

Mean field type equations on line bundle over a closed Riemann surface [★]

Jie Yang^a, Yunyan Yang^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China

Abstract

Let (\mathcal{L}, g) be a line bundle over a closed Riemann surface (Σ, g) , $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of all smooth sections, and $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow T^*\Sigma \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ be a connection independent of the bundle metric g , where $T^*\Sigma$ is the cotangent bundle. Suppose that there exists a global unit frame ζ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. Precisely for any $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, there exists a unique smooth function $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma = u\zeta$ with $|\zeta| \equiv 1$ on Σ . For any real number ρ , we define a functional $\mathcal{J}_\rho : W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle dv_g - \rho \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} dv_g,$$

where $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ is a completion of $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ under the usual Sobolev norm, $|\Sigma|$ is the area of (Σ, g) , $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly positive smooth function and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product induced by g . The Euler-Lagrange equations of \mathcal{J}_ρ are called mean field type equations. Write $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{D}\sigma = 0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ \sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) : \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle dv_g = 0, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{H}_0 \right\}.$$

Based on the variational method, we prove that \mathcal{J}_ρ has a constraint critical point on the space \mathcal{H}_1 for any $\rho < 8\pi$; Based on blow-up analysis, we calculate the exact value of $\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$, provided that it is not achieved by any $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1$; If we further assume $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$, $\mathcal{J}_\rho : W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is reduced to a functional related to the classical mean field equation.

Keywords: Mean field equation; blow-up analysis; analysis on line bundle
2020 MSC: 58J05

1. Introduction

Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemann surface. The well-known mean field equation has aroused the interests of many mathematicians for a long time. It is written as

$$\Delta_g u = \rho \left(\frac{h e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^u dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right), \quad (1)$$

^{*}This research is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11721101), and by the National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080.

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email addresses: yangjie1112@ruc.edu.cn (Jie Yang), yunyan yang@ruc.edu.cn (Yunyan Yang)

Preprint submitted to ***

June 6, 2022

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator, ρ is a real number, $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, and $|\Sigma|$ denotes the area of Σ . This equation appears in the prescribed Gaussian curvature problem in conformal geometry [18, 7, 8, 29] and also in the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs model in physics [6, 14, 15, 33, 35]. Let $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ be the standard Sobolev space with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + |u|^2) dv_g \right)^{1/2},$$

where ∇_g stands for the gradient operator on (Σ, g) . Note that (1) has a variational structure. In particular, solutions of (1) are critical points of the functional $J_\rho : W^{1,2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is defined by

$$J_\rho(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g - \rho \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^u dv_g. \quad (2)$$

The solvability of the mean field equation (1) is closely related to the Trudinger-Moser inequality contributed by Moser [26], Aubin [2], Fontana [17], among others. Such an inequality together with a direct method of variation implies that J_ρ has a critical point when $\rho < 8\pi$. In the critical case $\rho = 8\pi$, under certain geometric and analytic assumptions, a minimizing solution was found by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [13] via the method of blow-up analysis. This result was extended to various situations, see for examples [22, 34, 28, 38, 39, 31, 32]. For the related Nirenberg problem, the readers are referred to [2, 7, 8, 18, 26, 27, 29] and the references therein. However, the case $\rho > 8\pi$ is much different from $\rho \leq 8\pi$. Using a min-max method, Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [16] obtained that J_ρ has a critical point if $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$, $h > 0$ and the genus of the surface is nonzero. A topological method was introduced by Djadli-Malchiodi [12] and Djadli [11] to solve this problem. In particular, for any $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, J_ρ has a critical point with no assumption on the topology of the surface. Along this direction, there had been a lot of work such as [1, 4, 24, 23, 25] and the references therein. This problem still arouses many people's interest [5, 10, 30, 21].

In the current paper, our aim is to extend the mean field equation from Riemann surface to the line bundle setting. Given a line bundle (\mathcal{L}, g) over a closed Riemann surface (Σ, g) , g is a bundle metric. Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of all smooth sections $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $T^*\Sigma$ be the cotangent bundle, and $\mathcal{D} : \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow T^*\Sigma \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ be a connection. Here and in the sequel we do not assume g is parallel with respect to the connection \mathcal{D} . Define a Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ as a completion of $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ under the norm

$$\|\sigma\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} (|\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 + |\sigma|^2) dv_g \right)^{1/2},$$

where both of the metrics on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ and $T^*\Sigma \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ are denoted by the same symbol $|\cdot|$. A unit section $\zeta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be a global unit frame provided that $|\zeta(x)| \equiv 1$ for all $x \in \Sigma$ and for any fixed $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, there exists a unique smooth function $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma(x) = u(x)\zeta(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. It is easy to see that any global unit frame on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ would be also a global unit frame on $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Then we naturally extend the connection \mathcal{D} from $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ to $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Indeed, there exists a unique $\omega \in \Gamma(T^*\Sigma)$ satisfying $\mathcal{D}\zeta = \omega \otimes \zeta$. As a consequence, for any $\sigma = u\zeta \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, we may write by the Leibniz rule

$$\mathcal{D}\sigma = du \otimes \zeta + u\omega \otimes \zeta. \quad (3)$$

Then for any real number ρ , with the help of such a ζ , we may define a functional $\mathcal{J}_\rho : W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle dv_g - \rho \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} dv_g, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product induced by g , and $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive smooth function. It should be noticed that the above functional \mathcal{J}_ρ depends on the global unit frame ζ . Define two section sets

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{ \sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{D}\sigma = 0 \} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ \sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) : \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle dv_g = 0, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{H}_0 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Here and throughout this paper we abuse some notations slightly. Both zero section and real number zero are denoted by the same 0. However, readers can recognize them from the context. Obviously $\mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1 = \{0\}$ and

$$W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1.$$

In the line bundle setting, we restrict sections on the space \mathcal{H}_1 mainly because the Poincaré inequality holds there. To write explicitly the Euler-Lagrange equations for minimizers of \mathcal{J}_ρ , we also need to understand \mathcal{H}_0 . We will prove later \mathcal{H}_0 is a finite dimensional linear space, in particular $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \leq 1$. As for the dimension of \mathcal{H}_0 , there are two possibilities: $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$ or $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$. In view of (3) and the definitions of \mathcal{D} and ζ , for any $\tau = u\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_0$, we have $du \otimes \zeta + u\omega \otimes \zeta = (du + u\omega) \otimes \zeta = 0$, or equivalently

$$du + u\omega = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (7)$$

Obviously $u \equiv 0$ is a solution of (7). If (7) has no other solution, then $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{0\}$, and thus $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$; If (7) has a solution $u \not\equiv 0$, then $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$. Let us give an example. Assume (Σ, g) is a closed Riemann surface, $\mathcal{L} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ is a line bundle and g is the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R} . Take a global unit frame $\zeta(x) = (x, 1)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$ and a bundle connection \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D}\zeta = \omega \otimes \zeta = 0$, which implies $\omega = 0$. Obviously $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \cong W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, and (7) has only solutions $u \equiv C$ for all $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$. In the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, we may assume $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\tau_1\}$, where $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis, in particular

$$\int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau_1, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g = 1.$$

If σ is a minimizer of \mathcal{J}_ρ on \mathcal{H}_1 , then it satisfies

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}\sigma = \rho \frac{h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} \zeta}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} dv_g} - \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \zeta \quad (8)$$

in the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$, and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathcal{L}}\sigma = \rho \frac{h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} \zeta}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} dv_g} - \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \zeta - \lambda_1 \tau_1 \\ \lambda_1 = \rho \frac{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} \langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} dv_g} - \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

in the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, where $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}$ is the bundle Laplace-Beltrami operator, and \mathcal{D}^* is the dual operator of \mathcal{D} , which is defined by

$$\int_{\Sigma} \langle \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D} \sigma, \phi \rangle d\nu_g = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \mathcal{D} \sigma, \mathcal{D} \phi \rangle d\nu_g, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}).$$

