arXiv:2205.13802v1 [quant-ph] 27 May 2022

Magnonic Casimir Effect in Ferrimagnets

Kouki Nakata[1,*] and Kei Suzuki[1,†]

[1] *Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan*

(Dated: December 16, 2023)

Quantum fluctuations of fields induce a zero-point energy shift under spatial boundary conditions. This Casimir effect is a fundamental phenomenon of quantum physics, and it has been attracting much attention beyond the hierarchy of energy scales, ranging from cosmology to condensed matter physics. However, the application of the Casimir effect to spintronics has not yet been investigated enough, particularly to ferrimagnetic thin films, although yttrium iron garnet (YIG) is one of the best platforms for spintronics. Here we fill this gap. Using the lattice field theory, we investigate the Casimir effect induced by quantum fields for magnons in insulating magnets, and find that the magnonic Casimir effect can arise not only in antiferromagnets but also in ferrimagnets including YIG thin films. Our result suggests that YIG, the key ingredient of magnon-based spintronics, can serve also as a promising platform for Casimir engineering. Microfabrication technology can control the thickness of thin films, and it realizes the manipulation of the magnonic Casimir energy. Thus, we pave the way for magnonic Casimir engineering.

Introduction.—Toward efficient transmission of information beyond what is offered by conventional electronics, the last two decades have seen a significant development of magnon-based spintronics [1], called magnonics. The main aim of this research field is to use the quantized spin-waves, magnons, as a carrier of information in units of the Planck constant $\hbar$. A promising strategy for this holy grail is to explore insulating magnets. Thanks to the complete absence of any conducting metallic elements, insulating magnets are free from drawbacks of conventional electronics, such as energy loss due to Joule heating. This is the advantage of insulating magnets. Thus, exploring quantum functionalities of magnons in insulating magnets is a central task in the field of magnonics.

Quantum fluctuations of a field induce a zero-point energy shift, called the Casimir energy, under spatial boundary conditions. This Casimir effect is a fundamental quantum phenomenon, and the original platform for the Casimir effect was the photon field [2–4], which is described by quantum electrodynamics. The concept can be extended to any fields, including scalar, vector, tensor, and spinor fields. Nowadays, the Casimir effect has been attracting much attention beyond the hierarchy of energy scales, ranging from cosmology to condensed matter physics [5, 6]. As an example [7], see Refs. [8–13] for Casimir effects in magnets. However, the application of the Casimir effect to spintronics has not yet been studied enough, particularly to ferrimagnetic thin films (Fig. 1), although yttrium iron garnet (YIG) [14] is one of the best platforms for spintronics.

Here we fill this gap. In terms of the lattice field theory, we investigate the Casimir effect induced by quantum fields for magnons in insulating magnets, and we refer to it as the magnonic Casimir effect. We study the behavior of the magnonic Casimir effect with a particular focus on the thickness dependence of thin films, which can be experimentally controlled by microfabrication technology [15, 16]. Then, we show that the magnonic Casimir effect can arise not only in antiferromagnets (AFs) but also in ferrimagnets with realistic model parameters for YIG thin films. Our study indicates that YIG, an ideal platform for magnonics, can serve also as a key ingredient of Casimir engineering [17] which aims at exploring quantum-mechanical functionalities of nanoscale devices.




FIG. 1. Schematic picture of the insulating ferrimagnetic thin film for the magnonic Casimir effect. Two kinds of magnons (circles) arise from the alternating structure of up and down spins (arrowed lines). Wavy lines represent quantum-mechanical behaviors of magnons in the discrete energy. In ferrimagnets, the spin quantum number on the two-sublattice is different from each other.

Antiferromagnets.—We consider insulating AFs described by the quantum Heisenberg Hamiltonian which has $U(1)$ symmetry about the quantization axis, and study the behavior of the magnonic Casimir effect with a

* nakata.koki@jaea.go.jp; equal contribution.
† k.suzuki.2010@th.phys.titech.ac.jp; equal contribution.

focus on the thickness dependence. The AF is a two-sublattice system, and the ground state has the Néel magnetic order. From the spin-wave theory with the Bogoliubov transformation, elementary excitations are two kinds of magnons designated by the index $\sigma = \pm$ having the spin angular momentum $\sigma\hbar$. Owing to the $U(1)$ symmetry, the Hamiltonian can be recast into the diagonal form with the magnon energy dispersion for the wavenumber $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ as $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}$ where the total spin angular momentum of magnons is conserved. Two kinds of magnons ($\sigma = \pm$) are in degenerate states. Hence, we study the low-energy magnon dynamics of the insulating AF by using the quantum field theory of complex scalar fields [18], i.e., the complex Klein-Gordon field theory [19, 20]. Then, we can see that there exists a zero-point energy [21]. This is the origin of the Casimir effect. Note that throughout this study, we focus on clean insulating magnets and work under the assumption that the total spin along the quantization direction is conserved and thus remains a good quantum number.

