

One-loop matching for gluon lattice TMDs

Stella T. Schindler,^a Iain W. Stewart,^a and Yong Zhao^b

^a*Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

^b*Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA*

E-mail: stellas@mit.edu, iains@mit.edu, yong.zhao@anl.gov

ABSTRACT: Transverse-momentum-dependent parton distributions (TMDs) can be calculated from first principles by computing a related set of Euclidean lattice observables and connecting them via a factorization formula. This work focuses on the leading-power factorization formula connecting the lattice quasi-TMD and continuum Collins TMD for gluons. We calculate the one-loop gluon matching coefficient, which is known to be independent of spin and exhibits no mixing with quarks. We demonstrate that this coefficient satisfies Casimir scaling with respect to the quark matching coefficient at one-loop order. Our result facilitates reliable lattice QCD calculations of gluon TMDs.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Definitions of lattice and continuum TMDs	2
2	Calculation of one-loop gluon matching coefficient	5
3	Conclusion	11
A	Lightcone coordinate conventions	11
B	Feynman rules	11

1 Introduction

Protons are a fundamental building block of almost all the visible matter in the universe, yet over a century after their discovery, their internal dynamics still confound us. The behavior of quarks and gluons inside the proton are described by universal functions appearing in cross sections, such as parton distribution functions (PDFs), transverse-momentum-dependent PDFs (TMDs), and generalized parton distributions (GPDs). Various collider facilities, such as Jefferson Lab, CERN, DESY, and Fermilab, have provided initial data on these distributions, and many have dedicated plans to increase their precision. These efforts will be further bolstered by the planned Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) [1, 2] at Brookhaven National Lab. The imminence of high-precision data about parton distributions underscores the need for developing a corresponding first-principles understanding.

Parton distributions can be rigorously defined in quantum field theory. Unfortunately, they always contain important nonperturbative components that cannot be computed directly using lattice QCD, as they are typically described by matrix elements involving light-like Wilson line paths. Light-like observables depend on a real-valued time variable, which induces a lattice sign problem: a numerical difficulty suspected to be NP-hard in general. Absent direct analytical or numerical approaches, physicists have traditionally constructed models for such observables, which are then compared to experimental data using global fits. Alternatively, one can carry out an *indirect* first-principles calculation: one constructs a numerically-tractable lattice observable that shares the same IR physics as the target distribution, but may differ in the ultraviolet (UV). This lattice observable must then be perturbatively matched back onto the desired continuum distribution via a factorization formula.

Here, we focus on the continuum-to-lattice factorization program for TMDs, an important class of observables describing the three-dimensional momentum distribution of quarks and gluons inside a proton. TMDs appear in many cross sections, including semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering (SIDIS), the Drell-Yan process, weak boson production, and Higgs production. Nonperturbative effects for TMDs dominate at small transverse momenta

$k_T \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$. For $k_T \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, the dependence of the TMD on k_T can be computed perturbatively [3–13]; but there are still important nonperturbative contributions [14–17]. On the modeling front, high precision global fits with next-to-next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy on perturbative ingredients have been performed for the existing experimental data [18, 19]. On the lattice front, the calculation of TMD-like correlators was pioneered and developed over the course of a decade using the Musch-Hägler-Engelhardt-Negele-Schäfer (MHENS) scheme [20–25]. Later on, quasi-TMDs were developed for the lattice [26–35] using the framework of large momentum effective theory (LaMET) [36–38]. The leading-power factorization formula connecting quasi-TMDs to the continuum has been proposed in Refs. [28, 29, 31, 32], and recently derived to all orders in α_s in [39]. First lattice results have been presented for various components of quasi-TMDs: the beam function and Collins-Soper kernel [40–45], and soft function [43, 44].

At leading power, the quasi-TMD (lattice) to Collins TMD (continuum) factorization formula reads [39]:

$$\tilde{f}_{i/h}^{[s]}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, x\tilde{P}^z) = C_{\kappa_i}(x\tilde{P}^z, \mu) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\kappa_i}(\mu, b_T) \ln \frac{\tilde{\zeta}}{\zeta}\right] f_{i/h}^{[s]}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \zeta), \quad (1.1)$$

where f is a continuum TMD, \tilde{f} is a lattice TMD, C_{κ_i} is a perturbative matching coefficient, and the exponential term evolves the so-called Collins-Soper (CS) scale $\zeta \rightarrow \tilde{\zeta}$ using the CS kernel $\gamma_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\kappa_i}$. The other parameters include x , which is the fraction of the hadron h 's longitudinal momentum P that the parton of type i carries; the Fourier-conjugate to the parton's transverse momentum b_T ; and a renormalization scale μ . Here i refers to either a gluon ($i = g$) or specific quark flavor ($i = u, d, s, \dots$), where $\kappa_i = g$ is universal for all quarks, but differs from $\kappa_g = g$ for gluons. No quark-gluon or flavor mixing occurs in the factorization relation, which simplifies calculation of gluon TMDs from the lattice [39]. The definition of each of the TMDs in eq. (1.1) will be discussed in detail in section 1.1.

The quasi-to-Collins matching coefficient C_q is known for quarks at one loop [26, 28, 29], for logarithmic terms at two loops [32], and for all next-to-leading-logarithmic (NLL) terms [39]. A key missing ingredient is the matching coefficient for gluons. Gluons are known to be responsible for a large part of internal proton dynamics and which would be useful to understand from first principles. In this work, we calculate the one-loop gluon matching coefficient C_g .

