

# Well-posedness and Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for space-time fractional SPDEs with fractionally integrated additive noise

Xinjie Dai, Jialin Hong, and Derui Sheng

**ABSTRACT.** This paper considers the space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE, for short) with fractionally integrated additive noise, which is general and includes many (fractional) SPDEs with additive noise. Firstly, the existence, uniqueness and temporal regularity of the mild solution are presented. Then the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator is proposed as a time-stepping method to numerically solve the underlying model. Two key ingredients are developed to overcome the difficulty caused by the interaction between the time-fractional derivative and the fractionally integrated noise. One is a novel decomposition way for the drift part of the mild solution, named here the integral decomposition technique, and the other is to derive some fine estimates associated with the solution operator by making use of the properties of the Mittag–Leffler function. Consequently, the proposed Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator is proved to be convergent with order  $\min\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r + \lambda - \kappa), 1\}$  if  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ , otherwise order  $\min\{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r + \lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1 - \varepsilon\}$  in the sense of  $L^2(\Omega, H)$ -norm for the nonlinear case. In particular, the corresponding convergence order can attain  $\min\{\alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon, \alpha + \gamma - \varepsilon, 1\}$  for the linear case.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE, for short) driven by the fractionally integrated additive noise of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha X(t) + (-\Delta)^\beta X(t) = F(X(t)) + \mathcal{I}_t^\gamma \dot{W}(t), & \forall t \in (0, T], \\ X(0) = X_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

which is also known as the stochastic fractional subdiffusion problem; see e.g., [11, 12]. Here,

- $\partial_t^\alpha$  denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_t^\gamma$  denotes the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ . Both are with respect to time  $t$ ; see e.g., [13, 25] for the specific definitions.
- $-\Delta =: A$  is the negative Laplacian with a zero Dirichlet boundary condition in a convex polygonal domain  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 1, 2, 3$ ), with its domain  $\mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $(-\Delta)^\beta$  is the fractional Laplacian of order  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ;  $\{W(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a Wiener process with a covariance operator  $Q$  on some complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ ; see the end of this section for more details.
- The initial datum  $X_0$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable that takes values in  $H := L^2(\mathbb{D})$  or its subspace, and the deterministic mapping  $F : H \rightarrow H$  is Borel measurable.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H15, 60H35, 65M12.

*Key words and phrases.* Fractional stochastic partial differential equations; Well-posedness; Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator; Strong convergence; Integral decomposition.

This work is supported by National key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2020YFA0713701, and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11971470, 12031020).

The deterministic case of such problems has been extensively studied due to its influential applications in anomalous diffusion models; see e.g., [21, 22]. In order to describe the random effects on transport of particles in medium with memory or particles subject to sticking and trapping, the noise force  $\mathcal{I}_t^\gamma \dot{W}(t)$  is usually added and used to reflect the phenomenon that the internal energy depends also on the past random effects; see e.g., [1, 2, 23]. With the aid of the Laplace transform, the mild solution of the model (1.1) can be formally formulated as

$$X(t) = \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)X_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-s)F(X(s))ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-s)dW(s), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, the solution operator  $\mathcal{S}_\eta(u) : H \rightarrow H$  with  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  and  $u > 0$  is defined by  $\mathcal{S}_\eta(u) = u^{\alpha+\eta-1}E_{\alpha, \alpha+\eta}(-A^\beta u^\alpha)$ , where  $E_{\alpha, \alpha+\eta}(\cdot)$  denotes the Mittag–Leffler function; see Section 2 for more details. When  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ , the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution (1.2) has been given in [12]. For the generalizability of the results obtained in this paper, the well-posedness of the model (1.1) is investigated again in Section 3 under the condition

$$\alpha \in (0, 1], \quad \beta \in (0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in [0, 1] \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha + \gamma > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Although high-accuracy numerical methods have been used to solve the deterministic counterpart of the model (1.1) (see e.g., [5, 17, 24]), the accuracy of these numerical methods will be destroyed when encountering the interaction between the fractional derivative and stochastic noise, which motivates the studies on numerical methods for the model (1.1) or its special cases. When  $\alpha = 1$ , the model (1.1) degenerates into a space-fractional SPDE, and the semi-implicit Euler method is modified in [19] for the case  $\gamma = 0$ . When  $\beta = 1$ , the model (1.1) becomes a time-fractional SPDE, and the Grünwald–Letnikov method and the L1 method as two time-stepping methods are well studied in [8, 11, 28] for the linear equation with  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ . When  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ , the model (1.1) is solved by the Galerkin finite element method combined with the Wong–Zakai approximation in [12], which mainly focuses on the spatial discretization. Without being too exhaustive, we also refer to [6, 7, 14, 15, 18] for other numerical methods of related models. To our best knowledge, however, there seems no work on the time-stepping method and the corresponding numerical analysis for the model (1.1) with general  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ .

To fill this gap, inspired by the excellent performance of the exponential integrator in SPDEs, the present work focuses on a generalized exponential integrator named the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for the model (1.1), and is devoted to the corresponding strong convergence analysis under the condition (1.3). In this analysis, the main difficulty lies in the low temporal regularity of the mild solution (1.2), particularly when  $\alpha$  is small. For instance, when dealing with the integral term of the form  $\Upsilon(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u)G(u)du$  appeared in the error estimate, the common way is to decompose  $\Upsilon(t)$  into the sum of two integrals on subintervals  $[0, t_m]$  and  $[t_m, t]$  with the same integrand. In this way, it turns out that the strong convergence rate of the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator will not exceed order  $\alpha$ , which is unsatisfactory especially for the case of  $\alpha$  being close to zero (see Remark 4.1 with  $G(\cdot) = F(X(\cdot))$  for more details). In terms of time-fractional deterministic partial differential equations, which relate to the case of  $\beta = 1$  and  $W \equiv 0$ , the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator has been proved in [5] to be first-order convergent based on the asymptotic expansion of the true solution given by [20]. However, with the appearance of the stochastic noise, the asymptotic expansion of this type seems unavailable for the mild solution (1.2). To get rid of this situation, we propose a new decomposition way for the integral  $\Upsilon(t)$  in Section 2, which will be called the integral decomposition technique for the better presentation. Unlike the previous way, the newly proposed way is decomposing  $\Upsilon(t)$  into the sum of two integrals with regard to distinct integrands on the same interval. The advantage of this integral decomposition technique will be reflected in the analysis of the temporal regularity of the mild solution (1.2), as shown in Theorem 3.2. Furthermore,

in order to facilitate the strong error analysis of the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for the model (1.1), some fine estimates associated with the solution operator are established in Section 2, which would be also potentially used in the analysis of some related models.

For the nonlinear case of the model (1.1), we prove that the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator is convergent with order

$$\begin{cases} \min\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r + \lambda - \kappa), 1\}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ \min\{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r + \lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1 - \varepsilon\}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

in the sense of  $L^2(\Omega, H)$ -norm. For the linear case of the model (1.1), the corresponding convergence order can attain  $\min\{\alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon, \alpha + \gamma - \varepsilon, 1\}$ ; see Section 4 for more details. For the obtained results, we mention that the convergence order of the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator could exceed  $\alpha$ , which also reveals that the proposed integral decomposition technique is powerful for this error analysis. When  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator degenerates into the accelerated exponential Euler integrator that has been well studied for the corresponding SPDE; see e.g., [9, 10, 27]. It is worth emphasizing that the obtained results in this paper are consistent with those in the references for the case of  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$ .

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some properties of the Mittag–Leffler function, some estimates associated with the solution operator, and the integral decomposition technique, which are instrumental for the theoretical and numerical analyses of the model (1.1). Section 3 gives the existence, uniqueness and temporal regularity of the mild solution (1.2) under the condition (1.3). In Section 4, the strong error analysis of the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for the model (1.1) is reported for the nonlinear and linear cases separately.

