

Onset of nonlinear instabilities in monotonic viscous boundary layers

D. Bian¹, E. Grenier²

Abstract

In this paper we study the nonlinear stability of a shear layer profile for Navier Stokes equations near a boundary. This question plays a major role in the study of the inviscid limit of Navier Stokes equations in a bounded domain as the viscosity goes to 0.

The stability of a shear layer for Navier Stokes equations depends on its stability for Euler equations. If it is linearly unstable for Euler, then it is known [7] that it is also nonlinearly unstable for Navier Stokes equations provided the viscosity is small enough: an initial perturbation grows until it reaches $O(1)$ in L^∞ norm. If it is linearly stable for Euler, the situation is more complex, since the viscous instability is much slower, with growth rates of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ only [8] (instead of $O(1)$ in the first case). It is not clear whether linear instabilities fully develop till they reach a magnitude of order $O(1)$ or whether they are damped by the nonlinearity and saturate at a much smaller magnitude, or order $O(\nu^{1/4})$ for instance.

In this paper we study the effect of cubic interactions on the growth of the linear instability. In the case of the exponential profile and Blasius profile we obtain that the nonlinearity tame the linear instability. We thus conjecture that small perturbations grow until they reach a magnitude $O(\nu^{1/4})$ only, forming small rolls in the critical layer near the boundary. The mathematical proof of this conjecture is open.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the incompressible Navier Stokes equations in an half plane

$$\partial_t u^\nu + (u^\nu \cdot \nabla) u^\nu - \nu \Delta u^\nu + \nabla p^\nu = f^\nu, \quad (1)$$

¹Beijing Institute of Technology, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing, China

²UMPA, CNRS UMR 5669, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France

$$\nabla \cdot u^\nu = 0, \tag{2}$$

together with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u^\nu = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y = 0. \tag{3}$$

We are interested in the stability of a shear layer profile $U(y) = (U_s(y), 0)$. Note that this shear layer profile is a stationary solution of Navier Stokes equations provided we add the forcing term $f^\nu = (-\nu\Delta U_s, 0)$. We assume that U_s is a smooth function, with $U_s(0) = 0$, $\partial_y U_s(0) \neq 0$ and that U_s converges at $+\infty$ to some constant U_+ . Note in particular that this includes profiles like the exponential profile $U_s(y) = 1 - \exp(-\delta y)$ where δ is a positive constant, Blasius profile, but not Couette's profile.

The stability of such shear layer profiles is one of the most classical question in fluid dynamics and has been intensively studied in physics since the beginning of the twentieth century, in particular by Rayleigh, Prandtl, Orr, Sommerfeld, Tollmien, Schlichting, C.C. Lin. More recently, the rigorous study of the linear instability of shear layers has been pioneered by [8].

This question is closely related to the study of the inviscid limit of Navier Stokes equations near a boundary. For initial data with analytic regularity, it is known that, in small times, solutions of Navier Stokes equations converge to solutions of Euler equations, up to a adding Prandtl's boundary layers [12], [13]. This work have been then extended in for instance [5], [11]. For initial data with Sobolev regularity, this question is widely open. In [7], the authors construct a sequence of smooth solutions of the Navier Stokes equations which can not be described as solutions of Euler with Prandtl boundary layer in L^∞ . This example is precisely a boundary layer profile, whose instability in L^∞ is completely described. A natural question is to investigate whether *all* boundary layer profiles are unstable in L^∞ . The aim of this article is to initiate a formal analysis of this question.

It turns out that non trivial shear layer profiles are always linearly unstable with respect to Navier Stokes equations [8]. Two classes of linear instabilities appear.

- "Inviscid instabilities": instabilities which persists as ν goes to 0. According to Rayleigh's criterium, such instabilities only occur for profiles U_s with inflection points. They exhibit scales in t , x and y of order $O(1)$. The corresponding eigenvalue λ has a real part $\Re\lambda$ of order $O(1)$.

- "Long wave instabilities" [1]: these instabilities arise even in the case of concave profiles U_s , such that $U_s'' < 0$. They are characterized by a strong spatial anisotropy since their sizes are of order $O(1)$ in y but of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ in x . Moreover they grow very slowly, within time scales of order $O(\nu^{-1/2})$. The corresponding eigenvalue λ has a real part $\Re\lambda$ of order $O(\nu^{1/2})$.

It has been proven in [7] that linear "inviscid instabilities" lead to a nonlinear instability in L^∞ norm. More precisely, there exists arbitrarily small perturbations of U (small like ν^N in H^N for arbitrarily large N), which lead to a $O(1)$ difference on the nonlinear solution in L^∞ (within time scales of order $O(\log \nu^{-1})$). This gives an example of sequence of solutions for which Prandtl's analysis is not true and proves that the classical works of R.E. Caffisch and M. Sammartino [12], [13] can not be extended to solutions with Sobolev regularity.

Such a result remains unknown in the case of "long wave instabilities". In this second case it is not known whether shear layers develop instabilities which reach an order $O(1)$, or whether instabilities remain small, for instance of order $O(\nu^{1/4})$. The main difficulty is that the instability grows too slowly, and hence quadratic terms can not be neglected as soon as the perturbation reaches a magnitude $O(\nu^{1/4})$, a situation which is strongly reminiscent to a bifurcation at $\nu = 0$. When ν increases, starting from 0, the real part of two conjugate eigenvalues λ gets positive, like in Hopf's bifurcation.