1.1 Definitions of lattice and continuum TMDs

A TMD $f_{i/h}$ for gluon or quark flavor i generally is a product of three components: a beam function (hadronic matrix element), a soft function (vacuum matrix element), and their renormalization. The beam and soft matrix elements are defined in terms of Wilson lines:

$$W^R[\gamma] = P \exp\left[ig \int_{\gamma} dx^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^a(x) T_R^a\right], \quad (1.2)$$

where γ is the Wilson line's path and R is its color representation. Here we focus on gluons, taking $R = g$ for the adjoint representation. Beam functions involve staple-shaped Wilson




Figure 1: (a) Staple-shaped Wilson line defined in eq. (1.3). Edges may extend along the conjugate direction P , which is not shown. (b) Wilson line structure of the soft function, eq. (1.5) for $\eta, \bar{\eta} < 0$. Figure (a) is adapted from ref. [39] and (b) is adapted from ref. [48].

line paths as shown in figure 1,

$$W_{\sqsupset}^g(b, \eta v, \delta) = W^g \left[\frac{b}{2} \rightarrow \frac{b}{2} + \eta v - \frac{\delta}{2} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} + \eta v + \frac{\delta}{2} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

A generic notation for gluon beam functions is given by

$$\Omega_{g/h}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b, P, \epsilon, \eta v, \delta) = \left\langle h(P) \left| G^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{b}{2} \right) W_{\sqsupset}^g(b, \eta v, \delta) G^{\rho\sigma} \left(-\frac{b}{2} \right) \right| h(P) \right\rangle. \quad (1.4)$$

Here, $G^{\mu\nu}(b)$ is the gluon field strength tensor. Gluon fields are spatially separated by b , which is Fourier-conjugate to the struck parton's momentum, h denotes a parent hadron with momentum P , ϵ is the UV regulator, and ηv and δ characterize the Wilson line path. See refs. [46, 47] for the decomposition of gluon TMDs into independent spin structures.

The gluon soft function is defined as

$$S^g(b, \epsilon, \eta v, \bar{\eta} \bar{v}) = \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \left\langle 0 \left| \text{Tr} \left[S_{\gg}^g(b, \eta v, \bar{\eta} \bar{v}) \right] \right| 0 \right\rangle, \quad (1.5)$$

where the trace is over color, and the soft Wilson line is

$$S_{\gg}^g(b, \eta v, \bar{\eta} \bar{v}) = W^g \left[\frac{b}{2} \rightarrow \frac{b}{2} + \bar{\eta} \bar{v} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} + \bar{\eta} \bar{v} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} + \eta v \rightarrow \frac{b}{2} + \eta v \rightarrow \frac{b}{2} \right], \quad (1.6)$$

as shown in figure 1. The Wilson line S_{\gg} consists of two beam function staples glued together at the points $\pm b/2$; the long sides of the staples run along the $\bar{\eta} \bar{v}$ and ηv directions. Note that the figure shows the case where $b = \vec{b}_T$, and the points are translated to 0 and $-\vec{b}_T$.

We can now define off-lightcone gluon TMD schemes as:

$$f_{g/h}^{[s]}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, P, v, \bar{v}, \delta, \dots) = \lim_{\substack{\text{lightcone} \\ \& \text{UV limits}}} Z_{\text{UV}}^g(\epsilon, \mu, v, \bar{v}, \delta, \dots) \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega_{g/h}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b, P, \epsilon, \eta v, \delta)}{\sqrt{S^g(b, \epsilon, \eta v, \bar{\eta} \bar{v})}}, \quad (1.7)$$

where the ellipses and Z_{UV}^g account for a scheme-dependent renormalization. All off-lightcone TMD schemes can be characterized by different choices of the parameters b^μ ,

ηv^μ , P^μ , and δ^μ appearing in the beam and soft functions, as well as the precise nature and order of the lightcone and UV limits in eq. (1.7).

The remainder of section 1.1 specifies the order of limits and beam/soft function arguments that define three major TMD schemes: the continuum Collins TMD, the lattice quasi-TMD, and the continuum Large Rapidity (LR) TMD. We summarize this information in table 1, which also includes values taken on by the four-vectors b^μ , v^μ , δ^μ , P^μ .

Collins scheme [6]. The continuum Collins scheme uses spacelike Wilson lines with directions

$$\begin{aligned} n_A^\mu(y_A) &\equiv n_a^\mu - e^{-2y_A} n_b^\mu = (1, -e^{-2y_A}, 0_\perp), \\ n_B^\mu(y_B) &\equiv n_b^\mu - e^{2y_B} n_a^\mu = (-e^{2y_B}, 1, 0_\perp), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

parametrized by the rapidities y_A and y_B . (See appendix A for our lightcone coordinate conventions.) The Collins gluon TMD for a hadron h moving along n_a with rapidity y_P is

$$f_{g/h}^C(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \zeta) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\text{uv}}^g(\epsilon, \mu, \zeta) \lim_{y_B \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{B_{g/h}^{C\rho\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, \epsilon, y_P - y_B)}{\sqrt{S_C^g(b_T, \epsilon, 2y_n, 2y_B)}}, \quad (1.9)$$

where the beam function is defined as

$$B_{g/h}^{C\rho\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, \epsilon, y_P - y_B) = \int \frac{db^-}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ib^-(xP^+)}}{xP^+} \Omega_{g/h}^{+\rho+\sigma}[b, P, \epsilon, -\infty n_B(y_B), b^- n_b], \quad (1.10)$$

with $\Omega_{g/h}^{+\rho+\sigma} = (n_b)_\mu \Omega_{g/h}^{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} (n_b)_\nu$. The CS scale ζ is defined as