Let us explain the geometric meaning of the equation (9). Since \mathcal{H}_i is a subset of $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and $\overline{W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})}$ is dense in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ under the usual L^2 norm, we have $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) = \overline{\mathcal{H}_0} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}_1}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{H}_i}$ is a closure of \mathcal{H}_i in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, $i = 1, 2$. This is an orthogonal decomposition of $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. There exists a natural projection $\mathcal{P} : L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}_1}$, which maps a $\xi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ to

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi) = \xi - \left(\int_{\Sigma} \langle \xi, \tau_1 \rangle d\nu_g \right) \tau_1.$$

In this framework, the equation (9) can be written as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma = \mathcal{P} \left\{ \rho \left(\frac{h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle}}{\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle} d\nu_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta \right\}.$$

Concerning critical points of \mathcal{J}_{ρ} , we have the following:

Theorem 1. *Let (\mathcal{L}, g) be a line bundle over a closed Riemann surface (Σ, g) , \mathcal{D} be its bundle connection. Suppose there exists a global unit frame ζ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{J}_{ρ} and \mathcal{H}_1 be defined as in (4) and (6) respectively. Then for any $\rho < 8\pi$, \mathcal{J}_{ρ} has a minimizer σ_{ρ} on \mathcal{H}_1 .*

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a method of variation. It should be remarked that in this theorem, the infimum is taken over all sections on \mathcal{H}_1 . In general, the infimum is not necessarily attained on the whole space $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 2. *Let (\mathcal{L}, g) be a line bundle over a closed Riemann surface (Σ, g) , \mathcal{D} be its bundle connection. Suppose there exists a global unit frame ζ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{J}_{ρ} , \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 be defined as in (4), (5) and (6) respectively. Suppose that $\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$ is not attained on \mathcal{H}_1 . Then we have the following two assertions:*

(i) *If $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$, then there exist some $p_0 \in \Sigma$ and a Green section $G_0 = G_{p_0} \zeta$ with*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} G_0 = 8\pi \left(\delta_{p_0} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta$$

such that

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma) = -8\pi - 4\pi A_{p_0} - 8\pi \log \pi - 8\pi \log h(p_0) + \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_{p_0} d\nu_g, \quad (10)$$

where $A_{p_0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow p_0} (G_{p_0}(x) + 4 \log d_g(x, p_0))$ is a constant, $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on line bundle, \mathcal{D}^* is the dual operator with respect to \mathcal{D} ;

(ii) *If $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$ and $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis of \mathcal{H}_0 , then there exist some $p \in \Sigma$ and a Green section $G = G_p \zeta$ with*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathcal{L}} G = 8\pi \left(\delta_p - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta - \lambda_1 \tau_1 \\ \lambda_1 = 8\pi \left(\langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle(p) - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle d\nu_g \right) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

such that

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma) = -8\pi - 4\pi A_p - 8\pi \log \pi - 8\pi \log h(p) + \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g, \quad (12)$$

where $A_p = \lim_{x \rightarrow p} (G_p(x) + 4 \log d_g(x, p))$ is a constant.

Indeed, Theorem 2 gives a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ has a minimizer on \mathcal{H}_1 . For the proof of Theorem 2, we modify the method of blow-up analysis used by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [13] (see also [19, 34, 39]). Several technical difficulties need to be overcome. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\rho} : W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has no translation invariance, the method in [13] cannot be directly applied here. We overcome this difficulty by employing a technique from [39]. As we shall see later, we need much more analysis on neck domains than in [13, 19, 34, 39]. Moreover, we need to construct a sequence of sections σ_k satisfying $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma_k)$ converges to $\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$. This is also different from [13, 19, 34].

In the case ζ is a global unit frame on $\Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, if we further assume $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$, then we shall prove later that $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\zeta\}$. Hence $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, $\tau_1 = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \zeta$, and (9) would reduce to

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma = \rho \left(\frac{he^{(\sigma, \zeta)}}{\int_{\Sigma} he^{(\sigma, \zeta)} dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta. \quad (13)$$

Also we shall show $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma = (\Delta_g u) \zeta$ for any $\sigma = u \zeta$ in this case, and thus (13) is essentially the mean field equation (1). As a consequence, our third result says

Theorem 3. *In addition to the assumptions in Theorem 1, we further require that $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$. Then we have the following three assertions:*

- (i) *When $\rho < 8\pi$, (13) has a solution;*
- (ii) *When $\rho = 8\pi$, if the Ding-Jost-Li-Wang condition is satisfied, then (13) has a solution;*
- (iii) *When $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, (13) has a solution.*

Here the *Ding-Jost-Li-Wang condition* means certain assumptions on h and (Σ, g) proposed by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang ([13], Theorem 1.2). For the proof of Theorem 3, we only need to transform the problem from line bundle setting to Riemann surface setting, and then use the existing results of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [13] and Djadli [11].

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some preliminaries; In Section 3, by a direct method of variation, we prove Theorem 1; In Section 4, we use the method of blow-up analysis to prove Theorem 2; In Section 5, we transform Theorem 3 to results of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [13] and Djadli [11]. Throughout this paper, we do not distinguish sequence and subsequence, often denote various constants by the same C , and represent metrics on different bundles by the same $|\cdot|$. We always denote a geodesic ball centered at $x \in \Sigma$ with radius r by $B_r(x) = \{q \in \Sigma : d_g(q, x) < r\}$, and a Euclidean ball centered at 0 with radius r by $\mathbb{B}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |z| < r\}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some useful facts which will be used frequently in the following. First, a distributional decomposition of $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}$ will be given. Next, we show the Poincaré inequality

holds on the space \mathcal{H}_1 . Finally, we derive several properties of the spaces \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 , which are defined by (5) and (6) respectively.

To write $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}$ explicitly, we recall ζ is a global unit frame on $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{D}\zeta = \omega \otimes \zeta$. Let $d^* : W^{1,2}(\Sigma, T^*\Sigma) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ be defined by

$$\int_{\Sigma} (d^* \varsigma) u dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \varsigma, du \rangle dv_g$$

for all $\varsigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, T^*\Sigma)$ and all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. In a coordinate system $\{x^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^2$, if $\varsigma = \varsigma_\alpha dx^\alpha$, then one can easily compute

$$d^* \varsigma = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{g} \varsigma_\beta \right), \quad (14)$$

where $(g^{\alpha\beta})$ is the inverse matrix of $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and $\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{\det(g_{\alpha\beta})}$. Obviously the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g is locally written as

$$\Delta_g u = d^* du = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\beta} \right). \quad (15)$$

A straightforward calculation gives

Lemma 4. *For any $\sigma = u\zeta \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, there is a distributional decomposition*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma = \left(\Delta_g u + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u \right) \zeta.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = u\zeta \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Take any $\phi = v\zeta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. Note that $|\zeta| = 1$. In view of (14) and (15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma, \Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \phi \rangle dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} \langle \mathcal{D}\sigma, \mathcal{D}\phi \rangle dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \langle du \otimes \zeta + u\omega \otimes \zeta, dv \otimes \zeta + v\omega \otimes \zeta \rangle dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(d^* du + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u \right) v dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \langle (\Delta_g u + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u) \zeta, \phi \rangle dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the desired result. \square

Lemma 5. *Any section in \mathcal{H}_0 is smooth on Σ . Moreover, \mathcal{H}_0 is a finite dimensional linear space, in particular $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \leq 1$.*

Proof. Taking any section τ in \mathcal{H}_0 , since for all $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$,

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \mathcal{D}\tau, \mathcal{D}\varphi \rangle dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau, \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}\varphi \rangle dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau, \Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \rangle dv_g,$$

we know that $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \tau = 0$ in the distributional sense. Let $\tau = \phi\zeta$. By Lemma 4, ϕ is a distributional solution of

$$\Delta_g \phi + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) \phi = 0. \quad (16)$$

Noting $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, we have by repeatedly applying elliptic estimate ([3], Theorem 3.54) to (16) that $\phi \in W^{\ell,2}(\Sigma)$ for all $\ell \geq 2$. Then the Sobolev embedding theorem leads to $\phi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. Hence, we obtain $\tau \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, which confirms the first assertion.

