

A barycentric trigonometric Hermite interpolant via an iterative approach

Giacomo Elefante^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics “Tullio Levi-Civita”, University of
Padova, Via Trieste 63, Padova, Italy,
`giacomo.elefante@unipd.it`

Abstract

In this paper we extend and generalise an iterative approach for constructing the Hermite interpolant introduced by Cirillo and Hormann (2018) for the Floater-Hormann family of interpolants. In particular, we apply that scheme to produce an effective barycentric rational trigonometric Hermite interpolant using the basis function of the interpolant introduced by Berrut (1988). In order to give an easy construction of such an interpolant we compute the differentiation matrix analytically and we conclude with various examples and a numerical study of the rate of convergence at equidistant nodes.

Keywords: barycentric interpolation, rational interpolation, trigonometric interpolation, Hermite interpolation

2020 MSC: 42A15, 65D05, 65T40.

1 Introduction

Hermite interpolation is a classical problem which has been widely explored and with many applications. In particular, Hermite interpolant based on barycentric rational interpolants are an interesting subject due to the stability of the classical interpolant [12] and despite being a classical problem, it is still vivid and studied, as we can see from the recent family of Hermite barycentric interpolants introduced in [9] or the family of interpolant in [6].

Many applications derives from Hermite interpolation as the use in Treecode algorithm [10] or the use to construct curves and surfaces for images [11].

In the present paper we focus on the method introduced by Cirillo and Hormann in [6] which allows to construct an effective Hermite interpolant in an iterative way starting from the basis $b_i(x)$ of the renowned Floater-Hormann family of interpolants. In fact, they considered the basis

$$b_{i,j}(x) = \frac{1}{j!}(x - x_i)^j b_i(x)^{j+1}$$

to construct an interpolant that satisfies the Hermite conditions

$$r_m^{(j)}(x_i) = f_i^{(j)} = f^{(j)}(x_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, n; \quad j = 0, \dots, m. \quad (1)$$

It has been investigated also the effectiveness of such construction for FH-interpolants by studying in [7] the Lebesgue constant at equidistant nodes and giving an estimate of the interpolation error in [8].

Let us now consider an arbitrary 2π -periodic function $f(\theta)$. In [2], Berrut proposed the following interpolant between any set of n ordered nodes θ_i 's in $[0, 2\pi)$,

$$T_n(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right) f(\theta_i)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right)}, \quad (2)$$

where the function cst is

$$\text{cst}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \csc(\theta), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{ctg}(\theta), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

When the nodes are the equidistant ones, this interpolant corresponds to the classical trigonometric interpolant in barycentric form and therefore achieves exponential convergence to functions analytic in a strip. The latter exponential convergence can be extended, as proved in [1], to the interpolant (2) which uses as nodes the images of equidistant points under a periodic conformal map; an effective example of a periodic conformal map that clusters nodes around one (or more) location is presented and analysed in [4], this allows a faster convergence when the interpolated function present a front in one or more positions. The interpolant above, besides the fast convergence, presents also a logarithmic growth of the Lebesgue constant for a wide class of nodes as proved in [5].

In this paper we are going to generalise the iterative Hermite construction of [6] and use it to introduce an effective barycentric rational trigonometric Hermite interpolant.

The paper is in fact construct as follows: in Section 2 is presented a generalisation of the iterative approach presented by Cirillo and Hormann. In Section 3 is computed the differentiation matrix of the Hermite basis constructed with the basis of Berrut's trigonometric interpolant and that allows a fast construction and computation of the barycentric rational trigonometric Hermite interpolant. In Section 4 various numerical example are presented and analysed. Finally, we conclude in Section 5.

2 A general iterative approach

To generalise the lemma in [6], let us consider a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ and consider some basis functions b_i for an interpolant in Ω . We define then,

$$b_{i,j}(x) := \frac{1}{j!} d_i(x)^j b_i(x)^{j+1} \quad (4)$$

for a smooth function $d_i(x)$ that vanishes in x_i and not in x_j for $j \neq i$ and such that $d_i'(x_i) = 1$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{X}_n = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be some ordered nodes and let $d_i(x)$ be a function that vanishes in the nodes x_j only if $j = i$ and such that $d_i'(x_i) = 1$. Let $b_i(x)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ be a basis of some space \mathcal{V} that satisfy the Lagrange property at the nodes. Then, the function $b_{i,j}$ in (4) satisfy*

$$b_{i,j}^{(k)}(x_\ell) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < j, \\ \delta_{i,\ell}, & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Proof. For $j = 0$ the statement follows directly from the Lagrange property of $b_i(x)$. Let us now consider $j > 0$ and prove the statement by induction on j .