$$\zeta = 2(xP^+ e^{-y_n})^2 = x^2 m_h^2 e^{2(y_P - y_n)}. \quad (1.11)$$

Quasi-TMDs [26–35, 39]. The lattice quasi-TMD for gluons takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{i/h}^{\tilde{[\Gamma]}}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, x\tilde{P}^z) &= \lim_{\substack{\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow \infty \\ a \rightarrow 0}} Z_{\text{uv}}^{\prime g}(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) Z_{\text{uv}}^g(a, \tilde{\mu}, y_n - y_B) \frac{\tilde{B}_{g/h}^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, a, \tilde{\eta}, x\tilde{P}^z)}{\sqrt{\tilde{S}^g(b_T, a, \tilde{\eta}, 2y_n, 2y_B)}} \\ &= \lim_{\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_{g/h}^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \tilde{\eta}, x\tilde{P}^z)}{\sqrt{\tilde{S}^g(b_T, \mu, \tilde{\eta}, 2y_n, 2y_B)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where the bare quasi-beam function reads as

$$\tilde{B}_{g/h}^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, a, \tilde{\eta}, x\tilde{P}^z) = N^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma} \int \frac{d\tilde{b}^z}{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\tilde{b}^z(x\tilde{P}^z)}}{x\tilde{P}^z} \Omega_{g/h}^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma}[\tilde{b}, \tilde{P}, a, \tilde{\eta}\hat{z}, \tilde{b}^z\hat{z}]. \quad (1.13)$$

Here, α , β , ρ and σ are generic Lorentz indices, and $N^{\alpha\rho\beta\sigma}$ is a trivial normalization factor. The factor $Z_{\text{uv}}^g(a, \tilde{\mu}, y_n - y_B)$ renormalizes UV divergences from lattice regularization, and $Z_{\text{uv}}^{\prime g}(\mu, \tilde{\mu})$ matches the result to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. The second equality in eq. (1.12) holds for large \tilde{P}^z , and the variable $\tilde{\zeta}$ is

$$\tilde{\zeta} = (xm_h e^{y_{\tilde{P}} + y_B - y_n})^2 \approx (2x\tilde{P}^z e^{y_B - y_n})^2. \quad (1.14)$$

	Collins TMD (continuum)	Quasi-TMD (lattice)
TMD	$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\text{UV}}^{\kappa_i} \lim_{y_B \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\Omega_{i/h}}{\sqrt{S^{\kappa_i}}}$	$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} Z_{\text{UV}}^{\kappa_i} \frac{B_{i/h}}{\sqrt{\tilde{S}^{\kappa_i}}}$
Beam function	$\Omega_{i/h} [b, P, \epsilon, -\infty n_B(y_B), b^- n_b]$	$\Omega_{i/h}(\tilde{b}, \tilde{P}, a, \tilde{\eta} \tilde{z}, \tilde{b}^z \tilde{z})$
Soft function	$S^{\kappa_i} [b_\perp, \epsilon, -\infty n_A(y_A), -\infty n_B(y_B)]$	$S^{\kappa_i} \left[b_\perp, a, -\tilde{\eta} \frac{n_A(y_A)}{ n_A(y_A) }, -\tilde{\eta} \frac{n_A(y_A)}{ n_A(y_A) } \right]$
b^μ	$(0, b^-, b_\perp)$	$(0, b_T^x, b_T^y, \tilde{b}^z)$
v^μ	$(-e^{2y_B}, 1, 0_\perp)$	$(0, 0, 0, -1)$
δ^μ	$(0, b^-, 0_\perp)$	$(0, 0, 0, \tilde{b}^z)$
P^μ	$\frac{m_h}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{y_P}, e^{-y_P}, 0_\perp)$	$m_h(\cosh y_{\tilde{P}}, 0, 0, \sinh y_{\tilde{P}})$

Table 1: Definition of the Collins and quasi-TMDs for quarks and gluons. The Large Rapidity and Collins TMDs are identical, except for the order of the limits and expression for $Z_{\text{UV}}^{\kappa_i}$ in the first row.

Large Rapidity (LR) scheme To facilitate deriving the factorization relation between the quasi- and Collins TMDs, Ref. [39] introduced the Large Rapidity (LR) scheme,

$$f_{g/h}^{\text{LR}}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \zeta, y_P - y_B) = \lim_{-y_B \gg 1} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\text{uv}}^{\text{LR}}(\epsilon, \mu, y_n - y_B) \frac{\tilde{B}_{g/h}^{C\rho\sigma}(x, \vec{b}_T, \epsilon, y_P - y_B)}{\sqrt{S_C^g(b_T, \epsilon, 2y_n, 2y_B)}}, \quad (1.15)$$

which differs from the Collins scheme only by its order of $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ limits.

Using Lorentz invariance and the large \tilde{P}^z expansion ($-y_B \gg 1$), one can demonstrate that when $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta$ or $y_{\tilde{P}} = y_P - y_B$, the quasi- and LR TMDs are equivalent at leading order under a large rapidity expansion [39], i.e.,

$$\tilde{f}_{g/h}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, x \tilde{P}^z) = f_{g/h}^{\text{LR}}(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, y_P - y_B) + \mathcal{O}(y_B^k e^{y_B}), \quad (1.16)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(y_B^k e^{y_B})$ are exponentially suppressed contributions.

2 Calculation of one-loop gluon matching coefficient

We now compute the one-loop quasi-to-Collins matching coefficient C_g for gluons. To do so, we actually carry out a simpler equivalent matching calculation: between the LR and Collins schemes. In the course of proving the quasi-to-Collins factorization formula in eq. (1.1), ref. [39] showed that the quasi-to-Collins and LR-to-Collins matching coefficients are identical.

Let us compute the matrix element of the Collins beam function for a free external gluon state with momentum $p^\mu = (p^+, 0, 0_\perp)$, transverse polarization vectors ϵ_\perp^α and ϵ_\perp^β , as

well as color indices a and b . We work in Feynman gauge and dimensional regularization with space-time dimension $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$. As we are interested in the leading power gluon TMDs, the Lorentz indices ρ, σ are both transverse, and for both the LR and Collins schemes $\mu = \nu = +$. In our calculation we leave the choice of ρ and σ unspecified, to make clear that our result applies to all spin-dependent gluon TMDs.

