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#### Abstract

We study rational points on ramified covers of abelian varieties over certain infinite Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, we prove that every elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ has the weak Hilbert property of Corvaja-Zannier both over the maximal abelian extension $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and over the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ obtained by adjoining to $\mathbb{Q}$ all torsion points of some abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.


## 1. Introduction

Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, which is one of the fundamental results about the arithmetic of number fields, can be viewed as a statement about rational points on finite covers of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, which led to the definition of the Hilbert property of varieties:
Definition 1.1 ([Ser08, CTS87]). A variety ${ }^{1} X$ over a field $K$ of characteristic zero has the Hilbert property HP if for every finite collection of finite surjective morphisms $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ with each $Y_{i}$ a normal variety over $K$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{i}\right) \geq 2$, the set $X(K) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i}\left(Y_{i}(K)\right)$ is Zariski-dense in $X$.

Since Hilbert's irreducibility theorem is of great importance in Galois theory and diophantine geometry, the Hilbert property has been investigated intensively in recent years, see [BFP14, Bor15, CZ17, Coc19, Dem20, Dem21, NS20, Str21, Jav21, BG22, Jav22].

A nonzero abelian variety $A$ over a number field $K$ does not have HP, since $2 A(K)$ has finite index in $A(K)$ by the weak Mordell-Weil theorem (and so $A(K)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \pi_{i}(A(K))$ where $\pi_{i}: A \rightarrow$ $A, x \mapsto 2 x+P_{i}$ with $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ a set of representatives of $\left.A(K) / 2 A(K)\right)$. After foundational work in [DZ07, Zan10] on algebraic groups, Corvaja and Zannier in [CZ17] explained this failure of HP for abelian varieties more generally by the existence of unramified covers. They then asked whether abelian varieties satisfy the following weaker property that suffices for many applications:

Definition 1.2 ([CZ17]). A smooth proper variety $X$ over a field $K$ of characteristic zero has the weak Hilbert property WHP if for every finite collection of finite surjective ramified morphisms $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ with each $Y_{i}$ a normal variety over $K$, the set $X(K) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i}\left(Y_{i}(K)\right)$ is Zariskidense in $X$.

This was then answered positively in [CDJLZ22]:
Theorem 1.3 (Corvaja-Demeio-Javanpeykar-Lombardo-Zannier 2020). Every abelian variety A over a number field $K$ with $A(K)$ Zariski-dense has WHP.

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic zero. It is known that if $X$ has HP or WHP over $K$ and $L / K$ is finite, then the base change $X_{L}$ has HP resp. WHP (see [FJ08, Prop. 12.3.3] resp. [CDJLZ22, Prop. 3.15]), and one can see that at least in the case of HP this holds also for $L / K$ Galois with finitely generated, or more generally small, Galois group (cf. [FJ08, Prop. 16.11.1]). There are many results in the literature regarding $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ having HP (or equivalently WHP, as $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is simply connected) over other infinite algebraic extensions $L$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ - fields $L$ for which $\mathbb{P}_{L}^{1}$ has HP are called Hilbertian following [Lan62], see for example [Kuy70] for abelian extensions and [Har99, BFW16] for two different generalizations of this, and [FJ08, Chapters 12-13] for an extensive discussion

[^0]of Hilbertian fields. Even more precise results are known for example for linear algebraic groups like $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ (see e.g. [Zan10, Theorem 2.1]). However, as remarked in [Zan10], no such results are available for abelian varieties. Starting from Theorem 1.3, and developing further the methods of [Har99], we prove in Section 4 the first such result over infinite (non-small) Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ of number theoretic interest:

Theorem 1.4. Let $K$ be a finitely generated field of characteristic zero and $A$ an abelian variety over $K$. Let $L / K$ be an abelian extension such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A_{0}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right)=\infty$ for every nonzero homomorphic image $A_{0}$ of $A_{L}$. Then $A_{L}$ has WHP.

We remark that the assumption $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A_{0}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right)=\infty$ seems natural in that if $L=K^{\text {ab }}$ is the maximal abelian extension of $K$ and $A(L)=A(K)$, then $A_{L}$ does not have WHP (see Remark 4.16). Moreover, the so-called Frey-Jarden conjecture, named after a problem posed in [FJ74], predicts that in the case $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$, every abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ (and then in fact also every abelian variety $A$ over $K^{\text {ab }}$ for a number field $K$, cf. Lemma 4.5) satisfies this condition.

Corollary 1.5. Let $K$ be a number field and assume that the Frey-Jarden conjecture holds (i.e. Question 4.4 has a positive answer). Then every abelian variety $A$ over $K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has WHP.

By [FP10, Theorem 1.2], the conjecture would follow for example if $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ is ample (or large), cf. [BF13, §3.3]. See [Pet06, SY12, IL13] and the references therein for progress on the Frey-Jarden conjecture for specific abelian varieties. Since the conjecture holds for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ (as proven already in [FJ74], cf. Proposition 4.3), as a special case of Theorem 1.4 we obtain:

Corollary 1.6. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E_{\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}}$ has WHP.
While by the Kronecker-Weber theorem, $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ can be seen as the field obtained by adjoining all torsion points of the linear algebraic group $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ to $\mathbb{Q}$, our second result concerns the extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ obtained from $\mathbb{Q}$ by adjoining all torsion points of an abelian variety $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The fact that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)}^{1}$ has HP was first proven by Jarden [Jar10] (which inspired for example the above mentioned [BFW16]). In Section 5 we combine Theorem 1.3 with the method of [Jar10] to obtain:

Theorem 1.7. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $E$ an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E_{\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)}$ has WHP.

This can be seen as a partial result towards a conjecture by Zannier [Zan10, §2] regarding torsion points of $A$ coming from $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$-rational points on ramified covers of $A$. In a different direction we remark that by a result of Larsen [Lar05] (see also [Hab13, Corollary 1.2], [BHP20, Lemma A. 7$]), E\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)\right) \cong E_{\text {tor }} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$, and so $2 E\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)\right)$ has infinite index in $E\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\text {tor }}\right)\right)$, which eliminates the above obstruction to HP for $E$ over a number field. This suggests the following question:

## Question 1.8. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Does $E_{\mathbb{Q}\left(E_{\mathrm{tor}}\right)}$ have HP?

We conclude this introduction by saying that in fact [CDJLZ22] proves a more precise statement than Theorem 1.3, and it is this stronger statement that we use in our proofs. This stronger statement however does not carry over to abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ or $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$, by the above mentioned Remark 4.16. We point out that our results are specific to abelian varieties and the extensions $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$, and it is not immediately clear how to extend them to other varieties or other extensions. For example we even do not know whether if $X$ is a $K$-variety with WHP and $L / K$ is a Galois extension with finitely generated Galois group, then $X_{L}$ has WHP.

We begin with some preliminaries in Section 2. In Section 3 we describe the strategy of our proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.7 and provide a few lemmas that we will use there. Sections 4 and 5 finally contain the proofs of Theorems 1.4 (and its corollaries) respectively 1.7.

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

In this section we fix some definitions and collect a few auxiliary results that are mostly well-known to experts.

Throughout this paper let $K$ be a field of characteristic zero. We denote by $\bar{K}$ an algebraic closure of $K$, and by $\operatorname{Gal}(K)=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ the absolute Galois group of $K$.

Definition 2.1. By a $K$-variety we mean a separated integral scheme of finite type over $K$. If $X$ is a $K$-variety and $L / K$ a field extension we denote by $X_{L}=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec}(L)$ the base change, and if $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of $K$-varieties we write accordingly $\pi_{L}: Y_{L} \rightarrow X_{L}$. If $X$ is a $K$-variety and $F$ a finite extension of its function field $K(X)$, then we denote by $g: X^{(F)} \rightarrow X$ the normalization of $X$ in $F$, see [EGA2, II.6.3].

A cover is a finite surjective morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ of normal $K$-varieties. The degree $\operatorname{deg}(Y / X)$ of a cover $Y \rightarrow X$ is the degree of the associated function field extension $K(Y) / K(X)$. We say that a cover $Y \rightarrow X$ of $K$-varieties is geometrically integral if $Y$ is geometrically integral as a $K$-variety. An intermediate cover of a cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a triple $(Z, f, g)$ where $Z$ is a normal $K$-variety, $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Z \rightarrow X$ are covers and $g \circ f=\pi$. We then also call $g$ a subcover of $\pi$. Two intermediate covers $(Z, f, g)$ and $\left(Z^{\prime}, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ of $\pi$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $h: Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$ such that $h \circ f=f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime} \circ h=g$.

For a cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ of $K$-varieties we define $\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)$ to be the set of all $y \in Y$ such that $\pi$ is ramified at $y$ and call $\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)$ the ramification locus of $\pi$. The set $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi):=\pi(\operatorname{Ram}(\pi))$ is the branch locus of $\pi$. The cover $\pi$ is Galois if the associated function field extension $K(Y) / K(X)$ is a Galois extension. In that case we denote by $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X):=\operatorname{Gal}(K(Y) / K(X))$ the Galois group of $\pi$, and for $y \in Y$ and $x=\pi(y)$ we let

$$
D(y / x)=\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)=\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{X} Y\right)^{\mathrm{opp}}: \sigma(y)=y\right\}
$$

denote the decomposition group of $y$ over $x$.
Remark 2.2. Let $X$ be a $K$-variety. Then $X$ is geometrically integral if and only if $K$ is algebraically closed in $K(X)$ (cf. [EGA4.2, IV.4.5.9, IV.4.6.1, IV.4.3.1]). If $X$ is normal, then $X$ is automatically geometrically normal (cf. [EGA4.4, IV.6.14.2]). Furthermore, if a separated $K$ scheme of finite type is normal and connected, then it is a $K$-variety. If $X$ is a normal $K$-variety and $F$ a finite extension of $K(X)$, then $g: X^{(F)} \rightarrow X$ is a cover (finiteness of $g$ follows from [EGA4.1, Ch 0, 23.1.1., 23.1.2]).
Remark 2.3. Let $X$ be a $K$-variety, $C \subseteq X$ a closed subset, $K^{\prime} / K$ a field extension and $u: X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $X$ the canonical morphism. Then $C^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in X_{K^{\prime}} \mid u\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in C\right\}$ is a closed subset of $X_{K^{\prime}}$, and we endow, as usual, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ with the reduced induced subscheme structure. The closed immersion $C_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K^{\prime}}$ has underlying space $C^{\prime}$ and $C_{K^{\prime}}$ is reduced (because char $(K)=0$, cf. [EGA4.2, IV.4.6.1]). Thus $C^{\prime}$ and $C_{K^{\prime}}$ are $X_{K^{\prime}}$-isomorphic and we will tacitly identify them in the sequel. Moreover, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a cover of $K$-varieties, then $f_{K^{\prime}}\left(C_{K^{\prime}}\right)=f(C)_{K^{\prime}}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a cover of $K$-varieties.
(a) $\pi$ is unramified at $y \in Y$ if and only if $\pi$ is étale at $y$.
(b) The branch locus $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)$ is a proper closed subset of $X$.
(c) If $K^{\prime} / K$ is a field extension, then $\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{K^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)_{K^{\prime}}$.
(d) If $\psi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is another cover, then $\pi \circ \psi$ is unramified at $z \in Z$ if and only if $\psi$ is unramified at $z$ and $\pi$ is unramified at $\psi(z)$. In particular, $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi \circ \psi)=\pi(\operatorname{Branch}(\psi)) \cup \operatorname{Branch}(\pi)$.
(e) If $X$ is regular, then every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)$ has codimension one in $X$.

