

A CLASS OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL NONLINEAR DIFFUSIONS WITH THE FELLER PROPERTY

DAVID CRIENS AND LARS NIEMANN

ABSTRACT. In this note we consider a family of nonlinear (conditional) expectations that can be understood as a multidimensional diffusion with uncertain drift and certain volatility. Here, the drift is prescribed by a set-valued function that depends on time and path in a Markovian way. We establish the Feller property for the associated sublinear Markovian semigroup and we observe a smoothing effect as our framework carries enough randomness. Furthermore, we link the corresponding value function to a semilinear Kolmogorov equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *nonlinear multidimensional diffusion*, or *nonlinear multidimensional continuous Markov process*, is a family of sublinear expectations $\{\mathcal{E}^x : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ on the Wiener space $C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathcal{E}^x \circ X_0^{-1} = \delta_x$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the Markov property

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^x(\mathcal{E}^{X_t}(\psi(X_s))) = \mathcal{E}^x(\psi(X_{t+s})), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

holds. Here, ψ runs through a collection of suitable test functions and X denotes the canonical process on $C(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Building upon the seminal work of Peng [17, 18] on the G -Brownian motion, nonlinear Markov processes have recently been studied from the perspective of processes under uncertainty, see [7, 9, 12, 15]. Using the techniques from [16], a general framework for constructing nonlinear Markov processes was developed in [8]. To be more precise, for given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the sublinear expectation \mathcal{E}^x has the form $\mathcal{E}^x = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{R}(x)} E^P$ with a collection $\mathcal{R}(x)$ of semimartingale laws P on the path space, with initial distribution δ_x and absolutely continuous semimartingale characteristics (B^P, C^P) , where the differential characteristics $(dB^P/d\lambda, dC^P/d\lambda)$ are prescribed in a Markovian way.

As in the theory of (linear) Markov processes, there is a strong link to semigroups. Indeed, the Markov property (1.1) ensures the semigroup property $T_t T_s = T_{s+t}$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where the sublinear operators T_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad T_t(\psi)(x) := \mathcal{E}^x(\psi(X_t)) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{R}(x)} E^P[\psi(X_t)]$$

for suitable functions ψ . Using the general theory of [6, 16], the operators T_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are well-defined on the cone of upper semianalytic functions.

In our paper [3], for a one-dimensional setting, we discovered a novel type of smoothing effect of the semigroup $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ under a weak ellipticity assumption. Namely, we proved that $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has the so-called *strong USC_b-Feller property*, i.e., for every $t > 0$, the operator T_t maps bounded upper semicontinuous functions to bounded continuous functions. Further, under a uniform ellipticity condition, we even established the *uniform strong USC_b-Feller property*, that shows that each T_t , for times $t > 0$, maps bounded upper semicontinuous functions to bounded uniformly continuous

Date: November 14, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H20, 49L25, 60G53, 60G65, 60J60.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear diffusion; nonlinear Markov processes; sublinear semigroup; sublinear expectation; nonlinear expectation; partial differential equation; viscosity solution; semimartingale characteristics; Knightian uncertainty.

DC acknowledges financial support from the DFG project SCHM 2160/15-1 and LN acknowledges financial support from the DFG project SCHM 2160/13-1.

functions. To the best of our knowledge, smoothing effects of these specific forms were not reported before. Related, but generally different, smoothing effects are known for viscosity solutions to parabolic Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman (or more general nonlinear) PDEs, see, e.g., [1, 10, 11] and the references therein.

In this note, we establish the uniform strong USC_b -Feller property for a class of *multidimensional* diffusions with *uncertain* drift $b: F \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and *certain* volatility $a: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+^d$. Furthermore, leaning on theory from [2, 3, 8], the (uniform) strong USC_b -Feller property allows us, for $\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, to identify the so-called value function $(t, x) \mapsto T_t(\psi)(x)$ as a bounded viscosity solution to the nonlinear Kolmogorov type PDE

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) - G(x, u(t, \cdot)) = 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) = \psi(x), & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where

$$G(x, \phi) := \sup_{f \in F} \left\{ \langle b(f, x), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [a(x) \nabla^2 \phi(x)].$$

Under additional Lipschitz conditions, the value function is even the unique bounded viscosity solution to (1.3). Thanks to results from [8, 12, 13], this uniqueness result transfers to the level of semigroups. Namely, it shows that $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is the only jointly continuous sublinear semigroup on $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ whose pointwise generator coincides with G on the set $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ of smooth functions with compact support. For sublinear convolution semigroups, such a stochastic representation has been obtained in [13]. For a statement on the level of nonlinear Markov processes with jumps, we refer to our paper [4] that was finished after the current paper has been submitted.