At tree-level, we must compute the diagrams in [figure 2a](#), which give

$$\Omega_{g/g}^{+\rho+\sigma(0)}(b^\mu) = \delta^{ab}(p^+)^2 \left[g^{\rho\beta} g^{\sigma\alpha} e^{ip \cdot b} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta, p \rightarrow -p) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where the second term in the square bracket comes from the exchange symmetry of bosonic particles. Taking a Fourier transform $b^z \rightarrow xp^z$, the tree-level gluon beam function becomes

$$xB_{g/g}^{C\rho\sigma(0)}(x, \vec{b}_T) = \delta^{ab} \left[g^{\rho\beta} g^{\sigma\alpha} \delta(1-x) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta, x \rightarrow -x) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where we moved the normalization factor $1/x$ to the left hand side for clarity. We emphasize that to find the matching coefficient, we need only examine terms proportional to $\delta(1-x)$ since the factorization relation does not involve a convolution in x .

At one-loop order, the Feynman diagrams that contribute to the beam function are shown in [figure 2](#). From Ref. [39], we know that only diagrams containing a rapidity divergence contribute to the matching, significantly simplifying our task. Only figures [2c](#) and [2e](#) could exhibit rapidity divergences, as the other diagrams have Lorentz-covariant loop integrals, which remain unaffected by the large rapidity limit $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ (except for the transformation of tensor structures). Using the Feynman rules in [appendix B](#), we express figures [2c](#) and [2e](#) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{g/g,c}^{+\rho+\sigma(1)}(b^\mu) &= (n_b)_\mu (n_b)_\nu \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (-ik^\sigma g^{\nu\delta} + ik^\nu g^{\sigma\delta}) \delta^{fd} e^{ik \cdot \frac{b}{2}} (ip^\rho g^{\mu\beta} - ip^\mu g^{\rho\beta}) \delta^{bc} e^{ip \cdot \frac{b}{2}} \\ &\quad \times (gf^{fea}) \left[g^{\delta\gamma} (p-2k)^\alpha + g^{\gamma\alpha} (k-2p)^\delta + g^{\alpha\delta} (p+k)^\gamma \right] \\ &\quad \times gf^{edc} \int ds \gamma'_\gamma(s) e^{-i(p-k) \cdot \gamma(s)} \frac{i}{k^2 + i0} \frac{i}{(p-k)^2 + i0} \\ &+ (n_b)_\mu (n_b)_\nu \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (ik^\rho g^{\mu\delta} - ik^\mu g^{\rho\delta}) \delta^{fc} e^{ik \cdot \frac{b}{2}} (-ip^\sigma g^{\nu\alpha} + ip^\nu g^{\sigma\alpha}) \delta^{ad} e^{ip \cdot \frac{b}{2}} \\ &\quad \times (gf^{bef}) \left[g^{\delta\gamma} (p-2k)^\beta + g^{\gamma\beta} (k-2p)^\delta + g^{\beta\delta} (p+k)^\gamma \right] \\ &\quad \times gf^{edc} \int ds \gamma'_\gamma(s) e^{i(p-k) \cdot \gamma(s)} \frac{i}{k^2 + i0} \frac{i}{(p-k)^2 + i0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{g/g,e}^{+\rho+\sigma(1)}(b^\mu) &= (n_b)_\mu (n_b)_\nu \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (gf^{dae}) (g^{\nu\alpha} g^{\sigma\gamma} - g^{\nu\gamma} g^{\sigma\alpha}) e^{i(p-k) \cdot \frac{b}{2}} \\ &\quad \times (ip^\rho g^{\mu\beta} - ip^\mu g^{\rho\beta}) \delta^{bc} e^{ip \cdot \frac{b}{2}} gf^{ecd} \int ds \gamma'_\gamma(s) e^{-ik \cdot \gamma(s)} \frac{i}{k^2 + i0} \\ &+ (n_b)_\mu (n_b)_\nu \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (gf^{ceb}) (g^{\mu\gamma} g^{\rho\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} g^{\rho\gamma}) e^{-i(k-p) \cdot \frac{b}{2}} \\ &\quad \times (-ip^\sigma g^{\nu\alpha} + ip^\nu g^{\sigma\alpha}) \delta^{ad} e^{ip \cdot \frac{b}{2}} gf^{ecd} \int ds \gamma'_\gamma(s) e^{ik \cdot \gamma(s)} \frac{i}{k^2 + i0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$




Figure 2: The tree-level (a) and one-loop level diagrams contributing to gluon quasi-TMD in a free gluon state. Since the external gluons are transversely polarized, they cannot be attached to the Wilson line. While depicted in these diagrams as a straight line, the beam function Wilson line actually traverses a staple-shaped path, as shown in figure 1a.

where the Wilson line takes a staple-shaped path:

$$\gamma(s) : \frac{b}{2} \rightarrow \frac{b}{2} - \frac{b^- n_b}{2} - \infty n_B \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2} + \frac{b^- n_b}{2} - \infty n_B \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2}, \quad (2.5)$$

and we have suppressed the contributions from diagrams with external gluon lines exchanged ($\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta, p \rightarrow -p$) for conciseness. We also use a coupling in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, which introduced the factors $\iota^\epsilon = (e^{\gamma_E}/(4\pi))^\epsilon$.

