of the edge mode by the series expansion method.

A Stability of the spectral gap

In this appendix, we prove that the gap above the two-fold degenerate ground state of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 does not close under weak interactions. As proved in Sec. 3, if there exists a non-vanishing spectral gap above the sector of the ground state which are spanned by the ground-state vector and the Majorana edge mode, then these two energies are always degenerate. We assume that for a sufficiently small |g|, there exists a spectral gap $\Delta \tilde{E} > 0$ above the two-fold degenerate ground state which continuously connects with the spectral gap in the case of g = 0. Under this assumption, we will estimate |g| and $\Delta \tilde{E}$.

In order to separate the edge modes γ_0 and c_{2N} from the bulk variables so that the two edge variables are independent of the bulk variables, we introduce new variables, $\tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_5, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{2N-1}$:

$$\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1}\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \kappa^{m-1} c_{2m-1} - \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \kappa^{2(m-1)}}{\kappa^{\ell}} c_{2\ell+1}$$
(A.1)

for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$, where $\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1}$ is the normalization constant so that $\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1}$ satisfies $(\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1})^2 = 1$. We recall the expression of the left edge mode,

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \kappa^{\ell-1} c_{2\ell-1}.$$
 (A.2)

From these expressions, one has

$$\{\gamma_0, \tilde{c}_{2\ell+1}\} = 0 \tag{A.3}$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$, and

$$\{\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1}, \tilde{c}_{2\ell'+1}\} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq \ell'.$$
 (A.4)

Since $\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1} \sim \kappa^{-\ell}$ for a small κ , the operator $\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1}$ is still localized around the site $2\ell + 1$. To rewrite the Hamiltonian H_0 in terms of the variables $\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1}$, we note that

$$H_0 = i\kappa \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell-1}c_{2\ell} + i \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} c_{2\ell}c_{2\ell+1} = i \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} (\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})c_{2\ell}.$$
 (A.5)

From (A.1), one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1}\tilde{c}_{2\ell+1} = \mathcal{N}_{2\ell-1}\tilde{c}_{2\ell-1} + \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\ell}\kappa^{2(m-1)}}{\kappa^{\ell}}(\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1})$$
(A.6)

for $\ell = 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1$. This yields

$$\kappa c_{2\ell-1} - c_{2\ell+1} = \frac{\kappa^{\ell}}{\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \kappa^{2(m-1)}} (\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1} \tilde{c}_{2\ell+1} - \mathcal{N}_{2\ell-1} \tilde{c}_{2\ell-1})$$
(A.7)

for $\ell = 2, 3, ..., N - 1$. Substituting this and (A.1) for $\ell = 1$ into the above expression (A.5) of the Hamiltonian H_0 , we have

$$H_0 = i\kappa \mathcal{N}_3 \tilde{c}_3 \tilde{c}_2 + i \sum_{\ell=2}^{N-1} \frac{\kappa^\ell}{\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \kappa^{2(m-1)}} (\mathcal{N}_{2\ell+1} \tilde{c}_{2\ell+1} - \mathcal{N}_{2\ell-1} \tilde{c}_{2\ell-1}) \tilde{c}_{2\ell},$$
(A.8)

where we have written $\tilde{c}_{2\ell} = c_{2\ell}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, ..., N-1$. Thus, the Hamiltonian H_0 can be written in terms of the variables, $\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, ..., \tilde{c}_{2N-1}$. Although the new set of the variables is given by the 2N variables, $\{\hat{\gamma}_0, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, ..., \tilde{c}_{2N-1}, c_{2N}\}$, the expression of the Hamiltonian H_0 is independent of the Majorana edge zero modes, $\hat{\gamma}_0$ and c_{2N} . Namely, the two sets of the variables are separated in the expression of H_0 .

By using a pure imaginary, antisymmetric matrix \hat{A} , the Hamiltonian H_0 can be written in the form,

$$H_0 = \sum_{i,j \in \{2,3,\dots,2N-1\}} \tilde{c}_i \tilde{A}_{i,j} \tilde{c}_j.$$
(A.9)

By the construction, the Hamiltonian H_0 has the unique ground state with the nonvanishing spectral gap above it, except for the Majorana edge zero modes. In the following, we will treat these two ground states separately. Following Hastings [20], we introduce two copies of the Majorana fermions, and two copies of the Hamiltonian H_0 with opposite signs so that the total Hamiltonian is given by

$$\tilde{H}_{0} := \sum_{i,j \in \{2,3,\dots,2N-1\}} \tilde{c}_{i}\tilde{A}_{i,j}\tilde{c}_{j} - \sum_{i,j \in \{2,3,\dots,2N-1\}} \tilde{d}_{i}\tilde{A}_{i,j}\tilde{d}_{j},$$
(A.10)

where the Majorana operator \tilde{d}_j is a copy of \tilde{c}_j . This Hamiltonian has also the unique ground state with the non-vanishing spectral gap above it. We write

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}, & \tilde{d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0\\ 0 & -\tilde{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}\\ \tilde{d} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $P_{\pm}(\tilde{A})$ for the projection onto the positive and negative energies for \tilde{A} , respectively. We also introduce [20]

$$\hat{U} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & is(\tilde{A}) \\ is(\tilde{A}) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $s(\tilde{A}) := P_+(\tilde{A}) - P_-(\tilde{A})$. Then, one has

$$\hat{U}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0\\ 0 & -\tilde{A} \end{pmatrix} \hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i|\tilde{A}|\\ -i|\tilde{A}| & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define a pair of Majorana fermions,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mathrm{R}} \\ \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \end{pmatrix} := \hat{U}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c} \\ \tilde{d} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.11)

