

# Algebras over a symmetric fusion category and integrations

Xiao-Xue Wei <sup>\*a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China

<sup>b</sup>Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,  
Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

## Abstract

We study the symmetric monoidal 2-category of finite semisimple module categories over a symmetric fusion category. In particular, we study  $E_n$ -algebras in this 2-category and compute their  $E_n$ -centers for  $n = 0, 1, 2$ . We also compute the factorization homology of stratified surfaces with coefficients given by  $E_n$ -algebras in this 2-category for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  satisfying certain anomaly-free conditions.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Symmetric monoidal 2-category <math>\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}</math></b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Module categories . . . . .	3
2.2	Tensor product . . . . .	4
2.3	Symmetric monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Algebras and centers</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	$E_0$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	6
3.2	$E_1$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	6
3.3	$E_2$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	8
3.4	The $E_0$ -centers in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	9
3.5	The $E_1$ -centers in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	11
3.6	The $E_2$ -centers in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	13
<b>4</b>	<b>Representation theory and Morita theory in <math>\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}</math></b>	<b>14</b>
4.1	Modules over a multifusion category over $\mathcal{E}$ . . . . .	14
4.2	Bimodules in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	17
4.3	Invertible bimodules in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	20
4.4	Characterization of Morita equivalence in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	22
4.5	Modules over a braided fusion category over $\mathcal{E}$ . . . . .	25

---

\*Email: xxwei@mail.ustc.edu.cn

<b>5</b>	<b>Factorization homology</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	Unitary categories . . . . .	26
5.2	Factorization homology for stratified surfaces . . . . .	27
5.3	Preparation . . . . .	28
5.4	Computation of factorization homology . . . . .	29
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>32</b>
A.1	Central functors and other results . . . . .	32
A.2	Monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	35
A.3	Symmetric monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ . . . . .	40

## 1 Introduction

The mathematical theory of factorization homology is a powerful tool in the study of topological quantum field theories (TQFT). It was first developed by Lurie [L] under the name of ‘topological chiral homology’, which records its origin from Beilinson and Drinfeld’s theory of chiral homology [BD, FG]. It was further developed by many people (see for example [CG, AF1, AFT1, AFT2, AFR, BBJ1, BBJ2]) and gained its current name from Francis [F].

Although the general theory of factorization homology has been well established, explicitly computing the factorization homology in any concrete examples turns out to be a non-trivial challenge. On a connected compact 1-dimensional manifold (or a 1-manifold), i.e.  $S^1$ , the factorization homology is just the usual Hochschild homology. On a compact 2-manifold, the computation is already highly nontrivial (see for example [BBJ1, BBJ2, AF2]). Motivated by the study of topological orders in condensed matter physics, Ai, Kong and Zheng carried out in [AKZ] the computation of perhaps the simplest (yet non-trivial) kind of factorization homology, i.e. integrating a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC)  $\mathcal{A}$  (viewed as an  $E_2$ -algebra) over a compact 2-manifold  $\Sigma$ , denoted by  $\int_\Sigma \mathcal{A}$ . In physics, the category  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category of anyons (or particle-like topological defects) in a 2d (spatial dimension) anomaly-free topological order (see [W] for a review). The result of this integration is a global observable defined on  $\Sigma$ . It turns out that this global observable is precisely the ground state degeneracy (GSD) of the 2d topological order on  $\Sigma$ . This fact remains to be true even if we introduce defects of codimension 1 and 2 as long as these defects are also anomaly-free. Mathematically, this amounts to computing the factorization homology on a disk-stratified 2-manifold with coefficient defined by assigning to each 2-cell a unitary modular tensor category, to each 1-cell a unitary fusion category (an  $E_1$ -algebra) and to each 0-cell an  $E_0$ -algebra, satisfying certain anomaly-free conditions (see [AKZ, Sec. 4]).

If the category  $\mathcal{A}$  is not modular, i.e. the associated topological order is anomalous, the integral  $\int_\Sigma \mathcal{A}$  gives a global observable beyond GSD. Mathematically, it is interesting to compute  $\int_\Sigma \mathcal{A}$  for any braided monoidal category  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this work, we focus on a special situation that also has a clear physical meaning. It was shown in [LKW], a finite onsite symmetry of a 2d symmetry enriched topological (SET) order can be mathematically described by a symmetry fusion category  $\mathcal{E}$ , and the category of anyons in this SET order can be described by a UMTC over  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is roughly a unitary braided fusion category with Müger center given by  $\mathcal{E}$  (see Def. 5.5 for a precise definition). This motivates us to compute the factorization homology on 2-manifolds but valued in the symmetric monoidal 2-category of finite semisimple module categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ . The symmetric tensor product in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  is defined by the relative tensor product  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon$ . We first study  $E_i$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  and their  $E_i$ -centers for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Then we derive the anomaly-free conditions for  $E_i$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . In the end, we compute the factorization homology on disk-stratified

2-manifolds with coefficients defined by assigning anomaly-free  $E_i$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  to each  $i$ -cells for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . The main results of this work are Thm. 5.20, Thm. 5.21 and Thm. 5.22.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we introduce the tensor product  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$  and the symmetric monoidal 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . In Sec. 3, we study  $E_i$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and compute their  $E_i$ -centers for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . In Sec. 4, we study the modules over a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and modules over a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . And we prove that two fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  are Morita equivalent in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  if and only if their  $E_1$ -centers are equivalent. In Sec. 5, we recall the theory of factorization homology and compute the factorization homology of stratified surfaces with coefficients given by  $E_i$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  satisfying certain anomaly-free conditions.

**Acknowledgement** I thank Liang Kong for introducing me to this interesting subject. I also thank Zhi-Hao Zhang for helpful discussion. I am supported by NSFC under Grant No. 11971219 and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory (Grant No.2019B121203002).

## 2 Symmetric monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

**Notation 2.1.** All categories considered in this paper are small categories. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a symmetric fusion category with the braiding  $r$ . Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The category  $\mathcal{V}\text{ec}$  denotes the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a monoidal category. We denote  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  the monoidal category which has the same tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$ , but the morphism space is given by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}(a, b) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(b, a)$  for any objects  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$  the monoidal category which has the same underlying category  $\mathcal{A}$  but equipped with the reversed tensor product  $a \otimes^{\text{rev}} b := b \otimes a$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A monoidal category  $\mathcal{A}$  is rigid if every object  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  has a left dual  $a^L$  and a right dual  $a^R$ . The duality functors  $\delta^L : a \mapsto a^L$  and  $\delta^R : a \mapsto a^R$  induce monoidal equivalences  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$ .

A braided monoidal category  $\mathcal{A}$  is a monoidal category  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a braiding  $c_{a,b} : a \otimes b \rightarrow b \otimes a$  for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . We denote  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  the braided monoidal category which has the same monoidal category of  $\mathcal{A}$  but equipped with the anti-braiding  $c_{b,a}^{-1}$ .

### 2.1 Module categories

Let  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  be a 2-category of finite semisimple ( $\mathbb{k}$ -linear) abelian categories,  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functors, and natural transformations. The 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with Deligne's tensor product  $\boxtimes$ , the unit  $\mathcal{V}\text{ec}$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-category.

Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories. We define the 2-category  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  as follows.

- Its objects are left  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ . A left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  is an object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear functor  $\odot : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , a natural isomorphism  $\lambda_{c,c',m} : (c \otimes c') \odot m \simeq c \odot (c' \odot m)$ , and a unit isomorphism  $l_m : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \odot m \simeq m$  for all  $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$  and the tensor unit  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$  satisfying some natural conditions.
- Its 1-morphisms are left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functors. For left  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  is a pair  $(F, s^F)$ , where  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor and  $s_{c,m}^F : F(c \odot m) \simeq c \odot F(m)$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ , is a natural isomorphism, satisfying some natural conditions.

- Its 2-morphisms are left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module natural transformations. A left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module natural transformation between two left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functors  $(F, s^F), (G, s^G) : \mathcal{M} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{N}$  is a natural transformation  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  such that the following diagram commutes for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(c \odot m) & \xrightarrow{s^F} & c \odot F(m) \\
 \alpha_{c \odot m} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1, \alpha_m \\
 G(c \odot m) & \xrightarrow{s^G} & c \odot G(m)
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, one can define the 2-category  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  of right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  and the 2-category  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  bimodules in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ . We use  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  to denote the category of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functors from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  and natural transformations. We use  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  (or  $\text{Fun}_{|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ ) to denote the category of left (or right)  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functors from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  and left (or right)  $\mathcal{C}$ -module natural transformations.

**Remark 2.2.** There is a bijective correspondence between  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear categories (or  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functors) and  $\text{Vec}$ -modules (or  $\text{Vec}$ -module functors). For objects  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , if  $\odot : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear functor, it is a balanced  $\text{Vec}$ -module functor. And a  $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear functor  $\odot : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is equivalent to a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by the universal functor  $\boxtimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ .

## 2.2 Tensor product

The following definitions are standard (see for example [ENO, Def. 3.1] [KZ, Def. 2.2.1]).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ . A balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -bilinear functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  equipped with a natural isomorphism  $b_{m,e,n} : F(m \odot e, n) \simeq F(m, e \odot n)$  for  $m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}, e \in \mathcal{E}$ , called the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $F$ , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(m \odot (e_1 \otimes e_2), n) & \xrightarrow{b_{m, e_1 \otimes e_2, n}} & F(m, (e_1 \otimes e_2) \odot n) \\
 \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 F((m \odot e_1) \odot e_2, n) & \xrightarrow{b_{m \odot e_1, e_2, n}} F(m \odot e_1, e_2 \odot n) \xrightarrow{b_{m, e_1, e_2 \odot n}} & F(m, e_1 \odot (e_2 \odot n))
 \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

commutes for  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}$ .

A balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module natural transformation between two balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functors  $F, G : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$  is a natural transformation  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(m \odot e, n) & \xrightarrow{b_{m,e,n}^F} & F(m, e \odot n) \\
 \alpha_{m \odot e, n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{m, e \odot n} \\
 G(m \odot e, n) & \xrightarrow{b_{m,e,n}^G} & G(m, e \odot n)
 \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $m \in \mathcal{M}, e \in \mathcal{E}, n \in \mathcal{N}$ , where  $b^F$  and  $b^G$  are the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structures on  $F$  and  $G$  respectively. We use  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$  to denote the category of balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functors from  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , and balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module natural transformations.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{RMod}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{LMod}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$ . The tensor product of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  over  $\varepsilon$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , together with a balanced  $\varepsilon$ -module functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}$ , such that, for every object  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , composition with  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon$  induces an equivalence of categories  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_\varepsilon^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$ .

**Remark 2.5.** The tensor product of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  over  $\varepsilon$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$  unique up to equivalence, together with a balanced  $\varepsilon$ -module functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}$ , such that for every object  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , for any  $f \in \text{Fun}_\varepsilon^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$ , there exists a pair  $(\underline{f}, \eta)$  unique up to isomorphism, such that  $f \simeq^\eta \underline{f} \circ \boxtimes_\varepsilon$ , i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N} \\ & \searrow \eta \nearrow & \downarrow \exists! \underline{f} \\ & & \mathcal{D} \\ & \searrow f & \end{array}$$

where  $\underline{f}$  is an object in  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D})$ , and  $\eta : f \Rightarrow \underline{f} \circ \boxtimes_\varepsilon$  is a morphism in  $\text{Fun}_\varepsilon^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$ . Given two objects  $f, g$  and a morphism  $a : f \Rightarrow g$  in  $\text{Fun}_\varepsilon^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$ , there exist unique objects  $\underline{f}, \underline{g} \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D})$  such that  $f \simeq^\eta \underline{f} \circ \boxtimes_\varepsilon$  and  $g \simeq^\xi \underline{g} \circ \boxtimes_\varepsilon$ . For any choice of  $(a, \eta, \xi, \underline{f}, \underline{g})$ , there exists a unique morphism  $b : \underline{f} \Rightarrow \underline{g}$  in  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D})$  such that  $\xi \circ a \circ \eta^{-1} = b * \text{id}_{\boxtimes_\varepsilon}$ .

### 2.3 Symmetric monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 2.6.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  consists of the following data.

- Its objects are left  $\varepsilon$ -modules in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ .
- Its 1-morphisms are left  $\varepsilon$ -module functors.
- Its 2-morphisms are left  $\varepsilon$ -module natural transformations.
- The identity 1-morphism  $1_{\mathcal{M}}$  for each object  $\mathcal{M}$  is identity functor  $1_{\mathcal{M}}$ .
- The identity 2-morphism  $1_F$  for each left  $\varepsilon$ -module functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is the identity natural transformation  $1_F$ .
- The vertical composition is the vertical composition of left  $\varepsilon$ -module natural transformations.
- Horizontal composition of 1-morphisms is the composition of left  $\varepsilon$ -module functors.
- Horizontal composition of 2-morphisms is the horizontal composition of left  $\varepsilon$ -module natural transformations.

It is routine to check the above data satisfy the axioms (i)-(vi) of [JY, Prop. 2.3.4]. We define a pseudo-functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  in Sec. A.2. And the following theorem is proved in Sec. A.2 and Sec. A.3.

**Theorem 2.7.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-category.

### 3 Algebras and centers

#### 3.1 $E_0$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 3.1.** We define the 2-category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$  of  $E_0$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  as follows.

- Its objects are  $E_0$ -algebras in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ . An  $E_0$ -algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \eta_\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an object in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $\eta_\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a 1-morphism in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ .
- For two  $E_0$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \eta_\mathcal{A})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \eta_\mathcal{B})$ , a 1-morphism  $f : (\mathcal{A}, \eta_\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \eta_\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$  is a 1-morphism  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  and an invertible 2-morphism  $f^0 : \eta_\mathcal{B} \Rightarrow f \circ \eta_\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ .
- For two 1-morphisms  $f, g : (\mathcal{A}, \eta_\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \eta_\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$  is a 2-morphism  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  such that  $(\alpha * 1_{\eta_\mathcal{A}}) \circ f^0 = g^0$ , i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\eta_\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{A} \\
 \searrow & \nearrow f^0 & \downarrow f \\
 & & \mathcal{B} \\
 \eta_\mathcal{B} & & 
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \alpha \\
 \Downarrow \\
 g
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\eta_\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{A} \\
 \searrow & \nearrow g^0 & \downarrow g \\
 & & \mathcal{B} \\
 \eta_\mathcal{B} & & 
 \end{array}
 \quad (3.1)$$

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \eta)$  is an  $E_0$ -algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is symmetric,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a canonical right  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure defined by  $a \circ e := e \circ a$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ . And  $\eta$  is a right  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor.

The right  $\mathcal{E}$ -action on  $\eta$  is given by  $\eta(e \otimes \tilde{e}) \xrightarrow{r_{e, \tilde{e}, 1}} \eta(\tilde{e} \otimes e) \simeq \tilde{e} \circ \eta(e) = \eta(e) \circ \tilde{e}$ .

#### 3.2 $E_1$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two monoidal categories. A monoidal functor from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a pair  $(F, J^F)$ , where  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a functor and  $J_{x,y}^F : F(x \otimes y) \simeq F(x) \otimes F(y)$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ , is a natural isomorphism such that  $F(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{B}$  and a natural diagram commutes. A monoidal natural transformation between two monoidal functors  $(F, J^F), (G, J^G) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a natural transformation  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  such that the following diagram commutes for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(x \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{J_{x,y}^F} & F(x) \otimes F(y) \\
 \alpha_{x \otimes y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_x, \alpha_y \\
 G(x \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{J_{x,y}^G} & G(x) \otimes G(y)
 \end{array}
 \quad (3.2)$$

Given a monoidal category  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Drinfeld center of  $\mathcal{M}$  is a braided monoidal category  $Z(\mathcal{M})$ . The objects of  $Z(\mathcal{M})$  are pairs  $(x, z)$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $z_{x,m} : x \otimes m \simeq m \otimes x, m \in \mathcal{M}$  is a natural isomorphism such that the following diagram commutes for  $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \otimes m \otimes m' & \xrightarrow{z_{x, m \otimes m'}} & m \otimes m' \otimes x \\
 \searrow z_{x, m}, 1 & & \nearrow 1, z_{x, m'} \\
 & m \otimes x \otimes m' & 
 \end{array}$$

Recall the two equivalent definitions of a central functor in Def. A.1 and Def. A.2. The definitions of a fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  are in [DNO].

**Definition 3.3.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  consists of the following data.

- Its objects are multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a multifusion category  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a central functor  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .
- Its 1-morphisms are monoidal functors over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  between two multifusion categories  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a monoidal functor  $(F, J) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  equipped with a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u_e : F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{B}}(e)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , called the structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $F$ , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \otimes x) & \xrightarrow{J_{T_{\mathcal{A}}(e), x}} & F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \otimes F(x) & \xrightarrow{u_e, 1} & T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes F(x) \\
 \downarrow F(z_{e,x}) & & & & \downarrow \bar{z}_{e, F(x)} \\
 F(x \otimes T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{J_{x, T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)}} & F(x) \otimes F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{1, u_e} & F(x) \otimes T_{\mathcal{B}}(e)
 \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Here  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  are the central structures of the central functors  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  respectively.