Simplifying the above,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{g/g,c}^{+\rho+\sigma(1)} &= -2ig^2 C_A p^{+2} g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^+(e^{ik\cdot b} - e^{ip\cdot b})}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) - i\delta} \right] \\ &\quad + 2ig^2 C_A e^{2y_B} p^+ \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ik\cdot b} - e^{ip\cdot b}}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left[\frac{k^\alpha k^\sigma g^{\beta\rho}}{n_B \cdot (p-k) + i\delta} + \frac{k^\beta k^\rho g^{\alpha\sigma}}{n_B \cdot (p-k) - i\delta} \right] \\ &\quad - 2ig^2 C_A p^+ g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^+}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left(e^{ik\cdot b} - e^{ip\cdot b} \right), \quad (2.6) \\ \Omega_{g/g,e}^{+\rho+\sigma(1)} &= ig^2 C_A e^{2y_B} p^+ g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2} \left(e^{-ik\cdot b} - 1 \right) e^{ip\cdot b} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot k + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot k - i\delta} \right],\end{aligned}$$

where we obtain the Wilson line propagators $[n_B \cdot (p-k) \pm i\delta]^{-1}$ by integrating over the path parameter s . Note that in the LR and Collins scheme that the staple Wilson line has infinite extent. We introduce the infinitesimal imaginary part $\pm i\delta$ to ensure that the path integral contribution from $s = -\infty$ properly vanishes in Feynman gauge.

In the $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ limit, the rapidity divergence is in the first line of eq. (2.6). The second line is suppressed by a factor of $e^{2y_B} k_T^2/(p^+)^2$ compared to the first line, as $k_T = |k_\perp| \ll k^+, p^+$ in the power counting, and $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \sigma = \perp$. The last line in eq. (2.6) is Lorentz covariant and so is not affected by $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$; it thus does not contribute to the matching.

After taking a Fourier transform $b^- \rightarrow xp^+$, the first line of eq. (2.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}x B_{g/g,c}^{C\rho\sigma(1)}(x, \vec{b}_T, \epsilon, y_P - y_B) \quad (2.7) \\ = -2ig^2 C_A p^+ g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^+ e^{-i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T}}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) - i\delta} \right] \\ \quad \times [\delta(k^+ - xp^+) - \delta(p^+ - xp^+)] \\ - 2ig^2 C_A g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \delta(1-x) \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k^+(e^{-i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1)}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot (p-k) - i\delta} \right].\end{aligned}$$

The first term of eq. (2.7) is a plus function in x ; it is unaffected by the $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ limit as long as $x \neq 1$. The second term contains the contribution to the matching coefficient. Likewise, after a Fourier transform $b^- \rightarrow xp^+$, the second line of eq. (2.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}x B_{g/g,e}^{C\rho\sigma(1)}(x, \vec{b}_T, \epsilon, y_P - y_B) \quad (2.8) \\ = ig^2 C_A e^{2y_B} g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot k + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot k - i\delta} \right] \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \\ \quad \times [\delta(k^+ - (1-x)p^+) - \delta(p^+ - xp^+)] \\ + ig^2 C_A e^{2y_B} g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho} \frac{\delta(1-x)}{p^+} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2} \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot k + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot k - i\delta} \right] \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right).\end{aligned}$$

The first term is again a plus function. The second term vanishes under parity transforms $k^\pm \rightarrow -k^\pm$. Therefore, the diagrams in figure 2e do not contribute to the matching.

All that remains to compute is the term proportional to $\delta(1-x)$ in eq. (2.7). After a change of variables $k \rightarrow p-k$, the next step is to evaluate the integral

$$I = \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{p^+ - k^+}{k^2(p-k)^2} \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{n_B \cdot k + i\delta} + \frac{1}{n_B \cdot k - i\delta} \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

To simplify the integral we can make a change of variable $k^- \rightarrow e^{-y_B} k^-$ and $k^+ \rightarrow e^{y_B} k^+$ which corresponds to a boost to equal-time with $k^z = (k^+ - k^-)/\sqrt{2}$, and yields

$$I = \frac{\iota^\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{p'^+ - k^+}{(k^2 + i0)[(p' - k)^2 + i0]} \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{k^z - i\delta} + \frac{1}{k^z + i\delta} \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where $p'^\mu = (p^+ e^{-y_B}, 0, 0_\perp)$. Note that the result involves a principal value integral for k^z ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k^z + i\delta} + \frac{1}{k^z - i\delta} \right) = \text{PV} \left(\frac{1}{k^z} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

We must evaluate the integral in eq. (2.10) with two different orders of limits.

Taking $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ before $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We first perform a contour integration in the complex k^0 plane, then we integrate over k^z to obtain:

$$I = \frac{i}{4} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^{d-2} k_T}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \left[\left(\frac{2}{k_T^2} + \frac{1}{p_z'^2} \right) \frac{\ln \left(\frac{k_T^2 + 2\sqrt{k_T^2 + p_z'^2} + 2p_z'^2}{k_T^2} \right)}{\sqrt{1 + k_T^2/p_z'^2}} - \frac{4}{k_T^2} \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

Here $p_z'^2 = p^{+2} e^{-2y_B}/2$. The integrand diverges in the $p'^z \rightarrow \infty$ or $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ limit, so by taking the limit first we carrying out the power expansion in p'^z before integrating over k_\perp , and keeping the $\ln(p'^z)$ singularity, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} I_{y_B \rightarrow -\infty} &= \frac{i}{4\pi} \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^{d-2} k_T}{(2\pi)^{d-2}} \frac{1}{k_T^2} \left[-2 + \ln \frac{4p_z'^2}{k_T^2} \right] \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right), \quad (2.13) \\ &= \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2 + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left(\frac{b_T^2 \mu^2}{b_0^2} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{b_T^2 \mu^2}{b_0^2} \right) \left(2 + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0 = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$, and the $1/\epsilon^2$ and $1/\epsilon$ poles are UV divergences. In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme we carry out the renormalization by simply subtracting all the $1/\epsilon$ poles.

Taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ before $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$. Here, we can directly integrate:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} &= \frac{\iota^\epsilon}{2} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2p'^z - k^0}{(k^2 + i0)[(p' - k)^2 + i0]} \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{k^z - i\delta} + \frac{1}{k^z + i\delta} \right] \\ &\quad - \iota^\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(k^2 + i0)[(p' - k)^2 + i0]} \left(e^{i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{(4\pi^2)} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{(4p'^z b_T)^2}{b_0^2} + \ln \frac{(4p'^z b_T)^2}{b_0} + \ln \left(\frac{b_T^2 \mu^2}{b_0^2} \right) - 2 \right]. \quad (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

Again the $1/\epsilon$ pole is a UV divergence, and is subtracted for the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized result.

Finally we note that the one-loop Collins soft function is the same for quarks and gluons, so can be obtained from the quark result [29] with the replacement of $C_F \rightarrow C_A$,

$$S_C^{g,(1)}(b_T, \epsilon, y_B - y_n) = \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{2\pi} t^\epsilon \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)}{4^\epsilon} (b_T^2 \mu^2)^\epsilon \left[2(y_n - y_B) \frac{1 + e^{2(y_B - y_n)}}{1 - e^{2(y_B - y_n)}} - 2 \right]. \quad (2.15)$$

This result is not affected by the order of $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $y_B \rightarrow -\infty$ limits, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ y_B \rightarrow -\infty}} S_C^{g,(1)}(b_T, \epsilon, y_B - y_n) = \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{b_T^2 \mu^2}{b_0^2} \right) [2 - 2(y_n - y_B)]. \quad (2.16)$$

Therefore this result cancels out for the matching between the LR and Collins schemes.

Matching coefficient. The difference between the renormalized LR and Collins scheme results in eqs. (2.13) and (2.14) gives

$$I_{y_B \rightarrow -\infty}^{\text{ren}} - I_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}^{\text{ren}} = \frac{i}{(4\pi^2)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} + 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right], \quad (2.17)$$

which is purely of ultraviolet origin, and hence is perturbative at a scale $\mu^2 \sim 4p_z'^2$. Thus the one-loop matching coefficient between the LR and Collins TMD is given by

$$C_g(p_z', \mu) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) C_A}{4\pi} \left[\ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} + 2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{4p_z'^2} + 4 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that here $4p_z'^2 = 2(p^+)^2 e^{-2y_B}$. For a hadron with momentum P , we must replace $p^+ \rightarrow xP^+$, so that

$$4p_z'^2 \longrightarrow 2(xP^+)^2 e^{-2y_B} \approx 4x^2 m_h^2 \sinh^2(y_P - y_B) = \zeta_{\text{LR}} \quad (2.19)$$

in the limit of $-y_B \gg 1$. Therefore, identifying $\zeta_{\text{LR}} = (2x\tilde{P}^z)^2$, we find that the final gluon matching coefficient for the quasi-TMD is:

$$C_g(x\tilde{P}^z, \mu) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{4\pi} \left[\ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{(2x\tilde{P}^z)^2} + 2 \ln \frac{\mu^2}{(2x\tilde{P}^z)^2} + 4 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

Interestingly this result is identical to the quark result C_q [29], up to changing Casimirs from $C_F \rightarrow C_A$. This occurs because the entire contribution to the matching comes from the part of the Wilson line diagrams associated with the rapidity divergence. The well-known (generalized) Casimir scaling of the cusp anomalous dimension and CS kernel have been validated to four-loop order [49–54]. The fact that the full one-loop matching and cusp-anomalous dimension obey Casimir scaling, implies that the full tower of next-to-leading-logarithms for C_g will obey Casimir scaling as well [39]. This hints at a relationship for quasi-TMD matching coefficients at higher orders.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we calculated the one-loop gluon matching coefficient C_g in the factorization formula relating quasi and Collins TMDs, marking an important step towards calculating gluon TMDs from lattice QCD. As the matching coefficient is independent of spin structure and there is no quark-gluon mixing, our result enables lattice calculation of all eight leading-twist gluon TMDs. Given the encouraging first results for quark TMDs, it is reasonable to expect that lattice QCD will provide highly useful nonperturbative input for gluon TMDs and their Collins-Soper evolution, neither of which has yet been extracted from experiments.

Acknowledgments

This work is dedicated to Markus Ebert who is now in a better place. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, from DE-SC0011090, DE-AC02-06CH11357 and within the framework of the TMD Topical Collaboration. I.S. was also supported in part by the Simons Foundation through the Investigator grant 327942. S.T.S. was partially supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation through a Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1745302. Y.Z. is partially supported by an LDRD initiative at Argonne National Laboratory under Project No. 2020-0020.

A Lightcone coordinate conventions

We work in a frame where the hadron momentum P is close to the lightlike unit vectors

$$n_a^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, 1), \quad n_b^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, -1), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which obey $n_a^2 = n_b^2 = 0$ and $n_a \cdot n_b = 1$. We can decompose a four-vector p^μ is

$$p^\mu = (p^+, p^-, p_\perp) = p^+ n_a^\mu + p^- n_b^\mu + p_\perp^\mu, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $p^\pm = (p^0 \pm p^z)/\sqrt{2}$ and $p_\perp^\mu = (0, p^x, p^y, 0) = (0, \vec{p}_T, 0)$. Here p_\perp^μ is a Minkowski vector, and \vec{p}_T is the corresponding Euclidean vector with magnitude $p_T \equiv (\vec{p}_T^2)^{1/2} = (-p_\perp^2)^{1/2}$.