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_0$, there is a unique $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $\sigma = u\zeta$ and $\mathcal{D}\sigma = 0$. This is equivalent to the equation

$$du + u\omega = 0. \quad (17)$$

Obviously $u \equiv 0$ is a solution of (17). If (17) has a unique solution $u \equiv 0$, then $\dim\mathcal{H}_0 = 0$. In the following, we consider the case $\mathcal{H}_0 \neq \{0\}$. We first *claim* that if u is a solution of (17) and $u(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \Sigma$, then $u \equiv 0$ on Σ . To see this, for any $x \in \Sigma$ and $x \neq x_0$, we take a geodesic line $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = x_0$ and $\gamma(1) = x$. Set $y(t) = u(\gamma(t))$ and $a(t) = \omega(\gamma(t))(\gamma'(t))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\gamma'(t)$ denotes the tangent vector field along the geodesic line $\gamma(t)$. It follows from (17) that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}y(t) = -a(t)y(t) \\ y(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Applying the existence and uniqueness theorem of the linear ODE to (18), we obtain $y(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $u(x) = u(\gamma(1)) = y(1) = 0$. Since x is an arbitrary point on Σ , u must be identically zero, and our first claim follows. In other words, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_0$, either $\sigma(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, or $\sigma(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Our second *claim* is that for any two nonzero sections $\sigma = u\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\tau = v\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_0$, there exists a constant c such that $\sigma = c\tau$. Obviously the first claim implies that $u(x) \neq 0$ and $v(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. This together with (17) gives

$$\frac{du}{u} = \frac{dv}{v} \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (19)$$

Clearly (19) leads to $\log|u/v| \equiv C$ for some constant C , and there exists some constant c such that $u(x) = cv(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. This confirms the second claim, and implies $\dim\mathcal{H}_0 = 1$. \square

By Lemma 5, $\dim\mathcal{H}_0 \leq 1$. If $\dim\mathcal{H}_0 = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{0\}$; If $\dim\mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, then we may assume $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\tau_1\}$, where $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis on \mathcal{H}_0 . We next show that the Poincaré inequality holds on the space \mathcal{H}_1 .

Lemma 6. *There exists a constant C depending only on (Σ, g) and $(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{g})$ such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq C \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

Proof. Suppose not. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there would be a section $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k|^2 dv_g = 1 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{1}{k}. \quad (21)$$

Thus $\{\sigma_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. With no loss of generality, we find some $\sigma_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ such that σ_k converges to σ_0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and almost everywhere in Σ . This implies $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover (20) and (21) lead to

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_0|^2 dv_g = 1 \quad (22)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_0|^2 dv_g = 0. \quad (23)$$

By (23), we have $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Hence $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1 = \{0\}$, which contradicts (22). In this way, we get the desired result. \square

3. The subcritical case

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. Since the proof in the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$ is a minor modification of that in the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, we only prove the theorem under the assumption $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, but leave the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$ to interested readers. To begin with, we pay attention to the Trudinger-Moser inequality on line bundle. Though a more general inequality was already established in [20], we will give a simple proof in our setting. Also this can be compared with [36].

Lemma 7. *There exists some $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1, \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\alpha_0 |\sigma|^2} dv_g < +\infty. \quad (24)$$

Proof. Since ζ is a global unit frame on $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, for any $\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, there must be a unique function $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ such that $\sigma = u\zeta$ and $|\sigma| = |u|$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies $\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq 1$, then Lemma 6 implies $\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq C$, and thus $\int_{\Sigma} u^2 dv_g \leq C$. This together with the formula (3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |du|^2 dv_g &\leq 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g + 2 \int_{\Sigma} u^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that $\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)} \leq C_0$ for some constant C_0 . By the classical Trudinger-Moser inequality [26, 17], we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{4\pi}{C_0^2} u^2} dv_g \leq S_{4\pi} = \sup_{\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)} \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g \leq C \sup_{\int_{\Sigma} |du|^2 dv_g \leq 1, \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0} \int_{\Sigma} e^{4\pi u^2} dv_g.$$

Equivalently

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{4\pi}{C_0^2} |\sigma|^2} dv_g \leq C.$$

One can see that any $\alpha_0 \in (0, 4\pi/C_0^2]$ satisfies (24), as desired. \square

Define $\alpha_* = \sup\{\alpha : \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1, \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\alpha |\sigma|^2} dv_g < +\infty\}$. The following embedding is analogous to the usual one for $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 8. For any $\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, there holds

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{p|\sigma|^2} dv_g < +\infty, \quad \forall p > 1.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ be fixed. There exists a unique function u such that $\sigma = u\zeta$. In view of (3), we have $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. Then the results of [26, 17] imply

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{p|\sigma|^2} dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} e^{pu^2} dv_g < +\infty$$

for any $p > 1$. □

Now we are in a position to estimate α_* .

Lemma 9. $\alpha_* = 4\pi$.

Proof. Step 1. There holds $\alpha_* \geq 4\pi$.

Suppose not. In view of Lemma 7, $0 < \alpha_* < 4\pi$. According to the definition of α_* , for any $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there would exist some $\sigma_j \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_j|^2 dv_g \leq 1$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{(\alpha_* + j^{-1})|\sigma_j|^2} dv_g \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (25)$$

By Lemma 6, $\{\sigma_j\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. With no loss of generality, we assume σ_j converges to σ^* weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and almost everywhere in Σ . Clearly $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Moreover, we claim that $\sigma^* = 0$. For otherwise, we have $\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}(\sigma_j - \sigma^*)|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_j|^2 dv_g - \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g + o_j(1) \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g, \end{aligned}$$

provided that j is chosen sufficiently large. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, the Young inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_j|^2 &\leq (1 + \epsilon)|\sigma_j - \sigma^*|^2 + (1 + \epsilon^{-1})|\sigma^*|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g \right) \frac{|\sigma_j - \sigma^*|^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}(\sigma_j - \sigma^*)|^2 dv_g} + (1 + \epsilon^{-1})|\sigma^*|^2, \end{aligned}$$

if j is large enough. Fixing ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_* + j^{-1})|\sigma_j|^2 &\leq (\alpha_* + j^{-1})(1 + \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g \right) \frac{|\sigma_j - \sigma^*|^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}(\sigma_j - \sigma^*)|^2 dv_g} + C(\epsilon)|\sigma^*|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\alpha_* - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma^*|^2 dv_g \right) \frac{|\sigma_j - \sigma^*|^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}(\sigma_j - \sigma^*)|^2 dv_g} + C(\epsilon)|\sigma^*|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large j . By the definition of α_* , Lemma 8 and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{(\alpha_* + j^{-1})|\sigma_j|^2} dv_g \leq C.$$

This contradicts (25), and confirms our claim $\sigma^* = 0$.

Write $\sigma_j = u_j \zeta$. From the above, we know that u_j converges to 0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma)$, and almost everywhere in Σ . On one hand, there holds for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_j|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} |du_j \otimes \zeta + u_j \omega \otimes \zeta|^2 dv_g \\ &\geq (1 - \delta) \int_{\Sigma} |du_j|^2 dv_g + o_j(1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for sufficiently large j ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} |du_j|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{1}{1 - 2\delta}.$$

Choosing $\delta > 0$ small enough such that $(\alpha_* + j^{-1})/(1 - 2\delta) < 4\pi - \delta$, and noting that u_j converges to 0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma)$, we obtain by the classical Trudinger-Moser inequality [26, 17],

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{(\alpha_* + j^{-1})|\sigma_j|^2} dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} e^{(\alpha_* + j^{-1})|u_j|^2} dv_g \leq C.$$

This contradicts (25), and ends the first step.

