

# Improving Item Cold-start Recommendation via Model-agnostic Conditional Variational Autoencoder

Xu Zhao  
Tencent News  
Beijing, China  
xuzzzhao@tencent.com

Yi Ren  
Tencent News  
Beijing, China  
henrybjren@tencent.com

Ying Du  
Tencent News  
Beijing, China  
yingdu@tencent.com

Shenzheng Zhang  
Tencent News  
Beijing, China  
qjzcyzhang@tencent.com

Nian Wang  
Tencent News  
Beijing, China  
noreenwang@tencent.com

## ABSTRACT

Embedding & MLP has become a paradigm for modern large-scale recommendation system. However, this paradigm suffers from the cold-start problem which will seriously compromise the ecological health of recommendation systems. This paper attempts to tackle the item cold-start problem by generating enhanced warmed-up ID embeddings for cold items with historical data and limited interaction records. From the aspect of industrial practice, we mainly focus on the following three points of item cold-start: 1) How to conduct cold-start without additional data requirements and make strategy easy to be deployed in online recommendation scenarios. 2) How to leverage both historical records and constantly emerging interaction data of new items. 3) How to model the relationship between item ID and side information stably from interaction data. To address these problems, we propose a model-agnostic Conditional Variational Autoencoder based Recommendation (CVAR) framework with some advantages including compatibility on various backbones, no extra requirements for data, utilization of both historical data and recent emerging interactions. CVAR uses latent variables to learn a distribution over item side information and generates desirable item ID embeddings using a conditional decoder. The proposed method is evaluated by extensive offline experiments on public datasets and online A/B tests on Tencent News recommendation platform, which further illustrate the advantages and robustness of CVAR.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems → Recommender systems.

## KEYWORDS

Cold-Start Recommendation, Conditional Variational Autoencoder, Item ID embedding

## ACM Reference Format:

Xu Zhao, Yi Ren, Ying Du, Shenzheng Zhang, and Nian Wang. 2022. Improving Item Cold-start Recommendation via Model-agnostic Conditional Variational Autoencoder. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '22)*, July 11–15, 2022, Madrid, Spain. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3477495.3531902>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In the era of mobile internet, various online applications continuously emerge and explosively grow, in which recommendation systems play a key role in connecting users and content. Due to the excellent scalability and convenience of handling massive features, Embedding & MLP [4, 12, 13, 33] has become a paradigm for modern large-scale recommendation systems [5, 6, 23, 54, 55]. However, this paradigm is data demanding and suffers from cold-start problem [9, 17, 34]. Concretely, for a large amount of new emerging items with limited interactions, their embeddings are insufficiently trained which leads to poor recommendation performance. The cold-start problem has become a crucial obstacle for online recommendation. Under the influence of Pareto [31] effect in our industrial system, A small portion of well-trained items tend to obtain more accurate recommendations and more impressions, which will further compromise the distributing efficiency of system.

Some approaches have been proposed to address the item cold-start challenge. CLCRec [46] proposes to address cold-start problem by maximizing two kinds of mutual information using contrastive learning technology. Heater [58] uses the sum squared error (SSE) loss to model the collaborative embedding. Notice that CLCRec and Heater are only designed for the CF-based backbone models. There are also some model-agnostic methods that could be widely equipped to various backbones. DropoutNet [39] applies dropout technology to relieve the model's dependency on item ID. Meta Embedding [26] focuses on learning how to learn the ID embedding for new items with meta learning technology. MWUF [57] proposes meta Scaling and meta Shifting Networks to warm up cold ID embeddings. Although these methods are model-agnostic, they all have extra and strict requirements on data. For instance, DropoutNet and MWUF require the interacted user set of items for cold-start, while Meta Embedding requires building two mini-batches containing the same items for training. In the online scenario of industrial recommendation, these extra requirements on data stream make the deployment process rather difficult.


Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

SIGIR '22, July 11–15, 2022, Madrid, Spain

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8732-3/22/07...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3477495.3531902>



**Figure 1: The proposed model-agnostic CVAR Framework, where Backbone Model can be any CTR prediction model with embedding layer.**

Generally, there are two ways to solve the cold-start problem: the first is to mine the distribution patterns hidden in historical data [26, 43, 49, 53, 57], such as learning the transformation relationship between side information and item ID [26, 46, 57, 58]. The second is to improve the learning efficiency with limited samples of cold items, such as methods based on meta learning [19, 22, 25, 48]. However, previous works rarely consider both directions at the same time. In other words, methods in the first category only focus on the initialization of embedding, while the methods in the second category usually ignore patterns hidden in historical data.

Considering the issues mentioned above in previous research along with our industrial practice, we summarize three key points for the design of cold-start method: 1) How to conduct cold-start without additional data requirements and make strategy easy to be deployed in online recommendation scenario. 2) How the cold-start method can leverage both historical records and constantly emerging interaction data of new items. 3) How to model the relationship between item ID and side information stably from interaction data and minimize the discrepancy between cold item ID embedding and fully trained embedding space.

