

# REGULAR SOLUTIONS OF CHEMOTAXIS-CONSUMPTION SYSTEMS INVOLVING TENSOR-VALUED SENSITIVITIES AND ROBIN TYPE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

JAEWOOK AHN, KYUNGKEUN KANG, AND JIHOON LEE

**ABSTRACT.** This paper deals with a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis-consumption system with tensor-valued sensitivity  $S(x, n, c)$  under no-flux boundary conditions for  $n$  and Robin-type boundary conditions for  $c$ . The global existence of bounded classical solutions is established in dimension two under general assumptions on tensor-valued sensitivity  $S$ . One of main steps is to show that  $\nabla c(\cdot, t)$  becomes tiny in  $L^2(B_r(x) \cap \Omega)$  for every  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $t$  when  $r$  is sufficiently small, which seems to be of independent interest. On the other hand, in the case of scalar-valued sensitivity  $S = \chi(x, n, c)\mathbb{I}$ , there exists a bounded classical solution globally in time for two and higher dimensions provided the domain is a ball with radius  $R$  and all given data are radial. The result of the radial case covers scalar-valued sensitivity  $\chi$  that can be singular at  $c = 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chemotaxis-consumption systems are usually studied with scalar-valued chemotactic sensitivities where the chemotactic bacteria partially orient their movement along a gradient of a signal substance which they consume. However, according to recent modeling approaches, we do not necessarily have to assume that the chemotactic sensitivity is a scalar value. It has been suggested, based on the experimental findings [8, 14] (see also [33]), to use more general, tensor-valued and spatially inhomogeneous chemotactic sensitivity [18, 32, 34].

Taking into account tensor-valued sensitivity, in this paper, we consider the parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis-consumption system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} n_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla n - nS(x, n, c)\nabla c), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ 0 = \Delta c - nc, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

in a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , where the sensitivity  $S(x, n, c)$  attains values in  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Here, the unknowns  $n$  and  $c$  denote the bacterial population density and the signal concentration, respectively. The boundary conditions posed will be

$$(1.2) \quad (\nabla n - nS(x, n, c)\nabla c) \cdot \nu = 0, \quad \nabla c \cdot \nu = \gamma - c, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ . We emphasize that the boundary condition for  $c$  is of Robin type.

Homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions for  $c$  have been often used in mathematical studies regarding (1.1) and its variants [6, 15, 27, 29, 30]. However, in the original version of (1.1) by Tuval et al. [21], certain non-trivial boundary conditions for  $c$  are proposed to

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K55, 35Q92, 92C17.

*Key words and phrases.* Chemotaxis-consumption system; regular solution; Robin-type boundary condition; tensor-valued sensitivity.

take into account the effect of oxygen  $c$  at the drop-air interface. Motivated by experimental observations in Tuval et al., [21] it has been suggested in [1] (see also [2]) to use non-homogeneous boundary conditions of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla c \cdot \nu = (\gamma - c)g \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

As seen in (1.2), we will impose (1.3) with  $g \equiv 1$  but results in Theorem 1 are valid for more general  $g$  (see Remark 2).

We compare (1.1)–(1.2) to the chemotaxis-consumption system with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

$$(1.4) \quad n_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla n - \chi(c)n\nabla c), \quad c_t = \Delta c - nc, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla n \cdot \nu = \nabla c \cdot \nu = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0.$$

We remark that the  $c$  equation should be of parabolic type since an elliptic approximation of the  $c$  equation in (1.4)–(1.5) leads to  $c \equiv 0$ . It is known that solutions of (1.4)–(1.5) satisfy the energy-like inequality (see, e.g. [7, 9, 24, 25, 28])

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} n \log n + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \chi(c) \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{c} \right) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{c}{\chi(c)} |D^2 \rho(c)|^2 \leq 0,$$

where  $\rho(c) = \int_1^c \chi(s)/s ds$ . The inequality (1.6) is typically deduced via a subtle cancellation caused by nonlinear structure of the system (1.4)–(1.5). In the case of the system (1.1)–(1.2), because of presence of tensor-valued sensitivity, it is not clear whether or not such energy like inequality can be derived due to loss of the cancellation effect. As a variant of (1.6), we refer to [3] for a chemotaxis-consumption-fluid system with constant sensitivity and Robin boundary condition.

As far as we know, there have been relatively few results dealing with tensor-valued sensitivity or Robin type boundary conditions. In particular, the only result in presence of both tensor-valued sensitivities and Robin type boundary conditions we are aware of is that bounded weak solutions to a 3D chemotaxis-Stokes system with nonlinear cell diffusion are known to exist globally in time [20].

In presence of constant sensitivities and Robin type boundary conditions, smooth solutions to (1.1) are known to exist globally in time for general data and any dimension [10] (see also [3, 31]). However, in presence of tensor-valued sensitivities and Neumann boundary conditions, even when  $d = 2$ , smooth solutions to the fully parabolic counterpart of (1.1) have been found to exist globally in time only under a smallness assumption on  $c$  [15] (see also [6]), or under additional regularizing effects such as nonlinear diffusion enhancement at large densities [5, 22, 23, 26]. Without such additional effects, large data global existence results are so far available only for certain generalized weak solutions when  $d \geq 1$  in [27] and when  $d = 2$  in [29]. Recently, the eventual smoothness and stabilization of certain generalized solutions are also investigated when  $d = 2$  by Winkler [30].

The main motivation of the present work is to prove that smooth solutions to the two-dimensional chemotaxis-consumption system (1.1)–(1.2) exist globally in time for general tensor-valued sensitivity and arbitrary large initial data. As we mentioned earlier, it is unclear whether or not the energy-like inequality (1.6) can be derived in the case of the system (1.1)–(1.2). Instead, we derive a series of spatially localized estimates (see Proposition 1,

Lemma 4, Lemma 5), which will lead to the uniform in time bound of  $\int_{\Omega} n \log n$  (see Corollary 1). Especially in Proposition 1, which may be of independent interest, it is shown that for arbitrary small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $r > 0$ , independent of  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $t < T_{\max}$ , such that

$$\|\nabla c(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_r(x))} \leq \varepsilon.$$

We also consider (1.1)–(1.2) with scalar-valued  $S \equiv \chi \mathbb{I}_d$  for higher dimensions under the assumption of radial symmetry. It will turn out that all solutions emanating from bounded radial initial data remain globally bounded, even in the case  $\chi$  becomes singular at  $c = 0$  (see Theorem 2).