Through the lattice regularization, the Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ is defined as the difference between the zero-point energy $E_0^{\rm int}$ for the continuous energy $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}$ and the one $E_0^{\rm sum}$ for the discrete energy $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k},n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In two-sublattice systems, such as AFs and ferrimagnets (Fig. 1), the wavenumbers on the lattice are replaced by $(k_j a)^2 \to 2[1-\cos(k_j a)]$ in the j direction for $j = x, y, z$, where a is the length of a magnetic unit cell. Here, by taking the Brillouin zone (BZ) into account, we set the boundary condition for the z axis in wavenumber space $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ as $k_z \to \pi n/L_z$, i.e., $k_z a \to \pi n/N_z$, where $L_z := aN_z$ is the thickness of magnets, $N_j \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of magnetic unit cells in the j direction for $j = x, y, z$, and $n = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The number of lattice points on the xy-plane is $4N_xN_y$, and that of magnetic unit cells is N_xN_y. Thus, the magnonic Casimir energy per the number of magnetic unit cells N_xN_y on the surface for $N_z = N$ is described as [22–26]

$$E_{\rm Cas} := E_0^{\rm sum}(N) - E_0^{\rm int}(N), \tag{1a}$$

$$E_0^{\rm sum}(N) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm}\int_{\rm BZ}\frac{d^2(k_\perp a)}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{2N}|\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k},n}|\right], \tag{1b}$$

$$E_0^{\rm int}(N) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm}\int_{\rm BZ}\frac{d^2(k_\perp a)}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}N\int_{\rm BZ}\frac{d(k_z a)}{2\pi}|\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}|\right], \tag{1c}$$

where $k_\perp := \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $d^2(k_\perp a) = d(k_x a)d(k_y a)$, the integral is over the first BZ, and the factor $1/2$ arises from the zero-point energy for the scalar field. Since the constant terms which are independent of the wavenumber do not contribute to the Casimir energy, we drop them throughout this study. To see the dependence of the Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ on the thickness of magnets $L_z := aN_z$, it is convenient to introduce the rescaled Casimir energy $C_{\rm Cas}^{[b]}$ in terms of N_z^b for $b \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$C_{\rm Cas}^{[b]} := E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^b. \tag{2}$$

Then, we refer to $C_{\rm Cas}^{[b]}$ as the magnonic Casimir coefficient in the sense that $E_{\rm Cas} = C_{\rm Cas}^{[b]} N_z^{-b}$.

Here, we consider magnons in AFs on a cubic lattice with the energy dispersion $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}^{\rm AF}$ [18]:

$$\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}^{\rm AF} = \hbar\omega_0\sqrt{\delta + \left(\frac{ka}{2}\right)^2}, \tag{3a}$$

$$\hbar\omega_0 := 2\sqrt{3}JS, \tag{3b}$$

$$\delta := 3\left[\left(\frac{K}{6J}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{K}{6J}\right)\right], \tag{3c}$$

where $k := |\mathbf{k}|$, $J > 0$ parametrizes the exchange interaction between the nearest-neighbor spins of the spin quantum number S, and $K \geq 0$ is the easy-axis anisotropy, which provides the magnon energy gap and ensures the Néel magnetic order. Two kinds of magnons ($\sigma = \pm$) are in degenerate states. In the absence of the spin anisotropy, $K = 0$, the energy gap vanishes $\delta = 0$, and the gapless magnon mode behaves like a relativistic particle with the linear energy dispersion. From the results obtained in Refs. [27–29], we roughly estimate the model parameter values for Cr_2O_3, as an example, as follows [18]: $J = 15$ meV, $S = 3/2$, $K = 0.03$ meV, and $a = 0.49607$