- Its 2-morphisms are monoidal natural transformations over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A monoidal natural transformation over  $\mathcal{E}$  between two monoidal functors  $F, G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a monoidal natural transformation  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  such that the following diagram commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)}} & G(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \\
 \searrow u_e & & \swarrow v_e \\
 & T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) &
 \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are the structures of monoidal functors over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two monoidal categories. Suppose that  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, T_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  are monoidal functors, and  $u : F \circ T_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow T_{\mathcal{B}}$  is a monoidal natural isomorphism. Then  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module categories,  $T_{\mathcal{A}}, T_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $F$  are left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functors, and  $u$  is a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module natural isomorphism.

*Proof.* The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $e \odot a := T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \otimes a$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $T_{\mathcal{A}}$  is induced by the monoidal structure of  $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure  $s^F$  on  $F$  is induced by  $F(e \odot a) = F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \otimes a) \rightarrow F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \otimes F(a) \xrightarrow{u_e, 1} T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes F(a) = e \odot F(a)$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $F \circ T_{\mathcal{A}}$  is induced by  $F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{e} \otimes e)) \rightarrow F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{e}) \otimes T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \xrightarrow{s^F} T_{\mathcal{B}}(\tilde{e}) \otimes F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) = \tilde{e} \odot F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e))$  for  $e, \tilde{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ . The natural isomorphism  $u$  satisfy the diagram (2.1) by the diagram (3.2) of the monoidal natural isomorphism  $u$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** A monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . The central structure  $\sigma$  on the monoidal functor  $F \circ T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \otimes b & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \otimes F(a) & \longrightarrow & F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \otimes a) \\
 \downarrow \sigma_{e,b} & & \downarrow \sigma_{e, F(a)} & & \downarrow c_{e,a} \\
 b \otimes F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & F(a) \otimes F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) & \longleftarrow & F(a \otimes T_{\mathcal{A}}(e))
 \end{array}$$

for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, b \in \mathcal{B}$ , where  $c$  is the central structure of the functor  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Notice that for any object  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is an object  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $b \simeq F(a)$  by the equivalence of  $F$ .

**Example 3.6.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  by the central functor  $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{T_e} \overline{Z(\mathcal{C})} \cong Z(\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}})$ .

**Example 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ .  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is a multifusion category by [EGNO, Cor. 9.3.3]. Moreover,  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We define a functor  $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $e \mapsto T^e := e \odot -$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $T^e$  is defined as  $e \odot (\tilde{e} \odot m) \rightarrow (e \odot \tilde{e}) \odot m \xrightarrow{r_{e, \tilde{e}, 1}} (\tilde{e} \otimes e) \odot m \rightarrow \tilde{e} \odot (e \odot m)$  for  $\tilde{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . The monoidal structure  $J^T$  on  $T$  is induced by  $T^{e \otimes e'} = (e \otimes e') \odot - \simeq e \odot (e' \odot -) = T^e \circ T^{e'}$  for  $e, e' \in \mathcal{E}$ . The central structure  $\sigma$  on  $T$  is induced by  $T^e \circ G(m) = e \odot G(m) \simeq G(e \odot m) = G \circ T^e(m)$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $G \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We define a monoidal functor  $T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{T_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} T_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$  by  $e \mapsto e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \mapsto T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} T_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}) = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . And the central structure  $\sigma$  on  $T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}}$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}}(e) \otimes (c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d) & \simeq & (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \otimes (c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d) \simeq (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes c) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d) \\ \sigma_{e, c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d} \downarrow & & \downarrow z_{e, c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{z} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}, d} \\ (c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d) \otimes T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}}(e) & \simeq & (c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d) \otimes (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq (c \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \end{array}$$

for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ , where  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  are the central structures of the functors  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  respectively. Notice that  $T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}}(e) \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  a separable algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The category  ${}_A \mathcal{C}_A$  of  $A$ -bimodules in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a multifusion category by [DMNO, Prop. 2.7]. Moreover,  ${}_A \mathcal{C}_A$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We define a functor  $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}_A \mathcal{C}_A$ ,  $e \mapsto T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A$ . The left  $A$ -module structure on the right  $A$ -module  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A$  is defined as  $A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \xrightarrow{c_{e, A}^{-1}, 1} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A$ , where  $c$  is the central structure of the functor  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . The monoidal structure on  $I$  is defined as  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1 \otimes e_2) \otimes A \simeq T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1) \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_2) \otimes A \simeq T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1) \otimes A \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_2) \otimes A$  for  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ . The central structure on  $I$  is induced by

$$I(e) \otimes_A x = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes_A x \xrightarrow{c_{e, A \otimes_A x}} A \otimes_A x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \simeq x \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \xrightarrow{1_{c_{e, A}^{-1}}} x \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A = x \otimes_A I(e)$$

for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x \in {}_A \mathcal{C}_A$ .

### 3.3 $E_2$ -algebras in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subcategory of a braided fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The centralizer of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by the full subcategory of objects  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $c_{a, x} \circ c_{x, a} = \text{id}_{x \otimes a}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $c$  is the braiding of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The Müger center of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}'$ , is the centralizer of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 3.10.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  consists of the following data.

- Its objects are braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a braided fusion category  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a braided monoidal embedding  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ . A braided fusion category  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is non-degenerate if  $T_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an equivalence.
- Its 1-morphisms are braided monoidal functors over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a braided monoidal functor  $F$  equipped with a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u_e : F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) \simeq T_{\mathcal{B}}(e)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- For two braided monoidal functors  $F, G : \mathcal{A} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ , a 2-morphism from  $F$  to  $G$  is a monoidal natural transformation  $\alpha : F \rightrightarrows G$  such that the diagram (3.4) commutes.



**Remark 3.11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence of braided fusion categories. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Example 3.12.** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$  is a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  by braided monoidal embedding  $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{T_{\mathcal{D}}} \overline{\mathcal{D}'} = \overline{\mathcal{D}'}$ .

**Example 3.13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . The centralizer of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $Z(\mathcal{C})$ , denoted by  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ , is a non-degenerate braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . The central structure on  $T_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})'$  is defined as  $T_e$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a non-degenerate braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is a braided monoidal equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  by [DNO, Cor. 4.4].

### 3.4 The $E_0$ -centers in $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

A contractible groupoid is a non-empty category in which there is a unique morphism between any two objects. An object  $\mathcal{X}$  in a monoidal 2-category  $\mathbf{B}$  is called a terminal object if for each  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbf{B}$ , the hom category  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  is a contractible groupoid. Here the hom category  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  denotes the category of 1-morphisms from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  and 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{B}$ .

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \eta) \in \mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . A left unital  $\mathcal{A}$ -action on  $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is a 1-morphism  $f : A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  in  $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  together with an invertible 2-morphism  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  as depicted in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \\ \eta \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha & \searrow f \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

where the unlabeled arrow is given by the left  $\mathcal{E}$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  belong to  $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . The 2-category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$  of left unital actions on  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is defined as follows:

- The objects are left unital actions on  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- Let  $((A, \eta_A), f, \alpha_A)$  be a left unital  $(A, \eta_A)$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $((B, \eta_B), g, \alpha_B)$  be a left unital  $(B, \eta_B)$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . A 1-morphism  $(p, \rho) : ((A, \eta_A), f, \alpha_A) \rightarrow ((B, \eta_B), g, \alpha_B)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a 1-morphism  $p : (A, \eta_A) \rightarrow (B, \eta_B)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , equipped with an invertible 2-morphism  $\rho : g \circ (p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}) \Rightarrow f$  in  $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , such that the following pasting diagram equality holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \\ \eta_B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \nearrow & \uparrow p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} & \searrow g \\ & A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \\ \eta_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha_A & \searrow f \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X} \end{array} \quad = \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \\ \eta_B \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha_B & \searrow g \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Here we choose the identity 2-morphism  $\text{id} : (p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ (\eta_A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}) \Rightarrow (p \circ \eta_A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}$  for convenience.

- Given two 1-morphisms  $(p, \rho), (q, \sigma) : ((\mathcal{A}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}), f, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightrightarrows ((\mathcal{B}, \eta_{\mathcal{B}}), g, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}})$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha : (p, \rho) \rightrightarrows (q, \sigma)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a 2-morphism  $\alpha : p \rightrightarrows q$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  such that the following pasting diagram equality holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \Downarrow h \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1 \\ \xrightarrow{q \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \Downarrow \sigma \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \\
 \searrow f & & \searrow g \\
 & \mathcal{X} & 
 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \\
 \searrow f & \Downarrow \rho & \searrow g \\
 & \mathcal{X} & 
 \end{array}$$

An  $E_0$ -center of the  $E_0$ -algebra  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is a terminal object in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** The  $E_0$ -center of a category  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is given by the multifusion category  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\mathcal{A}, A)$  is an  $E_0$ -algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $(F, u)$  as depicted in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \\
 \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{X}} \nearrow & \Downarrow u & \searrow F \\
 \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}
 \end{array}$$

is a unital  $\mathcal{A}$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . In other words,  $F : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor and  $u_{e,x} : F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \rightarrow e \odot x, e \in \mathcal{E}, x \in \mathcal{X}$  is a natural isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

Recall that  $(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}), T)$  is an  $E_0$ -algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  by Expl. 3.7. Define a functor

$$G : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \quad f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x \mapsto f(x)$$

and a natural isomorphism

$$v_{e,x} = \text{id}_{e \odot x} : G(T^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) = T^e(x) = e \odot x \rightarrow e \odot x, \quad e \in \mathcal{E}, x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

It is routine to check that the functor  $G$  and the natural isomorphism  $v$  is in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . Then  $(G, v)$  is a left unital  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ -action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We want to show that  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}))$  is a contractible groupoid.

First we show there exists a 1-morphism  $(P, \rho) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We define a functor  $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  by  $P(a) := F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} -)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and an invertible 2-morphism (natural isomorphism)  $P_e^0 : T^e = e \odot - \rightrightarrows P(A(e)) = F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} -)$  as  $u_e^{-1}$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . The natural isomorphism  $\rho$  can be defined by

$$\rho_{a,x} = \text{id}_{F(A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x)} : G(P(a) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) = P(a)(x) = F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \rightarrow F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x)$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then it suffices to show that the composition of morphisms

$$G(T^e \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) = e \odot x \xrightarrow{(P_e^0)_{\mathcal{X}} = u_{e,x}^{-1}} F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \xrightarrow{\rho_{A(e),x} = \text{id}_{F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x)}} F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \xrightarrow{u_{e,x}} e \odot x$$

is equal to  $v_{e,x} = \text{id}_{e \odot x}$  by the definitions of  $P^0$  and  $\rho$ .

Then we want to show that if there are two 1-morphisms  $(Q_i, \sigma_i) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , there is a unique 2-morphism  $\beta : (Q_1, \sigma_1) \rightrightarrows (Q_2, \sigma_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The 2-morphism  $\beta$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a natural isomorphism  $\beta : Q_1 \rightrightarrows Q_2$  such that the equalities

$$\left( T \xrightarrow{Q_1^0} Q_1 \circ A \xrightarrow{\beta * 1_A} Q_2 \circ A \right) = \left( T \xrightarrow{Q_2^0} Q_2 \circ A \right) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\left( Q_1(a)(x) \xrightarrow{(\beta_a)_x} Q_2(a)(x) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_2)_{a,x}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \right) = \left( Q_1(a)(x) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1)_{a,x}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \right) \quad (3.6)$$

hold for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{X}$ . The second condition (3.6) implies that  $(\beta_a)_x = (\sigma_2)_{a,x}^{-1} \circ (\sigma_1)_{a,x}$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $\beta$ . For the existence of  $\beta$ , we want to show that  $\beta$  satisfy the first condition (3.5), i.e.  $\beta$  is a 2-morphism in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . Since  $(Q_i, \sigma_i)$  are 1-morphisms in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})_{\mathcal{X}}$ , the composed morphism

$$e \odot x = T^e(x) \xrightarrow{(Q_i^0)_{e,x}} Q_i(A(e))(x) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_i)_{A(e),x}} F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \xrightarrow{u_{e,x}} e \odot x$$

is equal to  $v_{e,x} = \text{id}_{e \odot x}$ . It follows that the composition of morphisms

$$e \odot x \xrightarrow{(Q_1^0)_{e,x}} Q_1(A(e))(x) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1)_{A(e),x}} F(A(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_2)_{A(e),x}^{-1}} Q_2(A(e))(x) \xrightarrow{(Q_2^0)_{e,x}^{-1}} e \odot x$$

is equal to  $\text{id}_{e \odot x}$ , i.e.  $(Q_2^0)_{e,x}^{-1} \circ (\beta_{A(e)})_x \circ (Q_1^0)_{e,x} = \text{id}_{e \odot x}$ . This is precisely the first condition (3.5). Hence the natural transformation  $\beta : Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_2$  defined by  $(\beta_a)_x = (\sigma_2)_{a,x}^{-1} \circ (\sigma_1)_{a,x}$  is the unique 2-morphism  $\beta : (Q_1, \sigma_1) \Rightarrow (Q_2, \sigma_2)$ .

Finally, we also want to verify that the  $E_1$ -algebra structure on the  $E_1$ -center  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  coincides with the usual monoidal structure of  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  defined by the composition of functors. Recall that the  $E_1$ -algebra structure is induced by the iterated action

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} G} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{X}$$

By the construction given above, the induced tensor product  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  is given by  $f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} g \mapsto G(f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} G(g \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} -)) = f(g(-)) = f \circ g$ . Hence, the  $E_1$ -algebra structure on  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  is the composition of functors, which is the usual monoidal structure on  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ .  $\square$

### 3.5 The $E_1$ -centers in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  belong to  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The  $E_1$ -center of  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is the  $E_0$ -center of  $\mathcal{X}$ , viewed as an object in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

**Theorem 3.18.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the  $E_1$ -center of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is the braided multifusion category  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A left unital  $\mathcal{A}$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is a monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  and a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  shown below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} & \\ T_{\mathcal{A}, 1} \nearrow & & \searrow F \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \Downarrow u & \end{array}$$

More precisely,  $F$  is a functor equipped with natural isomorphisms  $J^F : F(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1) \otimes F(a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} F((a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1) \otimes (a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2))$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $I^F : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\cong} F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})$  satisfying certain commutative diagrams, and  $u$  is a natural isomorphism  $u_{e,b} : F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \xrightarrow{\cong} e \odot b := T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes b$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{E}, b \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfying certain commutative diagrams. Also one can show that  $I^F = u_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}}^{-1}$ . The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $F$  equals to  $u_{e, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}} : F(T_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}}(e)) = F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \cong T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} = T_{\mathcal{B}}(e)$ .

There is an obviously left unital  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ -action on  $\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} & \\ T_{\mathcal{B}, 1} \nearrow & \downarrow v & \searrow G \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

defined by  $G : Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{f, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  and  $v_{e,b} = \text{id}_{T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes b} : G(T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) = T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes b \rightarrow e \odot b$ , for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is routine to check that  $G$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

First we show that there is a monoidal functor  $(P, \rho) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Notice that  $F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) = F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \xrightarrow{u_{1_{\mathcal{E}}, b}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \odot b = b$ . Since  $F$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ , it can be verified that the natural transformation  $\gamma$  (shown below)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes b & \xrightarrow{1, u_{1_{\mathcal{E}}, b}^{-1}} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) & \xrightarrow{J^F} & F((a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes b)) \\ \downarrow \gamma_{a,b} & & & & \downarrow \simeq, \simeq \\ b \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) & \xleftarrow{u_{1_{\mathcal{E}}, b, 1}} & F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) & \xleftarrow{J^F} & F((\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (b \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})) \end{array}$$

is a half-braiding on  $F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \mathcal{B}$ , for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is routine to check that the composition  $T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})$  equals to identity. Then  $F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})$  belongs to  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ . We define the monoidal functor  $P : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  by  $P(a) := (F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}), \gamma_{a, -})$  with the monoidal structure induced by that of  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} J^P : (P(a_1) \otimes P(a_2) &= F(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes F(a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{J^F} F((a_1 \otimes a_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})) = F((a_1 \otimes a_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) = P(a_1 \otimes a_2)) \\ I^P : (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} &\xrightarrow{J^F} F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) = P(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})) \end{aligned}$$

The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $P$  is defined as  $u_{e, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}} : P(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) = F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) = T_{Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})}(e)$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Then we show that there exists a 1-morphism  $(P, \rho) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

The invertible natural isomorphism  $P^0 : T_{\mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow P \circ T_{\mathcal{A}}$  is defined by  $T_{\mathcal{B}}(e) = e \odot \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{u_{e, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}}^{-1}} F(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) = P(T_{\mathcal{A}}(e))$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\rho : G \circ (P \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \Rightarrow F$  is defined by

$$\rho_{a,b} : F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes b \xrightarrow{1, u_{1_{\mathcal{E}}, b}^{-1}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \xrightarrow{J^F} F((a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes b)) = F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b)$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is routine to check that the composition of 2-morphisms  $P^0, \rho$  and  $u$  is equal to the 2-morphism  $v$ .