From the above observation, one has

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mathrm{R}}, & \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i |\tilde{A}| \\ -i |\tilde{A}| & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mathrm{R}} \\ \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \end{pmatrix} = 2i\eta^{\mathrm{R}} |\tilde{A}| \eta^{\mathrm{I}}.$$

Further, we define a complex fermion,

$$\eta_i := \frac{1}{2} (\eta_i^{\mathrm{R}} + i\eta_i^{\mathrm{I}}), \qquad (A.12)$$

for i = 2, 3, ..., 2N - 1. Then,

$$\tilde{H}_0 = 2\eta^{\dagger} |\tilde{A}|\eta, \tag{A.13}$$

where we have dropped the constant in the right-hand side. Since there is a spectral gap at the zero energy in the spectrum of the matrix \tilde{A} , we have

$$\tilde{H}_0 = 2\eta^{\dagger} |\tilde{A}| \eta \ge \Delta E_0 \eta^{\dagger} \eta \tag{A.14}$$

with a constant $\Delta E_0 > 0$.

Next, consider the interaction term V of the Hamiltonian H_g of (3.1). The interaction V is written in terms of the operators, $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$. Our aim is to express the interaction V in terms of the operators, η and $a_{\rm E}$.

For this purpose, we first want to express the operators, $c_1, c_3, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$, in terms of $\gamma_0, \tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_5, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{2N-1}$. From the expression (A.2) of γ_0 , one has

$$c_1 = \gamma_0 - (\kappa c_3 + \kappa^2 c_5 + \kappa^3 c_7 + \cdots).$$
 (A.15)

Combining this with (A.1) for $\ell = 1$, one obtains

$$c_{3} = \kappa c_{1} - \kappa \mathcal{N}_{3} \tilde{c}_{3}$$

$$= \kappa \gamma_{0} - \kappa (\kappa c_{3} + \kappa^{2} c_{5} + \kappa^{3} c_{7} + \cdots) - \kappa \mathcal{N}_{3} \tilde{c}_{3}$$

$$= \kappa \gamma_{0} - \kappa^{2} c_{3} - \kappa (\kappa^{2} c_{5} + \kappa^{3} c_{7} + \cdots) - \kappa \mathcal{N}_{3} \tilde{c}_{3}.$$
(A.16)

Therefore,

$$c_{3} = \frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa^{2}} [\gamma_{0} - (\kappa^{2}c_{5} + \kappa^{3}c_{7} + \cdots)] - \frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa^{2}} \mathcal{N}_{3}\tilde{c}_{3}.$$
(A.17)

By using this expression, we can eliminate c_3 from the right-hand side of (A.15). Similarly, from (A.1) for $\ell = 2$, one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{5}\tilde{c}_{5} = c_{1} + \kappa c_{3} - \frac{1 + \kappa^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}c_{5}$$

= $\gamma_{0} - \kappa^{2}c_{5} - (\kappa^{3}c_{7} + \kappa^{4}c_{9} + \cdots) - \frac{1 + \kappa^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}c_{5}.$

Therefore,

$$c_5 = \frac{\kappa^2}{1 + \kappa^2 + \kappa^4} [\gamma_0 - (\kappa^3 c_7 + \kappa^4 c_9 + \cdots)] - \frac{\kappa^2}{1 + \kappa^2 + \kappa^4} \mathcal{N}_5 \tilde{c}_5.$$
(A.18)

By using this expression, we can eliminate c_5 from both of the right-hand sides of (A.15) and (A.17). Therefore, by repeating this procedure, the operators, $c_1, c_3, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$, can be expressed in terms of $\gamma_0, \tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_5, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{2N-1}$. Since $c_{2\ell} = \tilde{c}_{2\ell}$, the interaction potential V can be expressed in terms of the operators, $\gamma_0, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_4, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{2N-2}, \tilde{c}_{2N-1}$. Then, the resulting terms in the interaction decay by exponential law with the distance about these operators because the interaction V which is written in terms of $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$ is assumed to be finite range. Further, from (A.11) and (A.12), one has

$$\tilde{c}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[\eta_{i} + \eta_{i}^{\dagger} - \sum_{j=2}^{2N-1} s(\tilde{A})_{i,j} (\eta_{j} - \eta_{j}^{\dagger}) \Big]$$
(A.19)

for i = 2, 3, ..., 2N - 1. We recall $\hat{\gamma}_0 = a_{\rm E} + a_{\rm E}^{\dagger}$. From these observations, one notices that the interaction V can be written in terms of $a_{\rm E}, \eta_2, \eta_3, ..., \eta_{2N-1}$. In the following, we denote the interaction by

$$V := V(a_{\rm E}, \eta_2, \eta_3, \dots, \eta_{2N-1}).$$
 (A.20)

The total Hamiltonian which we consider is given by

$$\tilde{H}_g := \tilde{H}_0 + g\tilde{V},\tag{A.21}$$

where \tilde{H}_0 is given by (A.13). From the definitions (A.9) and (A.10), this right-hand side is written

$$\tilde{H}_0 + g\tilde{V} = H_0 + gV - \tilde{d}\tilde{A}\tilde{d}.$$

Clearly, the first and second terms in the right-hand side are those of the original Hamiltonian H_g , and the third term is the additional Hamiltonian whose spectrum has the gap above the unique ground state. Thus, it is enough to show that the spectrum of the Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_g has a non-vanishing spectral gap above the two low-energy states.

