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#### Abstract

Dp-branes acquire effective nonlinear descriptions whose bosonic parts are related to the Born-Infeld action. This nonlinearity has been proven to be a consequence of the partial $\mathcal{N}=2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry breaking, originating from the solitonic nature of the branes. In this work, we focus on the effective descriptions of D2-branes, which play important roles in the Type IIA string theory. Using the Goldstone multiplet interpretation of the action, we construct a 3D superspace description which makes the first supersymmetry manifest and realizes the second, spontaneously broken, supersymmetry nonlinearly. We find that the role of the 3D Goldstone multiplet can be played by the vector or tensor multiplet which are related under a duality transformation.
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## 1 Introduction

The deep connection between partial supersymmetry breaking and nonlinear realizations of extended supersymmetries has been studied extensively [1-11]. One of the most transparent demonstrations of this connection is the existence of $\mathrm{D} p$-branes which are solitonic solutions of string theory [12, 13]. The introduction of a boundary to the world-sheet theory breaks some of the spatial translational symmetries and half of the supersymmetries [14] which implies that D-branes correspond to BPS saturated soliton states.

The result is these solutions acquire a lower-dimensional effective description where the bosonic part is given by the DBI action for the corresponding collective coordinates and the world volume gauge field $[13,15-18]$. This action is closely related to the nonlinear BornInfeld type of action of the open string theory [19-22] because the DBI action for all $p$-branes $(p<9)$ always corresponds to the dimensional reduction of the ten-dimensional (D9-brane) BI action [17].

The existence of these effective nonlinear descriptions is no accident and follows directly from the partial supersymmetry breaking $\mathcal{N}=2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$. In general, the memory of a
spontaneously broken symmetry does not fade completely and is captured through nonlinear realizations of that symmetry. In this fashion, the effective description of $\mathrm{D} p$-branes must be consistent with the linear representations of the surviving supersymmetry and also with the nonlinear realizations of the second broken supersymmetry. Such nonlinear realizations of supersymmetry are defined via nonlinear constraints which generate precisely the Born-Infeld type actions of D-branes.

For the D 3 -brane, the manifestly $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric effective action was first found in [2, 3]. However, as it was shown, demanding the surviving supersymmetry to be manifest is not enough to uniquely determine the superspace action. Later in [7] the 4D, $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric BI action was derived with the interpretation of being the massless Goldstone supermultiplet associated with the partial breaking of the second supersymmetry. In addition to the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superspace description, demanding the invariance of the theory under the second non-linearly realized supersymmetry fixed all previous ambiguities and gave a unique answer for the superspace Lagrangian.

A general method for constructing nonlinear realizations of a symmetry is to start with a linear representations of that symmetry and impose consistent nonlinear constraints. For the case of supersymmetry this led to the constrained superfield approach of [1] which can be applied universally to find nonlinear actions. In [9] using the constrained superfield approach it was shown that the results of [7] directly follow from the $\mathcal{N}=2$ free Maxwell theory by (i) expanding around a non-trivial vacuum that breaks the second supersymmetry and (ii) imposing the standard nonlinear -nilpotency- constraint which reduces the field content of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory to the Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet by relating the $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral and vector multiplet components.

Interestingly, it was shown in [10, 23] that adding a Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term to the superspace action of [7, 9] resulted in a theory which remained invariant under the second non-linearly realized supersymmetry and $\mathcal{N}=1$ manifest. Of course, the presence of the FI term gives a VEV to the auxiliary component of the vector multiplet and therefore it spontaneously breaks the first supersymmetry. Nevertheless, the deformed theory still enjoys an unbroken $\mathcal{N}=1$ symmetry which corresponds to a different choice of an $\mathcal{N}=1$ subsector of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory.