Then we show that if there are two 1-morphisms  $(Q_i, \sigma_i) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{B}}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then there exists a unique 2-morphism  $\beta : (Q_1, \sigma_1) \Rightarrow (Q_2, \sigma_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_1}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Such a  $\beta$  is a natural transformation  $\beta : Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_2$  such that the equalities

$$(Q_1(a) \otimes b \xrightarrow{\beta_{a,1}} Q_2(a) \otimes b \xrightarrow{(\sigma_2)_{a,b}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b)) = (Q_1(a) \otimes b \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1)_{a,b}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b)) \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(T_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{Q_1^0} Q_1 \circ T_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\beta \circ 1} Q_2 \circ T_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{Q_2^0} Q_2 \circ T_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (3.8)$$

hold for  $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . The first condition (3.7) implies that  $\beta_a : Q_1(a) \rightarrow Q_2(a)$  is equal to the composition

$$Q_1(a) = Q_1(a) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1)_{a, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_2)_{a, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}}^{-1}} Q_2(a) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} = Q_2(a)$$

This proves the uniqueness of  $\beta$ . It is routine to check that  $\beta_a$  is a morphism in  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the second condition (3.8).

Finally, we also want to verify that the  $E_2$ -algebra structure on the  $E_1$ -center  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  coincides with the usual braiding structure on  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ . The  $E_2$ -algebra structure is given by the monoidal functor  $H : Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ , which is induced by the iterated action

$$Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{1, G} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{B}$$

with the monoidal structure given by

$$x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_{y_2, b_1}} x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes y_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes b_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x_2, y_1 \otimes b_1}} x_1 \otimes y_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes y_2 \otimes b_2$$

for  $x_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1, x_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2$  in  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}$ . Then by the construction given above, the induced functor  $H : Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  maps  $x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y$  to the object  $G((1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} G)(x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})) = x \otimes y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} = x \otimes y$  with the half-braiding

$$x \otimes y \otimes b \xrightarrow{\gamma_{y, b}} x \otimes b \otimes y \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x, b}} b \otimes x \otimes y$$

Thus the functor  $H$  coincides with the tensor product of  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ . For  $x_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_1, x_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_2 \in Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ , the monoidal structure of  $H$  is induced by

$$H((x_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_1) \otimes (x_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_2)) = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x_2, y_1}} x_1 \otimes y_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes y_2 = H(x_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_1) \otimes H(x_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y_2)$$

Equivalently, the braiding structure on  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$  is given by  $x \otimes y \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x, y}} y \otimes x$ , which is the usual braiding structure on  $Z(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ .  $\square$

### 3.6 The $E_2$ -centers in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 3.19.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  belong to  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The  $E_2$ -center of  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is the  $E_0$ -center of  $\mathcal{X}$ , viewed as an object in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

**Theorem 3.20.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . The  $E_2$ -center of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the symmetric fusion category  $\mathcal{C}'$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A left unital  $\mathcal{A}$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a braided monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  and a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  shown below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} & \\ T_{\mathcal{A}, 1} \nearrow & & \searrow F \\ \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C} \\ & \Downarrow u & \end{array}$$

More precisely,  $F$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_1) \otimes F(a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_2) & \xrightarrow{F} & F((a_1 \otimes a_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (x_1 \otimes x_2)) \\ \downarrow c_{F(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_1), F(a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_2)} & & \downarrow \tilde{c}_{a_1, a_2, c_{x_1, x_2}} \\ F(a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_2) \otimes F(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x_1) & \xrightarrow{F} & F((a_2 \otimes a_1) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (x_2 \otimes x_1)) \end{array}$$

commutes for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\tilde{c}$  and  $c$  are the half-braidings of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  respectively.

There is a left unital  $\mathcal{C}'$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  given by

$$G : \mathcal{C}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad (z, x) \mapsto z \otimes x$$

with the natural isomorphism  $v_{e,x} : G(T_e(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) = T_e(e) \otimes x \rightarrow e \odot x$  given by the identity morphism  $v_{e,x} = \text{id}_{e \odot x}$ .

First we show that there exists a 1-morphism  $(P, \rho) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Since  $F$  is a braided monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ , the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes x & \xrightarrow{1, u_{\mathcal{E}, x}^{-1}} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) & \xrightarrow{J^F} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \\ \downarrow c_{F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}), x} & & \downarrow c_{F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}), F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x)} & & \downarrow \\ x \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathcal{E}, x}^{-1}, 1} & F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \otimes F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{J^F} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \\ \downarrow c_{x, F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})} & & \downarrow c_{F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x), F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})} & & \downarrow \\ F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes x & \xrightarrow{1, u_{\mathcal{E}, x}^{-1}} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) & \xrightarrow{J^F} & F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \end{array} \quad \Bigg) \quad 1$$

implies that the equality  $c_{x, F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})} \circ c_{F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}), x} = \text{id}_{F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes x}$  holds for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \in \mathcal{C}'$ . Then we define the functor  $P$  by  $P(a) := F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$ , and the monoidal structure of  $P$  is induced by that of  $F$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\rho : G \circ (P \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \Rightarrow F$  is defined by

$$\rho_{e,x} : F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes x \xrightarrow{1 \otimes u_{\mathcal{E}, x}^{-1}} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x) \xrightarrow{J^F} F(a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} x)$$

It is not hard to see that  $(P, \rho)$  is a 1-morphism in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

It is routine to check that if there are two 1-morphisms  $(Q_i, \sigma_i) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{C}}$ , there exists a unique 2-morphism  $\beta : (Q_1, \sigma_1) \Rightarrow (Q_2, \sigma_2)$  in  $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_0}(\mathbf{Alg}_{E_2}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))_{\mathcal{C}}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Representation theory and Morita theory in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

In this section, Sec. 4.1 and Sec. 4.2 study the modules over a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and bimodules in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . Sec. 4.3 and Sec. 4.4 prove that two fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  are Morita equivalent in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  if and only if their  $E_1$ -centers are equivalent. Sec. 4.5 studies the modules over a braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### 4.1 Modules over a multifusion category over $\mathcal{E}$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We use  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  to denote the central structures of the central functors  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  respectively.

**Definition 4.1.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  consists of the following data.

- A class of objects in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with a monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Equivalently, an object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M}$  both in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  equipped with a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{M}} : T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot - \simeq e \odot -$  in  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$

for each  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , such that the functor  $(c \odot -, s^{c \odot -})$  belongs to  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes c) \odot - & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (c \odot -) \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{C}}} e \odot (c \odot -) \\ \downarrow z_{e,c}, 1 & & \downarrow s^{c \odot -} \\ (c \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \odot - & \longrightarrow & c \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot -) \xrightarrow{1, u_e^{\mathcal{C}}} c \odot (e \odot -) \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, c \in \mathcal{C}, - \in \mathcal{M}$ . We use a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{C}})$  to denote an object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

- For objects  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{C}}), (\mathcal{N}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{C}})$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 1-morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is both a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor  $(F, s^F) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  and a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor  $(F, t^F) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that the following diagram commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) & \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{C}})_m} & F(e \odot m) \\ \downarrow s_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), m}^F & & \downarrow t_{e, m}^F \\ T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot F(m) & \xrightarrow{(\bar{u}_e^{\mathcal{C}})_{F(m)}} & e \odot F(m) \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

- For 1-morphisms  $F, G : \mathcal{M} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism from  $F$  to  $G$  is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module natural transformation from  $F$  to  $G$ . A left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module natural transformation is automatically a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module natural transformation.

A left  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is automatically a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module, with the right  $\mathcal{D}$ -action defined by  $m \odot d := d \odot m$  for  $m \in \mathcal{M}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  consists of the following data.

- A class of objects in  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with a monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Equivalently, an object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M}$  both in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  equipped with a monoidal natural isomorphism  $u_e^{\mathcal{D}} : - \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \simeq e \odot -$  in  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for each  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  such that the functor  $(- \odot d, s^{-\odot d})$  belongs to  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} - \odot (d \otimes T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) & \longrightarrow & (- \odot d) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{D}}} e \odot (- \odot d) \\ \downarrow 1, \bar{z}_{e,d}^{-1} & & \downarrow s^{-\odot d} \\ - \odot (T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \otimes d) & \longrightarrow & (- \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \odot d \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{D}}, 1} (e \odot -) \odot d \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, d \in \mathcal{D}, - \in \mathcal{M}$ . We use a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{D}})$  to denote an object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

- For objects  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{D}}), (\mathcal{N}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{D}})$  in  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 1-morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is both a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module functor  $(F, \bar{s}^F) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  and a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor  $(F, t^F) :$

$\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that the following diagram commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{D}})_m} & F(e \odot m) \\
\downarrow \bar{s}_{m, T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)}^F & & \downarrow t_{e, m}^F \\
F(m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) & \xrightarrow{(\bar{u}_e^{\mathcal{D}})_{F(m)}} & e \odot F(m)
\end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

- For 1-morphisms  $F, G : \mathcal{M} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism from  $F$  to  $G$  is a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module natural transformation from  $F$  to  $G$ .

For objects  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  (or  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ ), we use  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  (or  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ ) to denote the category of 1-morphisms  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  (or  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ ).

**Example 4.3.**  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is a multifusion category by [EGNO, Cor. 9.3.3]. Moreover,  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . A functor  $\hat{T} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is defined as  $e \mapsto \hat{T}^e := T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot -$ . The left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module structure on  $\hat{T}^e$  is defined as  $s_{c, m} : T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (c \odot m) \rightarrow (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes c) \odot m \xrightarrow{z_{e, c, 1}} (c \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \odot m \rightarrow c \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m)$  for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\hat{T}^e$  is defined as  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (\tilde{e} \odot m) \xrightarrow{1, (u_{\tilde{e}}^{\mathcal{C}})_m^{-1}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{e}) \odot m) \xrightarrow{s_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), m}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{e}) \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \xrightarrow{(u_{\tilde{e}}^{\mathcal{C}})_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m}} \tilde{e} \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m)$  for  $\tilde{e} \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\hat{T}^e$  belongs to  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .

The monoidal structure on  $\hat{T}$  is induced by  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1 \otimes e_2) \odot - \rightarrow (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1) \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_2)) \odot - \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_1) \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e_2) \odot -)$  for  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ . The central structure on  $\hat{T}$  is a natural isomorphism  $\sigma_{e, G} : \hat{T}^e \circ G(m) = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot G(m) \simeq G(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) = G \circ \hat{T}^e(m)$  for any  $e \in \mathcal{E}, G \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . The left (or right)  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is defined as  $(e \odot f)(-) := T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot f(-)$ , (or  $(f \odot e)(-) := f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot -)$ ), for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, f \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $- \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, u)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{u})$  belong to  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . Then  $F$  belongs to  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(F, s) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor. The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $F$  is given by  $F(e \odot m) \xrightarrow{(u_e^{-1})_m} F(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \xrightarrow{s_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), m}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot F(m) \xrightarrow{(\bar{u}_e)_{F(m)}} e \odot F(m)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{f} : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ ,  $(f, s, t) \mapsto (f, s)$  induces an equivalence in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , where  $s$  and  $t$  are the left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module structure and the left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $f$  respectively. Notice that  $t$  equals to the composition of  $u^{-1}, s$  and  $\bar{u}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, u)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, \text{id})$  belong to  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . Then the identity functor  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} : (\mathcal{M}, u) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \text{id})$  induces an equivalence in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

**Example 4.6.** Let  $A$  be a separable algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We use  $\mathcal{C}_A$  to denote the category of right  $A$ -modules in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By [DMNO, Prop. 2.7], the category  $\mathcal{C}_A$  is a finite semisimple abelian category.  $\mathcal{C}_A$  has a canonical left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module structure. The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{C}_A$  is defined as  $e \odot x := T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$  for any  $e \in \mathcal{E}, x \in \mathcal{C}_A$ . Then  $(\mathcal{C}_A, \text{id})$  belongs to  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . There is a separable algebra  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

*Proof.* By [EGNO, Thm. 7.10.1], there is an equivalence  $\eta : \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  for some separable algebra  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Prop. 4.4,  $\eta$  is an equivalence in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .  $\square$



**Example 4.8.** Let  $A, B$  be separable algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The category  ${}^A\mathcal{C}_B$  of  $A$ - $B$  bimodules in  $\mathcal{C}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  ${}^A\mathcal{C}_B$  is defined as  $e \odot x := T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x \in {}^A\mathcal{C}_B$ . We use  $p_x$  and  $q_x$  to denote the left  $A$ -action and right  $B$ -action on  $x$  respectively. The right  $B$ -action on  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$  is induced by  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes B \xrightarrow{1, q_x} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$ . The left  $A$ -action on  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$  is induced by  $A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \xrightarrow{z_{eA}^{-1}, 1} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes x \xrightarrow{1, p_x} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $A, B$  be separable algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$ . There is an equivalence  $\text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_B) \simeq {}^A\mathcal{C}_B$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

*Proof.* By [EGNO, Prop. 7.11.1], the functor  $\Phi : \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_B) \rightarrow {}^A\mathcal{C}_B$  is defined as  $f \mapsto f(A)$  and the inverse of  $\Phi$  is defined as  $x \mapsto - \otimes_A x$ . Recall the left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structures on  $\text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_B)$  and  ${}^A\mathcal{C}_B$  in Expl. 4.3 and Expl. 4.8 respectively. The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\Phi$  is defined as  $\Phi(e \odot f) = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes f(A) = e \odot \Phi(f)$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, f \in \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_B)$ .  $\square$

By Prop. A.4,  $\text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$  is equivalent to  $({}^A\mathcal{C}_A)^{\text{rev}}$  as multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 4.10.** An object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is faithful if  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}^i \odot m \neq 0$  for every nonzero subobject  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}^i$  of the unit object  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a faithful object in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . There is an equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Func}_{\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* By Prop. 4.7, there is a separable algebra  $A$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$ . By [EGNO, Thm. 7.12.11], the category  $\text{Func}_{\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is equivalent to the category of  $A^R \otimes A$ -bimodules in the category of  $A$ -bimodules. The latter category is equivalent to the category  ${}^{A^R \otimes A}\mathcal{C}_{A^R \otimes A}$  of  $A^R \otimes A$ -bimodules. Then the functor  $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}^{A^R \otimes A}\mathcal{C}_{A^R \otimes A}$ ,  $x \mapsto A^R \otimes x \otimes A$  is an equivalence by the faithfulness of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The monoidal structure on  $\Phi$  is defined as

$$\Phi(x \otimes y) = A^R \otimes x \otimes y \otimes A \simeq A^R \otimes x \otimes A \otimes_{A^R \otimes A} A^R \otimes y \otimes A = \Phi(x) \otimes_{A^R \otimes A} \Phi(y)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ , where the equivalence is due to  $A \otimes_{A^R \otimes A} A^R \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Recall the central structure on the monoidal functor  $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}^{A^R \otimes A}\mathcal{C}_{A^R \otimes A}$  in Expl. 3.9. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\Phi$  is induced by  $\Phi(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) = A^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \xrightarrow{z_{eA^R}^{-1}, 1} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A^R \otimes A = I(e)$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ .

By Rem. 4.5 and Prop. A.4, we have the equivalences  $\text{Func}_{\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{Func}_{\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq {}^{A^R \otimes A}\mathcal{C}_{A^R \otimes A} \simeq \mathcal{C}$  of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Bimodules in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 4.12.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . The 2-category  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  consists of the following data.

- A class of objects in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M}$  both in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  equipped with monoidal natural isomorphisms  $u_e^{\mathcal{C}} : T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot - \simeq e \odot -$  and  $u_e^{\mathcal{D}} : - \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \simeq e \odot -$  in  $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for each  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  such that the functor  $(c \odot - \odot d, s^{c \odot - \odot d})$  belongs to  $\text{Func}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ , and the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes c) \odot - \odot d & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (c \odot - \odot d) \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{C}}} e \odot (c \odot - \odot d) \\ \downarrow z_{e,c}, 1, 1 & & \downarrow s^{c \odot - \odot d} \\ (c \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \odot - \odot d & \longrightarrow & c \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot -) \odot d \xrightarrow{1, u_e^{\mathcal{C}}, 1} c \odot (e \odot -) \odot d \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
c \odot - \odot (d \otimes T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) & \longrightarrow & (c \odot - \odot d) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{D}}} e \odot (c \odot - \odot d) \\
\downarrow 1, \bar{z}_{e,d}^{-1} & & \downarrow s^{c \odot - \odot d} \\
c \odot - \odot (T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \otimes d) & \longrightarrow & c \odot (- \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \odot d \xrightarrow{1, u_e^{\mathcal{D}}, 1} c \odot (e \odot -) \odot d
\end{array} \quad (4.6)$$

commute for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Here  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  are the central structures of the central functors  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  respectively. We use a triple  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{C}}, u^{\mathcal{D}})$  to denote an object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

- For objects  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{C}}, u^{\mathcal{D}}), (\mathcal{N}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{C}}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{D}})$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 1-morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is a morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  both in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}})$  such that the diagrams (4.2) and (4.4) commute.
- For 1-morphisms  $F, G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism from  $F$  to  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  bimodule natural transformation from  $F$  to  $G$ .