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, we assume that for a small |g|, there exists a spectral gap $\Delta \tilde{E} > 0$ above the two-fold degenerate ground state which continuously connects with the spectral gap in the case of g = 0. Both of the estimates of |g| and $\Delta \tilde{E}$ will be obtained below.

We write $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ for the ground state of \tilde{H}_0 of (A.13) which satisfies $\eta_i |\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$ for all $i = 2, 3, \ldots, 2N - 1$ and $a_{\rm E} |\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$ for the operator $a_{\rm E}$ of the Majorana edge zero mode. Then, the other ground state is given by $a_{\rm E}^{\dagger} |\tilde{0}\rangle$. We also write $\tilde{P}_0(0)$ for the projection onto the sector of these two ground states. Under the above assumption on the spectral gap $\Delta \tilde{E} > 0$ above the two low-energy states of \tilde{H}_g , there exists a unitary operator $\tilde{U}(g)$ such that [23]

$$\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{P}_0(g)\tilde{U}(g) = \tilde{P}_0(0), \qquad (A.22)$$

where $\tilde{P}_0(g)$ is the projection onto the sector of the two low-energy states of \tilde{H}_g .

Note that

$$\tilde{H}_g = [1 - \tilde{P}_0(g)]\tilde{H}_g[1 - \tilde{P}_0(g)] + \tilde{P}_0(g)\tilde{H}_g\tilde{P}_0(g).$$

Therefore, one has

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}(g) &:= \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{g}\tilde{U}(g) \\ &= [1 - \tilde{P}_{0}(0)]\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{g}\tilde{U}(g)[1 - \tilde{P}_{0}(0)] + \tilde{P}_{0}(0)\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{g}\tilde{U}(g)\tilde{P}_{0}(0). \end{split}$$

This implies that $\tilde{P}_0(0)$ is the projection onto the two low-energy states for the transformed Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(g)$. In addition, the vector $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ is the eigenvector of $\tilde{H}(g)$. In fact, one has

$$\langle \tilde{0} | a_{\rm E} \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g) \tilde{H}_g \tilde{U}(g) | \tilde{0} \rangle = 0$$

because $\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{g}\tilde{U}(g)$ has even fermion parity by the construction [24] of the unitary operator $\tilde{U}(g)$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that there exists a non-vanishing spectral gap above the two low-energy states for this Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(g)$.

We write

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \tilde{H}_{0,Z},$$

where $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1\}$, and $\tilde{H}_{0,Z}$ is the local Hamiltonian whose explicit form is given by $\tilde{U} = 2m^{\dagger} |\tilde{A}| - m$

$$H_{0,Z} = 2\eta_i^{\prime} |A|_{i,j} \eta_j$$

with $Z = \{i, j\}$ for $i, j = 2, 3, \dots, 2N - 1$. Clearly, one has

$$\tilde{H}_{0,Z}|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0 \tag{A.23}$$

for all Z. Similarly, we can write the interaction \tilde{V} in the sum of the local interactions \tilde{V}_Z ,

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \tilde{V}_Z,$$

where the support of $a_{\rm E}$ is given by the single site $\{1\}$. We write

$$\tilde{H}_0(g) := \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_0\tilde{U}(g) = \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{0,Z}\tilde{U}(g)$$
(A.24)

and

$$\tilde{V}(g) := \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}\tilde{U}(g) = \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g).$$
(A.25)

Obviously,

$$\tilde{H}(g) = \tilde{H}_0(g) + g\tilde{V}(g).$$
(A.26)

Following Hastings [20], we introduce

$$\mathcal{R}_g(\cdots) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\tau}_{g,t}(\cdots) w(t) dt$$

where

$$\tilde{\tau}_{g,t}(\cdots) := \exp[it\tilde{H}(g)](\cdots)\exp[-it\tilde{H}(g)],$$

and w(t) is a function such that its Fourier transform \hat{w} satisfies $\hat{w}(0) = 1$ and $\hat{w}(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| \ge \Delta \tilde{E}$. From the condition $\hat{w}(0) = 1$, one has $\mathcal{R}_g(1) = 1$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_g(\hat{H}(g)) = \hat{H}(g). \tag{A.27}$$

Since the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(g)$ has the spectral gap $\Delta \tilde{E} > 0$ above the sector of the two low-energy states from the assumption on the Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_g , the condition $\hat{w}(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| \ge \Delta \tilde{E}$ yields

$$[1 - \tilde{P}_0(0)]\mathcal{R}_g(\mathcal{A})\tilde{P}_0(0) = 0 \tag{A.28}$$

for any operator \mathcal{A} . As a concrete function, we use the function w(t) of the equation (2.1) in Lemma 2.3 in [24]. From (A.24), (A.25), (A.26) and (A.27), we have

$$\tilde{H}(g) = \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{0,Z}\tilde{U}(g)) + g \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_Z\tilde{U}(g)).$$
(A.29)

