

Learned Digital Back-Propagation for Dual-Polarization Dispersion Managed Systems

Mohannad Abu-romoh⁽¹⁾, Nelson Costa⁽²⁾, Antonio Napoli⁽³⁾, Bernhard Spinnler⁽³⁾,
Yves Jaouën⁽¹⁾, Mansoor Yousefi⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Télécom Paris, Palaiseau, France, mohannad.aburomoh@telecom-paris.fr

⁽²⁾ Infinera, Unipessoal Lda, Carnaxide, Portugal ⁽³⁾ Infinera, Munich, Germany

Abstract *Digital back-propagation (DBP) and learned DBP (LDBP) are proposed for nonlinearity mitigation in WDM dual-polarization dispersion-managed systems. LDBP achieves Q-factor improvement of 1.8 dB and 1.2 dB, respectively, over linear equalization and a variant of DBP adapted to DM systems.*

Introduction

Digital signal processing (DSP) can be applied in combination with coherent detection to equalize channel distortions in optical communication systems. Examples include^[1] digital back-propagation (DBP)^{[2],[3]}, Volterra series equalization, and learned DBP (LDBP) using neural networks (NNs)^{[4]–[8]}. In LDBP, a NN equalizer is considered based on the computational graph generated by the split-step Fourier method (SSFM), and optimized using the stochastic gradient descent (SGD). The aforementioned equalization methods were mostly developed for chromatic dispersion uncompensated links.

However, before the advent of the coherent detection, dispersion needed to be compensated in the optical domain. These links correspond to dispersion-managed (DM) systems where dispersion compensating fibers (DCF) are deployed in intermediate nodes, such as optical amplification sites, to compensate for the accumulated chromatic dispersion. These DM systems are still widely used since the removal of the DCFs may not be cost effective or even impossible for various reasons (e.g., in submarine cables or when legacy on-off keying (OOK) signals are still used).

In this paper, we study nonlinearity mitigation in legacy DM systems since that is still a relevant use case. We consider the transmission of wavelength-division multiplexed (WDM) QAM signals with coherent-detection, copropagating with OOK signals in adjacent frequency bands. First, we propose a variant of DBP with fractional number of steps/span that is adapted to DM systems. Next, we consider an LDBP where the parameters of the filters in the DBP are optimized. This yields a feed-forward NN that can be trained using gradient-based methods. Lastly, we evaluate our proposed DBP and LDBP by simulating a realistic

dual-polarization WDM DM transmission system taking into account losses, residual chromatic dispersion, Kerr nonlinearity, polarization-mode dispersion (PMD) and amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise. Q-factor improvements of 1.8 dB and 1.2 dB are obtained using LDBP with respect to linear equalization and DBP with similar complexity, respectively. We show that the chromatic dispersion management reduces the complexity of the receiver DSP, enabling nonlinearity mitigation using LDBP with few spatial segments.

Back-propagation adapted to DM systems

The propagation of signals in the two polarizations of optical fiber is described by the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation (CNLSE)

$$\frac{\partial U_H(z, t)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} U_H - \beta_1 \frac{\partial U_H}{\partial t} - \frac{j\beta_2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U_H}{\partial t^2} + j\gamma \left(|U_H|^2 + \frac{2}{3} |U_V|^2 \right) U_H. \quad (1)$$

Here, U_H and U_V represent the complex envelope of the signal as a function of time t and distance z in polarization $H, V \in \{X, Y\}$, $H \neq V$, α is the loss parameter, β_1 is the first-order dispersion coefficients that depends on the polarization, β_2 is the chromatic dispersion (CD) coefficient, and γ is the nonlinearity parameter.

In DBP, the SSFM is applied to (1), averaged over a rapidly-varying state-of-polarization, with negated parameters. Discretize U_H and U_V to $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, respectively; the discrete-time model for the \mathbf{X} signal considered in DBP is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}(z)}{dz} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}(z) + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \odot \mathbf{X}(z), \quad (2)$$

where \odot is the Hadamard product, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \text{diag}(H_1, \dots, H_n) \mathbf{W}$, \mathbf{W} is the discrete Fourier transform matrix, $H_k = \frac{\alpha}{2} - j\beta_1 \omega_k - j\beta_2 \omega_k^2/2$, $\omega_k = 2\pi f_k$, f_k is the k -th discrete fre-




Fig. 1: The dispersion-managed WDM optical fiber system with linear DSP and NN equalizer.

quency, and

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = -j\frac{8}{9}\gamma(\mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{Y} \odot \mathbf{Y}^*).$$

The solution of the linear and nonlinear part of (2) in the spatial segment $[z, z + \delta]$ are $\mathbf{X}(z + \delta) = e^{\delta\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{X}(z)$ and $\mathbf{X}(z + \delta) = e^{\delta\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{X}(z)$, respectively. The equations for \mathbf{Y} are similar.