Step 2. There holds $\alpha_* \leq 4\pi$.

Take $z \in \Sigma$ and $\delta > 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define

$$u_k = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi} \log k} & \text{in } B_{\delta/\sqrt{k}}(z) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \log k}} \log \frac{r}{\delta} & \text{in } B_{\delta}(z) \setminus B_{\delta/\sqrt{k}}(z) \\ 0 & \text{in } \Sigma \setminus B_{\delta}(z) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma_k = u_k \zeta - \left(\int_{\Sigma} \langle u_k \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g \right) \tau_1,$$

where $r = r(x) = d_g(x, z)$ and $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis on \mathcal{H}_0 . Obviously $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In view of Lemma 5, there exists some constant $C = \max_{x \in \Sigma} |\tau_1(x)|$. For any $\alpha > 4\pi$, one can check that if $\delta > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1, \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g \leq 1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\alpha|\sigma|^2} dv_g &\geq \int_{\Sigma} \exp \left\{ \alpha \frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g} \right\} dv_g \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\delta/\sqrt{k}}(z)} \exp \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (1 + o_{\delta}(1) + o_k(1)) \log k \right\} dv_g \\ &= \pi \delta^2 (1 + o_{\delta}(1)) k^{\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (1 + o_{\delta}(1) + o_k(1)) - 1} \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the second step.

The lemma follows immediately from the above two steps. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is based on a variational method. Fixing $\rho < 8\pi$, we set

$$\Lambda_\rho = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma).$$

According to Lemma 9, $\Lambda_\rho > -\infty$. Take a minimizing sequence of sections $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma_k) \rightarrow \Lambda_\rho$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemmas 6 and 9, we have for any $\alpha < 4\pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\rho + o_k(1) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho}{|\Sigma|} \int_\Sigma \langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g - \rho \log \int_\Sigma he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho}{4\alpha} - \epsilon \right) \int_\Sigma |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g - C(\alpha, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing α satisfying $\rho/2 < \alpha < 4\pi$ and sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we conclude that $\{\sigma_k\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Hence, there exists some σ_0 such that σ_k converges to σ_0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and almost everywhere in Σ . It follows that $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$,

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathcal{D}\sigma_0|^2 dv_g \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Sigma |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g,$$

that

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \sigma_0, \zeta \rangle dv_g = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Sigma \langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g,$$

and that

$$\int_\Sigma he^{\langle \sigma_0, \zeta \rangle} dv_g = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Sigma he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g.$$

Summarizing the above three estimates, we conclude

$$\Lambda_\rho \leq \mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma_0) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\rho(\sigma_k) = \Lambda_\rho.$$

Therefore σ_0 is a minimizer of \mathcal{J}_ρ on \mathcal{H}_1 . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. Critical case

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 2 by using the blow-up analysis. Since the functional \mathcal{J}_ρ has no translation invariance, and the maximum principle is not available in the line bundle setting, our argument is quite different from that of [13, 19, 34, 39]. Since the consideration of the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 0$ is almost the same as that of the case $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, we only consider the second case, and leave the first case to interested readers.

4.1. Maximizers for subcritical functionals

As Ding-Jost-Li-wang did in [13], we shall analyze maximizers for subcritical functionals. For any positive integer k , we denote $\rho_k = 8\pi - 1/k$. By Theorem 1, there exists a section sequence $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfying $\mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma)$. Clearly σ_k satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma_k = \rho_k \left(\frac{he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta - \lambda_{1,k} \tau_1 \\ \mu_k = \int_\Sigma he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g \\ \lambda_{1,k} = \rho_k \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}$ and $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis on \mathcal{H}_0 .

In view of the importance of the coefficients $\lambda_{1,k}$ and μ_k , we have the following

Lemma 10. *There exists a constant C depending only on (Σ, g) and (\mathcal{L}, g) such that $|\lambda_{1,k}| \leq C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Moreover, there holds*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k > 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, we assume $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\tau_1\}$ and $\tau_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. This together with the facts $|\zeta(x)| = 1$, $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, and $0 < \rho_k \leq 8\pi$ implies $|\lambda_{1,k}| \leq C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

For the second assertion, we suppose not. Then up to a subsequence, $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. One easily sees from the choice of σ_k that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho_k}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g - \rho_k \log \mu_k \\ &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma) \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(0) \\ &\leq 8\pi \left| \log \int_{\Sigma} h dv_g \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and from the Poincaré inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Sigma} \langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g \right| &\leq \int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k| dv_g \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g + C. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Combining (27) and (28), one has $\rho_k \log \mu_k \geq -C$ for some positive constant C . This contradicts μ_k converges to 0, and ends the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 11. *There holds*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma). \tag{29}$$

Proof. Since the proof is completely analogous to that of ([39], Lemma 2.3), we omit the details here. \square

Lemma 12. *If μ_k is bounded, then σ_k is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ has a minimizer on \mathcal{H}_1 .*

Proof. If μ_k is bounded, then (27) gives

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \leq C.$$

This implies that σ_k is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and thus σ_k converges to some σ_0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, strongly in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and almost everywhere in Σ . This together with (29) leads to that $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma_0) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$. \square

From now on in this section, we write $\sigma_k = u_k \zeta$ and

$$c_k = \max_{\Sigma} u_k = u_k(x_k). \tag{30}$$

Lemma 13. *If c_k is bounded from above, then $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ has a minimizer on \mathcal{H}_1 .*

Proof. In view of Lemma 10, multiplying both sides of (26) by σ_k and integrating by parts, one estimates by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \rho_k \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{he^{u_k}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \langle \zeta, \sigma_k \rangle dv_g - \lambda_{1,k} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau_1, \sigma_k \rangle dv_g \\ &\leq \frac{\rho_k}{\mu_k} \left(\int_{\Sigma} h^2 e^{2u_k} dv_g \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} + C \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that σ_k is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 12, one concludes the lemma. \square

If $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ has no minimizer on \mathcal{H}_1 , then it follows from Lemmas 12 and 13 that

$$\mu_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad c_k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (31)$$

Let x_k be as in (30). With no loss of generality, we assume

$$x_k \rightarrow p \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Take a sequence of isothermal coordinate systems $(U, \phi_k; \{y_1, y_2\})$ near x_k with $\phi_k(x_k) = 0$ such that $\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2\delta}) \subset U$ and the metric g is represented by

$$g_k(y) = \exp(f_k(y))(dy_1^2 + dy_2^2),$$

where $f_k : \phi_k(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying $f_k(0) = 0$, $|d^j f_k| \leq C$, $j = 1, 2$,

$$\exp(f_k(y)) = 1 + O(|y|) = 1 + O(d_g(\phi_k^{-1}(y), x_k)), \quad (32)$$

$C^{-1}|y| \leq d_g(\phi_k^{-1}(y), x_k) \leq C|y|$ for some constant C independent of k . Moreover

$$|du|_{g_k}^2 = \exp(-f_k) |du|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2, \quad \Delta_{g_k} = -\exp(-f_k) \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

Indeed, such a sequence of isothermal coordinates exist. For its explicit proof, we refer the readers to ([37], Lemma 3.1).

