

CONSTRUCTION AND ANALYSIS OF THE QUADRATIC FINITE VOLUME METHODS ON TETRAHEDRAL MESHES

PENG YANG, XIANG WANG, AND YONGHAI LI

ABSTRACT. A family of quadratic finite volume method (FVM) schemes are constructed and analyzed over tetrahedral meshes. In order to prove stability and error estimate, we propose the minimum V-angle condition on tetrahedral meshes, and the surface and volume orthogonal conditions on dual meshes. Through the element analysis technique, the local stability is equivalent to a positive definiteness of a 9×9 element matrix, which is difficult to analyze directly or even numerically. With the help of the surface orthogonal condition and congruent transformation, this element matrix is reduced into a block diagonal matrix, then we carry out the stability result under the minimum V-angle condition. It is worth mentioning that the minimum V-angle condition of the tetrahedral case is very different from a simple extension of the minimum angle condition for triangular meshes, while it is also convenient to use in practice. Based on the stability, we prove the optimal H^1 and L^2 error estimates respectively, where the orthogonal conditions play an important role in ensuring optimal L^2 convergence rate. Numerical experiments are presented to illustrate our theoretical results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The finite volume method (FVM) [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 14, 17, 19, 24, 25] is one of the main numerical methods for solving partial differential equations, which is known for preserving the local conservation property. Till now, many progresses have been made on the stability, error estimate and superconvergence of the FVMs. The linear FVM schemes have been well studied on any spatial dimension [5, 10, 13, 15, 18, 22, 28], and complete results of arbitrary k -order FVM schemes on one dimension (1D) are given by [4, 27]. For high order FVMs on triangular meshes, [8, 9] present a unified analysis of the stability under the assumption of the minimum angle condition, which restricts the minimum interior angle of the triangular elements. While, for some quadratic FVM schemes, the angle value of this condition is improved by [28, 34, 35, 36], where [34, 35, 36] are based on a new trial-to-test mapping. And, through proposing the orthogonal conditions, [26] carries out optimal L^2 error estimates for arbitrary k -order FVM schemes on triangular meshes. For the FVMs on quadrilateral meshes, [23] proves the stability and optimal L^2 error estimate of the biquadratic FVM schemes, and [20, 29, 32, 33] present the stability and optimal L^2 estimates of arbitrary high order FVM schemes by considering the bilinear form of the FVMs as the Gaussian quadrature of the bilinear form of the finite element methods (FEMs). The dual meshes of the schemes in [20, 23, 29, 33] are based on the Gauss points. Compared with the big progresses in 1D and two dimension (2D), the FVMs on three dimension (3D), which has more applications in practice, are mainly concentrated on the linear schemes [5, 12, 16, 30], and there are few results of high order FVMs on 3D (see, e.g., [31]). Specially for the quadratic FVMs on tetrahedral meshes, no result has been published yet.

¹This paper has been accepted for publication in SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics.

This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No.12071177), and the Science Challenge Project (No. TZ2016002).

In this paper, we construct and analyze a family of quadratic FVM schemes on tetrahedral meshes for the following elliptic boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded convex polyhedron with boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the diffusion coefficient $\kappa(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a piecewise smooth function and bounded almost everywhere with positive lower and upper bounds: c_* and c^* , respectively.

We introduce three parameters (α, β, γ) to construct the dual mesh, and a mapping Π_λ^* from trial space to test space for theoretical analysis. For the stability and error analysis, we propose two key restrictions: the first is the orthogonal conditions on the surface and volume for the dual mesh, which control the construction of the dual elements; the second is the minimum V-angle condition for the primary tetrahedral mesh, which restricts the local shape around each vertex of the tetrahedral elements. Under the orthogonal condition on the surface and the minimum V-angle condition, we prove the local stability by element analysis and obtain optimal H^1 error estimate. Based on an equivalent discrete norm, the local stability is converted to a positive definiteness of a 9×9 symbolic matrix. With the help of the orthogonal condition on the surface and the congruent transformation, the 9×9 symbolic matrix is reduced to a block diagonal matrix containing a 3×3 matrix and a 6×6 matrix, where the 3×3 matrix is proved to be unconditionally positive definite, while the analysis of the 6×6 matrix is a challenge. Fortunately, we derive that for fixed parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, the 6×6 symbolic matrix only relies on five certain plane angles of a tetrahedral element. Then, under the minimum V-angle condition, the positive definiteness of the 6×6 matrix is guaranteed numerically, and the stability is obtained. On the other hand, under the orthogonal conditions on the surface and volume, we prove optimal L^2 error estimate by the Aubin-Nitsche technique.

Let us summarize the contributions of this paper: 1) We first use three parameters (α, β, γ) to construct a family of quadratic FVM schemes on tetrahedral meshes; 2) Under the proposed minimum V-angle condition and the orthogonal condition on the surface, we prove the stability by element analysis; 3) Under the proposed orthogonal conditions on the surface and volume, we obtain optimal L^2 error estimate.

An outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, a family of quadratic FVM schemes on tetrahedral meshes is constructed. In Section 3, we prove the local stability by element analysis. Then, optimal H^1 and L^2 error estimates are given in Section 4. Numerical experiments are provided to confirm our theoretical results in Section 5. Finally, we draw the conclusion in Section 6. Some symbolic matrices are put in Appendix A, and some relations in a tetrahedron and two proofs based on them are included in Appendix B.

In the rest of this paper, “ $A \lesssim B$ ” means that A can be bounded by B multiplied by a constant which is independent of the parameters that A and B may depend on. “ $A \sim B$ ” means both “ $A \lesssim B$ ” and “ $B \lesssim A$ ”.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. The quadratic finite volume method schemes.

Primary mesh and trial function space. Let the primary mesh $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K\}$ be a conforming tetrahedral partition of Ω , where $h = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K$ and h_K is the length of the largest edge of the tetrahedral element K . Assume that \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition, i.e., there exists a positive

constant σ , independent of h , satisfying

$$(2) \quad \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \leq \sigma, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h,$$

where ρ_K is the diameter of the inscribed sphere of K .

Denote the four vertices and six edge midpoints of K by \mathcal{N}_K , and let $\mathcal{N}_h = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \mathcal{N}_K$. Then, define the trial function space over \mathcal{T}_h as

$$U_h = \{u_h : u_h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), u_h|_K \in P^2(K) \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, u_h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\},$$

where $P^2(K)$ is the quadratic polynomial space on K , and $u_h|_K$ is determined by its ten node values on \mathcal{N}_K .




FIGURE 1. A tetrahedral element $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$.




FIGURE 2. Two subdomains $D_{P_1}^K, D_{M_{23}}^K$ of the polyhedral partition.

Dual mesh and test function space. Define two sets

$$\mathcal{Z}_n^{(1)} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)} = \{(j, k) | j, k \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, j < k\}.$$

For a tetrahedral element $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (see Fig. 1), T_i is the triangular face of K opposite to the vertex P_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), F_i is the barycenter of T_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), and M_{jk} is the midpoint of the edge $\overline{P_j P_k}$ ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$). It is well known that the four central lines $\{\overline{P_i F_i}\}_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}}$ intersect at Q , the barycenter of K , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\overline{P_{i_1} F_{i_2}}|}{|\overline{P_{i_1} M_{jk}}|} &= \frac{2}{3}, & (j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, \{i_1, i_2, j, k\} &= \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, \\ \frac{|\overline{P_i Q}|}{|\overline{P_i F_i}|} &= \frac{3}{4}, & i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce three parameters α, β, γ to locate the dual nodes in K , such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|\overline{P_{i_1} P_{i_1, i_2}^\alpha}|}{|\overline{P_{i_1} P_{i_2}}|} &= \alpha \in (0, 1/2), & i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, i_1 &\neq i_2, \\ \frac{|\overline{P_i P_{i, jk}^\beta}|}{|\overline{P_i M_{jk}}|} &= \beta \in (0, 2/3), & i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, (j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, i &\notin \{j, k\}, \\ \frac{|\overline{P_i Q_i^\gamma}|}{|\overline{P_i F_i}|} &= \gamma \in (0, 3/4), & i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{i_1, i_2}^\alpha, P_{i, jk}^\beta, Q_i^\gamma$ are the dual nodes on the edges $\overline{P_{i_1} P_{i_2}}$, the midlines $\overline{P_i M_{jk}}$ and the central lines $\overline{P_i F_i}$, respectively. See $P_{1,2}^\alpha$ on $\overline{P_1 P_2}$, $P_{1,23}^\beta$ on $\overline{P_1 M_{23}}$, and Q_4^γ on $\overline{P_4 F_4}$ in Fig. 1.

Now, we show how to connect the above dual nodes in each element K to form the dual mesh. For every dual node $P_{i, jk}^\beta$ on the triangular face T_l ($\{i, j, k, l\} = \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), connect the following three line segments

$$\overline{P_{i, jk}^\beta P_{i, j}^\alpha}, \quad \overline{P_{i, jk}^\beta P_{i, k}^\alpha}, \quad \overline{P_{i, jk}^\beta F_l}.$$

See connecting lines on T_4 in Fig. 1. For every dual node Q_i^γ in the interior of K , connect the following seven line segments

$$\overline{Q_i^\gamma P_{i, i_1}^\alpha} (i_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)} \setminus \{i\}), \quad \overline{Q_i^\gamma P_{i, jk}^\beta} ((j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, i \notin \{j, k\}), \quad \overline{Q_i^\gamma F_i}.$$

Then, we obtain a polyhedral partition $\{D_P^K, P \in \mathcal{N}_K\}$ of K , where D_P^K is a subdomain of K surrounding P . See $D_{P_1}^K$ surrounding P_1 and $D_{M_{23}}^K$ surrounding M_{23} in Fig. 2. Denote by $K_P^* = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} D_P^K$ the dual element associated with $P \in \mathcal{N}_h$, and $\mathcal{T}_h^* = \{K_P^*, P \in \mathcal{N}_h\}$ the dual mesh.

The test function space over \mathcal{T}_h^* is defined as

$$V_h = \{v_h \in L^2(\Omega) : v_h|_{K_P^*} = \text{constant}, \forall K_P^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*; v_h|_{K_P^*} = 0, \forall P \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{N}_h\}.$$

The quadratic FVM schemes. The quadratic FVM for (1) is to find $u_h \in U_h$, such that

$$(4) \quad a_h(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

where

$$(5) \quad a_h(u_h, v_h) = - \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*} \iint_{\partial K^*} (\kappa \nabla u_h) \cdot \mathbf{n} v_h \, dS, \quad (f, v_h) = \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*} \iiint_{K^*} f v_h \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3.$$

Here \mathbf{n} is the unit outer normal vector of ∂K^* .

Remark 2.1. *The dual mesh \mathcal{T}_h^* depends on the three parameters (α, β, γ) , so equation (4) actually leads to a family of quadratic FVM schemes.*

2.2. Volume coordinates. We present the volume coordinates related with a tetrahedron. Let $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ be a tetrahedron with vertices $P_i = (x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, x_{3,i})$ for $i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$ (see Fig. 1). If these vertices are not coplanar, K has positive volume

$$|K| = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & x_{3,1} \\ 1 & x_{1,2} & x_{2,2} & x_{3,2} \\ 1 & x_{1,3} & x_{2,3} & x_{3,3} \\ 1 & x_{1,4} & x_{2,4} & x_{3,4} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let K_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) be the tetrahedrons subtended at $P = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ by the faces of K , their volumes are $|K_i|$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), where $|K_i|$ is obtained from $|K|$ by replacing the elements $1, x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}, x_{3,i}$ by $1, x_1, x_2, x_3$. The volume coordinates L_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) are defined by the volume-ratios

$$(6) \quad L_i = \frac{|K^{(i)}|}{|K|}, \quad i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}.$$

We have relations between (L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4) and (x_1, x_2, x_3) as follows

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x_{1,1}L_1 + x_{1,2}L_2 + x_{1,3}L_3 + x_{1,4}L_4, \\ x_2 = x_{2,1}L_1 + x_{2,2}L_2 + x_{2,3}L_3 + x_{2,4}L_4, \\ x_3 = x_{3,1}L_1 + x_{3,2}L_2 + x_{3,3}L_3 + x_{3,4}L_4, \\ L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 = 1, \end{cases}$$

which transforms the reference element

$$(8) \quad \hat{K} = \{(L_1, L_2, L_3) | L_1 \geq 0, L_2 \geq 0, L_3 \geq 0, L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \leq 1\},$$

into any tetrahedral element $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$.