Proof. (a) follows from [EGA4.4, IV.18.10.1] and [EGA4.1, Ch. 0, 26.1], or see [CDJLZ22, Lemma 2.3]. For (b), $\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)$ is closed [Stacks, Tag 0C3J], so as $\pi$ is finite (and hence closed), this implies that $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)=\pi(\operatorname{Ram}(\pi))$ is closed. The generic fiber of $\pi$ is étale because char $(K)=0$, hence $\operatorname{Ram}(\pi) \varsubsetneqq Y$ and $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi) \varsubsetneqq X$. Part $(\mathrm{c})$ is immediate from [EGA4.4, IV.17.7.4] and Remark 2.3. For (d), composition of unramified morphisms is unramified [Stacks, Tag 02G9]. Conversely, if $\pi \circ \psi$ is unramified at $z$, then $\mathfrak{m}_{X, x} \mathscr{O}_{Z, z}=\mathfrak{m}_{Z, z}, \mathfrak{m}_{X, x} \mathscr{O}_{Y, y} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{Y, y}$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{Y, y} \mathscr{O}_{Z, z} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{Z, z}$, thus $\mathfrak{m}_{Y, y} \mathscr{O}_{Z, z}=\mathfrak{m}_{Z, z}$, which shows that $\psi$ is unramified at $z$. So by (a), $\psi$ is étale at $z$, in particular flat at $z$, hence [EGA4.4, IV.17.7.7] gives that $\pi$ is unramified at $y$. If $X$ is regular as in (e), then by the purity theorem of Zariski-Nagata [SGA1, Exp. X, 3.1], every irreducible component of $\operatorname{Ram}(\pi)$ has codimension one in $Y$, hence since $\pi$ is finite, $\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)$ is a finite union of irreducible subsets of $X$ of codimension one.

Definition 2.5. Let $K_{1}, K_{2}$ be fields of characteristic zero and $g: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ an isomorphism. Then, pulling back along $\operatorname{Spec}\left(g^{-1}\right): \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{2}\right)$ induces a functor from the category of $K_{2}$-varieties to the category of $K_{1}$-varieties. We denote it by

$$
Y \mapsto Y^{g}:=Y \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{2}\right), \operatorname{Spec}\left(g^{-1}\right)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi \mapsto \pi^{g}:=\pi \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{2}\right), \operatorname{Spec}\left(g^{-1}\right)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{1}\right)
$$

where $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi^{g}: Y^{g} \rightarrow X^{g}$. For a $K_{2}$-variety $X$ we denote by $g_{X}: X \rightarrow X^{g}$ the isomorphism $X=X^{g} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{1}\right), \operatorname{Spec}(g)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow X^{g}$.
Remark 2.6. Let $K \subseteq K_{1}, K_{2}$ be fields and $g: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ a $K$-isomorphism. Then $g_{X}$ is a natural transformation in $X$, i.e. for every morphism of $K_{2}$-varieties $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ the following diagram commutes:


If $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a Galois cover of $K_{2}$-varieties, then $\pi^{g}: Y^{g} \rightarrow X^{g}$ is a Galois cover of $K_{1}$-varieties and we have a natural isomorphism $\iota_{g}: \operatorname{Gal}(Y / X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y^{g} / X^{g}\right)$ given by $\sigma \mapsto g_{Y} \circ \sigma \circ g_{Y}^{-1}$.

Remark 2.7. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a cover of $K$-varieties. There is a 1-to-1 correspondence between intermediate fields of $K(Y) / K(X)$ and isomorphism classes of intermediate covers of $\pi$ given by $F \mapsto X^{(F)}$. If $\pi$ is Galois with Galois group $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$, the canonical map $\Gamma^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}_{X}(Y)$ is bijective and the canonical morphism $Y / \Gamma \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, where $Y / \Gamma$ denotes the geometric quotient of $Y$ by $\Gamma$ in the sense of [SGA1], so by Galois theory there is a 1-to-1 correspondence between subgroups of $\Gamma$ and isomorphism classes of intermediate covers of $\pi$ given by $H \mapsto X^{\left(K(Y)^{H}\right)}=Y / H$, where $K(Y)^{H}$ denotes the fixed field of $H$ in $K(Y)$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover of $K$-varieties that is unramified at $y \in Y$. Let $Y \xrightarrow{\rho} Z \rightarrow X$ be an intermediate cover of $\pi$, and let $z=\rho(y), x=\pi(y)$.
(a) $K(y) / K(x)$ is Galois and there is a canonical isomorphism $D(y / x) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \operatorname{Gal}(K(y) / K(x))$.
(b) $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / Z) \subseteq D(y / x)$ if and only if $\rho^{-1}(z)=\{y\}$.
(c) $D(y / z)=D(y / x) \cap \operatorname{Gal}(Y / Z)$
(d) If $Z \rightarrow X$ is Galois, then $D(z / x)$ is the image of $D(y / x)$ under the restriction map $\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gal}(Z / X)$, and the following diagram commutes:


Proof. Let $B=\operatorname{Branch}(\pi)$ and let $X^{\prime} \subseteq X \backslash B$ be an open affine neighbourhood of $x$. Then $Y^{\prime}=\pi^{-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is open and affine, so $Y^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ for some normal integral $K$-algebra $R$, and $\left.\pi\right|_{Y^{\prime}}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is étale by Lemma 2.4(a). The Galois group $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$ acts on $R$, and $X^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R^{\Gamma}\right)$ by Remark 2.7. By [Bou06, Ch. V Thm. 2(ii)], the extension $K(y) / K(x)$ is Galois and the canonical homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(K(y) / K(x))$ is surjective. Its kernel (the inertia group) is trivial by [SGA1, Exp V, Cor. 2.4] because $\pi$ is étale over $X^{\prime}$. Thus (a) holds true. Part (b) follows from [Bou06, Ch. V Prop. 4(i)], and (c), (d) from [Bou06, Ch. V Prop. 7(i)].

Definition 2.9. Let $X$ be a geometrically integral normal $K$-variety and $Y$ a normal $K$-variety. A cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is fully ramified if every geometrically integral subcover $Z \rightarrow X$ of $\pi$ with $\operatorname{deg}(Z / X) \geq 2$ is ramified.

Remark 2.10. Note that if $Y$ is geometrically integral itself, then this simply amounts to saying that all nontrivial subcovers of $\pi$ are ramified. If in addition $X$ is an abelian variety, this coincides
with what is called a (PB) cover in [CZ17, CDJLZ22]. Clearly every subcover of a fully ramified cover is again fully ramified.

Lemma 2.11. For $i=1,2$ let $\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X$ be a cover of $K$-varieties. Write $Z=Y_{1} \times_{X} Y_{2}$ and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow Y_{2}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow Y_{1}$. Say that $(*)$ holds if $K\left(Y_{1}\right), K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ are linearly disjoint over $K(X)$.

(a) If $\pi_{1}$ is étale, then $Z$ is normal and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ is étale.
(b) If $\pi_{1}$ is étale and $(*)$ holds, then $\pi_{2}^{\prime}$ is a cover and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ is an étale cover.
(c) If $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are étale and $(*)$ holds, then $\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}^{\prime}=\pi_{1} \circ \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ is an étale cover.
(d) If $\pi_{1}$ is étale and $\pi_{2}$ is geometrically integral, fully ramified and Galois, then $(*)$ holds.
(e) If $\pi_{1}$ is étale and $\pi_{2}$ is geometrically integral, fully ramified and Galois, then $\pi_{2}^{\prime}$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover of $K^{\prime}$-varieties, where $K^{\prime}$ is the relative algebraic closure of $K$ in $K\left(Y_{1}\right)$.

Proof. (a) If $\pi_{1}$ is étale then so is $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$, hence $Y_{2}$ normal implies $Z$ normal.
(b) Since $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are finite and surjective, so are $\pi_{1}^{\prime}, \pi_{2}^{\prime}$. By (a), $Z$ is normal and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ étale. Since the generic fibre of $\pi_{i}$ is $\operatorname{Spec}\left(K\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)$, the generic fibre of $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ and of $\pi_{2} \circ \pi_{1}^{\prime}$ is $\operatorname{Spec}\left(K\left(Y_{1}\right) \otimes_{K(X)} K\left(Y_{2}\right)\right)$, which is irreducible by $(*)$. As $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ is flat, this implies that $Z$ is irreducible ([EGA4.2, 2.3.5(iii)]).
(c) follows from (b) since the composition of étale covers is an étale cover.
(d) Let $F=K\left(Y_{1}\right) \cap K\left(Y_{2}\right)$. Then $X^{(F)} \rightarrow X$ is a subcover of $\pi_{2}$, hence geometrically integral and fully ramified (Remark 2.10), and a subcover of $\pi_{1}$, hence étale (Lemma 2.4(a,d)). Thus $\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{(F)} / X\right)=1$, i.e. $F=K(X)$. Since $K\left(Y_{2}\right) / K(X)$ is Galois, this already implies (*).
(e) Since $Y_{1}$ is normal, we have a natural $K$-morphism $Y_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. We write $\tilde{Y}_{1}$ and $\tilde{Z}$ when we view $Y_{1}$ and $Z$ as $K^{\prime}$-varieties this way, and we note that $\tilde{Y}_{1}$ is geometrically integral (Remark 2.2). By $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}), \pi_{2}^{\prime}$ is a Galois cover with $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z / Y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{2} / X\right)$. For a finite extension $K^{\prime \prime} / K^{\prime}$, the composition $\left(\tilde{Y}_{1}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow Y_{1} \rightarrow X$ is an étale cover of $K$-varieties, hence (d,b) imply that $\left(\tilde{Y}_{1}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime}} \times_{X} Y_{2}=\tilde{Z}_{K^{\prime \prime}}$ is integral. Thus $\tilde{Z}$ is geometrically integral. In view of Remark 2.7 it remains to prove that for every proper subgroup $H$ of $\Gamma$ the cover $W^{\prime}:=Y_{1}^{\left(K(Z)^{H}\right)} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is ramified. The cover $W:=X^{\left(K\left(Y_{2}\right)^{H}\right)} \rightarrow X$ is a nontrivial subcover of $\pi_{2}$, hence fully ramified and ramified, and since $K\left(Y_{1}\right), K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ are linearly disjoint over $K(X)$, so are $K\left(Y_{1}\right), K(W)$. Thus $W^{\prime}=W \times_{X} Y_{1} \rightarrow W$ is a cover by (b), which implies that $W^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ must be ramified (Lemma 2.4(d)).

As usual, an abelian variety $A$ over $K$ is a proper geometrically integral group scheme over $K$. We denote by $[n]=[n]_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ the endomorphism $x \mapsto n x$, and by $A[n]$ its kernel.

Lemma 2.12. Let $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ be an isogeny of abelian varieties over $K$ of degree $n$. There exists an isogeny $\alpha^{\prime}: B \rightarrow A$ with $\alpha^{\prime} \circ \alpha=[n]_{A}$ and $\alpha \circ \alpha^{\prime}=[n]_{B}$.

Proof. See for example [EGM, Prop. 5.12].
For an abelian variety $A$ over $K$ and a point $t \in A(K)$ we denote by

$$
\tau_{t}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
A & \longrightarrow A \\
x & \longmapsto & x+t
\end{array}\right.
$$

the translation by $t$, which is an automorphism of the variety $A$. Let $(\mathscr{S} / K)$ be the category of locally noetherian $K$-schemes.