Now, to formulate our main results, let us specify the precise problem setting. We use the notation  $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ . On the tensor-valued sensitivity  $S = (S_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$ , we will impose the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} &\in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}) \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \quad \text{and} \\ |S(x, r, s)| + |\partial_r S(x, r, s)| &\leq S_0(s) \quad \text{for } (x, r, s) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \\ (1.7) \quad &\text{with some } S_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary data  $\gamma$  and the initial condition  $n(\cdot, 0) = n_0$  are assumed to satisfy

$$(1.8) \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad 0 \leq n_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Our first main result is the global existence of regular solutions to the system (1.1)–(1.2) in two dimensions.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. Then, (1.1)–(1.2) subject to (1.7)–(1.8) admits a unique non-negative solution  $(n, c)$  satisfying*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} n &\in \bigcap_{p \in [1, \infty)} \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); L^p(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty(\Omega)), \\ c &\in \mathcal{C}^{2,0}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.** *The results in Theorem 1 can be extended to higher dimensions  $d \geq 3$  provided  $S(x, n, c) \equiv \chi(c) \mathbb{I}_d$ ,  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ , and  $\chi, \chi' \geq 0$ . Indeed, a priori  $L^p$ -estimate shows that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \|\nabla n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &= \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi(c) n^p (\gamma - c) - \frac{(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} n^p \chi'(c) |\nabla c|^2 - \int_{\Omega} n^{p+1} \chi(c) c \\ &\leq \frac{(p-1)}{p} \|\chi\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])} \|\gamma\| \|n^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2, \quad p \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the non-negativities of  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  in the last inequality. If we further use the trace and interpolation inequalities and a Moser-type iteration argument, then we can obtain a uniform-in-time bound for  $n$  (see [10] for the case  $\chi \equiv 1$ ).

**Remark 2.** *We remark that the results in Theorem 1 are still valid for more general Robin boundary conditions  $\nabla c \cdot \nu = (\gamma - c)g$  with  $0 < g \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\theta}(\partial\Omega)$  for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . This can be verified by following the same methods of proof for Theorem 1 and thus, for simplicity, all computations are performed for the case  $g \equiv 1$ .*

Our second main result states that in the case of scalar-valued sensitivity, (1.1) has a global smooth solution in two and higher dimensions provided the domain is a ball and all given data are radial.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . Assume that (1.8) holds and  $n_0$  is radial. Then, (1.1)–(1.2) with the scalar sensitivity  $S(x, n, c) \equiv \chi(x, n, c)\mathbb{I}_d$ ,  $0 \leq \chi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ , admits a unique non-negative solution  $(n, c)$  satisfying (1.9) provided that for  $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $r \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ ,  $s \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, r, s) &= \chi(y, r, s) \quad \text{if } |x| = |y| \quad \text{and} \\ \chi(x, r, s) + |\partial_r \chi(x, r, s)| &\leq \chi_0(s) \quad \text{with some } \chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.** *The proof of Theorem 2 mainly relies on the decay estimate of the cumulative mass distribution  $Q$  defined in (4.1) (see Lemma 7). This is crucially used to obtain the upper bound of  $|\nabla c|$  and the lower bound of  $c$ .*

**Remark 4.** *We emphasize that unlike the case of Theorem 1, the sensitivity  $\chi(\cdot, \cdot, c)$  in Theorem 2 may allow singularities at  $c = 0$ , for example,  $\chi(x, n, c) = 1/c$ . Although  $\chi$  can be singular at  $c = 0$ , no singularity, however, occurs since signal concentration  $c$  is turned out to be bounded below, independent of time, away from zero (see Lemma 9).*

The outline is as follows: in Section 2, the local existence result is established; in Section 3 and Section 4, we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, respectively. Throughout this paper, the surface area of  $B_1(0)$  is denoted by  $\sigma_d$ .

## 2. LOCAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we prove a local existence result via the Banach fixed point theorem. Our local existence result reads as follows.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be a bounded smooth domain. Then, there exists a maximal time of existence,  $T_{\max} \in (0, \infty]$ , such that for  $t < T_{\max}$ , a pair of unique solution  $(n, c)$  of (1.1)–(1.2) subject to (1.7)–(1.8) exists and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} n &\in \bigcap_{p \in [1, \infty)} \mathcal{C}([0, t]; L^p(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, t)) \cap L^\infty(0, t; L^\infty(\Omega)), \\ c &\in \mathcal{C}^{2,0}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, t)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} n(\cdot, t) = \int_{\Omega} n_0, \quad n(x, t) \geq 0, \quad 0 < c(x, t) < \gamma \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{either } T_{\max} = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \|n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty.$$

To obtain Lemma 1, we prepare the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be a bounded smooth domain, and let  $p > d$ . For any  $u, f \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $u \geq 0$  and any constant  $\eta \geq 0$ , the problem*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v + uv = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla v \cdot \nu + v = \eta, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

*admits a unique solution  $v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$  with the following properties:*

(i) *There exists  $C = (d, \Omega, p)$  such that*

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|uv\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \eta(|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}})).$$

(ii) *If  $\eta = 0$ , then there exists  $C = (d, \Omega, p, \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)})$  such that*

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

(iii) *If  $f \equiv 0$ , then  $0 \leq v \leq \eta$ .*

*Proof.* To obtain the existence, we let  $u$  be approximated in  $L^p(\Omega)$  by a sequence of bounded non-negative functions  $u_l$  and let  $v_l \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  be a unique solution of the problem

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v_l + u_l v_l = f, & x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla v_l \cdot \nu + v_l = \eta, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

which is uniquely solvable by [12, Thm. 2.4.2.6]. Note that the elliptic regularity theory [12, Thm. 2.3.3.6] gives that there exists  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$\|v_l\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u_l v_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|v_l\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)} + \eta|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}).$$

We also note that Hölder's and the interpolation inequalities yield  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|u_l v_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C\|u_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|v_l\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta_1}\|v_l\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta_1}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{\frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{p}}{\frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}} \in (0, 1),$$

and by  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$  and the interpolation inequality, there exists  $C > 0$  fulfilling

$$\|v_l\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|v_l\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\theta_2}\|v_l\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta_2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{d}}{\frac{2}{d} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2}} \in (0, 1).$$

Combining above estimates, after applying Young's inequality, we have that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \|v_l\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u_l\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}}\|v_l\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|v_l\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \eta|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}).$$

Now, we test (2.3) with  $v_l$  and use integration by parts, Hölder's inequality and  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$  to find  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_l|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_l|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u_l v_l^2 &= \int_{\Omega} f v_l + \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta v_l \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|v_l\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta v_l. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the Poincaré inequality with trace term (see e.g. [4]) and Young's inequality yield  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad \|v_l\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \eta|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

In view of (2.4)–(2.5),  $v_l$  is bounded in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ . The compactness of  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and the weak compactness of bounded sets in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  allow us to extract a subsequence  $v_{l_j}$  converging in  $\mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$  that converges weakly in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ . If we take the limit in the problem for  $v_{l_j}$ , then its limit  $v$  is in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and satisfies (2.2). This concludes the existence result.