A direct calculation of (6) yields

$$(9) \quad \nabla L_i = \left(\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial x_3} \right)^T = -\frac{|T_i|}{3|K|} \mathbf{n}_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)},$$

where $\mathbf{n}_i, |T_i|$ are the unit outer normal vector and area of T_i respectively. Let θ_{jk} be the dihedral angle associated with the edge $\overline{P_j P_k}$ ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$) in K , and

$$(10) \quad r_{jk} = |\overline{P_j P_k}| \cot \theta_{jk} \quad \forall (j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, \quad R_i = \sum_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, i \notin \{j,k\}} r_{jk} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}.$$

Then we have Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.1. *For the volume coordinates L_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) given by (6), there holds*

$$\begin{cases} 6|K|(\nabla L_{j_1} \cdot \nabla L_{k_1}) = -r_{j_2 k_2}, & (j_1, k_1), (j_2, k_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}, \{j_1, k_1, j_2, k_2\} = \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, \\ 6|K|(\nabla L_i \cdot \nabla L_i) = R_i, & i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (9) and $\mathbf{n}_{j_1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{k_1} = -\cos \theta_{j_2 k_2}$, we have

$$6|K|(\nabla L_{j_1} \cdot \nabla L_{k_1}) = -\frac{2|T_{j_1}||T_{k_1}|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{j_2 k_2}.$$

Then the first relation follows from the volume formula that $3|K| = (2|T_{j_1}||T_{k_1}| \sin \theta_{j_2 k_2})/|\overline{P_{j_2} P_{k_2}}|$. Combining the fact $\nabla(L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4) = 0$, we have the second relation. \square

2.3. The mapping from trial space to test space. We define a transform operator from trial space to test space, which is meaningful in the theoretical analysis of the quadratic FVM schemes, especially for stability analysis.

Definition 2.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$, we define a transform operator Π_λ^* from the trial space U_h to the test space V_h , such that for any $u_h \in U_h$,

$$\begin{cases} (\Pi_\lambda^* u_h)(P) = u_h(P), \\ (\Pi_\lambda^* u_h)(M) = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}(u_h(P_M^1) + u_h(P_M^2)) + \lambda u_h(M), \end{cases}$$

where $P \in \mathcal{N}_h$ is the vertex, and $M \in \mathcal{N}_h$ is the midpoint of the edge $\overline{P_M^1 P_M^2}$.

Remark 2.2. The mapping Π_λ^* is proposed only for the theoretical analysis of the quadratic FVM schemes, and it has no effect on practical computations of these schemes. While taking $\lambda = 1$, Π_1^* is the traditional mapping Π_h^* (see [17]).

For simplicity, we write the six edge midpoints in $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (see Fig. 1) as

$$P_5 = M_{23}, P_6 = M_{13}, P_7 = M_{12}, P_8 = M_{14}, P_9 = M_{24}, P_{10} = M_{34}.$$

For each nodal point P_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$), denote by ϕ_{P_i} or ϕ_i the corresponding local quadratic Lagrange basis function, and χ_i the corresponding local characteristic function of dual element $K_{P_i}^*$. Let

$$\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{10})^T, \quad \Lambda = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{10})^T,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} & \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Definition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 shows a relation between Π_λ^* and Π_1^* associated with \mathbf{S} .

Lemma 2.2. For the mapping Π_λ^* restricted on any tetrahedral element K , there holds

$$(12) \quad \Pi_\lambda^* \Phi = \mathbf{S} \Lambda = \mathbf{S} \Pi_1^* \Phi.$$

Proof. We have the following forms of piecewise quadratic function u_h and piecewise constant function $\Pi_\lambda^* u_h$ on K

$$u_h = \mathbf{u}_K^T \Phi, \quad \Pi_\lambda^* u_h = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_K^T \Lambda,$$

where $\mathbf{u}_K = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{10})^T$ with $u_i = u_h(P_i)$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_K = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_{10})^T$ with $\tilde{u}_i = (\Pi_\lambda^* u_h)(P_i)$ for $i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}$. By Definition 2.1, it is observed that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_K^T = \mathbf{u}_K^T \mathbf{S}.$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbf{u}_K^T \Pi_\lambda^* \Phi = \Pi_\lambda^* u_h = \mathbf{u}_K^T \mathbf{S} \Lambda$$

which indicates $\Pi_\lambda^* \Phi = \mathbf{S} \Lambda$. Taking $\lambda = 1$, we get $\Pi_1^* \Phi = \Lambda$, and this completes the proof. \square

2.4. The orthogonal conditions. The orthogonal conditions proposed for the FVM schemes on triangular meshes [26] are used to prove optimal L^2 error estimate. Here we propose the orthogonal conditions on the surface and volume for the quadratic FVM schemes on tetrahedral meshes. The orthogonal condition on the surface is also helpful to stability analysis.

Definition 2.2 (Orthogonal conditions). *A quadratic FVM scheme or the corresponding dual mesh \mathcal{T}_h^* is called to satisfy the orthogonal condition on the surface if the following equation associated with the mapping Π_λ^* holds*

$$(13) \quad \iint_{T_i} g_1(v_1 - \Pi_\lambda^* v_1) \, dS = 0 \quad \forall g_1, v_1 \in P^1(T_i), T_i \in \partial K, K \in \mathcal{T}_h,$$

and it is called to satisfy the orthogonal condition on the volume if the following equation associated with Π_λ^* holds

$$(14) \quad \iiint_K g_2(v_2 - \Pi_\lambda^* v_2) \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = 0 \quad \forall g_2, v_2 \in P^1(K), K \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

Here P^1 is the linear function space.

Lemma 2.3. *The orthogonal condition on the surface (13) is equivalent to parameter equation*

$$(15) \quad \alpha\beta\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\beta\right) + \frac{1}{54} = 0,$$

and the orthogonal condition on the volume (14) is equivalent to parameter equation

$$(16) \quad \alpha\beta\gamma\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{3}{8}\beta + \frac{1}{3}\gamma\right) + \frac{1}{480} = 0.$$

Proof. Firstly, consider equation (13) on the reference element \hat{K} (8). It is equivalent to solve

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1^n (1 - \Pi_\lambda^* 1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = 0, \\ \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1^n (L_1 - \Pi_\lambda^* L_1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad n = 0, 1.$$

Since $1 = \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\phi}_2 + \hat{\phi}_3 + \hat{\phi}_5 + \hat{\phi}_6 + \hat{\phi}_7$ and $L_1 = \hat{\phi}_1 + (\hat{\phi}_6 + \hat{\phi}_7)/2$ holds on \hat{T}_4 , by Lemma 2.2, it is easy to verify that $\iint_{\hat{T}_4} (1 - \Pi_\lambda^* 1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = 0$ and $\iint_{\hat{T}_4} (L_1 - \Pi_\lambda^* L_1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = 0$. In addition,

we have the following integral results

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} t_1 := \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1 \chi_1 \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta}{3}\right), \\ t_2 := \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1 \chi_{2,3} \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta)}{12}, \\ t_3 := \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1 \chi_{6,7} \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{2}{27} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{4} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{6}\right), \\ t_4 := \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1 \chi_5 \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{1}{54} - \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{12}, \end{cases}$$

where χ_i is the local characteristic function of dual element $\hat{K}_{P_i}^*$, and $\chi_{i,j}$ means χ_i or χ_j . Then, using above integral results yields $\iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1(1 - \Pi_\lambda^* 1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = 0$, and

$$\iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1(L_1 - \Pi_\lambda^* L_1) \, dL_1 dL_2 = \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1^2 \, dL_1 dL_2 - \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1 \left(\chi_1 + \frac{\chi_6}{2} + \frac{\chi_7}{2}\right) \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{1}{12} - t_1 - t_3.$$

Thus solving (17) yields $1/12 - t_1 - t_3 = 0$, which leads to (15). Noticing

$$t_1 + 2t_2 + 2t_3 + t_4 = \iint_{\hat{T}_4} L_1(\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_5 + \chi_6 + \chi_7) \, dL_1 dL_2 = \frac{1}{6},$$

parameter equation (15) can also be written as

$$(19) \quad -t_1 + 2t_2 + t_4 = 0.$$

On the other hand, equation (14) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{\hat{K}} L_1^n (1 - \Pi_\lambda^* 1) \, dL_1 dL_2 dL_3 = 0, \\ \iiint_{\hat{K}} L_1^n (L_1 - \Pi_\lambda^* L_1) \, dL_1 dL_2 dL_3 = 0, \end{cases} \quad n = 0, 1.$$

Similarly, (16) can be derived. □

Remark 2.3. Note that λ doesn't appear in parameter equations (15) and (16). This means that the orthogonal conditions associated with Π_λ^* and Π_1^* lead to same parameter equations. In fact, $\Pi_\lambda^* L_i = \Pi_1^* L_i$ ($i \in Z_4^{(1)}$) for any given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.4. The parameter equations (15) and (16) have infinite solutions. In addition, for any given α in the range

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} (\approx 0.091752) < \alpha < \frac{1}{2},$$

there is a unique solution for (15) and (16) as follows

$$\begin{cases} \beta = \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha\right) - \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha\right)^2 - \frac{2}{27\alpha}}, \\ \gamma = \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta}\right) - \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{24\alpha\beta}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{160\alpha\beta}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start by analyzing parameter equation (15) for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\beta \in (0, 2/3)$ separately. Considering (15) as an equation for β , the two roots β_1, β_2 ($\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$) satisfy $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 2 - (4\alpha)/3 > 4/3$. Thus, the reasonable root is

$$(21) \quad \beta = \beta_1(\alpha) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}\alpha - \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2) - \sqrt{(\frac{1}{2}\alpha - \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2)^2 - \frac{1}{54}\alpha}}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}.$$

Since $0 < \beta_1(\alpha) < 2/3$, a direct calculation of this inequality about α yields the range (20) of α . Similarly, considering (15) as an equation for α , we have

$$(22) \quad \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{9} (\approx 0.122336) < \beta < \frac{2}{3}.$$

Actually, every reasonable solution of (15) exactly meets (20) and (22). Moreover, equation (21) indicates that $\alpha\beta$ can be represented by α as follows

$$\alpha\beta = (\alpha - \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2) - \sqrt{(\alpha - \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2)^2 - \frac{2}{27}\alpha}.$$

By (20), we compute to arrive at the range of $\alpha\beta$ that

$$(23) \quad 0.049913491374002 \leq \alpha\beta < \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9} (\approx 0.061168).$$

Then, considering parameter equation (16) as an equation for $\gamma \in (0, 3/4)$, the two roots γ_1, γ_2 ($\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$) satisfy $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 3 - (3\alpha)/2 - (9\beta)/8 > 3/2$. Using (15) to simplify (16), we obtain

$$(\frac{1}{3}\alpha\beta)\gamma^2 - (\frac{1}{4}\alpha\beta + \frac{1}{36})\gamma + \frac{1}{480} = 0.$$

Thus, the reasonable root is

$$(24) \quad \gamma = \gamma_1(\alpha\beta) = \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\alpha\beta + \frac{1}{36}) - \sqrt{(\frac{1}{4}\alpha\beta + \frac{1}{36})^2 - \frac{1}{360}\alpha\beta}}{\frac{2}{3}\alpha\beta}.$$

Combining the range (23) of $\alpha\beta$, we have

$$(25) \quad \frac{30 + 5\sqrt{6} - \sqrt{960 + 270\sqrt{6}}}{40} (\approx 0.049533) < \gamma \leq 0.052908895445995,$$

which lies in $(0, 3/4)$.

Above discussions show that for any given α in (20), parameters β (21) and γ (24) are uniquely obtained from equations (15) and (16). Simplifying (21) and (24) completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. *The quadratic FVM schemes satisfying the orthogonal conditions on tetrahedral meshes are infinite, this is different from the case of the unique one on triangular meshes [26].*

3. STABILITY ANALYSIS

This section is devoted to analysis for stability of the quadratic FVM schemes (4). Assume the diffusion coefficient $\kappa = 1$. Our goal is to prove the local stability that for any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$(26) \quad a_h^K(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) := a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h)|_K \gtrsim |u_h|_{1,K}^2 \quad \forall u_h \in U_h.$$

In Subsection 3.1, the local stability (26) is converted to a positive definiteness of a 9×9 symbolic matrix based on an equivalent discrete norm. In Subsection 3.2, under the orthogonal condition on the surface (19), firstly, for any regular tetrahedron $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, it is proved that the

9×9 symbolic matrix is positive definite for given parameter λ in a certain range; secondly, for any general tetrahedron $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, by the congruent transformation, the 9×9 matrix is reduced to a block diagonal matrix containing a 3×3 matrix and a 6×6 matrix, where the 3×3 matrix is proved to be unconditionally positive definite. In Subsection 3.3, we derive that for fixed parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, the 6×6 symbolic matrix only relies on five certain plane angles of a tetrahedral element. Then, the minimum V-angle condition (50) is proposed to ensure the positive definiteness of the 6×6 matrix numerically. Under the two restrictions (19) and (50), the stability is presented in the end of this section.

3.1. The element matrices. The 10×10 element stiffness matrix and an equivalent discrete norm of $|u_h|_{1,K}^2$ is presented. Then, the local stability (26) is converted to a positive definiteness of a 9×9 symbolic matrix.

According to equation (5), we have the bilinear form on any element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ that

$$a_h^K(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) = - \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*} \iint_{\partial K^* \cap K} \nabla u_h \cdot \mathbf{n} \Pi_\lambda^* u_h \, dS.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$a_h^K(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) = a_h^K(\mathbf{u}_K^T \Phi, \Pi_\lambda^*(\mathbf{u}_K^T \Phi)) = \mathbf{u}_K^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{u}_K,$$

where the element stiffness matrix $\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} = (a_{mn,\lambda})_{m,n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}}$ with $a_{mn,\lambda} = a_h^K(\phi_n, \Pi_\lambda^* \phi_m)$, and

$$(27) \quad \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} = \mathbf{S} \mathbb{A}_{K,1},$$

where the element matrix $\mathbb{A}_{K,1} = (a_{mn}^1)_{m,n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}}$ with $a_{mn}^1 = a_h^K(\phi_n, \chi_m) = - \iint_{\partial K_{P_m}^* \cap K} \nabla \phi_n \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS$.