Sublinear semigroups can also be constructed by analytic methods, see [5, 8, 14]. A general approach leading to the so-called *Nisio semigroup* and a corresponding viscosity theory was recently established in the paper [14]. The framework from [14] allows for general state spaces, and provides conditions for Feller properties on spaces of weighted continuous functions. If the weight function is vanishing at infinity, this includes the C_b -Feller property, i.e., the semigroup is a self-map on the space of bounded continuous functions. Further, in case the weight function is bounded from below and the Nisio semigroup is continuous from above (in a suitable sense), the C_b -Feller property can be derived, too. Specific examples for nonlinear Markov processes whose associated Nisio semigroups entail the C_b -Feller property were discussed in [14, Section 6.3]. For the case of convolution semigroups, corresponding to the Lévy framework from [5, 15], it has been shown in [13] that $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ coincides with the Nisio semigroup. A similar result for certain one-dimensional nonlinear diffusions is given in the update of our paper [3]. It appears to us that the analytic treatment provides currently no access to the (uniform) strong USC_b -Feller property.

2. MAIN RESULT

2.1. The Setting. Fix a dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and define Ω to be the space of continuous functions $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ endowed with the local uniform topology. The canonical process on Ω is denoted by X , i.e., $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{B}(\Omega) = \sigma(X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. We define $\mathbf{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ as the canonical filtration generated by X , i.e., $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_s, s \in [0, t])$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The set of probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$ and endowed with the usual topology of convergence in distribution. We denote the space of symmetric positive semidefinite real-valued $d \times d$ matrices by \mathbb{S}_+^d . Let F be a metrizable space and let $b: F \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+^d$ be two Borel functions.

Condition 2.1.

- (i) F is compact.
- (ii) b and a are continuous.

(iii) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $f \in F$ and $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\|b(f, x)\| \leq C, \quad \frac{\|\xi\|^2}{C} \leq \langle \xi, a(x)\xi \rangle \leq C\|\xi\|^2.$$

Remark 2.2. In this note, we will always work under Condition 2.1. While it is possible to substantially weaken part (iii), we assume it for the sake of clarity.

We define the correspondence, i.e., the set-valued map, $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}_+^d$ by

$$\Theta(x) := \{(b(f, x), a(x)): f \in F\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}_+^d.$$

Remark 2.3. Thanks to [2, Lemma 2.9], under Condition 2.1, the graph of Θ is measurable.

We denote the set of laws of continuous semimartingales by $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}} \subset \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$. For $P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}$, we denote the semimartingale characteristics of the coordinate process X by (B^P, C^P) , and we set

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}^{\text{ac}} := \{P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}: P\text{-a.s. } (B^P, C^P) \ll \lambda\},$$

where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we further define

$$\mathcal{R}(x) := \{P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}^{\text{ac}}: P \circ X_0^{-1} = \delta_x, (\lambda \otimes P)\text{-a.e. } (dB^P/d\lambda, dC^P/d\lambda) \in \Theta(X)\}.$$

Remark 2.4. By virtue of [2, Lemma 2.10], under Condition 2.1, the set $\mathcal{R}(x)$ is non-empty for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

2.2. Nonlinear Diffusions and Sublinear Semigroups. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the sublinear operator \mathcal{E}^x on the convex cone of upper semianalytic functions $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^x(\psi) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{R}(x)} E^P[\psi].$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have by construction that $\mathcal{E}^x(\psi(X_0)) = \psi(x)$ for every bounded upper semianalytic function $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The next proposition confirms that the family $\{\mathcal{E}^x: x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is a nonlinear multidimensional diffusion. It provides the so-called nonlinear Markov property of the family $\{\mathcal{E}^x: x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, cf. [8, Lemma 4.32] and [3, Proposition 2.8]. We omit a detailed proof.