**Notations.** For readability, we now list some notations frequently used in this paper.

- Let  $\{(\lambda_k, \phi_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of eigenpairs of the negative Laplacian  $A$  with  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$ . In fact,  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  forms an orthonormal basis of the separable Hilbert space  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \|\cdot\|)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  be the space of bounded linear operators from  $H$  to  $H$  equipped with the usual operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{L}_2(H)$  the space of Hilbert–Schmidt operators  $\mathcal{T} : H \rightarrow H$  endowed with the Hilbert–Schmidt norm  $\|\mathcal{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} := (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{T}\phi_k\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- $\{W(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is given by  $W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{q_k} \xi_k(t) \phi_k$ , where  $\{(q_k, \phi_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of eigenpairs of the covariance operator  $Q$ , and  $\{\xi_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of mutually independent real-valued Brownian motions.
- Set  $\dot{H}^{\varpi}(\mathbb{D})$  or simply  $\dot{H}^{\varpi}$  for any  $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}$  as a Hilbert space induced by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varpi} := (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\varpi} \langle \cdot, \phi_k \rangle^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- The fractional Laplacian  $A^{\beta} := (-\Delta)^{\beta}$  of order  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  is defined by  $A^{\beta} \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\beta} \langle \varphi, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$  for  $\varphi \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$ .
- Using  $\Gamma(z) := \int_0^{\infty} u^{z-1} e^{-u} du$  with  $z > 0$  denotes the Gamma function, and  $B(a, b) := \int_0^1 u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du$  with  $a, b > 0$  denotes the Beta function.
- For any  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ , denote  $\varrho^+ = \max\{\varrho, 0\}$ . Use  $\varepsilon > 0$  to represent a sufficiently small constant. Denote by  $C$  a generic constant and use  $C(\cdot)$  if necessary to mention the parameters it depends on, whose values may vary from one place to another but are always independent of the discretization parameters  $N$  and  $M$  in (4.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. The Mittag–Leffler function.** Firstly, we introduce the definition and some useful properties of the Mittag–Leffler function, which are taken from [13, 25]. To this end, put the constants  $a \in (0, 1]$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The Mittag–Leffler function is defined by the series

$$E_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(ak + b)}, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C},$$

which can be seen as a generalization of the exponential function since  $E_{1,1}(z) = e^z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . For any  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the following derivative formula holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} [t^{a+\eta-1} E_{a,a+\eta}(-\lambda t^a)] = \begin{cases} -\lambda t^{a-1} E_{a,a}(-\lambda t^a), & \text{if } a + \eta = 1, \\ t^{a+\eta-2} E_{a,a+\eta-1}(-\lambda t^a), & \text{if } a + \eta \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For any real number  $c \in (\frac{a\pi}{2}, a\pi)$ , there exists some constant  $C = C(a, b, c) > 0$  such that

$$|E_{a,b}(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-1}, \quad c \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi. \quad (2.2)$$

In particular, when  $b = a$ , this upper bound can be refined as

$$|E_{a,a}(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-2}, \quad c \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi. \quad (2.3)$$

**2.2. Estimates of the solution operator.** Recall that the solution operator is defined by  $\mathcal{S}_\eta(u) = u^{\alpha+\eta-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha+\eta}(-A^\beta u^\alpha)$  for  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u > 0$ , or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{S}_\eta(u)\psi = u^{\alpha+\eta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,\alpha+\eta}(-\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha) \langle \psi, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k, \quad \text{for } \psi \in H. \quad (2.4)$$

To facilitate the proof of the main results of this paper, let us prepare some useful estimates associated with the solution operator.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  and  $0 < s < t$ . Then there exists some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_\eta(\cdot)$  defined by (2.4) has the following estimates:*

(1) For any  $\varrho \leq 2\beta$ ,

$$\|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \mathcal{S}_\eta(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C t^{\alpha+\eta-1-\frac{\varrho}{2\beta}} e^+.$$

(2) When  $\alpha + \eta = 1$ , for any  $\varrho \in [-2\beta, 2\beta]$ ,

$$\|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C \int_s^t u^{-1-\frac{\varrho\alpha}{2\beta}} du.$$

(3) When  $\alpha + \eta \neq 1$ , for any  $\varrho \leq 2\beta$ ,

$$\|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_\eta(t) - \mathcal{S}_\eta(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C \int_s^t u^{\alpha+\eta-2-\frac{\varrho}{2\beta}} e^+ du.$$

**PROOF.** (1) It can be obtained by [12, Lemma 3.6] with some trivial extensions, so the details are omitted.

(2) By (2.1) and (2.3), for any  $\varrho \in [-2\beta, 2\beta]$ , one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \lambda_k^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha) - E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_k^\beta s^\alpha)| \right\} \\ &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \lambda_k^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \left| \int_s^t -\lambda_k^\beta u^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha) du \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \int_s^t u^{-1-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{2\beta}} \frac{(\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha)^{1+\frac{\varrho}{2\beta}}}{(1+\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha)^2} du \right\} \leq C \int_s^t u^{-1-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{2\beta}} du.$$

(3) When  $\varrho \in [0, 2\beta]$ , it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\eta(t) - \mathcal{S}_\eta(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \lambda_k^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} |t^{\alpha+\eta-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha+\eta}(-\lambda_k^\beta t^\alpha) - s^{\alpha+\eta-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha+\eta}(-\lambda_k^\beta s^\alpha)| \right\} \\ &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \lambda_k^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \left| \int_s^t u^{\alpha+\eta-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha+\eta-1}(-\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha) du \right| \right\} \\ &\leq C \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \int_s^t u^{\alpha+\eta-2-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{2\beta}} \frac{(\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha)^{\frac{\varrho}{2\beta}}}{1+\lambda_k^\beta u^\alpha} du \right\} \leq C \int_s^t u^{\alpha+\eta-2-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{2\beta}} du, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any  $\varrho < 0$ ,

$$\|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\eta(t) - \mathcal{S}_\eta(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C \|\mathcal{S}_\eta(t) - \mathcal{S}_\eta(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C \int_s^t u^{\alpha+\eta-2} du.$$

Hence, the proof is completed.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha + \gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\kappa = \min\{(\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, 2\beta\}$ ,  $\varrho \in (-\kappa, \kappa)$  and  $0 < s < t \leq T$ . Then there exists some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\cdot)$  defined by (2.4) has the following estimates:*

(1) When  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ ,

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \leq C(t-s)^{1-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{\beta}}.$$

(2) When  $\alpha + \gamma \neq 1$  and  $\varrho = (\alpha + \gamma - 1)\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}$ ,

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \leq C(t-s)^{\min\{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}), 1\}}.$$

(3) When  $\alpha + \gamma \neq 1$  and  $\varrho \neq (\alpha + \gamma - 1)\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(t-s)^{2-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \varrho \geq 0 \text{ and } \varrho = (\alpha + \gamma - \frac{3}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, \\ C(t-s)^{2\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha \varrho}{2\beta}, 1\}}, & \text{if } \varrho \geq 0 \text{ and } \varrho \neq (\alpha + \gamma - \frac{3}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, \\ C(t-s)^{2-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \varrho < 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \gamma = \frac{3}{2}, \\ C(t-s)^{2\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}, 1\}}, & \text{if } \varrho < 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \gamma \neq \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. To facilitate the proof, we firstly give the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \left| \int_s^t (v-u)^{-1} dv \right|^2 du &\leq \int_0^s \int_s^t (v-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dv \int_s^t (v-u)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dv du \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s |(t-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (s-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}}| du \leq C(t-s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

(1) Applying Lemma 2.1(2) and the change of variables shows

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du$$

$$\leq C \int_0^s \left| \int_{s-u}^{t-u} v^{-1-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} dv \right|^2 du = C \int_0^s \left| \int_s^t (v-u)^{-1-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} dv \right|^2 du.$$

Thus, when  $\varrho = 0$ , (2.5) implies the desired result; while  $\varrho \in (-\kappa, 0) \cup (0, \kappa)$ , it follows from [4, Lemma 3.2] that

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \leq C \int_0^s \left( (t-u)^{-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} - (s-u)^{-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} \right)^2 du \leq C(t-s)^{1-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{\beta}},$$

where the last step also used the fact  $-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta} \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Indeed, it follows from  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$  and  $\kappa = \min\{(\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, 2\beta\}$  that  $-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta} \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ , since

- if  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then  $\kappa = 2\beta$  and  $\varrho \in (-2\beta, 2\beta)$ ;
- if  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , then  $\kappa = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$  and  $\varrho \in (-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ .

(2) When  $\alpha + \gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , using Lemma 2.1(3) and [4, Lemma 3.2] reads

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \leq C \int_0^s \left( (t-u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (s-u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} \right)^2 du \leq C(t-s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

While  $\alpha + \gamma \in (1, 2)$ , using Lemma 2.1(3) with  $\varrho = (\alpha + \gamma - 1)\frac{2\beta}{\alpha} > 0$  as well as (2.5) reveals

$$\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \leq C \int_0^s \left| \int_s^t (v-u)^{-1} dv \right|^2 du \leq C(t-s).$$

(3) It follows from Lemma 2.1(3) and [4, Lemma 3.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \\ & \leq C \int_0^s \left( (t-u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} - (s-u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}} \right)^2 du \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C(t-s)^{2-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \varrho \geq 0 \text{ and } \varrho = (\alpha + \gamma - \frac{3}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, \\ C(t-s)^{2\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}, 1\}}, & \text{if } \varrho \geq 0 \text{ and } \varrho \neq (\alpha + \gamma - \frac{3}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, \\ C(t-s)^{2-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \varrho < 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \gamma = \frac{3}{2}, \\ C(t-s)^{2\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}, 1\}}, & \text{if } \varrho < 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \gamma \neq \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

In order to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution (1.2), we make the following assumption for the fractionally integrated noise of the model (1.1), which is similar to that of [11, 12].

**ASSUMPTION 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\alpha + \gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\kappa = \min\{(\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}, 2\beta\}$ . Assume that the negative Laplacian  $A$  and the covariance operator  $Q$  satisfy*

$$\|A^{\frac{r-\kappa}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } r \in (0, \kappa].$$

In virtue of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can further obtain some priori estimates of the diffusion term of the mild solution (1.2).

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds, and put  $\varrho \in (r - 2\kappa, r)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,  $0 < s < t \leq T$ . Then there exists some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$  such that*

$$\left\| \int_s^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^e)} \leq C(t-s)^{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha\varrho}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)^+},$$

$$\left\| \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varrho)} \leq \begin{cases} C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C(t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)^+, 1-\varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. It follows from  $\varrho \in (r-2\kappa, r)$  that  $\kappa-r+\varrho \in (-\kappa, \kappa)$ . Then, using the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, Assumption 2.1 and Lemma 2.1(1) indicates

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_s^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varrho)} \leq C \left( \int_s^t \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \|A^{\frac{r-\kappa}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} \left( \int_s^t \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r+\varrho}{2}} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(t-s)^{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, Assumption 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 reveals

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varrho)} \\ & \leq C \left( \int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u)) Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \|A^{\frac{r-\kappa}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} \left( \int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r+\varrho}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C(t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+\varrho)^+, 1-\varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

**2.3. The integral decomposition technique.** In this part, we are devoted to developing the integral decomposition technique, which is of vital importance to the temporal regularity analysis of the mild solution (1.2) as well as the error analysis of the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator (4.1); see Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 for more details.

Let  $t \in (0, T]$  and the operator  $\mathcal{S}_0(t)$  be defined by (2.4). Fix  $p \geq 2$  and  $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a bounded measurable mapping  $G : [0, T] \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)$ , we are interested in the following integral

$$\Upsilon(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u) G(u) du, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that the integral  $\Upsilon(\cdot)$  corresponds to the drift term of the mild solution (1.2) when taking  $G(\cdot) = F(X(\cdot))$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let the integral  $\Upsilon(\cdot)$  be defined by (2.6). Then one has the decomposition*

$$\Upsilon(\cdot) = \Phi(\cdot) + \Psi(\cdot)$$

with  $\Phi(\cdot)$ ,  $\Psi(\cdot)$  and  $\Upsilon(\cdot)$  satisfying the following statements:

(1) For all  $0 < s < t \leq T$ ,

$$\|\Phi(t) - \Phi(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha).$$

(2) If there exist constants  $K > 0$ ,  $\mu < 1$  and  $\nu \in (-\alpha, 1)$  such that for all  $0 < u_1 < u_2 \leq T$ ,

$$\|G(u_2) - G(u_1)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq K u_1^{-\mu} (u_2 - u_1)^\nu,$$

then for all  $0 < s < t \leq T$ ,

$$\|\Psi(t) - \Psi(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{-\mu} (t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\nu-\varepsilon, 1\}},$$

$$\|\Upsilon(t) - \Upsilon(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{-\mu} (t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\nu-\varepsilon, 1\}}.$$



Here,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  is associated with the operator  $\mathcal{S}_0(\cdot)$  (i.e., (2.4) with  $\eta = 0$ ), and all the constants  $C > 0$  are independent of  $t$  and  $s$ .

PROOF. First of all, one can make the following decomposition

$$\Upsilon(t) = \underbrace{\int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u)G(0)du}_{=:\Phi(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u)(G(u) - G(0))du}_{=:\Psi(t)}, \quad \forall t \in (0, T].$$

Next, we prove the claims (1) and (2) separately.

(1) It follows from (2.1) that

$$\Phi(t) - \Phi(s) = \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u)G(0)du - \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(s-u)G(0)du = (\mathcal{S}_1(t) - \mathcal{S}_1(s))G(0),$$

which together with Lemma 2.1(3) indicates

$$\|\Phi(t) - \Phi(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq \|\mathcal{S}_1(t) - \mathcal{S}_1(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|G(0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha).$$

(2) For convenience, denote  $\tau := t - s > 0$ . Then, by the change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t) - \Psi(s) &= \int_{-\tau}^s \mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau)(G(s-u) - G(0))du - \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(u)(G(s-u) - G(0))du \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{-\tau}^s \mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau)(G(s) - G(0))du}_{=:\Psi_1} - \underbrace{\int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(u)(G(s) - G(0))du}_{=:\Psi_1} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{-\tau}^0 \mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau)(G(s-u) - G(s))du}_{=:\Psi_2} + \underbrace{\int_0^s (\mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau) - \mathcal{S}_0(u))(G(s-u) - G(s))du}_{=:\Psi_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\Psi(t) - \Psi(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq \|\Psi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} + \|\Psi_2\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} + \|\Psi_3\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)}.$$

It follows from the fact  $\Psi_1 = (\mathcal{S}_1(t) - \mathcal{S}_1(s))(G(s) - G(0))$  and Lemma 2.1(3) that

$$\|\Psi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq \|\mathcal{S}_1(t) - \mathcal{S}_1(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|G(s) - G(0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha).$$