By the Green's formula, one gets

$$(28) \quad - \iint_{\partial K_{P_m}^* \cap K} \nabla \phi_n \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_m}^*} \nabla \phi_n \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS - \iiint_{K_{P_m}^* \cap K} \Delta \phi_n \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \quad \forall m, n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}.$$

Since $\Delta \phi_n$ ($n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$) are constants, we split the element matrix $\mathbb{A}_{K,1}$ into two parts

$$(29) \quad \mathbb{A}_{K,1} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2^T,$$

where \mathbf{A} is a 10×10 matrix, and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are two column vectors. They are given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_m}^*} \nabla \phi_n \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS \right)_{m,n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}},$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{6|K|} \iiint_{K_{P_m}^* \cap K} 1 \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)_{m \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = (6|K| \Delta \phi_n)_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}}.$$

By definition (3) of parameters (α, β, γ) , we have explicit form of \mathbf{v}_1 as follows

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{6}, \frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{6}, \frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{6}, \frac{\alpha\beta\gamma}{6}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36}, \frac{1-4\alpha\beta\gamma}{36} \right)^T.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the expressions of ten local quadratic Lagrange basis functions

$$(30) \quad \phi_{P_i} = L_i(2L_i - 1) \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}, \quad \phi_{M_{jk}} = 4L_j L_k \quad \forall (j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)},$$

we have explicit form of \mathbf{v}_2 as follows

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = 4(R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, -2r_{14}, -2r_{24}, -2r_{34}, -2r_{23}, -2r_{13}, -2r_{12})^T.$$

From (30) and $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 = 1$, we have $\nabla\phi_n = \sum_{i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} c_{i_1, i_2} L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2}$ with some constants c_{i_1, i_2} . In view of this, Lemma 3.1 presents some important equations for deriving **A**.

Lemma 3.1. *For the vertices P_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) in element K and $L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2}$ ($i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), we have the following integral results*

$$\iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_i}^*} L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \begin{cases} 6|K| (\nabla L_{i_1} \cdot \nabla L_{i_2}) t_1, & i_1 = i, \\ 6|K| \left(\sum_{l \in \{i, i_1\}} \nabla L_l \cdot \nabla L_{i_2} \right) t_2, & i_1 \neq i. \end{cases}$$

For the midpoints M_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) in element K and $L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2}$ ($i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), we have the following integral results

$$\iint_{\partial K \cap K_{M_{jk}}^*} L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \begin{cases} 6|K| \left(\sum_{l \in \{j, k\}} \nabla L_l \cdot \nabla L_{i_2} \right) t_3, & i_1 \in \{j, k\}, \\ 6|K| \left(\sum_{l \in \{j, k, i_1\}} \nabla L_l \cdot \nabla L_{i_2} \right) t_4, & i_1 \notin \{j, k\}. \end{cases}$$

Here $t_i, i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$ are constants given by (18).

Proof. Noticing $\partial K \cap K_{P_{i_3}}^* = \cup_{i_4 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} (T_{i_4} \cap K_{P_{i_3}}^*)$ ($i_3 \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$), we have

$$\iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_{i_3}}^*} L_{i_1} \nabla L_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \sum_{i_4 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} \iint_{T_{i_4}} L_{i_1} \chi_{i_3} \nabla L_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i_4} \, dS \quad \forall i_3 \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)},$$

where χ_{i_3} is the local characteristic function of dual element $K_{P_{i_3}}^*$. By (9), replacing vector \mathbf{n}_{i_4} by $-(6|K|)/(2|T_{i_4}|) \nabla L_{i_4}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_4 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} \iint_{T_{i_4}} L_{i_1} \chi_{i_3} \nabla L_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i_4} \, dS &= -6|K| \sum_{i_4 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} \iint_{T_{i_4}} \frac{1}{2|T_{i_4}|} L_{i_1} \chi_{i_3} \nabla L_{i_4} \cdot \nabla L_{i_2} \, dS \\ &= -6|K| (\nabla L_{i_4} \cdot \nabla L_{i_2}) \sum_{i_4 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}} \iint_{\hat{T}_{i_4}} L_{i_1} \chi_{i_3} \, d\hat{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the facts that $L_i = 0$ holds on T_i ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), $\nabla(L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4) = 0$, and the integral results (18), one can reach the conclusion of Lemma 3.1. \square

By Lemma 3.1, taking **A**(1, 1) as an example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(1, 1) &= \iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_1}^*} \nabla\phi_{P_1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_1}^*} 3L_1 \nabla L_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS - \iint_{\partial K \cap K_{P_1}^*} (L_2 + L_3 + L_4) \nabla L_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS \\ &= 6|K| (\nabla L_1 \cdot \nabla L_1) 3t_1 - 6|K| (3\nabla L_1 + \nabla L_2 + \nabla L_3 + \nabla L_4) \cdot \nabla L_1 t_2 = (3t_1 - 2t_2) R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Other entries are derived similarly, and the explicit form of **A** is put in Appendix A.1.

Then, consider $|u_h|_{1,K}^2$ with $u_h|_K = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}} u_i \phi_{P_i}$ and $u_i = u_h(P_i)$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}$). By (30) and $L_4 = 1 - L_1 - L_2 - L_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad u_h|_K &= u_4 + L_1(-u_1 - 3u_4 + 4u_8) + L_2(-u_2 - 3u_4 + 4u_9) + L_3(-u_3 - 3u_4 + 4u_{10}) \\
&\quad + 2L_1^2(u_1 + u_4 - 2u_8) + 2L_2^2(u_2 + u_4 - 2u_9) + 2L_3^2(u_3 + u_4 - 2u_{10}) \\
&\quad + 4L_2L_3(u_4 + u_5 - u_9 - u_{10}) + 4L_1L_3(u_4 + u_6 - u_8 - u_{10}) + 4L_1L_2(u_4 + u_7 - u_8 - u_9) \\
&= u_4 + (L_1, L_2, L_3, L_1^2, L_2^2, L_3^2, L_2L_3, L_1L_3, L_1L_2) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_K = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{10})^T$, and \mathbf{G} is a 9×10 matrix given by

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the constant term of $u_h|_K$ vanishes in the derivative of $u_h|_K$. In view of this, Lemma 3.2 presents an equivalent discrete norm of $|u_h|_{1,K}^2$ associated with \mathbf{G} .

Lemma 3.2 (Discrete norm). *If \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition (2), then for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we have*

$$(33) \quad |u_h|_{1,K}^2 \sim h_K \|\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm, and \mathbf{G} is given by (32).

Proof. Under the translation (7), let $\hat{u}_h|_{\hat{K}}$ be the interpolation function on reference element \hat{K} (8). Since \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition, the following relation for Sobolev semi-norms holds [17]:

$$(34) \quad |u_h|_{1,K}^2 \sim h_K |\hat{u}_h|_{1,\hat{K}}^2.$$

By (31), a direct calculation of $|\hat{u}_h|_{1,\hat{K}}^2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{u}_h|_{1,\hat{K}}^2 &= \iiint_{\hat{K}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_h}{\partial L_i} \right)^2 dL_1 dL_2 dL_3 \\
&= \iiint_{\hat{K}} ((\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K) + (\mathbf{w}_2 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{w}_2 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K) + (\mathbf{w}_3 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{w}_3 \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)) dL_1 dL_2 dL_3 \\
&= (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 2L_1, 0, 0, 0, L_3, L_2), \mathbf{w}_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 2L_2, 0, L_3, 0, L_1), \mathbf{w}_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2L_3, L_2, L_1, 0),$$

and

$$\mathbf{W} = \iiint_{\hat{K}} (\mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_2 + \mathbf{w}_3^T \mathbf{w}_3) dL_1 dL_2 dL_3 = \frac{1}{120} \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 5 \\ 0 & 20 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is verified that the real symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} is positive definite. Therefore, $|\hat{u}_h|_{1,\hat{K}}^2 \sim \|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K\|^2$, which together with (34) completes the proof. \square

Let \mathbf{T} be the unique Moore-Penrose inverse of \mathbf{G} , such that $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}$, $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}$, $(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{G})^T = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{G}$, $(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{T})^T = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{T}$. By computing in *Matlab*, we get the 10×9 matrix

$$(35) \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{40} \begin{pmatrix} 30 & -10 & -10 & 33 & -7 & -7 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & 30 & -10 & -7 & 33 & -7 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & -10 & 30 & -7 & -7 & 33 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & -10 & -10 & -7 & -7 & -7 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & 10 & 10 & -7 & 3 & 3 & 9 & -1 & -1 \\ 10 & -10 & 10 & 3 & -7 & 3 & -1 & 9 & -1 \\ 10 & 10 & -10 & 3 & 3 & -7 & -1 & -1 & 9 \\ 10 & -10 & -10 & 3 & -7 & -7 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & 10 & -10 & -7 & 3 & -7 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -10 & -10 & 10 & -7 & -7 & 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{T}\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{E}_{10} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbb{1},$$

where \mathbb{E}_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and $\mathbb{1}$ is the 10×10 matrix in which all entries are 1. The following Lemma 3.3 helps us to simplify the local stability (26).

Lemma 3.3. *For the element stiffness matrix $\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda}$ in (27), we have*

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} = \mathbf{G}^T \mathbf{T}^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{G},$$

where \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{T} are given by (32) and (35), respectively.

Proof. Obviously, $\mathbb{1}\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{K,1}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}\mathbb{A}_{K,1} = \mathbb{0}_{10}$, where $\mathbb{0}_n$ is the $n \times n$ zero matrix. Then, we derive $\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} = \mathbb{0}_{10}$ from (27). By (36), we obtain

$$(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{G})^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{G} = (\mathbb{E}_{10} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbb{1})^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} (\mathbb{E}_{10} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} (\mathbb{E}_{10} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$ be a 9×9 symbolic matrix, given by

$$(37) \quad \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{T}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$a_h^K(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_K^T (\mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} + \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda}^T) \mathbf{u}_K = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_K^T (\mathbf{G}^T \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^T \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}^T \mathbf{G}) \mathbf{u}_K = (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K)^T \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K),$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is the symmetrization of $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$, i.e.,

$$(38) \quad \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} = \frac{\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} + \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}^T}{2}.$$

Recalling the equivalent discrete norm (33), the local stability (26) is equivalent to

$$(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K)^T \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K) \gtrsim h_K (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}_K).$$

Therefore, the local stability (26) is converted into a positive definiteness of the matrix $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$, which is proved in the following subsections.

3.2. A restriction on \mathcal{T}_h^* and some results. In this subsection, under the orthogonal condition on the surface (19), we prove a positive definiteness of $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ for any regular tetrahedron $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, and reduce $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ for any general tetrahedron $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Firstly, we try to deal with $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$ in (37). By (27) and (29), one gets

$$(39) \quad \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbb{A}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbb{A}_{K,1} \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} - (\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1) (\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T}).$$

By computing in *Matlab*, we have

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1 &= s_0 (0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 3, -1, -1, -1)^T, \\ \mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T} &= (0, 0, 0, 2R_1, 2R_2, 2R_3, -2r_{14}, -2r_{24}, -2r_{34}), \end{aligned}$$

and organize $\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}$ into a 3×3 block matrix as follows

$$(41) \quad \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} s_1 \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K & \frac{s_1}{2} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K + \frac{s^*}{2} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & \frac{s_1}{4} (\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \frac{s^*}{4} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \\ \hline (s_1 - \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{2}) \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K & \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \\ \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4} (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K) & \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} & \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} \end{array} \right).$$

Here

$$(42) \quad s_0 = \frac{1}{240} + \frac{4\alpha\beta\gamma - 1}{144} \lambda, \quad s_1 = t_1 + 2t_2 + 2t_3 + t_4 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad s_2 = t_3 \lambda, \quad s_3 = t_4 \lambda, \\ s^* = -t_1 + 2t_2 + t_4 \text{ and } s^* = 0 \text{ coincides with the orthogonal condition on the surface (19),}$$

$$(43) \quad \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & -r_{34} & -r_{24} \\ -r_{34} & R_2 & -r_{14} \\ -r_{24} & -r_{14} & R_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We put those symbolic matrices $\mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)}$ in Appendix A.2. Lemma 3.4 shows a property of $\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K$, and the proof is included in Appendix B.2.

Lemma 3.4. *If \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition, then $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K$ is unconditionally positive definite for any tetrahedral element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.*

Now, we consider $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ for any regular tetrahedron $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. The following result shows that $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is positive definite for given λ in a certain range.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that K is a regular tetrahedron. If the orthogonal condition on the surface (19) (i.e., $s^* = 0$) holds, then the element matrix $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ in (38) is positive definite if and only if λ satisfies*

$$(44) \quad \frac{(2t_3 + 5t_4) - 2\sqrt{2t_4(2t_3 + 3t_4)}}{6(2t_3 + t_4)^2} < \lambda < \frac{(2t_3 + 5t_4) + 2\sqrt{2t_4(2t_3 + 3t_4)}}{6(2t_3 + t_4)^2},$$

where t_3, t_4 are constants in (18).