To achieve these desiderata, we propose a Conditional Variational Autoencoder based model-agnostic Recommendation (CVAR) framework. As an independent framework, CVAR could be equipped on various backbone models and be trained in an end-to-end way, using the same samples as backbone. Thus CVAR makes no redundant requirements for training data. In addition to giving desirable initialization in the cold-start phase, CVAR will leverage the continuously updating item ID embeddings from the backbone to generate enhanced warmed-up embeddings with superior quality. Therefore CVAR can fulfill the second concern mentioned above.

As for the third problem mentioned before, CVAR aligns the representation of item ID and side information in the latent space of Conditional Variational AutoEncoder (CVAE) [36, 40, 50], which is shown in Figure 1. Specifically, previous works [26, 57, 58] usually train a learnable mapping from item side information to item ID.

However, item ID contains not only content information, but also lots of interaction information which makes it difficult to learn a precise mapping directly. Inspired by Denoise Autoencoder [38], we first conduct dimension reduction of item ID embedding with Encoder-Decoder paradigm and get the denoised representation of item ID in a latent space. Then the side information is transformed to the latent space and aligned with the denoised representation of item ID. Corresponding with the design in CVAE, latent representation is defined as normal distribution which could maintain some Exploit-Exposure ability for CVAR.

The main contributions of this work are summarized into four folds:

- (1) We propose a model-agnostic CVAR to warm up cold item ID embeddings. CVAR has no extra data requirements which makes it easy to be deployed in online scenario.
- (2) CVAR not only learns the pattern in historical data but also leverages the continuously updating item ID embedding from the backbone to generate enhanced warmed-up embeddings with superior quality.
- (3) We propose to model the relationship between item id and side information in the latent space and generate desirable ID embeddings using a conditional decoder.
- (4) Extensive offline and online experiments are conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness and compatibility of CVAR.

## 2 PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we propose CVAR, a model-agnostic framework to warm up ID embeddings for new items. CVAR is designed based on the Click-Through-Rate (CTR) prediction task [10, 32], predicting the click/watch/purchase behavior in recommendation scenario, which is usually formulated as a supervised binary classification task. Each sample in CTR task consists of multiple input features  $x$  and the binary label  $y$ . Generally, the input features  $x$  could be splitted into three parts, i.e.  $x = (i, X)$ .

- $i$ , item ID, a unique number or string to identify each item in recommendation system.
- $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{|X|}\}$ , features which are used for CTR predict, may be categorical or continuous, such as the user attributes and contextual information.

Moreover, We take part of features from  $X$  as item side information  $I \subset X$ , which will be consumed in item cold-start procedure. Standard feature preprocessing [10, 32] has been applied in this work. Continuous features are normalized to range between 0 and 1. Following the embedding technology [18, 24], categorical features are transformed to dense vectors, called embeddings. Normalized values of continuous features and dense embeddings of categorical features are concatenated together to constitute the final representation of input features. We denote the representations of  $i, I, X$  as  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $v_I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times |I|}$  and  $v_X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times |X|}$  respectively. Note that  $v_I$  and  $v_X$  are the concatenation of multiple feature embeddings.

The CTR target is to approximate the probability  $\hat{y} = Pr(y = 1|x)$  by a discriminative function  $f(\cdot)$ :

$$\hat{y} = f(v_i, v_X; \theta) \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the parameters of the backbone model  $f(\cdot)$ . Then the Binary Cross Entropy [7] is used to format the loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi) = -y \log \hat{y} - (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y}) \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi$  denotes the parameters of the embedding layer, including  $v_i$ ,  $v_I$  and  $v_X$ . As the parameters is trained by historical data, the item ID embeddings of recent emerging items are rarely updated and stay around the initial point, leading to a low testing accuracy. It is known as the item cold-start problem.

As the relationship between cold-start and warm-up in recommendation system is confusing, we will give a brief discussion here. Generally speaking, cold-start strategies are applied to items which first appear in the system, while warm-up strategies are applied to items whose exposure numbers are lower than a threshold. Note that this threshold is inconsistent across different systems. In other words, warm-up is a subsequent procedure of cold-start. In this work, We conduct cold-start and warm-up in a unified framework CVAR.

The structure of CVAR is shown in Figure 1. The basic design of CVAR is generating a better embedding for item ID and replace the original unsufficiently trained embedding. The Item ID in Figure 1 denotes the original item ID embedding  $v_i$  trained by backbone model, while the Warm Item ID denotes the enhanced warmed-up embedding  $\hat{v}_i$  generated by CVAR. Instead of directly learning a transformation from  $v_I$  to  $v_i$ , CVAR aligns the representations transformed from  $v_i$  and  $v_I$  in the autoencoder’s latent space [8, 44, 47]. Following the design of CVAE [36], the autoencoder applied to  $v_i$  is formulated as:

$$\mu, \sigma = g_{enc}(v_i; w_{enc}); \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad (3)$$

$$z \sim \mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma); \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}, \text{diag}(\Sigma) = \sigma \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{v}_i = g_{dec}(z, x_{freq}; w_{dec}); \hat{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (5)$$