A simple ordinary differential equation's model would be, taking into account symmetries, the classical Hopf's bifurcation, namely

$$\dot{\phi} = \lambda\phi + A|\phi|^2\phi + O(\phi^5) \quad (4)$$

where ϕ is the amplitude of the growing mode, and $\Re\lambda = O(\nu^{1/2})$. The stability of $\phi = 0$ then depends on the sign of $\Re A$. If $\Re A < 0$ then (4) has a stable periodic solution, of size $O(\nu^{1/4})$. In this case, starting from a small initial data, ϕ grows and reaches a magnitude $O(\nu^{1/4})$. If $\Re A > 0$ then the corresponding periodic solution is unstable, and ϕ may grow for ever, and in particular reaches $O(1)$.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate the coefficient A for particular shear layer profiles. For this, following a classical strategy in bifurcation theory [10], we construct an approximate solution of the nonlinear Navier Stokes equations up to the third order, namely we construct an approximate solution

u^{app} of the form

$$u_{app} = U + \nu^N e^{\lambda t} u_{lin} + \nu^{2N} e^{2\lambda t} u_q + \nu^{3N} e^{3\lambda t} u_c + c.c. \quad (5)$$

where u_{lin} is an unstable mode, λ the corresponding unstable eigenvalue (with $\Re\lambda$ of order $O(\nu^{1/2})$) and where *c.c.* means "complex conjugate". Following a classical strategy in bifurcation theory we compare (5) and (4) to compute the coefficient A .

The construction of an approximate solution is delicate since the solution has three spatial scales, namely $O(1)$ (that of the shear layer itself), $O(\nu^{1/4})$ (size of the so called "critical layer", see [8]), and $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ (horizontal instability size, and also recirculation size). There is no explicit formula for u_q and u_c , thus we have to approximate them numerically.

However numerical computations appear to be delicate. First the vorticity is concentrated in a critical layer of size $O(\nu^{1/4})$ and has a singular behavior as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Next, linearised Euler and Navier Stokes equations (namely Rayleigh's and Orr Sommerfeld's equations) degenerate in the critical layer, leading to singularities. To avoid these difficulties we follow a "mixed" approach. We describe the solution by series in y and $y \log y$ near the boundary, by a numerical grid in the critical layer and by another numerical grid away from the boundary, which allow to deal with arbitrarily small viscosity.

We fulfil these computations in the particular case of an exponential profile $U_s(y) = 1 - \exp(-\delta y)$ and of Blasius profile, however they can be repeated on any given profile [2]. We find that $\Re A < 0$, namely that cubic interactions tend to "tame" the linear instability.

We thus conjecture that linear instabilities of an exponential profile or of Blasius profile grow until they reach a size $O(\nu^{1/4})$. Then cubic interactions tend to saturate the linear instability.

Physically this corresponds to the onset of small "rolls" in the critical layer, of amplitude $O(\nu^{1/4})$. The first step of the nonlinear instability of "Euler stable" shear layer profiles is therefore very different from that of "Euler unstable" profiles [7], where the instability reaches $O(1)$ in L^∞ . The mathematical justification of the onset of such rolls, and the stability of these rolls are two widely open questions.

The paper is constructed as follows. In part 2 we detail the construction of an approximate solution up to the third order. Part 3 is devoted to the design of a general strategy to invert Orr Sommerfeld equations. The growing linear modes and the corresponding modes of the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld

equations are constructed in part 4. Part 5 is devoted to some details on the computations in the particular cases of an exponential profile and Blasius profile.

The routines developed in this paper are available in [2].

2 Principle of the construction

2.1 Orr Sommerfeld equations

Let us first introduce the classical Orr Sommerfeld equations. We refer to [6] for more details on all these aspects. Let L be the linearized Navier Stokes operator near the shear layer profile U , namely

$$Lv = (U \cdot \nabla)v + (v \cdot \nabla)U - \nu \Delta v + \nabla q, \quad (6)$$

with $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ and Dirichlet boundary condition. We want to study the resolvent of L , namely to study the equation

$$(L + \lambda)v = f, \quad (7)$$

where f is a given forcing term and λ a complex number. Taking advantage of the divergence free condition, we introduce the stream function ψ and take the Fourier transform in x and the Laplace transform in t , which leads to look for solutions of the form

$$v = \nabla^\perp \left(e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} \psi(y) \right).$$

Note that $\lambda = -i\alpha c$. We also take the Fourier and Laplace transform of the forcing term f

$$f = \left(f_1(y), f_2(y) \right) e^{i\alpha(x-ct)}.$$

Taking the curl of (7) we then get the classical Orr Sommerfeld equations

$$Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu}(\psi) = (U_s - c)(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\psi - U_s''\psi - \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi = i\frac{\nabla \times f}{\alpha} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\nabla \times (f_1, f_2) = i\alpha f_2 - \partial_z f_1.$$

The Dirichlet boundary condition gives

$$\psi(0) = \partial_z \psi(0) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Let us define

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}. \quad (10)$$

As ν goes to 0, the Orr Sommerfeld operator degenerates into the Rayleigh operator

$$Ray_{c,\alpha}(\psi) = (U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2)(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\psi - U_s''\psi, \quad (11)$$

which is a second order operator, together with the boundary condition

$$\psi(0) = 0. \quad (12)$$

We have

$$Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu} = Ray_{c,\alpha} + Diff$$

where

$$Diff = -\varepsilon(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\partial_y^2.$$

Rayleigh operator is a good approximation of Orr Sommerfeld operator away from the boundary $y = 0$. Note that (11) degenerates at the critical layer y_c defined by

$$U_s(y_c) = c - \varepsilon\alpha^2.$$

In this critical layer we approximate the Orr Sommerfeld operator by the so called modified Airy operator \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = Airy(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)$$

where

$$Airy = (U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2) - \varepsilon\partial_y^2.$$

We have

$$Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu} = \mathcal{A} + ErrAiry$$

where

$$ErrAiry = -U_s''.$$

Note that for very large y , solutions of $Ray_{c,\alpha}(\psi) = 0$ behave exponentially, like $e^{\pm\alpha y}$.

The analysis of Orr Sommerfeld equation has been detailed in [6] and we just recall a few basic facts here. First, note that $\omega = -\Delta_\alpha\psi$ corresponds to the vorticity of the stream function ψ , where $\Delta_\alpha = \partial_y^2 - \alpha^2$ and that (8) may be rewritten

$$-(U_s - c)\omega - U_s''\psi + \varepsilon\Delta_\alpha\omega = i\alpha^{-1}\nabla \times f. \quad (13)$$

As y goes to $+\infty$, we note that U_s'' decays exponentially fast, like $e^{-\delta y}$, thus ω decays at the same speed. On the contrary, ψ may decay as slowly as $e^{-\alpha y}$.