FIG. 2. Plots of the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ and the coefficient (inset) $C_{\rm Cas}^{[3]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^3$ in the AF [Eq. (3a)] as a function of N_z for the thickness of magnets $L_z = aN_z$ obtained by numerically solving Eq. (1a). The magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ of the magnitude $O(10^{-2})$ meV is induced for $N_z \geq 2$. The absolute value takes the maximum in the gapless magnon mode $\delta = 0$, and it decreases as the magnon energy gap δ increases. Inset: As the thickness of the thin film increases, the absolute value of the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C_{\rm Cas}^{[3]}$ decreases in the gapped modes, whereas it approaches asymptotically to a constant value in the gapless mode, where the magnonic Casimir energy exhibits the behavior of $E_{\rm Cas} \propto 1/N_z^3$.

nm. These parameter values provide $\hbar\omega_0 \sim 77.94$ meV and $\delta \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$.

Fig. 2 shows that the magnonic Casimir effect arises in the thin film of the AF. The magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ of the magnitude $O(10^{-2})$ meV is induced for $N_z \geq 2$. Even in the presence of the magnon energy gap $\delta \neq 0$, the absolute value amounts to $O(10^{-2})$ meV, and it decreases as the magnon energy gap increases. Thus, the magnonic Casimir energy takes a maximum absolute value in the gapless mode $\delta = 0$, where the magnon behaves like a relativistic particle with the linear energy dispersion. We remark that in the case of the gapped magnon modes, the absolute value of the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C^{[3]}_{\rm Cas} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^3$ decreases as the thickness of the thin film increases. This behavior is similar to the Casimir effect known for massive degrees of freedom [30, 31]. On the other hand, in the case of the gapless mode, the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C^{[3]}_{\rm Cas}$ approaches asymptotically to a constant value, and the magnonic Casimir energy exhibits the behavior of $E_{\rm Cas} \propto 1/N_z^3$ as the thickness increases. The asymptotic value of $C^{[3]}_{\rm Cas}$ for the gapless magnon mode $\delta = 0$ given in the numerical result (Fig. 2) is estimated approximately as $(-\pi^2/720) \times (\hbar\omega_0/2) \sim -0.5341$ meV from an analytical calculation. The factor of $-\pi^2/720$ is well known as the analytic solution for the conventional Casimir effect of a massless complex scalar field in continuous space [31]. Thus, although the magnonic Casimir effect is realized on the lattice, it is qualitatively and quantitatively analogous to the continuous counterpart, except for a-dependent lattice effects.

Ferrimagnets.—We develop the study of AFs into ferrimagnets where the ground state has an alternating structure of up and down spins on a cubic lattice (Fig. 1). In contrast to AFs, the spin quantum number on the two-sublattice is different from each other in ferrimagnets. Hence, the degeneracy for two kinds of magnons ($\sigma = \pm$) is intrinsically lifted. In ferrimagnetic thin films, dipolar interactions due to the nonzero magnetization play a key role. Still, at low temperatures where the magnon-magnon interaction of the fourth-order in magnon operators is negligibly small [32], the number of magnons and the total spin angular momentum are conserved, and the Hamiltonian for the ferrimagnetic thin film can be diagonalized with the magnon energy dispersion $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon^{\rm Ferri}_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}$.

Here, we consider magnons in the thin film of clean insulating ferrimagnets on a cubic lattice subjected to in-plane magnetic fields at such low temperatures. Still, due to the competition between dipolar and exchange interactions, the minimum energy point shifts from the zero mode of magnons, $\mathbf{k} = 0$, to a finite wavenumber mode which is characterized by the thickness of the thin film $L_z = aN_z$. We take it along the z axis perpendicular to the in-plane (Fig. 1). The magnon energy dispersion along the in-plane direction is provided in Refs. [33, 34], whereas the dispersion along the z axis in the thin film has not yet been established [35]. Hence, taking into account the competition between dipolar and exchange interactions in the thin film, we phenomenologically assume the behavior that the power of k_z, $l \in \mathbb{R}$, approaches asymptotically to $l = 2$ in the bulk limit, whereas it slightly differs from $l = 2$ as long as we consider the thin film (Fig. 1). Using this assumption and Refs. [33, 34], the magnon energy dispersions are