Proposition 2.5 (Nonlinear Markov Property). *Suppose that Condition 2.1 holds. For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, denote the shift operator $\theta_t: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by $\theta_t(\omega) := \omega(\cdot + t)$. Then, for every upper semianalytic function $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, the equality*

$$\mathcal{E}^x(\psi \circ \theta_t) = \mathcal{E}^x(\mathcal{E}^{X_t}(\psi))$$

holds for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 2.6. Let \mathcal{H} be a convex cone of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ containing all constant functions. A family of sublinear operators $T_t: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is called a sublinear Markovian semigroup on \mathcal{H} if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has the semigroup property, i.e., $T_s T_t = T_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $T_0 = \text{id}$,
- (ii) T_t is monotone for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e., $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ with $f \leq g$ implies $T_t(f) \leq T_t(g)$,
- (iii) T_t preserves constants for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e., $T_t(c) = c$ for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following proposition should be compared to [8, Remark 4.33] and [3, Proposition 2.8]. For brevity, we omit a detailed proof.

Proposition 2.7 (Semigroup Property). *Suppose that Condition 2.1 holds. The family of operators $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ given by*

$$(2.1) \quad T_t(\psi)(x) := \mathcal{E}^x(\psi(X_t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

defines a sublinear Markovian semigroup on the set of bounded upper semianalytic functions.

2.3. The Feller Property. In the following theorem, which is the main result of this note, we show that $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a nonlinear semigroup on the space $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ of bounded continuous functions from \mathbb{R}^d into \mathbb{R} . In fact, we show a bit more, namely the existence of a so-called *uniform strong Feller selection* (see Definition 3.3 below).

Condition 2.8. *The set $\{b(f, x) : f \in F\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is convex for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that the Conditions 2.1 and 2.8 hold. Then, for every $t > 0$ and any bounded upper semicontinuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the map $x \mapsto T_t(\psi)(x)$ is uniformly continuous. In particular, $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has the C_b -Feller property, i.e., $T_t(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$.*

Remark 2.10. Theorem 2.9 shows that $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has a weak version of the uniform strong Feller property (Definition 3.3 below), i.e., that regularity is gained through T_t , with $t > 0$, since bounded upper semicontinuous functions are mapped to bounded uniformly continuous functions.

For a one-dimensional continuous nonlinear framework with uncertain volatility, i.e., with F -dependent diffusion coefficient a , a version of Theorem 2.9 was proved in our previous paper [3].

2.4. An Application to Semilinear PDEs. For $(x, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times C^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, we define the nonlinearity

$$(2.2) \quad G(x, \phi) := \sup_{f \in F} \left\{ \langle b(f, x), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [a(x) \nabla^2 \phi(x)].$$

Recall that a function $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *weak sense viscosity subsolution* to the semilinear PDE

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) - G(x, u(t, \cdot)) = 0, & \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) = \psi(x), & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where $\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, if the following two properties hold:

- (a) $u(0, \cdot) \leq \psi$;
- (b) $\partial_t \phi(t, x) - G(x, \phi(t, \cdot)) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u \leq \phi$ and $\phi(t, x) = u(t, x)$ for some $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

A *weak sense viscosity supersolution* is obtained by reversing the inequalities. Further, u is called *weak sense viscosity solution* if it is a weak sense viscosity sub- and supersolution. Additionally, u is called *viscosity subsolution* if it is both, a weak sense viscosity subsolution, and upper semicontinuous. The notions of viscosity supersolution and viscosity solution are defined accordingly.

Similar as in our previous paper [2], using the Conditions 2.1 and 2.8, one can prove that the so-called value function

$$v(t, x) := T_t(\psi)(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is a weak-sense viscosity solution to (2.3). The uniform strong USC_b -Feller property from Theorem 2.9 yields additional regularity of the semigroup which can be used to show that v is even a viscosity solution in the classical sense. Under Lipschitz conditions on b and a , we can even deduce a uniqueness statement.

