

The codimension 2 null cut locus with applications to spacetime topology

Gregory J. Galloway^{*1} and Eric Ling^{†2}

¹University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL

²Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ

Abstract

In this paper we review and extend some results in the literature pertaining to spacetime topology while naturally utilizing properties of the codimension 2 null cut locus. Our results fall into two classes, depending on whether or not one assumes the presence of horizons. Included among the spacetimes we consider are those that apply to the asymptotically (locally) AdS setting.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The codimension 2 null cut locus and compactness results	3
2.1	Null cut locus and the s function	3
2.2	Compactness results - the no horizon case	4
2.3	Compactness results - the horizon case	8
3	Applications to spacetime topology - the no horizon case	11
3.1	Gluing constructions	12
3.2	Spacetime topology à la Gannon-Lee	14
3.3	Exterior foliations by spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary	16
4	Applications to spacetime topology - the horizon case	18
4.1	Gluing constructions	18
4.2	The globally hyperbolic setting	19
4.3	Exterior foliations by spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary	20

*galloway@math.miami.edu

†eling@math.rutgers.edu

1 Introduction

In this paper we review and extend some results in the literature pertaining to space-time topology from the point of view of the codimension 2 null cut locus, i.e. the null cut locus associated to a codimension 2 spacelike hypersurface in spacetime. Our results fall into two classes, depending on whether or not one assumes the presence of horizons. The results in which we do not assume the presence of horizons (as in [9, 13, 6, 7, 20]) may be interpreted as singularity results: Nontrivial topology (in a suitable sense) leads to future incompleteness. The results in which we do assume the presence of horizons (as in [4, 16]) pertain to the notion of topological censorship, which has to do with the idea that, outside of all black holes, one expects the topology to be simple (in suitable sense) at the fundamental group level.

In [6], Costa e Silva presented a higher dimensional generalization of a well known result of Gannon [9] and Lee [13], in which the ‘enclosing surface’ S (within a given spacelike hypersurface) is not required to be simply connected. We present a version of this result, in both the horizon and (as in [6]) no horizon case. An essential step in the proof is to construct a certain covering spacetime. An issue arises in the covering construction in [6] (see also [20]) which we address here (see section 3.1).

An interesting effort in [6] was made to lower the causality assumption from global hyperbolicity to causal simplicity. However, subsequently Costa e Silva and Minguzzi showed that causal simplicity does not necessarily lift to covers [7]. To address this, they assume that the spacetime and its covers are past reflecting (a property weaker than causal simplicity). As shown in [7], this assumption holds for spacetimes which admit a past complete conformal timelike Killing field.

Our results apply to globally hyperbolic spacetimes, and also to a class of spacetimes that are not in general globally hyperbolic, but which admit an ‘exterior foliation’ by globally hyperbolic spacetimes-with-time-like-boundary; see section 3 for details. The latter spacetimes model spacetimes that are asymptotically locally anti-de Sitter, without having to introduce conformal completions. Our proofs involving these spacetimes make use of a reflectivity assumption in the ‘base’, but do not require that this assumption lifts to covers. As such we circumvent the difficulties mentioned in the previous paragraph.

Let S be a closed separating hypersurface in a spacelike hypersurface V . In Section 2 we study the null cut locus of S with respect to the ‘inward’ future directed null normal geodesics to S . In later applications we make a distinction between S -cut points and S -focal points. While S -focal points lift to covers, S -cut points in general do not. In later proofs we use the fact that S -focal points lift to covers, and that the existence of an S -focal point implies the existence of an S -cut point. One can then apply properties of the null cut locus of S , as discussed in section 2. Apart from its analytic properties, the null cut locus has a natural relationship to achronal boundaries. In sections 3 and 4 we discuss applications to spacetime topology in the no horizon and horizon case, respectively.

2 The codimension 2 null cut locus and compactness results

2.1 Null cut locus and the s function

Unless otherwise stated all objects are considered smooth.

Let (M, g) be a spacetime and let S be a codimension 2 spacelike submanifold. We define the *future null tangent bundle* of S as

$$\mathcal{N}S^+ = \{v \in T_p M \mid p \in S \text{ and } v \text{ is future directed null}\}.$$

By our convention, the zero vector is not null hence the zero section does not lie in $\mathcal{N}S^+$. We give $\mathcal{N}S^+$ the subspace topology inherited from the tangent bundle TS .

Define $s: \mathcal{N}S^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$s(v) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid d(S, \exp(tv)) = 0\},$$

where tv lies in the maximal domain of \exp , and where $d(S, \cdot): J^+(S) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is the Lorentzian distance function restricted to S defined via

$$d(S, p) = \sup\{L(\gamma) \mid \gamma \text{ is a future directed causal curve from } S \text{ to } p\}.$$

Here L is the Lorentzian arclength given by $L(\gamma) = \int \sqrt{-g(\gamma', \gamma')}$. If $v \in \mathcal{N}S^+$ and $\gamma(t) := \exp(tv)$ extends to $[0, s(v)]$, then we say $\gamma(s(v))$ is the *future null cut point of S along γ* . We will abbreviate this to $\gamma(s(v))$ is the *S -cut point along γ* .

Proposition 1. *Suppose $s(v) > 0$, and if $s(v) < \infty$ suppose $\gamma(s(v))$ is the S -cut point along γ where $\gamma(t) = \exp(tv)$. Then s is upper semicontinuous at v .*

Proof. s is upper semicontinuous at v provided $s(v) \geq \limsup_{v' \rightarrow v} s(v')$. This holds if and only if $s(v) \geq \limsup s(v_n)$ for all sequences $v_n \rightarrow v$. If $s(v) = \infty$, then we are done. Assume $s(v) < \infty$. Seeking a contradiction, suppose $v_n \rightarrow v$ and $s(v) < A := \limsup s(v_n)$. By moving to a subsequence, we can assume $s(v_n) \rightarrow A$. Define $\gamma_n(t) = \exp(tv_n)$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $b := s(v) + \delta < A$ and γ still extends to $[0, b]$. By moving to a subsequence, we can assume γ_n is defined on $[0, b]$ as well. Since $b > s(v)$, there is a timelike curve from S to $\gamma(b)$. Therefore $d(S, \gamma(b)) > 0$. However, by lower semicontinuity of the Lorentzian distance function [2, Lem. 4.4], which holds for $d(S, \cdot)$ as well, we have

$$0 < d(S, \gamma(b)) \leq \liminf d(S, \gamma_n(b)) = \liminf 0 = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Note that this proposition does not require any causality assumptions. It is used in the proofs of later results, in particular, in an essential way, in the proof of Lemma 11.

The following proposition is given in [12, Thm. 6.1]. While not actually needed in what follows, we include the proof for completeness, adding some relevant references.

Proposition 2. *If (M, g) is globally hyperbolic and S is compact, then s is lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. s is lower semicontinuous at v provided $s(v) \leq \liminf_{v' \rightarrow v} s(v')$. This holds if and only if $s(v) \leq \liminf s(v_n)$ for all sequences $v_n \rightarrow v$. This holds trivially for those sequences which $\liminf s(v_n) = \infty$. Therefore, seeking a contradiction, suppose $v_n \rightarrow v$ and $s(v) > A := \liminf s(v_n)$ with $A < \infty$. By moving to a subsequence, we can assume $s(v_n) \rightarrow A$. Fix $\delta > 0$ so that $b := A + \delta < s(v)$. Define $b_n = s(v_n) + \delta$. Define $\gamma_n(t) = \exp(tv_n)$ and $\gamma(t) = \exp(tv)$. Since γ is defined at b , by restricting to a subsequence, we can assume each γ_n is defined at b_n . Set $p = \gamma(0)$, $q = \gamma(A + \delta)$, $p_n = \gamma_n(0)$, and $q_n = \gamma_n(b_n)$. Since (M, g) is globally hyperbolic, a variation of [18, Thm. 14.44] implies the existence of timelike geodesics σ_n from p_n to q_n issuing orthogonally from S which maximize the Lorentzian distance from S to q_n . Since S is compact, by restricting to a further subsequence, we can assume $p_n \rightarrow p' \in S$. By a limit curve argument [2, Cor. 3.32], there is a causal curve σ from p' to q .

