

SQUARE ROOTS OF NEARLY PLANAR GRAPHS

ZDENĚK DVORÁK, ABHIRUK LAHIRI, AND BENJAMIN MOORE

ABSTRACT. We prove that it is NP-hard to decide whether a graph is the square of a 6-apex graph. This shows that the square root problem is not tractable for squares of sparse graphs (or even graphs from proper minor-closed classes).

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a graph G , the *square* of G , denoted G^2 , is the graph where $V(G^2) = V(G)$, and $uv \in E(G^2)$ if and only if the distance between u and v in G is at most 2. For a graph G , a *square root* of G is a graph H such that $H^2 = G$. Note that a graph may have many possible square roots, for example, K_5 has both C_5 and $K_{1,4}$ as square roots.

Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed class of graphs. The problem we are interested in is:

\mathcal{H} -square-root

Instance: A graph G .

Question: Is there a square root H of G such that $H \in \mathcal{H}$?

There are some classes for which \mathcal{H} -square-root is known to be in P. For instance, if \mathcal{H} is the class of bipartite graphs [6], outerplanar graphs [5], proper interval graphs [7] or the class of graphs with girth at least 6 [3], then \mathcal{H} -square-root is in P. Further, it is possible to compute some cut vertices of square roots given the square, [1], so the \mathcal{H} problem is in P for classes of graphs such as trees, cacti, and block graphs. On the other hand, the problem is known to be NP-complete when \mathcal{H} is the class of all graphs [8] (despite a characterization of when a graph has a square root [9]), graphs with girth at least 5 [2], chordal graphs [7] and split graphs [7].

Observing that the hard graph classes above are relatively dense, it has been asked multiple times if the \mathcal{H} -square-root problem is in P for sparse graph classes (for instance, see [5]). We show here that the answer is no. Recall that a graph G is *k-apex* if there exists a set of at most k vertices such that the deletion of these vertices results in a planar graph. Using a mild tweak on the reduction in [7] (showing the hardness of finding chordal square roots), we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *The \mathcal{H} -square-root problem is NP-complete for the class \mathcal{H} of 6-apex graphs.*

Actually, we prove the following slightly stronger result, showing the hardness of the following version of the problem.

Promise- \mathcal{H} square-root

Supported by the ERC-CZ project LL2005 (Algorithms and complexity within and beyond bounded expansion) of the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic.

Instance: Graph G that either has no square root, or has a square root belonging to \mathcal{H} .

Question: Does G have a square root?

The difference here is that we are allowed not to deal with the graphs that have a square root, but no square root belonging to \mathcal{H} (whereas \mathcal{H} -square-root has to answer NO for such instances).

Theorem 1.2. *The promise- \mathcal{H} square-root problem is NP-complete for the class \mathcal{H} of 6-apex graphs.*

Clearly, Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1. Let us remark that the class of 6-apex graph is minor-closed. As such, it lies at the bottom of the bounded-expansion hierarchy of sparse graph classes [10]. Still, it is natural to ask whether the apex vertices are indeed necessary, or whether already the planar square-root problem is hard. We believe the latter is the case.

Conjecture 1.3. *The \mathcal{H} -square-root problem is NP-complete for the class \mathcal{H} of planar graphs.*

2. SET SPLITTING PRELIMINARIES

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we first show a variant of set splitting is NP-complete. Let S be a set and \mathcal{C} a collection of subsets of S . The *incidence graph* of S and \mathcal{C} is the bipartite graph I with bipartition (A, B) such that for every element $e \in S$, we have a vertex $x_e \in A$, and for every set $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we have a vertex $v_c \in B$, and $x_e v_c \in E(I)$ if and only if $e \in c$. We are interested in the following problem:

Three parts planar set splitting

Instance: A set S , a collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of S where all subsets have at least three elements, and such that the incidence graph of S and \mathcal{C} is planar.

Question: Is there a partition of S into three sets S_1, S_2, S_3 such that for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an element of c in S_i for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$?

We first prove this problem is NP-complete by a reduction from reducing planar 3-colouring, which is well-known to be NP-complete [4].

Observation 2.1. The three parts planar set splitting problem is NP-complete.

Proof. Let G be an instance of planar 3-colouring. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by taking every edge $e = xy$ and adding a new vertex z_{xy} adjacent to both x and y . Observe that G' is planar, and that G' is 3-colourable if and only if G is 3-colourable. Further note that for any new vertex z_{xy} , in any 3-colouring of G' , all three colours appear on the triangle x, y, z_{xy} .