Proof. It is observed from (38) and (39) that every entry of $\frac{1}{h_K}\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is a linear combination of $\frac{r_{jk}}{h_K}$ ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$). By (10), since K is a regular tetrahedron, we get $\frac{r_{jk}}{h_K} = \frac{|P_j P_k| \cot \theta_{jk}}{h_K} = \cot \theta_{jk} = c$ $\forall (j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$, where c is a positive constant.

Choosing an invertible 9×9 matrix

$$\mathbf{C}_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc} \mathbb{E}_3 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & \mathbb{0}_3 & & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \mathbb{0}_3 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \mathbb{0}_3 & 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \end{array} \right),$$

such that the first eight entries of $\mathbf{C}_1^T \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C}_1$ vanish, then parameter γ only appears in the corner of $\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1$. According to Lemma 3.4, substituting $s_1 = 1/6$ and $s^* = 0$ into (41), we compute the determinants that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:4, 1:4)) &= c^4 \varphi_1(s_2, s_3), \\ \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:5, 1:5)) &= 8c^5 (s_2 - s_3) \varphi_1(s_2, s_3), \\ \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:6, 1:6)) &= \frac{81c^6}{4} (s_2 - s_3) \varphi_1^2(s_2, s_3), \\ \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:7, 1:7)) &= \frac{243c^7}{8} (s_2 - s_3)^2 \varphi_1^2(s_2, s_3), \\ \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:8, 1:8)) &= \frac{2187c^8}{32} (s_2 - s_3)^2 \varphi_1^3(s_2, s_3), \\ \det(\mathbf{C}_1^T \frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_1(1:9, 1:9)) &= \frac{729c^9}{1280} (s_2 - s_3)^2 \varphi_1^3(s_2, s_3) \varphi_2(s_0, s_2, s_3), \end{aligned}$$

where s_0, s_2, s_3 are given by (42), and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(s_2, s_3) &= \frac{2}{27} \left(-3(2s_2 + s_3)^2 + (2s_2 + 5s_3) - \frac{1}{12} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{27} \left(-3(2t_3 + t_4)^2 \lambda^2 + (2t_3 + 5t_4) \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \right), \\ \varphi_2(s_0, s_2, s_3) &= -240s_0 - 20s_2 - 10s_3 + 1 = \frac{5}{3} \alpha \beta (3 - 4\gamma) \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $s_2 - s_3 = (t_3 - t_4) \lambda = (\alpha \beta^2 / 8 - \alpha \beta / 4 + 1/18) \lambda$ and $\varphi_2(s_0, s_2, s_3)$ have the same signs with λ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $\beta \in (0, 2/3)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 3/4)$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is positive definite if and only if both $\varphi_1(s_2, s_3)$ and λ are positive. A straight calculation of $\varphi_1(s_2, s_3) > 0$ yields (44), which implies $\lambda > 0$. In fact, since $2t_4$, $2t_3 + 3t_4$ and $2t_3 + t_4$ are all positive for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\beta \in (0, 2/3)$, we have $(2t_3 + 5t_4) - 2\sqrt{2t_4(2t_3 + 3t_4)} > 0$ by considering $2t_4$ and $2t_3 + 3t_4$ as values of x and y in $x + y - 2\sqrt{xy} > 0$ ($y > x > 0$). \square

Remark 3.1. $\lambda = 1/(12t_3 + 6t_4) = 1/(1 - 3\alpha\beta)$ and $\lambda = 1$ are always in the range (44).

For any general tetrahedon $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we complete a reduction of $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ under the orthogonal condition on the surface (19). Our initial idea is to construct a 3×3 block transformation matrix \mathbf{C}_2 for the 3×3 block target matrix $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$, such that the first block row and column of $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$ act on the other two block rows and columns. We mainly focus on these changes of the elements

in the first block row and column of $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$. Consider a transformation matrix depending on two parameters η_1, η_2 as follows

$$\mathbf{C}_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \mathbb{E}_3 & 0_3 & 0_3 \\ \hline \eta_1 \mathbb{E}_3 & & \\ \eta_2 \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} & & \mathbb{E}_6 \end{array} \right).$$

By (39), the corresponding congruent matrix of $\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}$ is

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_2^T = \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C}_2^T - \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C}_2^T.$$

Noticing the first three elements of $\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T}$ in (40) are all zero, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C}_2^T = (\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{v}_1) (\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{T}) = s_0 \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 0_3 & 0_3 & 0_3 \\ \hline 0_3 & 6\mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & -6\mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \\ 0_3 & -2\mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & 2\mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \end{array} \right),$$

where the symbolic matrices $\mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}, \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}$ can be found in Appendix A.2. By (41), we have

$$\mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{C}_2^T = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} s_1 \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K & (\frac{1}{2} + \eta_1) s_1 \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K + \frac{s^*}{2} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & (\frac{1}{4} + \eta_2) s_1 (\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \frac{s^*}{4} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \\ \hline ((1 + \eta_1) s_1 - \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{2}) \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K & \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} & \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} \\ (\eta_2 s_1 + \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4}) (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K) & \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} & \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} \end{array} \right)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} + \left((\frac{3}{2} + \eta_1) s_1 - \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{2} \right) \eta_1 \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K + \frac{s^*}{2} \eta_1 \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} &= \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + \left(\frac{s_1}{4} \eta_1 + ((1 + \eta_1) s_1 - \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{2}) \eta_2 \right) (\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \frac{s^*}{4} \eta_1 \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} &= \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} + \left(\frac{s_1}{2} \eta_2 + (\eta_2 s_1 + \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4}) \eta_1 \right) (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K) + \frac{s^*}{2} \eta_2 (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} &= \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} + \left(\frac{s_1}{4} \eta_2 + (\eta_2 s_1 + \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4}) \eta_2 \right) (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \frac{s^*}{4} \eta_2 (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (45), we obtain

$$\mathbf{C}_2 \frac{1}{h_K} \bar{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda} \mathbf{C}_2^T = \frac{1}{2h_K} \mathbf{C}_2 (\mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda} + \mathbb{B}_{K,\lambda}^T) \mathbf{C}_2^T = \frac{1}{h_K} \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} s_1 \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K & (\star)_1 & (\star)_2 \\ \hline (\star)_1^T & & \mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K,\lambda} \\ (\star)_2^T & & \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\star)_1 &= \left((\frac{3}{4} + \eta_1) s_1 - \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4} \right) \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K + \frac{s^*}{4} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}, \\ (\star)_2 &= \left((\frac{1}{8} + \eta_2) s_1 + \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{8} \right) (\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \frac{s^*}{8} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(46) \quad \mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc} (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} - 6s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} - 6s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)})^T & (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + 6s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} + 2s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)})^T \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} + 2s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + 6s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)})^T & (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} - 2s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} - 2s_0 \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)})^T \end{array} \right).$$

Thanks to the orthogonal condition on the surface (19) which means $s^* = 0$, and meanwhile, choosing parameters η_1, η_2 as follows

$$\eta_1 = \frac{2s_2 + s_3}{4s_1} - \frac{3}{4}, \quad \eta_2 = -\frac{2s_2 + s_3}{8s_1} - \frac{1}{8},$$

then we have $(\star)_1 = \mathbb{O}_3$ and $(\star)_2 = \mathbb{O}_3$. Thus, $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is reduced to a block diagonal matrix. According to Lemma 3.4, a positive definiteness of $\frac{1}{h_K} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{K,\lambda}$ is reducible to a positive definiteness of $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K,\lambda}$, which is proved in the next subsection.

3.3. A restriction on \mathcal{T}_h and the stability. In this subsection, the minimum V-angle condition on \mathcal{T}_h is proposed to ensure the positive definiteness of $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K,\lambda}$ numerically, furthermore, the stability of the quadratic FVM schemes is presented.

For simplicity, write all plane angles in $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (see Fig. 1) as

$$(47) \quad \begin{cases} \theta_{1,P_1} = \angle P_2 P_1 P_4, & \theta_{2,P_1} = \angle P_2 P_1 P_3, & \theta_{3,P_1} = \angle P_3 P_1 P_4, \\ \theta_{1,P_2} = \angle P_1 P_2 P_4, & \theta_{2,P_2} = \angle P_1 P_2 P_3, & \theta_{3,P_2} = \angle P_3 P_2 P_4, \\ \theta_{1,P_3} = \angle P_1 P_3 P_4, & \theta_{2,P_3} = \angle P_1 P_3 P_2, & \theta_{3,P_3} = \angle P_2 P_3 P_4, \\ \theta_{1,P_4} = \angle P_1 P_4 P_2, & \theta_{2,P_4} = \angle P_1 P_4 P_3, & \theta_{3,P_4} = \angle P_2 P_4 P_3. \end{cases}$$

Firstly, we define the ‘‘V-angle’’ at the vertex P_i of K as

$$(48) \quad \theta_{P_i} = \theta_{1,P_i} + \theta_{2,P_i} + \theta_{3,P_i} - 2 \max \left\{ \theta_{1,P_i}, \theta_{2,P_i}, \theta_{3,P_i} \right\}, \quad i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}.$$

In fact, θ_{P_i} is the difference between the sum of two smaller plane angles and the largest one at vertex P_i . Let $\theta_K := \min \{ \theta_{P_i}, i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)} \}$, the following Lemma 3.6 shows the properties of θ_K .

Lemma 3.6. *The minimum V-angle θ_K has the following properties*

- (i) $\theta_{i_1, P_{i_2}} \geq \theta_K \quad \forall i_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$;
- (ii) $0^\circ < \theta_K \leq 60^\circ$, and $\theta_K = 60^\circ$ means that K is a regular tetrahedron.

Proof. Combining $\theta_{1,P_i} + \theta_{2,P_i} + \theta_{3,P_i} \leq 2 \max \left\{ \theta_{1,P_i}, \theta_{2,P_i}, \theta_{3,P_i} \right\} + \min \left\{ \theta_{1,P_i}, \theta_{2,P_i}, \theta_{3,P_i} \right\}$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) and (48), we have $\theta_{P_i} \leq \min \left\{ \theta_{1,P_i}, \theta_{2,P_i}, \theta_{3,P_i} \right\}$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$), then the first property follows from $\theta_K = \min \{ \theta_{P_i}, i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)} \}$. It is clear that $\theta_K > 0^\circ$, then we prove $\theta_K \leq 60^\circ$. If not, every plane angle of K is larger than 60° , which contradicts the fact that the sum of the three interior angles of a triangle is 180° . Moreover, if $\theta_K = 60^\circ$, then all the plane angles in K equal to 60° , this means that K is a regular tetrahedron. \square

Remark 3.2. *The poorly-shaped tetrahedrons classified in [21] possess at least one of the following two types of local shapes around a vertex. i) See Fig. 3(a), the three plane angles around a vertex are all small, the local shape of which is performed as ‘‘sharp’’; ii) See Fig. 3(b), there exists an edge that is close to the angle (not small) formed by the other two edges around a vertex, the local shape of which is performed as ‘‘flat’’. The minimum V-angle θ_K bounded below ensures the shape regularity of the tetrahedron K by controlling the local shapes at each vertex. However, if we only restrict the minimum plane angle for each triangular face of a tetrahedron K , similar to [8, 28, 34, 35] for triangular meshes in 2D, then we can not guarantee the shape regularity of the tetrahedron K . See Fig. 4 as an example, the minimum plane angle of a degenerated tetrahedron K is 45° , which is big enough in $(0^\circ, 60^\circ]$.*



(a) The local shape around a vertex is “sharp”. (b) The local shape around a vertex is “flat”.

FIGURE 3. Illustration of two types of local shapes.




FIGURE 4. A degenerated tetrahedron K whose four vertices coinciding with the vertices of a square.

Let $\Theta_5 := (\theta_{1,P_1}, \theta_{2,P_1}, \theta_{1,P_2}, \theta_{2,P_2}, \theta_{3,P_2})$, five plane angles of K . Lemma 3.7 implies that a tetrahedron K is determined by its circumradius R_K and Θ_5 . The proof is included in Appendix B.3.

Lemma 3.7. *All plane angles $\theta_{i_1, P_{i_2}}$ ($i_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) in (47) and dihedral angles θ_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$) in a tetrahedron K can be represented by the five plane angles Θ_5 .*

According to Lemma B1, Lemma B2 listed in Appendix B.1 and Lemma 3.7, each of r_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$) defined in (10) can be represented by R_K multiplying by a continuous function of Θ_5 . Taking r_{12} as an example, we have

$$r_{12} = R_K \frac{2 \cos \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{(1 - \cos^2 \theta_{12}) + \cot^2 \theta_{2,P_3} + \cot^2 \theta_{1,P_4} - 2 \cot \theta_{2,P_3} \cot \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{12}}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{1,P_4} &= 180^\circ - \theta_{1,P_1} - \theta_{1,P_2}, & \theta_{2,P_3} &= 180^\circ - \theta_{2,P_1} - \theta_{2,P_2}, \\ \cos \theta_{12} &= \frac{\cos \theta_{3,P_2} - \cos \theta_{1,P_2} \cos \theta_{2,P_2}}{\sin \theta_{1,P_2} \sin \theta_{2,P_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, the similar representations of other r_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$) are omitted here.