Using Lemma 2.1(3) and the assumption  $\|G(u_1) - G(u_2)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq K u_1^{-\mu} (u_2 - u_1)^\nu$  with  $0 < u_1 < u_2 \leq T$  as well as the Beta function shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_2\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} &\leq \int_{-\tau}^0 \|\mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|G(s-u) - G(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} du \\ &\leq C \int_{-\tau}^0 (u+\tau)^{\alpha-1} s^{-\mu} (-u)^\nu du \leq C s^{-\mu} \tau^{\alpha+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

And, by the estimate  $\int_u^{u+\tau} \omega^{\alpha-2} d\omega \leq C u^{\varepsilon-1-\nu} \tau^{\min\{\alpha+\nu-\varepsilon, 1\}}$  with  $u \in (0, T]$  and  $\nu \in (-\alpha, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_3\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} &\leq \int_0^s \|\mathcal{S}_0(u+\tau) - \mathcal{S}_0(u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|G(s-u) - G(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} du \\ &\leq C \int_0^s \int_u^{u+\tau} \omega^{\alpha-2} d\omega (s-u)^{-\mu} u^\nu du \leq C s^{\varepsilon-\mu} \tau^{\min\{\alpha+\nu-\varepsilon, 1\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by collecting these estimates at hand,

$$\|\Psi(t) - \Psi(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^\varpi)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{-\mu} (t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\nu-\varepsilon, 1\}}.$$



Finally, recalling the claim (1) and the triangle inequality completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Well-posedness and regularity

**3.1. Existence and uniqueness of the mild solution.** The following assumption is common in the theoretical analysis of semilinear fractional SPDEs; see e.g., [12, 14].

ASSUMPTION 3.1. *There exists some positive constant  $L$  such that  $F : H \rightarrow H$  satisfies*

$$\|F(\phi)\| \leq L(1 + \|\phi\|) \quad \text{and} \quad \|F'(\phi)\psi\| \leq L\|\psi\|, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in H.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $p \geq 2$  and  $X_0 \in L^p(\Omega, H)$ . Under Assumptions 2.1 and 3.1, there exists a unique mild solution  $X$  given by (1.2) to the model (1.1). Moreover, for any  $q \in [0, r]$ , if  $X_0 \in L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q))$ .*

PROOF. For any  $X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$ , define the operator  $\mathcal{T}$  by

$$\mathcal{T}X(t) := \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)X(0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-s)F(X(s))ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-s)dW(s).$$

Similar to the first estimate of Lemma 2.3, one can derive

$$\left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-s)dW(s) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} \leq Ct^{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r+q)^+} \leq C. \quad (3.1)$$

**Claim 1:**  $\mathcal{T}X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$ .

Let  $X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$  and  $t \in [0, T]$  be arbitrary. It follows from the triangle inequality, (3.1) with  $q = 0$ , Lemma 2.1(1) and Assumption 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{T}X(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)X(0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-s)F(X(s))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}ds + \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-s)dW(s) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}\|X(0)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}\|F(X(s))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}ds + C \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}ds \left(1 + \|X\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))}\right) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\mathcal{T}X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$ .

Let  $\varsigma$  be a positive constant, whose value will be determined later. Set

$$\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))_\varsigma := \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H)) \mid \|\phi\|_{\varsigma, p} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}\|\phi(t)\|^p}{E_{\alpha, 1}(\varsigma t^\alpha)} \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that it is a Banach space, since the weighted norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varsigma, p}$  is equivalent to the standard norm of  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))$  for the fixed  $\varsigma > 0$  and  $p \geq 2$ .

**Claim 2:** There exists a positive constant  $\varsigma$  such that  $\|\mathcal{T}X_1 - \mathcal{T}X_2\|_{\varsigma, p} \leq \varpi\|X_1 - X_2\|_{\varsigma, p}$  with  $\varpi \in (0, 1)$ , for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))_\varsigma$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))_\varsigma$  be arbitrary. According to Lemma 2.1(1), Assumption 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, one can read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{T}X_1(t) - \mathcal{T}X_2(t)\|^p & \leq \left( \int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}\|F(X_1(s)) - F(X_2(s))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}ds \right)^p \\ & \leq C \left( \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}\|X_1(s) - X_2(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}ds \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_0 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|X_1(s) - X_2(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}^p ds.$$

Then, it follows from [26, Lemma 5] that

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{T}X_1(t) - \mathcal{T}X_2(t)\|^p}{E_{\alpha,1}(\varsigma t^\alpha)} \leq \frac{C_0}{E_{\alpha,1}(\varsigma t^\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,1}(\varsigma s^\alpha) ds \|X_1 - X_2\|_{\varsigma, p}^p \leq \frac{C_0 \Gamma(\alpha)}{\varsigma} \|X_1 - X_2\|_{\varsigma, p}^p.$$

Since the positive constant  $C_0$  is independent of  $\varsigma$ , one can take sufficiently large  $\varsigma$  such that  $\varpi := C_0 \Gamma(\alpha) \varsigma^{-1} < 1$ , which implies Claim 2. With Claims 1 and 2 at hand, the Banach contraction mapping theorem indicates that there exists a unique mild solution given by (1.2) to the model (1.1).

The remaining proof is to show  $X \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q))$  under the assumption  $X_0 \in L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)$  for any  $q \in [0, r)$ . Using (1.2), the triangle inequality, (3.1), Lemma 2.1(1) and Assumption 3.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)X_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} + \int_0^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-s)F(X(s))\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} ds + \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-s)dW(s) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|X_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)} + \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(s))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} ds + C \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha q}{2\beta}} (1 + \|X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

in which the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}$  can be further bounded by  $C\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^q)}$ . Thus, the singular version of Grönwall's inequality (see e.g., [16, Lemma A.2]) and the arbitrariness of  $t \in [0, T]$  complete the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Regularity of the mild solution.** In this part, we will provide the temporal regularity analysis of the mild solution (1.2) by making use of the integral decomposition technique; see Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 3.1 hold and let  $p \geq 2$  and  $X_0 \in L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})$ . Then for all  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , there exists some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$  such that*

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C(t-s)^{\min\{\alpha, \text{StoC}\}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\text{StoC} := \min \left\{ \alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r), 1 - \varepsilon \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + \left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^+, 1 - \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Moreover, there exists a sufficiently small constant  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + Cs^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{\text{StoC}}. \quad (3.3)$$

**PROOF.** Note that for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $0 < s < t \leq T$ , there exists a positive constant  $\varrho \in [\alpha, 1]$  satisfying

$$t^\alpha - s^\alpha = t^{\alpha-\varrho}t^\varrho - s^{\alpha-\varrho}s^\varrho \leq s^{\alpha-\varrho}t^\varrho - s^{\alpha-\varrho}s^\varrho \leq s^{\alpha-\varrho}(t-s)^\varrho, \quad (3.4)$$

which implies that there exists a sufficiently small constant  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$t^\alpha - s^\alpha \leq Cs^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, 1\}}. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (1.2) and the triangle inequality that

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq \underbrace{\|(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s))X_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}}_{=: I_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \underbrace{\left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_0(t-u)F(X(u))du - \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(s-u)F(X(u))du \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}}_{=: I_2} \\
& + \underbrace{\left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u)dW(u) - \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u)dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)}}_{=: I_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

1. Proof of (3.2). By Lemma 2.1(2) and the assumption  $\|X_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})} < \infty$ ,

$$I_1 \leq \|A^{-\beta}(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|X_0\|_{L^p(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha).$$

Using Lemma 2.1, Assumption 3.1, [4, Lemma 3.1] and Theorem 3.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 & \leq \int_0^s \|(\mathcal{S}_0(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_0(s-u))F(X(u))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} du + \int_s^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-u)F(X(u))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} du \\
& \leq \int_0^s \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_0(s-u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(u))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} du + \int_s^t \|\mathcal{S}_0(t-u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(u))\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} du \\
& \leq C \left( \int_0^s \int_{s-u}^{t-u} v^{\alpha-2} dv du + \int_s^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} du \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \|X\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^p(\Omega, H))} \right) \\
& \leq \begin{cases} C(t-s)^\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ C(t-s)^{1-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In virtue of Lemma 2.3,

$$I_3 \leq \left\| \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} + \left\| \int_s^t \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t-u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C(t-s)^{\text{StoC}},$$

where

$$\text{StoC} := \min\left\{\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r), 1 - \varepsilon\right\} \leq \min\left\{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \varepsilon\right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, collecting these estimates at hand as well as using (3.4) with  $\varrho = \alpha$  proves (3.2).