where  $g_{enc}$  and  $g_{dec}$  correspond to the Regular Encoder and Decoder in Figure 1,  $w_{enc}$  and  $w_{dec}$  denote the parameters of  $g_{enc}$  and  $g_{dec}$ ,  $k$  denotes the dimension of latent space. Notice that as shown in Equation (3) the latent representation is defined as a multivariate normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma)$  with mean  $\mu$  and diagonal covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  whose trace is  $\sigma$ . In Equation (4), latent representation  $z$  is sampled from  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma)$  using the reparameterization trick [28]. Decoder  $g_{dec}$  takes  $z$  along with conditional frequency  $x_{freq}$  as input and reconstructs item ID embedding as  $\hat{v}_i$ . Since the item frequency information has a direct impact on the distribution of ID embedding and CVAR is operated in the entire warm-up phase, unlike traditional CVAE design [36, 40, 50], we only filter  $x_{freq}$  from the full side information as the condition of decoder to emphasize its impact.

Reconstruction Loss between  $v_i$  and  $\hat{v}_i$  is formulated by Euclidean distance:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec}(w_{enc}, w_{dec}) = \|v_i - \hat{v}_i\|_2^2 \quad (6)$$

Notice that rarely updated  $v_i$  of almost cold items in Equation (6) may mislead the training procedure. However, with  $x_{freq}$  as condition to  $g_{dec}$ , the generated embedding will be restricted to a reasonable space according to  $x_{freq}$  which could relieve this misleading effect. Thus we do not deal with this case separately. Besides, in inference stage, we set  $x_{freq}$  as a huge value which will help to produce warm ID embeddings.

Through the autoencoder structure described above, we obtain the information-compressed latent distribution  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma)$  of  $v_i$ . Aligning embeddings or distributions in autoencoder’s latent space is

a generally used technology which has been proven effective in various fields [8]. Thus we consider mapping the side information embedding  $v_I$  into the same latent space and aligning with  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Specifically, Prior Encoder  $g'_{enc}$  maps  $v_I$  to  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu', \Sigma')$  and a wasserstein loss [27, 35] function is applied to aligning distribution  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma)$  with  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mu', \Sigma')$ :

$$\mu', \sigma' = g'_{enc}(v_I; w'_{enc}); \mu' \in \mathbb{R}^k, \sigma' \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad (7)$$

$$z' \sim \mathcal{N}'_i(\mu', \Sigma'); \Sigma' \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}, \text{trace}(\Sigma') = \sigma' \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{v}'_i = g_{dec}(z', x_{freq}; w_{dec}); \hat{v}'_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_w(w_{enc}, w'_{enc}) = W_2(\mathcal{N}_i(\mu, \Sigma), \mathcal{N}'_i(\mu', \Sigma')) \quad (10)$$

where  $w'_{enc}$  denotes the parameters of  $g'_{enc}$ ,  $W_2(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Wasserstein Distance. Instead of KL Divergence [2] or other distribution measurement, we choose Wasserstein Distance considering its symmetry and stability which is widely used in various scenarios [20, 45, 51, 52].

We will give an additional discussion about the design of  $x_{freq}$ . Since that it’s not feasible to extract frequency information from side information by  $g'_{enc}$  in item cold start scenario, the latent space ideally should contain no frequency information. However, the frequency information is already utilized in the origin item ID embedding  $v_i$  and automatically compressed to the latent space under the Encoder-Decoder framework. Thus we set  $x_{freq}$  as the a independent condition of decoder to reduce the proportion of frequency information in latent space.

In addition to  $\mathcal{L}_{rec}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_w$ , we replace  $v_i$  in Equation (1) with enhanced warmed-up  $\hat{v}'_i$  as item ID input to backbone and get the CTR loss  $\mathcal{L}_{ctr}$  by forward computation:

$$\hat{y}_{warm} = f(\hat{v}'_i, v_X; \theta) \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ctr}(w_{enc}, w_{dec}) = -y \log \hat{y}_{warm} - (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y}_{warm}) \quad (12)$$

To avoid disturbing the recommendation of hot items, CVAR is taken as an independent module with backbone. During the training of CVAR, optimization of  $\mathcal{L}_{ctr}$  in Equation (12) is only applied to  $w_{enc}$  and  $w_{dec}$ , while the parameters  $\theta$  of  $f(\cdot)$  are fixed. We finally get the loss function to train CVAR:

$$\mathcal{L}_{warm}(w_{enc}, w_{dec}, w'_{enc}) = \mathcal{L}_{ctr} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \beta \mathcal{L}_w \quad (13)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are hyperparameters to fuse the losses. Moreover, training of CVAR is along with the backbone’s training. As shown in Equation (13), CVAR will be trained by optimizing  $w_{enc}$ ,  $w_{dec}$ ,  $w'_{enc}$  to minimize  $\mathcal{L}_{warm}$ , using the same samples as training backbone, without any additional requirements on data. For a coming batch of samples, it’s first fed to backbone to update the original item ID embedding  $v_i$ , then used to train CVAR and update  $w_{enc}$ ,  $w_{dec}$ ,  $w'_{enc}$ . Updated  $v_i$  is also consumed in the training of CVAR at each step. Thus we claim that CVAR not only learns the pattern in historical data but also uses the information of update at each step to relieve the cold-start issue.