Lemma 2.13. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $K$ and $X$ a nonempty closed subscheme of $A$. For a $K$-scheme $T$ we denote $X_{T}=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} T$. The functor

$$
\underline{\operatorname{Stab}}_{A}(X): \begin{cases}(\mathscr{S} / K)^{\mathrm{opp}} & \longrightarrow \text { (groups), } \\ T & \longmapsto\left\{a \in A(T): a+X_{T}=X_{T}\right\}\end{cases}
$$

is represented by a smooth closed subgroup scheme $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(X)$ of $A$.
Proof. For an action $*: A \times H \rightarrow H$ of $A$ on a $K$-scheme $H$ and a $K$-rational point $h \in H(K)$ we define, following [Mil17, $\S 7 \mathrm{c}$, page 142], $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(h)$ to be the scheme theoretic fiber $t_{h}^{-1}(h)$ of the orbit map $t_{h}: A \rightarrow H, a \mapsto a * h$. Then $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(h)$ is a closed subscheme of $A$. From the universal property of the fiber product we see that it represents the group valued functor $\underline{\operatorname{Stab}}_{A}(h): T \mapsto\{a \in A(T): a * h=h\}$ on the category $(\mathscr{S} / K)^{\mathrm{opp}}$, hence $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(h)$ is a subgroup scheme of $A$. It is smooth because $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$.

Since $A$ is projective, by [FGA, $\S 3$ Thm. 3.2 and p. 265] (see also [FGA2, Ch. 5]), the functor ${ }^{2}$

$$
\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{A}: \begin{cases}(\mathscr{S} / K)^{\mathrm{opp}} & \longrightarrow(\text { sets }) \\ T & \left.\longmapsto \text { \{closed subschemes of } A_{T} \text { flat over } T\right\}\end{cases}
$$

is represented by a $K$-scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{A}$ which is a disjoint union of projective $K$-schemes. The group law $A \times A \rightarrow A$ induces an action of $A$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}_{A}$, and we view $X$ as a $K$-rational point: $X \in$ $\operatorname{Hilb}_{A}(K)$. Now $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(X)$ is a smooth closed subgroup scheme of $A$ representing $\underline{\operatorname{Stab}}_{A}(X)$.

Remark 2.14. In the above situation, if $X$ is in addition reduced, then

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(X)(\bar{K})=\left\{a \in A(\bar{K}): a+X_{\bar{K}}=X_{\bar{K}}\right\}=\{a \in A(\bar{K}): a+X(\bar{K})=X(\bar{K})\}
$$

because $X_{\bar{K}}$ and $a+X_{\bar{K}}$ are reduced closed subschemes of $A_{\bar{K}}$. If moreover $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(X)=A$, then $X=A$, since for any fixed $x \in X(\bar{K})$ we get $A(\bar{K})=A(\bar{K})+x \subseteq X(\bar{K})$.

## 3. Strategy of proof

In this section we give a very rough sketch of the common ideas underlying the proofs of Theorem 1.4 in Section 4 and Theorem 1.7 in Section 5. However, while we hope that this sketch will be helpful to the reader, the following two sections will use only the numbered definitions and lemmas.

In both proofs, we will first apply the following technical reduction to fully ramified geometrically integral Galois covers (where $L$ is the abelian extension from Theorem 1.4 respectively $\left.L=\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)\right)$ :
Lemma 3.1. Let $K_{0}$ be a field of characteristic zero, $A$ be an abelian variety over $K_{0}$ and $L / K_{0}$ a Galois extension. Assume that for every finite subextension $K / K_{0}$ of $L / K_{0}$, every nonempty open $U \subseteq A_{K}$, every finite Galois extension $K^{\prime} / K$ linearly disjoint from $L / K$, every abelian variety $A^{\prime}$ over $K^{\prime}$ with an isogeny $\alpha: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{K^{\prime}}$ and every finite collection $\left(\rho_{i}: Z_{i} \rightarrow A^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{m}$ of fully ramified geometrically integral Galois covers there exists a finite Galois extension $K^{\prime \prime} / K$ containing $K^{\prime}$, and

$$
x^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime \prime} L\right) \cap \alpha^{-1}(U(L))
$$

with $\left(\rho_{i}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime} L}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ irreducible for every $i$. Then $A_{L}$ has WHP.


[^1]Proof. Let $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow A_{L}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ be a finite collection of ramified covers, and let $U \subseteq A_{L}$ be a nonempty open subset. We have to show that there exists $x \in U(L) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i}\left(Y_{i}(L)\right)$. We can choose a finite subextension $K / K_{0}$ of $L / K_{0}$ such that $U$ and each $\pi_{i}$ descends to $K$, so for notational simplicity let us assume that instead we are given ramified covers $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow A_{K}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ with $\left(Y_{i}\right)_{L}$ irreducible, and a nonempty open subset $U \subseteq A_{K}$. By shrinking $U$ if necessary, we can assume that each $\pi_{i}$ is étale over $U$ (Lemma 2.4(a,b)).

Let $F^{\prime} / K(A)$ be a finite Galois extension containing $K\left(Y_{i}\right)$ for every $i$, and let $K^{\prime}$ be the algebraic closure of $K$ in $F^{\prime}$. Then the normalization

$$
Z:=A_{K}^{\left(F^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow A_{K}
$$

is a Galois cover that has each $\pi_{i}$ as a subcover. Let $\varepsilon: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{K}$ be a ${ }^{3}$ maximal unramified subcover of $Z \rightarrow A_{K}$ factoring through $A_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow A_{K}$. Then $\rho: Z \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a fully ramified, geometrically integral Galois cover of $K^{\prime}$-varieties, and by Lemma 2.11(e) these properties are preserved under extending the base field $K^{\prime}$, so in particular $A^{\prime}$ remains a maximal unramified subcover of $Z \rightarrow A_{K}$ after replacing $K^{\prime}$ by a finite extension $K^{*}$ and accordingly $F^{\prime}$ by $F^{\prime} K^{*}, Z$ by $Z_{K^{*}}=A_{K}^{\left(F^{\prime} K^{*}\right)}$, and $A^{\prime}$ by $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{K^{*}}$. Thus, by enlarging $K^{\prime}$ by a finite extension if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\left(0_{A}\right)\right)\left(K^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Then $A^{\prime}$ can be given the structure of an abelian variety over $K^{\prime}$ (this is known as the Lang-Serre theorem, see e.g. [EGM, 10.36]) and we can assume that $\varepsilon$ factors through an isogeny $\alpha: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{K^{\prime}}$. By possibly enlarging $K^{\prime}$ further, we may also assume that $K^{\prime} / K$ is Galois and $\Lambda:=\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha) \subseteq A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Replace $K$ by $L \cap K^{\prime}$ so that $K^{\prime}$ is linearly disjoint from $L$ over $K$, and let $L^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L$. By shrinking $U$ further, we can assume that the cover $Z \rightarrow A_{K}$ is unramified over $U$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $\rho_{\lambda}:=\tau_{\lambda} \circ \rho$, where $\tau_{\lambda}$ denotes translation by $\lambda$. The following diagram commutes:


Since $\rho$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover of $K^{\prime}$-varieties, so is each $\rho_{\lambda}$, hence by assumption there exists a finite Galois extension $K^{\prime \prime} / K$ containing $K^{\prime}$, and a point $x^{\prime} \in$ $A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime \prime} L\right) \cap \alpha^{-1}(U(L))$ with $\left(\rho_{\lambda}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ irreducible for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Replacing $K^{\prime}$ by $K^{\prime \prime}$ and accordingly $K$ by $L \cap K^{\prime \prime}, L^{\prime}$ by $K^{\prime \prime} L^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ by $A_{K^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ and $Z$ by $Z_{K^{\prime \prime}}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $K^{\prime}=K^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $x:=\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in U(L)$ and suppose that there exist $i$ and $y \in Y_{i}(L)$ with $x=\pi_{i}(y)$. Let $z \in Z(\bar{K})$ that maps to $y$ under the cover $Z \rightarrow Y_{i}$, and let $x^{\prime \prime}=\rho(z)$. Then $\alpha\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x$, hence $x^{\prime \prime}:=x^{\prime}-\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore $\rho_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\rho_{\lambda}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is irreducible, i.e. $\rho_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\{z\}$.

Base changing everything to $L$ gives the following situation:


Trivially $D(z / x) \supseteq D(z / y)$, so since

$$
D(z / x) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime}(z) / L(x)\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime}(z) / L\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime}(z) / L(y)\right) \cong D(z / y)
$$

by Lemma 2.8(a), we have that $D(z / x)=D(z / y) \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{L^{\prime}} /\left(Y_{i}\right)_{L}\right)$. Since $\rho_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\{z\}$, Lemma 2.8(b) implies that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{L^{\prime}} / A_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq D(z / x)$. Thus $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{L^{\prime}} / A_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{L^{\prime}} /\left(Y_{i}\right)_{L}\right)$,

[^2]hence $\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{L}$ is a subcover of $A_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{L}$, contradicting that fact that the former is ramified while the latter is not.

For this rough sketch we now assume for simplicity that $K^{\prime}=K, A^{\prime}=A, U=A$ and $m=1$, i.e. we are given an abelian variety $A$ over $K$ and a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow X:=A$ and want to find $x \in X(L)$ with $\pi_{L}^{-1}(x)$ irreducible. For this we will need some assumption on $X(L)$, so let us assume for simplicity that $X(K)$ is Zariski-dense in $X$. Let us first look at Haran's proof of his diamond theorem [Har99], which achieves something similar in the case where $X$ is not an abelian variety but $X=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, and $\pi$ is merely a Galois cover. Drastically simplified, and rephrased in geometric terms, Haran chooses a suitable finite Galois subextension $M / K$ of $L / K$ and takes the restriction of scalars

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\pi_{M}\right): \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)
$$

Recall that for an $M$-variety $W$, the restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}(W)$ is a $K$-variety that represents the functor on $K$-schemes given by $S \mapsto W\left(S \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec}(M)\right)$, and that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}(W)\right)=$ $n \cdot \operatorname{dim}(W)$, where $n=[M: K]$. If we set $G=\operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)$, then the composition

$$
\rho: \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right)_{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)_{M} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)
$$

is again a Galois cover, with Galois group the wreath product $\Gamma$ ? $G$ :
Definition 3.2. For groups $\Gamma$ and $G$, we denote by $\Gamma \imath G=\Gamma^{G} \rtimes G$ the wreath product, where $G$ acts on the set of functions

$$
\Gamma^{G}:=\{f: G \rightarrow \Gamma\}
$$

from the right by

$$
f^{h}(g)=f(h g), \quad f \in \Gamma^{G}, g, h \in G
$$

It comes with a canonical projection map

$$
\operatorname{pr}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma \imath G & \rightarrow & G \\
(f, g) & \mapsto & g
\end{array}\right.
$$

and, for each $g \in G$, an evaluation map

$$
e_{g}:\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma^{G} & \rightarrow & \Gamma \\
f & \mapsto & f(g)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the rational variety $\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)$ is known to again have HP if $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ has HP, Haran obtains $y \in \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)(K)$ for which the fiber $\rho^{-1}(y)$ is irreducible, cf. [Ser08, Prop. 3.3.1], i.e. $\rho^{-1}(y)=\operatorname{Spec}(F)$ for a finite Galois extension $F / K$. The group theoretic interplay between $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K) \cong \Gamma \imath G$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ then implies that if $x \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(M)$ is the point corresponding to $y \in \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\mathbb{P}_{M}^{1}\right)(K)$, the fiber $\pi_{L}^{-1}(x)$ is irreducible.