Let

$$c_i(x) = d_i(x)b_i(x);$$

then, it is clear that

$$b_{i,j}(x) = \frac{1}{j}c_i(x)b_{i,j-1}(x).$$

Using the Leibniz rule, we get

$$b_{i,j}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} c_i^{(k-s)}(x) b_{i,j-1}^{(s)}(x).$$

Therefore, since $c_i(x_p) = 0$ for $p = 0, \dots, n$ and, furthermore, we have that $b_{i,j-1}^{(s)}(x_p) = 0$ for $s < j - 1$ by the induction hypothesis, we get

$$b_{i,j}^{(k)}(x_p) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{s=j-1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{s} c_i^{(k-s)}(x_p) b_{i,j-1}^{(s)}(x_p).$$

Let us observe that the sum is empty in the case when $k < j$, whereas when $k = j$ we obtain

$$b_{i,j}^{(j)}(x_p) = \frac{1}{j} \binom{j}{j-1} c_i'(x_p) b_{i,j-1}^{(j-1)}(x_p).$$

Finally, we notice that $b_{i,j}^{(j)}(x_p) = 0$ if $p \neq i$ and in the case when $p = i$ we have that the product is one since $c_i'(x_i) = 1$ by construction. \square

Remark 1. We remark that, given a function $h_i(x)$ vanishing only in x_i and with a non-zero derivative in x_i , we can always construct a function that satisfies the assumption of Lemma 1 by setting

$$d_i(x) := \frac{h_i(x)}{h_i'(x_i)}.$$

Once we have the $b_{i,j}$ we can construct the Hermite interpolant starting from

$$r_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_{i,0}(x) f_i^{(0)},$$

which corresponds to the initial interpolant with basis function b_i , and for $j > 0$ introduce a correction term that allows to interpolate the derivatives correctly, i.e.,

$$r_j(x) = r_{j-1}(x) + q_j(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (6)$$

where the correction term is defined as

$$q_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_{i,j}(x) \left(f_i^{(j)} - r_{j-1}^{(j)}(x_i) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2. Notice that we could write the interpolant as

$$r_m(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{j!} d_i(x)^j b_i(x)^{j+1} g_{i,j} = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m b_{i,j}(x) g_{i,j}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$g_{i,0} = f_i \quad g_{i,j} = f_i^{(j)} - r_{j-1}^{(j)}(x_i)$$

Due to Lemma 1, the resulting interpolant r_m will satisfy the Hermite conditions, which is why the following Theorem holds.

Theorem 1. The function r_m in (6) satisfies the Hermite conditions (1).

3 The barycentric rational trigonometric interpolant

Let us consider Berrut's trigonometric interpolant [2], which, given n ordered nodes $0 \leq \theta_0 < \dots < \theta_{n-1} < 2\pi$, is defined as

$$T_n(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right) f(\theta_i)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right)}, \quad (9)$$

where the function cst is

$$\text{cst}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \csc(\theta), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{ctg}(\theta), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

It has no poles in $[0, 2\pi)$ and enjoys a logarithmic growth of its Lebesgue constant for a wide family of interpolation nodes [5]. Moreover, the interpolant converges exponentially when the nodes are images of equidistant nodes via a conformal map [1] and it has been used effectively in [3] to interpolate functions on two-dimensional starlike domains.

To retain the periodic behaviour, we may choose

$$d_i(\theta) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right), \quad (11)$$

which clearly satisfies the conditions we need to define the functions $b_{i,j}$. In particular, we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j}(\theta) &:= \frac{2^j}{j!} \sin^j\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right) (-1)^{ij+i} \frac{\text{cst}^{j+1}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_k}{2}\right)\right)^{j+1}} \\ &= \frac{2^j}{j!} (-1)^{ij+i} \frac{\text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_k}{2}\right)\right)^{j+1}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \cos^j\left(\frac{x-x_i}{2}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{2^j (-1)^{i(j+1)}}{j!} \text{cst}\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_k \frac{(-1)^k}{\sin((\theta-\theta_k)/2)}\right)^{j+1}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{\cos^j\left(\frac{\theta-\theta_i}{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_k \frac{(-1)^k}{\sin((\theta-\theta_k)/2)}\right)^{j+1}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Theorem 2. Let us consider the basis functions (12), then the differential matrix whose elements are $(D_j^s)_{ik} = b_{k,j}^{(s)}(\theta_i)$, when $s > j$ is defined as