In inference phase of recent emerging items, their item ID embeddings  $v_i$  are not well tained. Therefore we obtain  $z'$  by sampling from  $\mathcal{N}'_i$  which is generated by item side information and get enhanced warmed-up ID item  $\hat{v}'_i$  by passing  $z'$  to  $g_{dec}$  as Equation (7) and (8) shown. Then we could replace  $v_i$  with  $\hat{v}'_i$  for testing of recent emerging items. As marked in Figure 1, Equation (4) and (5) are operated in training of CVAR, while Equation (8) and (9) play a

**Table 1: Model Comparison of cold-start effectiveness on two datasets(Movielens 1M and Taobao Ad), under two backbones(DeepFM and Wide&Deep), three runs for each. The best improvements are highlighted in bold.**

Methods	Cold phase		Warm-a phase		Warm-b phase		Warm-c phase	
	AUC	F1	AUC	F1	AUC	F1	AUC	F1
<b>Dataset: Movielens 1M &amp; Backbone: DeepFM</b>								
DeepFM	0.7267	0.6231	0.7424	0.6383	0.7574	0.6503	0.7694	0.6608
DropoutNet	0.7387	0.6339	0.7491	0.6441	0.7587	0.6531	0.7673	0.6599
Meta-E	0.7327	0.6344	0.7441	0.6432	0.7544	0.6519	0.7633	0.6592
MWUF	0.7316	0.6289	0.7462	0.6413	0.7589	0.6521	0.7701	0.6616
CVAR (Init Only)	0.7401	0.6353	0.7518	0.6454	0.7624	0.6547	0.7717	0.6622
CVAR	<b>0.7419</b>	<b>0.6356</b>	<b>0.7927</b>	<b>0.6789</b>	<b>0.8021</b>	<b>0.6856</b>	<b>0.8041</b>	<b>0.6878</b>
<b>Dataset: Movielens 1M &amp; Backbone: Wide&amp;Deep</b>								
Wide&Deep	0.7071	0.5972	0.7232	0.6164	0.7354	0.6273	0.7461	0.6372
DropoutNet	<b>0.7125</b>	<b>0.6038</b>	0.7228	0.6159	0.7313	0.6244	0.7390	0.6314
Meta-E	0.6727	0.5287	0.7201	0.6120	0.7345	0.6280	0.7450	0.6374
MWUF	0.7063	0.5966	0.7230	0.6157	0.7355	0.6275	0.7459	0.6366
CVAR (Init Only)	0.7020	0.5795	0.7255	0.6160	0.7375	0.6293	0.7473	0.6380
CVAR	0.6937	0.5643	<b>0.7627</b>	<b>0.6525</b>	<b>0.7756</b>	<b>0.6639</b>	<b>0.7840</b>	<b>0.6712</b>
<b>Dataset: Taobao Ad &amp; Backbone: DeepFM</b>								
DeepFM	0.5983	0.1350	0.6097	0.1378	0.6207	0.1401	0.6311	0.1438
DropoutNet	<b>0.5989</b>	<b>0.1352</b>	0.6098	0.1374	0.6203	0.1396	0.6302	0.1435
Meta-E	0.5982	0.1346	0.6093	0.1377	0.6195	0.1400	0.6294	0.1428
MWUF	0.5986	0.1348	0.6082	0.1374	0.6184	0.1399	0.6279	0.1429
CVAR (Init Only)	0.5987	0.1350	0.6098	0.1376	0.6204	0.1398	0.6306	0.1432
CVAR	0.5978	0.1347	<b>0.6198</b>	<b>0.1408</b>	<b>0.6308</b>	<b>0.1477</b>	<b>0.6380</b>	<b>0.1503</b>
<b>Dataset: Taobao Ad &amp; Backbone: Wide&amp;Deep</b>								
Wide&Deep	0.6081	0.1360	0.6129	0.1427	0.6207	0.1455	0.6287	0.1484
DropoutNet	<b>0.6095</b>	0.1359	0.6184	0.1427	0.6246	0.1454	0.6312	0.1474
Meta-E	0.6082	0.1378	0.6122	0.1443	0.6190	0.1477	0.6259	0.1506
MWUF	0.6089	<b>0.1382</b>	0.6125	0.1423	0.6210	0.1457	0.6285	0.1483
CVAR (Init Only)	0.6027	0.1359	0.6065	0.1429	0.6163	0.1471	0.6232	0.1496
CVAR	0.6051	0.1368	<b>0.6220</b>	<b>0.1457</b>	<b>0.6290</b>	<b>0.1495</b>	<b>0.6336</b>	<b>0.1511</b>

role for recent emerging items in testing phase. For a single item, besides just searching for a better initialization of ID embedding, this replacement operation will continue until  $v_i$  is fully trained.

### 3 EXPERIMENTS

#### 3.1 Offline Experiments

**3.1.1 Experiment Setup.** In this section, we will introduce the offline experiment setup.

**Public Datasets.** For offline experiments, We evaluate CVAR on the following two public datasets MovieLens-1M<sup>1</sup> and Taobao Ad<sup>2</sup>.