For objects  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , we use  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  to denote the category of 1-morphisms  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{C}}, u^{\mathcal{D}}), (\mathcal{N}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{C}}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{D}})$  belong to  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . A monoidal natural isomorphism  $v^{\mathcal{M}}$  is defined as  $v_e^{\mathcal{M}} : T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot - \xrightarrow{u_e^{\mathcal{C}}} e \odot - \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{D}})^{-1}} - \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, - \in \mathcal{M}$ . Similarly, a monoidal natural isomorphism  $v^{\mathcal{N}}$  is defined as  $v_e^{\mathcal{N}} := (\bar{u}_e^{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \circ \bar{u}_e^{\mathcal{C}}$ . A 1-morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  satisfies the following diagram for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) & \xrightarrow{(v_e^{\mathcal{M}})_m} & F(m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot F(m) & \xrightarrow{(v_e^{\mathcal{N}})_{F(m)}} & F(m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)
\end{array} \quad (4.7)$$

**Remark 4.13.** Plugging  $c = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$  into the diagram (4.5) and  $d = 1_{\mathcal{D}}$  into the diagram 4.6, the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (m \odot d) & \longrightarrow & (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \odot d & & (c \odot m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) & \longrightarrow & c \odot (m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \\
\downarrow (u_e^{\mathcal{C}})_{m \odot d} & & \downarrow (u_e^{\mathcal{C}})_{m,1} & & \downarrow (u_e^{\mathcal{D}})_{c \odot m} & & \downarrow 1, (u_e^{\mathcal{D}})_m \\
e \odot (m \odot d) & \xrightarrow{s^{-\odot d}} & (e \odot m) \odot d & & e \odot (c \odot m) & \xrightarrow{s^{c \odot -}} & c \odot (e \odot m)
\end{array}$$

commute for  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . It is routine to check the following diagram commutes for  $e, \tilde{e} \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{e}) & \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{C}})_{m,1}} & (e \odot m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{e}) & \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{D}})_{e \odot m}} & \tilde{e} \odot (e \odot m) & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{e} \otimes e) \odot m \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow r_{e,\tilde{e},1} & & \downarrow \\
T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{e})) & \xrightarrow{1, (u_e^{\mathcal{D}})_m} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (\tilde{e} \odot m) & \xrightarrow{(u_e^{\mathcal{C}})_{\tilde{e} \odot m}} & e \odot (\tilde{e} \odot m) & \longrightarrow & (e \otimes \tilde{e}) \odot m
\end{array}$$

It is routine to check the following diagrams commute for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, d \in \mathcal{D}, c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (m \odot d) & \xrightarrow{(v_e^{\mathcal{M}})_{m \odot d}} & (m \odot d) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) & \longrightarrow & m \odot (d \otimes T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 1, \bar{z}_{e,d}^{-1} \\
(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \odot d & \xrightarrow{(v_e^{\mathcal{M}})_{m,1}} & (m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \odot d & \longrightarrow & m \odot (T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \otimes d)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes c) \odot m & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (c \odot m) \xrightarrow{(v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{M}})_{c \odot m}} (c \odot m) \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \\
\downarrow z_{e,c}, 1 & & \downarrow \\
(c \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \odot m & \longrightarrow & c \odot (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \xrightarrow{1, (v_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{M}})_m} c \odot (m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e))
\end{array}$$

**Proposition 4.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . There is an equivalence of 2-categories

$$\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}) \simeq \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$$

*Proof.* An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with a monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Given an object  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , we want to define an object  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{A}}, u^{\mathcal{B}})$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left  $\mathcal{A}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined as  $a \odot m := \phi^{a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(m)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and the unit  $1_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ . And the right  $\mathcal{B}$ -action on  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined as  $m \odot b := \phi^{1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b}(m)$  for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and the unit  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Expl. 3.8, we have  $T_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(e) = T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(e) \simeq 1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} T_{\mathcal{B}}(e)$ . The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\phi$  gives the monoidal natural isomorphisms  $u^{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $u^{\mathcal{B}}$  and the commutativity of diagrams (4.5) and (4.6).

Given an object  $\mathcal{N}$  and a 1-morphism  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ ,  $f$  satisfy the diagrams (4.2) and (4.4). For two 1-morphisms  $f, g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is a left  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ -module natural transformation. If  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} = \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\alpha$  is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module natural transformation. If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\alpha$  is a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module natural transformation.

Conversely, given an object  $(\mathcal{M}, u^{\mathcal{A}}, u^{\mathcal{B}})$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , we want to define a monoidal functor  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . For  $a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b \in \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ , we define  $\phi^{a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b} := (a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \odot - := a \odot - \odot b$  for  $- \in \mathcal{M}$ . For  $a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1, a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2 \in \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ , the monoidal structure on  $\phi$  is defined as  $\phi^{(a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1) \otimes (a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2)} = \phi^{(a_1 \otimes a_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (b_1 \otimes b_2)} = (a_1 \otimes a_2) \odot - \odot (b_1 \otimes b_2) \simeq a_1 \odot (a_2 \odot - \odot b_2) \odot b_1 = \phi^{a_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_1} \circ \phi^{a_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b_2}$ . Recall the central structure on  $T$  in Expl. 3.7. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\phi$  is defined as  $\phi^{T_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(e)} = \phi^{T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{B}}} = T_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \odot - \odot 1_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{u^{\mathcal{A}}, 1} e \odot - \odot 1_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq e \odot - = T^e$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Given an object  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{u}^{\mathcal{B}})$  and a 1-morphism  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , we want to define a 1-morphism  $f$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ -module structure on  $f$  is defined as  $f((a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \odot m) = f(a \odot m \odot b) \xrightarrow{s^f} a \odot f(m \odot b) \xrightarrow{1, t^f} a \odot f(m) \odot b = (a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b) \odot f(m)$  for  $a \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} b \in \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $s^f$  and  $t^f$  are the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure and the right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module structure on  $f$  respectively. It is routine to check that  $f$  satisfy the diagram (4.2).

For 1-morphisms  $f, g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  bimodule natural transformation. It is routine to check that  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$  is a left  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{rev}}$ -module natural transformation.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . There is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$$

*Proof.* Let us recall the proof of a monoidal equivalence  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{C})$  by [EGNO, Prop. 7.13.8]. Let  $F$  belong to  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . Since  $F$  is a right  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor, we have  $F = d \otimes -$  for some  $d \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $F$  is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor, we have a natural isomorphism

$$d \otimes (x \otimes y) = F(x \otimes y) \xrightarrow{s_{x,y}} x \otimes F(y) = x \otimes (d \otimes y) \quad x, y \in \mathcal{C}$$

Taking  $y = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ , we obtain a natural isomorphism  $\gamma_d = s_{-, 1_{\mathcal{C}}} : d \otimes - \xrightarrow{\simeq} - \otimes d$ . The compatibility conditions of  $\gamma_d$  correspond to the axioms of module functors. Then  $(d, \gamma_d)$  belongs to  $Z(\mathcal{C})$ .

And the composition of  $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule functors of  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponds to the tensor product of objects of  $Z(\mathcal{C})$ .

Moreover,  $F$  belongs to  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{C}}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . Taking  $m = \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{C}, F = d \otimes -$  in the diagram (4.7), the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d \otimes (T_\mathcal{C}(e) \otimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & d \otimes (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{C} \otimes T_\mathcal{C}(e)) \\ \downarrow \gamma_d & & \downarrow \\ T_\mathcal{C}(e) \otimes (d \otimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{T_\mathcal{C}(e)}} & (d \otimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{C}) \otimes T_\mathcal{C}(e) \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

Finally we obtain  $\gamma_{T_\mathcal{C}(e)} \circ \gamma_d = \text{id}_{d \otimes T_\mathcal{C}(e)}$ , i.e.  $(d, \gamma_{d, T_\mathcal{C}(e)}) \in Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ . It is routine to check that the functor  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{C}}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.16.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, u^\mathcal{C}, u^\mathcal{D}) \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{C}$  bimodule structure on the category  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{LopL}}$  is defined as  $d \circledast^L m \circledast^L c := c^L \circ m \circ d^L$  for  $d \in \mathcal{D}, c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{LopL}}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{D}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{C})$  belongs to  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{LopL}}$  is defined as  $e \circledast^L m := e^L \circ m$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^\mathcal{D}$  is defined as  $T_\mathcal{D}(e) \circledast^L m = m \circ T_\mathcal{D}(e)^L \simeq m \circ T_\mathcal{D}(e^L) \xrightarrow{u_e^\mathcal{D}} e^L \circ m$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^\mathcal{C}$  is defined as  $m \circledast^L T_\mathcal{C}(e) = T_\mathcal{C}(e)^L \circ m \simeq T_\mathcal{C}(e^L) \circ m \xrightarrow{u_e^\mathcal{C}} e^L \circ m$ .

**Example 4.17.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $(\mathcal{M}, u^\mathcal{C}, u^\mathcal{D}) \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ ,  $(\mathcal{N}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{C}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . Then  $(\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \tilde{u}^\mathcal{D}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{P})$  belongs to  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is defined as  $(e \circledast f)(-) := T_\mathcal{C}(e) \circledast f(-)$ , for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, f \in \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{P}$  bimodule structure on  $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is defined as  $(d \circledast f \circledast p)(-) := f(- \circledast d) \circledast p$  for any  $d \in \mathcal{D}, p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $v_e^\mathcal{M} := (u_e^\mathcal{D})^{-1} \circ u_e^\mathcal{C}$  and  $v_e^\mathcal{N} := (\tilde{u}_e^\mathcal{P})^{-1} \circ \tilde{u}_e^\mathcal{C}$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^\mathcal{D}$  is defined as  $(T_\mathcal{D}(e) \circledast f)(-) = f(- \circledast T_\mathcal{D}(e)) \xrightarrow{(v_e^\mathcal{M})^{-1}} f(T_\mathcal{C}(e) \circledast -) \xrightarrow{g^f} T_\mathcal{C}(e) \circledast f(-) = (e \circledast f)(-)$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^\mathcal{P}$  is defined as  $(f \circledast T_\mathcal{P}(e))(-) = f(-) \circledast T_\mathcal{P}(e) \xrightarrow{(v_e^\mathcal{N})^{-1}} T_\mathcal{C}(e) \circledast f(-) = (e \circledast f)(-)$ .

### 4.3 Invertible bimodules in $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$

**Definition 4.18.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}, u^\mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{RMod}_\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, u^\mathcal{N}) \in \mathbf{LMod}_\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . A balanced  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  is a functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module natural isomorphism  $b : F \circ (\odot \times \text{id}_\mathcal{N}) \simeq F \circ (\text{id}_\mathcal{M} \times \odot) : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  satisfying some natural conditions. We use  $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^{\text{bal}\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$  to denote the category of balanced  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functors in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ , and natural transformations both in  $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$  and  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ .

The tensor product of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ , together with a balanced  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor  $\boxtimes_\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ , such that, for every object  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ , composition with  $\boxtimes_\mathcal{C}$  induces an equivalence  $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^{\text{bal}\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; \mathcal{D})$ .

**Remark 4.19.** A balanced  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor  $F$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  is a balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$ . The balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure is induced by  $\hat{b}_{m,e,n} : F(m \circledast e, n) = F(e \circledast m, n) \xrightarrow{(u_e^\mathcal{M})_{m,1}} F(m \circledast T_\mathcal{C}(e), n) \xrightarrow{b_{m,T_\mathcal{C}(e),n}} F(m, T_\mathcal{C}(e) \circledast n) \xrightarrow{1, (u_e^\mathcal{N})_n} F(m, e \circledast n)$ , for  $m \in \mathcal{M}, e \in \mathcal{E}, n \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Example 4.20.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}, u^\mathcal{C}, u^\mathcal{D}) \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{D}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} \mathcal{N}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{C}, \tilde{u}^\mathcal{P})$  belongs to  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} \mathcal{N}$  is defined as  $e \circledast (m \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} n) := (e \circledast m) \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} n$ , for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, m \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} n \in \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_\mathcal{D} \mathcal{N}$ .

The  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{P}$  bimodule structure on  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$  is defined as  $c \odot (m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n) \odot p := (c \odot m) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (n \odot p)$ , for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, p \in \mathcal{P}$ . The monoidal natural isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot (m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n) = (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \odot m) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n \xrightarrow{u_{e,x}^{\mathcal{C}}, 1} (e \odot m) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n = e \odot (m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n)$ . The monoidal isomorphism  $\tilde{u}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is induced by  $(m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n) \odot T_{\mathcal{P}}(e) = m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (n \odot T_{\mathcal{P}}(e)) \xrightarrow{1, \tilde{u}_e^{\mathcal{P}}} m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (e \odot n) \xrightarrow{1, (\tilde{u}_e^{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}} m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} (T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \odot n) \xrightarrow{b_{m, T_{\mathcal{D}}(e), n}^{-1}} (m \odot T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n \xrightarrow{u_{e,m}^{\mathcal{D}}, 1} (e \odot m) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n = e \odot (m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} n)$ , where  $b$  is the balanced  $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure on  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is enriched in  $\mathcal{C}$ . That is, there exists an object  $[x, y]_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$  and a natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c \odot x, y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}})$  for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ . The category  $\mathcal{C}_A$  is enriched in  $\mathcal{C}$  and we have  $[x, y]_{\mathcal{C}} = (x \otimes_A y^R)^L$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_A$  by [EGNO, Expl. 7.9.8]. By Prop. A.3, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A y^R & \xrightarrow{c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R}} & x \otimes_A y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \\ c_{e,x}, 1 \downarrow & & \uparrow 1, c_{e,y^R} \\ x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes_A y^R & \longrightarrow & x \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R \end{array}$$

commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, x, y \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , where  $c$  is the central structure of the central functor  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 4.21.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The functor  $\Phi : \mathcal{M}^{L|\text{op}L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ ,  $m \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} n \mapsto [-, m]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \odot n$ , is an equivalence of  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodules in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

*Proof.* There are equivalences of categories  $\mathcal{M}^{L|\text{op}L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  by [KZ, Cor. 2.2.5] and Rem. 4.5. The  $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  bimodule structure on  $\Phi$  is induced by

$$(b \odot^L m) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (n \odot d) = (m \odot b^L) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (n \odot d) \mapsto [-, m \odot b^L]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \odot (n \odot d) \simeq ([- \odot b, m]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \odot n) \odot d = b \odot ([-, m]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \odot n) \odot d$$

for  $m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}, b \in \mathcal{B}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ , where the equivalence is due to the canonical isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [-, m \odot b^L]_{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c \odot -, m \odot b^L) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c \odot - \odot b, m) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [- \odot b, m]_{\mathcal{C}})$  for  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\Phi$  is induced by the left  $\mathcal{B}$ -module structure on  $\Phi$ . Recall Expl. 4.16, 4.20 and 4.17. It is routine to check that  $\Phi$  satisfy the diagram (4.7).  $\square$

**Definition 4.22.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  is right dualizable, if there exists an  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  equipped with bimodule functors  $u : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$  and  $v : \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  such that the composed bimodule functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &\simeq \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} u} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{v \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} 1_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{N} &\simeq \mathcal{D} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{u \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} 1_{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} v} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{N} \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  are isomorphic to the identity functor. In this case, the  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{C}$  bimodule  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is left dualizable.

**Proposition 4.23.** The right dual of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is given by a  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{C}$  bimodule  $\mathcal{M}^{L|\text{op}L}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  equipped with two maps  $u$  and  $v$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u : \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{M}^{L|\text{op}L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}, & d &\mapsto - \odot d, \\ v : \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}^{L|\text{op}L} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}, & x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} y &\mapsto [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

*Proof.* By [AKZ, Thm. 4.6], the object  $\mathcal{M}^{L\text{op}L}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ , equipped with the maps  $u$  and  $v$ , are the right dual of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . It is routine to check that  $u$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule functor in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and  $v$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule functor in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.24.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . An  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  is invertible if there is an equivalence  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . If such an invertible  $\mathcal{M}$  exists,  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are said to be Morita equivalent in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

**Proposition 4.25.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  belong to  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible,
- (ii) The functor  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}), d \mapsto - \circ d$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ ,
- (iii) The functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}), c \mapsto c \circ -$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* We obtain (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) by the Def. 4.24. Since  $\text{Fun}_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are equivalent as multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  by Prop. 4.11, we obtain (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).  $\square$

#### 4.4 Characterization of Morita equivalence in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We use  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  to denote the central structures of the central functors  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $T_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  respectively.