Consider first the summand in the second sum in the right-hand side of (A.29). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{g}(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g))|\tilde{0}\rangle &= \tilde{P}_{0}(0)\mathcal{R}_{g}(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g))|\tilde{0}\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \; w(t)\tilde{P}_{0}(0)e^{it\tilde{H}(g)}\tilde{P}_{0}(0)\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g)|\tilde{0}\rangle e^{-it\tilde{E}_{0}(g)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \; w(t)|\tilde{0}\rangle\langle\tilde{0}|\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g)|\tilde{0}\rangle \\ &= |\tilde{0}\rangle\langle\tilde{0}|\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_{Z}\tilde{U}(g)|\tilde{0}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{E}_0(g)$ is the energy eigenvalue of $\tilde{H}(g)$ for the eigenvector $|\tilde{0}\rangle$, and we have used (A.28) and $\hat{w}(0) = 1$; we have also used $\langle \tilde{0} | a_{\rm E} \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g) \tilde{V}_Z \tilde{U}(g) | \tilde{0} \rangle = 0$, which holds because the operator $\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g) \tilde{V}_Z \tilde{U}(g)$ has even fermion parity. This can be written

$$\mathcal{R}_g(:\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_Z\tilde{U}(g):)|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0, \qquad (A.30)$$

where : (\cdots) : is the subtraction of the expectation value with respect to the state $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ which is defined by

$$: \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A} - \langle \tilde{0} | \mathcal{A} | \tilde{0} \rangle \tag{A.31}$$

for an operator \mathcal{A} . We write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_Z^{(1)}(g) := g\mathcal{R}_g(:\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}_Z\tilde{U}(g):).$$
(A.32)

Next consider the summand in the first sum in the right-hand side of (A.29). Note that

$$\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{0,Z}\tilde{U}(g) - \tilde{H}_{0,Z} = \int_{0}^{g} dg' \frac{d}{dg'}\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')\tilde{H}_{0,Z}\tilde{U}(g')
= \int_{0}^{g} dg'\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')i[\tilde{H}_{0,Z},\tilde{D}(g')]\tilde{U}(g'),$$
(A.33)

where we have used [24]

$$\frac{d}{dg}\tilde{U}(g) = i\tilde{D}(g)\tilde{U}(g)$$

with the self-adjoint operator $\tilde{D}(g)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{0,Z}\tilde{U}(g)) = \mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{H}_{0,Z}) + \int_0^g dg' \mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')i[\tilde{H}_{0,Z},\tilde{D}(g')]\tilde{U}(g')).$$
(A.34)

The second term in the right-hand side satisfies

$$\int_0^g dg' \mathcal{R}_g(:\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')i[\tilde{H}_{0,Z},\tilde{D}(g')]\tilde{U}(g'):)|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$$

in the same way as in the above. Therefore, we write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{Z}^{(2)}(g) := \int_{0}^{g} dg' \mathcal{R}_{g}(: \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g') i[\tilde{H}_{0,Z}, \tilde{D}(g')] \tilde{U}(g') :).$$
(A.35)

In order to deal with the first term $\mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{H}_{0,Z})$ in the right-hand side of (A.34), we note that

$$e^{it\tilde{H}(g)}\tilde{H}_{0,Z}e^{-it\tilde{H}(g)} - \tilde{H}_{0,Z} = \int_0^t dt' \frac{d}{dt'} e^{it'\tilde{H}(g)}\tilde{H}_{0,Z}e^{-it'\tilde{H}(g)}$$
$$= \int_0^t dt' \ e^{it'\tilde{H}(g)}i[\tilde{H}(g),\tilde{H}_{0,Z}]e^{-it'\tilde{H}(g)}.$$
(A.36)

On the other hand, the above relation (A.33) yields

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}(g) &= \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{H}_{0}\tilde{U}(g) + g\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}\tilde{U}(g) \\ &= \tilde{H}_{0} + \int_{0}^{g} dg' \,\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')i[\tilde{H}_{0},\tilde{D}(g')]\tilde{U}(g') + g\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}\tilde{U}(g). \end{split}$$

Substituting this into the right-hand side of (A.36), we have

$$e^{it\tilde{H}(g)}\tilde{H}_{0,Z}e^{-it\tilde{H}(g)} = \tilde{H}_{0,Z} + \int_0^t dt' \ e^{it'\tilde{H}(g)}i[\tilde{H}_0,\tilde{H}_{0,Z}]e^{-it'\tilde{H}(g)} + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,Z}(g), \ (A.37)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,Z}(g) := \int_{0}^{t} dt' \, \tilde{\tau}_{g,t'} \left(ig[\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g)\tilde{V}\tilde{U}(g), \tilde{H}_{0,Z}] + \int_{0}^{g} dg' \, i[\tilde{U}^{\dagger}(g')i[\tilde{H}_{0}, \tilde{D}(g')]\tilde{U}(g'), \tilde{H}_{0,Z}] \right).$$
(A.38)

From the expression of (A.37), one notices that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,Z}(g)|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0. \tag{A.39}$$

Actually, $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ is the eigenvector of $\tilde{H}(g)$, and $\tilde{H}_{0,Z}|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0$. In addition, we obtain

$$\sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \mathcal{R}_g(\tilde{H}_{0,Z}) = \tilde{H}_0 + \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ w(t) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,Z}(g).$$
(A.40)

We write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{Z}^{(3)}(g) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ w(t) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,Z}(g).$$
(A.41)