To obtain the uniqueness, we let  $v$  and  $\tilde{v}$  be two solutions. Since a simple integration by parts gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v - \tilde{v})|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |v - \tilde{v}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u|v - \tilde{v}|^2 = 0,$$

we have  $v \equiv \tilde{v}$ .

Repeating similar computations as above, we can find  $C = C(d, \Omega, p)$  such that

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|uv\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \eta(|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}})),$$

which yields (i).

Repeating similar computations as (2.4) and (2.5), since  $\eta = 0$ , there exists  $C = C(d, \Omega, p)$  such that

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} + 1)\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

This concludes (ii).

To obtain (iii), we test the  $v$  equation with  $v_- := -\min\{0, v\}$ . Then, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_-|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u|v_-|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |v_-|^2 = -\eta \int_{\partial\Omega} v_-.$$

Since the right-hand-side is non-positive,  $v_- \equiv 0$ , namely,  $v \geq 0$ . Using the same argument, we can deduce  $v \leq \eta$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Lemma 1.

**Proof of Lemma 1.** We fix  $p > d + 2$  and let  $M := 2\|n_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + 1$ . With a positive number  $T < 1$  to be specified below, we introduce the Banach space

$$X_T := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^p(\Omega)) \mid \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))} \leq M, f \geq 0 \text{ for } t \leq T\}.$$

For any given  $\tilde{n} \in X_T$ , we note from Lemma 1 that the problem

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \Delta c - \tilde{n}c, & x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla c \cdot \nu = \gamma - c, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution  $c(\cdot, t) \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$  for  $t \leq T$  such that  $0 \leq c \leq \gamma$ . We also note, using Lemma 1 (ii),  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , and Lemma 1 (iv), that there exists  $C = C(d, \Omega, p) > 0$  satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad \|c\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega}))} \leq C\gamma\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))}.$$

With such  $c = c(\tilde{n})$ , according to [13, III. Thm. 5.1] the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} n_t = \nabla \cdot (\nabla n - nS(x, \tilde{n}, c)\nabla c), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ (\nabla n - nS(x, \tilde{n}, c)\nabla c) \cdot \nu = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ n(x, 0) = n_0(x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique weak solution  $n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ . If we use the weak formulation with the test function  $n_- := -\min\{0, n\}$ , then after using (1.7) and Young's

and Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |n_-(\cdot, t)|^2 &\leq -2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n_-|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |n_-| S_0(c) |\nabla n_-| |\nabla c| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |n_-|^2 \quad \text{for } t \leq T \end{aligned}$$

and  $n \geq 0$  follows by Grönwall's inequality. Moreover, from [17, Thm. VI. 6.40],  $n \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$ , and by similar computations as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} n^p(\cdot, t) &\leq \int_{\Omega} n_0^p + \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} n^p \quad \text{for } t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Using Grönwall's inequality and taking supremum over the time interval, it follows that

$$\|n\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \exp\left(\frac{(p-1)}{4} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))}^2 T\right).$$

Therefore, if we use (2.6) and take a sufficiently small  $T$ , then the mapping  $\Phi$  given by  $\Phi(\tilde{n}) := n$  maps  $X_T$  into itself.

Next, for given  $\tilde{n}_1, \tilde{n}_2 \in X_T$ , we denote  $n_i = \Phi(\tilde{n}_i)$ ,  $c_i = c(\tilde{n}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\delta f = f_1 - f_2$ . Note that in  $\Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n_t &= \nabla \cdot (\nabla \delta n - \delta n S(x, \tilde{n}_1, c_1) \nabla c_1 - n_2 Z \nabla c_1 - n_2 S(x, \tilde{n}_2, c_2) \nabla \delta c), \\ &\quad -\Delta \delta c + \tilde{n}_1 \delta c = -c_2 \delta \tilde{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z = S(x, \tilde{n}_1, c_1) - S(x, \tilde{n}_2, c_1) + S(x, \tilde{n}_2, c_1) - S(x, \tilde{n}_2, c_2),$$

and on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\delta n$  satisfies no-flux condition and  $\delta c$  satisfies  $\nabla \delta c \cdot \nu + \delta c = 0$ . Note also that by the mean value theorem, (1.7) and  $c \leq \gamma$ , there exists  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$|Z| \leq C(|\delta \tilde{n}| + |\delta c|).$$

Along with this, if we use the weak formulation for  $\delta n$  with the test function  $|\delta n|^{p-2} \delta n$ , (1.7), (2.6), and  $c \leq \gamma$ , then with some  $C_1 = C_1(d, \Omega, p, M) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\delta n(\cdot, t)|^p + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 &\leq C_1 \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}} + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n_2 |\delta \tilde{n}| \right. \\ (2.7) \quad &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n_2 (|\delta c| + |\nabla \delta c|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We apply Young's inequality to the first term on the right-hand-side above to find  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \frac{p-1}{C_1 p^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\delta n|^p.$$

Similarly, applying Young's inequality to the rightmost term, after using  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $n_2 \in X_T$ , we observe that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n_2 (|\delta c| + |\nabla \delta c|) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{p-1}{C_1 p^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + C \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\delta n|^p + \int_0^t \|\delta c\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the second term on the right-hand-side of (2.7). Note that, due to our choice of  $p$ , the interpolation inequality yields  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n_2 |\delta \tilde{n}| \\ & \leq C \left( \|\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1+\frac{d}{p}} \|\delta n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-d-2}{2}} + \|\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\delta n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right) \|n_2\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\delta \tilde{n}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Young's inequality and  $n_2 \in X_T$ , we can find  $C > 0$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}| |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n_2 |\delta \tilde{n}| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{p-1}{C_1 p^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla |\delta n|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + C \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\delta n|^p + \int_0^t \|\delta \tilde{n}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above computations, we have that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\delta n(\cdot, t)|^p \leq C \left( \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\delta n|^p + \int_0^t (\|\delta c\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p + \|\delta \tilde{n}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p) \right).$$

Since applying Lemma 2 (ii) to the problem for  $\delta c$  yields  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\delta c\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega))} \leq C\gamma \|\delta \tilde{n}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))},$$

by Grönwall's inequality, it follows that with some  $C = C(d, \Omega, p, M) > 0$ ,

$$\|\delta n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{p}} \exp(CT) \|\delta \tilde{n}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\Omega))}.$$

Hence, for a sufficiently small choice of  $T$ , the mapping  $\Phi$  becomes contraction on  $X_T$ , and by the Banach fixed point theorem, we have a unique fixed point  $n = \Phi(n)$ .