FIG. 3. Plots of the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ and the coefficient (inset) $C^{[l]}_{\rm Cas} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^l$ for $l = 2.1$, $l = 2.0$, $l = 1.99$, and $l = 1.9$ in the ferrimagnetic thin film [Eq. (4a)] as a function of N_z for the thickness of magnets $L_z = aN_z$ obtained by numerically solving Eq. (1a) with the model parameter values for YIG of $D_z = D$. For $l = 1.99$, $l = 1.9$, and $l = 2.1$: There is the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ of the magnitude $O(1)$ μeV, $O(10)$ μeV, and $O(10)$ μeV, respectively, in $N_z \geq 2$. For $l = 2$: The magnonic Casimir energy of the magnitude $|E_{\rm Cas}| \leq O(0.1)$ neV does exist. Inset: As the thickness increases, the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C^{[l]}_{\rm Cas}$ approaches asymptotically to a constant value, and the magnonic Casimir energy exhibits the behavior of $E_{\rm Cas} \propto 1/N_z^l$.

$$\epsilon^{\rm Ferri}_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\sigma H_0 + \Delta_\sigma + \frac{D}{a^2}(k_\perp a)^2 + \frac{D_z}{a^2}(|k_z|a)^l} \times \sqrt{\sigma H_0 + \Delta_\sigma + \frac{D}{a^2}(k_\perp a)^2 + \frac{D_z}{a^2}(|k_z|a)^l + \sigma\hbar\omega_{\rm M}\mathcal{F}_k}, \tag{4a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_k := \mathcal{P}_{k_\perp}(1 - \mathcal{P}_{k_\perp}) \frac{\sigma\hbar\omega_{\rm M}}{\sigma H_0 + \Delta_\sigma + \frac{D}{a^2}(k_\perp a)^2 + \frac{D_z}{a^2}(|k_z|a)^l} \left(\frac{k_x}{k_\perp}\right)^2 + 1 - \mathcal{P}_{k_\perp}\left(\frac{k_y}{k_\perp}\right)^2, \tag{4b}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{k_\perp} := 1 - \frac{1 - {\rm e}^{-k_\perp L_z}}{k_\perp L_z}, \tag{4c}$$

where the external magnetic field is applied along the y axis (Fig. 1) and σH_0 represents the resulting Zeeman energy, $\Delta_\sigma \geq 0$ is the (intrinsic) magnon energy gap in ferrimagnets, $D_{(z)} > 0$ is the spin stiffness constant, $k_\perp := \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $\hbar\omega_{\rm M} := 4\pi\gamma M_{\rm s}$ with the saturated magnetization density $M_{\rm s}$ and the gyromagnetic ratio γ, and the term $\mathcal{F}_{k_\perp}$ is responsible for the shift of

the minimum energy point from the zero mode to a finite wavenumber mode due to the competition between dipolar and exchange interactions in the ferrimagnetic thin film: The first term of $\mathcal{F}_{k_\perp}$ [Eq. (4b)] reproduces the Damon-Eshbach magnetostatic surface mode [36], and the last term does the backward volume magnetostatic mode [36].

From the results obtained in Refs. [37–39], the model parameter values for YIG thin films are estimated as follows: $D/a^2 \sim 3.37645$ meV [32] with $a = 1.2376$ nm [40], $H_0 \sim 8.10373$ μeV [32], and $\hbar\omega_{\rm M} \sim 20.3369$ μeV [32]. Then, we estimate the magnon energy gap Δ_σ as [41] $\Delta_{\sigma=-} - \Delta_{\sigma=+} \sim 39.84881$ meV with $\Delta_{\sigma=+} \sim 2.13191$ meV and $\Delta_{\sigma=-} \sim 41.98072$ meV, which satisfy the condition $\Delta_\sigma \gg \hbar\omega_{\rm M}$. In this condition, we study the low-energy magnon dynamics of the ferrimagnetic thin film by using the quantum field theory of real scalar fields [42], i.e., the real Klein-Gordon field theory [19, 20]. Then, we see that there exists a zero-point energy. The magnonic Casimir energy through the lattice regularization is given as Eq. (1a). We remark that the zero-point energy arises from quantum fluctuations, and it does exist even at zero temperature. The zero-point energy defined at zero temperature does not depend on the Bose-distribution function [Eqs. (1b) and (1c)]. Hence, not only the low-energy mode ($\sigma = +$) but also the high-energy mode ($\sigma = -$) contributes [43] to the magnonic Casimir energy.