Condition 2.11 (Lipschitz Continuity in Space). *There exists a decomposition $a = \sigma \sigma^*$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|b(f, x) - b(f, y)\| + \|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\| \leq C\|x - y\|,$$

for all $f \in F$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 2.12. *Suppose that the Conditions 2.1 and 2.8 hold. Then, the value function v is a viscosity solution to the nonlinear PDE (2.3). If, in addition, Condition 2.11 holds, then v is the unique bounded viscosity solution.*

Proof. We already mentioned that v is a weak sense viscosity solution to (2.3). Furthermore, thanks to Theorem 2.9, it follows verbatim as in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.36] that v is continuous (in both arguments). Finally, the comparison principle [8, Corollary 2.34], in combination with [8, Lemmata 2.4, 2.6] and [8, Remark 2.5], implies uniqueness under Condition 2.11. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.9

We call an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a (continuous) *semimartingale after a time* $t^* \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if the process $Y_{+t^*} = (Y_{t+t^*})_{t \geq 0}$ is a semimartingale for its natural right-continuous filtration. The law of a semimartingale after t^* is said to be a *semimartingale law after t^** and the set of them is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}(t^*)$. For $P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}(t^*)$ we denote the semimartingale characteristics of the shifted coordinate process X_{+t^*} by $(B_{+t^*}^P, C_{+t^*}^P)$, and we set

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}^{\text{ac}}(t^*) := \{P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}(t^*) : P\text{-a.s. } (B_{+t^*}^P, C_{+t^*}^P) \ll \mathbb{A}\}.$$

For $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(t, x) := \{P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}^{\text{ac}}(t) : P(X_s = x \text{ for all } s \in [0, t]) = 1, \\ (\lambda \otimes P)\text{-a.e. } (dB_{+t}^P/d\lambda, dC_{+t}^P/d\lambda) \in \Theta(X_{+t})\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a probability measure P on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , a kernel $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto Q_\omega \in \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$, and a finite stopping time τ , we define the pasting measure

$$(P \otimes_\tau Q)(A) \triangleq \iint \mathbb{1}_A(\omega \otimes_{\tau(\omega)} \omega') Q_\omega(d\omega') P(d\omega), \quad A \in \mathcal{F},$$

where

$$\omega \otimes_\tau \omega' := \omega \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} + (\omega(\tau) + \omega' - \omega'(\tau)) \mathbb{1}_{[\tau, \infty)}.$$

Definition 3.1 (Time inhomogeneous Markov Family). *A family $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\} \subset \mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$ is said to be a strong Markov family if $(t,x) \mapsto P_{(t,x)}$ is Borel and the strong Markov property holds, i.e., for every $(s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and every finite stopping time $\tau \geq s$,*

$$P_{(s,x)}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\tau)(\omega) = \delta_\omega \otimes_{\tau(\omega)} P_{(\tau(\omega), \omega(\tau(\omega)))}$$

for $P_{(s,x)}$ -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

The following general strong Markov selection principle can be proved as in Section 5 of [3]. We omit a detailed proof.

Theorem 3.2 (Strong Markov Selection Principle). *Suppose that the Conditions 2.1 and 2.8 hold. For every $\psi \in USC_b(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and every $t > 0$, there exists a strong Markov family $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that, for all $(s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $P_{(s,x)} \in \mathcal{K}(s,x)$ and*

$$E^{P_{(s,x)}}[\phi(X_t)] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{K}(s,x)} E^P[\phi(X_t)].$$

In particular, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$T_t(\psi)(x) = E^{P_{(0,x)}}[\psi(X_t)].$$

Definition 3.3 (Uniform Strong Feller Family). *A time inhomogeneous strong Markov family $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is said to have the uniform strong Feller property if, for every $t > 0$ and every bounded Borel function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the map $[0, t-h] \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (s,x) \mapsto E^{P_{(s,x)}}[\phi(X_t)]$ is uniformly continuous for every $h \in (0, t)$.*

The next result is the key observation for the proof of Theorem 2.9.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that Condition 2.1 holds. Let $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a strong Markov family such that, for all $(s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $P_{(s,x)} \in \mathcal{K}(s,x)$. Then, it is also a uniform strong Feller family.*

Proof. We adapt the argument from [19, Theorem 7.1.9]. Recall from [19] that a probability measure Q on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is said to be a *solution to the martingale problem for $(0, a)$ starting from $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$* if $Q(X_t = x \text{ for all } t \in [0, s]) = 1$ and the processes

$$f(X_t) - \int_s^t \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [a(X_r) \nabla^2 f(X_r)] dr: \quad t \geq s, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}),$$

are Q -martingales. Thanks to [19, Theorem 7.2.1], for every $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique solution $Q_{(s,x)}$ to the martingale problem for $(0, a)$ starting from (s, x) .