B Feynman rules

The gluon field strength tensor is defined as $igG_a^{\mu\nu}\tau^a = [D^\mu, D^\nu]$, where $iD^\mu = i\partial^\mu + gA_a^\mu\tau^a$ and τ^a is the SU(3) generator in the fundamental representation. The Feynman rules for the local, three-gluon, and gluon-Wilson line vertices are:

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k} \\ \text{-----} \otimes G_a^{\mu\nu}(b) \\ \alpha, b \end{array} = (-ik^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} + ik^\nu g^{\mu\alpha})\delta^{ab} e^{-ik \cdot b},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k} \quad \xleftarrow{l} \\ \text{-----} \\ \alpha, b \quad G_a^{\mu\nu}(b) \quad \beta, c \end{array} = gf^{abc} \left[g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha} \right] e^{-i(k+l)\cdot b}, \\
& \begin{array}{c} \beta, b \\ \nearrow \\ \text{-----} \\ a \quad \xrightarrow{k_1} \\ \alpha \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{-----} \\ \searrow \\ \beta, b \\ \nearrow \\ \text{-----} \\ k_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{-----} \\ \searrow \\ \gamma \\ \nearrow \\ \text{-----} \\ k_3 \\ c \end{array} = gf^{abc} \left[g^{\alpha\beta} (k_1 - k_2)^\gamma g^{\beta\gamma} (k_2 - k_3)^\alpha + g^{\gamma\alpha} (k_3 - k_1)^\beta \right], \\
& \begin{array}{c} \mu, a \\ \text{-----} \\ \text{-----} \\ b \quad \text{-----} \quad c \\ \downarrow \\ \text{-----} \\ k \end{array} = gf^{abc} \gamma'(s)_\mu e^{-ik\cdot\gamma(s)}. \tag{B.1}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] A. Accardi et al., *Electron Ion Collider: The Next QCD Frontier: Understanding the glue that binds us all*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **52** (2016) 268 [[1212.1701](#)].
- [2] R. Abdul Khalek et al., *Science Requirements and Detector Concepts for the Electron-Ion Collider: EIC Yellow Report*, [2103.05419](#).
- [3] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, *Back-To-Back Jets: Fourier Transform from B to K-Transverse*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **197** (1982) 446.
- [4] S. M. Aybat and T. C. Rogers, *TMD Parton Distribution and Fragmentation Functions with QCD Evolution*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 114042 [[1101.5057](#)].
- [5] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera and M. Grazzini, *Vector boson production at hadron colliders: hard-collinear coefficients at the NNLO*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2195 [[1209.0158](#)].
- [6] J. Collins, *Foundations of perturbative QCD*, *Cambridge monographs on particle physics, nuclear physics, and cosmology* **32** (2011) 1.
- [7] T. Gehrmann, T. Luebbert and L. L. Yang, *Calculation of the transverse parton distribution functions at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 155 [[1403.6451](#)].
- [8] M. G. Echevarria, I. Scimemi and A. Vladimirov, *Unpolarized Transverse Momentum Dependent Parton Distribution and Fragmentation Functions at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 004 [[1604.07869](#)].
- [9] T. Luebbert, J. Oredsson and M. Stahlhofen, *Rapidity renormalized TMD soft and beam functions at two loops*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 168 [[1602.01829](#)].
- [10] M.-X. Luo, X. Wang, X. Xu, L. L. Yang, T.-Z. Yang and H. X. Zhu, *Transverse Parton Distribution and Fragmentation Functions at NNLO: the Quark Case*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 083 [[1908.03831](#)].
- [11] M.-x. Luo, T.-Z. Yang, H. X. Zhu and Y. J. Zhu, *Quark Transverse Parton Distribution at the Next-to-Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 092001 [[1912.05778](#)].

- [12] M.-x. Luo, T.-Z. Yang, H. X. Zhu and Y. J. Zhu, *Unpolarized quark and gluon TMD PDFs and FFs at N^3LO* , *JHEP* **06** (2021) 115 [[2012.03256](#)].
- [13] M. A. Ebert, B. Mistlberger and G. Vita, *Transverse momentum dependent PDFs at N^3LO* , *JHEP* **09** (2020) 146 [[2006.05329](#)].
- [14] A. Bacchetta, F. Delcarro, C. Pisano, M. Radici and A. Signori, *Extraction of partonic transverse momentum distributions from semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering, Drell-Yan and Z-boson production*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 081 [[1703.10157](#)].
- [15] I. Scimemi and A. Vladimirov, *Systematic analysis of double-scale evolution*, *JHEP* **08** (2018) 003 [[1803.11089](#)].
- [16] G. Lusterians, J. K. L. Michel, F. J. Tackmann and W. J. Waalewijn, *Joint two-dimensional resummation in q_T and 0-jettiness at NNLL*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 124 [[1901.03331](#)].
- [17] M. A. Ebert, J. K. L. Michel, I. W. Stewart and Z. Sun, *Disentangling Long and Short Distances in Momentum-Space TMDs*, [2201.07237](#).
- [18] I. Scimemi and A. Vladimirov, *Non-perturbative structure of semi-inclusive deep-inelastic and Drell-Yan scattering at small transverse momentum*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 137 [[1912.06532](#)].
- [19] A. Bacchetta, V. Bertone, C. Bissolotti, G. Bozzi, F. Delcarro, F. Piacenza et al., *Transverse-momentum-dependent parton distributions up to N^3LL from Drell-Yan data*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 117 [[1912.07550](#)].
- [20] P. Hagler, B. U. Musch, J. W. Negele and A. Schafer, *Intrinsic quark transverse momentum in the nucleon from lattice QCD*, *EPL* **88** (2009) 61001 [[0908.1283](#)].
- [21] B. U. Musch, P. Hagler, J. W. Negele and A. Schafer, *Exploring quark transverse momentum distributions with lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 094507 [[1011.1213](#)].
- [22] B. U. Musch, P. Hagler, M. Engelhardt, J. W. Negele and A. Schafer, *Sivers and Boer-Mulders observables from lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 094510 [[1111.4249](#)].
- [23] M. Engelhardt, P. Hägler, B. Musch, J. Negele and A. Schäfer, *Lattice QCD study of the Boer-Mulders effect in a pion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 054501 [[1506.07826](#)].
- [24] B. Yoon, T. Bhattacharya, M. Engelhardt, J. Green, R. Gupta, P. Hägler et al., *Lattice QCD calculations of nucleon transverse momentum-dependent parton distributions using clover and domain wall fermions*, in *33rd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory*, SISSA, 11, 2015, [1601.05717](#).
- [25] B. Yoon, M. Engelhardt, R. Gupta, T. Bhattacharya, J. R. Green, B. U. Musch et al., *Nucleon Transverse Momentum-dependent Parton Distributions in Lattice QCD: Renormalization Patterns and Discretization Effects*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 094508 [[1706.03406](#)].
- [26] X. Ji, P. Sun, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, *Soft factor subtraction and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions on the lattice*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 074009 [[1405.7640](#)].
- [27] X. Ji, L.-C. Jin, F. Yuan, J.-H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Transverse momentum dependent parton quasidistributions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 114006 [[1801.05930](#)].
- [28] M. A. Ebert, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, *Determining the Nonperturbative Collins-Soper Kernel From Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 034505 [[1811.00026](#)].
- [29] M. A. Ebert, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, *Towards Quasi-Transverse Momentum Dependent PDFs Computable on the Lattice*, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 037 [[1901.03685](#)].

