arXiv:2206.01183v1 [math.DG] 28 May 2022

EXTENDED WEAKLY SYMMETRIC MANIFOLDS

[1]K. K. BAISHYA, [2]S. K. JANA, [3]M. R. BAKSHI, [4]M. PAIN AND [4]H. KUNDU

Abstract. In this work, we have introduced and studied some basic geometric properties of extended weakly symmetric spaces. After classification of this structure we have also established the existence of such a space by presenting a non-trivial example.

1. Introduction

The modern trend of Mathematics is abstraction, generalization, extension and then applications. Keeping this in our mind we have ideated the notion of 'Extended weakly symmetric manifolds', which extends the notion of 'Generalized weakly symmetric manifolds' [2] as well as 'Hyper-generalized weakly symmetric manifolds' [9], as follows:
An n-dimensional Riemannian manifold will be termed as *extended weakly symmetric manifold* (which will be abbreviated hereafter as $(EWS)_n$) if it bears the equation

$$\begin{aligned}(1.1)\qquad (\nabla_{X_5}R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) &= A(X_5)R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\ &+B(X_1)R(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)+\bar{B}(X_2)R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\ &+D(X_3)R(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)+\bar{D}(X_4)R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5)\\ &+\alpha(X_5)H(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)+\beta(X_1)H(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\ &+\bar{\beta}(X_2)H(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\gamma(X_3)H(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)\\ &+\bar{\gamma}(X_4)H(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5)+\theta(X_5)G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\ &+\phi(X_1)G(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)+\bar{\phi}(X_2)G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\ &+\psi(X_3)G(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)+\bar{\psi}(X_4)G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5),\end{aligned}$$

[0]Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 53C15, 53C25.
Key Words: extended weakly symmetric space, generalized weakly symmetric space, quasi-Einstein, Robertson-Walker spacetime, perfect fluid spacetime.

where R is the Riemann curvature tensor, $H = g \wedge S$, $G = g \wedge g$, the wedge product $q \wedge p$ of two $(0,2)$-tensors is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(q \wedge p)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= q(X_1, X_4)p(X_2, X_3) - q(X_1, X_3)p(X_2, X_4) \\ &\quad + p(X_1, X_4)q(X_2, X_3) - p(X_1, X_3)q(X_2, X_4)\end{aligned}$$

and $(A, B, \bar{B}, D, \bar{D}, \alpha, \beta, \bar{\beta}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, \theta, \phi, \bar{\phi}, \psi, \bar{\psi})$ are non-zero 1-forms.

The beauty of such space is that it has the taste of

(i) symmetric space [12] for $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$,

(ii) recurrent space [32] for $(A,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$,

(iii) hyper generalized recurrent space [16] for $(A,0,0,0,0,\alpha,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$,

(iv) generalized recurrent space [15] for $(A,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\theta,0,0,0,0)$,

(v) pseudo symmetric space [13] for $\frac{A}{2} = B = D = \delta \neq 0$, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$,

(vi) generalized pseudo symmetric space [1] for $\frac{A}{2} = B = D = \delta \neq 0$, $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \beta = \gamma = \mu$,

(vii) semi-pseudo symmetric space [30] for $B = D = \delta$, $A = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$,

(viii) generalized semi-pseudo symmetric space for $A = 0 = \alpha, B = D = \delta$, $\beta = \gamma = \mu$,

(ix) almost pseudo symmetric space [14] for $A = E + H, B = D = H$, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$,

(x) almost generalized pseudo symmetric space ([6], [7], [8]) for $A = E+H, B = D = H$, $\alpha = \lambda + \psi, \beta = \gamma = \lambda$,

(xi) weakly symmetric space [28] for $A, B, D \neq 0$, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$.

(xii) generalized weakly symmetric space ([2], [3], [4], [5]) for $A, B, D \neq 0$, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$.

(xiii) hyper generalized semi-pseudo symmetric space (for $\bar{A} = \bar{\alpha} = 0$, $\bar{B} = \bar{D} \neq 0$, $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma} \neq 0$),

(xiv) hyper generalized pseudo symmetric space [11] for $\bar{A} = 2\bar{B} = 2\bar{D}$, $\bar{\alpha} = 2\bar{\beta} = 2\bar{\gamma}$,

(xvi) almost hyper generalized pseudo symmetric space for $\bar{A} = \bar{B} + H_1, H_1 = \bar{B} = \bar{D} \neq 0$, $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta} + H_2, H_2 = \bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma} \neq 0$ and

(xvii) hyper generalized weakly symmetric space ([9], [10]) for $\bar{A} = \bar{B} + H_1$, $H_1 = \bar{B} = \bar{D} \neq 0$, $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta} + H_2$, $H_2 = \bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma} \neq 0$.

Firstly we have put some basic results in the Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the discussion of general properties of a $(EWS)_n$. The last section is concerned with a non-trivial example of $(EWS)_n$.

2. Some basic results

Proposition 2.1. *Let K be a generalized curvature tensor and A, B be two 1-forms. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &A(X_5)K(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)+B(X_5)K(X_1,X_4,X_2,X_3)\\ &=\left\{A(X_5)-\frac{1}{2}B(X_5)\right\}K(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold M. If*

$$A(X_1)K(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+A(X_2)K(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0 \tag{2.1}$$

then either $A=0$ or $K=0$.

Proof: Let $A\neq 0$. So there exists a vector field ξ such that $A(\xi)\neq 0$. Then putting $X_1=X_2=\xi$ in (2.1), we get

$$A(\xi)K(\xi,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad K(\xi,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0.$$

Now putting $X_1=\xi$ in (2.1), we get

$$A(\xi)K(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+A(X_2)K(\xi,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0,$$

which implies $K(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 2.2. *If on a semi-Riemannian manifold M*

$$\begin{aligned} &A(X_1)R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+A(X_2)R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\ &+B(X_1)G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+B(X_2)G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

holds, then either M is of constant curvature or $A=B=0$.

Proof: Contracting (2.2) over X_1 and X_2, we get

$$R(X_A,X_5,X_3,X_4)+G(X_B,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0,$$

where X_A, X_B denote the associated vector fields of A and B respectively. Again contraction over X_1 and X_3 on (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & B(X_2)\{g(X_4,X_5) - ng(X_4,X_5)\} - A(X_2)S(X_4,X_5) \\ & +R(X_A,X_4,X_2,X_5) + G(X_B,X_4,X_2,X_5) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow\ & A(X_2)S(X_4,X_5) + (n-1)B(X_2)g(X_4,X_5) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow\ & rA(X_2) + n(n-1)B(X_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using this value in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & A(X_1)\left[R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)\right] \\ & +A(X_2)\left[R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4) - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now by virtue of the Lemma 2.1, one can easily bring out either $A = 0$ or M is of constant curvature. Moreover for the case of $A = 0$, we have $B = -\frac{r}{n(n-1)}A = 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let us suppose that on a semi-Riemannian manifold M*

$$\begin{aligned} & A(X_1)R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) + A(X_2)R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4) \\ & +B(X_1)H(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) + B(X_2)H(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4) \\ & +D(X_1)G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) + D(X_2)G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

holds, where $H = g \wedge S$. Then either M is of constant curvature or conformally flat or $A = B = D = 0$ or $A = \frac{r}{n}B + D = 0$.

Proof: Contracting (2.3) over X_1 and X_2, we get

$$R(X_A,X_5,X_3,X_4) + H(X_B,X_5,X_3,X_4) + G(X_D,X_5,X_3,X_4) = 0,$$

where X_A, X_B, X_D denote the associated vector fields of A, B and D respectively. Again contracting (2.3) over X_1 and X_3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D(X_2)(1-n)g(X_4,X_5) + B(X_2)\{(2-n)S(X_4,X_5) - rg(X_4,X_5)\} - A(X_2)S(X_4,X_5) \\ & +R(X_A,X_4,X_2,X_5) + H(X_B,X_4,X_2,X_5) + G(X_D,X_4,X_2,X_5) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(2.4)\ \{A(X_2)+(n-2)D(X_2)\}S(X_4,X_5)=\{(1-n)B(X_2)-rD(X_2)\}g(X_4,X_5).$$

Now two cases arise

Case 1: Let $A+(n-2)D\neq 0$. Then the manifold becomes Einstein and hence (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&A(X_1)R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\left\{\frac{r}{n}B(X_1)+D(X_1)\right\}G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\&+A(X_2)R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\left\{\frac{r}{n}B(X_2)+D(X_2)\right\}G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus using Proposition 2.3, we can say that either $A=\frac{r}{n}B+D=0$ or M is of constant curvature.

Case 2: Let $A+(n-2)D=0$. Then from (2.4), we have $nB+D=0$. Thus putting the expression of A and B in terms of D, we can write the equation (2.3) as

$$(2.5)\qquad D(X_1)C(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+D(X_2)C(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0.$$

Thus using Lemma 2.1, we can say that either M is of conformally flat or $D=0$. Moreover then $A=(2-n)D=0$ and $B=-\frac{1}{n}D=0$.

3. $(EWS)_n$

Using the symmetry properties of R, viz., $R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)=-R(X_2,X_1,X_3,X_4)$ on (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\{B(X_1)-\bar{B}(X_1)\}R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\{B(X_2)-\bar{B}(X_2)\}R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\&+\{\beta(X_1)-\bar{\beta}(X_1)\}H(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\{\beta(X_2)-\bar{\beta}(X_2)\}H(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\&+\{\phi(X_1)-\bar{\phi}(X_1)\}G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\{\phi(X_2)-\bar{\phi}(X_2)\}G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Then using proposition 2.3, we can say that either the space is conformally flat or constant curvature or generalized weakly symmetric or we get the following relations between the associated 1-forms:

$$B=\bar{B},\ D=\bar{D},\ \beta=\bar{\beta},\ \gamma=\bar{\gamma}\text{ and }\phi=\bar{\phi},$$

which leads us to state the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose M is a non-conformally flat proper extended weakly symmetric manifold (i.e., not generalized weakly symmetric). The defining equation of a $(EWS)_n$ is reduced as the following:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.1)\qquad (\nabla_{X_5}R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) &= A(X_5)R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\
&+B(X_1)R(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)+B(X_2)R(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\
&+D(X_3)R(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)+D(X_4)R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5)\\
&+\alpha(X_5)H(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)+\beta(X_1)H(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\
&+\beta(X_2)H(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)+\gamma(X_3)H(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)\\
&+\gamma(X_4)H(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5)+\theta(X_5)G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4)\\
&+\phi(X_1)G(X_5,X_2,X_3,X_4)+\phi(X_2)G(X_1,X_5,X_3,X_4)\\
&+\psi(X_3)G(X_1,X_2,X_5,X_4)+\psi(X_4)G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_5).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now contracting (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.2)\qquad & (\nabla_{X_5}S)(X_2,\ X_3)\\
= \ & f_1(X_5)S(X_2,\ X_3)+f_2(X_2)S(X_5,\ X_3)+f_3(X_3)S(X_2,X_5)\\
&+f_4(X_5)g(X_2,\ X_3)+f_5(X_2)g(X_5,\ X_3)+f_6(X_3)g(X_2,\ X_5)\\
&-B(R(X_2,X_5)X_3)+D(R(X_5,\ X_3)X_2)+[\beta(LX_5)+\gamma(LX_5)]g(X_2,\ X_3)\\
&-\beta(LX_2)g(X_5,\ X_3)-\gamma(LX_3)g(X_5,\ X_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where L is the Ricci operator and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.3)\qquad & f_1=A+(n-2)\alpha+\beta+\gamma,\quad f_2=B+(n-3)\beta,\\
& f_3=D+(n-3)\gamma,\quad f_4=(n-1)\theta+\phi+\psi+r\alpha,\\
& f_5=(n-2)\phi+r\beta,\quad f_6=(n-2)\psi+r\gamma.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can conclude that

Theorem 3.2. *Every $(EWS)_n$ is $(GWRS)_n$ if*

$$
(3.4)\qquad B(LX)+D(LX)+(n-1)[\beta(LX)+\gamma(LX)]=0.
$$

Proof. From (3.2) it is obvious that $(EWS)_n$ becomes $(GWRS)_n$ if

$$\begin{aligned} & D(R(X,\ W)Y) + [\beta(LX) + \gamma(LX)]g(Y,\ W) \\ = \ & \beta(LY)g(X,\ W) + \gamma(LW)g(X,\ Y) + B(R(Y,X)W), \end{aligned}$$

which, on contraction, gives (3.4). □

Let us now consider the Bianchi's second identity

$$(\nabla_{X_5}R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) + (\nabla_{X_1}R)(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) + (\nabla_{X_2}R)(X_5,X_1,X_3,X_4) = 0.$$

Then using (3.1) on the above we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(X_5)G(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) + \omega(X_2)G(X_5,X_1,X_3,X_4) \\ & +\omega(X_1)G(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) + \delta(X_5)H(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) \\ & +\delta(X_2)H(X_5,X_1,X_3,X_4) + \delta(X_1)H(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) \\ & +J(X_5)R(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4) + J(X_2)R(X_5,X_1,X_3,X_4) \\ & +J(X_1)R(X_2,X_5,X_3,X_4) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $J = A - 2B,\ \delta = \alpha - 2\beta$ and $\omega = \theta - 2\phi$.
Now taking contraction over X_2 and X_3 on (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R(X_J,X_4,X_1,X_5) \\ & = \{(n-2)\omega(X_5) + r\delta(X_5) - S(X_5,X_\delta)\}g(X_1,X_4) \\ & -\{(n-2)\omega(X_1) + r\delta(X_1) - S(X_1,X_\delta)\}g(X_4,X_5) \\ & +\{J(X_5) + (n-3)\delta(X_5)\}S(X_1,X_4) \\ & -\{J(X_1) + (n-3)\delta(X_1)\}S(X_4,X_5). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Further replacing X_5 by X_J in (3.5) and using the form of $R(X_J, X_4, X_2, X_5)$ from above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(X_J)R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)\\
&= 2\omega(X_J)\{g(X_2, X_3)g(X_1, X_4) - g(X_1, X_3)g(X_2, X_4)\}\\
&+2\delta(X_J)\{g(X_1, X_4)S(X_2, X_3) + g(X_2, X_3)S(X_1, X_4)\\
&-g(X_1, X_3)S(X_2, X_4) - g(X_2, X_4)S(X_1, X_3)\}\\
&+g(X_1, X_3)\{S(X_J, X_4)\delta(X_2) + S(J, X_2)\delta(X_4) + J(X_4)\epsilon(X_2) + J(X_2)\epsilon(X_4)\}\\
&+g(X_1, X_4)\{-S(X_J, X_3)\delta(X_2) - S(J, X_2)\delta(X_3) + J(X_3)\epsilon(X_2) + J(X_2)\epsilon(X_3)\}\\
&+g(X_2, X_3)\{-S(X_J, X_4)\delta(X_1) - S(J, X_1)\delta(X_4) + J(X_4)\epsilon(X_1) + J(X_1)\epsilon(X_4)\}\\
&+g(X_2, X_4)\{S(X_J, X_3)\delta(X_1) + S(J, X_1)\delta(X_3) + J(X_3)\epsilon(X_1) + J(X_1)\epsilon(X_3)\}\\
&+S(X_1, X_3)\{2J(X_2)J(X_4) + (n-2)J(X_4)\delta(X_2) + (n-2)J(X_2)\delta(X_4)\}\\
&+S(X_1, X_4)\{-2J(X_2)J(X_3) - (n-2)J(X_3)\delta(X_2) - (n-2)J(X_2)\delta(X_3)\}\\
&+S(X_2, X_3)\{-2J(X_1)J(X_4) - (n-2)J(X_4)\delta(X_1) - (n-2)J(X_1)\delta(X_4)\}\\
&+S(X_2, X_4)\{2J(X_1)J(X_3) + (n-2)J(X_3)\delta(X_1) + (n-2)J(X_1)\delta(X_3)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(X) = S(\delta, X) - (n-1)\omega(X) - r\delta(X)$.

Thus if J is non-null, then we can write the form of the curvature tensor R as follows:

$$R = \frac{1}{2J(X_J)}\left[2\delta(X_J)g \wedge S + 2\omega(X_J)g \wedge g + E \wedge g + F \wedge S\right], \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$E(X_1, X_2) = S(X_J, X_1)\delta(X_2) + S(X_J, X_2)\delta(X_1) + J(X_1)\epsilon(X_2) + J(X_2)\epsilon(X_1),$$

$$F(X_1, X_2) = 2J(X_2)J(X_1) + (n-2)J(X_1)\delta(X_2) + (n-2)J(X_2)\delta(X_1).$$

Next, contracting the above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&rJ(X_2)J(X_3) - \{J(X_2)J(S(X_J, X_3)) + J(X_3)J(S(X_J, X_2))\}\\
&-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}\{J(X_3)w(X_2) + J(X_2)w(X_3)\}\\
&+(n-2)r\{J(X_3)\delta(X_2) + J(X_2)\delta(X_3)\}\\
&-(n-2)\{J(X_3)\delta(S(X_J, X_2)) + J(X_2)\delta(S(X_J, X_3))\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Again contracting (3.6), we have

$$r[J(X_3)+2(n-2)\delta(X_3)]-2J(S(X_J,X_3))+(n-1)(n-2)w(X_3) \tag{3.9}$$
$$-2(n-2)\delta(S(X_J,X_3))=0.$$

Thus we state the following:

Theorem 3.3. *In a $(EWS)_n$ the Riemann curvature tensor and scalar curvature are given by (3.7) and (3.9) respectively, provided J is non-null.*

4. Example

Example: Let $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$ be a 4-dimensional space endowed with the metric g given by

$$ds^2=(dx_1)^2+x_1(dx_2)^2+x_4(dx_3)^2+x_3(dx_4)^2. \tag{4.1}$$

The non-zero components of Riemann curvature R, Ricci tensor S, Gaussian curvature tensor G, and $g\wedge S$ are

$$R_{1212}=\frac{1}{4x_1},\quad R_{3434}=\frac{x_3+x_4}{4x_3x_4};$$
$$S_{11}=-\frac{1}{4x_1^2},\ S_{22}=-\frac{1}{4x_1},\ S_{33}=-\frac{x_3+x_4}{4x_3^2x_4},\ S_{44}=-\frac{x_3+x_4}{4x_3x_4^2};$$
$$G_{1212}=-2x_1,\ G_{1313}=-2x_4,\ G_{1414}=-2x_3,$$
$$G_{2323}=-2x_1x_4,\ G_{2424}=-2x_1x_3,\ G_{3434}=-2x_3x_4;$$
$$(g\wedge S)_{1212}=\frac{1}{2x_1},\quad (g\wedge S)_{1313}=\frac{x_3x_1^2+x_4x_1^2+x_3^2x_4^2}{4x_1^2x_3^2x_4},$$
$$(g\wedge S)_{1414}=\frac{x_3x_1^2+x_4x_1^2+x_3^2x_4^2}{4x_1^2x_3x_4^2},\quad (g\wedge S)_{2323}=\frac{x_3x_1^2+x_4x_1^2+x_3^2x_4^2}{4x_1x_3^2x_4},$$
$$(g\wedge S)_{2424}=\frac{x_3x_1^2+x_4x_1^2+x_3^2x_4^2}{4x_1x_3x_4^2},\quad (g\wedge S)_{3434}=\frac{x_3+x_4}{2x_3x_4}.$$

Covariant derivatives of Riemann curvature tensor and Ricci tensor are

$$R_{1212,1}=-\frac{1}{2x_1^2},\ R_{3434,3}=\frac{x_3+2x_4}{4x_3^2x_4},\ R_{3434,4}=\frac{2x_3+x_4}{4x_3x_4^2};$$
$$S_{11,1}=\frac{1}{2x_1^3},\ S_{22,1}=\frac{1}{2x_1^2},\ S_{33,3}=\frac{x_3+2x_4}{4x_3^3x_4},$$
$$S_{33,4}=\frac{2x_3+x_4}{4x_3^2x_4^2},\ S_{44,3}=\frac{x_3+2x_4}{4x_3^2x_4^2},\ S_{44,4}=\frac{2x_3+x_4}{4x_3x_4^3}.$$

Then it can be easily check that the space satisfies (3.1) for the following choice of the 1-forms

$$A=\left\{\frac{2x_3^2x_4^2}{x_1\lambda_1},0,-\frac{x_1^2\left(x_3+2x_4\right)}{x_3\lambda_1},-\frac{x_1^2\left(2x_3+x_4\right)}{x_4\lambda_1}\right\},$$

$$B=\left\{\frac{2x_1x_3^2x_4^2\left(x_3+x_4\right)}{\lambda_2},0,-\frac{x_1^2x_3x_4^2\left(x_3+2x_4\right)}{\lambda_2},-\frac{x_1^2x_3^2x_4\left(2x_3+x_4\right)}{\lambda_2}\right\},$$

$$D=\left\{-\frac{x_3+x_4}{4x_1\lambda_3},0,\frac{x_3+2x_4}{8x_3\lambda_3},\frac{2x_3+x_4}{8x_4\lambda_3}\right\},$$

$$\alpha=\left\{\frac{\lambda_3}{x_1^2\left(x_3+x_4\right)},0,0,0\right\}=-\theta,$$

$$\beta=\{1,0,0,0\}=-\phi,\quad \gamma=\left\{\frac{\lambda_1}{8x_1^2x_3^2x_4^2},0,0,0\right\}=-\psi,$$

where $\lambda_1=x_3^2x_4^2+x_1^2\left(x_3+x_4\right)$, $\lambda_2=x_1^4\left(x_3+x_4\right)^2-x_3^4x_4^4$ and $\lambda_3=x_3^2x_4^2-x_1^2\left(x_3+x_4\right)$.

References

[1] K. K. Baishya and A. Biswas, *Study on generaizedl pseudo (Ricci) symmetric Sasakian manifolds admitting general connection*, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III, Maths, Informatics, Physics **12**(61) (2)(2019), 233-246.

[2] K. K. Baishya, *On generalized weakly symmetric manifolds*, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III, Maths, Informatics, Physics, **10 (59)** (1)(2017), 31-38.

[3] K. K. Baishya and P. R. Chowdhury, *Generalized weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **39** (6) (2021), 211-222 doi:10.5269/bspm.41464.

[4] A. M. Blaga, K. K. Baishya and N. Sarkar, *Ricci solitons in a generalized weakly (Ricci) symmetric D-homothetically deformed Kenmotsu manifold*, Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math. **18** (2019), 123-136.

[5] K. K. Baishya, *On generalized weakly symmetric $(LCS)_n$-manifolds*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **48** (2) (2019), 427 -438, doi: 10.15672/HJMS.2017.526.

[6] K. K. Baishya, S. Jana and F. Nurcan, *On the existence of an almost generalized weakly-symmetric Sasakian manifold admitting quarter symmetric metric connection*, Journal of Taibah University for Science **13** (1) (2019), 941-946, doi: 10.1080/16583 655. 2019 .166 3033.

[7] K. K. Baishya and P. R. Chowdhury, *On almost generalized weakly symmetric LP-Sasakian manifold*, An. Univ. Vest Timis. Ser. Mat.-Inform **55**(2) (2017), 51-64.