It is often common practice, once an action is found to use dualities -symmetries between the equations of motion and their Bianchi identities - to map it to a dual action, with equivalent on-shell dynamics. The duality transformations can be applied to a large class of (non-Gaussian) actions which depend only on the field strength of a p-form algebraically. For the special case of $p=(d-2) / 2$ it may happen that the dual action is the same functional ${ }^{1}$ of the dual field strength as the original action for the original field strength. The Born-Infeld action falls in this category of self-dual theories with the duality transformation being the standard electric-magnetic duality. The supersymmetric extension of the BI action as was shown in [7, 9] preserves this self-duality property. By turning on the background dilaton and

[^0]axion fields ${ }^{2}$, the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ duality rotation is extended to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathrm{R})$ which is the self-duality group of the effective D3-branes [24]. The supersymmetric theory with the FI deformation can be understood as a special case of the supersymmetric extension of this generalized BI action [23].

Similar to the special role of the D3-brane in IIB theory, D2-brane plays a significant role in IIA theory. What makes them particularly interesting is that they can be interpreted as the M-theory eleven dimensional supermembrane [15, 16, 25]. In this work, we focus on deriving the complete $\mathcal{N}=1, \mathrm{D}=3$ superfield form of the effective Born-Infeld action corresponding to the D2-brane. In this description, the action is manifestly invariant under one linearly realized global supersymmetry and is also invariant under a second non-linearly realized supersymmetry. The role of the goldstone multiplet is played by the 3D vector multiplet or the 3D tensor multiplet. We show that the two actions are related under a duality transformation. Moreover, we show that there exists a consistent deformation of this action with respect to the nonlinearly realized supersymmetry. However, this deformation explicitly breaks the first supersymmetry.

The layout of this paper is described below. We begin with constructing the MaxwellGoldstone multiplet following both Bagger-Galperin [7] and Volkov-Akulov [9] in section 2. We then explore the consistent deformations of the superspace action in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the Tensor-Goldstone multiplet with the similar flow of the narrative as section 2. We close by showing the Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet and the Tensor-Goldstone multiplet maps to each other under duality transformations in section 5 followed by a summary and two appendices.

## 2 3D, $\mathcal{N}=1$ Maxwell-Goldstone Multiplet

### 2.1 Review of $3 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=1$ super-Maxwell Multiplet

In three dimensions, the $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplet is described by the spinorial superfield strength $W_{\alpha}$ constrained by the Bianchi identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{2} W_{\alpha}=i \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} W_{\beta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved by expressing the superfield strength $W_{\alpha}$ in terms of an unconstrained -prepotential- spinorial superfield $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\beta} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prepotential $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ is not unique and defines an equivalence class [ $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ ] via the following gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Gamma_{\alpha}=\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} K \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is an arbitrary scalar superfield. The superspace action takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta W^{2} \quad, \quad W^{2}:=\frac{1}{2} W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]which results in the spacetime action
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x\left\{i \lambda^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\alpha \beta} f_{\alpha \beta}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the component fields $\lambda_{\alpha}$ and $f_{\alpha \beta}$ are defined as $\lambda_{\alpha}=W_{\alpha} \mid$ and $f_{\alpha \beta}=\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} W_{\beta} \mid$ respectively and $g$ is a dimensionless constant. Due to constraint (2.1), it is straightforward to see that the component field $f_{\alpha \beta}$ is symmetric in the two spinorial indices $\left(f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\beta \alpha}\right)$ and is the spinor form of the usual 3D Faraday tensor.

### 2.2 Maxwell-Goldstone Multiplet

Our aim is to interpret the above supermultiplet as the Goldstone multiplet that accommodates the Goldstino associated with the spontaneous breaking of a second supersymmetry in 3D precisely as the D2-brane of type IIA string theory is expected to do. Following Bagger and Galperin [7], we search for the most general transformation $\delta^{*}$ of $W_{\alpha}$ which is consistent with constraint (2.1). In order for this transformation to be understood as a second supersymmetry transformation, it must involve the second supersymmetry partners of $W_{\alpha}$. Due to the spontaneous breaking of this supersymmetry, one of the partners will acquire a non-trivial VEV which will generate the characteristic shift in the transformation of $W_{\alpha}$. After redefining the second supersymmetry parameter and the remaining partner superfield, we find the most general transformation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\eta}^{*} W_{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} X\right) \eta_{\beta},  \tag{2.6a}\\
& \delta_{\eta}^{*} X=\frac{2}{\kappa} \eta^{\alpha} W_{\alpha} . \tag{2.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

The dimensionfull parameter $\kappa([\kappa]=3 / 2)$ corresponds to the non-trivial VEV and the overall coefficient in (2.6b) is determined by the compatibility of (2.6) with supersymmetry algebra ${ }^{3}$. The second term in the right hand side of (2.6a) appears to have a different structure than the expected 3D reduction of the 4D answer. However, by using the algebra of the 3D spinorial covariant derivatives it can be shown that they are equivalent.