Consequently, from (A.29), (A.32), (A.34), (A.35), (A.40) and (A.41), we obtain the desired expression of the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(g)$,

$$\tilde{H}(g) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g), \tag{A.42}$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{Z \subset \Lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{Z}^{(i)}(g), \qquad (A.43)$$

where we have dropped the constant term. The operators $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_Z^{(i)}(g)$ satisfy

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_Z^{(i)}(g) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad g \to 0,$$
 (A.44)

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{Z}^{(i)}(g)|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0 \tag{A.45}$$

for all Z and i = 1, 2, 3. Therefore, in the same way as in [22], we obtain

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)]^2 \le (\tilde{g})^2 (\tilde{\mathfrak{N}})^2, \tag{A.46}$$

where \tilde{g} is a positive constant which satisfies $\tilde{g} \to 0$ as $g \to 0$, and

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{N}} := a_{\mathrm{E}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathrm{E}} + \sum_{i=2}^{2N-1} \eta_i^{\dagger} \eta_i.$$
(A.47)

By using the bound (A.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)]^2 &\leq (\tilde{g})^2 (\tilde{\mathfrak{N}})^2 \\ &= (\tilde{g})^2 \left(a_{\rm E}^{\dagger} a_{\rm E} + \sum_i \eta_i^{\dagger} \eta_i \right)^2 \\ &\leq (\tilde{g})^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta E_0} \tilde{H}_0 \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2(\tilde{g})^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{(\Delta E_0)^2} (\tilde{H}_0)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.48}$$

By the construction of the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(g) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)$, one has

$$\langle \tilde{0} | \tilde{H}(g) | \tilde{0} \rangle = \langle \tilde{0} | \tilde{H}_0 | \tilde{0} \rangle + \langle \tilde{0} | \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) | \tilde{0} \rangle = 0.$$

This implies that the ground state energy $\tilde{E}_0(g)$ of $\tilde{H}(g)$ satisfies $\tilde{E}_0(g) \leq 0$.

Let $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ be an excited state of $\tilde{H}(g)$ such that the energy eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_1(g)$ does not connect continuously with the ground-state energy zero of the unperturbed Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_0 when varying the coupling constant g from non-zero to zero. From $\tilde{H}(g)\tilde{\Psi}_1 = \tilde{E}_1(g)\tilde{\Psi}_1$ with the normalization $\|\tilde{\Psi}_1\| = 1$, one has

$$\begin{split} [\tilde{E}_{1}(g)]^{2} &= \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{H}(g)]^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)]^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{H}_{0} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) \tilde{H}_{0} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle \\ &\geq \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{H}_{0} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) \tilde{H}_{0} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle. \end{split}$$
(A.49)

The second and third terms in the right-hand side can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Re} \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, \tilde{H}_{0} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g) \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle \right| &\leq \sqrt{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)]^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}}{\Delta E_{0}} \sqrt{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [(\Delta E_{0})^{2} + (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2}] \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\tilde{g} \Delta E_{0} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}}{\Delta E_{0}} \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, (\tilde{H}_{0})^{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$
(A.50)

where we have used the bound (A.48). Substituting this into the above right-hand side, we have

$$[\tilde{E}_1(g)]^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}\Delta E_0 \ge \left[1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}}{\Delta E_0}\right] \langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, (\tilde{H}_0)^2\tilde{\Psi}_1 \rangle.$$

Further, using the bound (A.48) again, we obtain

$$2(\tilde{g})^{2} \frac{[\tilde{E}_{1}(g)]^{2} + 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}\Delta E_{0}}{(\Delta E_{0})^{2} - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}\Delta E_{0}} + 2(\tilde{g})^{2} \geq \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(g)]^{2}\tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{H}(g) - \tilde{H}_{0}]^{2}\tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{1}, [\tilde{E}_{1}(g) - \tilde{H}_{0}]^{2}\tilde{\Psi}_{1} \rangle. \quad (A.51)$$

Since we can assume $\tilde{E}_1(g) \leq \Delta E_0$, we have the bound,

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, [\tilde{E}_1(g) - \tilde{H}_0]^2 \tilde{\Psi}_1 \rangle \le \frac{4\Delta E_0}{\Delta E_0 - 2\sqrt{2\tilde{g}}} (\tilde{g})^2.$$
(A.52)

When $\tilde{E}_1(g)$ satisfies $\Delta E_0 \geq \tilde{E}_1(g) > \Delta E_0/2$ for a small |g|, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta E_0 > \sqrt{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_1, [\tilde{E}_1(g) - \tilde{H}_0]^2 \tilde{\Psi}_1 \rangle}$$

from the spectrum of H_0 . Therefore, from the above bound (A.52), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta E_0 < \Delta E_0 - \left(\frac{4\Delta E_0}{\Delta E_0 - 2\sqrt{2}\tilde{g}}\right)^{1/2} \tilde{g} \le \tilde{E}_1(g) \le \Delta E_0 \tag{A.53}$$

for a small |g|. This implies that there exists a small positive constant g_{max} such that the bound (A.53) can be realized for any coupling constant g which satisfies $|g| \leq g_{\text{max}}$.