Under the phenomenological assumption that the value of l [Eq. (4a)] approaches asymptotically to $l = 2$ in the bulk limit, in this work focusing on the thin film, we study the behavior of the magnonic Casimir effect by changing the value slightly from $l = 2$. As examples, we consider the cases of $l = 2.1$, $l = 1.99$, and $l = 1.9$. Fig. 3 shows that the magnonic Casimir effect arises in the ferrimagnetic thin film. There is the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ of the magnitude $O(1)$ μeV, $O(10)$ μeV, and $O(10)$ μeV for $l = 1.99$, $l = 1.9$, and $l = 2.1$, respectively, in $N_z \geq 2$. As the thickness increases, the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C_{\rm Cas}^{[l]}$ approaches asymptotically to a constant value, and the magnonic Casimir energy exhibits the behavior of $E_{\rm Cas} \propto 1/N_z^l$. We also find from Fig. 3 that the sign of the magnonic Casimir coefficient and energy for $l = 2.1$ is positive $C_{\rm Cas}^{[2.1]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^{2.1} > 0$ in $N_z \geq 2$, whereas that for $l = 1.9$ is negative $C_{\rm Cas}^{[1.9]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^{1.9} < 0$. This means that the Casimir force works in the opposite direction.

Note that even if $l = 2$, the magnonic Casimir effect arises in the ferrimagnetic thin film. We have numerically confirmed that although the value is small, there does exist the magnonic Casimir energy of the magnitude $|E_{\rm Cas}| \leq O(0.1)$ neV for $l = 2$. This strong suppression of the Casimir energy is a general property of the Casimir effect for quadratic dispersions on the lattice [26], and the survival values originate from the dipolar interaction in the ferrimagnetic thin film. We also remark that as long as we consider thin films, the value of D_z can differ from D. Even in that case, the magnonic Casimir effect arises. When the value of D_z changes from D to $0.8D$ as an example, the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ increases approximately by 0.8 times. For more details about the dependence on the parameters D_z and l, see the Supplemental Material.

A proposal for experimental observation.— Microfabrication technology [15, 16] can control the thickness of thin films, and it realizes the manipulation of the magnonic Casimir energy. The magnonic Casimir energy of the ferrimagnetic thin film depends on the external magnetic field through the Zeeman energy as in Eq. (4a). Therefore, we expect that our theoretical prediction, the magnonic Casimir effect in ferrimagnets, can be observed in principle through measurement of magnetization.

We remark on Casimir effects from other origins, such as photons and phonons. The magnons depend strongly on the magnetic field through Zeeman coupling, whereas phonons and photons do not. Therefore, it is expected that the Casimir effect of magnons can be distinguished experimentally from the others through the manipulation of the external magnetic field.

We also comment on thermal effects. At nonzero temperature, thermal contributions to the Helmholtz free energy arise. However, at low temperatures compared to $\epsilon_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}}$ [44], the thermal contribution is exponentially suppressed due to the Boltzmann factor, and it becomes negligibly small. Hence, at such low temperatures, the contribution of the magnonic Casimir energy given as Eq. (1a) is dominant.

Conclusion.—We have shown that the magnonic Casimir effect can arise not only in antiferromagnets but also in ferrimagnets with realistic model parameters for YIG. Since the magnon damping in YIG thin films is the lowest among known material, and magnons exhibit long-distance transport over centimeter distances [45], YIG has been playing a central role in the experimental study of magnonics [1, 14]: YIG is one of the best platforms for the development of magnonics technology, which has already realized the magnon transistor [46]. Our result suggests that such YIG can serve also as a promising platform for Casimir engineering which aims at exploring quantum-mechanical functionalities of nanoscale devices. Microfabrication technology can control the thickness of thin films, and it realizes the manipulation of the magnonic Casimir energy. Thus, our work paves the way for magnonic Casimir engineering.

Acknowledgements.—We thank Yasufumi Araki, Masaki Imai, Se Kwon Kim, and Katsumasa Nakayama for fruitful discussions. We acknowledge support by Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, MEXT, Japan (K.N.), by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K14420 (K.N.), JP22K03519 (K.N.), JP17K14277 (K.S.), and JP20K14476 (K.S.).