Obviously, every entry of $\mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K, \lambda}$ in (46) is a linear combination of r_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$). Thus, $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{N}_{6 \times 6}^{K, \lambda}$ can be rewritten as $\frac{R_K}{h_K} \tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. We turn to discuss the positive definiteness of $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ under a regular partition \mathcal{T}_h . Subsequently, for any fixed group of parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, we restrict the lower bound of the minimum V-angle θ_K to ensure the positiveness of $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda))$.

Denote the reasonable range of Θ_5 for a tetrahedron K satisfying $\theta_K \geq v$ by \mathbb{Q}_v as below

$$\mathbb{Q}_v = \left\{ \Theta_5 > 0^\circ \mid \theta_{1,P_1} + \theta_{1,P_2} < 180^\circ; \theta_{2,P_1} + \theta_{2,P_2} < 180^\circ; \theta_{1,P_2} + \theta_{2,P_2} + \theta_{3,P_2} < 360^\circ; \theta_{P_i} \geq v, i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3.7, $\theta_{P_i} \geq v$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) means some relations between Θ_5 and v . In addition, Lemma 3.6 indicates that each angle of $\Theta_5 \in \mathbb{Q}_v$ lies in $[v, 180^\circ - 2v]$. For parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ fixed by (3), (15) and (44), we define an angle set as

$$(49) \quad V^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \{v \in (0^\circ, 60^\circ] \mid \det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)) > 0 \ \forall \Theta_5 \in \mathbb{Q}_v\}.$$

Note that $V^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ is nonempty since Lemma 3.5 shows $60^\circ \in V^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Let $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \inf V^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, the following restriction on the primary mesh \mathcal{T}_h plays an important role for stability analysis.

Definition 3.1 (minimum V-angle condition). *A quadratic FVM scheme or the corresponding primary mesh \mathcal{T}_h is called to satisfy the minimum V-angle condition, if there exist $\varepsilon^* > 0$ and λ in the range (44) such that*

$$(50) \quad \theta_K \geq v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) + \varepsilon^*, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

Remark 3.3. *Under the traditional mapping Π_h^* , parameter λ in the minimum V-angle condition (50) is fixed to be 1. The mapping Π_λ^* gives us more chances to find a better λ in (44), such that $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ is smaller, which leads (50) to be a weaker restriction. Actually, for a given scheme (fixed α, β, γ), we care about when $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ reaches its minimum value for λ .*

Remark 3.4. *The minimum V-angle condition (50) for tetrahedral meshes is as convenient as the minimum angle condition [8, 28, 34, 35] for 2D triangular meshes in application. Other restrictions on tetrahedral meshes are referred to [21].*

See **Algorithm 1**, we show a way to compute $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ numerically. The basic idea is to find the minimum $v \in (0^\circ, 60^\circ]$ by the bisection process, such that $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda))$ is positive for $\Theta_5 \in \mathbb{Q}_v$. In this process, for each v , we compute to check whether the positiveness of $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda))$ is satisfied for Θ_5 in $\mathbb{P}_v^{(1)} \cap \mathbb{Q}_v, \dots, \mathbb{P}_v^{(q)} \cap \mathbb{Q}_v$, where $\mathbb{P}_v^{(n)}$ ($n \in \mathcal{Z}_q^{(1)}$) are discrete point sets. Here points in $\mathbb{P}_v^{(n)}$ are evenly selected in $[v, 180^\circ - 2v]^{5}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}_v^{(n)} = \left\{ (v, v, v, v, v) + \frac{180^\circ - 3v}{N_n} (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) \ \forall i_1, \dots, i_5 \in \{0\} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{N_n}^{(1)} \right\},$$

where N_n is a division number of $[v, 180^\circ - 2v]$. We take N_n ($n \in \mathcal{Z}_q^{(1)}$) as a group of increasing prime numbers to avoid repeating calculations of $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda))$. In Section 5, we will show the numerical performances of $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ for four given quadratic FVM schemes.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X)$ ($X \in S_0$) is a $m \times m$ real symmetric matrix and element-wisely continuous in a connected region S_0 of \mathbb{R}^n . If there exists $X_1 \in S_0$ such that $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X_1)$ is positive definite, and the determinant of $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X)$ is always positive in S_0 , then $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X)$ is positive definite for every $X \in S_0$.*

Proof. If not, there exist even number of eigenvalues of $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X)$ for a certain $X_2 \in S_0$ that are negative. Let one of these negative eigenvalues be $\mu_0(X_2)$ (< 0). Since $\mu_0(X_1) > 0$, by a simple continuity argument, there is a point $X_3 \in S_0$ such that $\mu_0(X_3) = 0$. This contradicts that the determinant of $\mathbf{F}_{m \times m}(X)$ is positive for every $X \in S_0$. \square

Under the orthogonal condition on the surface (15), Lemma 3.5 implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ with $\Theta_5 = (60^\circ, 60^\circ, 60^\circ, 60^\circ, 60^\circ)$ is positive definite for given λ in (44). On the other hand, if \mathcal{T}_h satisfies the minimum V-angle condition (50), then $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)) > 0$ for $\Theta_5 \in \mathbb{Q}_v$ holds for every $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Thus, under the two restrictions (15) and (50), Lemma 3.8 ensures the

Algorithm 1:

```

1 Given  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $\beta \in (0, 2/3)$  satisfying (15),  $\lambda$  in (44),  $\gamma \in (0, 3/4)$ , a group of
  increasing prime numbers  $N_n$  ( $n \in \mathcal{Z}_q^{(1)}$ ), and precision  $\epsilon > 0^\circ$ ;
2 Set  $\mathbb{t}_0 = 0^\circ$ ,  $\mathbb{t}_1 = 60^\circ$ ;
3 while  $\mathbb{t}_1 - \mathbb{t}_0 > \epsilon$  do
4    $v_0 = \frac{\mathbb{t}_0 + \mathbb{t}_1}{2}$ ;  $n=1$ ;
5   while  $\det(\tilde{N}_{6 \times 6}(\Theta_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)) > 0$  for  $\Theta_5 \in \mathbb{P}_{v_0}^{(n)} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{v_0}$ , and  $n \leq q$  do
6      $n=n+1$ ;
7   end
8   if  $n=q+1$  then
9     Set  $\mathbb{t}_1 = v_0$ ;
10    else
11      Set  $\mathbb{t}_0 = v_0$ ;
12    end
13  end
14 end
15  $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \mathbb{t}_1$ .

```

local stability (26), in which the hidden constants have a common lower bound. According to above discussions, we present the stability as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Stability). *Assume that the diffusion coefficient κ is piecewise constant over \mathcal{T}_h . If a quadratic FVM scheme (4) satisfies the orthogonal condition on the surface (15) and the minimum V-angle condition (50), then the local stability (26) holds. Furthermore, the bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \Pi_\lambda^* \cdot)$ is uniformly elliptic, i.e.,*

$$a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) \gtrsim |u_h|_1^2, \quad \forall u_h \in U_h.$$

4. ERROR ANALYSIS

In this section, we present optimal H^1 and L^2 error estimates of the quadratic FVM schemes. The H^1 error estimate is based on the continuity and the stability, and the L^2 error estimate follows the H^1 result and the orthogonal conditions (13) and (14).

Define the piecewise H^2 space over \mathcal{T}_h as

$$H_h^2(\Omega) = \{u \in C(\Omega) : u|_K \in H^2(K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

Then we have the continuity of the quadratic FVM schemes (4).

Lemma 4.1. *For the bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \Pi_\lambda^* \cdot)$ in (5), we have*

$$(51) \quad |a_h(u, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h)| \lesssim (|u|_1 + h|u|_{2,h})|u_h|_1 \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H_h^2(\Omega), u_h \in U_h,$$

where $|u|_{2,h} = \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |u|_{2,K}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. For $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H_h^2(\Omega)$ and $u_h \in U_h$, we rewrite

$$a_h(u, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) = - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \iint_{s^*} (\kappa \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n} [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*} dS,$$

where \mathcal{S}_K^* is the set of all common faces of the dual elements contained in the interior of K . For all polygonal faces s^* in \mathcal{S}_K^* , this means $\cup_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} s^* = \cup_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*} (\partial K^* \cap K)$. And \mathbf{n} is the unit normal vector on s^* from a dual element K_1^* to its neighboring dual element K_2^* , and $[\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*} := \Pi_\lambda^* u_h|_{K_1^*} - \Pi_\lambda^* u_h|_{K_2^*}$ is the jump of $\Pi_\lambda^* u_h$ on s^* .

Then, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a_h(u, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h)| &\lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} |s^*|^{-1} \iint_{s^*} [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}^2 dS \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} |s^*| \iint_{s^*} ((\kappa \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 dS \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (52) \quad &\lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} h_K [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \frac{|s^*|}{h_K} \iint_{s^*} |\nabla u|^2 dS \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We start with the first term on the right hand of (52). Definition 2.1 indicates that $[\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}$ ($s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*$) are linear combinations of $u_h(P_i)$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$). Thus, there exists a matrix \mathbf{K} with 10 columns such that

$$\sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}^2 = (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_K),$$

where $\mathbf{u}_K = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{10})^T$ and $u_i = u_h(P_i)$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$). Obviously, $u_{i_1} = u_{i_2}$ for $i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{10}^{(1)}$ yields $[\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*} = \Pi_\lambda^* u_h|_{K_1^*} - \Pi_\lambda^* u_h|_{K_2^*} = 0$ for $s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*$. Thus, taking $\mathbf{u}_K = u_1(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$, one obtains $\sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}^2 = 0$ which indicates $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_K$ being a zero vector. That means the row sum of \mathbf{K} is zero. By relation (36), one arrives at

$$(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}_K) = (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K) = (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{T})^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{T}) (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K) \lesssim (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K)^T (\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K).$$

Recalling the equivalent discrete norm (33), we have

$$(53) \quad \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} h_K [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K \|\mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}_K\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |u_h|_{1,K}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |u_h|_1.$$

For the second term on the right hand of (52), let $\varphi = \nabla u_h$. Since \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition (2), it is obvious that

$$\iint_{s^*} |\varphi|^2 dS \lesssim h_K^2 \iint_{\hat{s}^*} |\hat{\varphi}|^2 d\hat{S}.$$

According to the trace theorem, we have

$$\sum_{\hat{s}^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \iint_{\hat{s}^*} |\hat{\varphi}|^2 d\hat{S} \lesssim \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{1, \hat{K}}^2.$$

The Sobolev norms and semi-norms of φ satisfy [17]:

$$\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{0, \hat{K}}^2 \lesssim h_K^{-3} \|\varphi\|_{0, K}^2, \quad |\hat{\varphi}|_{1, \hat{K}}^2 \lesssim h_K^{-1} |\varphi|_{1, K}^2,$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \iint_{s^*} \varphi^2 dS \lesssim h_K^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{0,K}^2 + h_K |\varphi|_{1,K}^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \frac{|s^*|}{h_K} \iint_{s^*} (\nabla u)^2 dS \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K (h_K^{-1} \|\nabla u\|_{0,K}^2 + h_K |\nabla u|_{1,K}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (|u|_{1,K}^2 + h_K^2 |u|_{2,K}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (52) and (53) completes the proof. \square

Based on Theorem 3.1, we give the stability for variable $\kappa(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the same conditions of Theorem 3.1 and more generally, assuming that κ is piecewise $W^{1,\infty}$ over \mathcal{T}_h , then the bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \Pi_\lambda^* \cdot)$ is uniformly elliptic for sufficiently small $h > 0$, i.e.,*

$$(54) \quad a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) \gtrsim |u_h|_1^2, \quad \forall u_h \in U_h.$$

Proof. Let

$$\bar{a}_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) = - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}_h^*} \iint_{\partial K^* \cap K} \bar{\kappa} \nabla u_h \cdot \mathbf{n} \Pi_\lambda^* u_h dS,$$

where $\bar{\kappa}$ is a piecewise constant function that $\bar{\kappa}|_K = |K|^{-1} \iiint_K \kappa dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Theorem 3.1 indicates that

$$\bar{a}_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) \gtrsim |u_h|_1^2 \quad \forall u_h \in U_h.$$

Similar to the proof of the continuity (51) and by the inverse estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) - \bar{a}_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h)| &= \left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{s^* \in \mathcal{S}_K^*} \iint_{s^*} (\kappa - \bar{\kappa}) \nabla u_h \cdot \mathbf{n} [\Pi_\lambda^* u_h]_{s^*} dS \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\kappa - \bar{\kappa}\|_{0,\infty} (|u_h|_1 + h|u_h|_{2,h}) |u_h|_1 \lesssim h|u_h|_1^2, \quad \forall u_h \in U_h. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) \geq \bar{a}_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) - |a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) - \bar{a}_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h)| \gtrsim |u_h|_1^2, \quad \forall u_h \in U_h,$$

when h is small enough. \square

Then, the H^1 error estimate follows the stability.

Theorem 4.1 (H^1 error estimate). *Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^3(\Omega)$ is the solution of (1). If the conditions of Lemma 4.2 are satisfied, then (4) has a unique solution $u_h \in U_h$, and*

$$(55) \quad |u - u_h|_1 \lesssim h^2 |u|_3.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.2 indicates

$$a_h(u_h, \Pi_\lambda^* u_h) \geq 0, \quad \forall u_h \in U_h,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $u_h = 0$ which verifies the existence and uniqueness of u_h .