$$(D_j^s)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right)} \left((-1)^{(k-i)(j+1)} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s-j-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s}{2q+1} \frac{(-1)^q}{2^{2q+1}} (D_j^{s-2q-1})_{ii} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)_{\theta=\theta_i}^{(s-q)} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right) \quad (13)$$

when n is odd and

$$(D_j^s)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\tan\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{u_{k,j}}{u_{i,j}} \frac{s}{2} (D_j^{s-1})_{ii} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)_{\theta=\theta_i}^{(s-q)} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right). \quad (14)$$

when n is even.

Proof. Therefore, we can write the basis as

$$b_{k,j}(\theta) := u_{k,j} \alpha(\theta) \operatorname{cst}\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \quad (15)$$

where $u_{k,j} := \frac{2^j (-1)^{k(j+1)}}{j!}$ and, if n is odd,

$$\alpha(\theta) := \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \operatorname{cst}\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right) \right)^{j+1}}$$

and, if n is even,

$$\alpha(\theta) := \frac{\cos^j\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \operatorname{cst}\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right) \right)^{j+1}}.$$

In this way we can compute the differentiation matrices as done for the classical barycentric trigonometric interpolant in [1], which will be useful to compute the values of the derivatives of the interpolant r_m at the nodes in the previous iterations.

If n is odd, considering equation (15), differentiating both side and evaluating at the points x_i , we get that

$$(\alpha(\theta))_{\theta=\theta_k}^{(s)} = \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=0}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)_{\theta=\theta_k}^{(q)} (b_{k,j}(\theta))_{\theta=\theta_k}^{(s-q)} \\ = \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=0}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)_{\theta=\theta_k}^{(q)} (D_j^{s-q})_{kk} \\ = \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)_{\theta=\theta_k}^{(q)} (D_j^{s-q})_{kk}, \quad (16)$$

where we defined $(D_j^s)_{ik} = b_{k,j}^{(s)}(\theta_i)$.

Moreover, by evaluating the derivative in a different nodes we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(\theta))^{(s)}|_{\theta=\theta_i} &= \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=0}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (b_{k,j}(\theta))^{(s-q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} \\ &= \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=0}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ik}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In (17) we can isolate the term $q = 0$ of the sum, that gives,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(\theta))^{(s)}|_{\theta=\theta_i} &= \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ik} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right) (D_j^s)_{ik}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which together with (16) gives us the following recursive formula for the differentiation matrix

$$\begin{aligned} (D_j^s)_{ik} &= \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2} \right)} \left(u_{k,j} (\alpha(\theta))^{(s)}|_{\theta=\theta_i} - \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ik} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2} \right)} \left(\frac{u_{k,j}}{u_{i,j}} \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ii} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ik} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Notice that since the terms $b_{i,j}$ satisfy (5), D_j^j corresponds to the identity matrix and $D_j^s = 0$ when $s < j$. Then, the formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (D_j^s)_{ik} &= \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2} \right)} \left(\frac{u_{k,j}}{u_{i,j}} \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2} \right) \right)^{(s-q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ii} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\sin \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(s-q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Similarly, when n is even, we have that

$$(\alpha(\theta))^{(s)}|_{\theta=\theta_k} = \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=1}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\tan \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_k} (D_j^{s-q})_{kk} \quad (22)$$

$$(\alpha(\theta))^{(s)}|_{\theta=\theta_i} = \frac{1}{u_{k,j}} \sum_{q=0}^s \binom{s}{q} \left(\tan \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2} \right) \right)^{(q)} |_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^{s-q})_{ik}. \quad (23)$$

Hence,

$$(D_j^s)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\tan\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{u_{k,j}}{u_{i,j}} \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_i}{2}\right) \right)^{(s-q)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ii} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)^{(s-q)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right). \quad (24)$$