[1] A. V. Chumak, V. I. Vasyuchka, A. A. Serga, and B. Hillebrands, Nat. Phys. **11**, 453 (2015).
[2] H. B. G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. **51**, 793 (1948).
[3] S. K. Lamoreaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5 (1997), Erratum [4].
[4] S. K. Lamoreaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5475 (1998).
[5] G. L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1827 (2009).
[6] K. A. Milton, J. Phys. A **37**, R209 (2004).
[7] See also Ref. [47] for an analog of the dynamical Casimir effect with magnon excitations in a spinor Bose-Einstein condensate.
[8] H. Neuberger and T. Ziman, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 2608 (1989).
[9] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, Z. Phys. B **92**, 91 (1992).
[10] L. P. Pryadko, S. Kivelson, and D. W. Hone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5651 (1998).
[11] Z. Z. Du, H. M. Liu, Y. L. Xie, Q. H. Wang, and J.-M. Liu, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 214409 (2015).
[12] R. Cheng, D. Xiao, and J.-G. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 207202 (2018).
[13] E. B. Kolomeisky, H. Zaidi, L. Langsjoen, and J. P. Straley, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 042519 (2013).
[14] A. Serga, A. Chumak, and B. Hillebrands, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **43**, 264002 (2010).
[15] G. Schmidt, C. Hauser, P. Trempler, M. Paleschke, and E. T. Papaioannou, Phys. Stat. Sol. B **257**, 1900644 (2020).
[16] Y. Yang, T. Liu, L. Bi, and L. Deng, J. Alloys Compd. **860**, 158235 (2021).
[17] T. Gong, M. R. Corrado, A. R. Mahbub, C. Shelden, and J. N. Munday, Nanophotonics **10**, 523 (2021).
[18] K. Nakata, S. K. Kim, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 224414 (2017).
[19] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory* (Westview Press, Boulder, 1995).
[20] Z. F. Ezawa, *Quantum Hall Effects: Recent Theoretical and Experimental Developments* (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., third edition, Singapore, 2013).
[21] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **86**, 694 (1952).
[22] A. Actor, I. Bender, and J. Reingruber, Fortschr. Phys. **48**, 303 (2000).
[23] M. Pawellek, arXiv:1303.4708 .
[24] T. Ishikawa, K. Nakayama, and K. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. B **809**, 135713 (2020).
[25] T. Ishikawa, K. Nakayama, and K. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Research **3**, 023201 (2021).
[26] K. Nakayama and K. Suzuki, arXiv:2204.12032 .
[27] J. O. Artman, J. C. Murphy, and S. Foner, Phys. Rev. **138**, A912 (1965).
[28] Y. Kota and H. Imamura, Appl. Phys. Express **10**, 013002 (2017).
[29] R. E. Newnham and Y. M. De Haan, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. **117**, 235 (1962).
[30] P. Hays, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **121**, 32 (1979).
[31] J. Ambjørn and S. Wolfram, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **147**, 1 (1983).
[32] I. S. Tupitsyn, P. C. E. Stamp, and A. L. Burin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 257202 (2008).
[33] B. A. Kalinikos and A. N. Slavin, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **19**, 7013 (1986).
[34] K. Y. Guslienko, R. W. Chantrell, and A. N. Slavin, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 024422 (2003).
[35] S. M. Rezende, *Fundamentals of Magnonics* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2020).
[36] R. W. Damon and J. R. Eshbach, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **19**, 308 (1961).
[37] R. Pauthenet, Ann. Phys. (Paris) **3**, 424 (1958).
[38] M. A. Gilleo and S. Geller, Phys. Rev. **110**, 73 (1958).
[39] M. Sparks, *Ferromagnetic-Relaxation Theory* (McGraw-Hill, NewYork, 1964).
[40] S. Geller and M. A. Gilleo, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **3**, 30 (1957).
[41] The magnon energy dispersions and their temperature dependence in YIG were measured by inelastic neutron scattering [48–54]. We estimate the magnon energy gap Δ_σ by applying the model [42] of the effective block spins to YIG [32, 52]. The theoretical estimate for the value, $\Delta_{\sigma=-} - \Delta_{\sigma=+} \sim 39.84881$ meV, is consistent with the experimental data [51].
[42] K. Nakata and S. Takayoshi, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 094417 (2020).
[43] Higher energy bands than those of Eq. (4a) also contribute to the magnonic Casimir energy. However, the contribution becomes smaller as the shape of the bands is flatter. Numerical calculations of Refs. [52–54] show that higher energy bands tend to be flat. Thus, we expect that the magnonic Casimir energy is dominated by the two bands of Eq. (4a).
[44] Note that even at such low temperatures, magnons do not form Bose-Einstein condensates in equilibrium [55].
[45] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Liu, R. A. Duine, J. B. Youssef, and B. J. Van Wees, Nat. Phys. **11**, 1022 (2015).
[46] A. V. Chumak, A. A. Serga, and B. Hillebrands, Nat. Commun. **5**, 1 (2014).
[47] H. Saito and H. Hyuga, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 033605 (2008).
[48] J. Plant, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **10**, 4805 (1977).
[49] J. Plant, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **16**, 7037 (1983).
[50] S.-i. Shamoto, T. U. Ito, H. Onishi, H. Yamauchi, Y. Inamura, M. Matsuura, M. Akatsu, K. Kodama, A. Nakao, T. Moyoshi, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 054429 (2018).
[51] Y. Nambu, J. Barker, Y. Okino, T. Kikkawa, Y. Shiomi, M. Enderle, T. Weber, B. Winn, M. Graves-Brook, J. M. Tranquada, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 027201 (2020).
[52] Y. Nambu and S.-i. Shamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **90**, 081002 (2021).
[53] A. J. Princep, R. A. Ewings, S. Ward, S. Tóth, C. Dubs, D. Prabhakaran, and A. T. Boothroyd, npj Quantum Mater. **2**, 1 (2017).
[54] O. I. Gorbatov, G. Johansson, A. Jakobsson, S. Mankovsky, H. Ebert, I. Di Marco, J. Minár, and C. Etz, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 174401 (2021).
[55] Yu. M. Bunkov and G. E. Volovik, arXiv:1003.4889 .