4.2. Blow-up analysis

To analyze the asymptotic behavior of σ_k , we set

$$r_k = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k}}{\sqrt{\rho_k h(p)}} e^{-\frac{c_k}{2}}. \quad (33)$$

Recalling (31), we have an analog of ([39], Lemma 2.7), namely

Lemma 14. *For any $\gamma < 1/2$, there holds $r_k^2 e^{\gamma c_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In particular for any $q > 0$, there holds $r_k c_k^q \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Recall $h > 0$ on Σ . Multiplying both sides of (26) by σ_k , integrating by parts and using the Poincaré inequality (see Lemma 6 above), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \rho_k \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{he^{\mu_k}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g - \lambda_{1,k} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau_1, \sigma_k \rangle dv_g \\ &\leq 8\pi c_k \int_{\Sigma} \frac{he^{\mu_k}}{\mu_k} dv_g + C \int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k| dv_g \\ &\leq 8\pi c_k + C \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be a fixed number to be determined later. We conclude from (34) that for all sufficiently large k ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \leq 8\pi(1 + \epsilon)c_k. \quad (35)$$

This together with Lemma 9 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g &\leq (\max_{\Sigma} h) \int_{\Sigma} \exp \left\{ \frac{4\pi(1 - \epsilon)|\sigma_k|^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g} + \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g}{16(1 - \epsilon)\pi} \right\} dv_g \\ &\leq C(\epsilon) \exp \left\{ \frac{1 + \epsilon}{2(1 - \epsilon)} c_k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$r_k^2 = \frac{\int_{\Sigma} he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g}{\rho_k h(p)} e^{-c_k} \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - 3\epsilon}{2(1 - \epsilon)} c_k \right\}.$$

For any $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, we can take $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $(1 - 3\epsilon)/(2 - 2\epsilon) > \gamma$. As a consequence, we obtain $r_k^2 e^{\gamma c_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Recall $\sigma_k = u_k \zeta$. In view of Lemma 4, the Euler-Lagrange equation (26) is transformed into

$$\Delta_g u_k = \rho_k \left(\frac{he^{\mu_k}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) - \lambda_{1,k} \langle \tau_1, \zeta \rangle - (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u_k. \quad (36)$$

For simplicity, in the isothermal coordinate systems $(U, \phi_k; \{y_1, y_2\})$, we sometimes denote $u \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ by \tilde{u} for a function u in the sequel. Define $\psi_k(y) = c_k^{-1} \tilde{u}_k(r_k y)$ and $\varphi_k(y) = \tilde{u}_k(r_k y) - c_k$ for all $y \in \Omega_k = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r_k y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta\}$. For the convergence of ψ_k and φ_k , one has

Lemma 15. *There hold up to a subsequence, $\psi_k \rightarrow 1$ and $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi = -2 \log(1 + |y|^2/8)$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By (33), (36) and the definition of g_k , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g_k} \psi_k(y) &= \frac{h(\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y))}{h(p) c_k} e^{\varphi_k(y)} - \frac{r_k^2 \rho_k}{|\Sigma| c_k} - c_k^{-1} r_k^2 \lambda_{1,k} \langle \tau_1, \zeta \rangle (\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y)) \\ &\quad - c_k^{-1} r_k^2 (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u_k(\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y)), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $\Delta_{g_k} \psi_k(y) = -\exp(-f_k(r_k y)) \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_k(y)$. Since for any $R > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_R} |r_k^2 (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u_k(\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y))|^2 dy \leq C r_k^2 \int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \leq C r_k^2 c_k,$$

we have that $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_k(y)$ converges to 0 locally uniformly in \mathbb{R}^2 . Note also that $\psi_k(y) \leq 1$ for all $y \in \Omega_k$. Applying elliptic estimates to (37), we conclude that $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where ψ is a harmonic function with $\psi(y) \leq \psi(0) = 1$. Then the Liouville theorem implies $\psi \equiv 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Also we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g_k} \varphi_k(y) &= \frac{h(\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y))}{h(p)} e^{\varphi_k(y)} - \frac{r_k^2 \rho_k}{|\Sigma|} - r_k^2 \lambda_{1,k} \langle \tau_1, \zeta \rangle (\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y)) \\ &\quad - r_k^2 (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) u_k(\phi_k^{-1}(r_k y)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we use elliptic estimates to conclude that $\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where φ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi = e^\varphi & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi(y)} dy < \infty. \end{cases}$$

It then follows from a classification theorem of Chen-Li [9] that $\varphi(y) = -2 \log(1 + |y|^2/8)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi(y)} dy = 8\pi, \quad (38)$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 16. $\mu_k^{-1} h e^{u_k} dv_g$ converges to the dirac measure δ_p in the sense of measure.

Proof. In view of (33) and Lemma 15, it is easy to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} \mu_k^{-1} h e^{u_k} dv_g &= (1 + o_k(1)) \int_{\mathbb{B}_R} e^{\varphi_k(y)} dy \\ &= (1 + o_k(1)) \int_{\mathbb{B}_R} e^{\varphi(y)} dy. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (38) gives

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} \mu_k^{-1} h e^{u_k} dv_g = 1. \quad (39)$$

Since $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$ and $\int_{\Sigma} \mu_k^{-1} h e^{u_k} dv_g = 1$, we obtain

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} \mu_k^{-1} h e^{u_k} dv_g = 0. \quad (40)$$

Note also $x_k \rightarrow p$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (39) and (40) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} \mu_k^{-1} \eta h e^{u_k} dv_g = \eta(p), \quad \forall \eta \in C^0(\Sigma).$$

This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 17. σ_k converges to a Green section G weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, strongly in $L^r(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ for all $r < \frac{2q}{2-q}$, $1 < q < 2$, and in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{p\}, \mathcal{L})$, where G satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathcal{L}} G = 8\pi \left(\delta_p - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta - \lambda_1 \tau_1 \\ \lambda_1 = 8\pi \left(\langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle(p) - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g \right) \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

in the distributional sense.

Proof. Denote a section sequence

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \rho_k \left(\frac{he^{\langle \sigma_k, \zeta \rangle}}{\mu_k} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) \zeta - \lambda_{1,k} \tau_1.$$

We first prove that

$$\|\sigma_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\sigma_k|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \leq C.$$

Suppose on the contrary $\|\sigma_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In view of (26), we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{\sigma}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k / \|\sigma_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k = \mathbf{f}_k / \|\sigma_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})}$. Since $\|\tilde{\sigma}_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = 1$ and $\|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k\|_{L^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = o_k(1)$, we conclude from Proposition 7.1 in [20] that $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ for any $1 < q < 2$. We assume with no loss of generality $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ converges to $\tilde{\sigma}$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a distributional solution of

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{\sigma} = 0. \quad (42)$$

Moreover $\tilde{\sigma}$ belongs to \mathcal{H}_1 since $\tilde{\sigma}_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Testing (42) with $\tilde{\sigma}$ and using the Poincaré inequality (Lemma 6), we conclude $\|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = 0$, which contradicts $\|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})} = 1$. Hence σ_k must be bounded in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Again applying Proposition 7.1 in [20] to $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}} \sigma_k = \mathbf{f}_k$, we have that σ_k is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ for any $1 < q < 2$. Then the weak compactness of $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ and the compact embedding $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, $\forall r < 2q/(2-q)$, lead to the existence of some section G such that σ_k converges to G weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ and strongly in $L^r(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, $\forall r < 2q/(2-q)$, $1 < q < 2$. By (26) and Lemma 16, G is a distributional solution of (41). While the convergence in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{p\}, \mathcal{L})$ follows from elliptic estimates on (26). \square

From Lemmas 4 and 17, we know that there exists a unique $G_p \in W^{1,q}(\Sigma)$, $\forall 1 < q < 2$, such that $G = G_p \zeta$ and in the distributional sense

$$\Delta_g G_p + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) G_p = 8\pi \left(\delta_p - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \right) - \lambda_1 \langle \tau_1, \zeta \rangle. \quad (43)$$

Let $r = r(x) = d_g(x, p)$ be the geodesic distance between x and p . Since $\Delta_g(G_p + 4 \log r)$ belongs to $L^q(\Sigma)$, the elliptic estimate implies that $G_p + 4 \log r$ belongs to $C^1(\Sigma)$. This together with the Sobolev embedding theorem leads to a local decomposition

$$G_p(x) = -4 \log r + A_p + \eta(x), \quad (44)$$

where A_p is a constant, $\eta \in C^1(\Sigma)$ satisfies $\eta(p) = 0$. As a consequence, the Green section G can be written as

$$G(x) = (-4 \log r + A_p + \eta(x)) \zeta(x).$$

4.3. Lower bound estimate

We now turn our attention to the lower bound estimate of $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$. Firstly we calculate for any fixed $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |du_k \otimes \zeta + u_k \omega \otimes \zeta|^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |du_k|^2 dv_g + 2 \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} u_k \langle du_k, \omega \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |u_k|^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\phi_k : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the sequence of isothermal coordinate systems constructed before. By Lemma 15, we have $\tilde{u}_k(z) = c_k - 2 \log(1 + |z|^2/(8r_k^2))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |du_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_R} |d\varphi_k(y)|^2 dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_R} |d\varphi(y)|^2 dy + o_k(1) \\ &= 16\pi \log\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) - 16\pi + o_k(1) + o_R(1), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and by Lemma 14,

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |u_k|^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g \leq C(1 + o_k(1))R^2 r_k^2 c_k^2 = o_k(1). \quad (47)$$

As a consequence we have by the Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} u_k \langle du_k, \omega \rangle dv_g = o_k(1). \quad (48)$$

Inserting (46)-(48) into (45), we obtain

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g = 16\pi \log\left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) - 16\pi + o_k(1) + o_R(1). \quad (49)$$

Secondly it follows from Lemma 17 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |\mathcal{D}G|^2 dv_g + o_k(1) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |dG_p|^2 dv_g + 2 \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} G_p \langle dG_p, \omega \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |G_p|^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In view of (32), we conclude $d_g(x_k, \partial\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)) = (1 + O(\delta))\delta$. Thus there exists some constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $B_{(1-c_1\delta)\delta}(x_k) \subset \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \subset B_{(1+c_1\delta)\delta}(x_k)$, provided that k is chosen sufficiently large. By (44), we have

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} G_p \langle dG_p, \omega \rangle dv_g = o(1) \quad (51)$$

and

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |G_p|^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g = o(1), \quad (52)$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ first, and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Inserting (51) and (52) into (50), we have by integration by parts and (43) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta)} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{(1+c_1\delta)\delta}(x_k)} |dG_p|^2 dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} \langle dG_p^2, \omega \rangle dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} G_p^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g + o(1) \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{(1+c_1\delta)\delta}(x_k)} G_p \Delta_g G_p dv_g - \int_{\partial B_{(1+c_1\delta)\delta}(x_k)} G_p \frac{\partial G_p}{\partial \nu} ds_g \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \langle dG_p^2, \omega \rangle dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} G_p^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g + o(1) \\ &= -32\pi \log \delta + 8\pi A_p - \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Thirdly we estimate the energy of σ_k on neck domains $\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})$. Since u_k converges to G_p weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma)$, $1 < q < 2$, and strongly in $L^2(\Sigma)$, $\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \subset B_{2\delta}(p)$ for sufficiently large k , $\int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} G_p^2 dv_g = o_\delta(1)$, and $\int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} G_p \langle dG_p, \omega \rangle dv_g = o_\delta(1)$, there must be

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} u_k^2 |\omega|^2 dv_g \leq C \int_{B_{2\delta}(p)} u_k^2 dv_g = o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} u_k \langle du_k, \omega \rangle dv_g = o(1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |du_k|^2 dv_g + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_\delta \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} |d\tilde{u}_k|^2 dy + o(1) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_\delta \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} |d\tilde{u}_k^*|^2 dy + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $\tilde{u}_k^* = \max\{\min\{\tilde{u}_k, a_k\}, b_k\}$, $a_k = \inf_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} \tilde{u}_k$, and $b_k = \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_\delta} \tilde{u}_k$. Let us now modify an argument of Wang-Liu [34] (see also Li-Li [19] for the Toda system). It is easy to see that the infimum

$$\inf_{w|_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} = a_k, w|_{\partial \mathbb{B}_\delta} = b_k} \int_{\mathbb{B}_\delta \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} |dw|^2 dy \quad (55)$$

is attained by a harmonic function

$$H(y) = \frac{a_k - b_k}{\log(Rr_k) - \log \delta} \log |y| + \frac{a_k \log \delta - b_k \log(Rr_k)}{-\log(Rr_k) + \log \delta}, \quad y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}.$$

As a consequence

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_\delta \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}} |dH|^2 dy = \frac{2\pi(a_k - b_k)^2}{\log \delta - \log(Rr_k)}. \quad (56)$$

Note that $\widetilde{u}_k^* = a_k$ on $\partial\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k}$ and $\widetilde{u}_k^* = b_k$ on $\partial\mathbb{B}_\delta$, provided that k is chosen sufficiently large. Combining (54)-(56), we get by (33)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |du_k|^2 dv_g &\geq \frac{2\pi(a_k - b_k)^2}{\log \delta - \log(Rr_k)} \\ &= \frac{4\pi(c_k + a_k - c_k - b_k)^2}{c_k - \log \mu_k + 2 \log \delta - 2 \log R + \log \rho_k + \log h(p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In view of Lemmas 15, 17, and the local representation (44), we derive

$$a_k - c_k - b_k = -2 \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8} \right) + 4 \log \delta - A_p + o_k(1). \quad (58)$$

It follows from Lemma 14 that $c_k - \log \mu_k \geq \gamma c_k - \log \rho_k - \log h(p)$ for any $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, in particular $\gamma \leq (c_k - \log \mu_k)/c_k \leq 1$, provided that k is sufficiently large. This together with (58) leads to a Taylor expansion of the final term in (57), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi(a_k - b_k)^2}{\log \delta - \log(Rr_k)} &= \frac{4\pi c_k^2}{c_k - \log \mu_k} \left(1 + \frac{2(a_k - c_k - b_k)}{c_k} + \frac{(a_k - c_k - b_k)^2}{c_k^2} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 - \frac{2 \log \delta - 2 \log R + \log \rho_k + \log h(p)}{c_k - \log \mu_k} + O\left(\frac{1}{(c_k - \log \mu_k)^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi c_k^2}{c_k - \log \mu_k} + \frac{8\pi(a_k - c_k - b_k)c_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} - \frac{4\pi c_k^2}{(c_k - \log \mu_k)^2} (2 \log \delta - 2 \log R \\ &\quad + \log \rho_k + \log h(p)) + o_k(1). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Also we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi c_k^2}{c_k - \log \mu_k} &= 4\pi(c_k - \log \mu_k) \left(1 + \frac{\log \mu_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} \right)^2 \\ &= 4\pi(c_k - \log \mu_k) \left(1 - \frac{\log \mu_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} \right)^2 + 16\pi \log \mu_k. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Combining (57)-(60) and (54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta) \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_k})} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g &\geq 4\pi(c_k - \log \mu_k) \left(1 - \frac{\log \mu_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} \right)^2 + 16\pi \log \mu_k \\ &\quad + \frac{8\pi(a_k - c_k - b_k)c_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} - \frac{4\pi c_k^2}{(c_k - \log \mu_k)^2} (2 \log \delta - 2 \log R \\ &\quad + \log \rho_k + \log h(p)) + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

In view of (49), (53), (61) and $\rho_k \leq 8\pi$, there are the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma_k|^2 dv_g + \frac{\rho_k}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} u_k dv_g - \rho_k \log \mu_k \\
&\geq 2\pi(c_k - \log \mu_k) \left(1 - \frac{\log \mu_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k}\right)^2 + \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + \frac{4\pi(a_k - c_k - b_k)c_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} \\
&\quad - \frac{2\pi c_k^2}{(c_k - \log \mu_k)^2} (2 \log \delta - 2 \log R + \log \rho_k + \log h(p)) \\
&\quad - 16\pi \log \delta + 4\pi A_p - \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + 8\pi \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) - 8\pi + o(1), \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ first, $R \rightarrow \infty$ next, and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ finally. Noting (58) and $\gamma c_k \leq c_k - \log \mu_k \leq c_k$ for any $\gamma < 1/2$ and sufficiently large k , we have up to a subsequence

$$\frac{\log \mu_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} = 1 + o_k(1). \tag{63}$$

For otherwise, the righthand side of (62) tends to $+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $\mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting (27). Note that (63) implies

$$\frac{c_k}{c_k - \log \mu_k} = 2 + o_k(1). \tag{64}$$

Discarding the first term of the righthand side of (62), and passing to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ first, then $R \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$ finally, we have by using (58), (64) and Lemma 11 that

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma) \geq \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g - 4\pi A_p - 8\pi \log \pi - 8\pi - 8\pi \log h(p). \tag{65}$$

We shall prove that (65) is in fact an equality. It suffices to construct a sequence of sections $Q_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(Q_k)$ converges to the righthand side of (65). To this end, we set

$$q_k(x) = \begin{cases} c - 2 \log \left(1 + \frac{k^2 r^2}{8}\right), & x \in B_{R/k}(p) \\ G_p(x) - \varrho(x)\eta(x), & x \in B_{2R/k}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p) \\ G_p(x), & x \in \Sigma \setminus B_{2R/k}(p), \end{cases} \tag{66}$$

where η is given as in (44), $\varrho \in C_0^1(B_{2R/k}(p))$ satisfies $\varrho(x) \equiv 1$ for all $x \in B_{R/k}(p)$, $|d\varrho(x)| \leq 4k/R$ for all $x \in B_{2R/k}(p)$,

$$c = 2 \log(1 + R^2/8) - 4 \log R + 4 \log k + A_p,$$

and $R = R(k)$ satisfies $R \rightarrow +\infty$ and $R(\log R)/k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. One easily checks that q_k belongs to $W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma} q_k^2 dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} G_p^2 dv_g + o_k(1). \tag{67}$$

Define

$$Q_k = q_k \zeta - \int_{\Sigma} \langle q_k \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g \tau_1, \tag{68}$$

where $\{\tau_1\}$ is a normal basis of \mathcal{H}_0 . Obviously $Q_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In view of (67) and $\mathcal{D}\tau_1 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}Q_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} |dq_k \otimes \zeta + q_k \omega \otimes \zeta|^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} (|dq_k|^2 + q_k^2(|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega)) dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} |dq_k|^2 dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} G_p^2(|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) dv_g + o_k(1). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

By the definition of q_k (see (66) above), we get

$$\int_{B_{R/k}(p)} |dq_k|^2 dv_g = 16\pi \log \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{8}\right) - 16\pi + o_k(1). \quad (70)$$

Moreover, in view of (66), we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} |dq_k|^2 dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} |dG_p|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_{2R/k}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} |d(\varrho\eta)|^2 dv_g \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{B_{2R/k}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} \langle dG_p, d(\varrho\eta) \rangle dv_g \\ &= - \int_{\partial B_{R/k}(p)} G_p \frac{\partial G_p}{\partial \nu} ds_g + \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} G_p \Delta_g G_p dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{2R/k}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} |d(\varrho\eta)|^2 dv_g - 2 \int_{B_{2R/k}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} \varrho\eta \Delta_g G_p dv_g \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\partial B_{R/k}(p)} \varrho\eta \frac{\partial G_p}{\partial \nu} ds_g. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

By (44) and ([20], Lemma 7.2), we have

$$- \int_{\partial B_{R/k}(p)} G_p \frac{\partial G_p}{\partial \nu} ds_g = -32\pi \log R + 32\pi \log k + 8\pi A_p + o_k(1). \quad (72)$$

Noting that

$$\int_{\Sigma} G_p \langle \tau_1, \zeta \rangle dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \tau_1, G \rangle dv_g = 0, \quad (73)$$

we also have by using (43) and (44),

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} G_p \Delta_g G_p dv_g = -\frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g - \int_{\Sigma} (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega) G_p^2 dv_g + o_k(1). \quad (74)$$

Using again (43), (44) and ([20], Lemma 7.2), we know that all the last three terms on the righthand side of (71) are infinitesimals as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In view of these infinitesimals, (71) and (72), we have by combining (69), (70) and (74) that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}Q_k|^2 dv_g = 32\pi \log k - 16\pi \log 8 - 16\pi + 8\pi A_p - \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + o_k(1). \quad (75)$$

Next it is obvious to see

$$\frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g = \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + o_k(1).$$

We are now computing the integral $\int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g$. Fixing some small $\delta > 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g &= h(p) \int_{B_{R/k}(p)} e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g + \int_{B_{R/k}(p)} (h - h(p)) e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\delta}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g + \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{\delta}(p)} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Recalling (73), there holds $\int_{\Sigma} \langle q_k \zeta, \tau_1 \rangle dv_g = o_k(1)$. This together with (66) and (68) gives

$$\begin{aligned} h(p) \int_{B_{R/k}(p)} e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g &= h(p) \int_{B_{R/k}(p)} e^{q_k + o_k(1)} dv_g \\ &= (1 + o_k(1)) 8\pi h(p) e^{-2 \log 8 + 2 \log k + A_p}. \end{aligned}$$

While three integrals $\int_{B_{R/k}(p)} (h - h(p)) e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g$, $\int_{B_{\delta}(p) \setminus B_{R/k}(p)} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g$ and $\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{\delta}(p)} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g$ are all $o_k(1)k^2$. These estimates together with (76) lead to

$$\log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g = -\log 8 + \log(\pi h(p)) + 2 \log k + A_p + o_k(1). \quad (77)$$

Combining (75) and (77), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(Q_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}Q_k|^2 dv_g + \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} \langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle dv_g - 8\pi \log \int_{\Sigma} h e^{\langle Q_k, \zeta \rangle} dv_g \\ &= -8\pi \log \pi - 8\pi - 8\pi \log h(p) - 4\pi A_p + \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g + o_k(1). \end{aligned}$$

This immediately leads to

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma) \leq -8\pi \log \pi - 8\pi - 8\pi \log h(p) - 4\pi A_p + \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} G_p dv_g, \quad (78)$$

which together with (65) implies (12).

4.4. Completion of the proof of Theorem 2

It is just a summary of the previous subsections. Our purpose is to prove that if $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ has no minimizer on \mathcal{H}_1 , that is,

$$\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma_0) \neq \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma), \quad \text{for all } \sigma_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L}),$$

then $\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$ has an exact value as in (12). First of all, let $\rho_k = 8\pi - 1/k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By Theorem 1, there exists a sequence of sections $\sigma_k = u_k \zeta \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma).$$

By Lemma 11, $\mathcal{J}_{\rho_k}(\sigma_k) \rightarrow \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $c_k = \max_{\Sigma} u_k = u_k(x_k)$. Then Lemma 13 leads to $c_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In view of Lemma 17, there exists a unique Green section $G = G_p \zeta$ such that σ_k converges to G weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ for any $1 < q < 2$, strongly in $L^r(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ for $r < 2q/(2-q)$, $1 < q < 2$, and in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{p\}, \mathcal{L})$. Locally G_p is written as $G_p(x) = -4 \log r + A_p + o(1)$, where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $r = d_g(x, p) \rightarrow 0$. Finally we derive a lower bound (65) of $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ on \mathcal{H}_1 . Also we construct a sequence of sections $Q_k \in \mathcal{H}_1$ indicating that the infimum of $\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}$ on \mathcal{H}_1 satisfies (78). Combining (65) and (78), we obtain (12). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. Further assumption on the frame ζ

We now turn to Theorem 3. A key observation is as follows.