By definition of the correspondence \mathcal{K} , we have $P_{(s,x)} \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{sem}}^{\text{ac}}(s)$ and we denote the Lebesgue densities of the $P_{(s,x)}$ -characteristics of the shifted coordinate process $X_{\cdot+s}$ by $(b_{\cdot+s}^{(s,x)}, a_{\cdot+s}^{(s,x)})$. Notice that $(\lambda \otimes P_{(s,x)})$ -a.e. $a_{\cdot+s}^{(s,x)} = a(X_{\cdot+s})$ by the definition of Θ and $\mathcal{K}(s, x)$. We define

$$Z_t^{(s,x)} := \exp \left(- \int_s^{t \vee s} \langle a^{-1}(X_r) b_r^{(s,x)}, d\bar{X}_r^{(s,x)} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{t \vee s} \langle b_r^{(s,x)}, a^{-1}(X_r) b_r^{(s,x)} \rangle dr \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\bar{X}^{(s,x)} := X - \int_s^{\cdot \vee s} b_r^{(s,x)} dr.$$

Thanks to [19, Lemma 6.4.1], each $Z^{(s,x)}$ is a $P_{(s,x)}$ -martingale and

$$dQ_{(s,x)} = Z_T^{(s,x)} dP_{(s,x)} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T \text{ for all } T \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded Borel function such that $|\psi| \leq 1$, fix a finite time horizon $T > 0$ and set

$$\Psi(s, x) := E^{P_{(s,x)}} [\psi(X_T)], \quad (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Further, let $h \in (0, T)$, pick $0 \leq \alpha \leq h$ and let $(t, x), (s, y) \in [0, T - h] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $t \leq s$. Using the (strong) Markov property of $\{P_{(s,x)}: (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & |E^{P_{(t,x)}} [\psi(X_T)] - E^{P_{(s,y)}} [\psi(X_T)]| \\ &= |E^{P_{(t,x)}} [\Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})] - E^{P_{(s,y)}} [\Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})]| \\ &\leq |E^{P_{(t,x)}} [Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)} \Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})] - E^{P_{(s,y)}} [Z_{s+\alpha}^{(s,y)} \Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})]| \\ &\quad + E^{P_{(t,x)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)}|] + E^{P_{(s,y)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(s,y)}|] \\ &= |E^{Q_{(t,x)}} [\Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})] - E^{Q_{(s,y)}} [\Psi(s + \alpha, X_{s+\alpha})]| \\ &\quad + E^{P_{(t,x)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)}|] + E^{P_{(s,y)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(s,y)}|]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (E^{P_{(t,x)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)}|])^2 &\leq E^{P_{(t,x)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)}|^2] \\ &= E^{P_{(t,x)}} [(Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)})^2] - 1 \\ &\leq e^{C(s+\alpha-t)} - 1 \\ &= e^{C\alpha} - 1 + e^{C\alpha} (e^{C(s-t)} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ only depends on the constant from part (iii) of Condition 2.1. Similarly, we have

$$(E^{P_{(s,y)}} [|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(s,y)}|])^2 \leq e^{C\alpha} - 1.$$

Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $\alpha_\varepsilon \in (0, h)$ with

$$e^{C\alpha_\varepsilon} - 1 < \varepsilon^2.$$

Next, choose $\beta_\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$e^{C\alpha_\varepsilon} (e^{C\beta_\varepsilon} - 1) < \varepsilon^2.$$

This yields that

$$(3.2) \quad E^{P(t,x)}[|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(t,x)}|] + E^{P(s,y)}[|1 - Z_{s+\alpha}^{(s,y)}|] \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon.$$