Next, we consider more regularity properties of solutions. Indeed, for any  $\eta \in (0, T)$ , we have  $n \in \mathcal{C}^{\theta, \frac{\theta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega} \times [\eta, T])$  with some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  by [19, Thm. 1.3]. Then,  $c(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\theta}(\overline{\Omega})$  for  $t \in [\eta, T]$  by the elliptic regularity theory [16, Cor. 4.41], and moreover, since

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(c(t) - c(s)) + n(t)(c(t) - c(s)) = -c(s)(n(t) - n(s)), & x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla(c(t) - c(s)) \cdot \nu + (c(t) - c(s)) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

it follows by [16, Thm. 2.26] (see also [10, Lem. 2.4]) that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\|c(t) - c(s)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\theta}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C \|n(t) - n(s)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\theta(\overline{\Omega})} \quad \text{for } \eta \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

This yields Hölder regularity on the time variable,  $c \in \mathcal{C}^{2+\theta, \frac{\theta}{2}}(\overline{\Omega} \times [\eta, T])$ , and by the standard parabolic regularity theory,  $n \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times [\eta, T])$ . Since  $\eta \in (0, T)$  is arbitrary, we have the desired regularity result. Note that the blow-up criteria (2.1) follows by the standard extension argument, the mass conservation property of  $n$  is a consequence of integrating the  $n$  equation, and  $0 < c < \gamma$  is the result of the elliptic maximum principle.  $\square$



**Remark 5.** *We remark that Lemma 1 provides local existence of the solutions in Theorem 1. Moreover, Lemma 1 can be also used to obtain Theorem 2 since in radial case, a priori estimate shows that there exists  $c_* > 0$  such that  $c \geq c_*$  independent of any regularization of  $\chi$  keeping non-negative sign, local existence of the solutions in Theorem 2 is also available because singularity of  $\chi$  at  $c = 0$  does not play any role. Since its verification is admissible, the details are omitted.*

### 3. CASE OF TENSOR SENSITIVITY IN TWO DIMENSIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 via a series of spatially localized estimates. To this end, we first establish a uniform-in-time smallness of spatially localized  $L^2$ -norm of  $\nabla c$  in the following proposition. We remark that  $\nabla c$  has a uniform-in-time  $L^2$ -norm over  $\Omega$  from

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^2 + \int_{\Omega} nc^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} c^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma c - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} c^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 |\partial\Omega|.$$

This bound implies that  $L^2$  norm of  $\nabla c$  becomes very small in a small neighborhood of each point, but it may not be uniformly small in time. In the next proposition, we prove that it is the case, namely localized norm of  $\nabla c$  can be uniformly small independent of time.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be a bounded smooth domain. Let  $(n, c)$  be a solution given by Lemma 1. For any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $q \in \overline{\Omega}$  such that*

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} \|\nabla c(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta(q))} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in (0, e^{-1})$  and  $B_\eta(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| < \eta\}$ . We introduce the non-negative radial function

$$\psi_\eta(x) := \begin{cases} \ln(-\ln|x|) - \ln(-\ln\eta), & x \in B_\eta(0) \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \sigma_d \int_0^\eta |\ln(-\ln r) - \ln(-\ln\eta)|^2 r^{d-1} dr \\ &\leq \sigma_d \int_0^\eta |\ln(-\ln r)|^2 r^{d-1} dr \\ &= \sigma_d \int_{\ln \frac{1}{\eta}}^\infty |\ln \rho|^2 e^{-d\rho} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \psi_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \sigma_d \int_0^\eta \frac{1}{|r \ln r|^2} r^{d-1} dr \\ &= \sigma_d \int_{\ln \frac{1}{\eta}}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^2} e^{-(d-2)\rho} d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand sides above are both finite,  $\psi_\eta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, since  $\ln \frac{1}{\eta}$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $\eta$  approach 0,

$$(3.2) \quad \|\psi_\eta\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Fix  $q \in \overline{\Omega}$ , and we denote  $\psi(x) = \psi_\eta(x - q)$  and  $B_\eta = B_\eta(q)$ . If we test the  $c$  equation of (1.1) with  $c\psi^2$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ , then integration by parts gives, due to  $\psi = 0$  in  $(B_\eta)^c$ , that

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} nc^2\psi^2 + \int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} |\nabla c|^2\psi^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla c \cdot \nu c\psi^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} \nabla c \cdot \nabla \psi c\psi.$$

Using Young's inequality and  $c \leq \gamma$ , we compute the rightmost term as

$$\left| -2 \int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} \nabla c \cdot \nabla \psi c\psi \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} |\nabla c|^2\psi^2 + 2\gamma^2 \int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} |\nabla \psi|^2.$$

Next, to control the boundary term, we consider two cases. If  $B_\eta \subset \Omega$ , then  $\psi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and thus,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla c \cdot \nu c\psi^2 = 0.$$

Otherwise, if  $B_\eta \not\subset \Omega$ , then since  $\psi = 0$  in  $(B_\eta)^c$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla c \cdot \nu c\psi^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\eta} \nabla c \cdot \nu c\psi^2.$$

Thus, using the boundary condition and  $c \leq \gamma$ , we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\eta} \nabla c \cdot \nu c\psi^2 \right| &= \left| \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\eta} (\gamma - c)c\psi^2 \right| \\ &\leq \gamma^2 \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\eta} \psi^2 \\ &\leq \gamma^2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, after using  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$ , we have that with some  $C > 0$  independent of  $\eta$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_\eta} |\nabla c|^2\psi^2 \leq C \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

In view of (3.2), there exists sufficiently small  $\eta_0 > 0$  such that the right-hand-side above is less than or equal to  $\varepsilon^2$  for  $\eta < \eta_0$ . Moreover, since there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  satisfying

$$\psi^2 \geq 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } B_\delta \quad \text{for } \delta \in (0, \delta_0),$$

we can deduce the desired result.  $\square$

For further local-in-space estimates, we introduce a smooth cut-off function and its properties (see, e.g. [11]):

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\delta > 0$ . There is a radially decreasing function  $\varphi_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying*

$$\varphi_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0), \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_\delta(0), \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \varphi_\delta \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$|\nabla \varphi_\delta| \leq K\varphi_\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant of order  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^{-1})$ .