- MovieLens-1M: One of the most well-known recommendation benchmark dataset. The data consists of 1 million movie ranking instances over thousands of movies and users. Features of a movie include its title, year of release, and genres which are seen as item side information. Titles and genres are lists of tokens. Each user has features including the user’s ID, age, gender and occupation.
- Taobao Display Ad Click: It randomly samples 1140000 users from 26 million ad display / click records on Taobao<sup>3</sup> website to construct the dataset. We take each Ad as a item for CTR prediction, with 4 categorical attributes as side information, including category ID, campaign ID, brand ID, advertiser ID. Each user has features including Micro group ID, cms\_group\_id, gender, age, consumption grade, shopping depth, occupation and city level.

**Dataset Split.** To demonstrate the recommendation performance in both cold-start and warm-up phases, we conduct the experiments

<sup>1</sup><http://www.grouplens.org/datasets/movielens>

<sup>2</sup><https://tianchi.aliyun.com/dataset/dataDetail?dataId=56>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.taobao.com>

**Table 2: CVAR performance(AUC) with different  $x_{freq}$  on Movielens1M and Wide&Deep, three runs for each. The best improvements are highlighted in bold.**

$x_{freq}$	Cold	Warm-a	Warm-b	Warm-c
0.01	0.6936	0.7627	0.7756	0.7839
0.1	0.6939	0.7629	0.7756	0.7837
0.25	0.6946	0.7627	0.7754	0.7842
0.5	0.6956	0.7638	0.7754	0.7844
1.0	<b>0.6973</b>	<b>0.7649</b>	<b>0.7757</b>	<b>0.7845</b>

by splitting the datasets following [26] and [57]. We divide items into two groups, old and new based on their frequency, where items with more than  $N$  labeled instances are old and others are new. We use  $N$  of 200 and 2000 for Movielens-1M and Taobao Ad data. Note that the ratio of new items to old items is approximately 8:2, which is similar to the definition of long-tail items [3]. Besides, new item instances sorted by timestamp are divided into four groups denoted as warm-a, -b, -c, and test set following [26] and [57].

**Backbones and Baselines.** Because CVAR is model-agnostic, it can be applied to various existing models in the Embedding & MLP paradigm. Thus we conduct experiments upon the following representative backbones: FM [30], DeepFM [12], Wide&Deep [4], DCN [42], IPNN [29], OPNN [29]. Meanwhile we choose some State-Of-The-Art(SOTA) methods for the item cold-start problem as baselines: DropoutNet [39], Meta embedding(Meta-E) [26], MWUF [57]. We reproduce each baseline based on open source code or their publications if the code is unavailable. We open all of the related source code on Github<sup>4</sup>.

**Implementation Details.** For a fair comparison, we use the same setting for all methods. The MLPs in backbones and cold-start modules use the same structure with two dense layers (hidden units 16). The embedding size of each feature is fixed to 16. Learning rate and mini-batch size are set to 0.001 and 2048 respectively. At the inference stage,  $x_{freq}$  of new emerging items is set to the largest item frequency in the corresponding dataset to generate warmed-up embeddings. Training is done with Adam [15] optimizer over shuffled samples. In experiments, we firstly use old item instances to pretrain the backbone model as well as the cold-start module and evaluate on the test set(Initialization phase). Then we in turn feed warm-a, -b, -c data to train the backbone or CVAR and evaluate models on the test set step by step. We take the AUC score [21] and the F1 score [14] as evaluation metrics.

**3.1.2 Experimental Results.** We compare the cold-start effectiveness of CVAR with backbone and three SOTA cold-start baselines including DropoutNet, Meta-E, MWUF. Meanwhile, we choose two most famous CTR prediction methods as backbones, DeepFM and Wide&Deep. To evaluate the quality of initial embedding generated by CVAR and further prove the effectiveness of CVAR in leveraging the changing id embedding for better warm-up, we conduct a version of contrast experiment for CVAR denoted as CVAR (Init Only), where CVAR only plays a role in initialization phase and is disabled in following three warm-up phases. We conduct experiments on two datasets and evaluate the mean results over three runs.

<sup>4</sup><https://github.com/BestActionNow/CVAR>




Figure 2: AUC curves through warming-up on two datasets, over six popular backbone models, three runs for each.

The main experimental results are shown in Table 1. Notice that CVAR (Init Only) outperforms other baselines in most cases, which indicates a high quality of initial embeddings generated by CVAR. Moreover, superior performance of CVAR (Init Only) proves that distribution alignment in latent space is better than directly mapping side information to item id which is adopted in Meta-E and MWUF. Except for a better initialization, CVAR can significantly improve the prediction performance in warm-up stages which is proven by the outstanding results of CVAR in Table 1. This phenomenon demonstrates that CVAR can indeed produce high quality warmed-up embedding based on the evolving item ID embedding learned by backbone in warm-up stage.

**Method compatibility.** Since CVAR is model-agnostic, we conduct experiments in more scenarios to verify its compatibility. Results on six popular backbones and two datasets in Figure 2 demonstrate the compatibility and robustness of CVAR.