Claim: $\sigma \neq \gamma|_{[0, b]}$. If this was not true, then the presence of σ_n implies that the normal exponential map on S would fail to be injective in a neighborhood of $\gamma|_{[0, b]}$. But then [18, Prop. 10.30] implies that there must have existed a focal point of S along γ at or before $\gamma(b)$. Then [18, Thm. 10.51] implies that there is a timelike curve from S to $\gamma(b)$; hence $s(v) < b$, which is a contradiction. This proves the claim. Since $\sigma \neq \gamma|_{[0, b]}$, a ‘cutting the corner’ argument within a normal neighborhood of $\gamma(b)$ implies $s(v) \leq A + \delta$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3. *Suppose (M, g) is globally hyperbolic and for all $v \in \mathcal{N}S^+$ with $s(v) < \infty$ suppose that $\gamma(s(v))$ is the S -cut point along γ where $\gamma(t) = \exp(tv)$. If S is compact and acausal, then s is continuous.*

Proof. By Propositions 1 and 2, it suffices to show $s(v) > 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{N}S^+$. Suppose, to the contrary, $s(v) = 0$ for some $v \in \mathcal{N}S^+$. Since the normal exponential map is a local diffeomorphism, there is a neighborhood U of $\gamma(0)$ such that γ is the unique geodesic from S to any point on γ within U . By strong causality, there is neighborhood $V \subset U$ about $\gamma(0)$ such that if λ is a causal curve with endpoints in V , then $\lambda \subset U$. If $s(v) = 0$, then there would be a sequence of causal geodesics $\sigma_n: [0, b_n] \rightarrow M$ from S to $\gamma(1/n)$. By taking a subsequence, we can assume $\sigma_n(0) \rightarrow p$ for some $p \in S$. We have $p \neq \gamma(0)$ since strong causality implies $\sigma_n(0) \notin V$. Therefore a limit curve argument [2, Cor. 3.32] implies the existence of a causal curve from p to $\gamma(0)$, but this contradicts acausality of S . \square

2.2 Compactness results - the no horizon case

A key ingredient in the proofs of our spacetime topology results is to establish the compactness of certain regions within a given spacelike hypersurface (specifically, the set E_1 described below). While our strategy here is similar to some other works (e.g. [9, 13, 6, 4, 16]), our approach emphasizes the codimension 2 null cut locus.

Let (M, g) be a spacetime. Let V be an acausal connected spacelike hypersurface. Let $S \subset V$ be a compact connected separating codimension 2 surface. Then $V \setminus S$ is disconnected. Let E'_1 and E'_2 form a separation for $V \setminus S$. Let $E_1 = E'_1 \cup S$ and $E_2 = E'_2 \cup S$. Then E_1 and E_2 are closed sets. Moreover, $V = E_1 \cup E_2$ with $S = E_1 \cap E_2 = \partial_V E_1 = \partial_V E_2$. Connectedness of E_1 and E_2 follows from connectedness of V and S . Let n denote the unit future directed timelike normal vector field on V . Let ν denote the outward unit spacelike normal vector field on S where ‘outward’ means ν points into the direction of E_2 .

For each $x \in S$, set $v_x = n_x - \nu_x$ and define $\gamma_x(t) = \exp_x(tv_x)$. Define

$$t_x = s(v_x) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid d(S, \gamma_x(t)) = 0\}.$$

Note that if γ_x is defined at t_x , then $\gamma_x(t_x)$ is the S -cut point along γ_x .

Definition 4. We define

$$W = \bigcup_{x \in S} \gamma_x(I_x)$$

where $I_x = [0, t_x]$ if γ_x is defined at t_x and $I_x = [0, t_x)$ if γ_x is not defined at t_x . Note that W is an achronal set.

Lemma 5. *Assume that for each $x \in S$, there exists an S -cut point along γ_x . Then W is compact.*

Proof. We will show sequential compactness of W . Let q_n be any sequence in W . There are $t_n \in [0, t_{p_n}]$ such that $q_n = \gamma_{p_n}(t_n)$. By restricting to a subsequence, we can assume $p_n \rightarrow p \in S$. By upper semicontinuity of the s function (Proposition 1), the map $x \mapsto t_x$ is upper semicontinuous on S , and hence there is a t_{\max} such that $t_n \leq t_{\max}$ for all n . Therefore, by restricting to a further subsequence, we can assume $t_n \rightarrow t$. Since $t_n \leq t_{p_n}$, we have $t \leq t_p$ by upper semicontinuity. Let $q = \gamma_p(t)$. Then $t \leq t_p$ implies $q \in W$. That $q_n \rightarrow q$ follows by continuity of the exponential map. \square

Following Costa e Silva [6], we say that a spacetime (M, g) admits a *piercing* for V if there is a future directed timelike vector field X on M such that each maximal integral curve of X intersects V at exactly one parameter value. We call X a *piercing vector field* for V . A spacetime is *past reflecting* if “ $I^+(q) \subset I^+(p)$ implies $I^-(p) \subset I^-(q)$ for all p and q ” which is equivalent to “ $q \in \overline{J^+(p)}$ implies $p \in \overline{J^-(q)}$ for all p and q .” See [17]. Note that past reflectivity is implied by closure of $J^+(p)$ for all p , which holds, for example, in globally hyperbolic spacetimes.

Proposition 6. *Suppose (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Then*

$$W = \partial I^+(E_2) \setminus \text{int}_V E_2.$$

Remark. Any future directed timelike vector field in a globally hyperbolic spacetime is a piercing for any of its Cauchy surfaces. Therefore Proposition 6 holds for globally hyperbolic spacetimes.

Proof. Let X be a piercing vector field for V normalized to $h(X, X) = 1$ where h is a background complete Riemannian metric on M . Since maximal integral curves are inextendible as continuous curves, the integral curves of X have domain \mathbb{R} . Let $\phi: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the flow of X . Let $\phi_V: \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow M$ denote the restriction of ϕ to $\mathbb{R} \times V$. The piercing assumption and the fact that integral curves don't intersect imply that ϕ_V is bijective and hence is a diffeomorphism [15, Thm. 9.20]. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow V$ denote the natural projection onto V . Put $r = \pi \circ \phi_V^{-1}$. Then $r: M \rightarrow V$ is a smooth retraction of M onto V . Lastly, let \mathcal{C} denote the timelike cylinder formed by the image of the integral curves of X through S . That is,

$$\mathcal{C} = r^{-1}(S) = \{\phi(t, x) \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in S\}.$$

Set $T = \partial I^+(E_2) \setminus \text{int}_V E_2$. We want to show $T = W$.

We first show $W \subset T$. Fix $q \in W$. Then $q = \gamma_x(t)$ for some $t \in [0, t_x]$. If $t = 0$, then $q \in S$ and so acausality of V implies $q \in T$. Now suppose $t > 0$. Then $q \notin \text{int}_V E_2$ since V is acausal; hence it suffices to show $q \notin I^+(E_2)$. Suppose $q \in I^+(E_2)$. We will obtain a contradiction by showing $q \in I^+(S)$. Let $\lambda: [0, b] \rightarrow M$ denote a timelike curve from E_2 to q . If $\lambda(0) \in S$, then we're done, so we can assume $\lambda(0) \notin S$. Either $r(q) \in E_1$ or $r(q) \in E_2$. First assume $r(q) \in E_1$. Then $r \circ \lambda$ is a path in V that begins in E_2 and ends in E_1 . Since S separates, there is a $t_* \in (0, b]$ such that $r \circ \lambda(t_*) \in S$. Thus $\lambda(t_*) \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore $q \in I^+(S)$ follows by concatenating the integral curve on \mathcal{C} from S to $\lambda(t_*)$ with the rest of λ . Now suppose $r(q) \in E_2$. Since $r \circ \gamma_x(t') \in E_1$ for sufficiently small t' , there is a $t_* \in (0, t_x)$ such that $r \circ \gamma_x(t_*) \in S$. Hence $\gamma_x(t_*) \in \mathcal{C}$ which implies $q \in I^+(S)$.