2.2 Construction of an approximate solution

The idea is to construct an approximate solution up to the third order, namely of the form

$$u_{app} = U + \nu^N e^{\lambda t} u_{lin} + \nu^{2N} e^{2\lambda t} u_q + \nu^{3N} e^{3\lambda t} u_c + c.c.$$

(*c.c.* meaning complex conjugate), and to understand the geometry of u_c with respect to u_{lin} , in order to know whether cubic terms enhance or stop the linear instability. Let us detail the computations to be done.

The starting point is a linear instability of Navier Stokes equations, namely an exponentially growing mode of the corresponding linearized equations. Following the classical analysis we take advantage of the incompressibility condition to introduce the stream function, take its Fourier transform in x and its Laplace transform in time, and thus look for instable modes of the form

$$u_{lin}^+ = \nabla^\perp \left(e^{i\alpha(x-ct)} \psi_{lin}^+(y) \right).$$

Such vector fields are solutions of linearized Navier Stokes equations provided ψ_{lin} satisfies the Orr Sommerfeld equation

$$Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu}(\psi_{lin}^+) = 0.$$

The spectral analysis of Orr Sommerfeld equation is recalled in section 4 where it is proved that there exists unstable eigenmodes which are of the form

$$\psi_{lin}^+ = \psi_{s,-} + a\psi_{f,-} \sim (U_s - c)e^{-\alpha y} + aAi\left(2, \gamma(y - y_c)\right), \quad (14)$$

where $a = O(\nu^{1/4})$, $\gamma = O(\nu^{-1/4})$ and where $\Im c = O(\nu^{1/4})$. Spitting into horizontal wavenumbers α and $-\alpha$, we write

$$\psi_{lin} = \psi_{lin}^+ + \psi_{lin}^-$$

and similarly for u_{lin} and ω_{lin} , ψ_{lin}^- being the complex conjugate of ψ_{lin}^+ . Note that the exponential in factor of ψ_{lin}^- is $\exp(-i\alpha x + \bar{\lambda}t)$. The associated velocity field and vorticity are

$$u_{lin}^+ = \nabla^\perp \psi_{lin}^+ \sim \begin{pmatrix} U'_s e^{-\alpha y} + a\gamma Ai(1, \gamma(y - y_c)) \\ -i\alpha U_s e^{-\alpha y} - i\alpha a Ai(2, \gamma(y - y_c)) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\omega_{lin}^+ = \nabla \times u_{lin}^+ = -(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_{lin}^+ \sim -U''_s e^{-\alpha y} - \gamma^2 a Ai(\gamma(y - y_c)).$$

The next term of the expansion is u_q , solution of

$$\partial_t \omega_q + (U \cdot \nabla) \omega_q - \nu \Delta \omega_q + U_s'' \partial_x \psi_q = Q_1, \quad (15)$$

where $\omega_q = \nabla \times u_q$ and

$$Q_1 = -(u_{lin} \cdot \nabla) \omega_{lin} = -(u_{lin}^+ \cdot \nabla) \omega_{lin}^+ - (u_{lin}^- \cdot \nabla) \omega_{lin}^-,$$

since $+/-$ and $-/+$ interactions vanish. Note that Q_1 is the sum of two terms, one with wavenumber 2α and the other with wavenumber -2α , hence

$$Q_1 = Q_1^+ + Q_1^-.$$

Using Orr Sommerfeld equation we get that

$$\psi_q = \psi_q^+ + \psi_q^-$$

where

$$Orr_{2\lambda, 2\alpha, \nu}(\psi_q^+) = \frac{i}{2\alpha} Q_1^+.$$

Note that we also need to enforce the boundary conditions

$$\psi_q^\pm(0) = \partial_y \psi_q^\pm(0) = 0.$$

Note also that the exponential in factor of ψ_q^\pm are $\exp(2i\alpha x + 2\lambda t)$ and $\exp(-2i\alpha x + 2\bar{\lambda}t)$. The third term of the expansion is u_c , solution of

$$\partial_t \omega_c + (U \cdot \nabla) \omega_c - \nu \Delta \omega_c + U_s'' \partial_x \psi_c = Q_2, \quad (16)$$

where

$$Q_2 = -(u_{lin} \cdot \nabla) \omega_q - (u_q \cdot \nabla) \omega_{lin}.$$

Note that Q_2 is a sum of four terms, with wavenumbers -3α , $-\alpha$, α , 3α , namely

$$Q_2 = Q_2^{-3} + Q_2^{-1} + Q_2^1 + Q_2^3.$$

Note that the exponential in factor of Q_2^1 is $\exp(i\alpha x + (2\lambda + \bar{\lambda})t)$. Using Orr Sommerfeld equation we get that

$$\psi_c = \psi_c^{-3} + \psi_c^{-1} + \psi_c^1 + \psi_c^3,$$

where in particular

$$Orr_{2\lambda + \bar{\lambda}, \alpha, \nu}(\psi_c^1) = \frac{i}{\alpha} Q_2^1. \quad (17)$$

Again $\psi_c^1(0) = \partial_y \psi_c^1(0) = 0$. Hopefully we do not need to compute explicitly ψ_c^j by using the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld equation.

2.3 Adjoint Orr Sommerfeld operator

We will consider the classical L^2 product between two stream functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 , namely

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2)_\psi = \int \psi_1 \bar{\psi}_2 dx.$$

For this scalar product, the adjoint of Orr Sommerfeld equation is

$$Orr_{c,\alpha,\nu}^t(\psi) := (\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)(U_s - c)\psi - U_s''\psi - \frac{\nu}{i\alpha}(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)^2\psi, \quad (18)$$

with boundary conditions $\psi(0) = \partial_y\psi(0) = 0$.