Apparently, $a_h(u, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$, which together with (4) leads to the orthogonality

$$(56) \quad a_h(u_h - u, v_h) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Let $u_I \in U_h$ be the standard Lagrange quadratic interpolation of u over \mathcal{T}_h . Then from (51), (54) and (56), we have

$$|u_h - u_I|_1^2 \lesssim a_h(u_h - u_I, \Pi_\lambda^*(u_h - u_I)) = a_h(u - u_I, \Pi_\lambda^*(u_h - u_I)) \lesssim (|u - u_I|_1 + h|u - u_I|_{2,h})|u_h - u_I|_1.$$

Eliminating $|u_h - u_I|_1$ and by the standard interpolation error estimate, then

$$|u_h - u_I|_1 \lesssim |u - u_I|_1 + h|u - u_I|_{2,h} \lesssim h^2|u|_3.$$

Together with $|u - u_h|_1 \leq |u - u_I|_1 + |u_h - u_I|_1$, we obtain (55). \square

We present optimal L^2 error estimate in the following Theorem 4.2, which benefits from [26].

Theorem 4.2 (L^2 error estimate). *Suppose that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ is the solution of (1). If the conditions of Lemma 4.2 and the orthogonal condition on the volume (14) are satisfied, then*

$$(57) \quad \|u - u_h\|_0 \lesssim h^3 \|u\|_4.$$

Proof. Consider an auxiliary problem: given $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, find $\omega_g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(58) \quad a(v, \omega_g) = (g, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where $a(v, \omega_g) = \iiint_\Omega (\kappa \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \omega_g \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$. It is well known that this problem is regular, i.e., it attains a unique solution $\omega_g \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $\|\omega_g\|_2 \lesssim \|g\|_0$.

Let $v = u - u_h$ in (58). By the orthogonality (56) and the Green's formula, we have

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} (g, u - u_h) &= a(u - u_h, w_g) = a(u - u_h, w_g - \Pi_h^1 w_g) + a(u - u_h, \Pi_h^1 w_g) - a_h(u - u_h, \Pi_\lambda^*(\Pi_h^1 w_g)) \\ &= E_1 + E_2 + E_3, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= a(u - u_h, w_g - \Pi_h^1 w_g), \\ E_2 &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iiint_K -\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla (u - u_h)) (\Pi_h^1 w_g - \Pi_\lambda^*(\Pi_h^1 w_g)) \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3, \\ E_3 &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} (\kappa \nabla (u - u_h)) \cdot \mathbf{n} (\Pi_h^1 w_g - \Pi_\lambda^*(\Pi_h^1 w_g)) \, dS, \end{aligned}$$

where Π_h^1 is the piecewise linear interpolation projection over \mathcal{T}_h . For convenience of writing, let $M_1^* w_g = \Pi_h^1 w_g - \Pi_\lambda^*(\Pi_h^1 w_g)$. Consider $E_2 = E_{21} + E_{22}$ and $E_3 = E_{31} + E_{32}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{21} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iiint_K -\nabla \cdot ((\kappa - \bar{\kappa}) \nabla (u - u_h)) M_1^* w_g \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3, \\ E_{22} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iiint_K -\nabla \cdot (\bar{\kappa} \nabla (u - u_h)) M_1^* w_g \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3, \\ E_{31} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} ((\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \nabla (u - u_h)) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS, \\ E_{32} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} (\tilde{\kappa} \nabla (u - u_h)) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\kappa}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}$ are two piecewise constant functions that for $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, $\bar{\kappa}|_K = |K|^{-1} \iiint_K \kappa \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$, and $\tilde{\kappa}|_{T_i} = |T_i|^{-1} \iint_{T_i} \kappa \, dS \, \forall T_i \in \partial K$.

It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [26] that

$$(60) \quad |E_1| \lesssim h^3 |u|_3 |w_g|_2, \quad |E_{21}| \lesssim h^3 |u|_3 |w_g|_1, \quad |E_{31}| \lesssim h^3 |u|_3 |w_g|_1.$$

The orthogonal condition on the volume (14) is used to estimate E_{22} . Noticing that $\frac{\partial^2 u_h}{\partial x_i^2} - \Pi_h^1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$ ($i \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}$) restricted on K are linear functions, we obtain

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} |E_{22}| &\leq \left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}} \bar{\kappa} \iiint_K \frac{\partial^2 (u - u_h)}{\partial x_i^2} M_1^* w_g \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} - \Pi_h^1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \right\|_{0,K} \|M_1^* w_g\|_{0,K} \lesssim h^3 |u|_4 |w_g|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The orthogonal condition on the surface (13) is used to estimate E_{32} . By the boundary condition $w_g|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} (\tilde{\kappa} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS = \iint_{\partial\Omega} (\tilde{\kappa} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS = 0,$$

which together with (13) yields

$$(62) \quad E_{32} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} (\tilde{\kappa} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \iint_{\partial K} (\tilde{\kappa} \nabla u_h) \cdot \mathbf{n} M_1^* w_g \, dS = 0.$$

Let $g = u - u_h$ in (59). With the estimates (60), (61), (62), and the regularity $\|w_g\|_2 \lesssim \|g\|_0$, we obtain the L^2 error estimate (57). \square

The above optimal L^2 error estimate strongly depends on the orthogonal conditions on the surface (13) and volume (14). We would like to point out that the orthogonal conditions are sufficient conditions in the proof of L^2 error estimate, however, a large number of experiments indicates that they are necessary to achieve optimal convergence rate in L^2 norm, which are shown in Section 5.

5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

Scheme	α	β	γ	$v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, 1/(1 - 3\alpha\beta))$
QFVS-1	1/10	$14/15 - 2\sqrt{66}/45$	0.050667311760225	20.5°
QFVS-2	$1/2 - \sqrt{3}/6$	$2/3 + \sqrt{3}/9 - \sqrt{21 + 6\sqrt{3}}/9$	0.052883196779577	17.0°
QFVS-3	2/5	$11/15 - 2\sqrt{714}/45$	0.051085694878555	16.7°
QFVS-4	$1/2 - \sqrt{3}/6$	$2/3 + \sqrt{3}/9 - \sqrt{21 + 6\sqrt{3}}/9$	1/4	18.2°

TABLE 1. Four quadratic FVM schemes.

Here in Table 1, we present four quadratic FVM schemes, which will be used in the numerical experiments. The first three schemes satisfy the orthogonal conditions on the surface (15) and volume (16), while the last scheme only satisfies the orthogonal condition on the surface (15).




FIGURE 5. The relationships between $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and λ .

Example 5.1. According to **Algorithm 1**, we show in Fig. 5 the relationships between $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and λ for the schemes in Table 1. From the four figures, $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ reaches its minimum value at $\lambda = 1/(1-3\alpha\beta)$. The values of $v^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, 1/(1-3\alpha\beta))$ are given in the last column of Table 1.




FIGURE 6. A division of a cube.

Example 5.2. Consider the model problem (1) with $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$. The primary mesh \mathcal{T}_h is constructed by dividing Ω into N^3 cubes first, then each cube is divided into six tetrahedrons, see Fig. 6. By definition (48), the uniform minimum V -angle is $\min\{\theta_K, K \in \mathcal{T}_h\} = \arctan(\sqrt{2}/2) + 45^\circ - \arctan \sqrt{2} \approx 25.529^\circ$. Then for the four schemes in Table 1, it is observed from the last column of Table 1 that the primary mesh \mathcal{T}_h satisfies the minimum V -angle condition (50).

N	QFVS-1		QFVS-2		QFVS-3		QFVS-4	
	$ u - u_h _1$	Order						
5	1.1526e-01	\	1.1454e-01	\	1.1478e-01	\	1.1294e-01	\
15	1.3110e-02	1.9787	1.3098e-02	1.9738	1.3102e-02	1.9755	1.3105e-02	1.9605
25	4.7300e-03	1.9956	4.7285e-03	1.9946	4.7289e-03	1.9949	4.7380e-03	1.9917
35	2.4148e-03	1.9981	2.4144e-03	1.9976	2.4145e-03	1.9978	2.4203e-03	1.9964
45	1.4612e-03	1.9989	1.4611e-03	1.9987	1.4611e-03	1.9988	1.4649e-03	1.9980

TABLE 2. H^1 convergence rate of Example 5.2.

N	QFVS-1		QFVS-2		QFVS-3		QFVS-4	
	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order						
5	2.7360e-03	\	2.7238e-03	\	2.7202e-03	\	2.4588e-03	\
15	9.7046e-05	3.0394	9.6983e-05	3.0359	9.6969e-05	3.0348	1.8766e-04	2.3418
25	2.0875e-05	3.0081	2.0870e-05	3.0073	2.0869e-05	3.0072	6.5523e-05	2.0599
35	7.5988e-06	3.0034	7.5978e-06	3.0030	7.5976e-06	3.0030	3.3157e-05	2.0243
45	3.5736e-06	3.0019	3.5733e-06	3.0017	3.5733e-06	3.0016	1.9992e-05	2.0132

TABLE 3. L^2 convergence rate of Example 5.2.

We apply the four schemes in Table 1 to equation (1) with the coefficient $\kappa(x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{x_1+2x_2+3x_3}$, and f is chosen so that the exact solution is $u = \sin(\pi x_1) \sin(\pi x_2) \sin(\pi x_3)$. See Table 2, all of the four schemes possess optimal H^1 convergence rate. And Table 3 shows that the first three schemes have optimal L^2 convergence rate, while the last scheme doesn't. These numerical results demonstrate our theoretical results.

N	QFVS-1		QFVS-2		QFVS-3		QFVS-4	
	$ u - u_h _1$	Order						
5	1.2602e-01	\	1.2090e-01	\	1.2429e-01	\	1.2061e-01	\
15	1.4108e-02	1.9931	1.4116e-02	1.9549	1.4200e-02	1.9746	1.4072e-02	1.9555
25	5.0788e-03	2.0001	5.0835e-03	1.9994	5.0786e-03	2.0129	5.0767e-03	1.9958
35	2.5967e-03	1.9937	2.5947e-03	1.9988	2.5977e-03	1.9924	2.5959e-03	1.9934
45	1.5700e-03	2.0023	1.5688e-03	2.0021	1.5732e-03	1.9955	1.5793e-03	1.9774

TABLE 4. H^1 convergence rate of Example 5.3.

N	QFVS-1		QFVS-2		QFVS-3		QFVS-4	
	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order						
5	3.7870e-03	\	3.3785e-03	\	3.4369e-03	\	3.1009e-03	\
15	1.2821e-04	3.0818	1.2956e-04	2.9683	1.3487e-04	2.9474	2.0669e-04	2.4652
25	2.6984e-05	3.0508	2.7407e-05	3.0409	2.6863e-05	3.1588	6.9489e-05	2.1339
35	9.8073e-05	3.0081	9.8279e-05	3.0480	9.8367e-05	2.9857	3.4767e-05	2.0582
45	4.6334e-06	2.9837	4.6514e-06	2.9766	4.6999e-06	2.9389	2.0862e-05	2.0323

TABLE 5. L^2 convergence rate of Example 5.3.

Example 5.3 (Random mesh). *To further illustrate the performance of the proposed quadratic FVM schemes, we disturb the vertices of the tetrahedrons in Example 5.2 with random rate $0.2/N$ in three directions, in which*

- i) *the eight vertices of $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ are fixed;*
- ii) *the vertices on the twelve edges of $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ are repositioned along the edges;*
- iii) *the vertices on the six faces of $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ are repositioned on the faces in two directions;*

For the four schemes in Table 1, we show in Table 4 and Table 5 the numerical performances, which are consistent with the numerical performances in Example 5.2.




FIGURE 7. The relationships between convergence rate and γ .

Remark 5.1. *Consider the same model problem as Example 5.2. Then for fixed (α, β) , Fig. 7 shows how convergence rates in H^1 and L^2 norms between $N = 10$ and $N = 20$ change with γ , where the first three pairs of (α, β) are the same as those in QFVS-1, QFVS-2 and QFVS-3 respectively, and the last pair is taken as $(\alpha = 0.3, \beta = 0.4)$. In fact, a large number of experiments indicates that the orthogonal conditions are not only sufficient but also necessary to achieve optimal convergence rate in L^2 norm.*

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have constructed a family of quadratic FVM schemes on tetrahedral meshes by introducing three parameters (α, β, γ) on the dual mesh. Under the proposed orthogonal conditions and minimum V-angle condition, we derive the theoretical analysis. This includes

- Stability, which is the most important result in this paper;

- Optimal H^1 and L^2 error estimates, where the L^2 convergence rate strongly depends on the orthogonal conditions.

These theoretical results are confirmed by some numerical experiments.

For higher r -order ($r \geq 3$) FVMs on tetrahedral meshes, the stability analysis is much more complex and it needs further study.

APPENDIX A: SOME SYMBOLIC MATRICES

A.1 The symbolic matrix \mathbf{A} in (29).