Furthermore, in order to prohibit the remaining partner superfield $X$ to carry independent degrees of freedom and have the 3D Maxwell multiplet be the Goldstone multiplet corresponding to the partial breaking of supersymmetry, we impose the following nonlinear constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa X=W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} X\right) X \Rightarrow X=\frac{W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}}{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \kappa} \mathrm{D}^{2} X\right)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This constraint is consistent with (2.6) and also compatible with the 3D reduction of the 4D constraint of [7] by recalling that in 3D there is no notion of chirality. Using $W_{\alpha} W_{\beta} W_{\gamma}=0$, constraint (2.7) can be solved in a similar fashion as in [7] and express $X$ in terms of $W^{2}$ and $D^{2} W^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{2}{\kappa} W^{2}\left[1+\frac{T}{1-T+\sqrt{1-2 T}}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where $T=\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \mathrm{D}^{2} W^{2}$. The superspace action for the 3D Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\tau \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta X=\frac{2 \tau}{\kappa} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta W^{2}\left[1+\frac{T}{1-T+\sqrt{1-2 T}}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This is manifestly invariant under the first supersymmetry and also respects the second supersymmetry because due to (2.6b) and (2.1) the spacetime Lagrangian transforms as a total derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\eta}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{2} X=\frac{2 i}{\kappa} \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}\left(\eta^{\alpha} W_{\beta}\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that (2.9) is a supersymmetric Born-Infeld type of action and we will show its bosonic part is the corresponding BI effective action for the D2-brane.

Following [1, 9] the above results can be easily understood from the view point of the manifestly $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory. The $3 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet is described by a scalar superfield $\mathcal{W}(x, \theta, \tilde{\theta})$ which satisfies the following irreducibility conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathcal{W}=\tilde{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \mathcal{W}, \quad \mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \tilde{\mathrm{D}}_{\alpha} \mathcal{W}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the tilded Grassmann coordinates $\left(\tilde{\theta}_{\alpha}\right)$ and covariant derivatives $\left(\tilde{\mathrm{D}}_{\alpha}\right)$ correspond to the second supersymmetry. By expanding the $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield $\mathcal{W}$ in terms of $\mathcal{N}=1$ component superfields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}(x, \theta, \tilde{\theta})=\Phi(x, \theta)+\tilde{\theta}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}(x, \theta)-\tilde{\theta}^{2} F(x, \theta) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can solve (2.11) to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\mathrm{D}^{2} \Phi, \quad \mathrm{D}^{2} W_{\alpha}=i \partial_{\alpha}^{\beta} W_{\beta}, \quad \mathrm{D}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, superfields $\Phi, W_{\alpha}$, and $F$ transform under the second supersymmetry as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \Phi=-\epsilon^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}  \tag{2.14a}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} W_{\alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} F-i \epsilon^{\beta} \partial_{\beta \alpha} \Phi=\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \Phi \epsilon_{\beta},  \tag{2.14b}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} F=-i \epsilon^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} W_{\beta} \tag{2.14c}
\end{align*}
$$