2. Proof of (3.3). From the proof of (3.2), one can also read

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + I_2 + C(t-s)^{\text{StoC}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Based on (3.2) and Assumption 3.1, applying Theorem 2.1 with  $\mu = 0$  and  $\nu = \min\{\alpha, \text{StoC}\}$  to the term  $I_2$  yields

$$I_2 \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C(t-s)^{\min\{2\alpha-\varepsilon, \alpha+\text{StoC}-\varepsilon, 1\}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, it follows from (3.7), (3.8), (3.6) and (3.5) that

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C(t-s)^{\min\{2\alpha-\varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}} \leq C s^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, 2\alpha-\varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Based on (3.9) and Assumption 3.1, applying Theorem 2.1 with  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$  and  $\nu = \min\{\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, 2\alpha - \varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}$  to the term  $I_2$  yields

$$I_2 \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{\min\{2\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon, 3\alpha-2\varepsilon, \alpha+\text{StoC}-\varepsilon, 1\}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then, it follows from (3.7), (3.10), (3.6) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} & \leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{\min\{2\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon, 3\alpha-2\varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}} \\
& \leq C s^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}} (t-s)^{\min\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, 3\alpha-2\varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Repeating these derivation steps of (3.9) and (3.11), one can arrive at

$$\|X(t) - X(s)\|_{L^p(\Omega, H)} \leq C s^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}} (t - s)^{\min\{\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}}. \quad (3.12)$$

With the estimate (3.12) at hand, applying Assumption 3.1 and Theorem 2.1 with  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$  and  $\nu = \min\{\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \text{StoC}\}$  to the term  $I_2$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}} (t - s)^{\min\{2\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon, \alpha + \text{StoC} - \varepsilon, 1\}} \\ &\leq C(t^\alpha - s^\alpha) + C s^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}} (t - s)^{\min\{\alpha + \text{StoC} - 2\varepsilon, 1\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where (3.6) is also used. Finally, combining (3.7) and (3.13) completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. The Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator

For a fixed integer  $N \geq 2$ , define a finite dimensional subspace  $H_N = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N\} \subset H$  and let the projection operator  $P_N : H \rightarrow H_N$  be defined by  $v \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^N \langle v, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k$ . For a fixed integer  $M \geq 2$ , let  $\{t_m = mh : m = 0, 1, \dots, M\}$  be a uniform partition of  $[0, T]$  with the time stepsize  $h = \frac{T}{M}$ .

Applying the spectral Galerkin method for the spatial discretization and the Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for the temporal discretization (see e.g., [14]), we obtain the fully discrete method for (1.1) as follows:

$$Y_m^N = \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}^N(t_m) Y_0^N + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0^N(t_m - s) P_N F(Y_j^N) ds + \int_0^{t_m} \mathcal{S}_\gamma^N(t_m - s) P_N dW(s) \quad (4.1)$$

with  $Y_0^N = P_N X_0$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\eta^N(\cdot) = \mathcal{S}_\eta(\cdot) P_N$  for  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ .

**4.1. The nonlinear case.** The following assumption is common in the error analysis of the exponential-type integrators for semilinear (fractional) SPDEs; see e.g., [14, 27] for some concrete examples.

**ASSUMPTION 4.1.** *Let  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $F : H \rightarrow H$  be twice differentiable. Assume that there exist  $\delta \in [1, 2\beta)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, r)$ ,  $\zeta \in [1, 2\beta)$  and  $L > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F'(\varphi_1)\varphi_2\|_{-\delta} &\leq L(1 + \|\varphi_1\|_\lambda) \|\varphi_2\|_{-\lambda}, & \forall \varphi_1 \in \dot{H}^\lambda, \varphi_2 \in \dot{H}^{-\lambda}, \\ \|F''(u)\langle \phi, \psi \rangle\|_{-\zeta} &\leq L\|\phi\| \|\psi\|, & \forall \phi, \psi \in H. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $X_0 \in L^4(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})$ . Under the Assumptions 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1, there exists some positive constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, r, \delta, L, T, \varepsilon)$  such that for all  $2 \leq m \leq M$ ,*

$$\|X(t_m) - Y_m^N\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \leq \begin{cases} C \lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} + C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\min\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r+\lambda-\kappa), 1\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C \lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} + C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\min\{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r+\lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1 - \varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** In view of (1.2) and (4.1), one can read

$$\begin{aligned} &\|X(t_m) - Y_m^N\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t_m)(I - P_N)X_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)(I - P_N)F(X(s))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) P_N (F(X(s)) - F(Y_j^N)) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_m} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_m - s)(I - P_N) dW(s) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \end{aligned}$$

$$=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \quad (4.2)$$

In fact, one can find that  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $J_4$  correspond to the spatial error, while  $J_3$  corresponds to the temporal error.

1. Spatial error. For this part, it will be frequently used that for any  $\varrho \geq 0$ ,

$$\|A^{-\varrho}(I - P_N)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\varrho}. \quad (4.3)$$

Firstly, it follows from Lemma 2.1(1) and (4.3) that

$$J_1 \leq \|\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t_m)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{-\beta}(I - P_N)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^\beta X_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\beta} \|X_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})} \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Secondly, using Lemma 2.1(1), (4.3), Assumption 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 reads

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|A^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}(I - P_N)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(s))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} \int_0^{t_m} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r-\varepsilon)} ds \left(1 + \|X\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^2(\Omega, H))}\right) \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, applying Itô's isometry, (4.3), Assumption 2.1 and Lemma 2.1(1) reveals

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \left( \int_0^{t_m} \|\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_m - s)(I - P_N)Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|A^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}(I - P_N)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{\frac{r-\kappa}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} \left( \int_0^{t_m} \|A^{\frac{\kappa-\varepsilon}{2}}\mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} \left( \int_0^{t_m} (t_m - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-\varepsilon))} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one can conclude that the spatial error is bounded by

$$J_1 + J_2 + J_4 \leq C\lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}}. \quad (4.4)$$