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{4 \times 4}^{(1)} & 4(\mathbf{A}_{6 \times 4}^{(2)})^T \\ \mathbf{A}_{6 \times 4}^{(3)} & 4\mathbf{A}_{6 \times 6}^{(4)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{4 \times 4}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} (3t_1 - 2t_2)R_1 & 4t_2 R_2 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{34} & 4t_2 R_3 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{24} & 4t_2 R_4 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{23} \\ 4t_2 R_1 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{34} & (3t_1 - 2t_2)R_2 & 4t_2 R_3 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{14} & 4t_2 R_4 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{13} \\ 4t_2 R_1 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{24} & 4t_2 R_2 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{14} & (3t_1 - 2t_2)R_3 & 4t_2 R_4 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{12} \\ 4t_2 R_1 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{23} & 4t_2 R_2 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{13} & 4t_2 R_3 + (t_1 - 2t_2)r_{12} & (3t_1 - 2t_2)R_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{6 \times 4}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -t_2(R_2 + R_3 - r_{12} - r_{13}) & t_2 R_2 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{14} & t_2 R_3 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{14} & -t_2(R_2 + R_3 - r_{24} - r_{34}) \\ t_2 R_1 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{24} & -t_2(R_1 + R_3 - r_{12} - r_{23}) & t_2 R_3 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{24} & -t_2(R_1 + R_3 - r_{14} - r_{34}) \\ t_2 R_1 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{34} & t_2 R_2 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{34} & -t_2(R_1 + R_2 - r_{13} - r_{23}) & -t_2(R_1 + R_2 - r_{14} - r_{24}) \\ t_2 R_1 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{23} & -t_2(R_1 + R_4 - r_{12} - r_{24}) & -t_2(R_1 + R_4 - r_{13} - r_{34}) & t_2 R_4 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{23} \\ -t_2(R_2 + R_4 - r_{12} - r_{14}) & t_2 R_2 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{13} & -t_2(R_2 + R_4 - r_{23} - r_{34}) & t_2 R_4 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{13} \\ -t_2(R_3 + R_4 - r_{13} - r_{14}) & -t_2(R_3 + R_4 - r_{23} - r_{24}) & t_2 R_3 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{12} & t_2 R_4 - (t_1 + t_2)r_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{6 \times 4}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} (2t_3 + t_4)R_1 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{23} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_2 - r_{14}) & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_3 - r_{14}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_4 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{23} \\ (2t_3 - t_4)(R_1 - r_{24}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_2 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{13} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_3 - r_{24}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_4 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{13} \\ (2t_3 - t_4)(R_1 - r_{34}) & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_2 - r_{34}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_3 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{12} & (2t_3 + t_4)R_4 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{12} \\ (2t_3 - t_4)(R_1 - r_{23}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_2 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{14} & (2t_3 + t_4)R_3 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{14} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_4 - r_{23}) \\ (2t_3 + t_4)R_1 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{24} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_2 - r_{13}) & (2t_3 + t_4)R_3 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{24} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_4 - r_{13}) \\ (2t_3 + t_4)R_1 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{34} & (2t_3 + t_4)R_2 - (2t_3 - 3t_4)r_{34} & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_3 - r_{12}) & (2t_3 - t_4)(R_4 - r_{12}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{6 \times 6}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} t_3(R_1 + R_4 - 2r_{23}) & t_4 r_{12} - t_3(R_1 - r_{23}) & t_4 r_{13} - t_3(R_1 - r_{23}) & -t_4(R_1 + R_4) & t_4 r_{34} - t_3(R_4 - r_{23}) & t_4 r_{24} - t_3(R_4 - r_{23}) \\ t_4 r_{12} - t_3(R_2 - r_{13}) & t_3(R_2 + R_4 - 2r_{13}) & t_4 r_{23} - t_3(R_2 - r_{13}) & t_4 r_{34} - t_3(R_4 - r_{13}) & -t_4(R_2 + R_4) & t_4 r_{14} - t_3(R_4 - r_{13}) \\ t_4 r_{13} - t_3(R_3 - r_{12}) & t_4 r_{23} - t_3(R_3 - r_{12}) & t_3(R_3 + R_4 - 2r_{12}) & t_4 r_{24} - t_3(R_4 - r_{12}) & t_4 r_{14} - t_3(R_4 - r_{12}) & -t_4(R_3 + R_4) \\ -t_4(R_2 + R_3) & t_4 r_{34} - t_3(R_3 - r_{14}) & t_4 r_{24} - t_3(R_2 - r_{14}) & t_3(R_2 + R_3 - 2r_{14}) & t_4 r_{12} - t_3(R_2 - r_{14}) & t_4 r_{13} - t_3(R_3 - r_{14}) \\ t_4 r_{34} - t_3(R_3 - r_{24}) & -t_4(R_1 + R_3) & t_4 r_{14} - t_3(R_1 - r_{24}) & t_4 r_{12} - t_3(R_1 - r_{24}) & t_3(R_1 + R_3 - 2r_{24}) & t_4 r_{23} - t_3(R_3 - r_{24}) \\ t_4 r_{24} - t_3(R_2 - r_{34}) & t_4 r_{14} - t_3(R_1 - r_{34}) & -t_4(R_1 + R_2) & t_4 r_{13} - t_3(R_1 - r_{34}) & t_4 r_{23} - t_3(R_2 - r_{34}) & t_3(R_1 + R_2 - 2r_{34}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

A.2 The symbolic matrices $\mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)}$, $\mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)}$ in (41).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} &= \left(\frac{s_1}{2} - \frac{s_2 + s_3}{2}\right) \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K + \left(\frac{3s_1}{20} - \frac{s_2 + s_3}{2}\right) \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} + s_3 \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} + \frac{s^*}{2} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)}, \\ \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} &= \left(\frac{s_1}{4} - \frac{s_2 + s_3}{4}\right) (\mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) - \left(\frac{3s_1}{20} - \frac{s_2}{2}\right) \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + \frac{s_3}{2} \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + \frac{s^*}{4} \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)}, \\ \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} &= \frac{s_2}{2} (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K) - \frac{s_1}{20} \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} + \frac{s_2}{2} \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} - \frac{s_2 - s_3}{2} \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)}, \\ \mathbf{L}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} &= \frac{s_2}{4} (\mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}) + \left(\frac{s_1}{20} - \frac{s_2}{4}\right) \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} + \frac{s_2}{4} \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} - \frac{s_2 - s_3}{4} \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1, s_2, s_3, s^*, \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K, \mathbf{C}_{3 \times 3}$ are given by (42) and (43), and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R_2 & R_3 \\ R_1 & R_2 & R_3 \\ R_1 & R_2 & R_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{J}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{14} & r_{24} & r_{34} \\ r_{14} & r_{24} & r_{34} \\ r_{14} & r_{24} & r_{34} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} -2R_1 & r_{13}+r_{14} & r_{12}+r_{14} \\ r_{23}+r_{24} & -2R_2 & r_{12}+r_{24} \\ r_{23}+r_{34} & r_{13}+r_{34} & -2R_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2r_{14} & -r_{24} & -r_{34} & R_1+r_{24} & R_1+r_{34} \\ R_2+r_{14} & -r_{14} & -2r_{24} & -r_{34} & R_2+r_{34} \\ R_3+r_{14} & R_3+r_{24} & -r_{14} & -r_{24} & -2r_{34} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_{34} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{3 \times 3}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{12} & 0 & r_{23} \\ r_{13} & r_{23} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B: SOME RELATIONS IN A TETRAHEDRON AND TWO RELATED PROOFS

B.1 Some relations in a tetrahedron. In this subsection, we discuss some relations in a tetrahedron $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ about its plane angles $\theta_{i_1, P_{i_2}}$ ($i_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) in (47), dihedral angles θ_{jk} ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$), edge lengths $|\overline{P_j P_k}|$ ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(2)}$), and circumradius R_K .

See Fig. 8, we take a positively oriented orthogonal coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) , such that P_1 is the origin, $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ on the x_1 axis, and the $x_1 P_1 x_2$ plane coincides with the plane spanned by $\overline{P_1 P_2}$ and $\overline{P_1 P_3}$. Here, O, O_1, O_2 are the circumcenters of $\triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4, \triangle P_1 P_2 P_4, \triangle P_1 P_2 P_3$, respectively, and M_{12} is the midpoint of $\overline{P_1 P_2}$.




FIGURE 8

Lemma B1. For given $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (see Fig. 8), its plane angles and dihedral angles satisfy

$$(63) \quad \cos \theta_{12} = \frac{\cos \theta_{3, P_1} - \cos \theta_{1, P_1} \cos \theta_{2, P_1}}{\sin \theta_{1, P_1} \sin \theta_{2, P_1}},$$

$$(64) \quad \cos \theta_{3, P_1} = \frac{\cos \theta_{2, P_1} \sin \theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} + \cos \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{13}}{\sqrt{(\cos \theta_{2, P_1} \sin \theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} + \cos \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{13})^2 + \sin^2 \theta_{2, P_1} \sin^2 \theta_{12}}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{n}_i = (n_{i,1}, n_{i,2}, n_{i,3})^T$ be the unit outer normal vector of the triangular face T_i , and $\mathbf{n}_{kl} = \frac{\overrightarrow{P_k P_l}}{|P_k P_l|} = (n_{kl,1}, n_{kl,2}, n_{kl,3})^T$ be the unit direction vector from P_k to P_l . From Fig. 8, it is easy to find that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}_{12} &= (1, 0, 0)^T, \quad \mathbf{n}_4 = (0, 0, -1)^T, \quad \mathbf{n}_3 = (0, -\sin \theta_{12}, \cos \theta_{12})^T, \\ \mathbf{n}_{13} &= (\cos \theta_{2,P_1}, \sin \theta_{2,P_1}, 0)^T, \quad \mathbf{n}_{14} = (\cos \theta_{1,P_1}, n_{14,2}, n_{14,3})^T, \quad \mathbf{n}_2 = (n_{2,1}, n_{2,2}, \cos \theta_{13})^T.\end{aligned}$$

Since θ_{12} equals to the angle between $-\mathbf{n}_4$ and \mathbf{n}_3 , where \mathbf{n}_3 has the same direction with $\mathbf{n}_{12} \times \mathbf{n}_{14}$, we have

$$(65) \quad \cos \theta_{12} = -\mathbf{n}_3 \cdot \mathbf{n}_4 = -\frac{\mathbf{n}_{12} \times \mathbf{n}_{14}}{|\mathbf{n}_{12} \times \mathbf{n}_{14}|} \cdot \mathbf{n}_4 = \frac{(0, n_{14,3}, -n_{14,2})^T}{\sin \theta_{1,P_1}} \cdot (0, 0, -1)^T = \frac{n_{14,2}}{\sin \theta_{1,P_1}}.$$

Noticing that θ_{3,P_1} is the angle between \mathbf{n}_{13} and \mathbf{n}_{14} , satisfying $\cos \theta_{3,P_1} = \mathbf{n}_{13} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{14} = \cos \theta_{1,P_1} \cos \theta_{2,P_1} + \sin \theta_{2,P_1} n_{14,2}$, we have

$$n_{14,2} = \frac{\cos \theta_{3,P_1} - \cos \theta_{1,P_1} \cos \theta_{2,P_1}}{\sin \theta_{2,P_1}},$$

which together with (65) leads to (63).

On the other hand, since θ_{3,P_1} is the angle between \mathbf{n}_{13} and \mathbf{n}_{14} , where \mathbf{n}_{14} has the same direction with $\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{n}_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \theta_{3,P_1} &= \mathbf{n}_{13} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{14} = \mathbf{n}_{13} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{n}_3}{|\mathbf{n}_2 \times \mathbf{n}_3|} \\ &= (\cos \theta_{2,P_1}, \sin \theta_{2,P_1}, 0)^T \cdot \frac{(n_{2,2} \cos \theta_{12} + \cos \theta_{13} \sin \theta_{12}, -n_{2,1} \cos \theta_{12}, -n_{2,1} \sin \theta_{12})^T}{\sqrt{(n_{2,2} \cos \theta_{12} + \cos \theta_{13} \sin \theta_{12})^2 + n_{2,1}^2}} \\ (66) \quad &= \frac{\cos \theta_{2,P_1} (n_{2,2} \cos \theta_{12} + \cos \theta_{13} \sin \theta_{12}) - \sin \theta_{2,P_1} n_{2,1} \cos \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{(n_{2,2} \cos \theta_{12} + \cos \theta_{13} \sin \theta_{12})^2 + n_{2,1}^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}_{13} = \cos \theta_{2,P_1} n_{2,1} + \sin \theta_{2,P_1} n_{2,2} = 0$ and $|\mathbf{n}_2|^2 = n_{2,1}^2 + n_{2,2}^2 + \cos^2 \theta_{12} = 1$, one obtains

$$n_{2,1} = -\sin \theta_{2,P_1} \sin \theta_{12}, \quad n_{2,2} = \cos \theta_{2,P_1} \sin \theta_{12},$$

which together with (66) lead to (64). \square

Lemma B2. For given $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ (see Fig. 8), the edge length $|\overline{P_1 P_2}|$ can be represented as follows

$$(67) \quad |\overline{P_1 P_2}| = 2R_K \frac{\sin \theta_{12}}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_{12} + \cot^2 \theta_{2,P_3} + \cot^2 \theta_{1,P_4} - 2 \cot \theta_{2,P_3} \cot \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{12}}}.$$

Proof. The relationship between the diameter and the chord length in the circumcircles of $\triangle P_1 P_2 P_4$ and $\triangle P_1 P_2 P_3$ yields

$$\frac{2|\overline{O_1 M_{12}}|}{|\overline{P_1 P_2}|} = \cot \theta_{1,P_4}, \quad \frac{2|\overline{O_2 M_{12}}|}{|\overline{P_1 P_2}|} = \cot \theta_{2,P_3}.$$

Besides, the circumcenters O, O_1, O_2 are on a common plane which passes through M_{12} and is perpendicular to $\overline{P_1P_2}$, and $\angle OO_1M_{12} = \angle OO_2M_{12} = \pi/2$. Thus, the four points O, O_1, O_2, M_{12} are on a common circle with the diameter $|\overline{OM_{12}}|$. Then

$$\frac{|\overline{O_1O_2}|}{|\overline{OM_{12}}|} = \sin \theta_{12}.$$

Substituting the above equations and $|\overline{OM_{12}}|^2 + \frac{|\overline{P_1P_2}|^2}{4} = R_K^2$ into the law of cosines on $\triangle O_1M_{12}O_2$

$$|\overline{O_1O_2}|^2 = |\overline{O_1M_{12}}|^2 + |\overline{O_2M_{12}}|^2 - 2|\overline{O_1M_{12}}||\overline{O_2M_{12}}| \cos \theta_{12},$$

one arrives at (67). □

Other relations of angles and lengths in $K = \triangle^4 P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$ similar to those given by Lemma B1 and Lemma B2 can be easily derived. Without causing confusion, we use (63), (64) and (67) to represent themselves and other similar relations.