which are consistent with (2.13). In order to break this second supersymmetry we follow the constrained superfield approach [1]. We (i) expand the $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield $\mathcal{W}$ around a background superfield which breaks the second supersymmetry and (ii) we impose the usual nilpotency condition in order to remove 'radial' superfields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=\langle\mathcal{W}\rangle+\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^{2}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condensate $\langle\mathcal{W}\rangle$ is Lorentz and $\mathcal{N}=1$ invariant with a non-trivial $\tilde{\theta}$ dependence.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{W}\rangle=\kappa \tilde{\theta}^{2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}=-\frac{1}{2} X+\tilde{\theta}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}-\tilde{\theta}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{2} X+\kappa\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=-2 \Phi$. The second supersymmetry transformations take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} X=2 \epsilon^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}=\frac{2}{\kappa} \epsilon^{\alpha} W_{\alpha},  \tag{2.17a}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} W_{\alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} \kappa-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} X\right) \epsilon_{\beta}=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2 \kappa}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} X\right) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\beta}, \tag{2.17b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha}$ is the $\kappa$-rescaled supersymmetry parameter ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} \kappa$ ). These transformations match exactly (2.6). The nilpotency condition $\mathcal{W}^{2}=0$ imposes the following nonlinear constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}=0, X W_{\alpha}=0, \kappa X=W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} X \mathrm{D}^{2} X \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agree with (2.7).

### 2.3 Supersymmetric 3D Born-Infeld action in components

Starting from the superspace action (2.9), we extract the spacetime component action. It can be written in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\tau \kappa \int d^{3} x\left\{\left.T\right|_{\theta=0}+\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{2} \theta \Psi(T) W^{2}\right\} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which makes it easy to see that it is a member of the Cecotti-Ferrara class of actions [3] after a dimensional reduction to $3 \mathrm{D}^{4}$. In this case, the function $\Psi(x)$ is fixed to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x)=\frac{x}{1-x+\sqrt{1-2 x}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By performing the $\theta$ integral we find the bosonic part of the spacetime action to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{B}}=\tau \kappa \int d^{3} x(1-\sqrt{1-2 s}) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=-\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}} f^{\alpha \beta} f_{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and corresponds to the BI effective action of D2-brane. The fermionic part of the spacetime action is determined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{\tau}{\kappa^{3}} \int d^{3} x\left\{\Psi^{\prime}(T \mid)\right. & {\left[4 i\left(f^{\alpha \delta} \lambda_{\delta}\right) \partial_{\alpha \beta}\left(f^{\beta \gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}\right)\right.}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left.+\left(2\left(\square \lambda^{\gamma}\right) \lambda_{\gamma}+\left(\partial^{\alpha \beta} \lambda^{\gamma}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \lambda_{\gamma}\right)\right) \lambda^{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma}\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \Psi^{\prime \prime}(T \mid)\left[\partial^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\left(f^{\beta \gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}\right)\right]\left[\partial_{\alpha \delta}\left(f^{\delta \epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon}\right)\right] \lambda^{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]where
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \left\lvert\,=\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} f^{\alpha \beta} f_{\alpha \beta}-i \lambda^{\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \lambda^{\beta}\right)\right]\right.  \tag{2.24}\\
& \Psi^{\prime}(T \mid)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2 T \mid}} \frac{1}{1-T \mid+\sqrt{1-2 T \mid}},  \tag{2.25}\\
& \Psi^{\prime \prime}(T \mid)=\frac{2-3 T \mid+2 \sqrt{1-2 T \mid}}{(1-2 T \mid)^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-T \mid+\sqrt{1-2 T \mid})^{2}} . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

## 3 Mass Deformation

A special property of the 3D vector multiplet which makes it very different from its 4D analogue is the existence of a gauge invariant mass term

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}=\frac{m}{2} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta \Gamma^{\alpha} W_{\alpha} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge invariance of this term is based on the Bianchi identity (2.1) generated by varying (3.1) via (2.3). This term is manifestly invariant under the first, linear realized, supersymmetry and we want to study its transformation under the second supersymmetry. That requires to have knowledge about the transformation of the prepotential $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ under the second supersymmetry.