B Proof of the existence of γ satisfying $[H, \gamma] = 0$

In this appendix, we give a proof of the existence of a Majorana operator γ which commutes with the Hamiltonian H, i.e., $[H, \gamma] = 0$, following the paper [25] by Goldstein and Chamon. The Hamiltonian H has even fermion parity and consists of the operators, $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$, whose number is odd 2N - 1.

Let us consider all the monomials which have odd fermion parity, and consist of the operators, $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2N-1}$. There are in total $\sum_{m=1}^{N} \binom{2N-1}{2m-1} = 2^{2N-2}$ such operators. We denote them by C_a with indices a. We can write the operators C_a in the form,

$$C_a := (i)^{n_a(n_a-1)/2} c_{i_1(a)} c_{i_2(a)} \cdots c_{i_{n_a}(a)}, \tag{B.1}$$

where n_a is the number of *c*-Majorana fermions in the product, and for each monomial with index $a, I(a) := \{i_1(a), i_2(a), \ldots, i_{n_a}(a)\}$ is the list of the sites which satisfy conditions, $i_j(a) \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 2N - 1\}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_a\}$ and $i_k(a) < i_\ell(a)$ for $k < \ell$. Of course, n_a is odd and satisfies $n_a \leq 2N - 1$. Then, one has

$$C_a^{\dagger} = C_a$$
 and $(C_a)^2 = 1$.

Clearly, the operator γ is written as

$$\gamma = \sum_{a} \alpha_a C_a \tag{B.2}$$

with coefficients α_a .

The product of two C_{a_1} and C_{a_2} gives

$$C_{a_1}C_{a_2} = (i)^{s(a_1,a_2)}C_{a_3}$$

with some phase factor, where the set $I(a_3)$ of the sites in C_{a_3} satisfies $I(a_3) = I(a_1) \cup I(a_2) \setminus I(a_1) \cap I(a_2)$. We introduce the usual trace inner product for two operators, A and B:

$$(A, B) := \frac{1}{2^{2N}} \operatorname{Tr} A^{\dagger} B.$$

$$(C_a, C_b) = \delta_{a,b} \tag{B.3}$$

Then, one has

for all
$$C_a$$
 and C_b . Actually,

$$\operatorname{Tr} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} = 0$$

for any monomial $c_{j_1}c_{j_2}\cdots c_{j_n}$ with $n \ge 1$. In the case of n = odd, this is true because of the odd fermion parity. When n = even, one has

$$\operatorname{Tr} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} = \operatorname{Tr} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} c_{j_1} = (-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{Tr} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n} = -\operatorname{Tr} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_n}$$

by using the cyclic property of trace and the commutation relations. This implies the vanishing of the trace.

Let us consider

$$H_{a,b} := (C_a, [H, C_b])$$
 (B.4)

for the Hamiltonian H with even fermion parity. This is a $2^{2N-2} \times 2^{2N-2}$ matrix. Further, this is pure imaginary and antisymmetric. Actually, one has

$$\overline{H_{a,b}} = \overline{(C_a, [H, C_b])} = ([H, C_b], C_a) = 2^{-2N} \operatorname{Tr}(-[H, C_b]) C_a = -2^{-2N} \operatorname{Tr} C_a [H, C_b] = -H_{a,b}.$$

Thus, $H_{a,b}$ is pure imaginary. Further, the cyclic property of trace yields

$$\operatorname{Tr} C_a[H, C_b] = \operatorname{Tr} (C_a H C_b - C_a C_b H) = \operatorname{Tr} C_b C_a H - \operatorname{Tr} C_b H C_a. = -\operatorname{Tr} C_b[H, C_a]$$

This implies $H_{a,b} = -H_{b,a}$, i.e., $H_{a,b}$ is antisymmetric.

One can easily show $[H, c_1c_2 \cdots c_{2N-1}] = 0$. Therefore, the matrix $H_{a,b}$ has the corresponding zero eigenvalue. Since the matrix $H_{a,b}$ is pure imaginary and antisymmetric with the even dimension 2^{2N-2} , there must exist at least one more zero eigenvalue. Namely, there exists an eigenvector α_b such that it satisfies the eigenvalue equation,

$$0 = \sum_{b} H_{a,b} \alpha_{b} = \sum_{b} (C_{a}, [H, C_{b}]) \alpha_{b} = (C_{a}, [H, \gamma]),$$
(B.5)

where we have written

$$\gamma = \sum_{b} \alpha_b C_b. \tag{B.6}$$

The above equation must hold for any C_a . Therefore, one obtains $[H, \gamma] = 0$, and $\gamma \neq c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{2N-1}$.

C Locality of Majorana edge zero mode

In this appendix, we prove the locality of the operator $U(g)\hat{\gamma}_0 U(g)^{\dagger}$, which creates the Majorana edge zero mode above the ground state. From (2.3) and (2.4), it is sufficient to prove the locality of

$$U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}$$

for a not large $\ell \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$. For this purpose, we will use a local approximation [24, 27, 28].

To begin with, we recall that the Hamiltonian H_g does not contain the operator c_{2N} at the right edge. This is crucial for the following argument. Note that

$$(c_{2m-1}c_{2m})c_{2m-1}(c_{2m}c_{2m-1}) = -c_{2m-1} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$(c_{2m-1}c_{2m})c_{2m}(c_{2m}c_{2m-1}) = -c_{2m} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots, N-1,$$

$$(c_{2m-1}c_{2N})c_{2m-1}(c_{2N}c_{2m-1}) = -c_{2m-1} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots, N-1,$$

and

$$(c_{2m-1}c_{2N})c_{2m-1}c_{2m}(c_{2N}c_{2m-1}) = -c_{2m-1}c_{2m}$$
 for $m = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$.