**Theorem 4.26.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . The left action of  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  and the right action of  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  on  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  induce an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$

$$Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{L} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \xleftarrow{R} Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$$

Moreover, the induced equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  preserves braidings.

*Proof.* A monoidal equivalence  $L : Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{(z, \beta_{z,-}) \mapsto (z \circ -, \beta_{z,-})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is constructed by the following bijection.

- An object  $z \in Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  is an object  $z \in \mathcal{C}$ , equipped with a half-braiding  $\beta_{z,c} : z \otimes c \rightarrow c \otimes z$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , such that the composition  $z \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \xrightarrow{\beta_{z, T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes z \xrightarrow{\beta_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), z}} z \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}$ , equals to identity.
- An object  $z \circ -$  in  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is an object  $z \circ -$  in  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  for  $z \in \mathcal{C}$ , equipped with a natural isomorphism  $z \circ c \circ - \simeq c \circ z \circ -$  for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, - \in \mathcal{M}$ . The left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $z \circ -$  is induced by Prop. 4.4. Notice that  $z \circ -$  satisfies the diagram (4.7) by the last diagram in Rem. 4.13 and the equality  $\beta_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), z} = \beta_{z, T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)}^{-1}$ .

It is routine to check that  $L$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ . By the same reason, the functor  $R : Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})^{\text{rev}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ , defined by  $(d, \gamma_{d,-}) \mapsto (- \circ d, \gamma_{d,-})$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Thus  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ .

Suppose  $R^{-1} \circ L : Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  carries  $z, z'$  to  $d, d'$ , respectively. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} z \circ (z' \circ x) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (z' \circ x) \circ d & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (x \circ d') \circ d \\ \beta_{z, z'} \cdot 1_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow 1_x \cdot \gamma_{d', d} \\ z' \circ (z \circ x) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & z' \circ (x \circ d) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (x \circ d) \circ d' \end{array}$$

commutes for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since the isomorphism  $z \odot - \simeq - \odot d$  is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor, the left square commutes. Since the isomorphism  $z' \odot - \simeq - \odot d'$  is a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module functor, the right square commutes. Then the commutativity of the outer square implies that the equivalence  $R^{-1} \circ L$  preserves braidings.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.27.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathbf{f} : Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  denote the forgetful functor and its right adjoint.

- (1) There is a natural isomorphism  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \cong [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) The object  $A := I_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$  is a connected étale algebra in  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ ; moreover for any  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , the object  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$  has a natural structure of a right  $A$ -module.
- (3) The functor  $I_{\mathcal{C}}$  induces an equivalence of fusion categories  $\mathcal{C} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Notice that  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$  is the category of right  $A$ -modules in  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ .

*Proof.* For any  $z \in Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}), x \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have the equivalences  $\text{Hom}_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}(z, I_{\mathcal{C}}(x)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(z, x) \simeq \text{Hom}_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}(z, [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})})$ . By Yoneda lemma, we obtain  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \cong [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}$ .

By [DMNO, Lem. 3.5], the object  $A$  is a connected étale algebra and there is a monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$ . More explicitly, for any object  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , the object  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}$  is a right  $A$ -module and the monoidal functor

$$I_{\mathcal{C}} = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, -]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$$

is a monoidal equivalence. The left  $A$ -module structure on  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$  is given by  $A \otimes I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \xrightarrow{\beta_{A, I_{\mathcal{C}}(x)}} I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \otimes A \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$ . One can check that for  $x = \mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $z \in Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ , one have  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \cong z \otimes A$  (as  $A$ -modules). The monoidal structure on  $I_{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by

$$\mu_{x, y} : I_{\mathcal{C}}(x \otimes y) = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{f}(z) \otimes y]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \simeq z \otimes [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, y]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} = z \otimes A \otimes_A I_{\mathcal{C}}(y) = I_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \otimes_A I_{\mathcal{C}}(y)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathbf{f}$  is surjective,  $\mu_{x, y}$  is always an isomorphism.  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$  can be identified with a subcategory of the fusion category  ${}_A Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Recall the central structure on the functor  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}_A Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_A$  by Ex. 3.9. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $I_{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by  $I_{\mathcal{C}}(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)]_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \simeq T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.28.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Suppose that  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  is equivalent to  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  as braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . We have  $\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{D}))$ ,  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{FPdim}(I_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})) = \text{FPdim}(I_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}))$ , where  $\text{FPdim}$  is the Frobenius-Perron dimension.

*Proof.*  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  is a subcategory of  $Z(\mathcal{C})$ . By [DGNO, Thm. 3.14], we obtain the equation

$$\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}))\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})') = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}))\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \cap Z(\mathcal{C})')$$

Since  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  is a subcategory of  $Z(\mathcal{D})$ , there is a similar equation. Since the equivalences  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ ,  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})' = \mathcal{E} = Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})'$  and  $Z(\mathcal{C})' = \text{Vec} = Z(\mathcal{D})'$  hold, we get  $\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{D}))$ . By [EGNO, Thm. 7.16.6], we have  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})^2 = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{D})) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})^2$ . Since numbers  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$  are positive,  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$ .

By [EGNO, Lem. 6.2.4], we get the equation

$$\text{FPdim}(I_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}))}{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})} = \frac{\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}))}{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})} = \text{FPdim}(I_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}))$$

$\square$

**Remark 4.29.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_A$  be an indecomposable left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module (recall Expl. 4.6). Then the equality  $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_A))$  holds by [EGNO, Prop. 9.3.9].

**Lemma 4.30.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Suppose that  $f : Z(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} Z(\mathcal{D})$  is an equivalence of braided fusion categories and  $u_e : f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \simeq T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)$  is a monoidal natural isomorphism in  $Z(\mathcal{D})$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then there is an equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  of braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : Z(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{D})$  maps  $(x, \beta_x)$  to  $(f(x), \gamma_x)$ . If the object  $(x, \beta_x)$  belongs to  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ , the object  $(f(x), \gamma_x)$  belongs to  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  by the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
f(x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) & \longrightarrow & f(x) \otimes f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{1, u_e} & f(x) \otimes T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \\
\beta_{x, T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{f(x), f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e))} & & \downarrow \gamma_{f(x), T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)} \\
f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x) & \longrightarrow & f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \otimes f(x) & \xrightarrow{u_e, 1} & T_{\mathcal{D}}(e) \otimes f(x) \\
\beta_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), x} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)}, f(x)) & & \downarrow \gamma_{T_{\mathcal{D}}(e), f(x)} \\
f(x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) & \longrightarrow & f(x) \otimes f(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) & \xrightarrow{1, u_e} & f(x) \otimes T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)
\end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is the braided functor, the left two squares commute. The right-upper square commutes by the naturality of  $\gamma_{f(x)}$ . The right-down square commutes by reason that  $u_e$  is a natural isomorphism in  $Z(\mathcal{D})$ . Since the equation  $\beta_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e), x} \circ \beta_{x, T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)} = \text{id}$  holds, we obtain the equation  $\gamma_{T_{\mathcal{D}}(e), f(x)} \circ \gamma_{f(x), T_{\mathcal{D}}(e)} = \text{id}$ . Then  $f$  induces an equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.31.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  a connected étale algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By [EGNO, Rem. 7.16.3], the functor  $\Phi : Z(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}_A)$ ,  $(z, \beta_z) \mapsto (z \otimes A, \beta_{z \otimes A})$  is an equivalence of braided fusion categories. Recall the central structure on the functor  $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}_A$  in Ex. 3.9. We obtain  $\Phi(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A = I(e)$ . Then there is an equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}_A, \mathcal{E})$  of braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Thm. 8.12.3 of [EGNO] says that two finite tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are Morita equivalent if and only if  $Z(\mathcal{C})$  and  $Z(\mathcal{D})$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories. The statement and the proof idea of Thm. 4.32 comes from which of Thm. 8.12.3 in [EGNO].

**Theorem 4.32.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are Morita equivalent in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\mathcal{E}^{\text{fs}}$  if and only if  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  and  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  are equivalent as braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* The "only if" direction is proved in Thm. 4.26.

Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  such that there is an equivalence  $a : Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$  as braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $I_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})$  is a connected étale algebra in  $Z(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ ,  $L := a^{-1}(I_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}))$  is a connected étale algebra in  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ . By Lem. 4.27, there is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L$$

of fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

By [DMNO, Prop. 2.7], the category  $\mathcal{C}_L$  of  $L$ -modules in  $\mathcal{C}$  is semisimple. Note that the algebra  $L$  is indecomposable in  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$  but  $L$  might be decomposable as an algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. the category  ${}_L\mathcal{C}_L$  is a multifusion category. It has a decomposition

$${}_L\mathcal{C}_L = \bigoplus_{i, j \in J} ({}_L\mathcal{C}_L)_{ij}$$



where  $J$  is a finite set and each  $({}_L\mathcal{C}_L)_{ii}$  is a fusion category. Let  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in J} L_i$  be the decomposition of  $L$  such that  ${}_L\mathcal{C}_L \simeq ({}_L\mathcal{C}_L)_{ii}$ . Here  $L_i, i \in J$ , are indecomposable algebras in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the multiplication of  $L$  is zero on  $L_i \otimes L_j, i \neq j$ .

Next we want to show that there is an equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L \simeq {}_L\mathcal{C}_L$  of fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Consider the following commutative diagram of monoidal functors over  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z \otimes L_i} & Z({}_L\mathcal{C}_L, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow z \mapsto z \otimes L & & \downarrow \mathbf{f} \\ Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L & \xrightarrow{F} \bigoplus_{i \in J} {}_L\mathcal{C}_L \subset {}_L\mathcal{C}_L \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & {}_L\mathcal{C}_L \end{array}$$

By Rem. 4.31, there is an equivalence  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq Z({}_L\mathcal{C}_L, \mathcal{E})$  of braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Next we calculate the Frobenius-Perron dimensions of the categories  $Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L$  and  ${}_L\mathcal{C}_L$ :

$$\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}))}{\text{FPdim}(L)} = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{FPdim}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_{L_i}, \mathcal{C}_{L_i})) = \text{FPdim}({}_L\mathcal{C}_L)$$

The first equation is due to [DMNO, Lem. 3.11]. The second equation is due to  $\text{FPdim}(L) = \text{FPdim}(I_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})) = \text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}))/\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$  by Lem. 4.28. The third equation is due to Rem. 4.29. Since  $\pi_i \circ F$  is surjective and  $\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L) = \text{FPdim}({}_L\mathcal{C}_L)$ ,  $\pi_i \circ F$  is an equivalence. Then we have monoidal equivalences over  $\mathcal{E}$ :  $\mathcal{D} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})_L \simeq {}_L\mathcal{C}_L \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_{L_i}, \mathcal{C}_{L_i})^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}_{L_i}, \mathcal{C}_{L_i})^{\text{rev}}$ .  $\square$

## 4.5 Modules over a braided fusion category over $\mathcal{E}$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be braided fusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 4.33.** The 2-category  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  consists of the following data.

- A class of objects in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ . An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is a fusion category  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with a braided monoidal functor  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- For objects  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ , a 1-morphism  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is a monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  equipped with a monoidal isomorphism  $u^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} : F \circ \phi_{\mathcal{M}} \Rightarrow \phi_{\mathcal{N}}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c) \otimes m) & \longrightarrow & F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) \otimes F(m) \xrightarrow{u_{c,m}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N},1}} \phi_{\mathcal{N}}(c) \otimes F(m) \\ \downarrow \beta_{c,m}^{\mathcal{M}} & & \downarrow \beta_{c,F(m)}^{\mathcal{N}} \\ F(m \otimes \phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) & \longrightarrow & F(m) \otimes F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) \xrightarrow{1, u_c^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}} F(m) \otimes \phi_{\mathcal{N}}(c) \end{array} \quad (4.10)$$

commutes for  $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c), \beta^{\mathcal{M}})$  and  $(\phi_{\mathcal{N}}(c), \beta^{\mathcal{N}})$  are objects in  $Z(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$  and  $Z(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$  respectively.

- For 1-morphisms  $F, G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is a monoidal isomorphism  $\alpha$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)}} & G(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) \\ \downarrow u^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} & & \downarrow \bar{u}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \\ & \phi_{\mathcal{N}}(c) & \end{array}$$

commutes for  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $u^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\tilde{u}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$  are the monoidal isomorphisms on  $F$  and  $G$  respectively.

**Remark 4.34.** If  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a 1-morphism in  $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ ,  $F$  is a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module functor and a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ . By Lem. 3.4, the left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module structure  $s^F$  on  $F$  is defined as  $F(c \odot m) = F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c) \otimes m) \rightarrow F(\phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c)) \otimes F(m) \xrightarrow{u_c^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N},1}} \phi_{\mathcal{N}}(c) \otimes F(m) = c \odot F(m)$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{C}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $u^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}} : \phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ T_{\mathcal{E}} \Rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $u^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}} : \phi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ T_{\mathcal{E}} \Rightarrow T_{\mathcal{N}}$  be the structures of monoidal functors over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\phi_{\mathcal{N}}$  respectively. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $F$  is induced by the composition  $v : F \circ T_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{1, (u^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}})^{-1}} F \circ \phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ T_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{u^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N},1}} \phi_{\mathcal{N}} \circ T_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{u^{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}}} T_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

The 2-category  $\mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  consists of the following data.

- An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{RMod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is a fusion category  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with a braided monoidal functor  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms are similar with which in the Def. 4.33.

And the 2-category  $\mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  consists of the following data.

- An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is a fusion category  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with a braided monoidal functor  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$  over  $\mathcal{E}$ . An object  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{BMod}_{\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$  is closed if  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is an equivalence.
- 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms are similar with which in the Def. 4.33.

## 5 Factorization homology

In this section, Sec. 5.1 recalls the definitions of unitary categories, unitary fusion categories and unitary modular tensor categories over  $\mathcal{E}$  (see [LKW, Def. 3.15, 3.16, 3.21]). Sec. 5.2 recalls the theory of factorization homology. Sec. 5.3 and Sec. 5.4 compute the factorization homology of stratified surfaces with coefficients given by UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ 's.

### 5.1 Unitary categories

**Definition 5.1.** A  $\dagger$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category equipped with a functor  $\dagger : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  which acts as the identity map on objects and is anti-linear and involutive on morphisms. More explicitly, for any objects  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a map  $\dagger : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, x)$ , such that

$$(g \circ f)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \circ g^{\dagger}, \quad (\lambda f)^{\dagger} = \bar{\lambda} f^{\dagger}, \quad (f^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = f$$

for  $f : u \rightarrow v, g : v \rightarrow w, h : x \rightarrow y, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Here  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the complex numbers.

A functor between two  $\dagger$ -categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $F(f^{\dagger}) = F(f)^{\dagger}$  for all morphisms  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ . A  $\dagger$ -category is called unitary if it is finite and the  $\dagger$ -operation is positive, i.e.  $f \circ f^{\dagger} = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A unitary fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  is both a fusion category and a unitary category such that  $\dagger$  is compatible with the monoidal structures, i.e.

$$(g \otimes h)^{\dagger} = g^{\dagger} \otimes h^{\dagger}, \quad \forall g : v \rightarrow w, h : x \rightarrow y$$

$$\alpha_{x,y,z}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{x,y,z}^{-1}, \quad \gamma_x^{\dagger} = \gamma_x^{-1}, \quad \rho_x^{\dagger} = \rho_x^{-1}$$

where  $\alpha, \gamma, \rho$  are the associativity, the left unit and the right unit constraints respectively. A unitary braided fusion category is a unitary fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a braiding  $c$  such that  $c_{x,y}^{\dagger} = c_{x,y}^{-1}$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a unitary category,  $\mathcal{C}$  is semisimple. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a unitary fusion category,  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a canonical spherical structure  $a$ , which is also compatible with the unitary structure, in the sense that  $a_x^\dagger = a_x^{-1}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A unitary  $\mathcal{E}$ -module category  $\mathcal{C}$  is both an object  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and a unitary category such that  $\dagger$  is compatible with the  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure, i.e.

$$(i \odot j)^\dagger = i^\dagger \odot j^\dagger, \quad \lambda_{e, \tilde{e}, x}^\dagger = \lambda_{e, \tilde{e}, x'}^{-1}, \quad l_x^\dagger = l_x^{-1}$$

for  $i : e \rightarrow \tilde{e} \in \mathcal{E}, j : x \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $l$  are the module associativity and the unit constraints respectively. Notice that a symmetric fusion category is equivalent to a unitary symmetric fusion category.