We know that the spectrum of Orr Sommerfeld operator and its adjoint are the same. Let ψ_{lin}^t be an eigenvector of $Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu}^t$ with corresponding eigenvalue c . Note that

$$Orr_{2\lambda+\bar{\lambda},\alpha,\nu} = Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu} + \hat{c}(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)$$

where

$$\hat{c} = c + \frac{2\lambda + \bar{\lambda}}{i\alpha}.$$

Then (17) can be rewritten

$$Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu}\psi_c^1 + \hat{c}(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_c^1 = \frac{i}{\alpha} Q_2^1$$

Taking the scalar product with ψ_{lin}^t we get

$$\left(Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,\nu}\psi_c^1, \psi_{lin}^t \right) + \hat{c} \int (\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\psi_c^1 \bar{\psi}_{lin}^t = \frac{i}{\alpha} \int Q_2^1 \bar{\psi}_{lin}^t.$$

As $Orr_{\lambda,\alpha,c}^t\psi_{lin}^t = 0$ this gives

$$\int \nabla_\alpha \psi_c^1 \cdot \nabla_\alpha \bar{\psi}_{lin}^t = -\frac{i}{\alpha \hat{c}} \int Q_2^1 \bar{\psi}_{lin}^t \quad (19)$$

where $\nabla_\alpha = (\partial_y, i\alpha)$. Note that $\nabla_\alpha \psi$ is the velocity associated to the stream function ψ . We define the scalar product

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2)_v = \int \nabla_\alpha \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_\alpha \bar{\psi}_2 = \int \omega_1 \bar{\psi}_2.$$

2.4 Computation of A

Let us focus on the mode $+\alpha$. The approximate solution on this mode is

$$\psi_\alpha^{app}(t) = \nu^N \psi_{lin} e^{\Re\lambda t + i\Im\lambda t} + \nu^{3N} \psi_c^1 e^{3\Re\lambda t + i\Im\lambda t} + O(\nu^{5N} e^{5\Re\lambda t}).$$

Let

$$\phi(t) = (\psi_\alpha^{app}, \psi_{lin}^t)_v.$$

We expand the solution ϕ of (4), which gives

$$\phi(t) = \nu^N \phi_0 e^{\lambda t} + \frac{A \nu^{3N} |\phi_0|^2 \phi_0}{2\lambda} e^{3\lambda t} + O(\nu^{5N} e^{5\lambda t}).$$

It remains now to compute A by identifying the various terms. First

$$\phi_0 = (\psi_{lin}, \psi_{lin}^t)_v.$$

Moreover,

$$A \frac{|\phi_0|^2 \phi_0}{2\lambda} = (\psi_c^1, \psi_{lin}^t)_v, \quad (20)$$

where the right hand side is given by (19).

3 Numerical solutions of Orr Sommerfeld

The computations presented in the previous section can not be done explicitly. Numerically they are also difficult since Orr Sommerfeld equations are very singular near $y = y_c$, where an important part of the dynamics takes place. We therefore need to mix a precise asymptotic analysis with numerical computations in order to be able to study A as $\nu \rightarrow 0$. This is the objective of the current section.

3.1 Numerical setting

We have to describe the various functions away from the boundary, near the boundary and in the critical layer.

- Away from the boundary, namely for $\sigma \leq y \leq Y_0$ we use a grid, with step h . We approximate Orr Sommerfeld equations by Rayleigh equations, thus neglecting the diffusion of the vorticity in this area and we numerically solve Rayleigh equations using an Euler scheme.

- Close to the boundary we first invert Rayleigh equations by looking for solutions under the form

$$\psi_{Ray} = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n Y^n \log Y + \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n Y^n \quad (21)$$

where $Y = y - y_c$. Doing this we make an error $Diff(\psi_{Ray})$, which is very large and which will be corrected in the next step. Note that the corresponding vertical velocity has the same form, whereas the corresponding horizontal velocity has an additional term in $\log Y$ and the vorticity has terms in $\log Y$ and in Y^{-1} .

- In the critical layer, for $0 \leq y \leq \Theta \nu^{1/4}$ (with some large Θ), we use a grid with a step h_c of order $\nu^{1/4}$ and approximate Orr Sommerfeld equations by \mathcal{A} , with source term $Diff(\psi_{Ray})$. This leads to an error $ErrAiry(\mathcal{A}^{-1}Diff(\psi_{Ray}))$.

Far away from the boundary, namely for $y > Y_0$, we note that the vorticity decays exponentially fast, whereas the stream function has a slow decay, like $e^{-\alpha y}$. We will also match ψ_{Ray} and its first derivative at $y = \sigma$, and take care of the boundary conditions at $y = 0$.

Each function of the construction is thus described by its numerical values on two meshes, one ranging from σ to Y_0 with step h , one ranging from 0 to $\Theta \nu^{1/4}$ with step h_c , by three series, one in $y^n \log y$, one in $y^n \log^2 y$, and one in y^n , used for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$.

3.2 Resolution of the Rayleigh equation

3.2.1 Construction of $\psi_{\pm,0}$

We first study the inverse of Rayleigh's operator for $\alpha = 0$. We refer to [6] for a detailed analysis. In this case Ray reduces to

$$Ray_0(\psi) = (U_s - c)\partial_y^2 \psi - U_s'' \psi.$$

In particular

$$\psi_{-,0}(y) = U_s(y) - c \quad (22)$$

is an explicit solution of this limiting operator. An independent solution $\psi_{+,0}$ is explicitly given by

$$\psi_{+,0}(y) = (U_s(y) - c) \int_0^y \frac{1}{(U_s(z) - c)^2} dz. \quad (23)$$

This other solution behaves linearly at infinity and has a $(y - y_c) \log(y - y_c)$ singularity at $y = y_c$. However it is difficult to handle explicit computations using this integral form. Near y_c it is better to look for $\psi_{+,0}$ under the form

$$\psi_{+,0}(y) = P(y - y_c) + \log(y - y_c)Q(y - y_c) \quad (24)$$

where P and Q are holomorphic functions near 0, of the form $P(Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n Y^n$ and $Q(Y) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n Y^n$. Let

$$Y = y - y_c$$

and let

$$U_s(y) - c = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n \frac{Y^n}{n!}.$$