We introduce a new superfield $\Delta_{\alpha}$ defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}=X \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This definition does not determine $\Delta_{\alpha}$ uniquely, as it enjoys the gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \Delta_{\alpha}=\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} K_{\beta}, \quad \delta K_{\alpha}=\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} K \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.2) and (3.2), we find that transformations (2.6) induce the following second supersymmetry transformations for superfields $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{*} \Gamma_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\kappa} \eta^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} \Delta_{\alpha}+\Phi_{\alpha}^{(s p)}  \tag{3.4a}\\
& \delta^{*} \Delta_{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{\kappa} \eta^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} \Gamma_{\alpha} \tag{3.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha}^{(s p)}=-2 \eta_{\alpha} \theta^{2}$ is the special solution of the inhomogeneous equation $\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \Phi_{\beta}^{(s p)}=2 \eta_{\alpha}$. The full solution of the homogeneous equation $\mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \Phi_{\beta}=0$ corresponds to a gauge transformation of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ and thus can be dropped since (3.4a) is defined modulo gauge transformation terms. Under the above transformation (3.4), the mass term (3.1) is not invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{*} S_{m}=\frac{m}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta \Gamma^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}=\frac{2 m}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x \lambda^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\mathrm{D}^{2} \Gamma_{\alpha}\right|_{\theta=0}=\left.2 W_{\alpha}\right|_{\theta=0}=2 \lambda_{\alpha}$. It is easy to check that the integrand $2 \lambda^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}$ corresponds to the second supersymmetry transformation (2.6b) of $\left.X\right|_{\theta=0}$. Therefore there exists a oneparameter family of deformations of the Maxwell-Goldstone action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\xi}=\frac{\xi m}{2} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta\left\{\Gamma^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}-2 \kappa \theta^{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also respect the second supersymmetry transformations (3.4) and gauge transformations (2.3) and (3.3). However, the second term of $S_{\xi}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta \theta^{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}=\left.\int d^{3} x X\right|_{\theta=0} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

breaks the first supersymmetry. Using (2.8), it is straightforward to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X\right|_{\theta=0}=\frac{1}{\kappa} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}(1+\Psi(T \mid)) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can not play the role of a supersymmetric Lagrangian because it does not include a bosonic part and under a first supersymmetry transformation (3.7) is not invariant.

## $43 \mathrm{D}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}=1$ Tensor-Goldstone Multiplet

The choice of the Goldstone multiplet which will accommodate the goldstino field generated by the partial supersymmetry breaking is not unique. In four dimensions, it has been shown that chiral multiplets, vector multiplets or tensor multiplets can play that role [7-9, 27]. In this section, we explore the use of a 3D tensor multiplet for the role of the Goldstone multiplet.

### 4.1 Review of $3 D, \mathcal{N}=1$ Tensor multiplet

In three dimensions, the tensor multiplet is described by a spinorial superfield $U_{\alpha}$ which satisfies the following constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} U_{\alpha}=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{2} U_{\alpha}=-i \partial_{\alpha}^{\beta} U_{\beta} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These can be solved by expressing $U_{\alpha}$ in terms of an unconstrained scalar superfield $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha}=\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} G \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superspace action describing the free dynamics of tensor multiplet takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta U^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generates the spacetime action

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\alpha \beta} \phi\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \phi\right)+H^{2}-i \chi^{\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \chi^{\beta}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x\left\{\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}^{\alpha \beta} \hat{f}_{\alpha \beta}-i \chi^{\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \chi^{\beta}\right)\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where we define the component fields by the following projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\alpha}\left|=\chi_{\alpha} \quad, \quad \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} U_{\beta}\right|:=\hat{f}_{\alpha \beta}=i \partial_{\alpha \beta} \phi-C_{\alpha \beta} H \quad, \quad \mathrm{D}^{2} U_{\alpha} \mid=i \partial_{\alpha \beta} \chi^{\beta} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the second projection in (4.5) is defined in a way as an analog of $\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} W_{\beta} \mid$ projection, while $\hat{f}_{\alpha \beta}$ is no longer symmetric in the two spinorial indices due to the different Bianchi identity. Therefore it can be decomposed into a symmetric and anti-symmetric part labeled by component fields $\phi$ and $H$ respectively, derived from the projection of the gauge invariant superfield $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left|=\phi, \quad \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} G\right|=\chi_{\alpha}, \quad \mathrm{D}^{2} G \mid=H \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, in 3D, tensor and vector multiplets are related by a duality transformation. This can be easily seen by the following auxiliary action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{g^{2}} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta\left\{W^{2}+\Lambda^{\alpha}\left(W_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\beta}\right)\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where superfields $W_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ are unconstrained. The Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda_{\alpha}$, once integrated out, it identifies $W_{\alpha}$ with the vector multiplet superfield strength (2.2) and enforces constraints (2.1). As a result we get the free vector multiplet action (2.4). On the other hand, by integrating out the superfield $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ we get that the superfield $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ satisfies the following equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} \Lambda_{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ becomes a tensor multiplet superfield. Finally, by integrating out the superfield $W_{\alpha}$, we find its equation of motion to be $W_{\alpha}=-\Lambda_{\alpha}$ which when substituted back gives the tensor multiplet action (4.3).