Next we show $T \subset W$. Define \mathcal{H}^+ to be those points in $J^+(S) \setminus I^+(S)$ which can be reached by γ_x for some $x \in S$. Using past reflectivity, it follows from a key argument in the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [7] that $T \subset \mathcal{H}^+$. Therefore $T \subset J^+(S) \setminus I^+(S)$. Fix $q \in T$. If $q \in S$, then $q = \gamma_q(0)$ and so $q \in W$. If $q \notin S$, then there is a null geodesic γ_x such that $q = \gamma_x(t)$ for some $t > 0$. It suffices to show $t \leq t_x$. If $t_x = \infty$, then we're done. If $t_x < \infty$ and $t > t_x$, then there is a timelike curve from S to q , which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 7. *Suppose (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Also, suppose that for each $x \in S$, there is an S -cut point along γ_x . Then E_1 is compact.*

Proof. Let $r: M \rightarrow V$ denote the retraction from M to V from the proof of Proposition 6. Let $W' = W \setminus S$ and $E'_1 = E_1 \setminus S$. Let $\tau = r|_W$ and $\tau' = r|_{W'}$ denote the restrictions of r to W and W' . From Proposition 6 we have $\tau(W) \subset E_1$. Moreover, τ is injective since integral curves don't intersect. Since W' is a topological hypersurface, Brower's invariance of domain theorem implies that τ' is an open map. Since τ is just the identity on S , it follows that τ is an open map as well. Therefore $\tau(W)$ is open in E_1 . Since W is compact by Lemma 5, it follows that $\tau(W)$ is closed in E_1 . Thus $\tau(W) = E_1$ by connectedness of E_1 and hence E_1 is compact. \square

In applications to spacetime topology, it is important to identify which notions on a spacetime manifold lift to covers (cf. [7]). An S -focal point, as defined in [18, Def.

10.29], will lift to covers by [18, Prop. 10.30]. S -cut points, on the other hand, don't necessarily lift to covers as illustrated in the example below. However, by [18, Prop. 10.48], an S -focal point implies the existence of an S -cut point, which is frequently used in our proofs.

Let S^2 be the standard round sphere, and let $V = \mathbb{R} \times S^2$, with standard product metric h . By identifying each of the points $(s, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2$ with $(-s, -p) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2$, we obtain the manifold V' which is diffeomorphic to RP^3 minus a point, and which inherits a metric h' from h . The sphere at $s = 0$ now corresponds to an RP^2 . Now consider the spacetime $(M', g') = (\mathbb{R} \times V', -dt^2 \oplus h')$, and let S' be a sphere in $V' \equiv \{0\} \times V'$ 'parallel' to the RP^2 . Each inward future directed null normal geodesic to S' comes back to S' in the future, which implies that there is an S' -cut point along each inward future directed null normal geodesic to S' . Now consider the universal cover $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R} \times V, -dt^2 \oplus h)$. Let S be one of the two 2-spheres in $V \equiv \{0\} \times V$ covering S' . Now the inward future directed null normal geodesics to S never return to S , and there is no S -cut point along any inward future directed null normal geodesic to S . All cut points "disappear" in the cover.

Proposition 7 along with [18, Prop. 10.48] imply the following corollary.

Corollary 8. *Suppose (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Also, suppose that for each $x \in S$, there is an S -focal point along γ_x . Then E_1 is compact.*

Remark. Typical ways one ensures the existence of an S -focal point is through conditions on the (inward) null expansion on S . The most basic situation is the following. If we assume (i) the null energy condition holds, $\text{Ric}(X, X) \geq 0$ for all null vector X , and (ii) S has negative inward null expansion, $\theta_- := \text{div}_S v < 0$ (with v as in the beginning of Section 2.2) then there is an S -focal point along each future complete γ_x . More generally, there exists an S -focal point along each future complete γ_x , provided (see [8, Prop. 2]),

$$\int_0^\infty \text{Ric}(\gamma'_x, \gamma'_x) ds > \theta_-(x). \quad (2.1)$$

As a simple application of these ideas we consider the following generalization of [13, Thm. 1] (see also [9]).

Proposition 9. *Suppose (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Let \mathcal{C} be the 'timelike cylinder' formed by the union of the integral curves from S generated by the piercing vector field. If for all $x \in S$, either γ_x meets $\mathcal{C} \setminus S$ or is future complete and satisfies (2.1), then E_1 is compact.*

Proof. If γ_x meets $\mathcal{C} \setminus S$, then there is a $t_x < \infty$ such that $\gamma_x(t_x)$ is a future S -cut point of S along γ_x . Suppose γ_x does not meet $\mathcal{C} \setminus S$. Then γ_x is future complete, and hence by (2.1), there is a t such that $\gamma_x(t)$ is an S -focal point along γ_x . Therefore γ cannot be maximizing past $\gamma_x(t)$ by [18, Prop. 10.48] and hence $t_x < t$. Then compactness of E_1 follows by Proposition 7. \square

2.3 Compactness results - the horizon case

In this section we extend the main compactness results of the previous section to allow for the presence of horizons (as described below) so as to model the presence of black holes. This will eventually lead to results about the topology of certain regions outside of these black holes.

Through out this section, we consider a spacetime (M, g) with the following four properties.

- (1) There is an acausal connected spacelike hypersurface V .
- (2) There exists a codimension 2 surface $\Sigma \subset V$ which separates V . (Σ may have multiple components.) Let B' and E' form a separation for $V \setminus \Sigma$. Set $B = B' \sqcup \Sigma$ and $E = E' \sqcup \Sigma$. Note that Σ is closed and hence B and E are closed. Then

$$V = B \cup E \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = B \cap E.$$

We further assume that $B \approx \Sigma \times [0, \epsilon)$. (V only slightly enters the black hole region.)

- (3) There exists a compact connected codimension 2 surface $S \subset V$ which separates $E \setminus \Sigma$. Let E'_1 and E'_2 form a separation for $E \setminus (\Sigma \sqcup S)$. Set $E_1 = E'_1 \sqcup S \sqcup \Sigma$ and $E_2 = E'_2 \sqcup S$. Hence

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2 \quad \text{and} \quad S = E_1 \cap E_2.$$

Connectedness of E_1 and E_2 follows from connectedness of V and S .

- (4) Define $H := \partial I^+(B) \setminus \text{int}_V B$. We assume that the null generators of H never leave H when made future inextendible.

Remarks.

- When interpreting this model physically, one should view Σ as the surface of a black hole, and S as a surface surrounding Σ .
- The assumption that the null generators of H never leave H holds whenever H makes up part of an event horizon in the traditional sense (i.e. whenever $H = (\partial I^-(\mathcal{J}) \cap M) \cap J^+(V)$ where \mathcal{J} is a conformal boundary).

As in Definition 4, set $W = \bigcup_{x \in S} \gamma_x(I_x)$. Also as in the previous section, we define the upper semicontinuous function

$$x \mapsto t_x = s(v_x) = \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid d(S, \gamma_x(t)) = 0\},$$

so that $\gamma_x(t_x)$ is an S -cut point along γ_x provided γ_x is defined at t_x . Under the assumptions of past reflecting and a piercing, we have that W is an achronal topological




Figure 1: The set up for this section. The black hole horizon is represented by $H = \partial I^+(B) \setminus \text{int}_V B$.

hypersurface with boundary via the proof of Proposition 6. This will be used in the proof of Proposition 12.

Henceforth we assume that for each $x \in S$ either γ_x crosses H or there exists an S -cut point along γ_x . When we say γ_x crosses H , we mean that γ_x intersects one of the null generators of H (and hence does so transversely).

Define $S_H = \{x \in S \mid \gamma_x \cap H \neq \emptyset\}$. A priori S_H could be the empty set, but under our considerations it will be nonempty.