Namely, these unitary transformations change the sign of the operators. Having these relations in mind, we introduce a unitary transformation,

$$\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1}, \dots, \sigma_N) := \mathcal{U}_m(\sigma_m)\mathcal{U}_{m+1}(\sigma_{m+1})\cdots\mathcal{U}_N(\sigma_N),$$

for m = 1, 2, ..., N, where

$$\mathcal{U}_{m}(\sigma_{m}) := \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma_{m} = 1; \\ c_{2m}c_{2m-1}, & \sigma_{m} = 2; \\ c_{2N}c_{2m-1}, & \sigma_{m} = 3; \\ c_{2m}c_{2m-1}c_{2N}c_{2m-1}, & \sigma_{m} = 4, \end{cases}$$

for m = 1, 2, ..., N - 1, and

$$\mathcal{U}_N(\sigma_N) := \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma_N = 1; \\ c_{2N}c_{2N-1}, & \sigma_N = 2. \end{cases}$$

By definition, the operator $\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_m, \sigma_{m+1}, \ldots, \sigma_N)$ has even fermion parity. For any operator A, we define

$$\Pi_{\geq 2m-1}(A) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N-m} \sum_{\sigma_N=1,2} \sum_{\sigma_m,\sigma_{m+1},\dots,\sigma_{N-1}} \mathcal{U}_{\geq m}^{\dagger}(\sigma_m,\dots,\sigma_N) A \mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_m,\dots,\sigma_N).$$
(C.1)

This is the average over the unitary transformations. When an operator A is written in a product form A = A'A'' which satisfies $\operatorname{supp} A' \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, 2m - 2\}$ and $\operatorname{supp} A'' \subset \{2m - 1, 2m, \ldots, 2N - 1\}$, one has $\prod_{\geq 2m-1}(A) = A'\prod_{\geq 2m-1}(A'')$. If the operator $A \neq 1$ satisfies the condition supp $A \subset \{2m-1, 2m, \ldots, 2N-1\}$, then $\prod_{\geq 2m-1}(A) = 0$. Of course, if A = 1, then $\prod_{\geq 2m-1}(A) = 1$.

For the operator $U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}$, the local approximation is given by

$$\prod_{\geq 2m-1} (U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}).$$

From the definition (C.1) of $\Pi_{\geq 2m-1}(\cdots)$, the difference between the two operators can be written

$$U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger} - \prod_{\geq 2m-1}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger})$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N-m}\sum_{\sigma_m,\dots,\sigma_N}\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}^{\dagger}(\sigma_m,\dots,\sigma_N)\left[\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_m,\dots,\sigma_N),U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}\right]$ (C.2)

by using the commutator. In order to evaluate the commutator, we recall the following facts [24]: The unitary operator U(g) is the solution of the Schrödinger-type equation,

$$-i\frac{d}{dg}U(g) = D(g)U(g), \qquad (C.3)$$

with the initial condition U(0) = 1, where the self-adjoint operator D(g) is written

$$D(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt W(t) e^{itH_g} V e^{-itH_g}$$
(C.4)

with a certain real-valued function W(t) which shows subexponential decay [24]. The operator D(g) is correspond to the Hamiltonian of the Schrödinger-type equation. The range of the corresponding interaction of D(g) is determined by the interaction Hamiltonian V of the present Hamiltonian H_g as seen in the right-hand side of (C.4). When V is finite-range or exponentially decaying interaction, D(g) shows subexponentially decaying interaction [24]. Under the assumption on the range of the interaction V, the following Lieb-Robinson bound holds [22, 24]:

$$\|[U(g)AU(g)^{\dagger}, B]\| \le \|A\| \|B\| \sum_{i \in \text{supp}A, j \in \text{supp}B} F(|i-j|)$$
 (C.5)

for any operator A with odd fermion parity, and any operator B with even fermion parity, where F is a subexponentially decaying function. Here, it is crucial that at least one of the operators, A and B, must have even fermion parity [22, 27, 29]. Actually, if the two operators, A and B, anticommute with each other for large distance, then the commutator in the Lieb-Robinson bound must be replaced by the anticommutator [29]. Combining the Lieb-Robinson bound (C.5) with (C.2), we have

$$\|U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger} - \Pi_{\geq 2m-1}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger})\| \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{N-m} \sum_{\sigma_{m},...,\sigma_{N}} \left\| \left[\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_{m},...,\sigma_{N}), U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger} \right] \right\| \le \sum_{n\geq 2m-1} F(|2\ell-1-n|) \le \tilde{F}(|\ell-m|)$$
(C.6)

for $\ell \leq m$, where we have used that the operator $\mathcal{U}_{\geq m}(\sigma_m, \ldots, \sigma_N)$ has even fermion parity as mentioned above, and \tilde{F} is a subexponentially decaying function. This implies the locality of $U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}$. In fact, we have the local decomposition, [24]

$$U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta_m(c_{2\ell-1}),$$
 (C.7)

where

$$\Delta_1(c_{2\ell-1}) = \prod_{\geq 1} (U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}),$$

and

$$\Delta_m(c_{2\ell-1}) = \prod_{\geq 2m-1} (U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}) - \prod_{\geq 2m-3} (U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger})$$

for $m \geq 2$. From the above bound (C.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{m}(c_{2\ell-1})\| &= \|\Pi_{\geq 2m-1}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}) - \Pi_{\geq 2m-3}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger})\| \\ &\leq \|\Pi_{\geq 2m-1}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}) - U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger}\| \\ &+ \|U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger} - \Pi_{\geq 2m-3}(U(g)c_{2\ell-1}U(g)^{\dagger})\| \\ &\leq \tilde{F}(|\ell-m|) + \tilde{F}(|\ell-m+1|) \end{aligned}$$

for a large m. This implies a subexponentially decay.