We now prepare the following lemma which is used to prove Lemma 5. For computational simplicity, we use  $\varphi^3$  as a test function.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. Let  $(n, c)$  be a solution given by Lemma 1. Assume that  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varphi_\delta$  is the function introduced in Lemma 3. Denote  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_\delta(x - q)$  and  $B_\delta = B_\delta(q)$  for  $q \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then, there exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \|\varphi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right),$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 \\ & \leq C \left( \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \|\varphi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since the interpolation inequality yields  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \leq C \left( \|\nabla(n\varphi^{\frac{3}{2}})\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|n\varphi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \right),$$

after using Hölder's inequality and  $\int_{\Omega} n = \int_{\Omega} n_0$ , we can find  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ , satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \leq C \left( \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \|\varphi^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

This gives (3.3).

Next, using the Hölder inequality, direct computations,  $(a + b)^3 \leq 4(a^3 + b^3)$  for  $a, b \geq 0$ , and  $c \leq \gamma$ , we note that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 & \leq \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^6 \varphi^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)} \|\nabla(c\varphi) - c\nabla\varphi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^3 \\ & \leq \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)} (\|c\varphi\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)} + \|c\nabla\varphi\|_{L^6(\Omega)})^3 \\ & \leq 4\|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)} (\|c\varphi\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)}^3 + \gamma^3 \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\nabla(c\varphi) \cdot \nu = \nabla c \cdot \nu \varphi + c\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu = (\gamma - c)\varphi + c\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

using  $W^{2,\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,6}(\Omega)$  and the elliptic regularity theory [12, Thm. 2.3.3.6] we can find  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|c\varphi\|_{W^{1,6}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C(\|\Delta(c\varphi)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \|c\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \|(\gamma - c)\varphi\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} + \|c\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using direct computations, Hölder's inequality, and  $c \leq \gamma$ , we compute the first term on the right-hand-side above as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(c\varphi)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \|\Delta c\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + 2\|\nabla c \cdot \nabla \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \|c\Delta\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|nc\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + 2\|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^6(\Omega)} + \gamma\|\Delta\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the trace inequality and the smoothness of  $\Omega$  yield  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$\|(\gamma - c)\varphi\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|(\gamma - c)\varphi\|_{W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)},$$

and

$$\|c\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|c\nabla\varphi\|_{W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)},$$

using  $c \leq \gamma$  and Hölder's inequality, we have that with some  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\gamma - c)\varphi\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} + \|c\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu\|_{W^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \leq C(\gamma\|\varphi\|_{W^{2, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, 6}(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by repeating the computations used to derive (2.5), we can find  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|c\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\gamma|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining above estimates gives, after using  $c \leq \gamma$ , that with some  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ ,

$$\|c\varphi\|_{W^{1, 6}(\Omega)} \leq C(\gamma\|n\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \gamma|\partial\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, 6}(\Omega)} + \gamma\|\varphi\|_{W^{2, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)}).$$

Plugging it into (3.5), since Hölder's inequality and  $\int_{\Omega} n = \int_{\Omega} n_0$  imply

$$(3.6) \quad \|n\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

it follows that there exists  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ , satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 \leq C\|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 + \|\varphi\|_{W^{1, 6}(\Omega)}^3 + \|\varphi\|_{W^{2, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)}^3 \right).$$

In view of (3.3), (3.1), and  $W^{2, \frac{3}{2}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1, 6}(\Omega)$ , this entails (3.4).  $\square$

The spatially localized  $L \log L$ -norm of  $n$  is bounded uniformly in time:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. Let  $(n, c)$  be a solution given by Lemma 1. Assume that  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varphi_{\delta}$  is the function introduced in Lemma 3. Denote  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\delta}(x - q)$  and  $B_{\delta} = B_{\delta}(q)$  for  $q \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then, there exist  $\delta_{*} > 0$  independent of  $q$  such that if  $\delta < \delta_{*}$ , then there exists  $C = C(\delta) > 0$  independent of  $q$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} n \log n(\cdot, t) \varphi^3 \leq C.$$

*Proof.* From the  $n$  equation and the no-flux condition, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n \log n \varphi^3 - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n \varphi^3 \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} [\nabla n - nS(x, n, c)\nabla c] \cdot \nabla (\log n \varphi^3) \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \int_{\Omega} S(x, n, c) \nabla n \cdot \nabla c \varphi^3 \\
 &\quad - 3 \int_{\Omega} \log n \nabla n \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2 + 3 \int_{\Omega} n \log n S(x, n, c) \nabla c \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.7),  $c \leq \gamma$ , and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} S(x, n, c) \nabla n \cdot \nabla c \varphi^3 \\
 & \leq \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It gives, by (3.3)–(3.4), Young's inequality, and (3.1), that there exist  $C_2 > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ , and  $M = M(\delta) > 0$ , independent of  $q$ , satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} S(x, n, c) \nabla n \cdot \nabla c \varphi^3 \leq C_2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_\delta)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + M.$$

Next, we use Young's inequality,  $a|\log a|^2 \leq 16e^{-2}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4e^{-2}$  for  $a \geq 0$ , Lemma 3, and (3.6) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -3 \int_{\Omega} \log n \nabla n \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + 18 \int_{\Omega} n |\log n|^2 \varphi |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + 18 \int_{\Omega} (16e^{-2}n^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4e^{-2}) \varphi |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + 18 \cdot 16e^{-2}K^2 \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{3}{2}} \varphi^{\frac{3}{2}} + 18 \cdot 4e^{-2}K^2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + 18 \cdot 16e^{-2}K^2 \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 18 \cdot 4e^{-2}K^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, Young's inequality and (3.3) yield  $M = M(\delta) > 0$  such that

$$-3 \int_{\Omega} \log n \nabla n \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2 \leq \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + M.$$