Back to the case of a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow X=A$ of our abelian variety $A$, also here we can take the restriction

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\pi_{M}\right): \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right) \longrightarrow B:=\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(A_{M}\right),
$$

where now $B$ is an abelian variety, and

$$
\rho: \operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right)_{M} \longrightarrow B_{M} \longrightarrow B
$$

turns out to be again a fully ramified Galois cover (of $K$-varieties) with Galois group $\Gamma$ 亿 $G$, but the problem is that $B$ does in general not have WHP even if $A$ does, simply since $B(K)$ need not be Zariski-dense in $B$, even if $A(K)$ was Zariski-dense in $A$. In fact, if $A(K)=A(M)$, then the Zariski closure of $B(K)$ in $B$ is just the image of the diagonal embedding

$$
\Delta: A \longrightarrow B=\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(A_{M}\right)
$$

However, we can now restrict the cover $\rho$ to the subvariety $\Delta(A)$ of $B$, similar to what is done in [Ser08, Prop. 3.2.1] and [CDJLZ22, Thm. 3.9, Lemma 3.14]. Let $Z=\Delta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right)_{M}\right)$ be the fiber product of $A$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{M}\right)_{M}$ over $B$.


We could then continue similar to Haran, using the fact that $A$ has WHP by Theorem 1.3, if only $Z$ was irreducible, but unfortunately it is not. To remedy this, we would like to replace $\Delta$ by a different embedding of $A$ into $B$ similar to [Zan10, Theorem 5.1]. Equivalently, we can keep $\Delta$ and modify $\rho$. So we first replace the cover $\pi_{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}:=\tau_{t} \circ \pi_{M}: Y_{1}=Y_{M} \longrightarrow A_{M} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{t}$ is the translation by a suitably chosen point $t \in A(M)$, and then take $\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(\pi_{1}\right)$. This way we can achieve that the Galois group of the normalization of an irreducible component of $Z_{t}=\Delta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{1}\right)_{M}\right)$ over $A$ is the full wreath product $\Gamma$ ใ $G$, or at least a sufficiently big subgroup thereof. More concretely, since $B_{M} \cong\left(A_{M}\right)^{n}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{M / K}\left(Y_{1}\right)_{M} \cong \prod_{g \in G}\left(Y_{1}\right)^{g}=\prod_{g \in G} Y_{g}
$$

where

$$
\pi_{g}:=\pi_{1}^{g}=\tau_{g(t)} \circ \pi_{M}: Y_{g}=\left(Y_{1}\right)^{g} \longrightarrow A_{M}
$$

is the conjugate cover, we have that $Z_{t}$ is the fiber product of the covers $\left(\pi_{g}\right)_{g \in G}$, and the normalization of an irreducible component of $Z_{t}$ is the normalization $X^{(F)}$ of $X=A$ in the field compositum $F=\prod_{g \in G} M\left(Y_{g}\right)$. The point $t$ is then chosen to make the branch loci of these conjugate covers sufficiently distinct, so that we can apply the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a regular geometrically integral $K$-variety, and for $i=1, \ldots, n$ let $\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow$ $X$ be a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover with Galois group $\Gamma_{i}$ and branch locus $B_{i}$. Assume that for each $1 \leq i<j \leq n, B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ have no common irreducible component. Let $Z=X^{(F)}$ be the normalization of $X$ in the field compositum $F=\prod_{i=1}^{n} K\left(Y_{i}\right)$. Then $Z \rightarrow X$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover with Galois group $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ and branch locus $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}$.

Proof. By induction on $n$ it suffices to consider the case $n=2$. We claim that $F_{0}:=K\left(Y_{1}\right) \cap$ $K\left(Y_{2}\right)=K(X)$. Indeed, $\rho: X^{\left(F_{0}\right)} \rightarrow X$ is a subcover both of $Y_{1} \rightarrow X$ and of $Y_{2} \rightarrow X$, hence the branch locus $B_{0}$ of $\rho$ is contained in $B_{1} \cap B_{2}$ (Lemma 2.4(d)), which has codimension at least 2 in $X$ by assumption, while every irreducible component of $B_{0}$ has codimension 1 in $X$ by the purity theorem (Lemma 2.4(e)). Thus, $\rho$ is unramified, which since $\pi_{1}$ is fully ramified and $Y_{1}$ and therefore $X^{\left(F_{0}\right)}$ are geometrically integral, implies that $\operatorname{deg}(\rho)=1$, hence $F_{0}=K(X)$.

Thus, by Galois theory, $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K(X))=\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$. We now show that every (not necessarily geometrically integral) unramified subcover $Y \rightarrow X$ of $Z \rightarrow X$ has degree $\operatorname{deg}(Y / X)=1$. Since $Y \rightarrow X$ is étale (Lemma 2.4(a)) and $Y_{1} \rightarrow X$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover, $Y \times_{X} Y_{1} \rightarrow Y$ is a cover and $Y \times_{X} Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is an étale cover (Lemma 2.11(d,b)). By Galois theory, there exists an intermediate field $K(X) \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq K\left(Y_{2}\right)$ with $F_{2} K\left(Y_{1}\right)=K\left(Y \times_{X} Y_{1}\right)$, so $X^{\left(F_{2}\right)} \rightarrow X$ is a subcover both of $Y \times_{X} Y_{1} \rightarrow X$, which has branch locus $B_{1}$ (Lemma 2.4(d)), and of $Y_{2} \rightarrow X$, which is geometrically integral, fully ramified and has branch locus $B_{2}$. By the argument in the first paragraph, we get that $\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{\left(F_{2}\right)} / X\right)=1$, hence $\operatorname{deg}\left(Y \times_{X} Y_{1} / Y_{1}\right)=1$,
which implies that $\operatorname{deg}(Y / X)=1$.


In particular, $Z$ is a geometrically integral $K$-variety and $Z \rightarrow X$ is fully ramified. If we set $U=X \backslash\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right)$, then $\pi_{1}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ and $\pi_{2}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ are étale covers (Lemma 2.4(a)). Moreover, we have morphisms $f: Y_{1} \times_{X} Y_{2} \rightarrow X$ and $g: Z \rightarrow Y_{1} \times_{X} Y_{2}$. By Lemma 2.11(c), $V:=f^{-1}(U)=\pi_{1}^{-1}(U) \times_{U} \pi_{2}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an étale cover. In particular it is a subcover of the cover $(f \circ g)^{-1}(U)=g^{-1}(V) \rightarrow U$, hence $(f \circ g)^{-1}(U) \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism, and so the branch locus $B$ of $Z \rightarrow X$ is contained in $X \backslash U=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. On the other hand $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \subseteq B$ by Lemma 2.4(d), thus $B=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $X$ be a normal geometrically integral $K$-variety, $M / K$ a finite Galois extension with Galois group $G:=\operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow X_{M}$ a Galois cover with Galois group $\Gamma:=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y / X_{M}\right)$. For $g \in G$, we have the Galois cover $\pi^{g}: Y^{g} \rightarrow X_{M}$ and the isomorphism $\iota_{g}: \Gamma \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y^{g} / X_{M}\right)$ (Remark 2.6), which induce an isomorphism

$$
\Gamma \imath G=\Gamma^{G} \rtimes G \cong\left(\prod_{g \in G} \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y^{g} / X_{M}\right)\right) \rtimes G
$$

Let $F=\prod_{g \in G} M\left(Y^{g}\right)$ be the field compositum. Then the composition

$$
X_{M}^{(F)} \longrightarrow X_{M} \longrightarrow X
$$

is a Galois cover and there is an embedding

$$
\psi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X\right) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \imath G
$$

such that $\operatorname{pr} \circ \psi$ and $\left.\iota_{g} \circ e_{g} \circ \psi\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X_{M}\right)}$ for $g \in G$ (with pr and $e_{g}$ as in Definition 3.2) are the restriction maps $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ respectively $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X_{M}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y^{g} / X_{M}\right)$.
Proof. Since $X_{M}^{(F)} \rightarrow X_{M}$ is a cover (Remark 2.2), so is $X_{M}^{(F)} \rightarrow X$. By Remark 2.6, each $g \in G=\operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ extends to an isomorphism $g_{Y}: M(Y) \rightarrow M\left(Y^{g}\right)$ that is the identity on $K(X)$. It follows for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K(X))$ that $\sigma(M(Y))=M\left(Y^{\left.\sigma\right|_{M}}\right)$, therefore $F$ is invariant under all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K(X))$, hence $X_{M}^{(F)} \rightarrow X$ is Galois. In particular, every $g_{Y}$ extends further to a $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X\right)$. Let $H:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X\right), H_{1}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X_{M}\right)$ and $H_{2}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / Y\right)$, and identify $H / H_{1}=G, H_{1} / H_{2}=\Gamma$ via the restriction maps.


Recall that a permutation group is a pair $(H, T)$ of a group $H$ and a set $T$ together with a faithful action of $H$ on $T$, so that we can identify $H$ with a subgroup $H \leq \operatorname{Sym}(T)$. We will view $G$ and $\Gamma$ as permutation groups $(G, G)$ and $(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ via their left regular representations. Now $H$
acts transitively on $T:=H / H_{2}$ by left multiplication. Since $F=\prod_{g \in G} M\left(Y^{g}\right)$ and $H_{2} \unlhd H_{1}$, the kernel of this action is $\bigcap_{h \in H} H_{2}^{h} \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in G} H_{2}^{\tilde{g}}=\bigcap_{g \in G} \operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / Y^{g}\right)=1$, i.e. the action is faithful, and so we obtain a permutation group $(H, T)$.

The set $T_{1}:=H_{1} / H_{2} \in T$ is a block of imprimitivity of $H$, and if we set $T_{\lambda}:=\lambda T_{1}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda:=H / H_{1}=G$, then $\left(T_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a block system. We thus obtain an action $\theta: H \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(\Lambda)$ of $H$ on $\Lambda$ with kernel $\bigcap_{h \in H} H_{1}^{h}=H_{1}$ and image $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(G)=\operatorname{Sym}(\Lambda)$, and $\theta: H \rightarrow G$ is precisely the restriction map. We also have the action $\vartheta: H_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}\left(T_{1}\right)$ of the stabilizer $H_{1}$ of $T_{1}$ with kernel $\bigcap_{h \in H_{1}} H_{2}^{h}=H_{2}$ and image $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(T_{1}\right)$, and $\vartheta: H_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is precisely the restriction map.

As we view $\Gamma$ and $G$ as permutation groups on $\Gamma=T_{1}$ respectively $G=\Lambda$, the wreath product $\Gamma \imath G$ comes with a faithful action on $\Gamma \times G=T_{1} \times \Lambda$ which makes it into the permutational wreath product $\left(\Gamma \imath G, T_{1} \times \Lambda\right)$ [Mel95, Ch. I Def. 1.8]. The embedding theorem for wreath products then gives an embedding of permutation groups $\psi: H \rightarrow \Gamma \imath G=\Gamma^{G} \rtimes G$ given by

$$
\psi(h)=\left(f, g_{0}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad g_{0}=\theta(h) \quad \text { and } \quad f(g)=\vartheta\left(\widetilde{g_{0} g^{-1}} h \tilde{g}\right)
$$

cf. [Mel95, Ch. I Thm. 2.6] and line (2.8) of its proof ${ }^{4}$ or [BMMN98, Theorem 8.5] and its proof. To conclude, we note that pr $\circ \psi=\theta$ by definition, and for $h \in H_{1}$,

$$
\left(\left.\iota_{g} \circ e_{g} \circ \psi\right|_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{M}^{(F)} / X_{M}\right)}\right)(h)=\iota_{g}\left(\vartheta\left(\tilde{g}^{-1} h \tilde{g}\right)\right)=\iota_{g}\left(\left.g_{Y}^{-1} \circ h\right|_{M\left(Y^{g}\right)} \circ g_{Y}\right)=\left.h\right|_{M\left(Y^{g}\right)}
$$

The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.7 differ mainly in how the point $t$ in (3.1) is constructed (using the assumption on the rank, see Proposition 4.9, respectively an explicit construction of points on the elliptic curve using elementary number theory, see Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.3) and how the groups $\Gamma \imath G$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ interact (Lemmas 4.11 respectively 5.3 ). For clarity we do not phrase the proofs in terms of restriction of scalars or fiber products of covers but instead work directly with normalizations in field composita.