Now let S be the set of vertices of G' , and let \mathcal{C} be the collection of triangles which contain a vertex in $V(G') - V(G)$. Notice that the incidence graph of S and \mathcal{C} is planar, since for any vertex in $V(G') - V(G)$, this vertex corresponds to a vertex of degree one in the incidence graph, and after removing all such vertices, we simply end up with a graph that is a subdivision of G . We claim that G' (and hence G) has a 3-colouring if and only

if there is a partition of S into three sets S_1, S_2, S_3 such that for each set $c \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an element of c which belongs to S_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

To see this, suppose G' has a 3-colouring f . Let S_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the set of vertices which get colour i in f . This is a partition of S , and for any triangle T containing a vertex in $V(G') - V(G)$, f colours the triangle with three distinct colours, hence we get a solution to the three parts planar set splitting problem. Conversely, if S_1, S_2, S_3 is a partition of S such that for any set $c \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an element of c in each of S_1, S_2, S_3 , then simply colour the vertices of G' with colour i if the vertex lies in S_i . Since every edge of G' is contained in a triangle belonging to \mathcal{C} , this is a proper 3-colouring of G' , completing the reduction. \square

3. THE REDUCTION

Before jumping into the reduction, we first recall the “tail” structure which is frequently used in square root complexity results (see, for example, [2, 7, 8]).

Let G be any graph. Let $N_G(v)$ denote the set of neighbors a vertex v in G . An X -tail at a vertex $v \in V(G)$ consists of three vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V(G)$ such that $N_G(v) \neq \{v_2, v_3\}$, $N_G(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3\}$, $N_G(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3, v\}$, and $N_G(v_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v\} \cup X$, where X is a subset of $N_G(v) \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$. The following observation is well known and illustrates why tails are useful:

Observation 3.1 ([8]). If G is a graph and v_1, v_2, v_3 is an X -tail at v , then for any square root H of G , we have that $N_H(v_1) = \{v_2\}$, $N_H(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3\}$, $N_H(v_3) = \{v, v_2\}$, and $N_H(v) = \{v_3\} \cup X$.

Now we give the reduction from the three part planar set splitting problem, which we have shown to be NP-complete in the previous section.

Let S, \mathcal{C} be an instance of the three part planar set splitting problem, with $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$. We construct a graph G with the following vertex set:

- A vertex x_s for each element $s \in S$,
- For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we add a vertex x_c , as well as three additional vertices x_c^1, x_c^2, x_c^3 ,
- Vertices $a_i, b_i, b_i^1, b_i^2, b_i^3$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

And edge set:

- (i) For any two distinct elements $s, s' \in S$, we add the edge $x_s x_{s'}$.
- (ii) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and any element $s \in S$, we add the edges $a_i x_s$ and $b_i x_s$.
- (iii) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and for any set $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we add the edges $a_i x_c$ and $b_i x_c$.
- (iv) For any two distinct sets $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, if $c_1 \cap c_2 \neq \emptyset$ then we add the edge $x_{c_1} x_{c_2}$.
- (v) For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, add edges $x_c^1 x_c^2, x_c^1 x_c^3, x_c^2 x_c^3, x_c^2 x_c, x_c^3 x_c$. Further, for each element $s \in c$, add the edges $x_c^3 x_s$ and $x_c x_s$. Hence, x_c^1, x_c^2, x_c^3 is an $\{x_s : s \in c\}$ -tail at x_c .
- (vi) For $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we add the edges $a_i b_j$, and the edges $b_i b_j$ if $i < j$.
- (vii) For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we add the edges $b_i^1 b_i^2, b_i^1 b_i^3, b_i^2 b_i^3, b_i^2 b_i, b_i^3 b_i$, for every element $s \in S$, add the edge $b_i^3 x_s$, and add the edge $b_i^3 a_i$. Hence, b_i^1, b_i^2, b_i^3 is an $(\{x_s : s \in S\} \cup \{a_i\})$ -tail at b_i .

We claim that G has a square root H if and only if S, \mathcal{C} is a YES-instance of the three part planar set splitting problem, and if it has one, H is 6-apex. We collect some basic observations about any square root of G (if it exists).

Observation 3.2. Let H be a square root of G . For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, there is $s \in c$ such that $x_s a_i \in E(H)$.

Proof. Because of the tail at x_c , Observation 3.1 implies that $N_H(x_c) = \{x_c^3\} \cup \{x_s : s \in c\}$ and that x_c^3 has no neighbors outside of the tail. Since G has the edge $x_c a_i$, x_c must be at distance two from a_i , and this implies that there is an edge $x_s a_i$ for some $s \in c$. \square

Observation 3.3. Let H be a square root of G . For any element $s \in S$, the vertex x_s is adjacent to at most one of a_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ in H .

Proof. Since $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is an independent set in G , no two of these vertices can have a common neighbor in H . \square

Combining the previous two observations, we obtain one implication of the reduction.

Corollary 3.4. If G has a square root, then S, \mathcal{C} is a YES-instance of the three part planar set splitting problem.

Proof. Suppose that H is a square root of G . For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $S'_i = \{s \in S : x_s a_i \in E(H)\}$. By Observation 3.3, these sets are pairwise disjoint. Hence, $S_1 = S'_1$, $S_2 = S'_2$, and $S_3 = S \setminus (S'_1 \cup S'_2)$ is a partition of S with $S'_3 \subseteq S_3$. Moreover, by Observation 3.2, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have $c \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$. \square

We now need to show the converse.

Observation 3.5. If S, \mathcal{C} is a YES-instance of the three planar set splitting problem, then G has a 6-apex square root.

