

# Wide range $T_\alpha$ - $Q_\alpha$ formula for real time application

**D. Ibadullayev, Yu.S. Tsyganov**

*INP, 050032, Almaty, Kazakhstan, JINR.*

*Ibadullayev@jinr.ru*

## Abstract

*A new wide-range formula  $T_\alpha = f(Q_\alpha)$  to operate with the new DGFRS2 analog spectrometer installed at the DC-280 cyclotron facility is presented. The main goal of this formula application algorithm is to search the optimal time correlation recoil-alpha parameter directly during the execution of the C++ acquisition code. Note that the spectrometer operates together with the  $48 \times 128$  strip DSSD (Double Side Strip Detector) detector and low-pressure pentane-filled gaseous detector. Comparison with others known formulas is performed for  $Z=119, 120$  nuclei.*

## 1. Introduction

With the discovery of the fission of uranium by Hann and Strassmann, the boundary of existence of nuclei was physically defined for the first time as a limit of stability of nuclei with spontaneous fission (SF) [1]. According to the theory [2] the fission barrier will rapidly decrease with growing  $Z$  ( $Z > 92$ ). In the macroscopic theory (liquid drop model) the situation with zero barrier occurs for the element with  $Z > 100$ . The situation is changed in 1962 after observing the short SF half-life  $T_{SF} \approx 0.014$  s in  $^{242}\text{Am}$  known to have  $T_{SF} > 3^{12}$  y in the ground state [3]. It means that nuclear structure does not disappear with increasing deformation but evolves and maintains an important role in nuclear fission process [4]. Elements with  $Z > 100$  were produced in the reactions induced by charged particles. In the beginning of present century new  $Z=114$ -118 elements were synthesized using the Dubna Gas-Filled Recoil Separator (DGFRS) [5-10]. That discovery confirmed the main role of shell effects in stability of superheavy nuclei. A key question relating to the discovery of new element and isotope is the probability  $P_{\text{err}}$  that the event sequence observed is due to a random correlation of unrelated events. The magnitude of this probability allows readers and experimenters to judge the validity of the interpretation. Present work aimed to a consideration of wide-range formula to predict half-life time of new isotopes of superheavy elements. Namely, these estimates are used in “active correlation” method to provide a reasonable choice for  $ER(\text{Evaporation Residue})$ - $\alpha$  time interval to provide a radical suppression of background signals [11].

## 2. Formulae for prediction of time properties of superheavy nuclei

In [12] different formulae are presented to predict properties of alpha decaying nuclei. These formulae deal with  $Z$ ,  $Q_\alpha$  and  $A$  as independent variables. From the other hand, in [13] the same predictions are made using only  $Z$ ,  $Q_\alpha$  parameters. In this paper parameter  $d$  of the formula was varied to satisfy data presented in [5] for SHE as following:  $T_\alpha^{calc} = 10^{F(Z)}$ , where  $F(Z) = (aZ+b) \cdot Q^{-1/2} + c \cdot Z + d$ . In [14] experimental and theoretical data for  $Ac$  isotopes are presented, and the comparison of these results with one which estimated using above formula is shown in the Fig.1. These data are fitted well with optimal parameter  $d = -27.5928$ . In the Table 1 an arbitrary choice of isotopes are presented with lower  $Z$ .

Table 1. d- Parameters for wide range of  $Z$ .

Isotope	Z	$T_{1/2}$	$Q_\alpha$ , MeV	d
$^8\text{Be}$	4	0.082 fs	0.184	18.154
$^{107}\text{Te}$	52	3.1 ms	4.0846	-24.65124
$^{108}\text{I}$	53	36 ms	4.25676	-23.47449
$^{110}\text{Xe}$	54	93 ms	4.0214	-24.72388
$^{144}\text{Nd}$	60	$2.29 \cdot 10^{15}$ y	1.96088	-23.14566
$^{146}\text{Sm}$	62	$6.8 \cdot 10^7$ y	2.600	-24.31563
$^{151}\text{Eu}$	63	$1.7 \cdot 10^{18}$ y	2.01853	-22.40255
$^{148}\text{Gd}$	64	71.1 y	3.36209	-25.0482
$^{154}\text{Dy}$	66	$3 \cdot 10^6$ y	3.02356	-24.7324
$^{152}\text{Ho}$	67	2.7 min	4.62916	-26.42281
$^{154}\text{Tm}$	69	8.1 s	5.2288	-26.07
$^{158}\text{Hf}$	72	2.85 s	5.54509	-26.75256
$^{164}\text{Os}$	76	21 ms	6.64097	-26.74
$^{176}\text{Hg}$	80	20.3 ms	7.0597	-27.06
$^{195}\text{At}$	85	290 ms	7.4927	-26.553
$^{216}\text{Rn}$	86	45 mcs	8.352	-28.20686
$^{207-209}\text{Ac}$	89(AverYang)	-	-	-26.72
$^{236}\text{U}$	92	$2.34 \cdot 10^7$ y	4.6517	-27.99773
$^{238}\text{U}$	92	$4.47 \cdot 10^7$ y	4.3427	-28.03537
$^{241}\text{Am}$	95	432.6 y	5.76298	-27.6253
$^{252}\text{Fm}$	100	1.06 d	7.26808	-27.9061
$^{260}\text{Sg}$	106	3.6 ms	10.054	-28.42474
$^{279}\text{Ds}$	110 (aver. [17])	-	-	-28.318
Fl	114 (aver. [13])	-	-	-28.0928




Fig.1 Measured [14] and calculated (*triangles*) dependences against half-life values of Ac isotopes.