**Comparison of different frequency condition** As mentioned before,  $x_{freq}$  is set as the condition of decoder in CVAR and has a direct impact on the distribution of ID embedding. Considering the final  $x_{freq}$  is uncertain for new emerging items at inference stage, we compare the performance of CVAR with different  $x_{freq}$ . Because  $x_{freq}$  is normalized before using, we conduct five experiments with  $x_{freq}$  equal 0.01, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 1. Experimental results are shown in Table 2. It’s shown that performance of CVAR increases gradually with the increase of  $x_{freq}$ , which explains why we set  $x_{freq}$  as a huge value at inference stage for cold start.

### 3.2 Online A/B tests

To verify the effectiveness of CVAR, we further conduct online A/B tests [16] for 7 days on Tencent News recommendation platform<sup>5</sup>. As usually adopted in industry recommendation, the whole coarse-to-fine recommendation progress can be divided into four stages: candidate generation, coarse-grained ranking, fine-grained ranking, and re-ranking. In our industrial scenario, CVAR is applied to the ranking stage whose backbone is MMOE [23, 37]. Consistent with the four phases in offline experiments, we group online items into four groups: cold, warm-a, -b, -c, with increasing exposed frequency. In our system, there are two mediums of news: Article and Video. We focus on the following metrics [11] (from high importance to low): Exposure Rate, Watch Time, Page(Video) Views. Exposure

<sup>5</sup><https://news.qq.com/>

Table 3: Online A/B results of item groups with increasing warm-up level: *cold*, *warm-a*, *warm-b* and *warm-c*. Red results mean they are statistically significant (whose p-value in hypothesis testing [1] is stable less than 0.05.)

Metrics	Cold	Warm-a	Warm-b	Warm-c	Total
Exposure Rate	+1.48%	-0.21%	-0.04%	+0.17%	-
Watch Time	+2.49%	+2.90%	+1.40%	+0.39%	+0.38%
Article Watch Time	+2.39%	+4.51%	+2.08%	+0.16%	+0.13%
Video Watch Time	+2.60%	+1.78%	+0.72%	+0.68%	+0.66%
Total Page Views	+4.46%	+2.87%	+1.42%	+0.62%	+1.09%
Article Page Views	+3.58%	+3.37%	+1.74%	+0.31%	+0.82%
Video Views	+5.84%	+2.25%	+1.05%	+1.01%	+1.35%

Rate measures the distribution percentage of the item group. Watch Time and Page(Video) Views reflect how users are attracted by recommended content.

We show the online results on various item groups in Table 3. It’s apparent that metrics of cold items are significantly improved, which proves the effectiveness of CVAR. As expected, the gain of CVAR gradually diminishes as the exposed frequency increases. Moreover, exposed items’ Gini coefficient [56] on various item categories reduces from 0.7413 to 0.7369 after applying CVAR, which indicates CVAR could alleviate the Matthew Effect [41] to some extent.

## 4 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed the model-agnostic CVAR for item cold-start which uses latent variables to learn a distribution over side information and generates desirable ID embeddings using a conditional decoder. For better cold-start performance, CVAR not only learns the pattern in historical data but also leverages the continuously updating item ID embedding from the backbone to generate enhanced warmed-up embeddings with superior quality. From the aspect of industrial practice, we claim that additional strict data requirements of cold-start methods will make the deployment process rather difficult in online scenario. Thus CVAR is designed to be trained with the same raw samples as training main prediction model. Note that the proposed CVAR is a general framework that can be applied to various backbones. Finally, extensive offline experiments on public datasets and online A/B tests show the effectiveness and compatibility of CVAR.