## 4. Abelian extensions

In this section we discuss ranks of abelian varieties (in particular the Frey-Jarden conjecture and some consequences) and then prove Theorem 1.4.
Definition 4.1. The (rational) rank of an abelian group $\Gamma$ is $\operatorname{rk}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right)$. For a field extension $L / K$ and an abelian variety $A$ over $K$, we call $\operatorname{rk}(A(L))$ the rank of $A$ over $L$.

Remark 4.2. Let $A, B$ be abelian varieties over $K$. Then $\operatorname{rk}((A \times B)(K))=\operatorname{rk}(A(K))+\operatorname{rk}(B(K))$. If $A$ is isogeneous to $B$, then $\operatorname{rk}(A(K))=\operatorname{rk}(B(K))$.

Proposition 4.3 (Frey-Jarden). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with affine model

$$
E_{0}: Y^{2}=f(X), \quad f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ let $\sqrt{f(a)}$ denote one of the square roots of $f(a)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let

$$
x_{a}:=(a, \sqrt{f(a)}) \in E_{0}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{f(a)}))
$$

There exists a sequence $\left(p_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of prime numbers such that the sequence $\left(x_{p_{i}^{-1}}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of points is linearly independent in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. In particular, $\operatorname{rk}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}\right)\right)=\infty$.

Proof. This is what is actually proven in [FJ74, Theorem 2.2].
Question 4.4 (Frey-Jarden). Does every nonzero abelian variety A over $\mathbb{Q}$ have infinite rank over $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ ?

If Question 4.4 has a positive answer, then also every nonzero abelian variety $A$ over $K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has infinite rank over $K^{\mathrm{ab}}$, for every number field $K$ :

[^3]Lemma 4.5. Let $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$ be fields with $M / K$ Galois and $L / M$ algebraic. If every nonzero abelian variety over $K$ has infinite rank over $M$, then every nonzero abelian variety over $L$ has infinite rank over $L$.

Proof. We first show that every nonzero abelian variety $A$ over $M$ has infinite rank over $M$. There exists a finite Galois subextension $K_{0} / K$ of $M / K$ and an abelian variety $A_{0} / K_{0}$ with $\left(A_{0}\right)_{M}=A$. For $n=\left[K_{0}: K\right]$, the restriction of scalars $B=\operatorname{Res}_{K_{0} / K}\left(A_{0}\right)$ is an abelian variety over $K$ of dimension $n \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A)>0$, see [BLR90, Section 7.6], hence $\operatorname{rk}(B(M))=\infty$ by assumption. As $B(M)=A_{0}\left(M \otimes_{K} K_{0}\right)=A_{0}\left(M^{n}\right)=A_{0}(M)^{n}$, we conclude (with Remark 4.2) that also $\operatorname{rk}(A(M))=\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{0}(M)\right)=\infty$.

If we now take a nonzero abelian variety $A$ over $L$, then similarly we find a finite subextension $M_{1} / M$ of $L / M$ and an abelian variety $A_{1} / M_{1}$ with $\left(A_{1}\right)_{L}=A$, and $C=\operatorname{Res}_{M_{1} / M}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is an abelian variety over $M$ with $\operatorname{rk}(A(L)) \geq \operatorname{rk}\left(A_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rk}(C(M))=\infty$.

Thus, if Question 4.4 has a positive answer, the assumptions of Proposition 4.9 below are therefore satisfied in the case where $K$ is a number field and $L=K^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The point $t$ constructed there will be essential in our proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 4.6. Let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{r}$ be Galois extensions of $K$ such that $M_{i} \nsubseteq M_{1} \cdots M_{i-1}$ for every $i$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{r} / K\right)$ such that $\left.\sigma\right|_{M_{i}} \neq 1$ for every $i$.
Proof. Induction on the stronger statement that every $\sigma_{0} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{r-1} / K\right)$ extends to a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{r} / K\right)$ with $\left.\sigma\right|_{M_{r}} \neq 1$. Let $M_{0}=\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{r-1}\right) \cap M_{r}$. Note that $M_{0} / K$ and $M_{r} / K$ are Galois and $M_{0} \varsubsetneqq M_{r}$. Therefore $\left.\sigma_{0}\right|_{M_{0}}$ extends to some $1 \neq \sigma_{r} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{r} / K\right)$, and there exists a unique $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{r} / K\right)$ with $\left.\sigma\right|_{M_{1} \cdots M_{r-1}}=\sigma_{0}$ and $\left.\sigma\right|_{M_{r}}=\sigma_{r}$.
Lemma 4.7. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $K$ and $x \in A(\bar{K})$. There exists $m>0$ such that $K(n m x)=K(m x)$ for every $n>0$.

Proof. This holds since $K(x) / K$ is finite and $K(n m x) \subseteq K(m x)$ for every $m, n$.
Lemma 4.8. Let $k>0, r \geq 0$ and let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r} \leq \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ be subgroups of infinite index. Then there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ such that $n x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} V_{j}$ for every $n>0$.
Proof. Since $V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a proper subspace of the vector space $\mathbb{Q}^{k}$, we can pick $y \in \mathbb{Q}^{k} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and then $\lambda y \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} V_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$. So if $m \neq 0$ is such that $m y \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, then $x:=m y$ satisfies the claim.

Proposition 4.9. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $K$, and let $L / K$ be an abelian extension. Let $\alpha: \prod_{i=1}^{k} A_{i} \rightarrow A$ be an isogeny where each $A_{i}$ is a simple abelian variety over $K$ with $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(L) / A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)=\infty$ for every finite subextension $K_{1} / K$ of $L / K$. For every finite set $S \subseteq A(\bar{K})$ and proper abelian subvarieties $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{r} \varsubsetneqq A$ there exist $t \in A(L)$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ with

$$
t-\sigma(t) \notin S+\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}(\bar{K})
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{1}(L) / A_{1}(K)\right)>0$, there exists $t_{1} \in A_{1}(L)$ with $\operatorname{ord}\left(t_{1}+A_{1}(K)\right)=\infty$ (i.e. the image of $t_{1}$ in $A_{1}(L) / A_{1}(K)$ is of infinite order). Let $M_{1}=K\left(t_{1}\right)$ and assume without loss of generality (by Lemma 4.7) that $K\left(t_{1}\right)=K\left(n t_{1}\right)$ for every $n>0$. Now similarly there exists $t_{2} \in A_{2}(L)$ with $\operatorname{ord}\left(t_{2}+A_{2}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=\infty$. Let $M_{2}=K\left(t_{2}\right)$ and assume without loss of generality that $K\left(t_{2}\right)=K\left(n t_{2}\right)$ for every $n>0$. Iterate this to obtain for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ a $t_{i} \in A_{i}(L)$ with $M_{i}:=K\left(t_{i}\right)=K\left(n t_{i}\right)$ for every $n>0$ and $\operatorname{ord}\left(t_{i}+A_{i}\left(M_{1} \cdots M_{i-1}\right)\right)=\infty$, in particular $M_{i} \nsubseteq M_{1} \cdots M_{i-1}$. Since $L / K$ is abelian, $M_{i} / K$ is Galois for every $i$. By Lemma 4.6 there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ with $\sigma\left(t_{i}\right) \neq t_{i}$ for every $i$.

Let $\iota_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{k}$ denote the canonical embedding setting the other components to 0 , and for $j=1, \ldots, r$ let $\pi_{j}: A \rightarrow C_{j}:=A / B_{j}$ denote the quotient map. Any nonzero simple quotient of $C_{j}$ is again isogeneous to some $A_{i_{j}}$ and we can choose the $i_{j}$ such that the composition

$$
\beta_{j}: A_{i_{j}} \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_{j}}} A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{k} \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\pi_{j}} C_{j} \longrightarrow A_{i_{j}}
$$

is nonzero, hence surjective (as $A_{i_{j}}$ is simple), hence an isogeny. Thus $t_{i_{j}}^{\prime}:=\beta_{j}\left(t_{i_{j}}\right)$ again satisfies $K\left(n t_{i_{j}}^{\prime}\right)=K\left(t_{i_{j}}\right)$ for every $n>0$ (due to the isogeny $\beta_{j}^{\prime}$ from Lemma 2.12 which maps $t_{i_{j}}^{\prime}$ to $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(\beta_{j}\right) t_{i_{j}}\right)$, and therefore also the image $c_{j}:=\pi_{j}\left(\alpha\left(\iota_{i_{j}}\left(t_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right)$ of $t_{i_{j}}$ in $C_{j}$ satisfies $K\left(n c_{j}\right)=$ $K\left(t_{i_{j}}\right)=M_{i_{j}}$ for every $n>0$. In particular, $\sigma\left(n c_{j}\right) \neq n c_{j}$ for every $n>0$.

Let $C_{j}(L)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \leq C_{j}(L)$ be the subgroup fixed by $\sigma$, and write $u_{j, i}=\pi_{j}\left(\alpha\left(\iota_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. The map

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\mathbb{Z}^{k} & \longrightarrow & C_{j}(L) / C_{j}(L)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}, \\
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} u_{j, i}+C_{j}(L)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a homomorphism with infinite image (since $n u_{j, i_{j}}=n c_{j} \notin C_{j}(L)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ for every $n>0$ ), hence its kernel

$$
W_{j}=\left\{\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}: \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} u_{j, i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} u_{j, i}\right\}
$$

has infinite index in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$. By Lemma 4.8, there exists $x=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ such that $n x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} W_{j}$ for every $n>0$. That is, if we write

$$
t_{0}:=\alpha\left(n_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} t_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i} \alpha\left(\iota_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \in A(L),
$$

then $\sigma\left(n \pi_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \neq n \pi_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)$ for every $n>0$ and every $j$.
We claim that for every $j, n t_{0}-\sigma\left(n t_{0}\right) \in S+B_{j}(\bar{K})$ for at most finitely many $n$. Indeed, for any such $n, \pi_{j}\left(n t_{0}-\sigma\left(n t_{0}\right)\right)$ lies in the finite set $\pi_{j}(S)$ so if there were infinitely many such $n$, by the box principle there exist $n^{\prime \prime}>n^{\prime}>0$ with $\pi_{j}\left(n^{\prime} t_{0}-\sigma\left(n^{\prime} t_{0}\right)\right)=\pi_{j}\left(n^{\prime \prime} t_{0}-\sigma\left(n^{\prime \prime} t_{0}\right)\right)$, hence $n:=n^{\prime \prime}-n^{\prime}>0$ satisfies $0=\pi_{j}\left(n t_{0}-\sigma\left(n t_{0}\right)\right)=n \pi_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)-\sigma\left(n \pi_{j}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$, contradiction. Therefore there exists (cofinitely many) $n_{0}>0$ such that $n_{0} t_{0}-\sigma\left(n_{0} t_{0}\right) \notin S+\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}(\bar{K})$, i.e. the point $t:=n_{0} t_{0} \in A(L)$ satisfies the claim of the proposition.
Remark 4.10. (a) As indicated above, the condition that $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(L) / A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)=\infty$ for every finite subextension $K_{1} / K$ of $L / K$ is satisfied if $K$ is finitely generated and $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(L)\right)=\infty$, since in this case also $K_{1}$ is finitely generated and therefore $A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)$ is finitely generated by the Néron-Mordell-Weil theorem [Lan83, Ch. 6 Thm. 1].
(b) The condition is also satisfied if $K$ is ample (see introduction) and $L / K$ is any infinite extension: Let $L_{1}$ be a proper finite extension of $K_{1}$ contained in $L$. The restriction of scalars $R=\operatorname{Res}_{L_{1} / K_{1}}\left(\left(A_{i}\right)_{L_{1}}\right)$ is an abelian variety over $K_{1}$ and we have the diagonal embedding $\Delta:\left(A_{i}\right)_{K_{1}} \rightarrow R$, hence $R$ is isogeneous to $\left(A_{i}\right)_{K_{1}} \times B$ for an abelian variety $B$ over $K_{1}$, which is nonzero since $\operatorname{dim}(R)=\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right] \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(A_{i}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{i}\right)$, hence

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(L) / A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}\left(L_{1}\right) / A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rk}\left(R\left(K_{1}\right) / \Delta\left(A_{i}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{rk}\left(B\left(K_{1}\right)\right)=\infty
$$

by [FP10, Theorem 1.2].
The final ingredient we need for our proof of Theorem 1.4 is the following purely group theoretic lemma regarding wreath products (cf. Definition 3.2). For a group $H$ we denote by $H^{\prime}=[H, H]$ the commutator subgroup.
Lemma 4.11. Let $\Gamma$ and $G$ be groups and let $H \leq \Gamma\left\ulcorner G\right.$ such that $\operatorname{pr}(H)=G$ and $H \cap \Gamma^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma^{\{1, g\}}$ for some $1 \neq g \in G$ under the restriction map $\left.f \mapsto f\right|_{\{1, g\}}$. Then for every $H^{\prime} \leq N \leq \Gamma \imath G$ we have that $N \cap \Gamma^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma^{\{1\}}$ under the restriction map $\left.f \mapsto f\right|_{\{1\}}$.
Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It suffices to find $\nu \in N \cap \Gamma^{G}$ such that $\nu(1)=\gamma$. Since $\operatorname{pr}(H)=G$, there exists $h=\rho g^{-1} \in H$ such that $\operatorname{pr}(h)=g^{-1}$ and $\rho=h g \in \Gamma^{G}$. Since $H \cap \Gamma^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma^{\{1, g\}}$, there exists $\eta \in H \cap \Gamma^{G}$ such that

$$
\eta(1)=\gamma^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta(g)=1
$$

Since $h, \eta \in H$ we have that $\nu:=[h, \eta] \in H^{\prime} \leq N$, and since $\eta \in \Gamma^{G} \unlhd \Gamma \imath G$ we have that

$$
\nu=[h, \eta]=h \eta h^{-1} \eta^{-1}=\rho \eta^{g} \rho^{-1} \eta^{-1} \in \Gamma^{G} .
$$

This finishes the proof since

$$
\nu(1)=\rho(1) \eta(g) \rho^{-1}(1) \eta^{-1}(1)=\rho(1) \cdot 1 \cdot \rho(1)^{-1} \cdot \gamma=\gamma .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. There exists an isogeny $\beta: \prod_{i=1}^{k} A_{i} \rightarrow A_{L}$ with $A_{i}$ simple abelian varieties over $L$, see e.g. [Mil86, Prop. 12.1] and the text below that proposition. We let $\beta^{\prime}: A_{L} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}$ denote the isogeny with $\beta \circ \beta^{\prime}=[\operatorname{deg}(\beta)]$ (Lemma 2.12). Replacing $K$ by a finite extension inside $L$ we may assume without loss of generality that $A_{i}, \beta, \beta^{\prime}$ all descend to $K$. Moreover, since each $A_{i}$ is a nonzero homomorphic image of $A_{L}$ (via $\beta^{\prime}$ ), by the assumption $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(L)\right)=\infty$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\operatorname{rk}\left(A_{i}(K)\right)>0$ for every $i$, which implies (via $\beta$ ) that $A(K)$ is Zariski-dense in $A$. We also note that the assumption of Proposition 4.9 is satisfied for $A$ and the extension $L / K$, see Remark 4.10(a).

Let $U \subseteq A$ be nonempty and open, let $K^{\prime} / K$ be a finite Galois extension linearly disjoint from $L / K$, let $\alpha: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A_{K^{\prime}}$ be an isogeny, and let $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow A^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ be a finite collection of fully ramified geometrically integral Galois covers. Let $L^{\prime}:=K^{\prime} L$, note that $L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}$ is again abelian, and let $X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{s}^{\prime}$ be the irreducible components of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to find a finite Galois extension $K^{\prime \prime} / K$ containing $K^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime \prime} L\right) \cap \alpha^{-1}(U(L)) \text { with }\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime} L}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { irreducible for every } i \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The freedom to choose $K^{\prime \prime}$ allows us, using Lemma 2.11(e), to freely replace $K$ by a finite extension $K^{*}$ of $K$ inside $L$ (and accordingly $K^{\prime}$ by $K^{*} K^{\prime}$ ), so since $\operatorname{Branch}\left(\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{K^{*} K^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{K^{*} K^{\prime}}$ by Lemma 2.4(c), assume without loss of generality that each $\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{\prime}}$ is irreducible. Let $m=\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)$ and let $\alpha^{\prime}: A_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be the isogeny with $\alpha \circ \alpha^{\prime}=[m]_{A_{K^{\prime}}}$ (Lemma 2.12).

Let $u: A_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow A$ be the base change, note that $\operatorname{Branch}\left(u \circ \alpha \circ \pi_{i}\right)=u\left(\alpha\left(\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)\right)\right)$ by Lemma $2.4(\mathrm{~d})$, and let $X_{\mu}:=u\left(\alpha\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By Lemma $2.4(\mathrm{~b})$, each $X_{\mu}^{\prime}$ is a proper closed subset of $A^{\prime}$, hence $X_{\mu}$ is a proper closed subset of $A$. For each $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq s$,

$$
S_{\mu, \nu}:=\left\{a \in A(\bar{K}): a+X_{\mu}(\bar{K})=X_{\nu}(\bar{K})\right\}
$$

is either empty or a coset of the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(X_{\nu}\right)(\bar{K})=S_{\nu, \nu}$, where $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$ is a smooth closed subgroup scheme of $A$ (see Lemma 2.13). Since $\emptyset \neq X_{\nu} \varsubsetneqq A$, we have that $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(X_{\nu}\right) \neq A$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{\mu, \nu} S_{\mu, \nu}$ is contained in a set of the form $S+\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}(\bar{K})$ with $S \subseteq A(\bar{K})$ finite and the $B_{j}$ proper abelian subvarieties of $A$. Without loss of generality, $0_{A} \in S$. Proposition 4.9 gives $t \in A(L)$ and $\hat{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ with

$$
t-\hat{\sigma}(t) \notin[m]^{-1} S+\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}(\bar{K})=[m]^{-1}\left(S+\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}(\bar{K})\right)
$$

in particular $m t-\hat{\sigma}(m t) \notin \bigcup_{\mu, \nu} S_{\mu, \nu}$. Let $M=K(t), M^{\prime}=K^{\prime} M, \sigma=\left.\hat{\sigma}\right|_{M}$, and note that $M / K$ is a Galois extension (since $L / K$ is abelian) and that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma \in G:=\operatorname{Gal}(M / K)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) . \\
\left.\quad\right|_{K \_G \_} ^{K^{\prime} \quad G} M^{\prime}-L^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $t^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(t) \in A^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. For $g \in G$,

$$
\pi_{i, g}:=\tau_{g\left(t^{\prime}\right)} \circ\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}}: Y_{i, g}=\left(Y_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}} \rightarrow A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover of $M^{\prime}$-varieties (Lemma 2.11(e)). Note that $\pi_{i, g}=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)^{g}$, which induces a natural isomorphism $\Gamma_{i}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, 1} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, g} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ (Remark 2.6). Let $W_{i}$ be the normalization of $A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the field compositum $\prod_{g \in G} M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, g}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4, $\delta_{i}: W_{i} \rightarrow A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a Galois cover whose Galois group $H_{i}$ embeds into $\Gamma_{i}$ 乙 $G$ such that, after identifying $H_{i}$ with its image in $\Gamma_{i}$ 保, the restriction of the maps pr and $e_{g}$ to $H_{i}$ respectively $H_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i}^{G}$ coincide with the restriction maps $H_{i} \rightarrow G$ respectively $\operatorname{Gal}\left(W_{i} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, g} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i}$.

For $g \in G$, using Lemma 2.4(d) we see that

$$
\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i, g}\right)=\operatorname{Branch}\left(\tau_{g\left(t^{\prime}\right)} \circ\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}}+g\left(t^{\prime}\right)
$$

has irreducible components among the $\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}+g\left(t^{\prime}\right), \mu=1, \ldots, s$. Since $K^{\prime}$ and $M$ are linearly disjoint over $K$, and $u_{M}$ is the base change morphism $A_{M^{\prime}} \rightarrow A_{M}$, using Remark 2.3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{M} \circ \alpha_{M^{\prime}}\right)\left(\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}+g\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) & =u_{M}\left(\alpha_{M^{\prime}}\left(\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}\right)+\alpha_{M^{\prime}}\left(g\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =u_{M}\left(\alpha_{M^{\prime}}\left(\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}\right)+g(m t)\right) \\
& =u_{M}\left(\alpha_{M^{\prime}}\left(\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}\right)\right)+u_{M}(g(m t)) \\
& =\left(u\left(\alpha\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)_{M}+g(m t) \\
& =\left(X_{\mu}\right)_{M}+g(m t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, it follows that $\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i, \sigma}\right)$ have no common component. Indeed, otherwise there exist $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq s$ with $\left(X_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}+t^{\prime}=\left(X_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)_{M^{\prime}}+\sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, and thus by the above computation, $\left(X_{\mu}\right)_{M}+m t=\left(X_{\nu}\right)_{M}+\sigma(m t)$, and so $m t-\sigma(m t) \in S_{\mu, \nu}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, by Lemma 3.3 (with $n=2$ ) the normalization of $A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the compositum $R_{i}:=$ $M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, 1}\right) M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, \sigma}\right)$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover $\rho_{i}: Z_{i} \rightarrow A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ with Galois group

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{i} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, 1} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, \sigma} / A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i}^{\{1, \sigma\}} \leq \Gamma_{i}^{G}
$$

The inclusions $R_{i} \subseteq \prod_{g \in G} M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, g}\right), M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, 1}\right) \subseteq R_{i}$ and $M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, \sigma}\right) \subseteq R_{i}$ induce covers $W_{i} \rightarrow Z_{i}$, $Z_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i, 1}$ and $Z_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i, \sigma}$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Let $\Omega:=A(K)$ and

$$
\Omega^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(\Omega) \subseteq A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \subseteq A^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\Omega$ is a dense subgroup of $A$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ is an isogeny, $\Omega^{\prime}$ is a dense subgroup of $A^{\prime}$. Thus by [CDJLZ22, Theorem 1.4] applied to $A_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$, there exists a finite index coset $C^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega^{\prime}$ such that for every $c^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ each of the fibers $\rho_{i}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ is integral. Then $C:=\Omega \cap \alpha^{\prime-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is a finite index coset of $\Omega$, hence Zariski-dense in $A$, and so we can pick $c \in C \cap \tau_{t}\left([m]^{-1}(U)\right)$ and let $c^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(c)$. Without loss of generality, each $\delta_{i}$ is unramified over $c^{\prime}$.

We claim that

$$
x^{\prime}:=c^{\prime}-t^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \subseteq A^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)
$$

is as required by (4.1). First of all note that indeed

$$
\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(\alpha^{\prime}(c)-\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=m \cdot(c-t) \in U(M) \subseteq U(L)
$$

Then choose $w_{i} \in W_{i}(\bar{K})$ with $\delta_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=c^{\prime}$ and let $z_{i} \in Z_{i}(\bar{K})$ be the image of $w_{i}$ in $Z_{i}$. Then $\rho_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)=c^{\prime}$, so $z_{i}$ is the unique point in the fiber $\rho_{i}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, if $D_{i}:=D\left(w_{i} / c^{\prime}\right) \leq H_{i}$ is the decomposition group of $w_{i}$ over $c^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, then the image of $D_{i}$ under pr: $\Gamma_{i} \prec G \rightarrow G$ is all of $G($ Lemma $2.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~b}))$, and $D_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i}^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma_{i}^{\{1, \sigma\}}$ (Lemma 2.8(c,b)). Let $F_{i}=M^{\prime}\left(w_{i}\right)$ be the residue field of $w_{i}$, and $F_{i, 1}$ the residue field of the image of $z_{i}$ in $Y_{i, 1}$, so that $\operatorname{Spec}\left(F_{i, 1}\right)=$ $\pi_{i, 1}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.8(a,d) we can identify $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / K^{\prime}\right)=D_{i} \leq \Gamma_{i} \prec G$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i, 1} / M^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i}^{\{1\}}$ such that the restrictions of pr and $e_{1}$ to $D_{i}$ respectively $D_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i}^{G}$ correspond to the restriction maps $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ respectively $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i, 1} / M^{\prime}\right)$. As $L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}$ is abelian,
$N_{i}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / F_{i} \cap L^{\prime}\right)$ contains the commutator subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / K^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=D_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus by Lemma 4.11, $N_{i} \cap \Gamma_{i}^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma_{i}^{\{1\}}$, which means that $F_{i, 1}$ is linearly disjoint from $L^{\prime}$ over $M^{\prime}$, hence

$$
\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}+t^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{i, 1}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(M^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(F_{i, 1} \otimes_{M^{\prime}} L^{\prime}\right)
$$

is irreducible.
Proof of Corollary 1.5. This follows from Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 4.5.
Proof of Corollary 1.6. This follows from Theorem 1.4, Proposition 4.3 and Remark 4.2.
In fact, we obtain the following generalization of Corollary 1.6:
Corollary 4.12. Let $A$ be a geometrically simple abelian variety over a finitely generated field $K$ of characteristic zero. Assume there exists a geometrically integral Galois cover $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ with abelian Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ and an epimorphism $J_{C} \rightarrow A$, where $J_{C}$ denotes the Jacobian variety of $C$. Then $A_{K^{\text {ab }}}$ has WHP.
Proof. By [Pet06, Theorem 1.1], $A$ has infinite rank over $K^{\text {ab }}$, hence since $A_{K^{\text {ab }}}$ is simple, so does every nonzero homomorphic image of $A_{K^{\mathrm{ab}}}$. Thus Theorem 1.4 implies the assertion.
Example 4.13. Let $K$ be a finitely generated field of characteristic zero and let $f \in K[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $n \geq 5$ with Galois group isomorphic to $S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$. Let $C$ be the smooth projective curve with affine equation $Y^{2}=f(X)$. Then $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{K}}\left(\left(J_{C}\right)_{\bar{K}}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ by $[\mathrm{Zar00}$, Theorem 2.1]. In particular, $J_{C}$ is geometrically simple. Moreover, $C$ is a geometrically integral Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ with Galois group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Corollary 4.12 implies that $\left(J_{C}\right)_{K^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ has WHP.

Remark 4.14. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth proper $K$-varieties. [BFP14, Corollary 3.4] proves that if $X$ and $Y$ have HP, then so does the product $X \times Y$. If one would have a similar result for WHP, it would suffice to prove Theorem 1.4 in the special case that $A$ is simple, which would simplify the construction of the point $t$ (Proposition 4.9) considerably. In fact, [CDJLZ22, Theorem 1.8] proves such a product theorem for WHP, however only for $K$ finitely generated of characteristic zero, which seems to be not sufficient here.
Remark 4.15. By [CDJLZ22, Proposition 3.5], WHP is preserved under isogenies of abelian varieties, hence in the proof of Theorem 1.4 (and therefore in Proposition 4.9) one could assume that $\prod_{i=1}^{k} A_{i} \rightarrow A_{L}$ is the identity. This however would not allow a significant simplification of the proof.

Remark 4.16. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over a finitely generated field $K$ of characteristic zero, and let $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow A\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ be as in Definition 1.2. The more precise form of Theorem 1.3 proven in [CDJLZ22, Theorem 1.3] is that for every Zariski-dense subgroup $\Omega \leq A(K)$ there exists a finite index coset $C \subseteq \Omega$ disjoint from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i}\left(Y_{i}(K)\right)$. Such a statement however does in general not hold over $L=K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ instead of $K$ : Assume that $A(K)$ is Zariski-dense and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ be any ramified abelian cover of $A$. (To see that such a cover exists, let for example $Y$ be the normalization of $A$ in the quadratic extension of the function field $K(A)$ obtained by adjoining a square root of a rational function on $A$ whose principal divisor is not divisible by 2.) Then $\Omega=A(K)$ is a Zariskidense (finitely generated) subgroup of $A(L)$, but $\pi^{-1}(\Omega) \subseteq Y\left(K^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, so in fact $\Omega \subseteq \pi(Y(L))$. This also shows that if $X$ is any proper smooth $K$-variety with $X(K)=X\left(K^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, then $X_{K^{\text {ab }}}$ does not have WHP: If $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is any ramified abelian cover, $\pi\left(Y\left(K^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right) \supseteq X(K)=X\left(K^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$.

## 5. Torsion fields of abelian varieties

In this section we prove Theorem 1.7. We denote by $\mu_{n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ the group of $n$-th roots of unity, and by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of prime numbers. For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ we denote by $v_{p}: \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the $p$-adic valuation, and we abbreviate $\mu_{p^{\infty}}:=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{p^{k}}$ and $A\left[p^{\infty}\right]:=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A\left[p^{k}\right]$.
Lemma 5.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $A$ an abelian variety over a field $K$ of characteristic zero. Then $K\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) \subseteq K\left(A\left[p^{\infty}\right]\right)$.

Proof. Let $L=K\left(A\left[p^{\infty}\right]\right)$ and choose an isogeny $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(A, A^{\vee}\right)$, where $A^{\vee}$ is the dual of $A$ (see [Mil86, §10] or [EGM, Theorem 6.18]). Then $\lambda\left(A\left[p^{\infty}\right]\right)=A^{\vee}\left[p^{\infty}\right]$, hence $K\left(A^{\vee}\left[p^{\infty}\right]\right) \subseteq L$. Since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a non-degenerate pairing of $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$-modules

$$
e_{n}: A\left[p^{n}\right] \times A^{\vee}\left[p^{n}\right] \rightarrow \mu_{p^{n}}
$$

see [Mil86, §16] or [EGM, Def. 11.11], and $\operatorname{Gal}(L)$ acts trivially on $A\left[p^{n}\right] \times A^{\vee}\left[p^{n}\right]$, we conclude that $\mu_{p^{n}} \subseteq L$.
Lemma 5.2. For every $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ which is not a square in $\mathbb{C}[X]$, there exists a finite set $P_{f} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that for every finite set $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ there are infinitely many $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with
(a) $p \nmid f(x)$ for every $p \in P \backslash P_{f}$, and
(b) $v_{p}(f(x)) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P} \backslash P$.

Proof. Since $f \neq 0$, the set $P_{f}$ of $p \in \mathbb{P}$ with $f(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is finite. Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ finite. By the Chinese remainder theorem there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $f(a) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for every $p \in P \backslash P_{f}$. Let $b=\prod_{p \in P \backslash P_{f}} p$ and $g(Y)=f(a+b Y)$. Then for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}, g(y) \equiv f(a) \not \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for every $p \in P \backslash P_{f}$, hence $x=a+b y$ satisfies (a). The assumption on $f$ implies that $g$ is not a square in $\mathbb{C}[Y]$, hence Hilbert's irreducibility theorem in the form [Ser08, Theorem 3.4.4] or [FJ08, Theorem 13.3.5] applied to the $2^{|P|+1}$ polynomials

$$
Z^{2} \pm\left(\prod_{p \in P_{0}} p\right) \cdot g(Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[Z, Y], \quad P_{0} \subseteq P
$$

gives infinitely many $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $c \cdot|g(y)|$ is not a perfect square for any $c=\prod_{p \in P_{0}} p, P_{0} \subseteq P$, and then $x=a+b y$ satisfies (b).
Lemma 5.3. Let $\Gamma$ and $G$ be groups and suppose $\operatorname{pr}: \Gamma \imath G \rightarrow G$ factors as

$$
\Gamma \imath G \xrightarrow{p} H \stackrel{r}{\rightarrow} G .
$$

Let $H_{1}, H_{2} \unlhd H$ and write $G_{i}=r\left(H_{i}\right)$. Assume that $G_{1} \neq 1, G_{2} \neq 1, G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$, and $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]=1$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(p) \subseteq \Gamma^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma$ under the evaluation map $e_{1}$.
Proof. Let $N=\operatorname{Ker}(p), \Gamma_{0}=e_{1}(N)$, and $\bar{\Gamma}:=\Gamma / \Gamma_{0}$. The map $\Gamma \imath G \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \imath G,(f, g) \mapsto(\bar{f}, g)$ where $\bar{f}(h)=f(h) \Gamma_{0}$ is an epimorphism whose kernel contains $N$, so without loss of generality we can assume that $N$ equals this kernel, and thus $H=\bar{\Gamma}$ 亿 $G$. Applying [FJ08, Lemma 13.7.4(b)] with $G_{0}=1, A=\bar{\Gamma}$ and $1 \neq h_{2} \in H_{2}$ shows that $\bar{\Gamma}=1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ let $L_{p}=\mathbb{Q}\left(A\left[p^{\infty}\right]\right)$. Then $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) \subseteq L_{p}$ by Lemma 5.1, and $L:=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} L_{p}=\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }} \subseteq L$ by the Kronecker-Weber theorem, hence $\operatorname{rk}(E(L))=\infty$ by Proposition 4.3. Like in that proposition, choose an affine model

$$
E_{0}: Y^{2}=f(X), \quad f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
$$

of $E$, and for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ let $x_{a} \in E_{0}(\sqrt{f(a)})$ be the corresponding point.
Let $K$ be a number field contained in $L, U \subseteq E_{K}$ nonempty and open, $K^{\prime} / K$ a finite Galois extension linearly disjoint from $L / K, \alpha: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{K^{\prime}}$ an isogeny, and $\left(\pi_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow E^{\prime}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ a finite collection of fully ramified geometrically integral Galois covers of $K^{\prime}$-varieties. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to find a finite Galois extension $K^{\prime \prime} / K$ containing $K^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime \prime} L\right) \cap \alpha^{-1}(U(L)) \text { with }\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{K^{\prime \prime} L}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \text { irreducible for every } i \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The freedom to choose $K^{\prime \prime}$ allows us, using Lemma 2.11(e), to freely replace $K$ by a finite extension $K^{*}$ of $K$ inside $L$ (and accordingly $K^{\prime}$ by $K^{*} K^{\prime}$ ), as well as to replace $K^{\prime}$ by a bigger finite Galois extension $K^{\dagger}$ of $K$ (and accordingly $K$ by $L \cap K^{\dagger}$ ). Let $L^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L$ and $L_{p}^{\prime}=K^{\prime} L_{p}$. Since $\operatorname{rk}(E(L))=\infty$, by enlarging $K$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\operatorname{rk}(E(K))>0$, and then $E(K)$ is Zariski-dense in $E$. By Serre's independence theorem (see [Ser86, Thm. 1] and [Ser13]) we may assume, after possibly enlarging $K^{\prime}$ further, that the family $\left(L_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p \in \mathbb{P}}$ is linearly disjoint over $K^{\prime}$. Enlarging $K^{\prime}$ once again if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\sqrt{-1} \in K^{\prime}$ and that $P^{\prime}:=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}: \sqrt{p} \in K^{\prime}\right\}$ contains $P_{f} \cup\{2\}$, where $P_{f}$ is the set of primes from Lemma 5.2.

Let $m=\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)$ and let $\alpha^{\prime}: E_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be the isogeny with $\alpha \circ \alpha^{\prime}=[m]_{E_{K^{\prime}}}$ (Lemma 2.12). The set

$$
S:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}: x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)(\bar{K})\right\} \subseteq E^{\prime}(\bar{K})
$$

is finite and invariant under $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Applying Lemma 5.2 with $P=P^{\prime}$ gives infinitely many $k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sqrt{f\left(k_{1}\right)} \notin K^{\prime}$. Since $[2]^{-1} \alpha^{\prime-1}(S)$ is finite, $x_{k_{1}} \notin[2]^{-1} \alpha^{\prime-1}(S)$ for cofinitely many of these $k_{1}$, and we fix such $k_{1}$ and let $M_{1}:=K\left(\sqrt{f\left(k_{1}\right)}\right)$ and $t_{1}:=x_{k_{1}} \in E\left(M_{1}\right)$. Applying Lemma 5.2 again, now with $P=P^{\prime} \cup \mathbb{P}\left(k_{1}\right)$, where for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write

$$
\mathbb{P}(k):=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}: v_{p}(f(k)) \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2\right\},
$$

gives infinitely many $k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $\sqrt{f\left(k_{2}\right)} \notin K^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(k_{1}\right) \cap \mathbb{P}\left(k_{2}\right) \subseteq P_{f} \subseteq P^{\prime}$. We fix such $k_{2}$ for which

$$
x_{k_{2}} \notin[2]^{-1} \alpha^{\prime-1}(S) \cup \tau_{-t_{1}}\left([2]^{-1} \alpha^{\prime-1}(S)\right)
$$

and let $M_{2}:=K\left(\sqrt{f\left(k_{2}\right)}\right)$ and $t_{2}:=x_{k_{2}} \in E\left(M_{2}\right)$. Let $P_{1}=\mathbb{P}\left(k_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=\mathbb{P} \backslash P_{1}$. Then, since $\mathbb{P}\left(k_{2}\right) \subseteq P_{2} \cup P^{\prime}$ and $\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2} \in K^{\prime}$, we have for $i=1,2$ that

$$
M_{i}^{\prime}:=K^{\prime} M_{i}=K^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{\left|f\left(k_{i}\right)\right|}\right) \subseteq K^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{p}: p \in \mathbb{P}\left(k_{i}\right) \backslash P^{\prime}\right) \subseteq K^{\prime}\left(\mu_{p}: p \in P_{i}\right) \subseteq N_{i}:=\prod_{p \in P_{i}} L_{p}^{\prime}
$$

Note that $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are linearly disjoint Galois extensions of $K^{\prime}$ with $N_{1} N_{2}=L^{\prime}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / N_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / N_{2}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, also $M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $M_{2}^{\prime}$ are linearly disjoint over $K^{\prime}$. Let $M:=M_{1} M_{2}$ and $M^{\prime}:=K^{\prime} M=$ $M_{1}^{\prime} M_{2}^{\prime}$, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / M_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Klein four-group, and let $1 \neq \sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.


Note that $\sigma_{i}\left(t_{j}\right)=(-1)^{i-j} t_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$. Let $t:=t_{1}+t_{2} \in E(M)$ and $t^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(t) \in E^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and observe that

$$
t^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)-\sigma_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)\right)=2 \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right) \notin S
$$

analogously $t^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=2 \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \notin S$. Moreover,

$$
t^{\prime}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(2 t_{1}+2 t_{2}\right) \notin S
$$

This implies that $g\left(t^{\prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right) \notin S$ for every $g, h \in G=\left\{1, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right\}$ with $g \neq h$.
For $g \in G$,

$$
\pi_{i, g}:=\tau_{g\left(t^{\prime}\right)} \circ\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}}: Y_{i, g}=\left(Y_{i}\right)_{M^{\prime}} \rightarrow E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

is a geometrically integral fully ramified Galois cover of $M^{\prime}$-varieties. Note that $\pi_{i, g}=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)^{g}$, which induces a natural isomorphism $\Gamma_{i}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, 1} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, g} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)($ Remark 2.6). Moreover, $\operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i, g}\right) \cap \operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i, h}\right)=\emptyset$ for every $g, h \in G$ with $g \neq h$, since otherwise there exist $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Branch}\left(\pi_{i}\right)(\bar{K})$ with $x^{\prime}+g\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}+h\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, leading to the contradiction $g\left(t^{\prime}\right)-h\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime} \in S$. Thus by Lemma 3.3 (with $n=4$ ) the normalization of $E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ in the
compositum $\prod_{g \in G} M^{\prime}\left(Y_{i, g}\right)$ is a fully ramified geometrically integral Galois cover $\rho_{i}: Z_{i} \rightarrow E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ with Galois group

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{i} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{g \in G} \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, g} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i}^{G},
$$

so by Lemma 3.4 the composition $\delta_{i}: Z_{i} \rightarrow E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a Galois cover whose Galois group we can identify with $\Gamma_{i}$ 久 $G$ such that the maps pr and $e_{g}$, for $g \in G$, coincide with the restriction maps $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{i} / E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow G$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z_{i} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{i, g} / E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i}$.


Let $\Omega:=E(K)$ and

$$
\Omega^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(\Omega) \subseteq E^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since $\Omega$ is a Zariski-dense subgroup of $E$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ is an isogeny, $\Omega^{\prime}$ is a Zariski-dense subgroup of $E^{\prime}$. Thus by [CDJLZ22, Theorem 1.4] applied to $E_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$, there exists a finite index coset $C^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega^{\prime}$ such that for every $c^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ each of the fibers $\rho_{i}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ is integral. Then $C:=\Omega \cap \alpha^{\prime-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is a finite index coset of $\Omega$, hence Zariski-dense in $E$, and so we can pick $c \in C \cap \tau_{t}\left([m]^{-1}(U)\right)$ and let $c^{\prime}:=\alpha^{\prime}(c)$. Without loss of generality, each $\delta_{i}$ is unramified over $c^{\prime}$.

We claim that

$$
x^{\prime}:=c^{\prime}-t^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right)
$$

is as required by (5.1). First of all note that indeed

$$
\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(\alpha^{\prime}(c)-\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=m \cdot(c-t) \in U(M) \subseteq U(L) .
$$

Then let $z_{i} \in Z_{i}(\bar{K})$ with $\rho_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)=c^{\prime}$, let $y_{i}$ be the image of $z_{i}$ in $Y_{i, 1}$, and let $F_{i}=M^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)$ and $F_{i, 1}=M^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)$ be the residue fields. Since $\rho_{i}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ is integral, we have $\rho_{i}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $\pi_{i, 1}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(F_{i, 1}\right)$, and by Lemma 2.8 we can identify $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / K^{\prime}\right)=D\left(z_{i} / c^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma_{i} \ell G$ so that pr and $e_{1}$ correspond to the restriction maps $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ respectively $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i, 1} / M^{\prime}\right)$. For $j=1,2$ let $H_{j}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} \cap L^{\prime} / F_{i} \cap N_{j}\right)$ and let $r: \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} \cap L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ denote the restriction map. Then (5.2) implies that $H_{1} H_{2}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} \cap L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]=$ 1. Since $N_{j} \cap M^{\prime}=M_{j}^{\prime}$, we get from (5.3) that $r\left(H_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(M^{\prime} / M_{j}^{\prime}\right) \cong C_{2}$ for each $j$ and $G=r\left(H_{1}\right) \times r\left(H_{2}\right)$. Therefore, Lemma 5.3 implies that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i} / F_{i} \cap L^{\prime}\right) \leq \Gamma_{i}^{G}$ surjects onto $\Gamma_{i}^{\{1\}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{i, 1} / M^{\prime}\right)$, hence $F_{i, 1}$ and $L^{\prime}$ are linearly disjoint over $M^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}+t^{\prime}\right)=\left(\pi_{i, 1}\right)_{L^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{i, 1}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\left(M^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{Spec}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(F_{i, 1} \otimes_{M^{\prime}} L^{\prime}\right)
$$

is irreducible, concluding the proof.
Remark 5.4. While [Jar10] proved that $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ is Hilbertian, the series of works [FJP12, FP13, Tho13, BFW16] established Jarden's conjecture [Jar10, Conjecture 1] that for every Hilbertian field $K$ and every abelian variety $A / K$ (and then in fact every commutative algebraic group, with some exceptions in positive characteristic), every intermediate field $K \subseteq L \subseteq K\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ is Hilbertian. We note that our proof that $E_{\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)}$ has WHP does not seem to generalize to $E_{L}$ for arbitrary intermediate fields $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$, which however would have been surprising in light of Remark 4.16.

Remark 5.5. It is known that over a Hilbertian PAC field, every geometrically integral variety has HP [FJ08, Prop. 27.3.4, Example 24.8.5(b)]. We note that although $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ are Hilbertian, they are not PAC. Indeed, for $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {ab }}$ this is [FJ08, Cor. 11.5.7], and we sketch the proof for $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ : If $p$ is a prime of good reduction of $A$, then for every prime number $\ell \neq p, \mathbb{Q}\left(A\left[\ell^{\infty}\right]\right)$ is
contained in the maximal unramified extension $L^{\text {ur }}$ of the local field $L=\mathbb{Q}_{p}(A[p])$ by the Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion [BLR90, Ch 7.4 Thm. 5], hence $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ur}}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right) / L^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$ is a subgroup of the pro-p group $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{A\left[p^{\infty}\right]}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{A[p]}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)\right)$ coming from the action on the Tate module of $A$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right) \mathbb{Q}_{p}=L\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ is not algebraically closed, which by a result of Frey-Prestel [FJ08, Cor. 11.5.5] implies that $\mathbb{Q}\left(A_{\text {tor }}\right)$ is not PAC.
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[^0]:    MSC: 12E30, 12E25, 14G05, 11G10, 14K15.
    ${ }^{1}$ all varieties are assumed integral, cf. Definition 2.1

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In detail it is a functor in the following way: For $g: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$ and $X \in \underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{A}(T)$ we have $\underline{\operatorname{Hilb}}_{A}(g)(X)=X_{T^{\prime}}=$ $X \times_{T} T^{\prime}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In fact there exists a (up to isomorphism) unique largest unramified subcover, in particular they all factor through $A_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow A_{K}$, but this is not needed here.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note though that the theorem is incorrectly stated, as the stabilizer $H$ of a block $T_{\iota}$ need not act faithfully on that block, and so the group $H$ in that theorem has to be replaced by its image in $\operatorname{Sym}\left(T_{\iota}\right)$. Also the assumption there that the action is imprimitive is unnecessary if one allows the trivial partition as a block system.