Definition 10. Let $\mathcal{W} \subset W$ be given by

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{x \in S} \gamma_x([0, \tau_x])$$

where

$$\tau_x = \begin{cases} t_x & \text{if } x \in S_H^c \\ \min\{t_x, h_x\} & \text{if } x \in S_H \end{cases},$$

and where h_x is the unique parameter value such that $\gamma_x(h_x) \in H$. Here $S_H^c = S \setminus S_H$. Note that $\tau_x < \infty$ for all $x \in S$ by assumption.

Lemma 11. *Suppose for each $x \in S$, either γ_x crosses H or there exists an S -cut point along γ_x . Then \mathcal{W} is compact and meets H .*

Proof. We first show that \mathcal{W} is compact. Then we show that $S_H \neq \emptyset$ (which means \mathcal{W} meets H).

A similar argument as used in [4, 16] shows that S_H is open and hence S_H^c is closed and therefore compact. Since $\partial S_H \subset S_H^c$, it follows from assumption that $\gamma_x(t_x)$ is an S -cut point along γ_x for each $x \in \partial S_H$. By continuity of the exponential map, for each $x \in \partial S_H$, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood $U_x \subset S$ of x such that γ_y is defined on $[0, t_x + 2\epsilon]$ for all $y \in U_x$. By upper semicontinuity of $x \mapsto t_x$, we

can assume that the neighborhood U_x also satisfies the following property: for each $y \in \overline{U}_x$, we have $t_y < t_x + \epsilon$. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\} \subset \{U_x\}_{x \in \partial S_H}$ be a finite subcover of ∂S_H . Set $A = S_H^c \cup \overline{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \overline{U}_N$ and $A^c = S \setminus A$. Note that A is compact and $\overline{A^c} \subset S_H$ is a proper subset. Moreover, note that $\gamma_x(t_x)$ is defined for all $x \in A$ by construction.

We now prove sequential compactness of \mathcal{W} . Let q_n be any sequence in \mathcal{W} . There are $t_n \in [0, \tau_{p_n}]$ such that $q_n = \gamma_{p_n}(t_n)$. By restricting to a subsequence, we can assume $p_n \rightarrow p \in S$. Either infinitely many points of p_n lie in A or infinitely many points of p_n lie in A^c . So, by restricting to a subsequence, there are two cases: either the sequence p_n lies in A or the sequence p_n lies in A^c .

Assume the sequence p_n lies in A . In this case, we have $t_n \leq \tau_{p_n} \leq t_{p_n} < t_* + \epsilon$ for all n . Thus, by restricting to a subsequence, we can assume $t_n \rightarrow t \leq t_* + \epsilon$. By upper semicontinuity, we have $t \leq \tau_p$. Since A is compact, we have $p \in A$ and so $\gamma_p(t_p)$ is defined and hence $\gamma_p(t)$ is defined. Set $q = \gamma_p(t)$. If $p \in S_H^c$, then $\tau_p = t_p$; hence $t \leq \tau_p$, and so $q \in \mathcal{W}$. If $p \notin S_H^c$, it suffices to show that $t \leq h_p$. If $t > h_p$, then $q \in I^+(B)$ (since γ_p intersects H transversely) which implies infinitely many $q_n \in I^+(B)$ which is a contradiction.

Assume the sequence p_n lies in A^c . As shown in [4, 16], the function $x \mapsto h_x$ is continuous. Since $\overline{A^c} \subset S_H$, it follows that $\sup\{h_{p_n}\} < \infty$. Since $t_n \leq \tau_{p_n} \leq h_{p_n}$, it follows that $t_n \rightarrow t$ when restricted to a subsequence. Moreover, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $t \leq h_p$. Therefore $q := \gamma_p(t)$ is defined. Upper semicontinuity implies $t \leq t_p$. Thus $t \leq \tau_p$ and so $q \in \mathcal{W}$.

We now show $S_H \neq \emptyset$. Since H is an achronal boundary, it separates $I^+(V)$ into a future and past set $F = I^+(B)$ and $P = I^+(V) \setminus (F \sqcup H)$ [19]. Seeking a contradiction, suppose \mathcal{W} does not meet H . Then $\mathcal{W}' \subset P$ where $\mathcal{W}' = \mathcal{W} \setminus S$. Let $r: M \rightarrow V$ denote the flow map of the piercing of V as in Proposition 6. By properties of achronal boundaries, we have $r(P) \subset E \setminus \Sigma$ and hence $r(\mathcal{W}) \subset E \setminus \Sigma$. By Proposition 6, we have \mathcal{W} is a compact achronal C^0 hypersurface with $\text{edge}(\mathcal{W}) = S$; the contradiction now follows as in [4, 16] since $\text{edge}(E_1) = \Sigma \cup S$. \square

Analogous to Proposition 7 and Corollary 8, we have the following proposition and corollary.

Proposition 12. *Assume (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Suppose that for each $x \in S$, either γ_x crosses H or there exists an S -cut point along γ_x . If $H \subset J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$, then E_1 is compact.*

Proof. The proof follows from similar arguments as in [4, 16] which we sketch. Let H_0 denote the union of the components of H which meet \mathcal{W} . Note that H_0 is nonempty by Lemma 11. Using the fact that the null generators of H never leave H when made future inextendible, it follows that H_0 is a smooth null hypersurface with boundary Σ_0 (here we have used $H \subset J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$), which consists of connected components of Σ . Moreover, since the null generators of H_0 meet \mathcal{W} transversely, it can be shown by an open and closed argument as in [4, 16] that each null generator of H_0 issuing from Σ_0 meets \mathcal{W} exactly once.

Let $H'_0 \subset H_0$ denote the portion of H_0 comprising of the null geodesic generators of H_0 which terminate when they intersect \mathcal{W} . As suggested in figure 2.3, $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ forms a compact achronal topological hypersurface with boundary $\Sigma_0 \cup S$; we now sketch a proof of this. Compactness follows from compactness of \mathcal{W} (Lemma 11) and compactness of H'_0 ; the latter follows from an analogous argument as in [4, 16] which uses compactness of the intersection $H'_0 \cap \mathcal{W}$ which implies that Σ_0 is compact. Using causal theoretic techniques, it's easily shown that $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ is achronal. Lastly, that $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ is a topological hypersurface with boundary follows from recognizing that H_0 and W are topological hypersurfaces with boundary, and hence away from the boundary each can be locally modeled by graphing functions as in [18, Lem. 14.25]; then, for each point in $H'_0 \cap \mathcal{W}$, there is a neighborhood in $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ that agrees with the set obtained by taking the minimum of the graphing functions associated with H_0 and W .

The integral curves of the piercing vector field must map points of $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ into E_1 . It then follows from a connectedness argument using invariance of domain that the piercing vector field maps $H'_0 \cup \mathcal{W}$ onto E_1 ; hence E_1 is compact. \square

Corollary 13. *Assume (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . Suppose that for each $x \in S$, either γ_x crosses H or there exists an S -focal point along γ_x . If $H \subset J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$, then E_1 is compact.*

The following gives a sufficient condition for when $H \subset J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$. This will be used in the proofs of our topological censorship results in section 4.

Proposition 14. *Assume (M, g) is past reflecting and admits a piercing for V . If Σ is compact, then $H \subset J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. This follows from an analogous argument as in the proof of Proposition 6 (specifically, the part where it is shown that $T \subset W$). The essential part of that proof is based on the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [7]. \square

3 Applications to spacetime topology - the no horizon case

The results we present on spacetime topology, in both the horizon and no horizon cases, use a proof strategy similar to a number results in the literature; cf. [9, 13, 6, 7, 20, 4, 16]. One of our main results, first considered by Costa e Silva [6] in the no horizon setting (see also [7]), is to allow S (as in the beginning of Section 2.2) to have nontrivial fundamental group. An essential step in the proof is to construct a certain covering spacetime. This is discussed in the following section in the no horizon case. As mentioned in the introduction, our construction addresses a certain issue with the covering construction in [6].

3.1 Gluing constructions

In this section we describe the gluing constructions in the no horizon case.

Let (M, g) be a spacetime. Let V be an acausal connected spacelike hypersurface. Suppose (M, g) admits a piercing for V . Let $S \subset V$ be a compact connected separating codimension 2 surface. Then $V \setminus S$ is disconnected. Let E'_1 and E'_2 form a separation for $V \setminus S$. Let $E_1 = E'_1 \cup S$ and $E_2 = E'_2 \cup S$. Then E_1 and E_2 are closed sets. Moreover, $V = E_1 \cup E_2$ with $S = E_1 \cap E_2 = \partial_V E_1 = \partial_V E_2$. Connectedness of E_1 and E_2 follows from connectedness of V and S .

Let

$$i: S \rightarrow V \quad i_1: S \rightarrow E_1 \quad i_2: S \rightarrow E_2$$

denote the inclusion maps of S into V , E_1 , and E_2 .

Let $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ be a covering of E_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{E}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $x \in S$ and $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$ (such a covering exists by [14, Cor. 12.19]). In the general relativity literature, p is known as the *Hawking cover*. Slightly abusing notation, we wish to construct a cover $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ which extends the covering $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ by gluing appropriate coverings of E_2 to each connected component of \tilde{S} along the boundary of the covering of E_2 . This covering will be used to establish spacetime topology results. The next two propositions will aid us in the construction of \tilde{V} .

Proposition 15.

- (1) If \hat{S} is the connected component of \tilde{S} containing \hat{x} , then \hat{S} is isometric to S .
- (2) If \tilde{S} is connected, then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_1, x)$ is onto.

Proof. We first prove (1). The restriction $p|_{\hat{S}}: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ is a surjective local isometry; hence it suffices to show that it's injective. Fix $\hat{y}, \hat{z} \in \hat{S}$ such that $p(\hat{y}) = p(\hat{z}) = y \in S$. Let $\hat{\alpha} \subset \hat{S}$ be a curve connecting \hat{y} to \hat{x} to \hat{z} . Let $\alpha = p \circ \hat{\alpha}$. Then $\alpha \subset S$ is a loop based at y which passes through x and hence we can assume α is based at x . Since $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{E}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$, there is a loop $\tilde{\beta} \subset \tilde{E}_1$ based at \hat{x} such that $\beta = p \circ \tilde{\beta}$ is homotopic to α . Since homotopies lift, $\tilde{\beta}$ is homotopic to the curve formed by joining \hat{x} to \hat{z} and \hat{y} to \hat{x} via $\hat{\alpha}$. However, the latter is a curve only if $\hat{z} = \hat{y}$.

Now we prove (2). Assume \tilde{S} is connected. Let β be a loop in E_1 based at $x \in S$. Since \tilde{S} is isometric to S by (1), the lift of β is a loop based at $\tilde{x} = p^{-1}(x) \in \tilde{S}$. Then, by hypothesis, there is a loop $\alpha \subset S$ based at x such that β is homotopic to α . \square

Remarks.

- Haggman et al. [10] observe (1), based on a common path construction technique for defining the covering $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$. As examples show (see e.g. [10, Ex. 2.1]), other components of $\tilde{S} = p^{-1}(S)$ need not be isometric to S . This is only assured for the component of $p^{-1}(S)$ containing the base point; cf. also the proof of [18, Prop. 14.48], where the connected component containing the base point is used. This rather subtle point appears to have been overlooked in the covering construction in [6].

- There is a situation in which each connected component of \tilde{S} is isometric to S . Specifically, if $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ is a normal covering, i.e. if $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{E}_1, \hat{x})$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(E_1, x)$, it follows from [14, Thm. 11.34] that each connected component of \tilde{S} is isometric to S .

Let \bar{S} be a connected component of \tilde{S} . Then $p|_{\bar{S}}: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$ is a covering of S . Let $\phi = i_2 \circ p|_{\bar{S}}$ with $\phi(\bar{s}) = x$. Let $q: \bar{E}_2 \rightarrow E_2$ be a covering of E_2 such that $q_*\pi_1(\bar{E}_2, \bar{x}) = \phi_*\pi_1(\bar{S}, \bar{s})$ with $\bar{x} \in \partial\bar{E}_2$ satisfying $q(\bar{x}) = x$. By the general lifting criterion, there is a unique lift $\bar{\phi}: \bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{E}_2$ of $\phi: S \rightarrow E_2$ with $\bar{\phi}(\bar{s}) = \bar{x}$ as illustrated in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{S} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \bar{E}_2 \\ p|_{\bar{S}} \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \downarrow q \\ S & \xrightarrow{i_2} & E_2. \end{array}$$

Proposition 16. *If $i_{2*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_2, x)$ is an isomorphism, then $\bar{\phi}$ is an isometry onto $\partial\bar{E}_2$.*

Proof. We first show $\partial\bar{E}_2$ is connected. Since $\partial\bar{E}_2 = q^{-1}(\partial E_2) = q^{-1}(S)$, it suffices to show $q^{-1}(S)$ is connected. Seeking a contradiction, suppose \bar{S}_1 and \bar{S}_2 are two disjoint connected components of $q^{-1}(S)$. Let $\bar{x}_1 \in \bar{S}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2 \in \bar{S}_2$ with $q(\bar{x}_1) = q(\bar{x}_2) = x$. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be a curve in \bar{E}_2 from \bar{x}_1 to \bar{x}_2 . Then $\alpha = q \circ \bar{\alpha}$ is a loop in E_2 based at x . Since i_{2*} is onto, there is a loop β in S based at x which is homotopic to α . Let $\bar{\beta}$ denote the lift of β starting at \bar{x}_1 . Since homotopies lift, $\bar{\beta}$ is homotopic to $\bar{\alpha}$ and hence $\bar{\beta}$ has endpoint \bar{x}_2 . But $\bar{\beta}$ can't leave \bar{S}_1 , which is a contradiction.

Set $p' = p|_{\bar{S}}$ and $q' = q|_{\partial\bar{E}_2}$. Note that p' and q' are covering maps. (We required connectedness of $\partial\bar{E}_2$ here.) Let $\bar{\phi}': \bar{S} \rightarrow \partial\bar{E}_2$ be the map satisfying $\bar{\phi} = \bar{i}_2 \circ \bar{\phi}'$ where $\bar{i}_2: \partial\bar{E}_2 \rightarrow \bar{E}_2$ denotes the inclusion map. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{S} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}'} & \partial\bar{E}_2 \\ p' \searrow & & \swarrow q' \\ & S & \end{array}$$

By the covering isomorphism criterion [14, Thm. 11.40], it suffices to show that $p'_*\pi_1(\bar{S}, \bar{s}) = q'_*\pi_1(\partial\bar{E}_2, \bar{x})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} q_*\pi_1(\bar{E}_2, \bar{x}) &= \phi_*\pi_1(\bar{S}, \bar{s}) \\ &= i_{2*} \circ p'_*\pi_1(\bar{S}, \bar{s}), \end{aligned}$$

injectivity of i_{2*} gives

$$p'_*\pi_1(\bar{S}, \bar{s}) = i_{2*}^{-1} \circ q_*\pi_1(\bar{E}_2, \bar{x}).$$

Therefore it suffices to show

$$i_{2*}^{-1} \circ q_* \pi_1(\overline{E}_2, \overline{x}) = q'_* \pi_1(\partial \overline{E}_2, \overline{x}).$$

Both the left and right inclusion of the above equality follow from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{S} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}'} & \partial \overline{E}_2 & \xrightarrow{\overline{i}_2} & \overline{E}_2 \\ & \searrow p' & \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\ & & S & \xrightarrow{i_2} & E_2. \end{array}$$

To see the right inclusion, fix $[\alpha] \in q'_* \pi_1(\partial \overline{E}_2, \overline{x})$. Then there is a loop $\overline{\alpha} \subset \partial \overline{E}_2$ such that $[\alpha] = [q' \circ \overline{\alpha}]$. Since i_{2*} is injective, we have $[\alpha] = i_{2*}^{-1}([q \circ \overline{i}_2 \circ \overline{\alpha}])$, which shows the right inclusion.

To see the left inclusion, fix $[\alpha] \in i_{2*}^{-1} \circ q_* \pi_1(\overline{E}_2, \overline{x})$. Then there is a loop $\overline{\alpha} \subset \overline{E}_2$ such that $[i_2 \circ \alpha] = [q \circ \overline{\alpha}]$. Since $q_* \pi_1(\overline{E}_2, \overline{x}) = \phi_* \pi_1(\overline{S}, \overline{s})$, there is a loop $\beta \subset \overline{S}$ such that $[q \circ \overline{\alpha}] = [\phi \circ \beta]$. Thus

$$[\alpha] = [i_2^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \beta] = [i_2^{-1} \circ (q \circ \overline{i}_2 \circ \overline{\phi}') \circ \beta] = [q' \circ \overline{\phi}' \circ \beta],$$

which shows the left inclusion. \square

We can now construct the desired covering $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$. Let $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ be the covering described above Proposition 15. Let \hat{S} be the connected component of \tilde{S} containing \hat{x} . By Proposition 15 (1), \hat{S} is isometric S . Therefore, we glue an isometric copy of E_2 along \hat{S} in the same way they are glued in the base space V . Let \overline{S} be another connected component of \tilde{S} . Let $q: \overline{E}_2 \rightarrow E_2$ be the covering described above Proposition 16. Assuming i_{2*} is an isomorphism, Proposition 16 implies that we can glue \overline{E}_2 along \overline{S} . Performing this gluing for each connected component \overline{S} of \tilde{S} yields the space \tilde{V} along with the covering map $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ (we're slightly abusing notation here). Note that $p|_{\overline{E}_2} = q$ and $p|_{\tilde{E}_1}$ is just the covering we started with.

Lastly, we note that if S is simply connected, then we do not need to assume that i_{2*} is an isomorphism (although it's automatically injective). This follows because surjectivity of i_{2*} was used in Proposition 16 to ensure that $\partial \overline{E}_2$ is connected. In the case that S is simply connected, each connected component \overline{S} of \tilde{S} is isometric to S and hence in this case we glue a copy of E_2 along \overline{S} in the same way they are glued in the base space V ; we do not need to assume surjectivity of i_{2*} since ∂E_2 is automatically connected.

3.2 Spacetime topology à la Gannon-Lee

Let $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the covering constructed in the previous section. We now construct a corresponding spacetime covering $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ such that \tilde{V} embeds into \tilde{M} and $P|_{\tilde{V}} = p$. We let $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the covering such that $P_* \pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{a}) = \psi_* \pi_1(\tilde{V}, \tilde{x})$ where $\psi = \iota \circ p$ and $\iota: V \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map. From the general map lifting criterion, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} & \widetilde{M} \\
p \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \downarrow P \\
V & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M.
\end{array}$$

Since $\overline{\psi}$ is an embedding¹, we can identify \widetilde{V} with its image in \widetilde{M} . This completes the construction of the desired spacetime covering.

Since local geometries are preserved, it follows that \widetilde{V} is a connected spacelike hypersurface within \widetilde{M} . Moreover, \widetilde{V} is acausal within \widetilde{M} since any causal curve connecting two points on \widetilde{V} would project down to a causal curve in M connecting two points on V which would contradict acausality of V within M . Lastly, any piercing vector field X on M for V can be lifted to a piercing vector field \widetilde{X} on \widetilde{M} for \widetilde{V} via the local isometry P . That \widetilde{X} is a piercing vector field for \widetilde{V} follows from X being one for V .

As in the previous section, let

$$i: S \rightarrow V \quad i_1: S \rightarrow E_1 \quad i_2: S \rightarrow E_2$$

denote the inclusion maps of S into V , E_1 , and E_2 .

The following theorem generalizes a well known result of Gannon ([9, Corollary 1.20]; see also Lee [13, Theorem 5]). Indeed, it is an adaptation of [6, Theorem 2.1] (see also [7, 20]). Our modification of the covering space argument in [6] (see the remark after Proposition 15) has required a strengthening of the assumption on i_{2*} ; see Proposition 16.

Theorem 17. *Suppose V is a Cauchy surface for (M, g) , and suppose there exists an S -focal point along γ_x for each $x \in S$. In the case that S is not simply connected, assume $i_{2*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_2, x)$ is an isomorphism. If E_2 is noncompact, then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_1, x)$ is onto. In particular, E_1 is simply connected whenever S is simply connected and E_2 is noncompact.*

We note that the assumption of global hyperbolicity used here is weakened some in section 3.3.

Proof. Let $p: \widetilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ be the covering of E_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\widetilde{E}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $\hat{x} \in \widetilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$. Slightly abusing notation, let $p: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ denote the covering constructed at the end of section 3.1. Let $P: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ denote the spacetime covering constructed in the beginning of section 3.2. Since V is a Cauchy surface for M , it follows that \widetilde{V} is a Cauchy surface for \widetilde{M} . Hence $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is globally hyperbolic and thus is past reflecting and admits a piercing for \widetilde{V} .

¹ ψ is an immersion; hence $\overline{\psi}$ is an immersion. Then $\overline{\psi}$ is an embedding follows from $\overline{\psi}$ being an injective open map onto its image. Injectivity of $\overline{\psi}$ follows from the homotopy lifting property applied to the commutative diagram along with the fact that ι_* is injective which follows from V being a retract of M . Then $\overline{\psi}$ is an open map onto its image follows from p and P being local diffeomorphisms along with ι being a topological embedding.

Seeking a contradiction, suppose i_{1*} is not onto. By Proposition 15(2), we have \tilde{S} is disconnected. Let \hat{S} denote the connected component of \tilde{S} containing \hat{x} , and let \bar{S} denote some other connected component. Let $D_2 \subset \tilde{V}$ denote the isometric copy of E_2 attached to \hat{S} . Let $D_1 = (\tilde{V} \setminus D_2) \sqcup \hat{S}$. Since focal points lift, we can apply Corollary 8 in the covering spacetime \tilde{M} to conclude that D_1 is compact. However, D_1 contains the covering \bar{E}_2 of E_2 which is attached along \bar{S} . Since E_2 is noncompact, it follows that \bar{E}_2 is noncompact and hence D_1 is noncompact, which is a contradiction. \square

Remarks.

- It follows from Seifert-Van Kampen that $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(V, x)$ is onto.
- Theorem 17 can be interpreted as an incompleteness theorem in the following way. Assume S is simply connected, E_2 is noncompact, the null energy condition holds, and S has negative inward null expansion. Then, if E_1 is not simply connected, some inward null geodesic from S must be future incomplete since otherwise there would be an S -focal point along each γ_x for each $x \in S$ (see the remark after Corollary 8). More generally, if the inward null geodesics are future complete, then (2.1) must be violated along at least one of the null geodesics.

3.3 Exterior foliations by spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary

In this section, we will obtain a version of Theorem 17 for a class of spacetimes that are not in general globally hyperbolic, but which admit, in a certain sense, a foliation by globally hyperbolic spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary. The Birmingham-Kottler spacetimes [3] and the Horowitz-Myers soliton [11] are examples that admit such a foliation. The motivation here is to extend our results to a setting applicable to asymptotically locally AdS spacetimes.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a spacetime-with-timelike-boundary; see [1] for basic definitions and properties. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = M \cup \partial\mathcal{M}$$

where $M = \text{int}(\mathcal{M})$. Set $g = \mathfrak{g}|_M$. Note that (M, g) is a spacetime.

Lemma 18. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ be a spacetime-with-timelike-boundary. If $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ is past reflecting, then (M, g) is past reflecting.*

Proof. We abbreviate $I^+(p, M)$ with $I_M^+(p)$. Likewise with $I_M^+(p)$.

Fix $p, q \in M$ such that $I_M^+(q) \subset I_M^+(p)$. We want to show $I_M^-(p) \subset I_M^-(q)$. We first show $I_M^+(q) \subset I_M^+(p)$. Fix $x \in I_M^+(q)$. Either $x \in M$ or $x \in \partial\mathcal{M}$. If $x \in M$, then

$$x \in I_M^+(q) \cap M = I_M^+(q) \subset I_M^+(p) \subset I_M^+(p).$$

The equality follows from [1, Prop. 2.6(d)]. Suppose $x \in \partial\mathcal{M}$. Let $\lambda \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a timelike curve from q to x . Since $q \in M$ and M is open in \mathcal{M} , it follows that λ

intersects M at some point r . Then $r \in I_{\mathcal{M}}^+(q) \cap M$ and reasoning as above, we have $r \in I_{\mathcal{M}}^+(p)$ and hence $x \in I_{\mathcal{M}}^+(p)$.

By past reflecting of \mathcal{M} , we have $I_{\mathcal{M}}^-(p) \subset I_{\mathcal{M}}^-(q)$. Intersecting both sides with M and applying [1, Prop. 2.6(d)] again, we have $I_M^-(p) \subset I_M^-(q)$. \square

Theorem 19. *Suppose (M, g) is a spacetime with V , S , E_1 , and E_2 given as in the beginning of section 2.2. We make the following assumptions.*

- (a) X is a piercing vector field for V .
- (b) $E_2 \approx S \times [0, \infty)$.
- (c) Set $E_{2,t} \approx S \times [0, t]$ and $V_t = E_1 \cup E_{2,t}$. Let M_t denote the union of the images of the maximal integral curves of X starting on V_t . We assume that M_t is a globally hyperbolic spacetime-with-timelike-boundary with Cauchy surface V_t .
- (d) There is a $T > 0$ such that for all $x \in S$, there is an S -focal point along γ_x within M'_T , where M'_T is the interior of M_T .

Then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_1, x)$ is onto. In particular, E_1 is simply connected whenever S is simply connected.

Remarks.

- By “there is an S -focal point along γ_x within M'_T ” we mean that if $\gamma_x(b)$ is an S -focal point of γ_x , then $\gamma_x|_{[0,b]}$ lies within M'_T . This terminology will also be used in Theorem 22.
- It’s not hard to see that in the case S is inner trapped, M is future null complete, and satisfies the null energy condition, then assumption (d) holds. One may ask if assumption (d) holds when one merely assumes that there is an S -focal point along γ_x for each $x \in S$.

Proof. Let $p: \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow E_1$ be the covering of E_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{E}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$. Slightly abusing notation, let $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ denote the covering constructed at the end of section 3.1. Let $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ denote the spacetime covering constructed in the beginning of section 3.2. Let $\tilde{S}, \tilde{E}, D_1$, and D_2 be given as in Theorem 17. Let $\tilde{M}_T = P^{-1}(M_T)$ and \tilde{M}'_T be its interior. Note that \tilde{M}_T is the union of the lift of the maximal integral curves which intersect V_T . Since focal points lift, assumption (d) holds in \tilde{M}'_T with respect to \tilde{S} . Hence for each $x \in \tilde{S}$, there is an S -cut point along γ_x with respect to the spacetime \tilde{M}'_T .

Since $\tilde{V}_T = P^{-1}(V_T)$ is a Cauchy surface for \tilde{M}_T , it follows that \tilde{M}_T is a globally hyperbolic spacetime-with-timelike-boundary, and hence \tilde{M}_T is past reflecting [1]. By Lemma 18, it follows that the interior \tilde{M}'_T of \tilde{M}_T is past reflecting. Since X lifts to a piercing \tilde{X} on \tilde{M} for \tilde{V} , there is a piercing for the interior \tilde{V}'_T of \tilde{V}_T . Thus $D_1 \cap \tilde{M}'_T$ is compact by Corollary 8.

If i_{1*} is not onto, then \tilde{S} contains a component $\bar{S} \neq \hat{S}$ by Proposition 15(2). Then $D_1 \cap \tilde{M}'_T$ contains the noncompact end $\bar{S} \times [0, T)$, which is a contradiction. \square

The spacetimes in Theorem 19 are not in general causally simple. It would be of interest to determine whether or not these spacetimes and their covers are past reflecting. If a spacetime admits a conformal completion which is a globally hyperbolic spacetime-with-timelike-boundary, then the original spacetime is past reflecting via Lemma 18.

4 Applications to spacetime topology - the horizon case

The topological censorship results in this section generalize aspects of [4, 16]. For more on topological censorship and references to other related results, see [5].

4.1 Gluing constructions

We consider the setting of a black hole with a horizon in the spacetime. Let (M, g) satisfy properties (1) - (4) in section 2.3. Define $F_1 = B \cup E_1$ and consider the inclusion maps

$$i: S \rightarrow V \quad i_1: S \rightarrow F_1 \quad i_2: S \rightarrow E_2.$$

Let $p: \tilde{F}_1 \rightarrow F_1$ be a covering of F_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{F}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $x \in S$ and $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$. Analogous to Proposition 15, we have

Proposition 20.

- (1) *If \hat{S} is the connected component of \tilde{S} containing \hat{x} , then \hat{S} is isometric to S .*
- (2) *If \tilde{S} is connected, then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(F_1, x)$ is onto.*

Proposition 16 also holds in this setting: if i_{2*} is an isomorphism, then for each connected component $\bar{S} \subset \tilde{S}$, there is a covering $q: \bar{E}_2 \rightarrow E_2$ (defined in the same way as before) such that \bar{S} is isometric to $\partial\bar{E}_2$.

Using the same construction at the end of section 3.1 (and slightly abusing notation again), we have a covering $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ such that $p|_{\bar{E}_2} = q$ and $p|_{\tilde{F}_1}$ is just the covering we started with. As in the beginning of section 3.2, there is a corresponding spacetime covering $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ such that \tilde{V} embeds into \tilde{M} and $P|_{\tilde{V}} = p$.

4.2 The globally hyperbolic setting

Let (M, g) be a spacetime satisfying properties (1) - (4) in section 2.3. In this section we assume V is a Cauchy surface. Analogous to Theorem 17, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 21. *Let (M, g) satisfy properties (1) - (4) in section 2.3 and assume that V is a Cauchy surface and Σ is compact. Suppose that for each $x \in S$, either γ_x crosses H or there exists an S -focal point along γ_x . In the case that S is not simply connected, assume $i_{2*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(E_2, x)$ is an isomorphism. If E_2 is noncompact, then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(F_1, x)$ is onto. In particular, F_1 (and hence E_1) is simply connected whenever S is simply connected and E_2 is noncompact.*

Proof. Let $p: \tilde{F}_1 \rightarrow F_1$ be the covering of F_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{F}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$. Let $\tilde{\Sigma} = p^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and likewise with \tilde{B} . Since $B = \Sigma \times [0, \epsilon)$, we have $\tilde{B} = \tilde{\Sigma} \times [0, \epsilon)$.

Extend this covering to $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ as constructed at the end of section 4.1, and let $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ denote the corresponding spacetime covering. Since V is a Cauchy surface for M , it follows that \tilde{V} is a Cauchy surface for \tilde{M} .

Seeking a contradiction, suppose i_{1*} is not onto. Then \tilde{S} is disconnected by Proposition 20(2). Let \hat{S} denote the connected component of \tilde{S} containing \hat{x} , and let \bar{S} denote some other connected component. Let $D_2 \subset \tilde{V}$ denote the isometric copy of E_2 attached to \hat{S} . Let $D_1 = (\tilde{E} \setminus D_2) \sqcup \hat{S}$ where $\tilde{E} = p^{-1}(E)$. Note that D_1 is noncompact since it contains a copy of \bar{E}_2 attached to some \bar{S} .

Set $\mathcal{H} = \partial I^+(\tilde{B}) \setminus \text{int}_{\tilde{V}} \tilde{B}$. That the null generators of \mathcal{H} never leave \mathcal{H} follows from the same property holding for H . Next we show that $\mathcal{H} \subset J^+(\tilde{\Sigma}) \setminus I^+(\tilde{\Sigma})$. Note that this step does not follow from Proposition 14 since $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is not necessarily compact. Fix $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $y = P(\tilde{y})$. By lifting of curves, it suffices to show that $y \in J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$. Note that $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{H}$ implies that $y \in \overline{J^+(B)} \setminus I^+(B)$ and $y \notin \text{int}_V B$. Therefore $y \in H$.² Since Σ is compact, we have $y \in J^+(\Sigma) \setminus I^+(\Sigma)$ by Proposition 14.