Similarly, if we use (1.7), Young's inequality,  $a^{\frac{4}{3}}|\log a|^{\frac{4}{3}} \leq 16e^{-\frac{4}{3}}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{4}{3}}$  for  $a \geq 0$ , Lemma 3, and (3.6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3 \int_{\Omega} n \log n S(x, n, c) \nabla c \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2 \\
& \leq 3 \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])} \int_{\Omega} n |\log n| |\nabla c| |\nabla \varphi| \varphi^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 + \frac{3}{4} (3 \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])})^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{4}{3}} |\log n|^{\frac{4}{3}} \varphi^{\frac{5}{3}} |\nabla \varphi|^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 + \frac{3}{4} (3 \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])})^{\frac{4}{3}} K^{\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\Omega} (16e^{-\frac{4}{3}} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + e^{-\frac{4}{3}}) \varphi^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla c|^4 \varphi^3 + 12 (3 \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])})^{\frac{4}{3}} K^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}} \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{4} (3 \|S_0\|_{C([0, \gamma])})^{\frac{4}{3}} K^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3)–(3.4) and Young's inequality, we can find  $C_3 > 0$ , independent of  $\delta$  and  $q$ , and  $M = M(\delta) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3 \int_{\Omega} n \log n S(x, n, c) \nabla c \cdot \nabla \varphi \varphi^2 \\
& \leq C_3 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + M.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining above estimates gives that with some  $M = M(\delta) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n \log n \varphi^3 - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n \varphi^3 + \frac{2}{5} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 \\
(3.7) \quad & \leq (C_2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})}^{\frac{1}{4}} + C_3 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})}) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + M.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that using  $a \log a \leq 2e^{-1}a^{\frac{3}{2}}$  for  $a \geq 0$ , (3.6), (3.3), and Young's inequality, we can find  $M = M(\delta) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} n \log n \varphi^3 - \int_{\Omega} n \varphi^3 \leq \int_{\Omega} 2e^{-1} n^{\frac{3}{2}} \varphi^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq 2e^{-1} \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 \varphi^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(3.8) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n} \varphi^3 + M.
\end{aligned}$$

We note also that due to Proposition 1, there exists sufficiently small  $\delta_* > 0$  independent of  $q$  such that

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} (C_2 \|\nabla c(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})}^{\frac{1}{4}} + C_3 \|\nabla c(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_{\delta})}) \leq \frac{1}{5} \quad \text{for } \delta < \delta_*.$$

Thus, for  $\delta < \delta_*$ , after adding both sides of (3.7) by

$$\int_{\Omega} n \log n \varphi^3 - \int_{\Omega} n \varphi^3$$

and using (3.8), we can deduce that with some  $M = M(\delta) > 0$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(t) + \mathcal{F}(t) \leq M,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \int_{\Omega} n \log n(\cdot, t) \varphi^3 - \int_{\Omega} n(\cdot, t) \varphi^3.$$

Since solving this ordinary differential inequality gives

$$\mathcal{F}(t) \leq \mathcal{F}(0)e^{-t} + M(1 - e^{-t}),$$

with  $\int_{\Omega} n \varphi^3 \leq \int_{\Omega} n_0$ , we can conclude the desired estimate.  $\square$

As a direct consequence,  $L \log L$ -norm of  $n$  over  $\Omega$  is also bounded.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. There exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} n \log n(\cdot, t) \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $\varphi_{\delta}$  be a function given in Lemma 3. Denote  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_{\delta}(x - q)$  and  $B_{\delta} = B_{\delta}(q)$  for  $q \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Using Lemma 5,  $a \log a + e^{-1} \geq 0$  for  $a \geq 0$ , and  $\varphi = 1$  in  $B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  both independent of  $q$  such that

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(q)} (n \log n(\cdot, t) + e^{-1}) \leq \sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} (n \log n(\cdot, t) + e^{-1}) \varphi^3 \leq C.$$

Since the open covering  $\bigcup_{q \in \overline{\Omega}} B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(q)$  of compact set  $\overline{\Omega}$  has a finite subcovering  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(q_i)$ ,  $q_i \in \overline{\Omega}$ , we have that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} (n \log n(\cdot, t) + e^{-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(q_i)} (n \log n(\cdot, t) + e^{-1}) \leq C.$$

This gives the desired bound.  $\square$

To obtain higher integrability of  $n$ , we prepare the following lemma which can be seen as a generalization of [11, Lem. 2.4].

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. For any  $p \geq 1$ ,  $s > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and non-negative  $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , there exists  $C = C(\Omega) > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^{p+1} &\leq C \frac{(p+1)^2}{\log s} \int_{\Omega} (f \log f + e^{-1}) \int_{\Omega} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \\ &\quad + (4C)^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{\varepsilon(p+1)}{2(1+\varepsilon)}} \right)^{\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}} + 6s^{p+1} |\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We recall from [11, (2.1)–(2.5)] that there exists  $C = C(\Omega) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{p+1} \leq C \frac{(p+1)^2}{2 \log s} \int_{\Omega} (f \log f + e^{-1}) \int_{\Omega} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + 2C \|w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 + 3s^{p+1} |\Omega|,$$

where

$$w = \max\{f^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - s^{\frac{p+1}{2}}, 0\}.$$

Using a direct computation, and Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \left( \int_{\{f>s\}} f^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{p+1}{2+\varepsilon}} f^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{p+1}{2+\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}} \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{p+1}{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{2+\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4C} \int_{\Omega} f^{p+1} + \left( \frac{8C}{2+\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{p+1}{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left(\frac{8C}{2+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon} \leq (4C)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ , we can deduce the desired result.  $\square$

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $(n, c)$  be a solution given by Lemma 1. Once we have a uniform-in-time bound for  $\|n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  with some  $p > d = 2$ , then by Lemma 2 (i),  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , (1.7) and  $c \leq \gamma$ , we have a uniform-in-time bound of  $S(x, n, c) \nabla c$ . Then, applying a Moser-type iteration argument to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^p + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 \\ &= \frac{2(p-1)}{p} \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \nabla n^{\frac{p}{2}} S(x, n, c) \nabla c \\ &\leq \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p} \|S(x, n, c) \nabla c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_{\Omega} n^p, \quad p \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

we can find uniform-in-time bound for  $n$  and Theorem 1 follows by Lemma 1. Thus, it is enough to show that there exists  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{t < T_{\max}} \int_{\Omega} n^3(\cdot, t) \leq C.$$

To this end, multiplying the  $n$  equation by  $n^2$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we observe that

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^3 + \frac{8}{9} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}|^2 = \frac{4}{3} \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{3}{2}} \nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}} S(x, n, c) \nabla c.$$

Using (1.7),  $c \leq \gamma$ , and Hölder's inequality, we compute the right-hand-side as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{4}{3} \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{3}{2}} \nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}} S(x, n, c) \nabla c \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])} \int_{\Omega} n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}| |\nabla c| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, \gamma])} \|n\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|c\|_{W^{1,8}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$



Then, we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality,

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,8}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{W^{2,4}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}),$$

Lemma 2 (i), and  $c \leq \gamma$  to find  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|c\|_{W^{1,8}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|n\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1).$$