### 4.2 Tensor-Goldstone Multiplet

Interpreting the 3D tensor multiplet as the Goldstone multiplet corresponding to the breaking of the second supersymmetry requires to find a transformation of $U_{\alpha}$ compatible with the constraint (4.1) which includes a constant shift term and has the interpretation of supersymmetry - must involve partners and be consistent with the susy algebra. The most general transformation of this type is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\eta}^{*} U_{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2 \tilde{\kappa}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \tilde{X}\right) \eta_{\beta}  \tag{4.9a}\\
& \delta_{\eta}^{*} \tilde{X}=\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}} \eta^{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \tag{4.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that (4.9) and (2.6) differ in the order in which the spinorial covariant derivatives act on the partner superfield. As usual, in order to remove the independent degrees of freedom in $\tilde{X}$, we impose a non-linear constraint which expresses $\tilde{X}$ as a function of $U_{\alpha}$ and its derivatives. The compatibility of this constraint with (4.9) determines it to be the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{X}=U^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}\right) \tilde{X} \Rightarrow \tilde{X}=\frac{U^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}}{\tilde{\kappa}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \tilde{\kappa}} \mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}\right)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (2.7), using $U_{\alpha} U_{\beta} U_{\gamma}=0$, this constraint can be solved in order to express $\tilde{X}$ in terms of $U^{2}$ and its derivative $\tilde{T}=\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}} \mathrm{D}^{2} U^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}=\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}} U^{2}\left[1-\frac{\tilde{T}}{1+\tilde{T}+\sqrt{1+2 \tilde{T}}}\right] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superspace action for the 3D Tensor-Goldstone multiplet is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\tilde{\tau} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta \tilde{X}=-\frac{2 \tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\kappa}} \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta U^{2}\left[1-\frac{\tilde{T}}{1+\tilde{T}+\sqrt{1+2 \tilde{T}}}\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is manifestly invariant under the first supersymmetry and it is straightforward to check its invariance under the second supersymmetry. Due to (4.9b) and (4.1) the spacetime Lagrangian transforms under the second supersymmetry as a total derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\eta}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}=-\frac{2 i}{\tilde{\kappa}} \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}\left(\eta^{\alpha} U_{\beta}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above results are in perfect agreement with the application of the partial supersymmetry breaking procedure on the manifestly $\mathcal{N}=2$ tensor multiplet in 3D. Such a multiplet is being described by a scalar $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield $\mathcal{U}(x, \theta, \tilde{\theta})$ which satisfies the following irreducible conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathcal{U}=-\tilde{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \mathcal{U}, \mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} \tilde{\mathrm{D}}_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve these constraints by expanding the $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield $\mathcal{U}$ in its $\mathcal{N}=1$ superfield components