## REFERENCES

- [1] David Jean Biau, Brigitte M Jolles, and Raphaël Porcher. 2010. P value and the theory of hypothesis testing: an explanation for new researchers. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 468, 3 (2010), 885–892.
- [2] Yuheng Bu, Shaofeng Zou, Yingbin Liang, and Venugopal V Veeravalli. 2018. Estimation of KL divergence: Optimal minimax rate. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 64, 4 (2018), 2648–2674.
- [3] Zhihong Chen, Rong Xiao, Chenliang Li, Gangfeng Ye, Haochuan Sun, and Hongbo Deng. 2020. ESAM: Discriminative domain adaptation with non-displayed items to improve long-tail performance. In *SIGIR*.
- [4] Heng-Tze Cheng, Levent Koc, Jeremiah Harmsen, Tal Shaked, Tushar Chandra, Hrishu Aradhye, Glen Anderson, Greg Corrado, Wei Chai, Mustafa Ispir, et al. 2016. Wide & deep learning for recommender systems. In *Recsys workshop*.
- [5] Paul Covington, Jay Adams, and Emre Sargin. 2016. Deep neural networks for youtube recommendations. In *Recsys*.
- [6] James Davidson, Benjamin Liebald, Junnong Liu, Palash Nandy, Taylor Van Vleet, Ullas Gargi, Sujoy Gupta, Yu He, Mike Lambert, Blake Livingston, et al. 2010. The YouTube video recommendation system. In *Recsys*.
- [7] Pieter-Tjerk De Boer, Dirk P Kroese, Shie Mannor, and Reuven Y Rubinstein. 2005. A tutorial on the cross-entropy method. *Annals of operations research* 134, 1 (2005), 19–67.
- [8] Conor Durkan, Artur Bekasov, Iain Murray, and George Papamakarios. 2019. Neural spline flows. In *NeurIPS*.
- [9] Jyotirmoy Gope and Sanjay Kumar Jain. 2017. A survey on solving cold start problem in recommender systems. In *ICCCA*.
- [10] Thore Graepel, Joaquin Quinonero Candela, Thomas Borchert, and Ralf Herbrich. 2010. Web-scale bayesian click-through rate prediction for sponsored search advertising in microsoft’s bing search engine. In *ICML*.
- [11] Asela Gunawardana and Guy Shani. 2009. A survey of accuracy evaluation metrics of recommendation tasks. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 10, 12 (2009).
- [12] Huifeng Guo, Ruiming Tang, Yunming Ye, Zhenguo Li, and Xiuqiang He. 2017. DeepFM: a factorization-machine based neural network for CTR prediction. In *AAAI*.
- [13] Xiangnan He and Tat-Seng Chua. 2017. Neural factorization machines for sparse predictive analytics. In *SIGIR*.
- [14] Hao Huang, Haihua Xu, Xianhui Wang, and Wushour Silamu. 2015. Maximum F1-score discriminative training criterion for automatic mispronunciation detection. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing* 23, 4 (2015), 787–797.
- [15] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2015. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *ICLR*.
- [16] Ron Kohavi and Roger Longbotham. 2017. Online Controlled Experiments and A/B Testing. *Encyclopedia of machine learning and data mining* 7, 8 (2017), 922–929.
- [17] Xuan Nhat Lam, Thuc Vu, Trong Duc Le, and Anh Duc Duong. 2008. Addressing cold-start problem in recommendation systems. In *ICUIMC*. 208–211.
- [18] Quoc V. Le and Tomás Mikolov. 2014. Distributed Representations of Sentences and Documents. In *ICML*.
- [19] Hoyeop Lee, Jinbae Im, Seongwon Jang, Hyunsouk Cho, and Sehee Chung. 2019. Melu: Meta-learned user preference estimator for cold-start recommendation. In *SIGKDD*.
- [20] Qichen Liao, Jing Chen, Zihao Wang, Bo Bai, Shi Jin, and Hao Wu. 2022. Fast Sinkhorn I: An  $O(N)$  algorithm for the Wasserstein-1 metric. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10042* (2022).
- [21] Charles X Ling, Jin Huang, Harry Zhang, et al. 2003. AUC: a statistically consistent and more discriminating measure than accuracy. In *IJCAI*.
- [22] Yuanfu Lu, Yuan Fang, and Chuan Shi. 2020. Meta-learning on heterogeneous information networks for cold-start recommendation. In *SIGKDD*.
- [23] Jiaqi Ma, Zhe Zhao, Xinyang Yi, Jilin Chen, Lichan Hong, and Ed H Chi. 2018. Modeling task relationships in multi-task learning with multi-gate mixture-of-experts. In *SIGKDD*.
- [24] Tomás Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. In *ICLR*.
- [25] Wentao Ouyang, Xiuwu Zhang, Shukui Ren, Li Li, Kun Zhang, Jinmei Luo, Zhaojie Liu, and Yanlong Du. 2021. Learning graph meta embeddings for cold-start ads in click-through rate prediction. In *SIGIR*.
- [26] Feiyang Pan, Shuokai Li, Xiang Ao, Pingzhong Tang, and Qing He. 2019. Warm up cold-start advertisements: Improving ctr predictions via learning to learn id embeddings. In *SIGIR*.
- [27] Gabriel Peyré, Marco Cuturi, et al. 2019. Computational optimal transport: With applications to data science. *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning* 11, 5-6 (2019), 355–607.
- [28] Dang Pham and Tuan M. V. Le. 2020. Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes for Inferring Topics and Visualization. In *COLING*.
- [29] Yanru Qu, Han Cai, Kan Ren, Weinan Zhang, Yong Yu, Ying Wen, and Jun Wang. 2016. Product-based neural networks for user response prediction. In *ICDM*.