- [30] M. A. Ebert, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, *Renormalization and Matching for the Collins-Soper Kernel from Lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 099 [[1910.08569](#)].
- [31] X. Ji, Y. Liu and Y.-S. Liu, *TMD soft function from large-momentum effective theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **955** (2020) 115054 [[1910.11415](#)].
- [32] X. Ji, Y. Liu and Y.-S. Liu, *Transverse-momentum-dependent parton distribution functions from large-momentum effective theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **811** (2020) 135946 [[1911.03840](#)].
- [33] M. A. Ebert, S. T. Schindler, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, *One-loop Matching for Spin-Dependent Quasi-TMDs*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 099 [[2004.14831](#)].
- [34] X. Ji, Y. Liu, A. Schäfer and F. Yuan, *Single Transverse-Spin Asymmetry and Sivers Function in Large Momentum Effective Theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 074005 [[2011.13397](#)].
- [35] X. Ji and Y. Liu, *Computing light-front wave functions without light-front quantization: A large-momentum effective theory approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 076014 [[2106.05310](#)].
- [36] X. Ji, *Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 262002 [[1305.1539](#)].
- [37] X. Ji, *Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory*, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **57** (2014) 1407 [[1404.6680](#)].
- [38] X. Ji, Y.-S. Liu, Y. Liu, J.-H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Large-momentum effective theory*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93** (2021) 035005 [[2004.03543](#)].
- [39] M. A. Ebert, S. T. Schindler, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, *Factorization connecting continuum & lattice TMDs*, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 178 [[2201.08401](#)].
- [40] P. Shanahan, M. L. Wagman and Y. Zhao, *Nonperturbative renormalization of staple-shaped Wilson line operators in lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 074505 [[1911.00800](#)].
- [41] P. Shanahan, M. Wagman and Y. Zhao, *Collins-Soper kernel for TMD evolution from lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 014511 [[2003.06063](#)].
- [42] M. Schlemmer, A. Vladimirov, C. Zimmermann, M. Engelhardt and A. Schäfer, *Determination of the Collins-Soper Kernel from Lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 004 [[2103.16991](#)].
- [43] LATTICE PARTON collaboration, Q.-A. Zhang et al., *Lattice QCD Calculations of Transverse-Momentum-Dependent Soft Function through Large-Momentum Effective Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 192001 [[2005.14572](#)].
- [44] Y. Li et al., *Lattice QCD Study of Transverse-Momentum Dependent Soft Function*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 062002 [[2106.13027](#)].
- [45] P. Shanahan, M. Wagman and Y. Zhao, *Lattice QCD calculation of the Collins-Soper kernel from quasi-TMDPDFs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 114502 [[2107.11930](#)].
- [46] P. J. Mulders and J. Rodrigues, *Transverse momentum dependence in gluon distribution and fragmentation functions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 094021 [[hep-ph/0009343](#)].
- [47] M. G. Echevarria, T. Kasemets, P. J. Mulders and C. Pisano, *QCD evolution of (un)polarized gluon TMDPDFs and the Higgs q_T -distribution*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 158 [[1502.05354](#)].
- [48] Y. Li, D. Neill and H. X. Zhu, *An exponential regulator for rapidity divergences*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **960** (2020) 115193 [[1604.00392](#)].

- [49] G. P. Korchemsky and A. V. Radyushkin, *Renormalization of the Wilson Loops Beyond the Leading Order*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **283** (1987) 342.
- [50] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, *The Three loop splitting functions in QCD: The Nonsinglet case*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **688** (2004) 101 [[hep-ph/0403192](#)].
- [51] J. M. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky and B. Mistlberger, *The full four-loop cusp anomalous dimension in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills and QCD*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 018 [[1911.10174](#)].
- [52] A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R. M. Schabinger, *Cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions in four-loop QCD from form factors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 162001 [[2002.04617](#)].
- [53] I. Moulton, H. X. Zhu and Y. J. Zhu, *The Four Loop QCD Rapidity Anomalous Dimension*, [2205.02249](#).
- [54] C. Duhr, B. Mistlberger and G. Vita, *The Four-Loop Rapidity Anomalous Dimension and Event Shapes to Fourth Logarithmic Order*, [2205.02242](#).