Moreover, since

$$\left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)^{(q)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{q}{2}}}{2^q} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right), & \text{if } q \text{ even} \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}{2^q} \cos\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right), & \text{if } q \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and since the j -th derivative of $\tan((\theta - \theta_i)/2)$ is a linear combinations of powers of \tan for $j > 1$, we can simplify equation (21) to

$$(D_j^s)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right)} \left((-1)^{(k-i)(j+1)} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s-j-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{s}{2q+1} \frac{(-1)^q}{2^{2q+1}} (D_j^{s-2q-1})_{ii} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)^{(s-q)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right) \quad (25)$$

and equation (24) to

$$(D_j^s)_{ik} = \frac{1}{\tan\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{u_{k,j}}{u_{i,j}} \frac{s}{2} (D_j^{s-1})_{ii} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{q=j}^{s-1} \binom{s}{s-q} \left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_k}{2}\right) \right)^{(s-q)} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_i} (D_j^q)_{ik} \right). \quad (26)$$

□

We remark that, in case we consider D_j^{j+1} , the elements of the matrix simplify to the following

$$(D_j^{j+1})_{ik} = \frac{(-1)^{(j+1)(k-i)} (j+1)}{2} \operatorname{cst}\left(\frac{\theta_i - \theta_k}{2}\right), \quad k \neq i, \quad (27)$$

which for $j = 0$ corresponds to the values in [1]; for the diagonal elements, we use the relation $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i,j}^{(j)}(\theta) = 1$, since we want to reproduce the constants for the j -th derivate. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i,j}^{(j+1)}(\theta) = 0,$$

so that we obtain

$$(D_j^{j+1})_{ii} = - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^{n-1} (D_j^{j+1})_{ik}.$$




Figure 1: The functions f_1 (left) and f_2 (right) in red dashed and in blue line the interpolant r_3 at 7 equidistant nodes (left) and the interpolant r_2 at 6 equidistant nodes (right). Interpolation nodes are labeled in green.

In particular, we remark that, since for the construction of the Hermite interpolant in an iterative way as for (8), we need to compute $r_{j-1}^{(s)}(x_i)$ and therefore D_j^s and, in the next section, we decided to proceed by computing the differentiation matrices of higher order as

$$D_j^s = (D_j^{j+1})^{s-j} \quad s \geq j + 1. \quad (28)$$

as done for $j = 0$ in [13, 1].

4 Numerical Experiments

Here we present some numerical tests, some Matlab demos to reproduce the experiments are available at

<https://github.com/gelephant/TrigonometricHermite>

We considered the following periodic test functions in $[0, 2\pi)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\theta) &= e^{2 \sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)}, \\ f_2(\theta) &= \cos(3\theta) + \log(\cos(\theta) + 1.5) \end{aligned}$$

and we interpolated it by means of the interpolant (8) with the basis presented in Section 3 with N equidistant nodes.

We tested the interpolants which satisfy the Hermite conditions from one to four derivatives. In Figure 1 we display both test functions together with two interpolants, one satisfying the Hermite conditions up to the second derivative and one satisfying the conditions up to the third derivative.

For a fixed m , we compute, the absolute error between the function and the interpolant with N nodes $\text{err}_N = \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi)} |f(x) - r_m(x)|$ and we can notice that the interpolant, in the case of conditions up to the second derivative retains the exponential convergence of the classical interpolant (2) at equidistant nodes (see Figures 2 and 3), on the other hand, when we have conditions on the third or the fourth derivatives, the convergence slows down (see Figures 4 and 5). We

	N	5	10	20	40	80	160
r_3	f_1	3.7041	2.5930	3.0365	2.9701	2.9915	3.0007
	f_2	4.1829	2.9616	2.8911	2.9732	3.0101	3.0076
r_4	f_1	4.3726	2.9330	2.9390	2.9460	2.9163	3.0047
	f_2	5.5011	2.8366	2.9380	2.9854	2.9865	2.9187

Table 1: Estimate of the rate of convergence for r_3 and r_4 with both test functions




Figure 2: Convergence of r_1 for f_1 (left) and f_2 (right) and $N = 5, 10, 20, 40$.

can numerically estimate the convergence as $\mathcal{O}(N^{-3})$ as we can see in Table 1 where we computed $-\log_2\left(\frac{\text{err}_{2N}}{\text{err}_N}\right)$ for $N = 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160$.

Another numerical experiment we present, is the reconstruction of a closed simple figure, as might be a sketch, given some points. The two dimensions are reconstructed separately and each coordinates is consider as a periodic function. Therefore, the data are considered as images of equidistant nodes. Then, the values of the first derivatives are approximated by the difference quotients on the points.