We wish to apply Corollary 13 to the covering spacetime \tilde{M} with D_1 and \mathcal{H} playing the roles of E_1 and H in the statement of Corollary 13. Since \tilde{M} is globally hyperbolic, it's past reflecting and admits a piercing for \tilde{V} .

Hence, it remains to show that for each $y \in \hat{S}$, either γ_y crosses \mathcal{H} or there exists an \hat{S} -focal point along γ_y . Suppose there does not exist an \hat{S} -focal point along γ_y . Since focal points lift, there does not exist an S -focal point along $P \circ \gamma_y$. Let $x = p(y) \in S$. Then $\gamma_x = P \circ \gamma_y$. By assumption, γ_x must cross $H = \partial I^+(B) \setminus \text{int}_V B$, and hence enters $I^+(B)$. Since $I^+(\tilde{B}) = P^{-1}(I^+(B))$, γ_y must cross \mathcal{H} . \square

²We just observed that $P(\mathcal{H}) \subset H$. Similarly, it's not hard to see that $\mathcal{H} = P^{-1}(H)$; this holds in the next section as well.

4.3 Exterior foliations by spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary

In this section we obtain a version of Theorem 19 in the setting of black holes.

Theorem 22. *Suppose (M, g) is a spacetime satisfying properties (1) - (4) in section 2.3 and Σ is compact. We make the following assumptions.*

- (a) (M, g) admits a piercing for V .
- (b) $E_2 \approx S \times [0, \infty)$.
- (c) Set $E_{2,t} = S \times [0, t]$ and $V_t = B \cup E_1 \cup E_{2,t}$. Let M_t be the union of the images of the maximal integral curves of X starting on V_t . We assume that M_t is a globally hyperbolic spacetime-with-timelike-boundary with Cauchy surface V_t .
- (d) There is a $T > 0$ such that the following hold:
 - (i) $I^+(B) \cap M'_T \subset I^+(B, M'_T)$, where M'_T is the interior of M_T .
 - (ii) For each $x \in S$, either γ_x crosses H within M'_T or there is an S -focal point along γ_x within M'_T .

Then $i_{1*}: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(F_1, x)$ is onto. In particular, F_1 (and hence E_1) is simply connected whenever S is simply connected.

Remark. By “ γ_x crosses H within M'_T ” we mean that if γ_x crosses H at $\gamma_x(b)$, then $\gamma_x|_{[0,b]}$ lies within M'_T . See also the remark after Theorem 19.

Proof. Let $p: \tilde{F}_1 \rightarrow F_1$ be the covering of F_1 such that $p_*\pi_1(\tilde{F}_1, \hat{x}) = i_{1*}\pi_1(S, x)$ with $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S} := p^{-1}(S)$. Extend this covering to $p: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ as in the end of section 4.1. Let $P: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ denote the corresponding spacetime covering. Let $\tilde{S}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be given as in the proof of Theorem 21. Likewise, the null generators of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ do not leave $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ to the future since this property holds for H .

Let X be the piercing vector field for V . Let \tilde{X} denote the lift of X to \tilde{M} . Since \tilde{X} is a piercing vector field for \tilde{V} , its integral curves produce a retraction $r: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$. Consider the globally hyperbolic spacetime-with-timelike-boundary M_T . Since maximal integral curves lift to maximal integral curves, $\tilde{M}_T := P^{-1}(M_T)$ is the union of the maximal integral curves of \tilde{X} starting on $\tilde{V}_T := P^{-1}(V_T)$. Consequently, properties (i) and (ii) from assumption (d) lift to the cover:

- (i) $I^+(\tilde{B}) \cap \tilde{M}'_T \subset I^+(\tilde{B}, \tilde{M}'_T)$, where \tilde{M}'_T is the interior of \tilde{M}_T .
- (ii) For each $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S}$, either $\gamma_{\hat{x}}$ crosses $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ within \tilde{M}'_T or there is an \tilde{S} -focal point along $\gamma_{\hat{x}}$ within \tilde{M}'_T .

Set $\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H} \cap \widetilde{M}'_T$. From (i), it follows that $\mathcal{H}_T = \partial I^+(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{M}'_T) \setminus \text{int}_{\widetilde{V}'_T} \widetilde{B}$. Also, we have $\mathcal{H}_T \subset J^+(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{M}'_T) \setminus I^+(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{M}'_T)$; this follows since the same property holds for $H_T = H \cap M'_T$ which lifts to the cover via the same argument used in Theorem 21. Moreover, the null generators of \mathcal{H}_T never leave \mathcal{H}_T within \widetilde{M}'_T . Noting also that \widetilde{M}'_T is past reflecting by Lemma 18, it now follows from Corollary 13 that $D_1 \cap \widetilde{M}'_T$ is compact.

If i_{1*} is not onto, then \widetilde{S} contains a component $\overline{S} \neq \hat{S}$ by Proposition 20(2). Then $D_1 \cap \widetilde{M}'_T$ contains the noncompact end $\overline{S} \times [0, T)$, which is a contradiction. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Simons Foundation (850541, GJG). Eric Ling gratefully acknowledges being supported by the Harold H. Martin Postdoctoral Fellowship at Rutgers University. The authors would like to thank Ivan Costa e Silva for comments on an earlier draft and useful discussions.

References

- [1] L. Aké, J. L. Flores, and M. Sánchez, *Structure of globally hyperbolic spacetimes-with-timelike-boundary*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **37** (2021), no. 1, 45–94.
- [2] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, and K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian geometry*, second ed., Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 202, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1996.
- [3] D. Birmingham, *Topological black holes in anti-de Sitter space*, Classical Quantum Gravity **16** (1999), no. 4, 1197–1205.
- [4] S. F. Browdy and G. J. Galloway, *Topological censorship and the topology of black holes*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), no. 9, 4952–4961.
- [5] P. T. Chruściel, *Geometry of black holes*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, vol. 169, Oxford University Press, Oxford, [2020] ©2020.
- [6] I. P. Costa e Silva, *On the Gannon–Lee singularity theorem in higher dimensions*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **27** (2010), no. 15, 155016.
- [7] I. P. Costa e Silva and E. Minguzzi, *A note on causality conditions on covering spacetimes*, Classical Quantum Gravity **37** (2020), no. 22, 227001, 12.
- [8] G. J. Galloway and J. M. M. Senovilla, *Singularity theorems based on trapped submanifolds of arbitrary co-dimension*, Classical Quantum Gravity **27** (2010), no. 15, 152002, 10.
- [9] D. Gannon, *Singularities in nonsimply connected space-times*, J. Mathematical Phys. **16** (1975), no. 12, 2364–2367.

- [10] B. C. Haggman, G. W. Horndeski, and G. Mess, *Properties of a covering space defined by Hawking*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), no. 9, 2412–2416.
- [11] G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, *AdS-CFT correspondence and a new positive energy conjecture for general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **59** (1999), no. 2, 026005, 12.
- [12] P. M. Kemp, *Focal and Focal-Cut Points*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1984, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, San Diego.
- [13] C. W. Lee, *A restriction on the topology of Cauchy surfaces in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **51** (1976), no. 2, 157–162.
- [14] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to topological manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 202, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [15] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [16] M. Lesourd and E. Ling, *Topological censorship in spacetimes compatible with $\Lambda > 0$* , arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2107.11915.
- [17] E. Minguzzi, *Lorentzian causality theory*, Liv. Rev. Relativ. (2019).
- [18] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 103, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1983.
- [19] R. Penrose, *Techniques of differential topology in relativity*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pa., 1972, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, No. 7.
- [20] B. Schinnerl and R. Steinbauer, *A note on the Gannon-Lee theorem*, Lett. Math. Phys. **111** (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 142, 17.