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}(x, \theta, \tilde{\theta})=\Phi(x, \theta)+\tilde{\theta}^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}(x, \theta)-\tilde{\theta}^{2} F(x, \theta) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=-\mathrm{D}^{2} \Phi, \quad \mathrm{D}^{2} U_{\alpha}=-i \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} U_{\beta}, \quad \mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} U_{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their transformations under the second supersymmetry are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \Phi=-\epsilon^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}  \tag{4.17a}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} U_{\alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} F-i \epsilon^{\beta} \partial_{\beta \alpha} \Phi=\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \Phi \epsilon_{\beta}  \tag{4.17b}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} F=-i \epsilon^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} U_{\beta} \tag{4.17c}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying on this supermultiplet the constrained superfield approach in order to break the second manifest supersymmetry, we expand $\mathcal{U}$ around a non-trivial vacuum that preserves only the first supersymmetry and at the same time we eliminate the remaining partner superfield by imposing the nilpotency condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}=\langle\mathcal{U}\rangle+\mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{U}^{2}=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condensate $\langle\mathcal{U}\rangle$ is Lorentz and $\mathcal{N}=1$ invariant with a non-trivial $\tilde{\theta}$ dependence.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{U}\rangle=\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{\theta}^{2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}=-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{X}+\tilde{\theta}^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}-\tilde{\theta}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}+\tilde{\kappa}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}=-2 \Phi$. The second supersymmetry transformations take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \tilde{X}=2 \epsilon^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}=\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}} \epsilon^{\alpha} U_{\alpha},  \tag{4.20a}\\
& \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} U_{\alpha}=\epsilon_{\alpha} \tilde{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \tilde{X}\right) \epsilon_{\beta}=\epsilon_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2 \tilde{\kappa}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \tilde{X}\right) \epsilon_{\beta}, \tag{4.20b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha}$ is the $\tilde{\kappa}$-rescaled supersymmetry parameter. The nilpotency condition $\mathcal{U}^{2}=0$ generates the following nonlinear constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}^{2}=0, \tilde{X} U_{\alpha}=0, \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{X}=U^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}\right) \tilde{X} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which match with (4.10).

### 4.3 Spacetime action for Tensor-Goldstone multiplet

The superspace action (4.12) can be written in the three-dimensional Ceccoti-Ferrara form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\kappa} \int d^{3} x\left\{\left.\tilde{T}\right|_{\theta=0}+\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}} \int d^{2} \theta \Psi(-\tilde{T}) U^{2}\right\} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(x)$ is the same function as in the Maxwell-Goldstone case (2.20). By performing the grassman integral we extract the bosonic part of the spacetime action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{B}}=\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\kappa} \int d^{3} x(1-\sqrt{1+2 \tilde{T} \mid}) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fermionic part of the action is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\kappa}^{3}} \int d^{3} x\left\{\Psi^{\prime}(-\tilde{T} \mid)[ \right. & {\left[4 \partial_{\alpha \beta}\left(\hat{f}^{\beta \gamma} \chi_{\gamma}\right) \hat{f}^{\alpha \delta} \chi_{\delta}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(2\left(\square \chi^{\gamma}\right) \chi_{\gamma}+\left(\partial^{\alpha \beta} \chi^{\gamma}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \chi_{\gamma}\right)\right) \chi^{\sigma} \chi_{\sigma}\right]  \tag{4.24}\\
& \left.+\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}} \Psi^{\prime \prime}(-\tilde{T} \mid)\left[\partial^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\left(f^{\beta \gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}\right)\right]\left[\partial_{\alpha \delta}\left(f^{\delta \epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon}\right)\right] \chi^{\sigma} \chi_{\sigma}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{T} \left\lvert\,=\frac{2}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \hat{f}^{\alpha \beta} \hat{f}_{\alpha \beta}+i \chi^{\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta} \chi^{\beta}\right)\right] .\right. \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Tensor-Goldstone and Maxwell-Goldstone Duality

In 4D, the self-duality of the Born-Infeld action was extended to the supersymmetric BI action based on the self-duality of the Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet. In 3D, as mentioned previously, the vector multiplet is no longer self-dual but it maps to the tensor multiplet. We will show that this duality map survives between the Maxwell-Goldstone and Tensor-Goldstone multiplets.