- [30] Steffen Rendle. 2010. Factorization machines. In *ICDM*.
- [31] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Nivio Ziviani, Edleno Silva De Moura, Itamar Hata, Anisio Lacerda, and Adriano Veloso. 2014. Multiobjective pareto-efficient approaches for recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)* 5, 4 (2014), 1–20.
- [32] Matthew Richardson, Ewa Dominowska, and Robert Ragno. 2007. Predicting clicks: estimating the click-through rate for new ads. In *WWW*.
- [33] Suvash Sedhain, Aditya Krishna Menon, Scott Sanner, and Lexing Xie. 2015. Autorec: Autoencoders meet collaborative filtering. In *WWW*.
- [34] Rachna Sethi and Monica Mehrotra. 2021. Cold Start in Recommender Systems—A Survey from Domain Perspective. (2021), 223–232.
- [35] Jian Shen, Yanru Qu, Weinan Zhang, and Yong Yu. 2018. Wasserstein distance guided representation learning for domain adaptation. In *AAAI*.
- [36] Kihyuk Sohn, Honglak Lee, and Xinchen Yan. 2015. Learning Structured Output Representation using Deep Conditional Generative Models. In *NeurIPS*.
- [37] Hongyan Tang, Junnong Liu, Ming Zhao, and Xudong Gong. 2020. Progressive layered extraction (ple): A novel multi-task learning (mtl) model for personalized recommendations. In *Recsys*.
- [38] Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Isabelle Lajoie, Yoshua Bengio, Pierre-Antoine Manzagol, and Léon Bottou. 2010. Stacked denoising autoencoders: Learning useful representations in a deep network with a local denoising criterion. *Journal of machine learning research* (2010).
- [39] Maksims Volkovs, Guangwei Yu, and Tomi Poutanen. 2017. Dropoutnet: Addressing cold start in recommender systems. In *NeurIPS*.
- [40] Jacob Walker, Carl Doersch, Abhinav Gupta, and Martial Hebert. 2016. An uncertain future: Forecasting from static images using variational autoencoders. In *ECCV*.
- [41] Hao Wang, Zonghu Wang, and Weishi Zhang. 2018. Quantitative analysis of Matthew effect and sparsity problem of recommender systems. In *ICCCBDA*.
- [42] Ruoxi Wang, Bin Fu, Gang Fu, and Mingliang Wang. 2017. Deep & cross network for ad click predictions. In *ADKDD*.
- [43] Yifan Wang, Suyao Tang, Yuntong Lei, Weiping Song, Sheng Wang, and Ming Zhang. 2020. Disentangled heterogeneous graph attention network for recommendation. In *CIKM*.
- [44] Yasi Wang, Hongxun Yao, and Sicheng Zhao. 2016. Auto-encoder based dimensionality reduction. *Neurocomputing* 184 (2016), 232–242.
- [45] Zihao Wang, Datong Zhou, Ming Yang, Yong Zhang, Chenglong Rao, and Hao Wu. 2020. Robust document distance with wasserstein-fisher-rao metric. In *ACML*.
- [46] Yinwei Wei, Xiang Wang, Qi Li, Liqiang Nie, Yan Li, Xuanping Li, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2021. Contrastive learning for cold-start recommendation. In *ACMMM*.
- [47] Wenhao Ye, Boxiang Ren, Zihao Wang, Huihui Wu, Hao Wu, Bo Bai, and Gong Zhang. 2021. Autoencoder-based MIMO Communications with Learnable ADCs. In *ICCT*.
- [48] Yin Zhang, Derek Zhiyuan Cheng, Tiansheng Yao, Xinyang Yi, Lichan Hong, and Ed H Chi. 2021. A model of two tales: Dual transfer learning framework for improved long-tail item recommendation. In *WWW*.
- [49] Cheng Zhao, Chenliang Li, Rong Xiao, Hongbo Deng, and Aixin Sun. 2020. CATN: Cross-domain recommendation for cold-start users via aspect transfer network. In *SIGIR*.
- [50] Tiancheng Zhao, Ran Zhao, and Maxine Eskinazi. 2017. Learning Discourse-level Diversity for Neural Dialog Models using Conditional Variational Autoencoders. In *ACL*.
- [51] Xu Zhao, Zihao Wang, Hao Wu, and Yong Zhang. 2020. A relaxed matching procedure for unsupervised BLI. In *ACL*.
- [52] Xu Zhao, Zihao Wang, Hao Wu, and Yong Zhang. 2020. Semi-supervised bilingual lexicon induction with two-way interaction. In *EMNLP*.
- [53] Jiawei Zheng, Qianli Ma, Hao Gu, and Zhenjing Zheng. 2021. Multi-view Denoising Graph Auto-Encoders on Heterogeneous Information Networks for Cold-start Recommendation. In *SIGKDD*.
- [54] Guorui Zhou, Na Mou, Ying Fan, Qi Pi, Weijie Bian, Chang Zhou, Xiaoqiang Zhu, and Kun Gai. 2019. Deep interest evolution network for click-through rate prediction. In *AAAI*.
- [55] Guorui Zhou, Xiaoqiang Zhu, Chenru Song, Ying Fan, Han Zhu, Xiao Ma, Yanghui Yan, Junqi Jin, Han Li, and Kun Gai. 2018. Deep interest network for click-through rate prediction. In *SIGKDD*.
- [56] Renjie Zhou, Samamon Khemmarat, and Lixin Gao. 2010. The impact of YouTube recommendation system on video views. In *SIGCOMM*.
- [57] Yongchun Zhu, Ruobing Xie, Fuzhen Zhuang, Kaikai Ge, Ying Sun, Xu Zhang, Leyu Lin, and Juan Cao. 2021. Learning to warm up cold item embeddings for cold-start recommendation with meta scaling and shifting networks. In *SIGIR*.
- [58] Ziwei Zhu, Shahin Sefati, Parsa Saadatpanah, and James Caverlee. 2020. Recommendation for new users and new items via randomized training and mixture-of-experts transformation. In *SIGIR*.