**Definition 5.5.** A unitary fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unitary fusion category  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a central functor  $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $T_{\mathcal{A}}$  preserves the  $\dagger$ -structure. A unitary braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  is a unitary braided fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a braided full embedding  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  such that  $T_{\mathcal{C}}$  preserves the  $\dagger$ -structure. A unitary modular tensor category over  $\mathcal{E}$  (or UMTC/ $\mathcal{E}$ ) is a unitary braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}' \simeq \mathcal{E}$ .

## 5.2 Factorization homology for stratified surfaces

**Assumption 5.6.** We assume that a unitary fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  gives a 1-disk algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\text{fs}}$  and a unitary braided fusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  gives a 2-disk algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

The theory of factorization homology of stratified spaces is standard (see [AF1, AFT2, AF2]).

**Definition 5.7.** An (oriented) stratified surface is a pair  $(\Sigma, \Sigma \xrightarrow{\pi} \{0, 1, 2\})$  where  $\Sigma$  is an oriented surface and  $\pi$  is a map. The subspace  $\Sigma_i := \pi^{-1}(i)$  is called the  $i$ -stratum and its connected components are called  $i$ -cells. These data are required to satisfy the following properties.

- (1)  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$  are closed subspaces of  $\Sigma$ .
- (2) For each point  $x \in \Sigma_1$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $(U, U \cap \Sigma_1, U \cap \Sigma_0) \cong (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^1, \emptyset)$ .
- (3) For each point  $x \in \Sigma_0$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  and a finite subset  $I \subset S^1$ , such that  $(V, V \cap \Sigma_1, V \cap \Sigma_0) \cong (\mathbb{R}^2, C(I) \setminus \{\text{cone point}\}, \{\text{cone point}\})$ , where  $C(I)$  is the open cone of  $I$  defined by  $C(I) = I \times [0, 1) / I \times \{0\}$ .
- (4) Each 1-cell is oriented, and each 0-cell is equipped with the standard orientation.

**Definition 5.8.** We define  $\mathbf{Mfld}^{\text{str}}$  to be the topological category whose objects are stratified surfaces and morphism space between two stratified surfaces  $M$  and  $N$  are embeddings  $e : M \rightarrow N$  that preserve the stratifications, and the orientations on 1-, 2-cells. We define  $\mathbf{Mfld}^{\text{str}}$  to be the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category associated to the topological category  $\mathbf{Mfld}^{\text{str}}$ . The symmetric monoidal structure is given by disjoint union.

**Definition 5.9.** Let  $M$  be a stratified surface. We define  $\mathbf{Disk}_M^{\text{str}}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Mfld}^{\text{str}}$  consisting of those disjoint unions of stratified 2-disks that admit at least one morphism into  $M$ .

**Definition 5.10.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category. A coefficient on a stratified surface  $M$  is a symmetric monoidal functor  $A : \mathbf{Disk}_M^{\text{str}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ .

A coefficient  $A$  provides a map from each  $i$ -cell of  $M$  to an  $i$ -disk algebra in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Definition 5.11.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category,  $M$  a stratified surface, and  $A : \text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  a coefficient. The factorization homology of  $M$  with coefficient in  $A$  is an object of  $\mathcal{V}$  defined as follows:

$$\int_M A := \text{Colim}\left(\left(\text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}}\right)_{/M} \xrightarrow{i} \text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}} \xrightarrow{A} \mathcal{V}\right)$$

where  $(\text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}})_{/M}$  is the over category of stratified 2-disks embedded in  $M$ . And the notation  $\text{Colim}\left(\left(\text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}}\right)_{/M} \xrightarrow{A \circ i} \mathcal{V}\right)$  denotes the colimit of the functor  $A \circ i$ .

**Definition 5.12.** A collar-gluing for an oriented  $n$ -manifold  $M$  is a continuous map  $f : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  to the closed interval such that restriction of  $f$  to the preimage of  $(-1, 1)$  is a manifold bundle. We denote a collar-gluing  $f : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  by the open cover  $M_- \cup_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} M_+ \simeq M$ , where  $M_- = f^{-1}([-1, 1))$ ,  $M_+ = f^{-1}((-\infty, 1])$  and  $M_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** ([AF1] Lem. 3.18). Suppose  $\mathcal{V}$  is presentable and the tensor product  $\otimes : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  preserves small colimits for both variables. Then the factorization homology satisfies  $\otimes$ -excision property. That is, for any collar-gluing  $M_- \cup_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} M_+ \simeq M$ , there is a canonical equivalence:

$$\int_M A \simeq \int_{M_-} A \otimes_{\int_{M_0 \times \mathbb{R}} A} \int_{M_+} A$$

**Remark 5.14.** If  $U$  is contractible, there is an equivalence  $\int_U A \simeq A$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Generalization of the  $\otimes$ -excision property is the pushforward property. Let  $M$  be an oriented  $m$ -manifold,  $N$  an oriented  $n$ -manifold, possibly with boundary, and  $A$  an  $m$ -disk algebra in a  $\otimes$ -presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a continuous map which fibers over the interior and the boundary of  $N$ . There is a pushforward functor  $f_*$  sends an  $m$ -disk algebra  $A$  on  $M$  to the  $n$ -disk algebra  $f_*A$  on  $N$ . Given an embedding  $e : U \rightarrow N$  where  $U = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 1)$ , an  $n$ -disk algebra  $f_*A$  is defined as  $(f_*A)(U) := \int_{f^{-1}(e(U))} A$ . Then there is a canonical equivalence  $\int_N f_*A \simeq \int_M A$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

### 5.3 Preparation

**Lemma 5.15.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the functor  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  given by  $a \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} b \mapsto a \otimes - \otimes b$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* The composed equivalence (as categories):

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})}} \delta^L} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$$

carries  $a \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} b \mapsto a \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} b^L \mapsto [a, b^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}^R$ , where  $v$  is induced by Thm. 4.15 and Eq. (4.9). The composed equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\delta^R \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \text{id}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$$

$$c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d \mapsto c^R \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d \mapsto [-, c^R]_{\mathcal{E}}^R \odot d$$

maps  $[a, b^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}^R$  to a functor  $f \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . Note that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([x, c^R]_{\mathcal{E}}^R \odot d, y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}([c, x^R]_{\mathcal{E}}^R, [d, y]_{\mathcal{E}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}, [d, y]_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes [x, c^R]_{\mathcal{E}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}(c^R \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d, x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}(c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d, x^L \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y)$ , which implies

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f(x), y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}([a, b^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}^R, x^L \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a \otimes x \otimes b, y)$$

i.e.  $f \simeq a \otimes - \otimes b$ . Here the second equivalence above holds by the equivalence  $(x^L \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y) \otimes [a, b^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}} \simeq [a, (x^L \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y) \circ b^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}} = [a, y \otimes b^L \otimes x^L]_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}}$ .

Then the functor  $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}), a \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} b \mapsto a \otimes - \otimes b$  is a monoidal equivalence. Recall the central structures of the functors  $T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}$  and  $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  in Expl. 3.8 and Expl. 3.7 respectively. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\Phi$  is induced by  $\Phi \circ T_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}}(e) = T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - = T^e$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.16.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. There is an equivalence in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$$

*Proof.* We have the composed equivalences  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . The second equivalence is due to the composed equivalence  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.17.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a semisimple finite left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module. There is an equivalence  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

*Proof.* The left  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ -action on  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as  $f \circ x := f(x)$  for  $f \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}), x \in \mathcal{C}$ . The composed equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{rev}}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{E}$$

carries  $a \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} b \mapsto b \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{rev}}} a \mapsto [b, a]_{\mathcal{E}}^R$ , where the second equivalence is due to Thm. 4.11 and Eq. (4.9).  $\square$

## 5.4 Computation of factorization homology

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a stratified surface. Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{uty}}$  be the symmetric monoidal  $(2, 1)$ -category of unitary  $\mathcal{E}$ -module categories.

**Definition 5.18.** A coefficient system  $A : \text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\text{uty}}$  on  $M$  is called anomaly-free in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- The target label for a 2-cell is given by a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ .
- The target label for a 1-cell between two adjacent 2-cells labeled by  $\mathcal{A}$ (left) and  $\mathcal{B}$ (right) is given by a closed  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  bimodule in  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .
- The target label for a 0-cell as the one depicted in Figure 1 is given by a 0-disk algebra  $(\mathcal{P}, p)$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , where the unitary  $\mathcal{E}$ -module category  $\mathcal{P}$  is equipped with the structure of a closed left  $\int_{M \setminus \{0\}} A$ -module, i.e.

$$\int_{M \setminus \{0\}} A \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$$

**Example 5.19.** A stratified 2-disk  $M$  is shown in Fig. 1. An anomaly-free coefficient system  $A$  on  $M$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is determined by its target labels shown in Fig. 1

- The target labels for 2-cells:  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ 's.
- The target labels for 1-cells:  $\mathcal{L}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{D}$  bimodules in  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  a closed  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  bimodules in  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$  bimodules in  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ .




Figure 1: The figure depicts a stratified 2-disk with an anomaly-free coefficient system  $A$  in  $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  determined by its target labels.




Figure 2: The figure depicts the two steps in computing the factorization homology of a sphere with the coefficient system given by a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ .

- The target labels for 0-cells:  $(\mathcal{P}, p)$  is a closed left module over  $\mathcal{L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}^{\text{rev}})$ .

The data of the coefficient system  $A : \text{Disk}_M^{\text{str}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\text{uty}}$  shown in Fig. 1 are denoted as

$$A = (A, B, D; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; (\mathcal{P}, p))$$

**Theorem 5.20.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . Consider the stratified sphere  $S^2$  without 1-stratum but with finitely many 0-cells  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . Suppose a coefficient system assigns  $\mathcal{C}$  to the unique 2-cell and assigns  $(\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)$  to the 0-cells  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ , respectively. We have

$$\int_{(S^2; \emptyset; p_1, \dots, p_n)} (\mathcal{C}; \emptyset; (\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)) \simeq (\mathcal{E}, [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n]_{\mathcal{E}}) \quad (5.1)$$

*Proof.* We project the stratified sphere  $S^2$  directly to a closed stratified 2-disk and maps the points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  to the 0-cell as shown in Fig. 2 (a). Applying the pushforward property and the  $\boxtimes$ -excision property, we reduce the problem to the computation of the factorization homology of the stratified 2-disk. Notice that  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\mathcal{C}} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ .

Next we project the stratified 2-disk vertically onto the closed interval  $[-1, 1]$  as shown in Fig. 2 (b). Notice that  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . The final result is expressed as a tensor product:

$$\int_{(S^2; \emptyset; p_1, \dots, p_n)} (\mathcal{C}; \emptyset; (\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)) \simeq (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n))$$

By Lem. 5.17 and Lem. A.6, the composed equivalence

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{rev}}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{E}$$

carries  $x \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} y \mapsto x^R \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})} y \mapsto y \boxtimes_{\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{rev}}} x^R \mapsto [y, x^R]_{\mathcal{E}}^R \cong [x^R, y]_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Taking  $x = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $y = x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$  in the above composed equivalence, we obtain Eq. (5.1).  $\square$



Figure 3: Figure (a) shows a stratified cylinder with a coefficient system  $(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{M}; \emptyset)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{e|C}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ . Figure (b) shows a disjoint union of two open disks with 2-cells labeled by  $\mathcal{C}$ , 1-cells labeled by  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}$ , and 0-cells labeled by  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}$ .

**Theorem 5.21.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\Sigma_g$  be a closed stratified surface of genus  $g$  without 1-stratum but with finitely many 0-cells  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . Suppose a coefficient system assigns  $\mathcal{C}$  to the unique 2-cell and assigns  $(\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)$  to the 0-cells  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ , respectively. We have

$$\int_{(\Sigma_g; \emptyset; p_1, \dots, p_n)} (\mathcal{C}; \emptyset; (\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)) \simeq \left( \mathcal{E}, [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes (\eta^{-1}(A) \otimes_{T(A)} \eta^{-1}(A))^{\otimes g}]_{\mathcal{E}} \right) \quad (5.2)$$

Here  $\eta$  induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{E}_A$  for some separable algebra  $A$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $T: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  is the braided embedding.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ , there is an equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq^{\eta} \mathcal{E}_A$  for some separable algebra  $A$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Notice that Eq. (5.2) holds for genus  $g = 0$  by Thm. 5.20. Now we assume  $g > 0$ . The proof of Thm. 5.20 implies that  $\int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ . By the equivalence of categories  $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$  in Lem. A.5,  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is mapped to  $p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q := \text{Colim}(\eta^{-1}(A \otimes A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \eta^{-1}(A) \rightrightarrows \eta^{-1}(A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \eta^{-1}(A))$ .

Therefore, we have  $\int_{S^1 \times \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{C} \simeq (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}, p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q)$ . As a consequence, when we compute the factorization homology, we can replace a cylinder  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  by two open 2-disks with two 0-cells as shown on the Fig. 3, both of which are labelled by  $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}, p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q)$ . In this way, the genus is reduced by one. By induction, we obtain the equation

$$\int_{(\Sigma_g; \emptyset; p_1, \dots, p_n)} (\mathcal{C}; \emptyset; (\mathcal{C}, x_1), \dots, (\mathcal{C}, x_n)) \simeq \left( \mathcal{E}, [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}, x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes (p \otimes q)^{\otimes g}]_{\mathcal{E}} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p \otimes q &\simeq \text{Colim}(\eta^{-1}(A \otimes A) \otimes \eta^{-1}(A) \rightrightarrows \eta^{-1}(A) \otimes \eta^{-1}(A)) \\ &\simeq \text{Colim}(\eta^{-1}(A) \otimes T(A) \otimes \eta^{-1}(A) \rightrightarrows \eta^{-1}(A) \otimes \eta^{-1}(A)) \\ &\simeq \eta^{-1}(A) \otimes_{T(A)} \eta^{-1}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Since factorization homology and  $p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q$  are both defined by colimits, we exchange the order of two colimits in the first equivalence. The second equivalence is induced by the composed equivalence  $\eta^{-1}(A \otimes A) \simeq A \odot \eta^{-1}(A) = T(A) \otimes \eta^{-1}(A) \simeq \eta^{-1}(A) \otimes T(A)$ . Since  $T(A)$  is an algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we obtain the last equivalence.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.22.** Let  $(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  be the stratified cylinder shown in Fig. 3. in which the target label  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$  and the target label  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed in  $\mathbf{BMod}_{e|C}(\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}))$ . We have

$$\int_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})} (\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{M}; \emptyset) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the unique (up to equivalence) left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ .

*Proof.* By the equivalences  $Z(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \bar{\mathcal{C}} \simeq Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$  by Thm. 4.32. Therefore, we have  $\int_{(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})} (\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{M}; \emptyset) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ , which maps  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{Z(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$  to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . The last equivalence is due to Thm. 5.16.  $\square$

## A Appendix

### A.1 Central functors and other results

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a braided monoidal category with the braiding  $c$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  a monoidal category.

**Definition A.1.** A central structure of a monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a braided monoidal functor  $F' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $F = \mathbf{f} \circ F'$ , where  $\mathbf{f} : Z(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is the forgetful functor.

A central functor is a monoidal functor equipped with a central structure. For any monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , the central structure of  $F$  given in Def. A.1 is equivalent to the central structure of  $F$  given in the Def. A.2.

**Definition A.2.** A central structure of a monoidal functor  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a natural isomorphism  $\sigma_{d,m} : F(d) \otimes m \rightarrow m \otimes F(d)$ ,  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  which is natural in both variables such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(d) \otimes m \otimes m' & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d,m \otimes m'}} & m \otimes m' \otimes F(d) \\ & \searrow \sigma_{d,m}, 1 & \nearrow 1, \sigma_{d,m'} \\ & m \otimes F(d) \otimes m' & \end{array} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(d) \otimes F(d') \otimes m & \xrightarrow{1, \sigma_{d',m}} & F(d) \otimes m \otimes F(d') & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d,m}, 1} & m \otimes F(d) \otimes F(d') \\ \downarrow J_{d,d'}, 1 & & & & \downarrow 1, J_{d,d'} \\ F(d \otimes d') \otimes m & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d \otimes d', m}} & & & m \otimes F(d \otimes d') \end{array} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(d) \otimes F(d') & \xrightarrow{J_{d,d'}} & F(d \otimes d') \\ \sigma_{d, F(d')} \downarrow & & \downarrow F(c_{d,d'}) \\ F(d') \otimes F(d) & \xrightarrow{J_{d',d}} & F(d' \otimes d) \end{array} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

commute for any  $d, d' \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $J$  is the monoidal structure of  $F$ .

**Proposition A.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  a separable algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A y^R & \xrightarrow{c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R}} & x \otimes_A y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \\ c_{e,x}, 1 \downarrow & & \uparrow 1, c_{e,y^R} \\ x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes_A y^R & \xrightarrow{h} & x \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R \end{array}$$

commutes for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , where  $c$  is the central structure of the central functor  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .



*Proof.* The functor  $y \mapsto y^R$  defines an equivalence of right  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules  $(\mathcal{C}_A)^{\text{op}L} \simeq {}_A\mathcal{C}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , we use  $p_x$  to denote the right  $A$ -action on  $x$ . For  $y^R \in {}_A\mathcal{C}$ , we use  $q_{y^R}$  to denote the left  $A$ -action on  $y^R$ . Obviously,  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_A$  and  $y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)$  belongs to  ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ . The right  $A$ -action on  $x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)$  is induced by  $x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \xrightarrow{1, c_{e,A}} x \otimes A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \xrightarrow{p_x, 1} x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)$ . The left  $A$ -action on  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R$  is induced by  $A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R \xrightarrow{c_{e,A}^{-1}, 1} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes y^R \xrightarrow{1, q_{y^R}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R$ . It is routine to check that  $c_{e,x}$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}_A$  and  $c_{e,y^R}$  is a morphism in  ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ .

The morphism  $c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R}$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes A \otimes y^R & \xrightarrow[1, 1, q_{y^R}]{1, p_x, 1} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes y^R & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A y^R \\ \downarrow c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R} & & \downarrow c_{e,x \otimes y^R} & & \downarrow c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R} \\ x \otimes A \otimes y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \xrightarrow[1, q_{y^R}, 1]{p_x, 1, 1} & x \otimes y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \longrightarrow & x \otimes_A y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \end{array}$$

The composition  $(1 \otimes_A c_{e,y^R}) \circ h \circ (c_{e,x} \otimes_A 1)$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes A \otimes y^R & \xrightarrow[1, 1, q_{y^R}]{1, p_x, 1} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes y^R & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A y^R \\ \downarrow c_{e,x}, 1, 1 & & \downarrow c_{e,x}, 1 & & \downarrow c_{e,x}, 1 \\ x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes y^R & \xrightarrow[1, 1, q_{y^R}]{p_x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)} & x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R & \longrightarrow & x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes_A y^R \\ \downarrow 1, c_{e,A}, 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow h \\ x \otimes A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R & \xrightarrow[1, q_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)} \otimes y^R]{p_x, 1, 1} & x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R & \longrightarrow & x \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes y^R \\ \downarrow 1, 1, c_{e,y^R} & & \downarrow 1, c_{e,y^R} & & \downarrow 1, c_{e,y^R} \\ x \otimes A \otimes y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \xrightarrow[1, q_{y^R}, 1]{p_x, 1, 1} & x \otimes y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \longrightarrow & x \otimes_A y^R \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \end{array}$$

$c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R}$  (curved arrow from top-left to bottom-left)

Since  $c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R} = (1_x \otimes c_{e,y^R}) \circ (c_{e,x} \otimes 1_{y^R})$ , the composition  $(1 \otimes_A c_{e,y^R}) \circ h \circ (c_{e,x} \otimes_A 1)$  equals to  $c_{e,x \otimes_A y^R}$  by the universal property of coequalizers.  $\square$

**Proposition A.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a multifusion category over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  a separable algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The functor  $\Phi : ({}_A\mathcal{C}_A)^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$ ,  $x \mapsto - \otimes_A x$  is an equivalence of multifusion categories over  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.*  $\Phi$  is an equivalence by Prop. 4.9. The monoidal structure on  $\Phi$  is defined as

$$\Phi(x \otimes_A^{\text{rev}} y) = - \otimes_A (y \otimes_A x) \simeq (- \otimes_A y) \otimes_A x = \Phi(x) \circ \Phi(y)$$

for  $x, y \in ({}_A\mathcal{C}_A)^{\text{rev}}$ . Recall the central structures on the functors  $I : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow ({}_A\mathcal{C}_A)^{\text{rev}}$  and  $\hat{T} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}_A, \mathcal{C}_A)$  in Expl. 3.9 and Expl. 4.3 respectively. The structure of monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\Phi$  is induced by

$$\Phi(I(e)) = \Phi(T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes^{\text{rev}} A) = - \otimes_A (A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e)) \cong - \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \xrightarrow{c_e^{-1}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - = \hat{T}^e$$

for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , where  $c$  is the central structure of the functor  $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Next we want to check

that  $\Phi$  is a monoidal functor over  $\mathcal{E}$ . Consider the diagram for  $e \in \mathcal{E}, x \in (A^{\mathcal{C}_A})^{\text{rev}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi(I(e) \otimes_A^{\text{rev}} x) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(I(e)) \circ \Phi(x) & \longrightarrow & \hat{T}^e \circ \Phi(x) \\ \sigma_{e,x} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma}_{e,x} \\ \Phi(x \otimes_A^{\text{rev}} I(e)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(x) \circ \Phi(I(e)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(x) \circ \hat{T}^e \end{array}$$

The central structure  $\sigma$  is induced by  $x \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \xrightarrow{c_{e,x \otimes_A A}^{-1}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A A \cong T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes_A x \xrightarrow{c_{e,A,1}} A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes_A x$ . The central structure  $\bar{\sigma}$  is induced by  $T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes (- \otimes_A x) \simeq (T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes -) \otimes_A x$ . The commutativity of the above diagram is due to the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \otimes_A A & \xrightarrow{1, c_{e,x \otimes_A A}} & - \otimes_A x \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \xrightarrow{c_{e,- \otimes_A x \otimes_A A}^{-1}} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A x \otimes_A A \\ \cong \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \cong \\ - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes_A x & \xrightarrow{1, c_{e,A,1}} & - \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes_A x & \xrightarrow{c_{e,- \otimes_A A, 1}^{-1}} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A A \otimes_A x \end{array}$$

The upper horizontal composition  $c_{e,- \otimes_A x}^{-1} \circ (1 \otimes_A c_{e,x})$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x & \xrightarrow{1, q_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x}} & - \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x & \longrightarrow & - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \\ \downarrow 1, c_{e,x} & & \downarrow 1, c_{e,x} & \swarrow c_{e,-,1}^{-1} & \downarrow 1, c_{e,x} \\ - \otimes_A x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \xrightarrow{1, q_{x,1}} & - \otimes x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \longrightarrow & - \otimes_A x \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \\ \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes_A x}^{-1} & & \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes x}^{-1} & \swarrow c_{e,-,1}^{-1} & \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes_A x}^{-1} \\ T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A x & \xrightarrow{1, q_x} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes x & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A x \end{array}$$

Here  $(-, p_-)$  and  $(A, m)$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}_A$  and  $(x, p_x, q_x)$  belong to  $A^{\mathcal{C}_A}$ .  $q_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x}$  is defined as  $A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x \xrightarrow{c_{e,A,1}^{-1}} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \otimes x \xrightarrow{1, q_x} T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes x$ . The lower horizontal composition  $c_{e,- \otimes_A A}^{-1} \circ (1 \otimes_A c_{e,A})$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1, q_{T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A}} & - \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A & \longrightarrow & - \otimes_A T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes A \\ \downarrow 1, c_{e,A} & & \downarrow 1, c_{e,A} & \swarrow c_{e,-,1}^{-1} & \downarrow 1, c_{e,A} \\ - \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \xrightarrow{1, m, 1} & - \otimes A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) & \longrightarrow & - \otimes_A A \otimes T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \\ \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes_A A}^{-1} & & \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes A}^{-1} & \swarrow c_{e,-,1}^{-1} & \downarrow c_{e,- \otimes_A A}^{-1} \\ T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A A & \xrightarrow{1, m} & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes A & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{C}}(e) \otimes - \otimes_A A \end{array}$$

Since  $x \otimes_A A \cong x \cong A \otimes_A x$ , the compositions  $c_{e,- \otimes_A x \otimes_A A}^{-1} \circ (1 \otimes_A c_{e,x \otimes_A A})$  and  $(c_{e,- \otimes_A A}^{-1} \otimes_A 1_x) \circ (1 \otimes_A c_{e,A} \otimes_A 1_x)$  are equal by the universal property of cokernels.  $\square$

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be algebras in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We use  $M^{\mathcal{C}}$  (or  $\mathcal{E}_N$ ) to denote the left  $M$ -modules (or right  $N$ -modules) in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma A.5.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = {}_M\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{E}_N$  for some algebras  $M, N$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . The functor  $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow {}_M\mathcal{E}_N$ ,  $x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y \mapsto x \otimes y$  is an equivalence of categories. The inverse of  $\Phi$  is defined as  $z \mapsto \text{Colim}((M \otimes M) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} z \rightrightarrows M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} z)$  for any  $z \in {}_M\mathcal{E}_N$ .

*Proof.* The functor  $\Phi$  is determined by the equivalence  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N}, {}_M\mathcal{E}_N) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{bal}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}; {}_M\mathcal{E}_N)$ . The inverse of  $\Phi$  is denoted by  $\Psi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \circ \Phi(x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y) &= \Psi(x \otimes y) = \text{Colim}(\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes M, x \otimes y) \rightrightarrows \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M, x \otimes y)) \\ &\simeq \text{Colim}(\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes M \otimes x, y) \rightrightarrows \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes x, y)) \simeq \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes_M x, y) \simeq x \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} y \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence is due to the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ . The second equivalence holds because the functor  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$  preserves colimits.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \circ \Psi(z) &= \Phi(\text{Colim}(\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes M, z) \rightrightarrows \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M, z))) \simeq \text{Colim}(\Phi \circ \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M \otimes M, z) \rightrightarrows \Phi \circ \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}(M, z)) \\ &\simeq \text{Colim}((M \otimes M) \otimes z \rightrightarrows M \otimes z) \simeq M \otimes_M z \simeq z \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence holds because  $\Phi$  preserves colimits.  $\square$

**Lemma A.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be pivotal fusion categories and  $\mathcal{M}$  a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}$ . There is an isomorphism  $[x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \cong [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}} \cong [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}^L$  for  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a pivotal fusion category, there is a one-to-one correspondence between traces on  $\mathcal{M}$  and natural isomorphisms

$$\eta_{x,y}^{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(y, x)^*$$

for  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$  by [S, Prop. 4.1]. Here both  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(-, -)$  and  $\text{Hom}(-, -)^*$  are functors from  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ . For  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have composed natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c \odot x, y) \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathcal{M}}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(y, c \odot x)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c^L \odot y, x)^* \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c^L, [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}})^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([y, x]_{\mathcal{C}}^R, c)^* \xrightarrow{(\eta^{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}}^R), \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c \odot x, y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(x, c^R \odot y) \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathcal{M}}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(c^R \odot y, x)^* \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c^R, [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}})^* \xrightarrow{(\eta^{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([y, x]_{\mathcal{C}}, c^R) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}}^L) \end{aligned}$$

By Yoneda lemma, we obtain  $[x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}^R \cong [y, x]_{\mathcal{C}} \cong [x, y]_{\mathcal{C}}^L$ .  $\square$

## A.2 Monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

For objects  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  in a 2-category  $\mathbf{B}$ , the hom category  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  denotes the category of 1-morphisms from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{B}$  and 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{B}$ . For 1-morphisms  $f, g \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ , the set  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})(f, g)$  denotes the set of all 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{B}$  with domain  $f$  and codomain  $g$ .

**Definition A.7.** The product 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is the 2-category defined by the following data:

- The objects are pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  for  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .
- For objects  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , a 1-morphism from  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  to  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  is a pair  $(f, g)$  where  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  are 1-morphisms in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ .

- The identity 1-morphism of an object  $(A, B)$  is  $1_{(A, B)} := (1_A, 1_B)$ .
- For 1-morphisms  $(f, g), (p, q) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , a 2-morphism from  $(f, g)$  to  $(p, q)$  is a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha : f \Rightarrow p$  and  $\beta : g \Rightarrow q$  are 2-morphisms in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ .
- For 1-morphisms  $(f, g), (p, q), (m, n) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , and 2-morphisms  $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))((f, g), (p, q))$ , and  $(\gamma, \delta) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))((p, q), (m, n))$ , the vertical composition is  $(\gamma, \delta) \circ (\alpha, \beta) := (\gamma \circ \alpha, \delta \circ \beta)$ .
- For 1-morphisms  $(f, g) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ ,  $(p, q) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}))$ , the horizontal composition of 1-morphisms is  $(p, q) \circ (f, g) := (p \circ f, q \circ g)$ .
- For 1-morphisms  $(f, g), (f', g') \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , and  $(p, q), (p', q') \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}))$ , and 2-morphisms  $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))((f, g), (f', g'))$ , and  $(\gamma, \delta) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}))((p, q), (p', q'))$ , the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms is  $(\gamma, \delta) * (\alpha, \beta) := (\gamma * \alpha, \delta * \beta)$ .

It is routine to check that the above data satisfy the axioms (i)-(vi) of [JY, Prop. 2.3.4].

Next, we define a pseudo-functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  as follows.

- For each object  $(A, B) \in \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ , an object  $A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  exists (unique up to equivalence).
- For a 1-morphism  $(f, g) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , a 1-morphism  $f \boxtimes_\varepsilon g : A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  is induced by the universal property of the tensor product  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\ f, g \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow t_{fg} \\ \searrow \end{array} & \downarrow \exists! f \boxtimes_\varepsilon g \\ \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

Notice that for all  $x \in A, e \in \mathcal{E}, y \in B$ , the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on the functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon \circ (f \times g)$  is induced by

$$f(x \odot e) \boxtimes_\varepsilon g(y) \xrightarrow{(s_f^r)^{-1} \boxtimes_\varepsilon 1} (f(x) \odot e) \boxtimes_\varepsilon g(y) \xrightarrow{b_{f(x), e, g(y)}^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}} f(x) \boxtimes_\varepsilon (e \odot g(y)) \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon s_g^l} f(x) \boxtimes_\varepsilon g(e \odot y)$$

where  $(g, s_g^l) : B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is the left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor,  $(f, s_f^r) : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the right  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor, and the natural isomorphism  $b^{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}$  is the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on the functor  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}$ .

For a 2-morphism  $(\alpha, \beta) : (f, g) \Rightarrow (p, q)$  in  $(\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , a 2-morphism  $\alpha \boxtimes_\varepsilon \beta : f \boxtimes_\varepsilon g \Rightarrow p \boxtimes_\varepsilon q$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$  is defined by the universal property of  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\ f, g \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{t_{fg}} f \boxtimes_\varepsilon g \left( \begin{array}{c} \exists! \alpha \boxtimes_\varepsilon \beta \\ \Rightarrow \end{array} \right) p \boxtimes_\varepsilon q \\ \searrow \end{array} & = f, g \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta \\ \Rightarrow \end{array} \right) p, q \xrightarrow{t_{pq}} \downarrow p \boxtimes_\varepsilon q \\ \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

It is routine to check that  $\boxtimes_\varepsilon : (\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}(A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B, \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{D})$  is a local functor. That is, for 2-morphisms  $(\alpha, \beta) : (f, g) \Rightarrow (p, q)$  and  $(\delta, \tau) : (p, q) \Rightarrow (m, n)$  in  $(\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})((A, B), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ , The equations  $(\delta \circ \alpha) \boxtimes_\varepsilon (\tau \circ \beta) = (\delta \boxtimes_\varepsilon \tau) \circ (\alpha \boxtimes_\varepsilon \beta)$  and  $1_f \boxtimes_\varepsilon 1_g = 1_{f \boxtimes_\varepsilon g}$  hold.

- For all 1-morphisms  $f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} g : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ ,  $p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , the lax functoriality constraint  $(p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q) \circ (f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} g) \simeq^{t_{f,g}^{pq}} (p \circ f) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (q \circ g)$  is defined by the universal property of  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow f, g & \searrow t_{f,g} & \downarrow \exists! f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} g \\
 \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow p, q & \searrow t_{p,q} & \downarrow \exists! p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q \\
 \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow f, g & \searrow p \circ f, q \circ g & \downarrow \exists! (p \circ f) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (q \circ g) \\
 \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow p, q & \searrow t_{p,q} & \downarrow \exists! p \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} q \\
 \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N}
 \end{array}$$

where the identity 2-morphism is always abbreviated.

- For 1-morphisms  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , the lax unity constraint  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq^{t_{1_{\mathcal{A}}, 1_{\mathcal{B}}}} 1_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}}$  is defined by the universal property of  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}, 1_{\mathcal{B}} & \searrow t_{1_{\mathcal{A}}, 1_{\mathcal{B}}} & \downarrow \exists! 1_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}, 1_{\mathcal{B}} & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}
 \end{array}$$

where we choose the identity 2-morphism  $\text{id} : \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ 1_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}} \circ \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$  for convenience.

It is routine to check that the above data satisfy the lax associativity, the lax left and right unity of [JY, (4.1.3),(4.1.4)].

**Remark A.8.** The left (or right)  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}$  is induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow \circ, 1 & \searrow t_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} & \downarrow \exists! \circ \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E} \\
 \downarrow 1, \circ & \searrow t_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}} & \downarrow \exists! \circ \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}
 \end{array}$$

The  $n$ -fold product 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is written as  $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^n$  such that  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  has a set of objects. The 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^n, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$  contains pseudofunctors  $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  as objects, strong transformations between such pseudofunctors as 1-morphisms, and modifications between such strong transformations as 2-morphisms.