Proof. Let S_1, S_2, S_3 be a partition of S such that for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that there is an element $s_{c,i} \in c \cap S_i$. Let us also choose $s_{c,4} \in c$ arbitrarily. Let H be the graph with $V(H) = V(G)$ and with the following edges:

- The edges forced by the tails, that is, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the edges $x_c^1 x_c^2$, $x_c^2 x_c^3$, $x_c^3 x_c$, and $x_c x_s$ for each $s \in c$; and for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the edges $b_i^1 b_i^2$, $b_i^2 b_i^3$, $b_i^3 b_i$, $b_i x_s$ for each $s \in S$ and $b_i a_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- The edges $x_s a_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $s \in S_i$.

Note that $H - \{a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ is planar, as it is obtained from the incidence graph of S, \mathcal{C} by adding pendant paths corresponding to the tails. Hence, H is 6-apex.

Now, H^2 contains the edges (i), since x_s and $x_{s'}$ are both adjacent to b_1 in H . It contains the edges (ii), since $x_s b_i$, $a_i b_i \in E(H)$. It contains the edges (iii), since $a_i x_{s_{c,i}}$, $b_i x_{s_{c,i}}$, $x_{s_{c,i}} x_c \in E(H)$. It contains the edges (iv), since if $s \in c_1 \cap c_2$, then $x_{c_1} x_s$, $x_{c_2} x_s \in E(H)$. It contains the edges (v), since these follow from the path $x_c^1 x_c^2 x_c^3 x_c$ and the edges $x_c x_s$ for $s \in c$. It contains the edges (vi), since for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $a_i x_{s_{c,i}}$, $b_j x_{s_{c,i}}$, $b_i x_{s_{c,i}} \in E(H)$. Finally, it contains the edges (vii), since these follow from the path $b_i^1 b_i^2 b_i^3 b_i$ and the edges $b_i a_i$ and $b_i x_s$ for $s \in S$. Therefore, $G \subseteq H^2$.

To see that $G = H^2$, it suffices to show that the neighborhood of each vertex of H induces a clique in G . For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

- $N_H(a_i) = S_i \cup \{b_i\}$ is a clique in G covered by the edges (i) and (ii);
- $N_H(b_i) = S \cup \{a_i, b_i^3\}$ is a clique in G covered by the edges (i), (ii), and (vii);
- for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $N_H(b_i^j)$ is a clique in G of size at most two covered by the edges (vii);
- for $s \in S_i$, $N_H(x_s) = \{x_c : c \in \mathcal{C}, s \in c\} \cup \{a_i, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ is a clique in G covered by the edges (iii), (iv), and (vi).

Moreover, for $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $N_H(x_c) = \{x_s : s \in c\} \cup \{x_c^3\}$ is a clique in G covered by the edges (i) and (v), and for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $N_H(x_c^j)$ is clique in G of size at most two covered by the edges (v). \square

We can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Clearly, promise- \mathcal{H} square-root problem is in NP, since we can guess a square root H and easily check if $H^2 = G$.

Given an instance S, \mathcal{C} of the three planar set splitting problem, we create the graph G as described at the beginning of the section; clearly, this can be done in polynomial time. By Corollary 3.4, if S, \mathcal{C} is a NO-instance, then G has no square root; and by Observation 3.5, if it is a YES-instance, then G has a 6-apex square root. Hence, this is a polynomial-time reduction from the three planar set splitting problem to the promise- \mathcal{H} square-root problem, showing the NP-completeness of the latter. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Guillaume Ducoffe. Finding cut-vertices in the square roots of a graph. In Hans L. Bodlaender and Gerhard J. Woeginger, editors, *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, pages 234–248, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing.
- [2] Babak Farzad and Majid Karimi. Square-root finding problem in graphs, A complete dichotomy theorem. *CoRR*, abs/1210.7684, 2012.
- [3] Babek Farzad, Lap-chi Lau, Bang Van Le, and Ngoc Nguyen Tuy. Complexity of finding graph roots with girth conditions. *Algorithmica*, 62:38–53, 2012.
- [4] Michael Garey and David Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*. WH Freeman & Co. New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [5] Petr A. Golovach, Pinar Hegghernes, Dieter Kratsch, Paloma T. Lima, and Daniël Paulusma. Algorithms for outerplanar graph roots and graph roots of pathwidth at most 2. *Algorithmica*, 81(7):2795–2828, jul 2019.
- [6] Lap Chi Lau. Bipartite roots of graphs. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 2(2):178–208, apr 2006.
- [7] Lap Chi Lau and Derek G. Corneil. Recognizing powers of proper interval, split, and chordal graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 18(1):83–102, 2004.
- [8] Rajeev Motwani and Madhu Sudan. Computing roots of graphs is hard. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 54(1):81–88, 1994.

- [9] A. Mukhopadhyay. The square root of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 2:290–295, 1967.
- [10] J. Nešetřil and P. Ossona de Mendez. *Sparsity (Graphs, Structures, and Algorithms)*, volume 28 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*. Springer, 2012.

COMPUTER SCIENCE INSTITUTE, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: {rakdver,lahiri,brmoore}@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz