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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the gradient steady Kähler-Ricci soliton metrics on noncompact toric manifolds. We show that the orbit space of the free locus of such a manifold carries a natural Hessian structure with a nonnegative Bakry-Émery tensor. We generalize Calabi's classical rigidity result and use this to prove that any complete $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-invariant gradient steady Kähler-Ricci soliton with a free torus action must be a flat $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is a Ricci soliton, if its Ricci curvature satisfies the equation

$$
\operatorname{Ric}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{V} g=\lambda g
$$

for some vector field $V \in C^{\infty}(M, T M)$ and a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Ricci solitons are the stationary solutions to the Ricci flow modulo the action of the diffeomorphism group and scaling. They represent singularity models for the flow and realize a class of distinguished metrics generalizing the notion of the Einstein metrics. A Ricci soliton $(M, g)$ is expanding, steady or shrinking depending on whether $\lambda<0, \lambda=0$ or $\lambda>0$. A particularly important class of gradient Ricci solitons occurs when $V=\nabla_{g} f$ is a gradient vector field. In this case, the soliton equation takes form

$$
\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla_{g}^{2} f=\lambda g
$$

Since the early works of Hamilton on the Ricci flow [15, 16, 17], classification and construction of the gradient Ricci solitons has been one of the central problems in geometric analysis, see, e.g., [7, $19,23,24]$. In the present paper we will focus on steady gradient Ricci solitons. On such solitons $\Delta f-|d f|^{2}=$ const, and it follows from the maximum principle that a compact steady Ricci soliton is necessarily Einstein. Thus if we are interested in genuine solitons (i.e., with non-Killing soliton vector field $V=\nabla_{g} f$ ) we have to consider non-compact backgrounds. The next best thing is $(M, g)$ being complete - this set up is particularly important for the study of singularity models for the Ricci flow.

Recall that a Riemannian manifold $(M, g, J)$ equipped with an integrable complex structure $J: T M \rightarrow T M, J^{2}=-$ Id is Kähler if $\nabla_{g} J=0$, or, equivalently, if the skew-symmetric form $\omega=g J$ is closed. The Ricci flow is known to preserve the Kähler condition, so it is particularly important to study and classify Ricci solitons on Kähler backgrounds - such structures are referred to as Kähler-Ricci solitons or KRS for short. In the last decades, the question of the existence of Kähler-Einstein and KRS metrics has been related to the fundamental notions of stability in algebraic geometry.

In this paper we study the classification of the steady KRS on complete backgrounds. There exist numerous constructions providing explicit examples of complete steady KRS manifolds (including Kähler-Ricci flat). In [27] Tian and Yau constructed a complete Kähler-Ricci flat metric on the complement of a canonical divisor in a Fano manifold. Anderson, Kronheimer and LeBrun [2] constructed a family of complete Kähler-Ricci flat manifolds of infinite topological type. More recently Yang [21] found an "exotic" complete Kähler-Ricci flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ with a singular tangent cone at infinity. Existence of these examples suggests that a possible classification of complete Kähler-Ricci flat metrics even on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a very difficult problem. The situation with the steady KRS is at least as subtle.

In [6] Cao constructed a unique complete non-flat $S O(2 n)$-invariant steady KRS on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by reducing the soliton equation to an explicit ODE for a function of $|z|^{2}$. Recently Biquard and Macbeth [3] used the gluing construction to desingularize Cao's KRS quotient metric on a crepant resolution of $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Gamma$. Conlon and Deruelle [9] proved a similar statement using the continuity
method. Schäfer [26] adapted the ideas of [9] to construct steady solitons on crepant resolutions of orbifolds $\left(\mathbb{C} \times X_{\mathrm{CY}}\right) / \Gamma$, where $X_{\mathrm{CY}}$ is a compact Calabi-Yau manifold.

We stress that the known examples of the steady KRS are manifestly non-unique, e.g., the product of Cao's KRS $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}}, g_{1}^{\text {Cao }}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{n_{2}}, g_{2}^{\text {Cao }}\right)$ is never isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}+n_{2}}, g^{\text {Caoo }}\right)$. This is in contrast with the expanding and shrinking complete solitons, where the uniqueness is known under mild geometric assumptions, see, e.g., Cifarelli [8] for the uniqueness of shrinking solitons with toric symmetry, and Conlon, Deruelle, Sun [10] for several uniqueness results of both shrinking and expanding solitons.

All of the known uniqueness results for steady solitons assume an explicitly prescribed asymptotics of the soliton vector field and the metric at infinity, see, e.g., [9]. This situation is largely unsatisfactory, since, it does not answer even the simplest questions: what are the possible soliton vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ? what are the possible asymptotics of a KRS on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ? does $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ admit any non-trivial steady KRS?

To make an initial progress into the classification of steady $\operatorname{KRS}(M, g, J)$ without a priori assumptions on the asymptotics of $g$ at infinity, we focus on toric Kähler manifolds - manifolds $\left(M^{2 n}, g, J\right)$ equipped with an effective Hamiltonian action of a half-dimensional torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}=\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$. Toric manifolds have been a particularly fruitful source of examples of Kähler and algebraic manifolds with explicitly computable underlying geometric invariants. Some of the early substantial advances in the problem of construction of canonical metrics has been made on toric backgrounds, see..e.g., $[12,28,30]$. The main advantage of this setting is that the geometry of a toric Kähler manifold $\left(M^{2 n}, g, J\right)$ can be fully captured by a simpler geometric data on a real $n$-dimensional orbit space $M^{2 n} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$, which is essentially an object of convex geometry [11]. This allows to reduce the construction and classification of the canonical metrics on $(M, g, J)$ to the classical and better understood problems of real convex analysis.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem classifying steady toric KRS with a free torus action. Essentially it is a non-existence result concluding that any such soliton must be a flat $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(M, g, J, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a complete steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton with the free torus action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times M \rightarrow M$. Then $\left(M, g, J, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ is equivariantly isomorphic to a flat algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ equipped with the standard complex structure and the action of $U(1)^{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

Let us discuss the strategy of the proof. The orbit space $M^{2 n} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ of a free Hamiltonian action on a symplectic manifold $\left(M^{2 n}, \omega\right)$ has a natural affine structure, i.e., there is a canonical torsion-free flat connection $D$ on $M^{2 n} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$. A $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-invariant Kähler $\left(M^{2 n}, g, J\right)$ structure compatible with $\omega$ upgrades the affine structure on $N:=M^{2 n} / T^{n}$ to the Hessian structure - a metric locally given by the Hessian of a convex function: $D^{2} u(x)$. With this extra structure, various notions of canonical metrics on $M^{2 n}$ translate into scalar PDEs for the function $u(x)$ on $N$, see, e.g., Donaldson [12] for the construction of constant scalar curvature metrics, Abreu [1] for the explicit construction of extremal Kähler metrics, Zhu [30] for the proof of the convergence of the Kähler-Ricci flow on toric Fano manifolds. Most importantly for us is that the steady $K R S$ equation turns out to be equivalent to the weighted Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-v_{p} x^{p}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{q}} \xi^{q}+c \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote by $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ the local $D$-parallel coordinate system on $N$, and $v \in T^{*} N, \xi \in T N$ are fixed $D$-parallel sections. General Monge-Ampère equations $\log \operatorname{det} u_{, i j}=F(x, u, \nabla u)$ have been central to the geometric analysis and the optimal transport. We refer the reader to the book of Gutiérrez [14] and references therein for a detailed exposition. A special unweighted case of equation (1) with $v=\xi=0$ was studied in the seminal paper of Calabi [5]. In this paper Calabi proved the fundamental third order estimate by providing an a priori upper bound for $\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}$. The key input of Calabi is that if a convex function $u(x)$ solves the equation $\log \operatorname{det} u_{, i j}=c$ on $N$ then $\left(N, D^{2} u\right)$ has a nonnegative Ricci curvature. For the equation (1) this is not longer true, and Calabi's argument does not go through directly. However, if $u(x)$ solves (1), then ( $N, D^{2} u$ ) has a nonnegative Bakry-Émery tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}:=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} \varphi$ for an appropriate weight function $\varphi$.

Proposition 4.5. (Kolesnikov, [20]) Let $(N, D)$ be a simply-connected affine manifold equipped with a development map $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a Hessian metric $g=D^{2} u(x)$, such that function $u(x)$
solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-v_{p} x^{p}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{q}} \xi^{q}+c \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*} .
$$

Consider the function

$$
\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, p} \xi^{p}+v_{q} x^{q}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{4} g^{p q} g^{k l} u_{, i p k} u_{, j q l}
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi} \geqslant 0$.
This proposition is a special and simpler case of a lower bound on the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor in [20], where Kolesnikov considered a more general Monge-Ampère equation. With this crucial observation we manage to reproduce Calabi's estimates and get an upper bound on $\left|u_{, i j k}\right|_{g}^{2}$. This bound in turn yields the rigidity of complete solutions to (1) implying that $u(x)$ must be a quadratic polynomial. In particular we have the following generalization of Calabi's classical result

Corollary 4.9. Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open subset and $u: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a strictly convex function solving the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=-v_{j} x^{j}+u_{, i} \xi^{i}+c
$$

where $v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $c$ is a constant. If metric $g=D^{2} u$ on $N$ is complete, then $N=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $u(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the necessary geometric background - Hessian manifolds, foundations of the Kähler toric geometry and the reduction of the steady KRS to the Monge-Ampère equation on the quotient Hessian manifold. Most of the material of this section is standard and the results on toric Kähler structures can be found, e.g., in a foundational paper by Guillemin [13]. In Section 3 we make a seemingly unrelated detour into the geometry of smooth metric measure spaces with a non-negative Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}$. Based on the results of Wei and Wylie [29] we reprove the a priori estimates for the solution of the differential inequality $\Delta_{\varphi} \sigma \geqslant \sigma^{2}$. Next, in Section 4 we relate the general results on the properties of the non-negative Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor to the geometry of the Hessian manifolds solving the (weighted) Monge-Ampère equations. In this section building on the work of Kolesnikov [20] we establish the key fact that the Hessian manifolds underlying a steady toric KRS have a nonnegative Bakry-Émery tensor for an appropriate weight function. With this observation we adapt the classical Calabi's third order estimate [5]. Finally in Section 5 we apply the third order estimate to prove the main result, and formulate an open question regarding the properness of the moment map.
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## 2. Geometric Background

In this section we collect the necessary background on Hessian manifolds, Kähler toric manifolds and Kähler-Ricci solitons. We explain that the orbit space of a Kähler toric manifold inherits a Hessian structure, and give a scalar reduction of a steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton to a weighted Monge-Ampère equation on the orbit space.

### 2.1. Hessian Manifolds.

Definition 2.1 (Affine manifolds). An affine manifold is a smooth manifold $N$ equipped with a flat torsion free connection $D$ in the tangent bundle $T N$. The connection $D$ provides a distinguished coordinate system in a neighbourhood of any point, such that the transition functions between two such coordinate systems are affine.

We can equivalently define an affine manifold as a smooth manifold $N$ equipped with an atlas $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the transition functions $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}$ are affine. Atlas $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ is called an affine structure and uniquely determines a flat torsion free connection $D$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the sheaf of affine functions with the space of sections

$$
\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})=\left\{f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid D^{2} f=0\right\}
$$

Example 2.2. The simplest example of an affine manifold is $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the obvious flat connection. If a group $G \subset \operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ acts freely and properly-discontinuously on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ then $U / G$ is an affine manifold. If $(M, D)$ is an affine manifold, and $\iota: N \rightarrow M$ is an open immersion, then $M$ naturally inherits an affine structure $\left(N, \iota^{*} D\right)$.

Definition 2.3 (Development map). Let $(N, D)$ be an affine manifold, $\operatorname{dim} N=n$. An open $\operatorname{map} \iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a development map for $(N, D)$ if $D=\iota^{*} D_{0}$, where $D_{0}$ is the standard affine structure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Equivalently, the 1-forms $\left\{\iota^{*} d x^{i}\right\}$ provide a $D$-parallel trivialization of $T^{*} N$, where $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ is a coordinate system on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Lemma 2.4. Any simply connected affine manifold ( $N, D$ ) admits a development map.
Proof. Since $\pi_{1}(N)=1$ and the connection $D$ is flat, its holonomy is trivial: $\operatorname{Hol}(D)=\{\mathrm{id}\}$. Therefore one can construct a $D$-parallel trivialization $\left\{\eta^{1}, \ldots, \eta^{n}\right\}$ of $T^{*} N$. Since $D$ is torsion free, and $D \eta^{i}=0$, we conclude that 1 -forms $\eta^{i}$ are closed, and thus exact: $\eta^{i}=d x^{i}$ for $x^{i} \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\iota=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ is the required development map.

Definition 2.5 (Hessian manifolds). Let ( $N, D$ ) be an affine manifold. A function $u_{\alpha}$ on an open set $U_{\alpha}$ is called strictly convex if the symmetric 2-tensor

$$
D^{2} u_{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}\left(U_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} N\right)\right)
$$

is strictly positive.
A Riemannian metric $g$ on an affine manifold is called a Hessian metric if there exists an open cover $N=\bigcup U_{\alpha}$ and a collection of strictly convex functions $u_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=D^{2} u_{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An affine manifold $(N, D)$ with a Hessian metric $g=D^{2} u_{\alpha}$ is called a Hessian manifold.
A Hessian metric $g$ on an affine manifold $(N, D)$ defines an affine $\mathbb{R}$-bundle $L \rightarrow N$. Namely, if $g$ is determined by local convex functions $u_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that (2) holds, then the differences $u_{\alpha}-u_{\beta}$ are affine functions on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Thus collection $\left\{u_{\alpha}-u_{\beta}\right\}$ defines a Čech 1-cocycle and the corresponding cohomology class

$$
\left[\left\{u_{\alpha}-u_{\beta}\right\}\right] \in H^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})
$$

where the group $H^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})$ classifies affine $\mathbb{R}$-bundles over $N$. Given two sections of an affine $\mathbb{R}$-bundle $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \Gamma(N, L)$, their difference is a well-defined function

$$
s_{1}-s_{2} \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})
$$

It is easy to see that on a simply-connected affine manifold $(N, D)$ the group $H^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})$ is trivial, in particular a Hessian metric $g$ is given by the Hessian of a globally defined function $u \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$ (see, e.g., discussion in [18, §2]):

Lemma 2.6. Let $(N, D)$ be a simply-connected affine manifold. Then $H^{1}(M, \mathcal{A})$ is trivial and any Hessian metric $g$ is the Hessian of a globally defined convex function $u \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$
g=D^{2} u
$$

Proof. Since $N$ is simply connected, the holonomy of the flat connection $D$ is trivial. Therefore, any locally defined affine section $s_{0} \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$ can be uniquely extended to a global section $s \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{A})$. This proves that any Cech 1-cocycle in $C^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})$ is a boundary so that $H^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})$ is trivial.

Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an open cover of $N$ and denote by $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\}$ the locally convex functions defining the Hessian metric $g$. Then, since the cocycle $\left\{u_{\alpha}-u_{\beta}\right\} \in C^{1}(N, \mathcal{A})$ is trivial, we can find affine functions $\left\{a_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $a_{\alpha}-a_{\beta}=u_{\alpha}-u_{\beta}$. Therefore $u:=u_{\alpha}-a_{\alpha}$ is a globally defined convex function such that $D^{2} u=g$, as required.

### 2.2. Kähler Toric manifolds.

Symplectic data. Let $\boldsymbol{T}^{k} \simeq\left(S^{1}\right)^{k}$ be a real $k$-dimensional torus acting on a smooth manifold $M$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=2 n$. In what follows we do not assume that $M$ is compact. Denote by $\mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{k}$ the Lie algebra of $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$. For a vector $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ we set $X_{v} \in C^{\infty}(M, T M)$ to be the fundamental vector field induced by the infinitesimal action generated by $v$.

Assume that $M$ is equipped with a symplectic form $\omega \in C^{\infty}\left(M, \Lambda^{2}(M)\right)$. Recall that the action $\boldsymbol{T}^{k} \times M \rightarrow M$ is Hamiltonian if there exists a moment map

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}
$$

such that for every $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ we have

$$
\omega\left(X_{v}, \cdot\right)=-d\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle .
$$

It follows from the Cartan's magic formula that a Hamiltonian action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$ preserves $\omega$, so $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$ acts by symplectomorphisms.

Let us collect some elementary properties of the moment map on a symplectic manifold.
Proposition 2.7. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of a torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$ and the moment map $\boldsymbol{\mu}: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$. Then
(1) $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is uniquely determined up to an addition of a constant element in $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$.
(2) $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is invariant under the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$, or equivalently

$$
\omega\left(X_{v}, X_{w}\right)=0
$$

for any $v, w \in \mathfrak{t}$.
Proof. Clearly each of the functions $\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle$ is defined up to an additive constant, so $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is determined up to an addition of a constant element in $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$. Next, since $X_{v}$ and $X_{w}$ commute and preserve $\omega$, we know that $\mathcal{L}_{X_{v}} i_{X_{w}} \omega=d\left(\omega\left(X_{v}, X_{w}\right)\right)=0$, so $\omega\left(X_{v}, X_{w}\right)$ is constant along the orbit of $X_{v}$. Since the closure of the orbit of $X_{v}$ is a compact submanifold, function $\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, w\rangle$ has a critical point on this submanifold, and at such a point $\omega\left(X_{v}, X_{w}\right)=i_{X_{v}} d\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, w\rangle=0$, implying that $\omega\left(X_{v}, X_{w}\right)$ is identically zero.

In what follows we will be mainly concerned with the open dense set of points $M_{0} \subset M$ where the action $\boldsymbol{T}^{k} \times M \rightarrow M$ is free:

$$
M_{0}:=\left\{x \in M \mid \operatorname{Stab}_{\boldsymbol{T}^{k}}(x)=\{\mathrm{id}\}\right\}
$$

Since the compact group $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$ acts freely on $M_{0}$, the quotient space

$$
N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{k}
$$

is naturally a smooth manifold. Denote by

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}
$$

the natural projection on the orbit space. Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is constant on the orbits of $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$, the moment map descends to a map

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*} \text { such that } \boldsymbol{\mu}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \circ \boldsymbol{\pi} .
$$

Furthermore, since $\omega$ is nondegenerate and the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{k}$ on $N_{0}$ is free, the differential $d \boldsymbol{\mu} \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(M_{0}, \operatorname{Hom}\left(T M_{0}, \mathfrak{t}^{*}\right)\right)$ has the maximal rank $k$.

In this paper we will deal with a very special kind of Hamiltonian torus action - action of a half-dimensional torus.

Definition 2.8 (Symplectic toric manifold). A symplectic toric manifold is a connected symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=2 n$ with an effective Hamiltonian action of a torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$.

On the free locus $M_{0} \subset M$ of a symplectic toric manifold $\left(M, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ the differential of the moment map $\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}\right|_{M_{0}}$ vanishes on the tangent space to the $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-orbits and has the maximal rank $n$. In particular

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}
$$

is an open immersion.
Proposition 2.9. Let $\left(M_{0}, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a symplectic toric manifold with the free torus action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times$ $M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}$. Then the orbit space $N_{0}=M_{0} / T^{n}$ inherits a unique affine structure $\left(N_{0}, D\right)$ such that the functions $\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, v\rangle, v \in \mathfrak{t}$ are affine, and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is the development map.
Proof. Since the map $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is an open immersion, the natural affine structure on $\mathfrak{t}^{*} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be pulled back to $N_{0}$. By definition, $\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, v\rangle$ are affine functions for this structure, and being a local coordinate system, these functions uniquely determine the affine structure on $N_{0}$.

We now describe a local coordinate system on $M_{0}$. For the notational convenience, let us introduce a basis $e^{1}, \ldots, e^{n}$ the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{t}$, denote by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ the dual basis. For a vector $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ we will denote by $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ its coordinates relative to $\left\{e^{i}\right\}$, and respectively for a covector $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$, we denote by $\left\{\xi^{i}\right\}$ its components relative to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$. Let $x^{i}:=\left\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, e^{i}\right\rangle \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ be the components of the moment map. Functions $\left\{x^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ can be augmented by locally defined angular functions $\theta_{i}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots n$ to provide a local coordinate system on $M_{0}$.

Lemma 2.10. On a symplectic toric manifold $\left(M_{0}, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ with a free Hamiltonian action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ the moment map $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(x^{1}, \ldots x^{n}\right): M_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be augmented by local $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-invariant functions $\left\{\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$ such that $X_{e^{j}} \cdot \theta_{i}=\delta_{i}^{j}$, providing a Darboux coordinate system for $\omega$ :

$$
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x^{i} \wedge d \theta_{i}
$$

Proof. For $x \in M_{0}$ and let $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} . x \simeq \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ be the orbit of a point $x$. Let $V^{n} \subset M_{0}$ be a local Lagrangian submanifold passing through $x \in M_{0}$ transversally to $T^{n} . x$. Transporting $V^{n}$ by the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$, we get an equivariant identification between a neighbourhood $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x$ and $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times V^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times V^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ the (multivalued angular) coordinates on $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ such that $d \theta_{i}\left(X_{e^{j}}\right)=\delta_{i}^{j}$ and extend them to functions on $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} . x\right)$ via (3). Then in the basis $\left\{d x^{1}, \ldots, d x^{n}, d \theta_{1}, \ldots d \theta_{n}\right\}$ the 2-vector $\omega^{-1}$ takes the standard form:

$$
\omega^{-1}\left(d x^{i}, d x^{j}\right)=\omega^{-1}\left(d \theta_{i}, d \theta_{j}\right)=0, \quad \omega^{-1}\left(d \theta_{i}, d x^{j}\right)=\delta_{i}^{j}
$$

or equivalently, $\left\{d x^{1}, \ldots, d x^{n}, d \theta_{1}, \ldots d \theta_{n}\right\}$ is the Darboux coordinate system for $\omega$ on $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right)$ :

$$
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x^{i} \wedge d \theta_{i}=\langle d \boldsymbol{\mu} \wedge d \boldsymbol{\theta}\rangle
$$

where $d \boldsymbol{\theta}: T U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} . x\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ is a (locally defined) flat principal $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-connection defined by the identification (3).

Remark 2.11. From now on, for a symplectic toric manifold $\left(M_{0}, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ with a free torus action, we always refer to $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ as the local coordinate system on the orbit space $N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$. In particular, in a neighborhood of any point $x \in M_{0}$, forms $\left\{d x^{1}, \ldots d x^{n}\right\}$ generate over $C^{\infty}\left(N_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ the algebra of the basic differential forms of the projection $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}$.

Kähler data. Further on we assume that symplectic form $\omega$ is a Kähler form of a complex Kähler manifold $(M, g, J)$, that is there exist an integrable complex structure $J: T M \rightarrow T M, J^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}$ and a compatible Riemannian metric $g$ such that $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)=g(J \cdot, \cdot)$. We denote by $J^{*}: T^{*} M \rightarrow T^{*} M$ the dual operator induced by $J$ : so that $J^{*} \alpha(v)=\alpha(J v)$ for $\alpha \in T^{*} M, v \in T M$.

Definition 2.12 (Kähler toric manifold). A Kähler toric manifold is a connected Kähler manifold $(M, g, J)$, of dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=2 n$ with $\omega=g J$ and an effective, biholomorphic, Hamiltonian action of an $n$-dimensional torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$.

Example 2.13. The basic examples of toric Kähler manifolds are

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \omega_{\mathrm{st}}\right), \quad \omega_{\mathrm{st}}=\mathrm{i} \sum d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{i}
$$

and

$$
\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}, \omega_{\log }\right), \quad \omega_{\log }=\mathrm{i} \sum \frac{d z^{i}}{z_{i}} \wedge \frac{d \bar{z}^{i}}{\overline{z_{i}}}
$$

where $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ are complex coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (respectively on $\left.\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}\right)$. Both these examples give rise to complete Riemannian metrics on the underlying manifolds. Furthermore, the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be integrated to the action of the algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \supset \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$.

An example of a slightly different flavor is given by a polydisc with the hyperbolic Poincare metric

$$
\left(\mathbb{D}^{n}, \omega_{\text {hyp }}\right), \quad \omega_{\text {hyp }}=\mathrm{i} \sum \frac{d z^{i} \wedge d \bar{z}^{i}}{\left(1-\left|z^{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

While this example still defines a complete metric on the underlying Riemannian manifold, the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ cannot be integrated to the action of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, since the vector fields $J X_{v}$ for nonzero $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ are not complete, that is the flows of $J X_{v}$ are only defined locally.

Remark 2.14. There are two parallel approaches to the toric geometry in symplectic and complexalgebraic categories. Many Kähler manifolds can be considered both as symplectic and as algebraic, making the notion of toric Kähler manifold ambiguous (see [8, $\S 2.5]$ ). In this paper we take the 'symplectic' approach to toric Kähler geometry, and do not assume that the underlying complex manifolds $(M, J)$ are algebraic. In particular, we do not assume that the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \simeq\left(S^{1}\right)^{n}$ can be complexified to the action of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

Next we describe the data of a toric Kähler manifold in terms of a potential function in appropriate local coordinates in a neighbourhood of a free orbit $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} . x \subset M_{0}$. Let $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a toric Kähler manifold. The local coordinate system of Lemma 2.10 in a neighbourhood of a point $x \in M_{0}$ depends on an identification of a neighbourhood $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right)$ of the orbit $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x$ with the product $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times V$. On a complex manifold there is a preferred biholomorphic identification of $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} . x\right)$ with the neighbourhood of the real torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \simeq U(1)^{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n} \simeq \boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in the complex torus $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. Let us denote by $y_{i}+\mathrm{i} \theta_{i}$ the holomorphic coordinates on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ such that $\theta_{i} \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$ are angular coordinates on $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$.

The advantage of the corresponding angular coordinates is that the functions $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ are $J$ pluriharmonic, i.e., the 1 -forms $J^{*} d \theta_{i}:=d \theta_{i}(J \cdot)=d y_{i}$ are closed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(J^{*} d \theta_{i}\right)=0, \quad \text { locally } J^{*} d \theta_{i}=d y_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, forms $d y_{i}$ are clearly basic with respect to the projection $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}$. Therefore, on $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right)$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y_{i}=J^{*} d \theta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}_{i j}$ is a matrix-valued function on $N_{0}$. We can equivalently rewrite (5) as

$$
J^{*} d x^{i}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j} d \theta_{j}
$$

which gives us an invariant globally-defined expression for $\boldsymbol{G}$ through its inverse:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j}=-\left\langle d x^{i}, J X_{e^{j}}\right\rangle=g\left(X_{e^{i}}, X_{e^{j}}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\boldsymbol{G}$ can be thought of as a positive definite $\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathfrak{t})$-valued function defined globally on $N_{0}$. Then the condition (4) can be restated as an integrability condition for $\boldsymbol{G}$ in coordinates $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{G}_{i k}}{\partial x_{j}} .
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is symmetric, it implies that the 1 -form $y_{i} d x^{i}$ is closed, thus there is a local function $u(x)$ such that $y_{i}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{G}_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
$$

Function $u(x)$ is called a symplectic potential for the Kähler structure on a symplectic toric manifold $\left(M_{0}, \omega, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$. We can collect the above observations in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. Let $\left(M_{0}, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a symplectic toric manifold with a free action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$, the orbit space $N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ and a moment map $\boldsymbol{\mu}: M_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$. Then for any $\omega$-compatible Kähler data $(g, J)$ on $M_{0}$, in a neighbourhood of any point $x \in M_{0}$, the moment coordinates $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$ can be augmented by angular coordinates $\left\{\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x^{i} \wedge d \theta_{i}
$$

Furthermore, in a neighbourhood of $\boldsymbol{\mu}(x) \in N_{0}$ there exists a function $u(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j} d \theta_{i} d \theta_{j} \\
& J^{*} d \theta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{j}, \quad J^{*} d x^{i}=-\sum_{j=1^{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j} d \theta_{j}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$.
Conversely, any function $u(x)$ with a positive Hessian on an open subset $U \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ defines a local Kähler structure on the product $U \times \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, U \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ via the identities (6).

Corollary 2.16. Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ be the projection onto the orbit space of a toric Kähler manifold $\left(M_{0}, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ with a free action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$. Then $N_{0}$ equipped with its natural affine structure of Proposition 2.9 admits a natural Hessian structure $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g$ such that the natural projection

$$
\left(M_{0}, g\right) \rightarrow\left(N_{0}, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g\right)
$$

is a Riemannian submersion.
Proof. Let $\left(M_{0}, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a toric Kähler manifold with a free action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$. By Lemma 2.15, in a neighbourhood of a point $x \in M_{0}$, the metric $g$ is given by

$$
g=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j} d \theta_{i} d \theta_{j},
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{G}_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}
$$

for a locally defined function $u: U \subset N_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, identifying $T N_{0}$ with the horizontal space $\operatorname{ker}(d \boldsymbol{\pi})^{\perp} \subset T M_{0}$ for the natural submersion $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}$, we obtain a Hessian metric on $N_{0}$ : $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g:=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}$.

Remark 2.17. If the orbit space $N_{0}$ is simply connected, then the angular coordinates $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ and the potential function $u(x)$ can be defined globally. Indeed, by the integrability of the complex structure $J$, the Lagrangian distribution $J \operatorname{span}\left(X_{e^{1}}, \ldots X_{e^{n}}\right)$ on $M_{0}$ is integrable. Since $N_{0}=M_{0} / T^{n}$ is simply connected, we can find a global section

$$
\iota: N_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}
$$

tangent to this distribution. Setting $\left.\theta_{i}\right|_{\iota\left(N_{0}\right)}=0$ we can uniquely extend the $S^{1}$-valued functions $\theta_{i}$ to $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-equivariant functions on $M_{0}$ such that $\theta_{i}\left(X_{e^{j}}\right)=\delta_{i}^{j}$. Now we can invoke Lemma 2.6 and find a global potential $u: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the metric $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g$.
2.3. Toric Kähler-Ricci solitons. Recall that a connected Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) equipped with a function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a gradient Ricci soliton if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla_{g}^{2} f=\lambda g, \quad \lambda \in\{-1,0,+1\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor associated with $g$ and $\nabla_{g}$ is the Levi-Civita connection. A gradient Ricci soliton is called respectively expanding, steady or shrinking depending on whether $\lambda=-1$, $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda=1$. In this paper we will be only concerned with the gradient steady Ricci solitons.

Remark 2.18. As we mentioned in the introduction, it easily follows from the maximum principle that any compact gradient steady soliton $(M, g, f)$ is necessary Ricci flat with Ric $=0$ and we can take $f \equiv 0$. Since we are interested in the genuine gradient solitons, i.e., with Ric $\neq 0$, we are forced to consider non-compact manifolds. A geometrically important and natural setting in this case is to consider complete gradient steady solitons $(M, g, f)$, and this will be our standing assumption through the most of the paper.

If the Riemannian structure $(M, g)$ underlying a gradient Ricci soliton $(M, g, f)$ is a part of a Kähler structure $(M, g, J)$, then we say that $(M, g, J, f)$ is a gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton, or KRS for short. It is well-known that on a Kähler background equation (7) can be rewritten as

$$
\rho_{\omega}+\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f=2 \lambda \omega .
$$

Here $\rho_{\omega}$ is the Ricci form - the curvature of the anticanonical bundle $-K_{M}$, which can be computed locally as

$$
\rho_{\omega}=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\sigma|_{g}^{2}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a local holomorphic $(n, 0)$-form. The term $\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f$ can be expressed as $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X} \omega$, where $X=\nabla_{g} f$ is a gradient vector field. Furthermore, vector field $J X$ is necessarily Killing and holomorphic, see, e.g., Bryant [4].

Now we impose the toric symmetry ansatz on a Kähler manifold $(M, g, J)$, which we will keep throughout the paper.

Definition 2.19. A toric Kähler manifold ( $M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ ) is a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton if there exists a function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that the vector field $\nabla_{g} f-\mathrm{i} J \nabla_{g} f$ is holomorphic and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\omega}+\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vector field $\nabla_{g} f-\mathrm{i} J \nabla_{g} f$ being holomorphic is equivalent to the vanishing $\left(\nabla_{g}^{2} f\right)^{2,0}=0$ of the $(2,0)$-type part of the Hessian of $f$. Since we only consider gradient steady Ricci solitons in this paper, we often will not mention it explicitly, and simply call the manifolds of Definition 2.19 toric Kähler-Ricci solitons (KRS). Let $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, f\right)$ be a toric KRS. Since $(g, J)$ are $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-invariant, by averaging $f$ with respect to the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$, we can assume that $f$ is also $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ invariant and still solves (8).

Proposition 2.20. Let $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, f\right)$ be a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton with an invariant soliton function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ and constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \nabla_{g} f=X_{v}, \quad f=\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle+c \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, there exist $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ such that in the coordinates $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$ of Lemma 2.15

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\log \operatorname{det} \mathbf{G}_{i j}+\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle\right)=\xi^{i} J^{*}\left(d \theta_{i}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if a Kähler toric manifold $\left(M, g, J, T^{n}\right)$ satisfies (10) on $M_{0} \subset M$ for $f$ given by (9), then $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, f\right)$ is a gradient steady toric KRS.

Proof. Let $M_{0} \subset M$ be an open dense set, where the action $T^{n} \times M_{0} \rightarrow M_{0}$ is free. Denote by $N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ the orbit space, and by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ the moment map descended to $N_{0}$. Recall that $x^{i}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, e^{i}\right\rangle$ provide a local coordinate system on $N_{0}$. We will prove identity (9) on $M_{0}$, and by continuity it must hold on the entire $M$.

Since $f$ is invariant under the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$, we have that $d f$ is basic, i.e.,

$$
d f \in \operatorname{span}_{C \infty\left(N_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)}\left\{d x^{1}, \ldots d x^{n}\right\}
$$

Since $J$ establishes an isomorphism between the vertical subspaces $\mathfrak{t} . x \subset T M_{0}$ and the horizontal subspace $\operatorname{span}\left\{g^{-1} d x^{i}\right\}$, we have that

$$
J \nabla_{g} f \in \operatorname{span}_{C \infty\left(N_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)}\left\{X_{e^{1}}, \ldots X_{e^{n}}\right\}, \quad J \nabla_{g} f=v_{i}(x) X_{e^{i}} .
$$

On the other hand, $X_{e^{i}}$ is the real part of a holomorphic vector field by the toric assumption, and $J \nabla_{g} f$ is the real part of a holomorphic vector field by the soliton assumption. Thus the real-valued functions $v_{i}(x)$ are holomorphic, and hence constant, proving that

$$
J \nabla_{g} f=X_{v}
$$

for some $v \in \mathfrak{t}$. Equivalently, we can rewrite this identity as $d f=-\omega\left(X_{v}, \cdot\right)$. Since by the definition of the moment map $\omega\left(X_{v}, \cdot\right)=-d\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle$, we conclude that up to an additive constant

$$
f=\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle=x^{i} v_{i},
$$

where $v_{i}$ are the components of $v$ relative to the fixed basis of $\mathfrak{t}$.
Next, since ( $M, g, J, f$ ) is a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton, we have

$$
\rho_{\omega}+\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f=0
$$

Lemma 2.21. The Ricci form on $M_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\omega}=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}_{i j} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{t}$ is as in the proof of Lemma 2.15, and the determinant is computed relative to the local affine coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ on $N_{0}$.

Proof of the lemma. Both sides of (11) are globally defined on $M_{0}$, thus it suffices to prove it locally. Consider a neighbourhood of a free orbit $U\left(\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \cdot x\right)$ and identify it with a neighborhood of real torus $U(1)^{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. This identification provides local holomorphic coordinates

$$
\left\{y_{i}+\mathrm{i} \theta_{i}\right\}
$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2.15.
This coordinates determine a distinguished holomorphic volume form

$$
\sigma=\left(y_{1}+\mathrm{i} \theta_{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(y_{n}+\mathrm{i} \theta_{n}\right)
$$

Given the explicit form of the metric $g$ in the coordinates $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$ we find

$$
|\sigma|_{g}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{i}^{n^{2}} \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}}{d V_{g}}=c_{n} \frac{\left(d y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y_{n}\right) \wedge\left(d \theta_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \theta_{n}\right)}{\left(d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n}\right) \wedge\left(d \theta_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \theta_{n}\right)}=c_{n} \frac{d y_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d y_{n}}{d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n}}
$$

where $d V_{g}$ is the Riemannian volume form on $M, c_{n}$ is a constant, and the last fraction is considered as a ratio of two sections of the determinant bundle $\Lambda^{n}\left(T^{*} N_{0}\right)$ of the orbit space. It remains to observe that according to the proof of Lemma 2.15

$$
d y_{i}=\boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{j}
$$

hence $|\sigma|_{g}^{2}=c_{n} \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}$ and

$$
\rho_{\omega}=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}
$$

Lemma 2.21 is proved.
Since $\rho_{\omega}=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}$, the soliton equation (8) implies that the real-valued function $\Phi:=$ $\log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}+\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle$ is pluriharmonic:

$$
\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \Phi=0
$$

On the other hand, being $T^{n}$-invariant, function $\Phi$ can be expressed as a function of the real coordinates $y_{i}$. Since $y_{i}$ are themselves real pluriharmonic, $\Phi$ must be a linear function of $y_{i}$ :

$$
\Phi=y_{i} \xi^{i}+c
$$

for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$, furthermore, such $\xi$ is unique. Therefore we have

$$
\log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}+\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle=y_{i} \xi^{i}+c .
$$

Using the local form of $g$ and $J$ given by (6) we can equivalently express this identity as

$$
d\left(\log \operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}+\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle\right)=\xi^{i} J^{*}\left(d \theta_{i}\right)
$$

as claimed.
Conversely, if a Kähler toric manifold $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ admits $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ such that (10) holds, then $\rho_{\omega}+\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f=0$ for $f=\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle$, so that $(M, g, J)$ is a gradient steady Kähler-Ricci soliton.

Corollary 2.22 (Local weighted Monge-Ampère equation). If $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, f\right)$ is a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton with an invariant soliton function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$, and $u(x): U_{\alpha} \subset N_{0} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is a locally defined symplectic potential on $N_{0}$ so that:

$$
\boldsymbol{G}_{i j}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}
$$

$$
y_{i}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

then function $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-v_{i} x^{i}+\xi_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}}+c \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ are as in Proposition 2.20 and $c$ is a constant.
Remark 2.23. A symplectic potential $u(x)$ is defined up to the addition of an affine summand $\left(v_{0}\right)_{i} x^{i}+c_{0}$. If $\widetilde{u}(x)=u(x)+\left(v_{0}\right)_{i} x^{i}+c_{0}$ is a new local potential, then $\widetilde{u}(x)$ solves the same equation (12) as $u(x)$ but with a new constant $\widetilde{c}=c-\left\langle\xi, v_{0}\right\rangle$.

We see that, if $U_{\alpha}$ is an open cover of $N_{0}$, and $u_{\alpha}$ are local symplectic potentials, then the differences of the locally defined functions

$$
F_{\alpha}:=\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}+v_{i} x^{i}-\xi_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

yield a cocycle $\left\{F_{\alpha}-F_{\beta}\right\} \in C^{1}\left(N_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. In the special case when $N_{0}$ is simply connected, this cocycle is a coboundary and by Lemma 2.6 the Hessian structure $\left(N_{0}, \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}\right)$ is given by a globally defined symplectic potential $u: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we can formulate a refinement of Corollary 2.22

Corollary 2.24 (Global weighted Monge-Ampère equation). Let ( $M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}, f$ ) is a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton with an invariant soliton function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a convex function $u(x)$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{N_{0}}$ of $N_{0}, v \in \mathfrak{t}, \xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{G}_{i j} & =\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}} \\
y_{i} & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation on $\widetilde{N_{0}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-v_{p} x^{p}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{q}} \xi^{q}+c \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M_{0} \rightarrow N_{0}$ be projection onto the orbit space. $N_{0}$ is equipped with the moment map $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ and the Hessian structure of Corollary 2.16. By Lemma 2.6, on the universal cover $\widetilde{N} \rightarrow N$ the metric $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g$ can be expressed as $g=D^{2} u(x)$ for some $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. By the above Corollary 2.22, in a neighborhood $U_{\alpha}$ of any point on $\widetilde{N}$, function $u(x)$ satisfies

$$
\log \operatorname{det} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-v_{p} x^{p}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{q}} \xi^{q}+c_{\alpha} .
$$

It remains to note that vector and covector $v$ and $\xi$ being locally constant, i.e. $D$-parallel, must be globally constant since $\tilde{N}$ is simply connected. As all the terms of the above equation except possibly for $c_{\alpha}$ are globally defined, it follows that $c_{\alpha}=c$ is also globally defined.

Our goal in the rest of the paper will be to derive a priori estimates for the solutions of the equation (13) and to use those to classify complete solutions.

## 3. Geometry of the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor

In this section we will make a short detour into the geometry of metric measure spaces and Bakry-Émery Ricci tensors $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}$. This geometric setting is clearly relevant for the study of Ricci solitons, since by definition a Ricci soliton $(M, g, \varphi)$ has a constant Bakry-Émery tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}=\lambda g$. However, it is worth pointing out, that it is not the toric KRS itself which we will consider through the lens of Bakry-Émery geometry, but its orbit space $N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ (see Sections 4 and 5). Furthermore the relevant weight function $\varphi$ will not be the soliton potential $f$.

Let $\left(N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}\right)$ be a Riemannian manifold with a smooth positive measure $e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}$ defined by $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Such data is called a (smooth) metric measure space. To a metric measure space we can associate several natural geometric objects.

Definition 3.1. The Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor associated to ( $N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}$ ) is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}:=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi
$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor of $(M, g)$. The weighted Laplacian of $\left(N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}\right)$ is the operator $\Delta_{\varphi}: C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
\Delta_{\varphi} F:=\Delta F-\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} F\right\rangle_{g}
$$

Remark 3.2. Tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}$ is also known as the $\infty$-Bakry-Émery tensor. For certain geometric applications a more general $N$-Bakry-Émery tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi, N}:=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi-\frac{1}{N} d \varphi \otimes d \varphi$ happens to be more useful, see, e.g., [22].

Fixing a base point $p_{0}$ on a smooth metric measure space $\left(N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}\right)$ as above let $r(x)=$ $d\left(p_{0}, x\right)$ be the distance from $p_{0} \in N$. Denote by $B_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ an open ball of radius $r$ around $p_{0}$ and by $\partial B_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ its boundary. Define

$$
m_{\varphi}(x)=\Delta_{\varphi} r=\Delta r-\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} r\right\rangle_{g}
$$

the weighted mean curvature of the geodesic sphere centered at $p_{0}$. We have the following fundamental comparison result for the weighted mean curvature due to Wei and Wylie [29]. This result can be though of as a generalization of the Laplacian comparison theorem to the metric measure spaces.

Theorem 3.3 (Mean Curvature Comparison I, [29]). Consider a metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi} \geqslant$ 0 and fix $\delta>0$. Then for $x$ such that $r(x)>\delta$ we have

$$
m_{\varphi}(x) \leqslant \sup \left\{m_{\varphi}\left(x_{0}\right) \mid x_{0} \in \partial B_{\delta}\left(p_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is short and instructive, so we include it for the reader's convenience following [29]. By the Bochner formula

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left|\nabla_{g} u\right|^{2}=\left|\nabla_{g}^{2} u\right|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla_{g} u, \nabla_{g}(\Delta u)\right\rangle_{g}+\operatorname{Ric}\left(\nabla_{g} u, \nabla_{g} u\right)
$$

applied to $u(x)=r$ we observe that

$$
0=\left|\nabla_{g}^{2} r\right|^{2}+\nabla_{g} r \cdot \Delta r+\operatorname{Ric}\left(\nabla_{g} r, \nabla_{g} r\right)
$$

Since $\left|\nabla_{g}^{2} r\right|^{2} \geqslant 0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\left(\nabla_{g}, \nabla_{g}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(\nabla_{g}, \nabla_{g}\right)+\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi\left(\nabla_{g} r, \nabla_{g} r\right) \geqslant 0$, we conclude

$$
\nabla_{g} r \cdot\left(m_{\varphi}(x)\right)=\nabla_{g} r \cdot\left(\Delta r-\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} r\right\rangle_{g}\right)=\nabla_{g} r \cdot \Delta r-\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi\left(\nabla_{g} r, \nabla_{g} r\right) \leqslant 0
$$

Therefore, $m_{\varphi}(x)$ is non-increasing along the geodesics from $p_{0}$, and $m_{\varphi}(x)$ does not exceed the maximum of $m_{\varphi}$ on $\partial B_{\delta}\left(p_{0}\right)$ as long as $r(x)>\delta$.

While Theorem 3.3 will suffice for our purposes in this paper, it provides no information on the blow-up behavior of $m_{\varphi}(x)$ near $p_{0}$. It is worth noticing that [29] contains several refinements, which allow for a more precise control of $m_{\varphi}(x)$ under reasonable assumptions on $\varphi$. For example, one has the following:

Theorem 3.4 (Mean Curvature Comparison II, [29]). Assume $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi} \geqslant 0$ and $|\varphi| \leqslant C$ along a minimal geodesic segment from $p_{0}$ to $x$. Then

$$
m_{\varphi}(x) \leqslant \frac{n+4 C-1}{r(x)}
$$

The following Liouville-type estimate is well-known in the classical Riemannian case (when $\varphi=0$ ). For our applications, we need to re-derive it for a smooth metric measure space with nonnegative Bakry-Émery tensor.
Theorem 3.5. Assume that a metric measure space ( $N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}$ ) has a nonnegative BakryÉmery Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi} \geqslant 0$. Let $s(x)$ be a nonnegative smooth function defined on an open geodesic ball $B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right) \subset N, R>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\varphi} s \geqslant s^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\delta \in(0,1)$, there exists a constant

$$
C=C\left(\delta, m_{\delta}\right), \quad m_{\delta}:=\sup \left\{m_{\varphi}(x) \mid x \in \partial B_{\delta}\left(p_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

such that function $s(x)$ satisfies inequality

$$
s\left(p_{0}\right) \leqslant C R^{-1}
$$

In particular, if $(N, g)$ is complete, then any globally defined function $s(x)$ satisfying (14) is identically zero.

Proof. Let $\eta(t):[0, R] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be the cut-off function defined by

$$
\eta(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R, \quad t \leqslant \delta \\
R\left(1-\left(\frac{t-\delta}{R-\delta}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}, t>\delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

We readily check that $\eta(t)$ decreases from $\eta(0)=R$ to $\eta(R)=0$, and given that $\delta \in(0,1), R>1$ there exists a constant $c_{0}(\delta)$ such that
(1) $0 \leqslant-\eta^{\prime}(t)<c_{0}(\delta)$;
(2) $\left|\eta^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|<c_{0}(\delta)$;
(3) $\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \eta^{-1}<c_{0}(\delta)$.

With the above cut-off function we consider the non-negative function.

$$
\Phi(x):=\eta(r) s(x)
$$

Since $s(x)$ is nonnegative and $\eta(r)$ vanishes for $r \geqslant R, \Phi(x)$ attains a local maximum at a point $p \in B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right)$, so that at $p$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{g} \Phi(p) & =0 \\
\Delta \Phi(p) & \leqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding the above identities, we find that at $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \nabla_{g} s+\eta^{\prime} s \nabla_{g} r=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geqslant \Delta_{\varphi} \Phi=\Delta \Phi-\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} \Phi\right\rangle_{g} \\
& =s \Delta \eta+2\left\langle\nabla_{g} \eta, \nabla_{g} s\right\rangle_{g}+\eta \Delta s-\eta\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} s\right\rangle_{g}-s\left\langle\nabla_{g} \varphi, \nabla_{g} \eta\right\rangle_{g} \\
& =s \Delta_{\varphi} \eta+2\left\langle\nabla_{g} \eta, \nabla_{g} s\right\rangle_{g}+\eta \Delta_{\varphi} s \\
& =\left(\eta^{\prime} \Delta_{\varphi} r+\eta^{\prime \prime}\right) s+2 \eta^{\prime}\left\langle\nabla_{g} r, \nabla_{g} s\right\rangle_{g}+\eta \Delta_{\varphi} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Laplace comparison theorem for the Bakry-Émery tensor (Theorem 3.3), in the region $r>\delta$ we have

$$
\Delta_{\varphi} r=m_{\varphi}(x) \leqslant \sup \left\{m_{\varphi}(y) \mid y \in \partial B_{\delta}\left(p_{0}\right)\right\}=m_{\delta}
$$

Therefore, since $-c_{0}(\delta)<\eta^{\prime} \leqslant 0$, and $\eta^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t \in(0, \delta)$, we necessarily have in the entire ball $B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right)$ that

$$
\eta^{\prime} \Delta_{\varphi} r \geqslant-c_{0}(\delta) m_{\delta}
$$

Furthermore, by (15) at $p \in B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right) \subset M$ we have $\eta^{\prime}\left\langle\nabla_{g} r, \nabla_{g} s\right\rangle_{g}=-\eta^{-1}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2} s$, hence with the use of the differential inequality $\Delta_{\varphi} s \geqslant s^{2}$, we find

$$
0 \geqslant \eta^{-1}\left(-m_{\delta} c_{0}(\delta)+\eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \Phi(p)-2 \eta^{-2}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \Phi(p)+\eta^{-1} \Phi(p)^{2}
$$

It follows that for our choice of the cut-off function

$$
\Phi(p) \leqslant m_{\delta} c_{0}(\delta)-\eta^{\prime \prime}+2 \eta^{-1}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leqslant C\left(\delta, m_{\delta}\right)
$$

Therefore, since $\Phi$ attains its maximum at $p$, at the base point $p_{0}$ we have

$$
\Phi\left(p_{0}\right)=R s\left(p_{0}\right) \leqslant \Phi(p) \leqslant C\left(\delta, m_{\delta}\right)
$$

and $s\left(p_{0}\right) \leqslant C\left(\delta, m_{\delta}\right) R^{-1}$ as claimed.
If $(N, g)$ is complete, then for any $p_{0} \in N$ and $R>0$ there is the geodesic ball $B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right) \subset N$ so that $s\left(p_{0}\right) \leqslant C\left(\delta, m_{\delta}\right) R^{-1}$. Therefore we conclude $s\left(p_{0}\right)=0$ and the function $s(x)$ vanishes identically.

## 4. Geometry of the Monge-Ampère equation

Let $(N, D)$ be a simply-connected Hessian manifold with the globally defined Hessian metric

$$
g=D^{2} u, \quad u \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})
$$

Fix a development map $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ into an $n$-dimensional space with the coordinates $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right\}$. Differential forms $\left\{\iota^{*} d x^{i}\right\}$ provide a $D$-parallel trivialization of $T^{*} N$. Abusing the notation slightly we will drop symbol $\iota^{*}$ and treat $\left\{d x^{i}\right\}$ as differential forms on $N$, and $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ as a local coordinate system. For a function(tensor) $T$, we denote by subscript $T_{, i}$ the derivative $D_{\partial / \partial x^{i}} T$. We also write $g_{i j}:=u_{, i j}$ for the components of the metric tensor $g$ relative to the basis $\left\{d x^{i}\right\}$ and $g^{i j}$ for the components of its inverse $g^{-1}$, so, e.g., $g^{i j} u_{, j k}=\delta_{k}^{i}$ and $g_{i j, k}=g_{i k, j}=u_{, i j k}$.

Assume that the smooth convex function $u: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defining the metric $g$ satisfies equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det} D^{2} u=-\langle v, x\rangle+\langle d u, \xi\rangle+c, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}
$$

Equivalently, in the coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$, function $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=-v_{j} x^{j}+u_{, i} \xi^{i}+c \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following immediate differential identity as a consequence of (16).
Lemma 4.1 (Key differential identity). Assume that function $u$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation (16). Then $u$ satisfies the following identity:

$$
g^{q p} u_{, p q i}=-v_{i}+u_{, i q} \xi^{q}
$$

Proof. Recall that if $A=A(t)$ is a smooth matrix-valued function, such that $A(t)$ is invertible, then $(\operatorname{det} A)^{\prime}=\operatorname{det} A \cdot \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{-1} A^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, applying operator $D_{\partial / \partial x^{\alpha}}$ to both sides of (16) we find

$$
g^{q p} u_{, p q i}=-v_{i}+u_{, i q} \xi^{q}
$$

as claimed.
Now we express basic geometric quantities with respect to $g$ in terms of the local affine coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ and the function $u$.

Lemma 4.2 (Riemannian quantities). Let $(N, D)$ be an affine manifold with a Hessian metric $g=D^{2} u$ for $u(x) \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$. The Christoffel symbols of $g_{i j}$ are given by

$$
\Gamma_{i j}^{k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} u_{, i j l}
$$

The full Riemannian tensor of $g_{i j}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Rm}_{i j k l}=\frac{1}{4} g^{p q}\left(u_{, i l p} u_{, j k q}-u_{, j l p} u_{, i k q}\right)
$$

The Ricci tensor of $g_{i j}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{i j}=g^{j l} \operatorname{Rm}_{i j k l}=\frac{1}{4} g^{p q} g^{m n}\left(u_{, i p m} u_{, j q m}-u_{, i j q} u_{, p m n}\right)
$$

The scalar curvature of $g_{i j}$ is

$$
s=\frac{1}{4}\left(\left|u_{, i j k}\right|_{g}^{2}-\left|g^{m n} u_{, i m n}\right|_{g}^{2}\right)
$$

If furthermore, $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation (16), then we have additionally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i k}^{k} & =\left(\log |g|^{1 / 2}\right)_{, i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, i j} \xi^{j}-v_{i}\right) \\
\operatorname{Ric}_{i j} & =\frac{1}{4} g^{p q} g^{m n} u_{, i p m} u_{, j q m}-\frac{1}{4}\left(u_{, i j p} \xi^{p}-g^{p q} u_{, i j q} v_{p}\right) \\
s & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\left|u_{, i j k}\right|_{g}^{2}-\left|u_{, i j} \xi^{j}-v_{i}\right|_{g}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Keeping in mind that $g_{i j}=u_{, i j}$, the identity $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{k l}\left(g_{j l, k}+g_{i l, j}-g_{i j, l}\right)$ immediately implies the formula for the Christoffel symbols. With the expression for the Christoffel symbols at hand, the formulas for the Riemannian, Ricci and scalar curvatures are a matter of straightforward computations. These formulas hold for any Hessian metric.

Now, if $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation, then by the differential identity of Lemma 4.1

$$
g^{q p} u_{, p q i}=-v_{i}+u_{, i q} \xi^{q}
$$

so we have

$$
\Gamma_{i k}^{k}=\left(\log \operatorname{det} g^{1 / 2}\right)_{, i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-v_{i}+u_{, i q} \xi^{q}\right)
$$

This immediately implies the refined formulas for Ric and $s$.
Remark 4.3. In the case of the standard Monge-Ampère equation $\log \operatorname{det} u_{i j}=c$, we have $v=\xi=0$, and the above calculations imply that Ric $\geqslant 0$. This was the essential ingredient in the foundational work of Calabi [5] allowing for what is now known as Calabi's third order estimate. Unlike the "standard" setting $v=\xi=0$, we do not have a favorable sign for the Ricci curvature corresponding to the solution $u(x)$ of the Monge-Ampère equation (16). This makes the main steps of [5] invalid. The crucial fact is that we still have non-negativity of the BakryÉmery Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}$ for an appropriate choice of function $\varphi$. This observation was made by Kolesnikov [20, Theorem 1.1] in a more general setting, when the linear functions $V(x)=v_{i} x^{i}$ and $W(y)=u_{, i} \xi^{i}=y_{i} \xi^{i}$ are replaced by arbitrary convex functions. For the readers convenience, we give a direct proof in our special setting.

Lemma 4.4 (Hessian \& Laplace operator). Assume that function $u(x)$ solves (16). Let $\nabla_{g}$ and $\Delta$ be the Levi-Civita connection and the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with $g=D^{2} u$. Then for $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi\right)_{i j} & =\varphi_{, i j}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \varphi_{, k}=\varphi_{, i j}-\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} u_{, i j l} \varphi_{, k}  \tag{17}\\
\Delta_{g} \varphi & =g^{p q} \varphi_{, p q}-\frac{1}{2} \xi^{p} \varphi_{, p}+\frac{1}{2} g^{p q} v_{p} \varphi_{, q} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The Hessian formula follows immediately from the expression for the Christoffel symbols of Lemma 4.2. The Laplacian formula (18) is then obtained by taking the trace of (17) and using the first differential identity of Lemma 4.1.

Now we are ready to prove the key geometric property of the solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation (16).

Proposition 4.5 (Positivity of the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor, [20, Theorem 1.1]). Let ( $N, D$ ) be a simply-connected affine manifold equipped with a development map $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a Hessian metric $g=D^{2} u(x)$, such that function $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det} D^{2} u=-\langle v, x\rangle+\langle d u, \xi\rangle+c, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}
$$

Consider the function

$$
\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, p} \xi^{p}+v_{q} x^{q}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{4} g^{p q} g^{k l} u_{, i p k} u_{, j q l} .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi} \geqslant 0$.
Proof. Computing $\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi$ for $\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, i} \xi^{i}+v_{i} x^{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi\right)_{i j} & =\varphi_{, i j}-\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} u_{, i j l} \varphi_{, k} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} u_{, p i j} \xi^{p}-\frac{1}{4}\left(g^{l k} u_{, i j l} u_{, p k} \xi^{p}+g^{l k} u_{, i j l} v_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} u_{, p i j} \xi^{p}-\frac{1}{4} g^{l k} u_{, i j l} v_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $g^{l k} u_{, p k}=\delta_{p}^{l}$. With this calculation and the identities of Lemma 4.1 we find

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)_{i j}=\left(\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla_{g}^{2} \varphi\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{4} g^{p q} g^{m n} u_{, i p m} u_{, j q n} \geqslant 0
$$

as claimed.
Proposition 4.5 suggests that the properties of the solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation (16) are closely related to the geometry of the smooth metric measure space ( $N, g, e^{-\varphi} d V_{g}$ ). Supporting this intuition, we give a modified proof of Calabi's third order estimate taking into account the presence of a non-trivial weight function $\varphi$. We will closely follow the calculations of Calabi [5] in the case $v=\xi=0$ making the necessary modifications allowing for nonzero $v$ and $\xi$ in (16). A more straightforward coordinate proof of this inequality can be found in [20, §7].
Proposition 4.6. Let $(N, D)$ be a Hessian manifold with $g=D^{2} u$ as above. Assume that $u(x)$ solve the Monge-Ampère equation (16) and let

$$
\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, p} \xi^{p}+v_{q} x^{q}\right) .
$$

## Then function

$$
\sigma:=\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}
$$

satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\Delta_{\varphi} \sigma \geqslant \frac{1}{2 n} \sigma^{2} .
$$

Proof. Up to a factor of 4 , the quantity $\sigma$ can be thought of either as the "weighted" scalar curvature $\sigma=4 \operatorname{tr}_{g}\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)=s+\Delta \varphi$, or, equivalently, as the quantity measuring the difference between the two connections $D$ and $\nabla_{g}$ canonically attached to ( $N, D, g$ ):

$$
\sigma=4\left|D-\nabla_{g}\right|^{2}
$$

For convenience, we choose an orthonormal frame at a given point $p \in N$, so that $g_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$, and in the calculations below denote $\nabla:=\nabla_{g}$. Treating $u_{, i j k}$ as a section of $\operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(T^{*} N\right)$, we immediately have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}=2\left|\nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla_{l} \nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}, u_{, i j k}\right\rangle_{g} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term is nonnegative. To compute the second term we observe that the tensor $\nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}=$ $u_{, i j k l}-\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{, i j s} u_{, s k l}+u_{, i s k} u_{, s j l}+u_{, s j k} u_{, s i l}.\right)$ is symmetric in its 4 arguments, in particular

$$
\nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}=\nabla_{i} u_{, l j k}
$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the rough Laplacian term as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{l} \nabla_{l} u_{, i j k} & =\nabla_{l} \nabla_{i} u_{, l j k}=\nabla_{i} \nabla_{l} u_{, l j k}+\left(\nabla_{l} \nabla_{i}-\nabla_{i} \nabla_{l}\right) u_{, l j k} \\
& =\nabla_{i}\left(\nabla_{j} u_{, k l l}\right)+\operatorname{Ric}_{i s} u_{, s j k}+\operatorname{Rm}_{l i s j} u_{, l s k}+\operatorname{Rm}_{l i s k} u_{, l j s} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the differential identity of Lemma (4.1), and recalling that $\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{p} x^{p}+u_{, q} \xi^{q}\right)$, we compute the first term as

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i}\left(\nabla_{j} u_{, k l l}\right) & =\nabla_{i} \nabla_{j}\left(-v_{k}+\xi^{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}\left(u_{, j k s} v_{s}+u_{, j k s} \xi^{s}\right) \\
& =\nabla_{i} u_{, j k s} \frac{1}{2}\left(v_{s}+\xi^{s}\right)+u_{, j k s} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}\left(v_{s}+\xi_{s}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& =\nabla_{s} u_{, i j k}(d \varphi)_{s}+u_{, j k s}\left(\nabla^{2} \varphi\right)_{i s}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (21) into (20), and recalling that $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} \varphi$, we obtain

$$
\nabla_{l} \nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}=\nabla_{s} u_{, i j k}(d \varphi)_{s}+\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)_{i s} u_{, j k s}+\operatorname{Rm}_{l i s j} u_{, l s k}-\operatorname{Rm}_{l i k s} u_{, l j s}
$$

Now, evaluating the inner product with $u_{, i j k}$ we find

$$
\left.\left\langle\nabla_{l} \nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}, u_{, i j k}\right\rangle=\left.\frac{1}{2}\langle d| u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}, d \varphi\right\rangle+4\left|\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right|^{2}+4|\operatorname{Rm}|^{2},
$$

where we used that $\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{4} u_{, i p q} u_{, j p q}$ and $\operatorname{Rm}_{i j k l}=\frac{1}{4}\left(u_{, i l p} u_{, j k p}-u_{, j l p} u_{, i k p}\right)$. Plugging it back into (19) we get

$$
\left.\Delta\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}=2\left|\nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}+\left.\langle d| u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}, d \varphi\right\rangle+8\left|\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right|^{2}+8|\operatorname{Rm}|^{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\Delta_{\varphi}\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2} \geqslant 8\left|\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right|^{2}
$$

It remains to note that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}_{g}\left(\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{16 n}\left(\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

so that $\Delta_{\varphi}\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2 n}\left(\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$ as required.
Remark 4.7. The conclusion of Proposition 4.6 is somewhat weaker than the analogous estimate of Calabi [5, Prop. 1]. There are two reasons for it: first we completely discarded a nonnegative term $\left|\nabla_{l} u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}$, which could have been used to strengthen Proposition 4.6. Second, more importantly, unlike the classical Riemannian setting, tensors $\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}$ and Rm are not directly related and we cannot bound $\left|\operatorname{Ric}_{\varphi}\right|^{2}$ by a multiple of $|\operatorname{Rm}|^{2}$ without some a priori information about $\varphi$.

Theorem 4.8. Let $(N, D)$ be a simply-connected affine manifold equipped with a development map $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a Hessian metric $g=D^{2} u(x)$, such that function $u(x)$ solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=-v_{j} x^{j}+u_{, i} \xi^{i}+c, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}
$$

If the manifold $(N, g)$ is complete, then $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a diffeomorphism, and $u(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial. In particular $(N, D, g)$ is equivalent as a Hessian manifold to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with a flat metric $g$.
Proof. By Proposition 4.6, function $\sigma=\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}$ satisfies the differential inequality $\Delta_{\varphi} \sigma \geqslant \frac{1}{2 n} \sigma^{2}$, or, equivalently,

$$
\Delta_{\varphi}(\sigma / 2 n) \geqslant(\sigma / 2 n)^{2}
$$

Since $(N, g)$ is complete, by the Liouville-type Theorem 3.5 we conclude that $\sigma=\left|u_{, i j k}\right|^{2}$ is identically zero, so that $u(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial in $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$, and $g=D^{2} u(x)$ is a pullback of a flat metric $g_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We claim that $\iota(N)=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Indeed, let $p_{0} \in \iota(N) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and pick any other point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Connect $p_{0}$ and $p$ with a straight segment $\left[p_{0}, p\right]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $\left[p_{0}, p\right]$ has a finite $g_{0}$-length, $N$ is complete and $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ is a local isometry we conclude that $\left[p_{0}, p\right]$ must be entirely contained in $\boldsymbol{\iota}(N)$. Finally, since $N$ is connected, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is simply-connected, and $\iota: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a local diffeomorphism, $\iota$ must be a bijection.

In a special case when $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an open subset we have the following corollary generalizing the result of Calabi [5] about solutions to $\operatorname{det} u_{, i j}=1$ :
Corollary 4.9. Let $N \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open subset and $u: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a strictly convex function solving the Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\log \operatorname{det}\left(u_{, i j}\right)=-v_{j} x^{j}+u_{, i} \xi^{i}+c,
$$

where $v \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $c$ is a constant. If metric $g=D^{2} u$ on $N$ is complete, then $N=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $u(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial.

## 5. Uniqueness of toric Kähler-Ricci solitons

We are now ready to prove our main result. Recall, that a gradient steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton is Kähler manifold $(M, g, J)$ equipped with an effective Hamiltonian action of a half-dimensional torus $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ solving the steady Kähler-Ricci soliton equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\omega}+\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} f=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By averaging the soliton potential we can assume that $f$ is $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$-invariant so that the Killing holomorphic vector field $J \nabla_{g} f$ is the infinitesimal vector field $X_{v}$ for some $v \in \mathfrak{t}$. On the locus $M_{0} \subset M$ where the action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}: M \rightarrow M$ is free, equation (22) is locally equivalent to the Monge-Ampère for a function $u(x)$ on $U \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ :

$$
\log \operatorname{det} u_{, i j}=-v_{p} x^{p}+u_{, q} \xi^{q}+c
$$

We further assume that $(M, g)$ is complete. In general, when the action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times M \rightarrow M$ has nontrivial stabilizers, the orbit space $N:=M / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ is not a manifold, and the analytical tools developed in Section 4 only apply to the open dense subset $N_{0}=M_{0} / \boldsymbol{T}^{n}$. In the presence of non-trivial stabilizers, $N_{0}$ will always be incomplete, so the rigidity Theorem 4.8 does not hold.

However, in the particular setting when the action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times M \rightarrow M$ is free, we have $N_{0}=N$ is complete which allows us to deduce the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a complete steady toric Kähler-Ricci soliton with the free torus action $\boldsymbol{T}^{n} \times M \rightarrow M$. Then $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ is equivariantly isomorphic to a flat algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ equipped with the standard complex structure and the action of $U(1)^{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}: M \rightarrow N$ be the projection onto the orbit space. The orbit space is a smooth manifold, since the action of $\boldsymbol{T}^{n}$ is free. Next $N$ inherits an affine structure ( $N, D$ ) from the moment map $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ which is the development map for $D$. By Corollary $2.16 N$ also has a natural Hessian structure $\left(N, D, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g\right)$. Furthermore since $(M, g)$ is complete, the orbit space $\left(N, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g\right)$ is complete as well. Let $\widetilde{N} \rightarrow N$ be the universal cover of $N$, and by abuse of notation denote by

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: \widetilde{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}
$$

the lift of the moment map to $\widetilde{N}$. Corollary 2.24 guarantees that there exists a function $u: \widetilde{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{t}, \xi \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\log \operatorname{det} u_{, i j}=-v_{p} x^{p}+u_{, q} \xi^{q}+c
$$

Now we can apply Theorem 4.8 to $\left(\tilde{N}, D, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{*} g\right)$ and conclude that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: \widetilde{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is a diffeomorphism, and $u(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial. As $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}: \widetilde{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is constant on the orbits of the deck transformation of the covering $\widetilde{N} \rightarrow N$, we conclude that $\widetilde{N}=N$. Therefore the functions $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ as well as $\left\{y_{i}=u_{, i}\right\}$ provide a globally defined coordinate system on $N$. Since $u$ is a positive definite quadratic polynomial, the range of $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Now, as $N$ is simply-connected, we have the globally defined angular coordinates $\theta_{i} \in U(1)$ on $M$ such that $J^{*} d \theta_{i}=d y_{i}$. Thus $M=\mathfrak{t}^{*} \times \boldsymbol{T}^{n} \simeq\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ with the global holomorphic coordinates $\left\{y_{i}+\mathrm{i} \theta_{i}\right\}$. Finally, metric $g$ on $M$ expressed in the coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\},\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$

$$
g=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{-1}\right)^{i j} d \theta_{i} d \theta_{j}, \quad \boldsymbol{G}_{i j}=u_{, i j}
$$

has constant $\boldsymbol{G}$ and thus is flat.
Theorem 5.1 is essentially a non-existence result implying that there are no non-trivial complete toric KRS on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. It would be interesting to relax the freeness assumption, and obtain consequences about the geometry of general toric KRS. Clearly, we cannot expect to have a similar uniqueness/classification result, since already $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\prod \mathbb{C}^{n_{i}}$ admits many non-equivalent toric KRS given by the products of Cao's solitons $\mathbb{C}^{n_{i}}$. A technical condition particularly important in the theory of non-compact toric Kähler manifolds (see [25]) is the properness of the moment map

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}
$$

i.e., $\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(K^{-1}\right)$ is compact for a compact $K \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$. Crucially, it allows to set up the DuistermaatHeckman theory on $M$, which in turn is instrumental in defining the weighted analogous of the Aubin and other energy functionals, see, e.g., [8, 10].

We conjecture that the moment map on a complete toric KRS is necessarily proper, and expect that the results of Sections 3 and 4 about the Monge-Ampère equations will be useful in proving it.

Conjecture 5.2. Let $\left(M, g, J, \boldsymbol{T}^{n}\right)$ be a complete toric Kähler-Ricci soliton. Then the moment map $\boldsymbol{\mu}: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is proper.

Properness of the soliton potential $f=\langle\boldsymbol{\mu}, v\rangle+c$ implies the properness of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, and the former is known to hold on the shrinking Ricci solitons, and under mild geometric assumptions on the expanding solitons, see [10]. Unfortunately, on a steady KRS the soliton potential $f$ is not necessarily proper, providing no information about the moment map.
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