B.2 A proof of Lemma 3.4. We shall give a proof of Lemma 3.4. It suffices to verify that the three leading principal minors of $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K$ are positive. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\frac{1}{h_K} R_1 = \frac{2|T_1|^2}{3h_K|K|}$ and

$$R_1 R_2 = \left(\frac{2|T_1||T_2|}{3|K|} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{2|T_1||T_2|}{3|K|} \mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 \right)^2 (1 + \tan^2 \theta_{34}) = r_{34}^2 + |\overline{P_3P_4}|^2,$$

which leads to

$$\frac{1}{h_K^2} \begin{vmatrix} R_1 & -r_{34} \\ -r_{34} & R_2 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{|\overline{P_3P_4}|^2}{h_K^2}.$$

Moreover, by $2S_{\triangle ABC} = |AB||AC| \sin \angle A$, we can write the determinant of $\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K$ as (68)

$$\det \left(\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K \right) = \frac{1}{h_K^3} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{2|T_1|^2}{3|K|} & -\frac{2|T_1||T_2|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{34} & -\frac{2|T_1||T_3|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{24} \\ -\frac{2|T_1||T_2|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{34} & \frac{2|T_2|^2}{3|K|} & -\frac{2|T_2||T_3|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{14} \\ -\frac{2|T_1||T_3|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{24} & -\frac{2|T_2||T_3|}{3|K|} \cos \theta_{14} & \frac{2|T_3|^2}{3|K|} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{c_L^4}{h_K^3 (6|K|)^3} c_d,$$

where $c_L = |\overline{P_1P_4}||\overline{P_2P_4}||\overline{P_3P_4}|$ and

$$c_d = \begin{vmatrix} \sin^2 \theta_{2,P_4} & -\sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \cos \theta_{34} & -\sin \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{24} \\ -\sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \cos \theta_{34} & \sin^2 \theta_{3,P_4} & -\sin \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \cos \theta_{14} \\ -\sin \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{24} & -\sin \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \cos \theta_{14} & \sin^2 \theta_{1,P_4} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Relations (63) and $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$ yield

$$(69) \quad c_d = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{2,P_4} & \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{1,P_4} & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{2,P_4} - \cos \theta_{3,P_4} \\ \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{1,P_4} & 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{3,P_4} & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \\ \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{2,P_4} - \cos \theta_{3,P_4} & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{2,P_4} & 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{1,P_4} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For c_L in (68), there is the volume formula that

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} 6|K| &= |T_1| |\overline{P_1 P_4}| \sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{34} = c_L \sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta_{34}} \\ &= c_L \sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\cos \theta_{1,P_4} - \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4}}{\sin \theta_{2,P_4} \sin \theta_{3,P_4}} \right)^2} = c_L \sqrt{c_K}, \end{aligned}$$

with $c_K = 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{1,P_4} - \cos^2 \theta_{2,P_4} - \cos^2 \theta_{3,P_4} + 2 \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4}$. For c_d in (68), multiplying (69) by a determinant whose value is 1, one obtains

$$(71) \quad c_d \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cos \theta_{1,P_4} & 1 & 0 \\ \cos \theta_{3,P_4} & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} c_K & \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{1,P_4} & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{2,P_4} - \cos \theta_{3,P_4} \\ 0 & 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{3,P_4} & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{2,P_4} \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{3,P_4} - \cos \theta_{2,P_4} & 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{1,P_4} \end{vmatrix} = c_K^2.$$

Then, substituting (70) and (71) into (68) yields

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{h_K} \mathbf{M}_{3 \times 3}^K\right) = \frac{6|K|}{h_K^3}.$$

Since \mathcal{T}_h is a regular partition, the proof is completed.

B.3 A proof of Lemma 3.7. We shall give a proof of Lemma 3.7. By representations (63) and (64), the remaining seven of the twelve plane angles $\theta_{i_1, P_{i_2}}$ ($i_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_3^{(1)}, i_2 \in \mathcal{Z}_4^{(1)}$) can be represented by Θ_5 in the following order

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_5 &\rightarrow \begin{cases} \theta_{1,P_4} = 180^\circ - \theta_{1,P_1} - \theta_{1,P_2} \\ \theta_{2,P_3} = 180^\circ - \theta_{2,P_1} - \theta_{2,P_2} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{(63)} \begin{cases} \theta_{12} = \arccos\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{3,P_2} - \cos \theta_{1,P_2} \cos \theta_{2,P_2}}{\sin \theta_{1,P_2} \sin \theta_{2,P_2}}\right) \\ \theta_{24} = \arccos\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{2,P_2} - \cos \theta_{1,P_2} \cos \theta_{3,P_2}}{\sin \theta_{1,P_2} \sin \theta_{3,P_2}}\right) \end{cases} \\ (63) &\rightarrow \begin{cases} \theta_{3,P_1} = \arccos(\cos \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{1,P_1} \sin \theta_{2,P_1} + \cos \theta_{1,P_1} \cos \theta_{2,P_1}) \\ \theta_{14} = \arccos\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{2,P_2} - \cos \theta_{1,P_2} \cos \theta_{3,P_2}}{\sin \theta_{1,P_2} \sin \theta_{3,P_2}}\right) \end{cases} \\ (64) &\rightarrow \theta_{3,P_4} = \arccos\left(\frac{\cos \theta_{24} \sin \theta_{14} + \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{24} \cos \theta_{14}}{\sqrt{(\cos \theta_{24} \sin \theta_{14} + \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{24} \cos \theta_{14})^2 + \sin^2 \theta_{1,P_4} \sin^2 \theta_{24}}}\right) \\ (63) &\rightarrow \theta_{2,P_4} = \arccos(\cos \theta_{24} \sin \theta_{1,P_4} \sin \theta_{2,P_4} + \cos \theta_{1,P_4} \cos \theta_{2,P_4}) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \theta_{1,P_3} = 180^\circ - \theta_{3,P_1} - \theta_{2,P_4} \\ \theta_{3,P_3} = 180^\circ - \theta_{3,P_2} - \theta_{3,P_4} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (63), the remaining three dihedral angles $\theta_{13}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{34}$ can be derived similarly.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bank, R. E. and Rose, D. J.: *Some error estimates for the box method*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **24** (1987), 777-787
- [2] Cai, Z., Douglas, J. and Park, M.: *Development and analysis of higher order finite volume methods over rectangles for elliptic equations*, Adv. Comput. Math. **19** (2003), 3-33
- [3] Cai, Z.: *On the finite volume element method*, Numer. Math. **58** (1991), 713735
- [4] Cao, W., Zhang, Z. and Zou, Q.: *Superconvergence of any order finite volume schemes for 1D general elliptic equations*, J. Sci. Comput. **56** (2013), 566590

- [5] Carstensen, C., Dond, A. K., Nataraj, N. and Pani, A. K.: *Three first-order finite volume element methods for Stokes equations under minimal regularity assumptions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **56** (2018), 26482671
- [6] Chen, L.: *A new class of high order finite volume methods for second order elliptic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **47** (2010), 40214043
- [7] Chen, Z., Li, R. and Zhou, A.: *A note on the optimal L^2 -estimate of the finite volume element method*, Adv. Comput. Math. **16** (2002), 291303
- [8] Chen, Z., Wu, J. and Xu, Y.: *Higher-order finite volume methods for elliptic boundary value problems*, Adv. Comput. Math. **37** (2012), 191253
- [9] Chen, Z., Xu, Y. and Zhang, Y.: *A construction of higher-order finite volume methods*, Math. Comp. **84** (2015), 599628
- [10] Ewing, R. E., Lin, T. and Lin, Y.: *On the accuracy of the finite volume element method based on piecewise linear polynomials*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **39** (2002), 18651888
- [11] Eymard, R., Gallout, T. and Herbin, R.: *Finite volume methods*, Handb. Numer. Anal., VII, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000
- [12] Gao, F., Yuan, Y. and Yang, D.: *An upwind finite-volume element scheme and its maximum-principle-preserving property for nonlinear convection-diffusion problem*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Fluids **56** (2008), 23012320
- [13] Hackbusch, W.: *On first and second order box schemes*, Computing **41** (1989), 277296
- [14] He, W., Zhang, Z. and Zou, Q.: *Maximum-norms error estimates for high-order finite volume schemes over quadrilateral meshes*, Numer. Math. **138** (2018), 473500
- [15] Hong, Q. and Wu, J.: *Coercivity results of a modified Q_1 -finite volume element scheme for anisotropic diffusion problems*, Adv. Comput. Math. **44** (2018), 897922
- [16] Li, J., Chen, Z. and He, Y.: *A stabilized multi-level method for non-singular finite volume solutions of the stationary 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Numer. Math. **122** (2012), 279304
- [17] Li, R., Chen, Z. and Wu, W.: *Generalized difference methods for differential equations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **226**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000
- [18] Li, Y. and Li, R.: *Generalized difference methods on arbitrary quadrilateral networks*, J. Comput. Math. **17** (1999), 653672
- [19] Liebau, F.: *The finite volume element method with quadratic basis functions*, Computing **57** (1996), 281299
- [20] Lin, Y., Yang, M. and Zou, Q.: *L^2 error estimates for a class of any order finite volume schemes over quadrilateral meshes*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **53** (2015), 20092029
- [21] Liu, A. and Joe, B.: *Relationship between tetrahedron shape measures*, BIT **34** (1994), 268287
- [22] Lv, J. and Li, Y.: *L^2 error estimate of the finite volume element methods on quadrilateral meshes*, Adv. Comput. Math. **33** (2010), 129148
- [23] Lv, J. and Li, Y.: *Optimal biquadratic finite volume element methods on quadrilateral meshes*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **50** (2012), 23792399
- [24] Schmidt, T.: *Box schemes on quadrilateral meshes*, Computing **51** (1993), 271292
- [25] Sli, E.: *Convergence of finite volume schemes for Poisson's equation on nonuniform meshes*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **28** (1991), 14191430
- [26] Wang, X. and Li, Y.: *L^2 error estimates for high order finite volume methods on triangular meshes*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **54** (2016), 27292749
- [27] Wang, X., Lv, J. and Li, Y.: *New superconvergent structures developed from the finite volume element method in 1D*, Math. Comp. **90** (2021), 11791205
- [28] Xu, J. and Zou, Q.: *Analysis of linear and quadratic simplicial finite volume methods for elliptic equations*, Numer. Math. **111** (2009), 469492
- [29] Yang, M., Liu, J. and Zou, Q.: *Unified analysis of higher-order finite volume methods for parabolic problems on quadrilateral meshes*, IMA J. Numer. Anal. **36** (2016), 872896
- [30] Yang, M.: *Analysis of second order finite volume element methods for pseudo-parabolic equations in three spatial dimensions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **196** (2008), 94104
- [31] Yang, M.: *L^2 error estimation of a quadratic finite volume element method for pseudo-parabolic equations in three spatial dimensions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 72707278
- [32] Zhang, Z. and Zou, Q.: *A family of finite volume schemes of arbitrary order on rectangular meshes*, J. Sci. Comput. **58** (2014), 308330
- [33] Zhang, Z. and Zou, Q.: *Vertex-centered finite volume schemes of any order over quadrilateral meshes for elliptic boundary value problems*, Numer. Math. **130** (2015), 363393

- [34] Zhou, Y. and Wu, J.: *A family of quadratic finite volume element schemes over triangular meshes for elliptic equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **79** (2020), 24732491
- [35] Zhou, Y. and Wu, J.: *A unified analysis of a class of quadratic finite volume element schemes on triangular meshes*, Adv. Comput. Math. **46** (2020), Paper No. 71, 31
- [36] Zou, Q.: *An unconditionally stable quadratic finite volume scheme over triangular meshes for elliptic equations*, J. Sci. Comput. **70** (2017), 112124

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA.
Email address: 1443117432@qq.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA.
Email address: wxjldx@jlu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA.
Email address: yonghai@jlu.edu.cn