[8] K. K. Baishya, P. R. Chowdhury, M. Josef & P. Peska, *On almost generalized weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds*, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Mathematica, **55**(2) (2016), 5-15.

[9] M. R. Bakshi, A. Das and K. K. Baishya, *Existence of hyper generalized weakly symmetric Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 65(2021), 51-66.

[10] K. K. Baishya, F. Ozen Zengin and J. Mike, *On hyper generalised weakly symmetric manifolds*, Nineteenth International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization June 02-07, 2017,

Varna, Bulgaria Ivaïlo M. Mladenov and Akira Yoshioka, Editors Avangard Prima, Sofia 2018, pp 1–10, doi:10.7546/giq-19-2018-1-10.

[11] M. Roy Bakshi, K. K. Baishya and A. M. Blaga, *Hyper generalized pseudo Q-symmetric semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Cubo A Mathematical journal **23** (1) (2021), 87-96.

[12] Cartan, E., *Sur une classes remarquable d'espaces de Riemannian*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **54**(1926), 214-264.

[13] Chaki, M. C., *On pseudo symmetric manifolds*, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatff "AL I.Cuza" Din (Iasi, **33**(1987), 53-58.

[14] Chaki, M. C. and Kawaguchi, T., *On almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Tensor **68**(1), 10–14 (2007).

[15] Dubey, R. S. D., *Generalized recurrent spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1979), 1508-1513.

[16] A. Shaikh and A. Patra, *On generalized class of recurrent manifolds*, Arch. Math.(Brno) **46** (2010). 39-46.

[17] Jaiswal, J. P. and Ojha, R. H., *On weakly pseudo-projectively symmetric manifolds*, Differential Geometry-Dynamical System **12** (2010), 83-94.

[18] Jana, S. K. and Shaikh, A. A., *On quasi-conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungarica **115** (3) (2007), 197-214.

[19] Malek, F. and Samawaki, M., *On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds*. Differential Geometry-Dynamical System **10** (2008), 215-220.

[20] Mantica, C. A. and Molinari, L. G., *Weakly Z-symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. **135** (2012), 80–96.

[21] Mantica, C. A. and Suh, Y. J., *Pseudo Z symmetric Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature tensors*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **9** (2012), 1250004.

[22] Özen, F. and Altay, S., *On weakly and pseudo symmetric riemannian spaces*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **33** (10)(2001), 1477-1488.

[23] Özen, F. and Altay, S., *On weakly and pseudo concircular symmetric structures on a Riemannian manifold*, Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica **47** (2008), 129-138.

[24] Prvanovic, M., *On weakly symmetric riemannian manifolds*. Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (1995), 19–25 .

[25] Prvanovic, M., *On totally umbilical submanifolds immersed in a weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **49** (1998), 54–64 .

[26] Ruse, H. S., *A classification of K^*-spaces*, London Math. Soc. **53** (1951), 212-229.

[27] Shaikh, A. A., Baishya, K. K., *On weakly quasi-conformally symmetric manifolds.*, Soochow J. of Math. **31** (4), (2005), 581-595.

[28] Tamássy, L. and Binh, T. Q., *On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, Coll. Math. Soc., J. Bolyai **56** (1989), 663-670.

[29] Tamássy, L., and Binh, T. Q., *On weak symmetric of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds*. Tensor (N.S.) **53** (1993), 140-148.

[30] Tarafdar, M. and Musa, A. A., Jawarneh, *Semi-Pseudo Ricci Symmetric manifold*, J. Indian. Inst. Science. 1993, 73, 591-596.

[31] Yano, K. and Kon, M., *Structures on manifolds*, World Scientific Publishing Co1984, 41.Acad. Bucharest, 2008, 249-308.

[32] Walker, A. G., *On Ruse's space of recurrent curvature*, Proc. of London Math. Soc. **52** (1950), 36-54.