2. Temporal error. For this part, by recalling the Taylor expansion

$$F(X(s)) = F(X(t_j)) + F'(X(t_j))(X(s) - X(t_j)) + R_{F,j}(s)$$

with  $R_{F,j}(s) := \int_0^1 F''(X(t_j) + \theta(X(s) - X(t_j))) \langle X(s) - X(t_j), X(s) - X(t_j) \rangle (1 - \theta) d\theta$ , one can bound the temporal error term  $J_3$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N(F(X(t_j)) - F(Y_j^N))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_j))(X(s) - X(t_j)) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N R_{F,j}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking (1.2) into account for the second term of the right hand side, one can arrive at

$$J_3 \leq J_{3,1} + J_{3,2} + J_{3,3} + J_{3,4} + J_{3,5} + J_{3,6} + J_{3,7} \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,1} &:= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N(F(X(t_j)) - F(Y_j^N))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds, \\
J_{3,2} &:= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_j))(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t_j))X_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds, \\
J_{3,3} &:= \int_0^{t_1} \left\| \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_0)) \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(s - u)F(X(u))du \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds, \\
J_{3,4} &:= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left\| \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_j)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left( \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(s - u)F(X(u))du - \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_0(t_j - u)F(X(u))du \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds, \\
J_{3,5} &:= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_j)) \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u) dW(u) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}, \\
J_{3,6} &:= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N F'(X(t_j)) \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) dW(u) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}, \\
J_{3,7} &:= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)P_N R_{F,j}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1(1) and Assumption 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,1} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(t_j)) - F(Y_j^N)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} \|X(t_j) - Y_j^N\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that for any  $\varrho \in [0, 1]$ ,  $s \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$  with  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$t_j^{-\varrho} \leq 2^\varrho t_{j+1}^{-\varrho} \leq 2^\varrho s^{-\varrho}, \tag{4.7}$$

which together with (3.4) and the Beta function implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - t_j^\alpha) ds \leq t_{m-1}^{2\alpha-1} h + C \int_{t_1}^{t_m} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\alpha-1} (s - t_j) ds \leq C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, it follows from Assumption 3.1, Lemma 2.1(1)–(2),  $\|X(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})} < \infty$  and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{3,2} &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{-\beta}(\mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(s) - \mathcal{S}_{1-\alpha}(t_j))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} ds \cdot \|X_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{2\beta})} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - t_j^\alpha) ds \leq C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By Assumption 3.1, Lemma 2.1(1) and Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3,3} &\leq C \int_0^{t_1} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \int_0^s \|\mathcal{S}_0(s - u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(u))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} du ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_1} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^s (s - u)^{\alpha-1} du ds \leq C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By Assumption 3.1, Lemma 2.1(1) and a similar estimate to (3.13) as well as (4.8), (4.7) and the Beta function,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3,4} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \left\| \int_0^s \mathcal{S}_0(s - u) F(X(u)) du - \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_0(t_j - u) F(X(u)) du \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - t_j^\alpha) ds + C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} t_j^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} (s - t_j)^{\min\{\alpha + \text{StoC} - \varepsilon, 1\}} ds \\ &\leq C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h + C h^{\min\{\alpha + \text{StoC} - \varepsilon, 1\}} \int_{t_1}^{t_m} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} ds \leq C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h^{\min\{1, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

According to Lemma 2.1(1), Assumption 4.1, Hölder's inequality, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3,5} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|A^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \left\| A^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} F'(X(t_j)) \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1 - \frac{\alpha\delta}{2\beta}} (1 + \|X(t_j)\|_{L^4(\Omega, \dot{H}^\lambda)}) \left\| \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^4(\Omega, \dot{H}^{-\lambda})} ds \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C h^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r + \lambda - \kappa)}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C h^{\min\{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r + \lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1 - \varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Using the independence of the noise increments, Assumption 3.1, Lemmas 2.1(1) and 2.3, the identity  $\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha\kappa}{2\beta} = (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+$  and the assumption  $\lambda < r \leq \kappa$  reveals

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{3,6}|^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\| \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) P_N F'(X(t_j)) \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) dW(u) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \left\| \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} (s - t_j)^{\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r)} ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq C h^{2(\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r))} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\varepsilon-1} ds \times \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\alpha-1-2\varepsilon} ds \\ &\leq C h^{2\min\{2\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r) - \varepsilon, \alpha + \gamma - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa - r)\}} \leq C h^{2(\min\{\alpha - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}\} + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C h^{2(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r + \lambda - \kappa))}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C h^{2(\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r + \lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+)}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$



Here, the last step is easy for the case  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ , so the details are omitted. In the case  $\alpha + \gamma \neq 1$ , the last step also used the inequality

$$\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa - r, \lambda\} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa - r, r\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha\kappa}{2\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\alpha + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}, \alpha\} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq \min\{\alpha - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1(1), Assumption 4.1, Theorem 3.2, (3.4), (4.7), the Beta function and the assumption  $\lambda < r \leq \kappa$  that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3,7} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|A^{\frac{\zeta}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}} R_{F,j}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} \|X(s) - X(t_j)\|_{L^4(\Omega, H)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_1} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} s^{2\min\{\alpha, \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1-\varepsilon\}} ds + C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} (s^\alpha - t_j^\alpha)^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} s^{2\varepsilon-1} (s - t_j)^{2\min\{\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1-\varepsilon\}} ds \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{2\min\{\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1-\varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \gamma < 1, \\ C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{2\min\{\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1 \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r+\lambda-\kappa)}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r+\lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Here, the last step is easy for the case  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ , so the details are omitted. In the case  $\alpha + \gamma \neq 1$ , the last step also used the inequality

$$\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa - r, \lambda\} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa - r, r\} \leq \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+.$$

Now, by collecting the estimates (4.5), (4.6), (4.9)–(4.14) and applying the singular version of Grönwall's inequality (see e.g., [16, Lemma A.2]), one can obtain that the temporal error term  $J_3$  can be bounded by

$$J_3 \leq \begin{cases} C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\min\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(r+\lambda-\kappa), 1\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C t_m^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha\zeta}{2\beta}} h^{\min\{\frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \min\{\kappa, r+\lambda\} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+, 1-\varepsilon\}}, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

which together with (4.2) and (4.4) completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 4.1. *In the literature, the term  $J_{3,4}$  is usually estimated in the following way.*

Step 1. *Decompose the integral interval of the inner integral of  $J_{3,4}$  into two parts to obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{3,4} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left\| \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) P_N F'(X(t_j)) \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_0(s - u) F(X(u)) du \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left\| \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) P_N F'(X(t_j)) \int_0^{t_j} (\mathcal{S}_0(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_0(t_j - u)) F(X(u)) du \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$=: J'_{3,4} + J''_{3,4}.$$

Step 2. Estimate  $J'_{3,4}$  and  $J''_{3,4}$  separately. Use Assumption 3.1, Lemma 2.1(1) and Theorem 3.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{3,4} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \int_{t_j}^s \|\mathcal{S}_0(s - u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|F(X(u))\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} du ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1} \int_{t_j}^s (s - u)^{\alpha-1} du ds \leq Ch^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $J_{3,4} \leq Ch^\alpha + J''_{3,4}$ . In this way, one can only obtain a convergence rate of not exceeding order  $\alpha$ , which is unsatisfactory especially for the case of  $\alpha$  being close to zero. To this end, the integral decomposition technique is developed in Section 2, which can improve the convergence rate of  $J_{3,4}$  from not exceeding order  $\alpha$  to order  $\min\{1, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon\}$ . In addition, the integral decomposition technique is also potentially used in the estimate of  $J_{3,7}$  since the estimate depends on the temporal regularity of the mild solution (1.2).