We did therefore, the reconstruction of the sketch in Figure 6a where we extracted 362 points and used the data of the abscissae and ordinates as datae of two periodic function which we intend to approximate by the Hermite interpolant with the conditions on one derivative. Therefore, instead of using the datae of the unknown tangent vectors we approximate them with the difference quotient vector between the extracted points. The result is in Figure 6b.

In Figure 6(c)-(e) we used a subset of the initial set of nodes: half points, a third and a fifth, respectively.

As we can see by the results in Figures 6, the interpolant performs quite well even by using an approximation of the derivative and way less nodes.

5 Conclusion

The present work introduced an iterative scheme to construct an Hermite interpolant starting from Berrut's trigonometric interpolant. In order to construct the values of the derivative of the precedent iterative step, we compute the




Figure 3: Convergence of r_2 for f_1 (left) and f_2 (right) and $N = 5, 10, 20, 40$.




Figure 4: Convergence of r_3 for f_1 (left) and f_2 (right) and $N = 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320$.




Figure 5: Convergence of r_4 for f_1 (left) and f_2 (right) and $N = 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320$.




Figure 6: Reconstruction of the sketch (a), by using 362 nodes (b), 181 nodes (c), 121 nodes (d) and 73 nodes (e).

differentiation matrix which allows to obtain these values easily as a matrix product.

Our numerical experiments suggest that the produced interpolant retains the exponential convergence of the classical interpolant at equidistant nodes once we interpolate the first two derivatives and slows down to a rate of $\mathcal{O}(N^{-3})$ when we interpolate the third and the fourth derivatives.

Future work will be aimed to analyse the convergence more deeply and also for other class of nodes, as the one introduced in [4].

Acknowledgments. This research has been accomplished within Rete Italiana di Approssimazione (RITA), the thematic group on “Teoria dell’Approssimazione e Applicazioni” (TAA) of the Italian Mathematical Union and partially supported by GNCS-INδAM “Finanziamenti Giovani Ricercatori 2022” (Young Researchers Fund 2022) for the project “An iterative approach for Hermite interpolants”, INdAM - GNCS Project cod. CUP_E55F22000270001.

References

- [1] R. Baltensperger. “Some results on linear rational trigonometric interpolation”. In: *Comput. Math. Appl.* 43.6-7 (2002), pp. 737–746.
- [2] J.-P. Berrut. “Rational functions for guaranteed and experimentally well-conditioned global interpolation”. In: *Comput. Math. Appl.* 15.1 (1988), pp. 1–16.
- [3] J.-P. Berrut and G. Elefante. “A linear barycentric rational interpolant on starlike domains”. In: *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.* 55 (2022), pp. 726–743.
- [4] J.-P. Berrut and G. Elefante. “A periodic map for linear barycentric rational trigonometric interpolation”. In: *Appl. Math. Comput.* 371 (2020), pp. 124924, 8.
- [5] J.-P. Berrut and G. Elefante. “Bounding the Lebesgue constant for a barycentric rational trigonometric interpolant at periodic well-spaced nodes”. In: *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 398 (2021), pp. 113664, 11.
- [6] E. Cirillo and K. Hormann. “An iterative approach to barycentric rational Hermite interpolation”. In: *Numer. Math.* 140.4 (2018), pp. 939–962.
- [7] E. Cirillo and K. Hormann. “On the Lebesgue constant of barycentric rational Hermite interpolants at equidistant nodes”. In: *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 349 (2019), pp. 292–301.
- [8] E. Cirillo, K. Hormann, and J. Sidon. “Convergence rates of a Hermite generalization of Floater-Hormann interpolants”. In: *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 371 (2020), pp. 112624, 9.
- [9] K. Jing et al. “A convergent family of linear Hermite barycentric rational interpolants”. In: *J. Math. Res. Appl.* 40.6 (2020), pp. 628–646.
- [10] R. Krasny and L. Wang. “A treecode based on barycentric Hermite interpolation for electrostatic particle interactions”. In: *Comput. Math. Biophys.* 7 (2019), pp. 73–84.

- [11] F. Oumellal and A. Lamnii. “Curve and Surface Construction Using Hermite Trigonometric Interpolant”. In: *Mathematical and Computational Applications* 26.1 (2021).
- [12] C. Schneider and W. Werner. “Hermite interpolation: the barycentric approach”. In: *Computing* 46.1 (1991), pp. 35–51.
- [13] B. D. Welfert. “Generation of pseudospectral differentiation matrices. I”. In: *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 34.4 (1997), pp. 1640–1657.