References

- A. Yu Kitaev, Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires, Phys.-Usp. 44, 131 (2001).
- [2] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Effects of interactions on the topological classification of free fermion systems, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134509 (2010).
- [3] H. Katsura, D. Schuricht, M. Takahashi, Exact ground states and topological order in interacting Kitaev/Majorana chains, Phys. Rev. B 92, 115137 (2015).
- [4] P. Fendley, Strong zero modes and eigenstate phase transitions in the XYZ/interacting Majorana chain, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49 (30), 30LT01 (2016).
- [5] P. Fendley, Parafermionic edge zero modes in \mathbb{Z}_n -invariant spin chains, J. Stat. Mech. P11020 (2012).
- [6] A. S. Jermyn, R. S. K. Mong, J. Alicea, and P. Fendley, Stability of zero modes in parafermion chains, Phys. Rev. B 90, 165106 (2014).
- [7] A. Alexandradinata, N. Regnault, Chen Fang, Matthew J. Gilbert, B. Andrei Bernevig, *Parafermionic phases with symmetry-breaking and topological order*, Phys. Rev. B 94, 125103 (2016).

- [8] D. J. Yates, A. G. Abanov, A. Mitra, Lifetime of almost strong edge-mode operators in one dimensional, interacting, symmetry protected topological phases, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 206803 (2020).
- [9] D. J. Yates, A. G. Abanov, A. Mitra, Dynamics of almost strong edge modes in spin chains away from integrability, Phys. Rev. B 102, 195419 (2020).
- [10] D. V. Else, P. Fendley, J. Kemp, C. Nayak, Prethermal Strong Zero Modes and Topological Qubits, Phys. Rev. X 7, 041062 (2017).
- [11] W.-T. Xu, G.-M. Zhang, Matrix product states for topological phases with parafermions, Phys. Rev. B 95, 195122 (2017).
- [12] W.-T. Xu, G.-M. Zhang, Classifying parafermionic gapped phases using matrix product states, Phys. Rev. B 97, 035160 (2018).
- [13] W.-T. Xu, G.-M. Zhang, Enriched classification of parafermionic gapped phases with time reversal symmetry, Phys. Rev. B 97, 125135 (2018).
- [14] A. Chew, D. F. Mross, J. Alicea, Fermionized parafermions and symmetry-enriched Majorana modes, Phys. Rev. B 98, 085143 (2018).
- [15] A. Calzona, T. Meng, M. Sassetti, T. L. Schmidt, Z₄ parafermions in one-dimensional fermionic lattices, Phys. Rev. B 98, 201110 (2018).
- [16] R. W. Bomantara, \mathbb{Z}_4 parafermion $\pm \pi/2$ modes in interacting periodically driven superconducting chain, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 121410 (2021).
- [17] F. C. Alcaraz, R. A. Pimenta, Free-parafermionic Z(N) and free-fermionic XY quantum chains, Phys. Rev. E 104, 054121 (2021).
- [18] J. Wouters, F. Hassler, H. Katsura, D. Schuricht Phase diagram of an extended parafermion chain, SciPost Phys. Core 5, 008 (2022).
- [19] A. Rahmani, M. Franz, Interacting Majorana Fermions, Rep. Prog. Phys. 82, 084501 (2019).
- [20] M. B. Hastings, The stability of free fermi Hamiltonians, J. Math. Phys. 60, 042201 (2019).
- [21] W. De Roeck, and M. Salmhofer, Persistence of exponential decay and spectral gaps for interacting fermions, Commun. Math. Phys. 365, 773–796 (2019).
- [22] T. Koma, Stability of the Spectral Gap for Lattice Fermions, arXiv:2005.04548.
- [23] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, 2nd edn. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980).

- [24] S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims, Automorphic Equivalence within Gapped Phases of Quantum Lattice Systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 309, 835– 871 (2012).
- [25] G. Goldstein, and C. Chamon, Exact Zero Modes in Closed Systems of Interacting Fermions, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115122 (2012).
- [26] A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro, and M. Porta, Universality of the Hall Conductivity in Interacting Electron Systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 349, 1107–1161 (2017).
- [27] B. Nachtergaele, R. Sims, and A. Young, Lieb-Robinson bounds, the spectral flow, and stability of the spectral gap for lattice fermion systems, arXiv:1705.08553.
- [28] S. Bravyi, M. Hastings, and F. Verstraete, Lieb-Robinson bounds and the generation of correlations and Topological quantum order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 050401 (2006).
- [29] M. B. Hastings, and T. Koma, Spectral Gap and Exponential Decay of Correlations, Commun. Math. Phys. 265, 781–804 (2006).