The accumulated chromatic dispersion grows linearly with distance in uncompensated links. In DM systems, on the other hand, the dispersion introduced by the transmission fiber e.g., standard single mode fiber (SMF), is partially canceled at the end of each span via the application of DCF. The cascade of SMF and DCF forms a dispersion map with an accumulated dispersion $\mathcal{D}(z)$ as a function of distance z ; see Fig. 2. At the end of the link, usually a residual dispersion is left. Since DCF partially compensates for the accumulated dispersion, the linear step in the equalizer at the receiver has to account for the DCFs installed in the link, such that the total amount of compensated CD is equivalent to the total amount of dispersion generated by DCF and SMF together. Consider a DBP step over segment $[z, z + \delta]$, and a dispersion map $\mathcal{D}(z)$ tracing the residual dispersion over the above segment, the linear step in the proposed DBP is adjusted such that $\delta\mathbf{B} = \delta_1\mathbf{B}_1 + \delta_2\mathbf{B}_2$, where δ_1 and δ_2 are, respectively, the length of SMF and DCF, $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$, and \mathbf{B}_1 and \mathbf{B}_2 are the time-domain update matrices with the coefficients of SMF and DCF. The




Fig. 2: A single DBP step applied over two spans for a given dispersion map.

nonlinear step is similar to that used in unmanaged systems, with nonlinear coefficient γ_{eff} averaged across the two types of fiber. It can be seen that this version of DBP does not restrict one to integer number of steps/span, which is important in real-time implementation of DBP in high-speed transmission.

Learned digital back-propagation

LDBP uses the SSFM channel model as a blue print for the complex-valued NN structure. Denote the output of m -th layer by the time-domain vectors $\mathbf{X}^{(m)}$ and $\mathbf{Y}^{(m)}$, where the upper index m refers to the step number. The LDBP model takes two inputs $\mathbf{X}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{Y}^{(0)}$, and outputs $\mathbf{X}^{(M)}$ and $\mathbf{Y}^{(M)}$, corresponding to the equalized complex-value signals sampled at the sampling rate of the input. Each layer applies a function $\mathbf{X}^{(m+1)} = \Phi(\mathbf{X}^{(m)} \circledast \mathbf{A}_m)$ to the input vector, where \circledast denotes circular convolution, \mathbf{A}_m is the weight vector of the m -th convolutional layer, $0 \leq m \leq M$, and $\Phi(\mathbf{X})$ is special activation function, we call “Kerr activation”, which performs the same non-linear phase shift in DBP

$$\Phi(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X} \odot \exp\left\{-j\frac{8}{9}\bar{\gamma}\delta(\mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{Y} \odot \mathbf{Y}^*)\right\},$$

where $\bar{\gamma}$ is a trainable parameter, and $\exp(\cdot)$ is applied component-wise. The transformation is identical for the y -polarization, upon exchanging \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . The weights of the convolutional layers are initialized with the coefficients of the DBP linear step.

Performance results

The WDM-DP system and the LDBP NN are simulated using Python’s TensorFlow library. WDM-DP-16QAM signals were generated at 32 Gbaud using root raised cosine pulse-shape with 0.06 roll-off factor. The optical fiber link consists of $N_{sp} = 28$ spans, each span including an SMF and a DCF measuring 72 km and 13 km, respectively, as indicated in Fig. 1. The length of DCF is chosen such that it compensates for 85% of the dispersion in each span. The dispersion map




Fig. 3: Comparison between the achieved Q -factors for different equalization methods.

parameters are indicated in Table 1. The fiber is terminated with DCF, such that the total residual dispersion at RX is zero. An amplification gain $G_{\text{SMF}} = 14.4$ dB is applied at the end of the SMF, followed by a gain of $G_{\text{DCF}} = 6.5$ dB after the DCF. The SMF parameters are: $\alpha = 0.2$ dB/km, $D = 17$ ps/(nm-km), $\gamma = 1.4$ W⁻¹km⁻¹. DCF parameters are: $\alpha = 0.5$ dB/km, $D = -80$ ps/(nm-km), $\gamma = 2.8$ W⁻¹km⁻¹.