Since Lemma 6 with  $(f, p, \varepsilon) = (n, 3, 1)$  and Corollary 1 yield  $C > 0$  independent of  $s > 1$  satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} n^4 \leq \frac{C}{\log s} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}|^2 + (4C)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} n_0 \right)^4 + 6s^4 |\Omega|,$$

combining above estimates, after using Young's inequality, we can find  $C > 0$  independent of  $s > 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^3 + \frac{8}{9} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{4}{3} \|S_0\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,\gamma])} \|n\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|c\|_{W^{1,8}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log s}} \|\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 + s^2 \right) \|\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take sufficiently large  $s$  and use Young's inequality, then with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^3 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n^{\frac{3}{2}}|^2 \leq C.$$

This implies, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality,

$$\|f\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 \leq \|\nabla f^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^3 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega}),$$

and  $\int_{\Omega} n = \int_{\Omega} n_0$ , that with some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} n^3 + \int_{\Omega} n^3 \leq C.$$

Therefore, we can deduce (3.9). □

#### 4. CASE OF SCALAR SENSITIVITY IN GENERAL DIMENSIONS

Throughout this section, let  $\Omega = B_R(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid r = |x| < R\}$ , and  $n_0$  be radial.

In the radially symmetric setting, two equations of (1.1) can be written as

$$n_t = r^{1-d} (r^{d-1} n_r)_r - r^{1-d} (r^{d-1} n \chi(r, n, c) c_r)_r, \quad r^{1-d} (r^{d-1} c_r)_r = nc.$$

Thus, the cumulative mass distribution  $Q$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad Q(r, t) := \int_{B_r(0)} n(x, t) dx = \sigma_d \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} n(\rho, t) d\rho$$

satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad Q_t = r^{d-1} (r^{1-d} Q_r)_r - Q_r \chi(r, n, c) c_r, \quad r < R, t < T_{\max}.$$

We note that

$$Q(R, t) = \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad Q_r \geq 0, \quad c_r = r^{1-d} \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} n c \, d\rho \geq 0, \quad r < R, t < T_{\max}.$$

The non-negativities (4.3) and  $\chi \geq 0$  yield an upper bound for  $Q$  stated below.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $Q$  be the cumulative mass distribution defined in (4.1). Then, there exists  $M_0 = M_0(d, R, \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \|n_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \geq 0$  such that*

$$Q(r, t) \leq M_0 r^d \quad \text{for } r < R, t < T_{\max}.$$

*Proof.* We use a comparison argument. Due to (4.3) and  $\chi \geq 0$ , it follows from (4.2) that

$$Q_t \leq r^{d-1} (r^{1-d} Q_r)_r.$$

Define

$$M_0 := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{R^d} \|n_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \frac{\sigma_d}{d} \|n_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right\},$$

and

$$W(r) := M_0 r^d.$$

Then,  $Q(R, t) \leq W(R)$ ,  $Q(r, 0) \leq W(r)$ , and

$$0 = r^{d-1} (r^{1-d} W_r)_r.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and we now show that  $F$  defined by

$$F(r, t) := (Q(r, t) - W(r, t)) \exp(-t)$$

can not attain value  $\varepsilon$  as long as solution exists. Note that  $F(0, t) = 0$ ,  $F(R, t) \leq 0$ ,  $F(r, 0) \leq 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= (Q_t - W_t) \exp(-t) - F \\ &\leq r^{d-1} (r^{1-d} F_r)_r - F \\ &= F_{rr} + (1-d)r^{-1} F_r - F. \end{aligned}$$

Assume to the contrary that  $F(r_1, t_1) = \varepsilon$  for the first time  $t_1 < T_{\max}$ . Then,  $r_1 \neq 0$  or  $R$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq F_t(r_1, t_1), \quad F_{rr}(r_1, t_1) \leq 0, \\ (1-d)r_1^{-1} F_r(r_1, t_1) &= 0, \quad -F(r_1, t_1) = -\varepsilon < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction. Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $F \leq 0$  and the desired bound follows.  $\square$

Due to Lemma 7, for each  $t < T_{\max}$ , there exist a radius  $r_t \in [0, R]$  and a number  $m_0 > 0$  satisfying  $c(r_t, t) \geq m_0$ :

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(n, c)$  be the solution given by Lemma 1, and let  $M_0$  be a number given in Lemma 7. Then, for each  $t < T_{\max}$ , there exists a radius  $r_t \in [0, R]$  such that*

$$(4.4) \quad c(r_t, t) \geq m_0 := \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( \frac{M_0 R}{\sigma_d} + 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that (4.4) is false. Then, there exists  $T < T_{\max}$  such that

$$c(r, T) < m_0 \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, R].$$

Fix  $t = T$ . Using the  $c$  equation and Lemma 7, we can estimate

$$c_r = r^{1-d} \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} n c \, d\rho < \frac{M_0 m_0}{\sigma_d} r \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, R].$$

If we take  $r = R$ , then from the boundary condition and  $c < m_0$ , we have

$$\gamma - m_0 < \gamma - c(R) = c_r(R) < \frac{M_0 m_0}{\sigma_d} R.$$

This leads to a contradiction because  $m_0 < \gamma \left( \frac{M_0 R}{\sigma_d} + 1 \right)^{-1}$ . □

As a consequence,  $c$  has the lower bound which is uniform in space and time:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $(n, c)$  be the solution given by Lemma 1, and let  $M_0$  and  $m_0$  be numbers given in Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, respectively. Then, it holds that*

$$\min_{r \in [0, R]} c(r, t) \geq c_* := m_0 \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_0 R^2}{\sigma_d} \right) \quad \text{for } t < T_{\max}.$$

*Proof.* Note that by Lemma 1,

$$c > 0,$$

and by  $c_r \geq 0$  in (4.3),

$$\min_{r \in [0, R]} c(r, t) = c(0, t).$$

Since for each  $t < T_{\max}$ , there exists  $r_t \in [0, R]$  satisfying (4.4), in view of Lemma 7 and

$$r^{1-d} \left( r^{d-1} (\log c)_r \right)_r = \Delta \log c = n - |\nabla \log c|^2 \leq n,$$

we have that

$$(\log c)_r = r^{1-d} \int_0^r \left( \rho^{d-1} (\log c)_\rho \right)_\rho \, d\rho \leq r^{1-d} \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} n \, d\rho \leq \frac{M_0}{\sigma_d} r.$$

If we integrate it from 0 to  $r_t$ , then

$$\log \frac{c(r_t, t)}{c(0, t)} \leq \int_0^{r_t} \frac{M_0}{\sigma_d} \rho \, d\rho = \frac{M_0}{2\sigma_d} r_t^2.$$