**4.2. The linear case.** In this part, we improve the convergence order in Theorem 4.1 for the linear case.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds and the deterministic mapping  $F$  is linear. Then there exists some positive constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, r, T, \varepsilon)$  such that for all  $2 \leq m \leq M$ ,*

$$\|X(t_m) - Y_m^N\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)} \leq C \lambda_{N+1}^{-\frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}} + C t_m^{2\alpha-1} h^{\min\{\alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon, \alpha + \gamma - \varepsilon, 1\}}.$$

To facilitate the proof of the above theorem, we firstly prepare two useful lemmas.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b < 1$ ,  $c < 1$ ,  $T > 0$  and the integer  $M \geq 2$ . For  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ , put  $t_j = j \frac{T}{M}$ . Then, there exists some positive constant  $C = C(a, b, c, T)$  such that*

$$\sup_{2 \leq m \leq M} \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{-a} (t_m - \tau)^{-b} (\tau - s)^{-c} d\tau ds \leq \begin{cases} C, & \text{if } a + b + c < 2, \\ C \ln M, & \text{if } a + b + c = 2, \\ CM^{a+b+c-2}, & \text{if } a + b + c > 2. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** Using the change of variables and the Beta function shows

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{-a} (t_m - \tau)^{-b} (\tau - s)^{-c} d\tau ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \int_s^{t_m} (t_m - s)^{-a} (t_m - \tau)^{-b} (\tau - s)^{-c} d\tau ds \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_m} \int_0^u u^{-a} v^{-b} (u - v)^{-c} dv du \\ &= B(1 - b, 1 - c) \int_{t_1}^{t_m} u^{1-(a+b+c)} du. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the proof can be completed by some direct computations.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, there exists some positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$J_{3,5} \leq Ch^{\min\{1, \alpha + \gamma - \varepsilon, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon\}},$$

where  $J_{3,5}$  is the same as in (4.5).

PROOF. By introducing

$$J_{3,5}^* := \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\| \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u) dW(u) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}^2,$$

$$J_{3,5}^{**} := \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} \mathbb{E} \left\langle \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) \int_0^{t_i} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_i - u) dW(u) ds, \right. \\ \left. \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - \tau) \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(\tau - v) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - v) dW(v) d\tau \right\rangle,$$

$|J_{3,5}|^2$  can be bounded by

$$|J_{3,5}|^2 \leq C(J_{3,5}^* + 2J_{3,5}^{**}), \quad (4.15)$$

where the term  $J_{3,5}^*$  can be estimated as

$$J_{3,5}^* \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \left\| \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{r-\kappa})} ds \right)^2 \\ \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)} (s - t_j)^{\min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}, 1-\varepsilon\}} ds \right)^2 \\ \leq Ch^2 \min\{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}, 1-\varepsilon\} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\varepsilon-1} ds \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(\kappa-r)-2\varepsilon} ds \\ \leq Ch^2 \min\{2\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)-\varepsilon, 1+\alpha-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)-2\varepsilon, \alpha+\gamma, \frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\} \leq Ch^2 \min\{\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta}+(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})^+-\varepsilon, \alpha+\gamma, 1\}. \quad (4.16)$$

To facilitate the estimate of the term  $J_{3,5}^{**}$ , for  $s \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $\tau \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ , introduce

$$I_{i,j}(s, \tau) := \mathbb{E} \left\langle \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) \int_0^{t_i} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_i - u) dW(u), \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - \tau) \int_0^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_\gamma(\tau - v) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - v) dW(v) \right\rangle,$$

$$I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) := \int_0^{t_i} \|\mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_i - u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\tau - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} du.$$

Then, one can derive from [3, Corollary 4.29] that

$$I_{i,j}(s, \tau) \\ = \int_0^{t_i} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - \tau) (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\tau - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u)) Q (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_i - u)) \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) \right) du \\ \leq \int_0^{t_i} \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_i - u)) \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\tau - u) - \mathcal{S}_\gamma(t_j - u)) \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} du \\ \leq \|A^{\frac{r-\kappa}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}^2 \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \times I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau),$$

which together with Assumption 2.1 and Lemma 2.1(1) indicates

$$J_{3,5}^{**} = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} I_{i,j}(s, \tau) d\tau ds \\ \leq C \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)} (t_m - \tau)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)} I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) d\tau ds. \quad (4.17)$$

Here, it follows from Lemma 2.1(2)–(3) that for  $s \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $\tau \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ ,

$$I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq \begin{cases} C \int_0^{t_i} ((t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1})((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ C \int_0^{t_i} \int_{t_i-u}^{s-u} v^{-1} dv \int_{t_j-u}^{\tau-u} \omega^{-1} d\omega du, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma = 1, \\ C \int_0^{t_i} ((s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1})((\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du, & \text{if } \alpha + \gamma \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Next, we put  $s \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ,  $\tau \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq m-1$  and proceed to estimate  $J_{3,5}^{**}$  in these three cases.

Case 1:  $\alpha + \gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . It follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du \\ &= t_i^{\alpha+\gamma} \int_0^1 (1-v)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - t_i v)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - t_i v)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) dv \\ &\leq s^{\alpha+\gamma} \int_0^1 (1-v)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - sv)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - sv)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) dv \\ &= \int_0^s (s-u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq C \left( \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^s (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du \right) \\ &\leq C \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} ((t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} - (\tau - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1}) du. \end{aligned}$$

When  $j > i+1$ , using the mean value theorem and  $\tau - s \leq 2(t_j - u)$  with  $u \in [t_i, s]$  shows

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq C \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} (\tau - t_j) (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (t_j - u)^{1-\varepsilon} du (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \\ &\leq Ch(\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-1)-\varepsilon} du \leq Ch^{2(\alpha+\gamma)-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $j = i+1$ , one has  $\tau - s \leq 2h$ , which indicates

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq C \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-1} du h^{1-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \\ &\leq Ch^{1-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \int_{t_i}^s (s - u)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-1)} du \leq Ch^{2(\alpha+\gamma)-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^{2(\alpha+\gamma)-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}$ . Then, by recalling (4.17) and using Lemma 4.1,

$$J_{3,5}^{**} \leq Ch^{2 \min\{\alpha+\gamma, \alpha+\frac{\alpha\tau}{2\beta}+(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})^+\}-\varepsilon}.$$

Case 2:  $\alpha + \gamma = 1$ . By the change of variables,

$$I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq C \int_0^{t_i} \int_{t_i}^s (v - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (v - u)^{-\varepsilon} dv \int_{t_j}^{\tau} (\omega - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (\omega - u)^{-\varepsilon} d\omega du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (t_j - u)^{\varepsilon-1} \int_{t_i}^s (v - u)^{-\varepsilon} dv \int_{t_j}^{\tau} (\omega - u)^{-\varepsilon} d\omega du \\
&\leq Ch^{2-2\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (t_j - u)^{\varepsilon-1} du.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $j > i + 1$ , it follows from  $\tau - s \leq 2(t_j - u)$  with  $u \in [0, t_i]$  that

$$I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^{2-2\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (t_j - u)^{\varepsilon-1} (t_j - u)^{1-\varepsilon} du (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \leq Ch^{2-2\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

When  $j = i + 1$ , it follows from  $t_j - u \geq h$  with  $u \in [0, t_i]$  and  $\tau - s \leq 2h$  that

$$I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} du (\tau - s)^{1-\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1} \leq Ch^{2-2\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

Thus,  $I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^{2-2\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{\varepsilon-1}$ . Then, by recalling (4.17) and using Lemma 4.1,

$$J_{3,5}^{**} \leq Ch^{2 \min\{1, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+\} - 2\varepsilon}.$$

Case 3:  $\alpha + \gamma \in (1, 2]$ . Using the mean value theorem shows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq C \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (s - t_i) (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (\tau - t_j) du \\
&\leq Ch^2 \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} du.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $\alpha + \gamma \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ , one gets  $I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^2 \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-2)} du \leq Ch^2$ . When  $\alpha + \gamma \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $j > i + 1$ , it follows from  $\tau - s \leq 2(t_j - u)$  with  $u \in [0, t_i]$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq Ch^2 \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (t_j - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (t_j - u)^{-2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})+\varepsilon} du (\tau - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon} \\
&\leq Ch^2 (\tau - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} du \leq Ch^2 (\tau - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