Inserting U_s and $\psi_{+,0}$ in Ray_0 we get a series in Y^n and a series in $Y^n \log Y$, which allows to compute the various coefficients a_n and b_n by induction, and hence to compute $\psi_{+,0}(y)$ for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$, where $\sigma > 0$ is small enough. More precisely we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_Y^2 \psi_{+,0} &= \sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1)b_n Y^{n-2} \log Y - \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n Y^{n-2} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} n b_n Y^{n-2} + \sum_{n \geq 2} n(n-1)a_n Y^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $(U_s - c)\partial_Y^2 \psi_{+,0} = U_s'' \psi_{+,0}$, we first identify the terms in Y^0 , leading to

$$U_s'(y_c)b_1 = U_s''(y_c)a_0. \quad (25)$$

Choosing $a_0 = 1$ we thus have $b_1 = U_s''(y_c)/U_s'(y_c)$. For $n \geq 2$ we then identify the terms in $Y^{n-2} \log Y$ to get b_n , defined by induction for $n \geq 3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)(n-2)c_1 b_{n-1} + \sum_{p=2}^{n-2} \frac{(n-p)(n-p-1)c_p b_{n-p}}{p!} \\ = \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{(p+2)(p+1)b_{n-2-p}c_{p+2}}{(p+2)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Now the coefficients a_n for $n \geq 2$ are defined by induction by identifying terms in Y^{n-2} , which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} \frac{c_p(2n-2p-1)b_{n-p}}{p!} + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{c_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)a_{p+2}}{(n-2-p)!} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-2} \frac{a_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)c_{p+2}}{(p+2)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This ends the construction of $\psi_{+,0}$ for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$. For $y \geq \sigma$ to get $\psi_{+,0}$ we numerically integrate Rayleigh's equation, using the boundary conditions $\psi_{+,0}(\sigma)$ and $\psi'_{+,0}(\sigma)$ given by the previous series.

3.2.2 Inversion of Ray_0

Let us now turn to the resolution of

$$Ray_0(\psi) = \phi$$

where ϕ is a given source term, given on a grid for $y \geq \sigma$ and by a series for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$. For $y \geq \sigma$ we use numerical integration. For $y \leq \sigma$, if ϕ is of the form $P(Y) + Q(Y) \log Y$, then ψ is also of the same form, and the coefficients of the solution may be explicitly computed by induction. This gives an accurate evaluation of the solution, even near the singularity $y = y_c$, up to a linear combination of $\psi_{\pm,0}$. More precisely, for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$ let us write ϕ under the form

$$\phi = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n Y^n \log Y + \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n Y^n.$$

We look for ψ under a similar form. We get

$$U'_s(y_c)b_1 = U''_s(y_c)a_0 + e_0, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1)(n-2)c_1b_{n-1} + \sum_{p=2}^{n-2} \frac{(n-p)(n-p-1)c_p b_{n-p}}{p!} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{(p+2)(p+1)b_{n-2-p}c_{p+2}}{(p+2)!} + d_{n-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} \frac{c_p(2n-2p-1)b_{n-p}}{p!} + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{c_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)a_{p+2}}{(n-2-p)!} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-2} \frac{a_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)c_{p+2}}{(p+2)!} + e_{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

3.2.3 Rayleigh equation for small α

Let us now focus on the case $\alpha > 0$ and study the corresponding Rayleigh operator which can be rewritten

$$Ray_\alpha(\psi) = (U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2)\partial_y^2\psi - \psi\left[U_s'' + \alpha^2(U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2)\right]. \quad (31)$$

Note that as U_s'' converges exponentially fast to 0, this introduces another asymptotic regime, namely $y \gg 1$, with corresponding characteristic scale α^{-1} . We already have an asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{-, \alpha}$, namely

$$\psi_{-, \alpha}(y) = (U_s(y) - \tilde{c})e^{-\alpha y} + O(\alpha),$$

therefore we can directly evaluate it.

We now turn to $\psi_{+, \alpha}$. For $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$, we approximate $\psi_{+, \alpha}$ by $\psi_{+, 0}$ and use the asymptotic expansion obtained in the previous paragraph. Starting at $y = \sigma$ we then use numerical integration to compute $\psi_{+, \alpha}$ between σ and Y_0 where Y_0 is arbitrarily large.

Note that for large Y_0 , $\partial_y \psi_{-, \alpha}(Y_0) = O(\alpha)$ whereas $\partial_y \psi_{+, \alpha}(Y_0)$ is of order $O(1)$ and non vanishing.

We now turn to the resolution of

$$Ray_\alpha(\psi) = \phi.$$

Both ϕ and ψ are defined by their series between 0 and σ , and by their values on a grid of step h between σ and Y_0 . We split the computations in two areas:

- $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$, where we look for solutions as entire series of the form (21). The coefficients of these series are computed by induction. Note that the location y_c of the singularity slightly changes with α . The induction relations are detailed below.
- $\sigma \leq y \leq Y_0$, where we directly solve numerically Rayleigh equation, which is regular.

Let us detail the computations for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$. We have

$$U_s'(y_c)b_1 = U_s''(y_c)a_0 + e_0, \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n-1)(n-2)\tilde{c}_1 b_{n-1} + \sum_{p=2}^{n-2} \frac{(n-p)(n-p-1)\tilde{c}_p b_{n-p}}{p!} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{(p+2)(p+1)b_{n-2-p}\tilde{c}_{p+2}}{(p+2)!} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{\alpha^2 \tilde{c}_p b_{n-2-p}}{p!} + d_{n-2},
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} \frac{\tilde{c}_p (2n-2p-1)b_{n-p}}{p!} + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-3} \frac{\tilde{c}_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)a_{p+2}}{(n-2-p)!} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq p \leq n-2} \frac{a_{n-2-p}(p+2)(p+1)\tilde{c}_{p+2}}{(p+2)!} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-2} \frac{\alpha^2 \tilde{c}_p a_{n-2-p}}{p!} + e_{n-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

3.3 Airy equation

3.3.1 Construction of the fast mode $\psi_{f,-}$

In this section we recall the construction of a fast decaying modes $\psi_{f,-}$ of \mathcal{A} . The details may be found in [6]. Expanding U near y_c at first order, the modified Airy operator may be approximated by

$$-\varepsilon \partial_y^2 \psi + U'_s(y_c)(y - y_c)\psi = 0, \tag{35}$$

which is the classical Airy equation. Let us assume that $\Re U'_s(y_c) > 0$, the opposite case being similar. A first solution to (35) is given by

$$A(y) := Ai(\gamma(y - y_c)), \tag{36}$$

where Ai is the classical Airy function, solution of $Ai'' = xAi$, and where $\varepsilon\gamma^3 = U'_s(y_c)$, namely

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{i\alpha U'_s(y_c)}{\nu} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Note that since α is of order $\nu^{1/4}$, γ is of order $\nu^{-1/4}$ and that

$$\arg(\gamma) = \frac{\pi}{6} + O(\nu^{-1/4}).$$

Another independent solution to (35) is given by $Ci(\gamma(y - y_c))$ where

$$Ci = i\pi(Ai + iBi),$$

with $Bi(\cdot)$ being the other classical Airy function.

We recall that

$$Ai(z) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \infty} \left[3^{-2/3} \frac{z^{3n}}{n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 2/3)} - 3^{-4/3} \frac{z^{3n+1}}{n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 4/3)} \right].$$

Similarly,

$$Ai(1, z) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \infty} \left[\frac{3^{-2/3} z^{3n+1}}{(3n+1)n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 2/3)} - \frac{3^{-4/3} z^{3n+2}}{(3n+2)n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 4/3)} \right] - 1/3$$

and

$$Ai(2, z) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \infty} \left[\frac{3^{-2/3} z^{3n+2}}{(3n+1)(3n+2)n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 2/3)} - \frac{3^{-4/3} z^{3n+3}}{(3n+2)(3n+3)n! 9^n \Gamma(n + 4/3)} \right] - \frac{z}{3} + 0.25881938, t$$

where the numerical constants insure that both $Ai(1, z)$ and $Ai(2, z)$ go to 0 as z goes to $+\infty$.

3.3.2 Numerical resolution of Airy's equation

We have to numerically invert $\mathcal{A}\phi = \text{Airy}(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\phi = \psi$. We define ψ on a grid of step $h_c \sim \nu^{1/4}$ near the critical layer. We first numerically solve $\text{Airy}\phi_1 = \psi$ assuming that ϕ_1 together with its first derivative are negligible away from the critical layer. Then we numerically solve $(\partial_y^2 - \alpha^2)\phi = \phi_1$ again assuming that ϕ and its first derivative are negligible away from the critical layer.

To solve for ϕ_1 we use a Green function approach, which allows a good control at infinity. Let us now detail the Green function $G(x, y)$ of (35) which by definition is a solution of

$$-\varepsilon \partial_y^2 G + U'_s(y_c)(y - y_c)G = \delta_x. \quad (37)$$

We have for $y < x$,

$$G(x, y) = -\frac{Ci(\gamma(y - y_c))Ai(\gamma(x - y_c))}{\varepsilon \gamma W}$$

and for $y > x$

$$G(x, y) = -\frac{Ai(\gamma(y - y_c))Ci(\gamma(x - y_c))}{\varepsilon\gamma W},$$

where W is the constant Wronskian

$$W = Ai'Ci - Ci'Ai = 1.$$

The solution ϕ_1 to $Airy(\phi_1) = \psi$ is then simply given by

$$\phi_1(y) = \int G(x, y)\psi(x)dy.$$

3.4 Accurate solver for Orr Sommerfeld equations

We now focus on the resolution of

$$OS(\psi) = f \tag{38}$$

where f is given, of the form $F_{Ray} + F_b$ first without taking care of boundary conditions, then on taking care of them. Note that F_{Ray} is defined on the "Rayleigh" grid for $\sigma \leq y \leq Y_0$ and by two series for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$, and F_b is defined on the "Airy" grid, for $0 \leq y \leq A\gamma^{-1}$ for some large A . We look for ψ of the form $\psi = \psi_{Ray} + \psi_b$, also defined by two series and on two grids.

- First we solve the "series" part and compute ψ_1 , defined by two series between 0 and σ , one in Y^n and the other in $Y^n \log Y$, such that the series of $Ray(\psi_1)$ and of f_{Ray} match.
- We numerically compute ψ_1 for $\sigma \leq y \leq Y_0$ by integrating Rayleigh equation with source term f_{Ray} , starting from the boundary conditions $\psi_1(\sigma)$ and $\psi_1'(\sigma)$.
- For $y > Y_0$, ψ_1 is, as a first approximation, a combination of the two independent solutions $\psi_{-, \alpha}$ and $\psi_{+, \alpha}$, namely $\psi_1 \sim C_- \psi_{-, \alpha} + C_+ \psi_{+, \alpha}$. Note that $\partial_y \psi_{-, \alpha}(Y_0) = O(\alpha)$ whereas $\partial_y \psi_{+, \alpha}(Y_0) = O(1)$, hence as a first approximation, $C_+ = \psi_1'(Y_0) / \psi_{+, \alpha}'(Y_0)$. We thus subtract $C_+ \psi_{+, \alpha}$ to ψ_1 to ensure a good behavior at infinity.
- We compute $G_b = Diff(\psi_1 - C_+ \psi_{+, \alpha})$, using series, for $0 \leq y \leq \sigma$.

- We invert $\mathcal{A}\psi_2 = F_b - G_b$, numerically on the "Airy" grid, using Green functions.
- We adjust the boundary conditions at $y = 0$, by adding a suitable linear combination of $Ai(2, \gamma(y - y_c))$ and $\psi_{-, \alpha}(y)$, which requires to invert the array

$$A(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{-, \alpha}(0) & Ai(2, -\gamma y_c) \\ \partial_y \psi_{-, \alpha}(0) & \gamma Ai(1, -\gamma y_c) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is singular at α_0 . Note that $A(2\alpha_0)^{-1}$ is of size $O(\nu^{-1/4})$.

4 Linear modes

This section is devoted to the construction of unstable modes for the Orr Sommerfeld equation, together with the the corresponding modes of its adjoint.

4.1 Dispersion relation

In [6] it is proved that there exists four independent solutions to $Orr = 0$, two of them decaying at infinity, one "slowly", called $\psi_{s,-}$ and one "rapidly" called $\psi_{f,-}$. Moreover

$$\psi_{s,-}(0) = U_s(0) - c + \alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U_s'(0)} + O(\alpha c), \quad (39)$$

$$\partial_y \psi_{s,-}(0) = U_s'(0) + O(\alpha), \quad (40)$$

and

$$\psi_{f,-}(0) = Ai(2, -\gamma y_c), \quad \partial_y \psi_{f,-}(0) = \gamma Ai(1, -\gamma y_c). \quad (41)$$

An eigenmode of Orr Sommerfeld equation is a combination of these two particular solutions which goes to 0 at infinity and which vanishes, together with its first derivative, at $y = 0$. There should exist constants a and b such that $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$,

$$a\psi_{f,-}(0) + b\psi_{s,-}(0) = 0$$

and

$$a\partial_y \psi_{f,-}(0) + b\partial_y \psi_{s,-}(0) = 0.$$

The dispersion relation is therefore

$$\frac{\psi_{f,-}(0)}{\partial_y \psi_{f,-}(0)} = \frac{\psi_{s,-}(0)}{\partial_y \psi_{s,-}(0)} \quad (42)$$

or, using (39), (40) and (41),

$$\alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)^2} - \frac{c}{U'_s(0)} = \gamma^{-1} \frac{Ai(2, -\gamma y_c)}{Ai(1, -\gamma y_c)} + O(\alpha^2). \quad (43)$$

We will focus on the particular case where α and c are both of order $\nu^{1/4}$. It turns out that this is an area where instabilities occur, and we conjecture that this is the region where the most unstable instabilities may be found. Therefore we rescale α and c by $\nu^{1/4}$ and introduce

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \nu^{1/4}, \quad c = c_0 \nu^{1/4}, \quad Z = \gamma y_c,$$

which leads to

$$\alpha_0 \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)^2} - \frac{c_0}{U'_s(0)} = \frac{1}{(i\alpha_0 U'_s(y_c))^{1/3}} \frac{Ai(2, -Z)}{Ai(1, -Z)} + O(\nu^{1/4}). \quad (44)$$

Note that as $U_s(y_c) = c$,

$$y_c = U'_s(0)^{-1} c + O(c)$$

and

$$Z = \left(i U'_s(y_c) \right)^{1/3} \alpha_0^{1/3} U'_s(0)^{-1} c_0 + O(\nu^{1/4}).$$

Note that the argument of Z equals $\pi/6$. We then introduce the following function, called Tietjens function, of the real variable z

$$Ti(z) = \frac{Ai(2, ze^{-5i\pi/6})}{ze^{-5i\pi/6} Ai(1, ze^{-5i\pi/6})}.$$

At first order the dispersion relation becomes

$$\alpha_0 \frac{U_+^2}{U'_s(0)} = c_0 \left[1 - Ti(-Ze^{5i\pi/6}) \right]. \quad (45)$$

4.2 Description of the linear instability

Let us now detail the linear instability for a given α . Its stream function ψ_{lin} is of the form

$$\psi_{lin} = \psi_{s,-} + a\psi_{f,-} + c.c.,$$

where we have chosen $b = 1$. We see that $a = O(\nu^{1/4})$, hence

$$\psi_{lin} = U_s(y) - c + \alpha \frac{U_+^2}{U_s'(0)} + aAi\left(2, \gamma(y - y_c)\right) + O(\nu^{1/2}). \quad (46)$$

Note that $a = O(\nu^{1/4})$, hence at leading order $\psi_{lin} = U_s(y) + O(\nu^{1/4})$.

The corresponding horizontal and vertical velocities u_{lin} and v_{lin} are given by

$$v_{lin} = -i\alpha\psi_{lin} = O(\nu^{1/4}), \quad (47)$$

which at leading order equals

$$v_{lin} = -i\alpha U_s(y) + O(\nu^{1/2}),$$

and

$$u_{lin} = \partial_y \psi_{lin} = U_s'(y) + \gamma a Ai\left(1, \gamma(y - y_c)\right) + O(\nu^{1/4}) + c.c.. \quad (48)$$

Note that $\gamma a = O(1)$, hence the second term in the right hand side of (48) is of order $O(1)$ in the critical layer. The first term in (48) may be seen as a "displacement velocity", corresponding to a translation of U_s . The second term is of order $O(1)$ and located in the boundary layer, namely within a distance $O(\nu^{1/4})$ to the boundary.

The corresponding vorticity is

$$\omega_{lin} = \omega_{int} + \omega_{bl} = -U_s''(y) - \gamma^2 a Ai\left(\gamma(y - y_c)\right) + \dots. \quad (49)$$

In particular the vorticity is large, of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ near the critical layer and is bounded elsewhere.

4.3 Construction of adjoint modes

The construction of $\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}$ has been detailed in [1], where the authors have proven that

$$\phi_{s,-}^{t,app}(y) = e^{-\alpha y} - f_1(y_c)\psi_3(y) - g_1(y) + \dots \quad (50)$$

where $\psi_3(y)$, $f_1(y_c)$ and $g_1(y)$ satisfy

$$\text{Airy}(\psi_3) = (U_s - c + \varepsilon\alpha^2)\psi_3 - \varepsilon\partial_y^2\psi_3 = e^{-\alpha y},$$

$$f_1(y) = Ray_\alpha^{-1}\left(-2\alpha(U_s - c)U'_s e^{-\alpha y}\right)$$

and

$$g_1(y) = \frac{f_1(y) - f_1(y_c)}{U_s(y) - c}.$$

5 Detailed computations in particular cases

5.1 The case of an exponential profile

We fulfil the previous computations in the particular case of an exponential boundary layer profile

$$U_s(y) = 1 - e^{-\delta y}. \quad (51)$$

All the routines used in this paper to fulfil the computations may be found in [2], where the reader will find detailed Matlab routines, together with pictures of the various functions used in this paper.

We first discuss the construction in the particular case $\nu = 10^{-30}$ and $\alpha_0 = 1.5$. In this case $\lambda \sim 4.8 \times 10^{-16} + 3.7 \times 10^{-15}i$, which is of order $\nu^{1/2}$. In this case $y_c \sim c$.

First ψ_{lin} is explicit in $Ai(2, \cdot)$ and U_s and is given by (46). Note that there is no $\log(Y)$ part. The corresponding horizontal velocity u_{lin} goes from 0 at the boundary to 1 at a distance of order 0.2×10^{-7} and then decays exponentially fast, like U_s . The vertical velocity v_{lin} goes smoothly from 0 to -1.5×10^{-7} , reached at a distance of order $O(1)$. It is in particular of order 10^{-9} in the critical layer. It then decays very slowly, like $e^{-\alpha y}$, a decay which is not described in the current computations. The vorticity ω_{lin} is of order 10^8 , namely of order $\nu^{-1/4}$ close to the boundary (for $0 \leq y \lesssim 0.2 \times 10^{-7}$).

Let us turn to the quadratic interaction Q_1 . It is small, or order $O(\nu^{1/4})$ outside the critical layer where it is of size $O(1)$. Note that the source of Orr Sommerfeld equation for ψ_q^+ is $iQ_1^+/2\alpha$, therefore this source term is of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$ in the critical layer and $O(1)$ inside the flow. Note that there is no $\log(Y)$ term in Q_1 .

We then follow the strategy sketched in section 3.4 to compute ψ_q^+ . First ψ_1 and C_+ are of order $O(1)$, and $\psi_1 - C_+\psi_{+, \alpha}$ equals ~ 0.6 at $y = 0$. Then

G_b is localised in the critical layer and is of order $O(1)$. This is coherent, since the most singular term in G_b is $\varepsilon b_1 Y^{-3}$ and thus bounded. Then ψ_2 is of order $O(1)$ in the boundary layer.

Next we take into account the boundary conditions to construct ψ_q^+ . As $A(2\alpha_0)^{-1}$ is of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$, ψ_q^+ is of order $O(\nu^{-1/4})$.

Note also that ψ_q^+ contains terms in $Y^n \log(Y)$. Moreover, u_q^+ has a $\log(Y)$ term in addition to terms in Y^n and $Y^n \log(Y)$ with $n \geq 1$, and ω_q^+ has a $\log(Y)$ term and a Y^{-1} term.

We now turn to the computation of Q_2^1 . Note that this term has singular terms in Y^{-2} , Y^{-1} , $Y^{-1} \log Y$, $\log Y$ and $\log^2 Y$. The adjoint mode is computed using its explicit formula, and the scalar product with the adjoint mode is evaluated numerically.

For $\alpha_0 = 1.5$ for instance we find $\Re A \sim -0.27 < 0$, for $\alpha_0 = 2$, $\Re A \sim -0.03$.

5.2 The case of Blasius boundary layer profile

We next turn to the classical Blasius boundary layer profile $\psi_s(y) = f(y)$ where f satisfies

$$2f''' + f''f = 0$$

with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 0$ and $f''(0) \sim 0.33$. In this case, for instance for $\alpha_0 = 0.5$, $\lambda \sim 1.74 \times 10^{-16} - 1.02 \times 10^{-15}i$ and $\Re A \sim -0.0041$.

References

- [1] D. Bian, E. Grenier: Long waves instabilities, *submitted.*, 2022.
- [2] D. Bian, E. Grenier: A numerical toolbox to solve Orr Sommerfeld in the regime $\alpha \sim \nu^{1/4}$, *in preparation*, 2022.
- [3] H. Blasius: Grenzschichten in Flüssigkeiten mit kleiner Reibung, *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik*, Band 56, Heft 1, 1908.
- [4] P. G. Drazin, W. H. Reid: *Hydrodynamic stability*. Cambridge Monographs on Mechanics and Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University, Cambridge–New York, 1981.

- [5] D. Gerard-Varet, Y. Maekawa, and N. Masmoudi. Gevrey stability of Prandtl expansions for 2D Navier-Stokes flows. arXiv:1607.06434, 2016.
- [6] E. Grenier, T. Nguyen: Green function for linearized Navier-Stokes around a boundary shear layer profile for long wavelengths. *to appear on Annales Henri Poincaré*.
- [7] E. Grenier, T. Nguyen: L^∞ intability of Prandtl layers, *Annals of PDE*, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40818-019-0074-3>.
- [8] E. Grenier, Y. Guo, and T. Nguyen: Spectral instability of characteristic boundary layer flows. *Duke Math J*, 165(16): 3085–3146, 2016.
- [9] Y. Guo, S. Iyer: Validity of Steady Prandtl Layer Expansions. *to appear on Commun Pure Appl Math*.
- [10] M. Haragus, G. Iooss: Local bifurcations, center manifolds, and normal forms in infinite dimensional dynamical systems, Springer, 2011.
- [11] Y. Maekawa. On the inviscid limit problem of the vorticity equations for viscous incompressible flows in the half-plane. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 67(7):1045–1128, 2014.
- [12] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch. Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions, of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2):433–461, 1998.
- [13] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch. Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. II. Construction of the Navier-Stokes solution. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2):463–491, 1998.
- [14] I.V. Schensted: Contributions to the theory of hydrodynamic stability, PhD thesis, University of Michigan, 1960.
- [15] H. Schlichting: *Boundary layer theory*, Translated by J. Kestin. 4th ed. McGraw–Hill Series in Mechanical Engineering. McGraw–Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1960.