The central WDM channel is surrounded by 4 channels with the frequency spacing 37.5 GHz. Signal propagation is simulated with 72 steps/span for SMF, and 13 steps/span for DCF. The WDM signals are sampled at the high sampling rate of 16 samples/symbol, for accurate simulation. At the receiver, first a root raised-cosine band-pass filter is applied to filter out the adjacent channels, such that only the central channel is processed by DBP or LDBP. As a result, the channel of interest is affected by the interference introduced by the adjacent channels primarily via the cross-phase modulation. Next, the signal is down-sampled to twice the symbol rate before being processed by the conventional DSP chain which equalizes linear effects, such as CD, PMD and polarization mixing. DBP and LDBP equalizers are placed after the linear equalizer.

For training the NN, we generated 2^{15} input output signals at each launch power, with 2 samples/symbol. The length of the input and output vectors is 512 and 384, respectively, to account for the channel memory. All layers were initialized with the corresponding parameters in a linear step of DBP at the full step size δ , except for the first




Fig. 4: Constellations at RX. The inner and outer circles respectively represent areas where 68% and 95% of symbols are detected.

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Dispersion pre-compensation | -1224 ps/nm |
| Residual dispersion/span | -184 ps/nm |
| Residual dispersion at RX | 0 ps/nm |

Tab. 1: Dispersion map parameters.

and last layers that correspond to a half step $\delta/2$.

The NN performance, measured by the Q -factor obtained from the bit error rate, is shown in Fig. 3. The PMD-aware DBP curve is obtained by back-propagating the received signal under the assumption that the PMD coefficients are known to the receiver. This receiver is impractical, and is evaluated here merely to demonstrate an upper bound on the Q -factor of LDBP. Both DBP and LDBP are simulated with $M \in \{7, 14, 28\}$ steps or layers. LDBP with 7 layers achieves the peak Q -factor = 10.2 dB at launch power $P = -4$ dBm. Compared to the DBP with the same number of steps and launch power, this is a 1.2 dB gain in Q -factor. The peak value for LDBP occurs at 1 dB higher launch power. Moreover, LDBP with only 2 layers achieved 9.5 dB peak Q -factor, 1 dB higher than that of the linear equalizer at the same launch power. Lastly, Figs. 4 show the constellations at the output of the linear equalizer and at the output of the 28-layer LDBP equalizer, at the peak Q -factor. Overall, the results suggest that LDBP achieves a considerably higher Q -factor given a fixed number of spatial segments.

Conclusions

We proposed a variant of DBP adapted for DM systems for the mitigation of nonlinear effects. We optimized the DBP parameters using an LDBP approach. The resulting LDBP outperforms linear equalization and DBP with the same computational complexity, by 1.8 and 1.2 in Q -factor.

Acknowledgement

This project has received funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 813144.

References

- [1] S. J. Savory, "Digital Coherent Optical Receivers: Algorithms and Subsystems", *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1164–1179, 2010. DOI: 10.1109/JSTQE.2010.2044751.
- [2] E. Ip and J. M. Kahn, "Compensation of Dispersion and Nonlinear Impairments Using Digital Backpropagation", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 26, no. 20, pp. 3416–3425, 2008. DOI: 10.1109/JLT.2008.927791.
- [3] A. Napoli, Z. Maalej, V. A. J. M. Sleiffer, M. Kuschnerov, D. Rafique, E. Timmers, B. Spinnler, T. Rahman, L. D. Coelho, and N. Hanik, "Reduced Complexity Digital Back-Propagation Methods for Optical Communication Systems", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1351–1362, 2014. DOI: 10.1109/JLT.2014.2301492.
- [4] R. M. Bätler, C. Häger, H. D. Pfister, G. Liga, and A. Alvarado, "Model-Based Machine Learning for Joint Digital Backpropagation and PMD Compensation", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 949–959, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/JLT.2020.3034047.
- [5] T. Inoue, R. Matsumoto, and S. Namiki, "Learning-based digital back propagation to compensate for fiber nonlinearity considering self-phase and cross-phase modulation for wavelength-division multiplexed systems", *Opt. Express*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 14 851–14 872, Apr. 2022. DOI: 10.1364/OE.454841.
- [6] C. Häger and H. D. Pfister, "Physics-Based Deep Learning for Fiber-Optic Communication Systems", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 280–294, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/JSAC.2020.3036950.
- [7] —, "Nonlinear Interference Mitigation via Deep Neural Networks", in *2018 Optical Fiber Communications Conference and Exposition (OFC)*, 2018, pp. 1–3.
- [8] Q. Fan, C. Lu, and A. P. T. Lau, "Combined Neural Network and Adaptive DSP Training for Long-Haul Optical Communications", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 39, no. 22, pp. 7083–7091, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/JLT.2021.3111437.