Note, that in the positions of *Ac*, *Ds* and *Fl* the averaged values of *d* parameters are shown.

The wide range *d* parameter dependence against *Z* is shown in the Fig.2. Best fit is shown as power function  $d = -28.73672 + 74.1551 \cdot 0.95105^Z$ .




Fig.2 Dependence of *d*-parameter against *Z*. ( $a = -28.73672$ ;  $b = -74.1551$ ;  $c = 0.95105$ )

### 3. Calculation of $Z=119, 120$ nuclei half life values

We calculated  $T_\alpha$  values for the elements with  $Z=119, 120$ . We plan to synthesize these elements at the **DGFRS2** setup [16] in a nearest future.  $Q_\alpha$  values were taken from [13]. The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2.  $T_\alpha$  values calculated for  $Z=119, 120$  nuclei.

<b>Z</b>	<b><math>Q_\alpha</math>, MeV</b>	<b><math>T_{1/2}</math> (This paper)</b>	<b><math>T_{1/2}</math> [13]</b>	<b><math>T_{1/2}</math> [Royer]</b>
119	12.338	38 $\mu$ s	107.89 $\mu$ s	509.2 $\mu$ s
120	12.7	11 $\mu$ s	31.4 $\mu$ s	16.6 $\mu$ s

It should be noted, that  $T_\alpha$  values calculated by Royer's formulae were performed for  $A=294, 296$  respectively. Known isotope properties were taken from [18].

### 4. Summary

New four parameter formula for  $T_\alpha=f(Q_\alpha)$  dependence is obtained. The  $T_\alpha$  values were calculated for  $Z=119, 120$  nuclei. Comparison with **Royer's** formulae as well as reported in [13] has been performed. We plan to apply these results as a base for application of "active correlations" method in the area of unknown isotopes synthesized in heavy ion induced complete fusion nuclear reactions at the **DGFRS2** setup. One general extra conclusion can be drawn here: namely, the described  $T_\alpha=f(Q_\alpha)$  dependence can be used to predict reasonable value of unknown alpha decay parameters in the area with the lowest  $Z$  values.

Authors are indebted to A. Polyakov and A. Voinov for their help in data analysis.

### 5. References

- [1] O. Hahn, F. Straßmann, Naturwissenschaften 27, 11 (1939)
- [2] L. Meitner, O. R. Frisch, Nature 143, 239 (1939)
- [3] S. M. Polikanov, et al. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 42, (1962) 1464
- [4] V. M. Strutinsky, Nucl. Phys. A 95, (1967) 420
- [5] Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. Utyonkov, Nucl. Phys. A 944 (2015) 62-98
- [6] Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkov rep. Prog. Phys. 78, (2015) 036301
- [7] Yu. Ts. Oganessian et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, (2013) 034605

- [8] Yu.Ts.Oganessian et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 108(2012) 022502
- [9] Yu.Ts.Oganessian et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, (2006) 044602
- [10] Yu.Ts. Oganessian et al., Phys.Rev. C 83 (2011) 054315
- [11] Yu.S.Tsyganov, D.Ibadullayev, A.N Polyakov et al., Acta. Phys. Polonica B 14, Proc. Suppl. (2021)767-774
- [12] Zhishuai Ge, Cheng Li, Jingjing Li et al., Phys. Rev. C 98 (2018) 034312
- [13] D.Ibadullayev, Yu.S.Tsyganov, A.N.Polyakov et al. Eurasian. J. of Phys. and Functional Materials. (2022), 6(1),18-31
- [14] H.B.Yang, Z.G.Gan, Z.Y.Zhang et al. // Phys.Rev. C (in print)
- [15] D.Ibadullayev, Yu.S.Tsyganov , A.N Polyakov, A.A.Voinov// (2022) To be submitted to IEEE TNS on Nucl. Sci.
- [16] Yu.Ts.Oganessian, A.G.Popeko, V.K.Utyonkov et al. Nucl. Instrum. and Meth. In Phys. Res. (2022), A 1033, 166640.
- [17] Yu.Ts. Oganessian, V.K.Utyonkov et al. //2022. Submitted to Phys. Rev.C.
- [18] <http://nrv.jinr.ru/nrv/webnrv/map/>