**Lemma A.9.** We claim that  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is a monoidal 2-category.

*Proof.* A monoidal 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  consists of the following data.

- The 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  is equipped with the pseudo-functor  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}} \times \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$  and the tensor unit  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- The associator is a strong transformation  $\alpha : \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ (\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \times \text{id}) \Rightarrow \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ (\text{id} \times \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}})$  in the 2-category  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^3, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^3$ ,  $\alpha$  contains an invertible 1-morphism  $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} : (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C})$  induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ (\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \times \text{id})} & (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \\
 \searrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} & \searrow d_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} & \downarrow \exists! \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} \\
 & \searrow \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ (\text{id} \times \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}) & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C})
 \end{array}$$

For each 1-morphism  $(f_1, f_2, f_3) : (A, B, C) \rightarrow (A', B', C')$  in  $(\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})^3$ ,  $\alpha$  contains an invertible 2-morphism  $\alpha_{f_1, f_2, f_3} : (f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon (f_2 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3)) \circ \alpha_{A, B, C} \Rightarrow \alpha_{A', B', C'} \circ ((f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_2) \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3)$  induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon, 1} & (A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B) \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & (A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B) \boxtimes_\varepsilon C \\
 \downarrow f_1, f_2, f_3 & \searrow 1, \boxtimes_\varepsilon & \downarrow d_{A, B, C}^a \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha_{A, B, C} & \searrow (f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_2) \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3 \\
 & & A \times (B \boxtimes_\varepsilon C) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon (B \boxtimes_\varepsilon C) \xrightarrow{\exists! \alpha_{f_1, f_2, f_3}} (A' \boxtimes_\varepsilon B') \boxtimes_\varepsilon C' \\
 & \searrow 1_{f_1}, t_{f_2, f_3} \nearrow & \downarrow f_1, f_2 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3 & \downarrow t_{f_1, f_2, f_3} \nearrow & \downarrow f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon (f_2 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3) \\
 A' \times B' \times C' & \xrightarrow{1, \boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A' \times (B' \boxtimes_\varepsilon C') & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A' \boxtimes_\varepsilon (B' \boxtimes_\varepsilon C') \\
 & & \downarrow d_{A', B', C'}^a \nearrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{A', B', C'} \nearrow
 \end{array}$$

||

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon, 1} & (A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B) \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & (A \boxtimes_\varepsilon B) \boxtimes_\varepsilon C \\
 \downarrow f_1, f_2, f_3 & \searrow t_{f_1, f_2}, 1_{f_3} \nearrow & \downarrow (f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_2), f_3 & \downarrow t_{f_1, f_2, f_3} \nearrow & \searrow (f_1 \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_2) \boxtimes_\varepsilon f_3 \\
 & & (A' \boxtimes_\varepsilon B') \times C' & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & (A' \boxtimes_\varepsilon B') \boxtimes_\varepsilon C' \\
 & \searrow \boxtimes_\varepsilon, 1 \nearrow & \downarrow d_{A', B', C'}^a \nearrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{A', B', C'} \nearrow \\
 A' \times B' \times C' & \xrightarrow{1, \boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A' \times (B' \boxtimes_\varepsilon C') & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A' \boxtimes_\varepsilon (B' \boxtimes_\varepsilon C')
 \end{array}$$

iii The left unitor and right unitor are strong transformations  $l : \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon - \Rightarrow -$  and  $r : - \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \Rightarrow -$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}(\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}, \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $A \in \mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ ,  $l$  and  $r$  contain invertible 1-morphisms  $l_A : \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon A \rightarrow A$  and  $r_A : A \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \rightarrow A$  respectively

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varepsilon \times A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon A \\
 \downarrow d_{\varepsilon, A}^l \nearrow & & \downarrow l_A \\
 \circ & & A
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow d_{A, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & & \downarrow r_A \\
 \circ & & A
 \end{array}$$

For each 1-morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\varepsilon^{\text{fs}}$ ,  $l$  and  $r$  contain invertible 2-morphisms  $\beta_f^l : f \circ l_A \Rightarrow l_B \circ (1_\varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon f)$  and  $\beta_f^r : f \circ r_A \Rightarrow r_B \circ (f \boxtimes_\varepsilon 1_\varepsilon)$  respectively

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varepsilon \times A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon A \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow d_{\varepsilon, A}^l \nearrow & \downarrow l_A \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow d_{\varepsilon, B}^l \nearrow & \downarrow l_B \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow d_{\varepsilon, B}^l \nearrow & \downarrow l_B \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \varepsilon \times A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon A \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow t_{1_\varepsilon, f} \nearrow & \downarrow 1_\varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon f \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow d_{\varepsilon, B}^l \nearrow & \downarrow l_B \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B \\
 \downarrow 1_\varepsilon, f & \searrow d_{\varepsilon, B}^l \nearrow & \downarrow l_B \\
 \varepsilon \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & \varepsilon \boxtimes_\varepsilon B
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow d_{A, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & \downarrow r_A \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow d_{B, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & \downarrow r_B \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow d_{B, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & \downarrow r_B \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & A \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow t_{f, 1_\varepsilon} \nearrow & \downarrow f \boxtimes_\varepsilon 1_\varepsilon \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow d_{B, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & \downarrow r_B \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon \\
 \downarrow f, 1_\varepsilon & \searrow d_{B, \varepsilon}^r \nearrow & \downarrow r_B \\
 B \times \varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_\varepsilon} & B \boxtimes_\varepsilon \varepsilon
 \end{array}$$

where  $(f, s_f^l) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor and  $(f, s_f^r) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a right  $\mathcal{E}$ -module functor.

- iv The pentagonator is a modification  $\pi$  in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^4, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ ,  $\pi$  consists of an invertible 2-morphism  $\pi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} : (1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \circ (\alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{D}}) \Rightarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}} \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}$  induced by (where, for example,  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}$  is abbreviated to  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1, 1} & \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} & (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C})\mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow 1, 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \searrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1 & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, 1_{\mathcal{D}}} & \downarrow t_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, 1_{\mathcal{D}}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & (\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}))\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}) \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha} \uparrow & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \exists! \pi_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}((\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}((\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C})\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D})) \\
 \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}, d_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow t_{1_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}} \uparrow & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D})) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}))
 \end{array}$$

||

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1, 1} & \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} & (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & ((\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})\mathcal{C})\mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow 1, 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}^{\alpha} \uparrow & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} & \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}) \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha} \uparrow & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D})) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D})) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}))
 \end{array}$$

- v The middle 2-unitor  $\mu$  is a modification in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2$ ,  $\mu$  consists of an invertible 2-morphism  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}} : (1_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} l_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \circ (r_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{A}})$  induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} \uparrow & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}} \quad r_{\mathcal{B}}, 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} = \\
 \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}, d_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}, l_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow t_{1_{\mathcal{B}}, l_{\mathcal{A}}} \uparrow & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} l_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

=

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}, \circlearrowleft, 1_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow r_{\mathcal{B}}, 1_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow t_{r_{\mathcal{B}}, 1_{\mathcal{A}}} \uparrow & \downarrow r_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow b^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}} & \downarrow b^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}} & \downarrow \text{id} & \downarrow \text{id} & \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

where  $b^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}}$  is the balanced  $\mathcal{E}$ -module structure on the functor  $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}$ .

- vi The left 2-unitor  $\lambda$  is a modification in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{ps}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \in (\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2$ ,  $\lambda$

consists of an invertible 2-morphism  $\lambda_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} : l_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow l_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}$  induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^a \swarrow \quad \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow d_{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{B},1_{\mathcal{A}}}^l \swarrow \quad \downarrow l_{\mathcal{B},1_{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},1_{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \downarrow l_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}} \\
 \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\exists! \lambda_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}} & = & \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow \circ, 1 \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^l \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^l \quad \downarrow l_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \circ, 1 \quad \downarrow l_{\mathcal{B},1_{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},1_{\mathcal{A}}} \quad \downarrow l_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

vii The right 2-unitor  $\rho$  is a modification in  $\mathbf{Cat}^{\text{fs}}((\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2, \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})$ . For each  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$  in  $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}})^2$ ,  $\rho$  consists of an invertible 2-morphism  $\rho_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}} : (1_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} r_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}} \Rightarrow r_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}}$  induced by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} & & \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}^a \swarrow \quad \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}} & & \downarrow 1, \circ \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^r \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^r \quad \downarrow r_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\exists! \rho_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}} & = & \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \\
 \downarrow 1, \circ \quad \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}^r \quad \downarrow 1, r_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},r_{\mathcal{A}}}^l \quad \downarrow 1_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} r_{\mathcal{A}}} & & \downarrow 1, \circ \quad \downarrow t_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^r \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}}^r \quad \downarrow r_{\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

It is routine to check that  $\alpha, l, r$  satisfy the lax unity and the lax naturality of [JY, Def. 4.2.1], and  $\pi, \mu, \lambda, \rho$  satisfy the modification axiom of [JY, Def. 4.4.1]. It is routine to check that the above data satisfy the non-abelian 4-cocycle condition, the left normalization and the right normalization of [JY, (11.2.14), (11.2.16), (11.2.17)].  $\square$

### A.3 Symmetric monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$

Let  $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}, \alpha, l, r, \pi, \mu, \lambda, \rho)$  be the monoidal 2-category. For objects  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , the braiding  $\tau$  consists of an invertible 1-morphism  $\tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}$  defined as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} & & \\
 s_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} \downarrow \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}}^r \swarrow & & \downarrow \exists! \tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $s$  switches the two objects. For objects  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}$ , the left hexagonator  $R_{-|-}$  and the right hexagonator  $R_{-|-}$  consist of invertible 2-morphisms  $R_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}$  and  $R_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}$  respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} & & & & \\
 \downarrow s_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}} \quad \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}^a \swarrow \quad \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}} & & & & \downarrow \tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},1} \\
 \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}) & & & & (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow \tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}} \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}^r \swarrow \quad \downarrow \tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}} & & & & \downarrow \exists! R_{\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}, 1} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} & & & & \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}) \\
 \downarrow 1, \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \downarrow d_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A}}^a \swarrow \quad \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C},\mathcal{A}} & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}} \\
 \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) & & & & \mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{A}) \\
 & & & & \downarrow 1, \tau_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}}
 \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{c}
\parallel \\
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C \\
\downarrow s_{A,B,1} & \searrow d_{A,B}^r \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{A,B,1} & \searrow t_{\tau} \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{A,B,1} \\
B \times A \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1} & B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C \\
\downarrow 1_{s_{A,e}} & \searrow 1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & \downarrow d_{B,A,e}^r \not\parallel & \searrow \alpha_{B,A,e} & \downarrow \alpha_{B,A,e} \\
B \times C \times A & \xrightarrow{1_{d_{A,e}^r}} & B \times A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C) \\
\downarrow 1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & \searrow 1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & \downarrow 1_{\tau_{A,e}} & \searrow t_{\tau} \not\parallel & \downarrow 1_{\tau_{A,e}} \\
B \times C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A) & & 
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}}} & A \times B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C) \\
\downarrow s_{A \times B, e} & \searrow \boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1 & \downarrow d_{A,B,e}^{a-1} \not\parallel & \searrow \alpha_{A,B,e}^{-1} & \downarrow 1_{\tau_{B,e}} \\
A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B \times C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C & & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B) \\
\downarrow s_{A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B, e} & \searrow d_{A,B,e}^r \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B, e} & \searrow \exists! R_{A,B|e} & \downarrow \alpha_{A,e,B}^{-1} \\
C \times A \times B & \xrightarrow{1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}}} & C \times A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B) \\
\downarrow \boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1 & \searrow d_{e,A,B}^{a-1} \not\parallel & \downarrow \alpha_{e,A,B}^{-1} & \searrow \tau_{A,e,1} & \downarrow \tau_{A,e,1} \\
C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B & & 
\end{array} \\
\parallel \\
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times B \times C & \xrightarrow{1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}}} & A \times B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C) \\
\downarrow 1_{s_{B,e}} & \searrow 1_{d_{B,e}^r} \not\parallel & \downarrow 1_{\tau_{B,e}} & \searrow t_{\tau} \not\parallel & \downarrow 1_{\tau_{B,e}} \\
A \times C \times B & \xrightarrow{1_{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}}} & A \times C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} (C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B) \\
\downarrow s_{A,e,1} & \searrow \boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1 & \downarrow d_{A,e,B}^{a-1} \not\parallel & \searrow \alpha_{A,e,B}^{-1} & \downarrow \alpha_{A,e,B}^{-1} \\
C \times A \times B & \xrightarrow{d_{A,e,1}^r} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} C) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B \\
\downarrow \boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1 & \searrow \boxtimes_{\varepsilon}, 1 & \downarrow \tau_{A,e,1} & \searrow t_{\tau} \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{A,e,1} \\
C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & (C \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A) \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B & & 
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

For objects  $A, B \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\varepsilon}^{\text{fs}}$ , the syllepsis  $\nu$  consists of an invertible 2-morphism  $\nu_{A,B}$  defined as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B \\
\downarrow s_{A,B} & \searrow d_{A,B}^r \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{A,B} \\
B \times A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A \\
\downarrow s_{B,A} & \searrow d_{B,A}^r \not\parallel & \downarrow \tau_{B,A} \\
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\exists! \nu_{A,B}} & 1_{A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B} \\
& & = \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B \\
\downarrow s_{A,B} & \searrow 1_{A \times B} & \downarrow 1_{A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B} \\
B \times A & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & B \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} A \\
\downarrow s_{B,A} & \searrow 1_{A \times B} & \downarrow 1_{A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B} \\
A \times B & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\varepsilon}} & A \boxtimes_{\varepsilon} B
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

where we choose the identity 2-morphism  $\text{id} : \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \circ 1_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}} \circ \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$  for convenience. It is routine to check that  $(\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{fs}}, \tau, R_{-|-}, R_{-|-}, \nu)$  is a symmetric monoidal 2-category [JY, Def. 12.1.6, 12.1.15, 12.1.19].

## References

- [AF1] David Ayala, John Francis. Factorization homology of topological manifolds. *Journal of Topology*, 2015, 8(4):1045-1084.
- [AF2] David Ayala, John Francis. A factorization homology primer. In: *Handbook of Homotopy theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2020, 39-101.
- [AFT1] David Ayala, John Francis, Hiro Lee Tanaka. Local structures on stratified spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2017, 307:903-1028.
- [AFT2] David Ayala, John Francis, Hiro Lee Tanaka. Factorization homology of stratified spaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2016, 23(1):293-362.
- [AFR] David Ayala, John Francis, Nick Rozenblyum. Factorization homology i: Higher categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2018, 333:1024-1177.
- [AKZ] Yinghua Ai, Liang Kong, Hao Zheng. Topological orders and factorization homology. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2017, 21(8):1854-1894.
- [BBJ1] David Ben-Zvi, Adrien Brochier, David Jordan. Integrating Quantum groups over surfaces. *Journal of Topology*, 2018, 11(4):874-917.
- [BBJ2] David Ben-Zvi, Adrien Brochier, David Jordan. Quantum character varieties and braided module categories. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2018, 24(5):4711-4748.
- [BD] Alexander Beilinson, Vladimir Drinfeld. *Chiral algebras*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2004.
- [CG] Kevin Costello, Owen Gwilliam. *Factorization algebras in perturbative quantum field theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [DGNO] Vladimir Drinfeld, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. On braided fusion categories I. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2010, 16(1):1-119.
- [DMNO] Alexei Davydov, Michael Müger, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Grelles Journal)*, 2013, 677:135-177.
- [DNO] Alexei Davydov, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2012, 19(1):237-269.
- [EGNO] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [ENO] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. Fusion categories and homotopy theory. *Quantum Topology*, 2010, 1(3):209-273.
- [F] John Francis. The tangent complex and Hochschild cohomology of  $E_n$ -rings. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2013, 149:430-480.
- [FG] John Francis, Dennis Gaitsgory. Chiral Koszul duality. *Selecta Mathematica*, 2012, 18:27-87.

- 
- [JY] Niles Johnson, Donald Yau. 2-Dimensional Categories. Oxford University Press, 2021.
- [KZ] Liang Kong, Hao Zheng. The center functor is fully faithful. *Advanced in Mathematics*, 2018, 339:749-779.
- [L] Jacob Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. *Current Developments in Mathematics*, 2008, (1):129-280.
- [LKW] Tian Lan, Liang Kong, Xiao-Gang Wen. Modular extensions of unitary braided fusion categories and 2+1D topological/SPT orders with symmetries. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2016, 351(2):709-739.
- [S] Gregor Schaumann. Traces on module categories over fusion categories. *ScienceDirect*, 2013, 379:382-425.
- [W] Xiao-Gang Wen. Choreographed entanglement dances: Topological states of quantum matter. *Science*, 2019, 363(6429).