Lemma 18. *Suppose that $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$. Then $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$, in particular $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\zeta\}$. Moreover if we set $\sigma = u\zeta$, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}\sigma = (\Delta_g u)\zeta$.*

Proof. Since ζ is a global unit frame on $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$, for any $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_0$, there exists a unique function v such that $\tau = v\zeta$. Clearly

$$0 = \mathcal{D}\tau = dv \otimes \zeta + v\mathcal{D}\zeta = dv \otimes \zeta.$$

This leads to $dv = 0$, and thus $v \equiv C$ for some constant C . Hence $\tau = C\zeta$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\zeta\}$.

In view of Lemma 4, for any $\sigma = u\zeta$, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}\sigma = (\Delta_g u + (|\omega|^2 + d^* \omega)u)\zeta,$$

where ω satisfies $\mathcal{D}\zeta = \omega \otimes \zeta$. Obviously $\omega = 0$ since $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$. Hence $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}}\sigma = (\Delta_g u)\zeta$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose $\mathcal{D}\zeta = 0$. If $\sigma = u\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then we have $\langle \sigma, \zeta \rangle = u$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{D}\sigma|^2 dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} |du|^2 dv_g.$$

In view of the definitions of functionals J_{ρ} and \mathcal{J}_{ρ} (see (2) and (4) above), there holds

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho}(\sigma) = J_{\rho}(u). \quad (79)$$

Define a function space

$$H_1 = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} u dv_g = 0 \right\}.$$

(i) $\rho < 8\pi$.

By Theorem 1, there exists some $\sigma_{\rho} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{\rho}(\sigma_{\rho}) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{\rho}(\sigma)$. A straightforward calculation shows σ_{ρ} satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (9). In view of Lemma 18, one can easily check that (9) reduces to (13).

(ii) $\rho = 8\pi$.

Note that

$$\inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma) = \inf_{u \in H_1} J_{8\pi}(u).$$

Under the *Ding-Jost-Li-Wang condition* ([13], Theorem 1.2), there exists some $u_0 \in H_1$ such that

$$J_{8\pi}(u_0) = \inf_{u \in H_1} J_{8\pi}(u).$$

Let $\sigma_0 = u_0\zeta$. Then $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma_0) = \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathcal{J}_{8\pi}(\sigma).$$

Clearly σ_0 satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (13).

(iii) $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

It was proved by Djadli [11] that for any $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, J_ρ has a critical point $u_\rho \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma)$. Let $\sigma_\rho = u_\rho\zeta$. In view of (79), we conclude that σ_ρ is a critical point of \mathcal{J}_ρ on the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$. Obviously σ_ρ is a solution of the mean field equation (13).

Combining the above three assertions, we finish the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Professor Linlin Sun for discussion on this topic, which enables us to improve a previous result $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 < \infty$ to $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 \leq 1$.

Competing interests

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] W. Ao, A. Jevnikar, W. Yang, On the Boundary Behavior for the Blow-up Solutions of the sinh-Gordon Equation and Rank N Toda Systems in Bounded Domains, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2020 (2020), 9386-9419.
- [2] T. Aubin, Sur la fonction exponentielle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 270 (1970), A1514-A1516.
- [3] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds*, Springer, 1982.
- [4] L. Battaglia, A. Jevnikar, A. Malchiodi, D. Ruiz, A general existence result for the Toda system on compact surfaces, *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), 937-979.
- [5] L. Battaglia, R. López-Soriano, A double mean field equation related to a curvature prescription problem, *J. Differential Equations* 269 (2020), 2705-2740.
- [6] A. Caffarelli, Y. S. Yang, Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: An existence theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 168 (1995), 321-336.
- [7] A. Chang, P. Yang, Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S^2 , *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B* 159 (1987), 215-259.
- [8] A. Chang, P. Yang, Conformal deformation of metrics on S^2 , *J. Differential Geom.* 23 (1988), 259-296.
- [9] W. Chen, C. Li, Prescribing Gaussian curvatures on surfaces with conical singularities, *J. Geom. Anal.* 1 (1991), 359-372.
- [10] F. de Marchis, R. López-Soriano, D. Ruiz, Compactness, existence and multiplicity for the singular mean field problem with sign-changing potentials, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 115 (2018), 237-267.

- [11] Z. Djadli, Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genres, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10 (2008), 205-220.
- [12] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature, *Ann. of Math.* 168 (2008), 813-858.
- [13] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann Surface, *Asian J. Math.* 1 (1997), 230-248.
- [14] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, An analysis of the two-vortex case in the Chern-Simons Higgs model, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 7 (1998), 87-97.
- [15] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, Multiplicity results for the two-vortex Chern-Simons Higgs model on the two-sphere, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 74 (1999), 118-142.
- [16] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, Existence results for mean field equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire.* 16 (1999), 653-666.
- [17] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 68 (1993), 415-454.
- [18] J. Kazdan, F. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 99 (1974), 14-47.
- [19] J. Li, Y. Li, Solutions for Toda systems on Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 4 (2005), 703-728.
- [20] Y. Li, P. Liu, Y. Yang, Moser-Trudinger inequalities on vector bundles over a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 2, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 28 (2007), 59-83.
- [21] J. Li, L. Sun, Y. Yang, Boundary value problem for the mean field equation on a compact Riemann surface, (2022), arXiv:2201.01544.
- [22] J. Li, C. Zhu, The convergence of the mean field type flow at a critical case, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019), 60-78.
- [23] A. Malchiodi, Topological methods for an elliptic equation with exponential nonlinearities, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 21 (2008), 277-294.
- [24] A. Malchiodi, C. Ndiaye, Some existence results for the Toda system on closed surfaces, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* 18 (2007), 391-412.
- [25] A. Malchiodi, D. Ruiz, A variational analysis of the Toda system on compact surfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 66 (2013), 332-371.
- [26] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 20 (1971), 1077-1091.
- [27] J. Moser, On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry, *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 273-280.
- [28] M. Nolasco, G. Tarantello, On a sharp Sobolev-type inequality on two-dimensional compact manifolds, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 145 (1998), 161-195.
- [29] M. Struwe, The existence of surfaces of constant mean curvature with free boundaries, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B* 160 (1988), 19-64.
- [30] L. Sun, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, Existence results for a generalized mean field equation on a closed Riemann surface, (2021), arXiv: 2101.03859.
- [31] L. Sun, J. Zhu, Global existence and convergence of a flow to Kazdan-Warner equation with non-negative prescribed function, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), Paper No. 42, 26 pp.
- [32] L. Sun, J. Zhu, Existence of Kazdan-Warner equation with sign-changing prescribed function, (2021), arXiv: 2012.12840.
- [33] G. Tarantello, Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 37 (1996), 3769-3796.
- [34] M. Wang, Q. Liu, The equation $\Delta u + \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla u = 8\pi c(1 - he^u)$ on a Riemann surface, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* 25 (2012), 335-355.
- [35] Y. S. Yang, *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2001.
- [36] Y. Yang, A weighted form of Moser-Trudinger inequality on Riemannian surface, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 647-659.
- [37] Y. Yang, Nonexistence of extremals for an inequality of Adimurthi-Druet on a closed Riemann surface, *Sci. China Math.* 63 (2020), 1627-1644.
- [38] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, A remark on a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145 (2017), 3953-3959.
- [39] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, Existence of solutions to a class of Kazdan-Warner equations on compact Riemannian surface, *Sci. China Math.* 61 (2018), 1109-1128.