When  $\alpha + \gamma \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $j = i + 1$ , it follows from  $t_j - u \geq h$  with  $u \in [0, t_i]$  and  $\tau - s \leq 2h$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) &\leq Ch^2 \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\alpha+\gamma-2} (t_j - u)^{2(\alpha+\gamma)-3-\varepsilon} (t_j - u)^{1-(\alpha+\gamma)+\varepsilon} du \\
&\leq Ch^{2(\alpha+\gamma)-1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{t_i} (t_i - u)^{\varepsilon-1} du (\tau - s)^{-2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})+\varepsilon} (\tau - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon} \\
&\leq Ch^2 (\tau - s)^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $I_{i,j}^*(s, \tau) \leq Ch^2 (\tau - s)^{\min\{0, 2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{3}{2})-\varepsilon\}}$ . Then, by recalling (4.17) and using Lemma 4.1,

$$J_{3,5}^{**} \leq Ch^{2 \min\{1, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon\}}.$$

Therefore, one can conclude

$$J_{3,5}^{**} \leq Ch^{2 \min\{1, \alpha + \gamma - \varepsilon, \alpha + \frac{\alpha r}{2\beta} + (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^+ - \varepsilon\}},$$

which together with (4.15) and (4.16) completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* When the deterministic mapping  $F$  is linear, the estimates of  $J_{3,5}$ ,  $J_{3,6}$  and  $J_{3,7}$  in the proof of Theorem 4.1 can be refined. In fact, under this assumption,  $J_{3,5}$  has been estimated again in Lemma 4.2, and  $J_{3,7} = 0$ . For  $J_{3,6}$ , it follows from the independence of the noise increments, Lemma 2.1(1), Lemma 2.3 and Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{3,6}|^2 &= C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\| \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s) \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) dW(u) ds \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H)}^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|A^{\frac{\kappa-r}{2}} \mathcal{S}_0(t_m - s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \left\| \int_{t_j}^s \mathcal{S}_\gamma(s - u) dW(u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \dot{H}^{r-\kappa})} ds \right)^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\kappa-r)} (s - t_j)^{\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} ds \right)^2 \\
&\leq Ch^{2(\alpha+\gamma-\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\varepsilon-1} ds \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_m - s)^{2\alpha-1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(\kappa-r)-2\varepsilon} ds \\
&\leq Ch^{2\min\{\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta}+(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})^+-\varepsilon, \alpha+\gamma\}}. \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by combining (4.5), (4.6), (4.9)–(4.11), Lemma 4.2, (4.18) and the fact  $J_{3,7} = 0$  as well as applying the singular version of Grönwall’s inequality (see e.g., [16, Lemma A.2]), one can conclude that the temporal error term  $J_3$  can be bounded by

$$J_3 \leq Ct_m^{2\alpha-1} h^{\min\{\alpha+\frac{\alpha r}{2\beta}+(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})^+-\varepsilon, \alpha+\gamma-\varepsilon, 1\}},$$

which together with (4.2) and (4.4) completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. A. ASOGWA AND E. NANE, *Intermittency fronts for space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations in  $(d + 1)$  dimensions*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 127 (2017), pp. 1354–1374.
- [2] Z.-Q. CHEN, K.-H. KIM, AND P. KIM, *Fractional time stochastic partial differential equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 125 (2015), pp. 1470–1499.
- [3] G. DA PRATO AND J. ZABCZYK, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second ed., 2014.
- [4] X. DAI, A. XIAO, AND W. BU, *Stochastic fractional integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels: Well-posedness and Euler–Maruyama approximation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, (2022), DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2021225.
- [5] R. GARRAPPA, *Exponential integrators for time-fractional partial differential equations*, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics, 222 (2013), pp. 1915–1927.
- [6] M. GUNZBURGER, B. LI, AND J. WANG, *Convergence of finite element solutions of stochastic partial integro-differential equations driven by white noise*, Numer. Math., 141 (2019), pp. 1043–1077.
- [7] M. GUNZBURGER, B. LI, AND J. WANG, *Sharp convergence rates of time discretization for stochastic time-fractional PDEs subject to additive space-time white noise*, Math. Comp., 88 (2019), pp. 1715–1741.
- [8] Y. HU, C. LI, AND Y. YAN, *Weak convergence of the L1 scheme for a stochastic subdiffusion problem driven by fractionally integrated additive noise*, Appl. Numer. Math., 178 (2022), pp. 192–215.
- [9] A. JENTZEN AND P. E. KLOEDEN, *Overcoming the order barrier in the numerical approximation of stochastic partial differential equations with additive space-time noise*, Proc. R. Soc. A, 465 (2009), pp. 649–667.

- [10] A. JENTZEN, P. E. KLOEDEN, AND G. WINKEL, *Efficient simulation of nonlinear parabolic SPDEs with additive noise*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 21 (2011), pp. 908–950.
- [11] B. JIN, Y. YAN, AND Z. ZHOU, *Numerical approximation of stochastic time-fractional diffusion*, ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 53 (2019), pp. 1245–1268.
- [12] W. KANG, B. A. EGWU, Y. YAN, AND A. K. PANI, *Galerkin finite element approximation of a stochastic semilinear fractional subdiffusion with fractionally integrated additive noise*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., (2022), DOI: 10.1093/imanum/drab035.
- [13] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, AND J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [14] M. KOVÁCS, S. LARSSON, AND F. SAEDPANAH, *Mittag–Leffler Euler integrator for a stochastic fractional order equation with additive noise*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 58 (2020), pp. 66–85.
- [15] M. KOVÁCS AND J. PRINTEMS, *Strong order of convergence of a fully discrete approximation of a linear stochastic Volterra type evolution equation*, Math. Comp., 83 (2014), pp. 2325–2346.
- [16] R. KRUSE, *Strong and Weak Approximation of Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations*, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [17] B. LI AND S. MA, *Exponential convolution quadrature for nonlinear subdiffusion equations with nonsmooth initial data*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 60 (2022), pp. 503–528.
- [18] Y. LI, Y. WANG, AND W. DENG, *Galerkin finite element approximations for stochastic space-time fractional wave equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55 (2017), pp. 3173–3202.
- [19] X. LIU, *High-accuracy time discretization of stochastic fractional diffusion equation*, J. Sci. Comput., 90 (2022), 19.
- [20] C. LUBICH, *Runge–Kutta theory for Volterra and Abel integral equations of the second kind*, Math. Comp., 41 (1983), pp. 87–102.
- [21] M. M. MEERSCHAERT, D. A. BENSON, H.-P. SCHEFFLER, AND B. BAEUMER, *Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations*, Phys. Rev. E, 65 (2002), 041103.
- [22] R. METZLER AND J. KLAFTER, *The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep., 339 (2000), pp. 1–77.
- [23] J. B. MIJENA AND E. NANE, *Space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 125 (2015), pp. 3301–3326.
- [24] R. H. NOCHETTO, E. OTÁROLA, AND A. J. SALGADO, *A PDE approach to space-time fractional parabolic problems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 54 (2016), pp. 848–873.
- [25] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications*, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, 1999.
- [26] D. T. SON, P. T. HUONG, P. E. KLOEDEN, AND H. T. TUAN, *Asymptotic separation between solutions of Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations*, Stoch. Anal. Appl., 36 (2018), pp. 654–664.
- [27] X. WANG AND R. QI, *A note on an accelerated exponential Euler method for parabolic SPDEs with additive noise*, Appl. Math. Lett., 46 (2015), pp. 31–37.
- [28] X. WU, Y. YAN, AND Y. YAN, *An analysis of the L1 scheme for stochastic subdiffusion problem driven by integrated space-time white noise*, Appl. Numer. Math., 157 (2020), pp. 69–87.

LSEC, ICMSEC, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA

Email address: dxj@lsec.cc.ac.cn, hjl@lsec.cc.ac.cn, sdr@lsec.cc.ac.cn (Corresponding author)