Therefore, using (4.4) and  $r_t \leq R$ , we can deduce the desired result. □

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $(n, c)$  be the solution given by Lemma 1. From (4.3) and  $Q(r, t) \leq M_0 r^d$  in Lemma 7, we have

$$0 \leq c_r = r^{1-d} \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} n c \, d\rho \leq \frac{\gamma M_0}{\sigma_d} r \quad \text{for } r \in (0, R].$$

Thus,  $\nabla c$  has uniform-in-time pointwise bounds. Moreover, by  $c_* \leq c \leq \gamma$  and  $\chi(x, n, c) \leq \chi_0(c) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we have that  $\chi(x, n, c)$  is bounded uniformly in time. Then, the standard parabolic regularity theory gives a uniform-in-time bound for  $n$ . This concludes Theorem 2 from Lemma 1. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Braukhoff, Global (weak) solution of the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes equations with non-homogeneous boundary conditions and logistic growth, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **34** (2017) 101–1039.
- [2] M. Braukhoff, J. Lankeit, Stationary solutions to a chemotaxis-consumption model with realistic boundary conditions for the oxygen, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **29** (2019) 2033–2062.
- [3] M. Braukhoff, B. Q. Tang, Global solutions for chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system with Robin boundary conditions, *J. Differential Equations* **269** (2020) 10630–10669.
- [4] D. Bucur, A. Giacomini, P. Trebeschi, Best constant in Poincaré inequalities with traces: a free discontinuity approach, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **36** (2019) 1959–1986.
- [5] X. Cao, S. Ishida, Global-in-time bounded weak solutions to a degenerate quasilinear Keller–Segel system with rotation, *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014) 1899–913.
- [6] X. Cao, J. Lankeit, Global classical small-data solutions for a three-dimensional chemotaxis Navier–Stokes system involving matrix-valued sensitivities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **55** (2016) 39 pp.
- [7] M. Chae, K. Kang, J. Lee, Existence of smooth solutions to coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **33** (2013) 2271–2297.
- [8] W. R. DiLuzio, L. Turner, M. Mayer, P. Garstecki, D. B. Weibel, H. C. Berg, G. M. Whitesides, *Escherichia coli* swim on the right-hand side, *Nature* **435** (2005) 1271–1274.
- [9] R. Duan, A. Lorz, P. Markowich, Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations, *Comm. Part. Differ. Eq.* **35** (2010) 1635–1673.
- [10] M. Fuest, J. Lankeit, M. Mizukami, Long-term behaviour in a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis-consumption model. *Journal of Differential Equations* **271** (2021) 254–279.
- [11] K. Fujie, T. Senba. Global existence and boundedness of radial solutions to a two dimensional fully parabolic chemotaxis system with general sensitivity. *Nonlinearity* **29** (2016) 2417–2450.
- [12] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Pitman, London (1985).
- [13] O. Ladyžhenskaya, V. Solonnikov, N. Ural’ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, *Transl. Math. Monogr.*, vol. 23, AMS, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [14] E. Lauga, W. R. DiLuzio, G. M. Whitesides, H. A. Stone, Swimming in circles: motion of bacteria near solid boundaries, *Biophys. J.* **90** (2006) 400–412.
- [15] T. Li, A. Suen, C. Xue, M. Winkler, Global small-data solutions of a two-dimensional chemotaxis system with rotational flux terms, *Math. Models. Methods. Appl. Sci.* **25** (2015) 721–46.
- [16] G. M. Lieberman, *Oblique Derivative Problems for Elliptic Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2013.
- [17] G. M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [18] H. G. Othmer, T. Hillen, The diffusion limit of transport equations ii: chemotaxis equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **62** (2002) 1222–1250
- [19] M. M. Porzio, V. Vespri, Hölder estimates for local solutions of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations, *J. Differential Equations* **103** (1993) 146–178.
- [20] Y. Tian, Z. Xiang, Global solutions to a 3D chemotaxis-Stokes system with nonlinear cell diffusion and Robin signal boundary condition, *J. Differential Equations* **269** (2020) 2012–178.
- [21] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. W. Wolgemuth, J. O. Kessler, R. E. Goldstein, Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **102** (2005) 2277–2282.
- [22] Y. Wang, X. Cao, Global classical solutions of a 3D chemotaxis-Stokes system with rotation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **20** (2015) 3235–54.
- [23] Y. Wang, X. Li, Boundedness for a 3D chemotaxis–Stokes system with porous medium diffusion and tensor-valued chemotactic sensitivity, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **68** (2017) 23 pp.
- [24] M. Winkler, Global large-data solutions in a chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops, *Comm. Part. Differ. Eq.* **37** (2012) 319–351.
- [25] M. Winkler, Stabilization in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **211** (2014) 455–487.

- [26] M. Winkler, Boundedness and large time behavior in a three-dimensional chemotaxis–Stokes system with nonlinear diffusion and general sensitivity, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **54** (2015) 3789–828.
- [27] M. Winkler, Large-data global generalized solutions in a chemotaxis system with tensor-valued sensitivities, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015) 3092–115
- [28] M. Winkler, How far do chemotaxis-driven forces influence regularity in the Navier–Stokes system? *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017) 3067–3125.
- [29] M. Winkler, Global mass-preserving solutions in a two-dimensional chemotaxis–Navier–Stokes system with rotational flux components, *J. Evol. Equ.* **18** (2018) 1267–89.
- [30] M. Winkler, Can rotational fluxes impede the tendency toward spatial homogeneity in nutrient taxis(-Stokes) systems?, *IMRN* (2021) 8106–8152.
- [31] C. Wu, Z. Xiang, Asymptotic dynamics on a chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system with nonlinear diffusion and inhomogeneous boundary conditions, *Math. Models. Methods. Appl. Sci.* **30** (2020) 1325–1374.
- [32] C. Xue, Macroscopic equations for bacterial chemotaxis: integration of detailed biochemistry of cell signaling, *J. Math. Biol.* **70** (2015) 1–44.
- [33] C. Xue, E. O. Budrene, H. G. Othmer, Radial and spiral streamformation in proteus mirabilis colonies, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **7** e1002332 (2011)
- [34] C. Xue, H. G. Othmer, Multiscale models of taxis-driven patterning in bacterial populations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **70** (2009) 133–167.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, 04620, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* jaewookahn@dgu.ac.kr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTING (MATHEMATICS), YONSEI UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* kkang@yonsei.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 06974, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* jhleepde@cau.ac.kr