Supplemental Material

In this Supplemental Material, we provide some details about the dependence of the magnonic Casimir effect on the parameters l and D_z in the ferrimagnetic thin film. In the main text, we have studied the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ and the coefficient $C_{\rm Cas}^{[l]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^l$ for $l = 2.1$, $l = 2.0$, $l = 1.99$, and $l = 1.9$ in the ferrimagnetic thin film by using the model parameter values for YIG with fixed $D_z = D$.

Here, first, we consider the cases of $l = 1.5$ and $l = 1.0$ with setting $D_z = D$. Fig. S1 shows that the magnonic Casimir effect still arises in the ferrimagnetic thin film. There is the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ of the magnitude $O(10^{-2})$ meV, $O(10^{-1})$ meV, and $O(10^{-1})$ meV for $l = 1.9$, $l = 1.5$, and $l = 1.0$, respectively, in $N_z \geq 2$. As the value of l decreases from $l = 2$ and approaches to $l = 1$, the magnitude of the magnonic Casimir energy increases. Note that it amounts to $O(10^{-1})$ meV even in $N_z = O(10)$ for $l = 1.0$. As the thickness increases, the magnonic Casimir coefficient $C_{\rm Cas}^{[l]}$ approaches asymptotically to a constant value and the magnonic Casimir energy exhibits the behavior of $E_{\rm Cas} \propto 1/N_z^l$.

Then, we consider the cases of $D_z/D = 0.3$, $D_z/D = 0.5$, and $D_z/D = 0.8$ by fixing $l = 1.99$. Fig. S2 shows that the magnonic Casimir effect still arises in the ferrimagnetic thin film. When the value of D_z changes from D to $0.8D$ as an example, the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ increases approximately by 0.8 times. Thus, the value of $E_{\rm Cas}$ is approximately proportional to D_z.




FIG. S1. Plots of the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ and the coefficient (inset) $C_{\rm Cas}^{[l]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^l$ for $l = 2.0$, $l = 1.9$, $l = 1.5$, and $l = 1.0$ in the ferrimagnetic thin film as a function of N_z for the thickness of magnets $L_z = aN_z$ under the model parameter values for YIG with fixed $D_z = D$.




FIG. S2. Plots of the magnonic Casimir energy $E_{\rm Cas}$ and the coefficient (inset) $C_{\rm Cas}^{[l]} = E_{\rm Cas} \times N_z^l$ for $D_z/D = 0.3$, $D_z/D = 0.5$, $D_z/D = 0.8$, and $D_z/D = 1.0$ in the ferrimagnetic thin film as a function of N_z for the thickness of magnets $L_z = aN_z$ under the model parameter values for YIG with $l = 1.99$.