Due to [19, Theorem 7.2.4], there exists an $0 < \delta \leq \beta_\varepsilon$ that does not depend on $(t, x), (s, y)$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad |E^{Q(t,x)}[\Psi(s + \alpha_\varepsilon, X_{s+\alpha_\varepsilon})] - E^{Q(s,y)}[\Psi(s + \alpha_\varepsilon, X_{s+\alpha_\varepsilon})]| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $|t - s| + \|x - y\| \leq \delta$. Here, we used that $\alpha_\varepsilon > 0$. Thanks to (3.2) and (3.3), we conclude that

$$|E^{P(t,x)}[\psi(X_T)] - E^{P(s,y)}[\psi(X_T)]| \leq 4\varepsilon,$$

in case $|t - s| + \|x - y\| \leq \delta$. This proves the uniform strong Feller property of the family $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ and therefore, the proof is complete. \square

Finally, we are in the position to prove our main result, Theorem 2.9.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and upper semicontinuous and take $t > 0$. By the Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, there exists a uniform strong Feller family $\{P_{(s,x)} : (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that

$$T_t(\psi)(x) = E^{P(0,x)}[\psi(X_t)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The uniform strong Feller property yields the uniform continuity of $x \mapsto T_t(\psi)(x)$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. G. Crandall, M. Kocan and A. Świech. L^p -Theory for fully nonlinear uniformly parabolic equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 25:1997–2053, 2000.
- [2] D. Criens and L. Niemann. Nonlinear continuous semimartingales. Forthcoming in *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 2023.
- [3] D. Criens and L. Niemann. Markov selections and Feller properties of nonlinear diffusions. arXiv:2205.15200v5, 2022.
- [4] D. Criens and L. Niemann. Nonlinear semimartingales and Markov processes with jumps. arXiv:2310.10546, 2023.
- [5] R. Denk, M. Kupper, and M. Nendel. A semigroup approach to nonlinear Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 130:1616–1642, 2020.
- [6] N. El Karoui and X. Tan. Capacities, measurable selection and dynamic programming part II: application in stochastic control problems. arXiv:1310.3364v2, 2015.
- [7] T. Fadina, A. Neufeld, and T. Schmidt. Affine processes under parameter uncertainty. *Probability, Uncertainty and Quantitative Risk*, 4(5), 2019.
- [8] J. Hollender. *Lévy-Type Processes under Uncertainty and Related Nonlocal Equations*. PhD thesis, TU Dresden, 2016.
- [9] M. Hu and S. Peng. G-Lévy processes under sublinear expectations. *Probability, Uncertainty and Quantitative Risk*, 6(1), 2021.
- [10] N. V. Krylov. $C^{1+\alpha}$ -Regularity of viscosity solutions of general nonlinear parabolic equations. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 232(4):403–427, 2018.
- [11] N. V. Krylov. *Sobolev Viscosity Solutions for Fully Nonlinear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations*. American Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [12] F. Kühn. Viscosity solutions to Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equations associated with sublinear Lévy(-type) processes. *ALEA*, 16:531–559, 2019.
- [13] F. Kühn. On infinitesimal generators of sublinear Markov semigroups. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 58(3):487–508, 2021.

- [14] M. Nendel and M. Röckner. Upper envelopes of families of Feller semigroups and viscosity solutions to a class of nonlinear Cauchy problems. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 59(6):4400–4428, 2021.
- [15] A. Neufeld and M. Nutz. Nonlinear Lévy processes and their characteristics. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369:69–95, 2017.
- [16] M. Nutz and R. van Handel. Constructing sublinear expectations on path space. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 123(8):3100–3121, 2013.
- [17] S. Peng. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type. In F. E. Benth et. al., editors, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications: The Abel Symposium 2005*, pages 541–567, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [18] S. Peng. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 118:2223–2253, 2008.
- [19] D. W. Stroock and S.R.S. Varadhan. *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, reprint of 1997 ed., 2006.

ALBERT-LUDWIGS UNIVERSITY OF FREIBURG, ERNST-ZERMELO-STR. 1, 79104 FREIBURG, GERMANY

Email address: david.criens@stochastik.uni-freiburg.de

Email address: lars.niemann@stochastik.uni-freiburg.de