A very transparent method for studying the duality properties of these multiplets is ${ }^{5}$ to consider unconstrained superfields and impose all nonlinearities and constraints via Lagrange multipliers. Therefore, the Maxwell-Goldstone action can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta\left\{\Lambda\left[W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} X \mathrm{D}^{2} X-\kappa X\right]+\tau X\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ and $X$ are unconstrained scalar superfields. When the Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda$ is integrated out, it imposes the susy breaking constraint (2.7) and the above action becomes identical to (2.9). Action (5.1) can also be motivated by the free $\mathcal{N}=2$ action (the sum of kinetic energy terms for $W_{\alpha}$ and $X$ ) plus a constraint term with a Lagrange multiplier. Furthermore, using (4.7) and (4.8), we can relax the $\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}=0$ constraint of the vector multiplet $W_{\alpha}$ by adding a duality term with a Lagrange multiplier which must be a tensor supermultiplet spinorial superfield $U_{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta} U_{\alpha}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta\left\{\Lambda\left[W^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2} X \mathrm{D}^{2} X-\kappa X\right]+\tau X+g U^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out $U_{\alpha}$ restores the vector constraint $\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} W_{\alpha}=0$. However, because $W_{\alpha}$ is now unconstrained and appears algebraically we can choose to integrate it out first. The result is the following action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta\left\{\tilde{\Lambda}\left[U^{\alpha} U_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{X} \mathrm{D}^{2} \tilde{X}-\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{X}\right]-\tilde{\tau} \tilde{X}\right\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Lambda}=-\frac{g^{2}}{4 \Lambda}, \quad X=\frac{g \tilde{X}}{2 \Lambda}, \quad \tilde{\kappa}=\frac{2 \tau}{g}, \quad \tilde{\tau}=\frac{g \kappa}{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Action (5.3) corresponds to the Tensor-Goldstone multiplet action (4.12), since the Lagrange multiplier $\tilde{\Lambda}$ imposes constraint (4.10). Moreover, the relation between Maxwell-Goldstone parameters $(\kappa, \tau)$ and the corresponding Tensor-Goldstone parameters $(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\tau})$ is such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \kappa=\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\kappa} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]
## 6 Summary

The existence of solitonic, BPS, solutions ( $\mathrm{D} p$-branes) of type II string theory motivates the study of supersymmetric actions of the Born-Infeld type. These are viewed as low-energy effective descriptions - hence they are not subject to any renormalizability requirementswhich correctly capture the spontaneous breaking of half of the supersymmetries. Therefore, these effective supersymmetric actions can be written in terms of linear representations of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry and are also invariant under a second, nonlinear supersymmetry transformation. It has long been understood that broken symmetries lead to nonlinear representations of the broken symmetry, which accommodate the Goldstone modes.

In 4D, such effective supersymmetric BI actions have been constructed [3, 7-9, 27] and studied extensively. It was shown that the Goldstone fermion, corresponding to the spontaneously broken second supersymmetry, could be accommodated in an $\mathcal{N}=1$ chiral, vector, or tensor multiplets. For each one of such descriptions, the $\mathcal{N}=1$ manifestly supersymmetric action was constructed and showed that the bosonic part of these actions matched the expected BI action. Moreover, it was shown that the Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet is self-dual and the Tensor and Chiral Goldstone multiplets map to each other under duality transformations. At the component level, these duality properties reproduced the self-duality of the BI action. Finally, it was later shown [10] that the requirement of invariance under a first linear supersymmetry and a second nonlinear supersymmetry does not uniquely determine the action. The addition of a FI term preserves the nonlinearly realized supersymmetry, but it spontaneously breaks the linear supersymmetry. However, the deformed theory still describes a partial and not full supersymmetry breaking, with the surviving supersymmetry to correspond to a different $\mathcal{N}=1$ slice of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory.

In this work, motivated by the special role of D2-brane in type IIA string theory, we aim towards the construction of 3D supersymmetric Born-Infeld actions. We find, that the Goldstone multiplet can be described in terms of a vector or a tensor multiplet. For both cases, we find a set of transformations (2.6) and (4.9) for their corresponding superfields $\left(W_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha}\right)$ which are consistent with their irreducibility constraints. These transformations form a second supersymmetry algebra and introduce new degrees of freedom organized in the form of partner $\mathcal{N}=1$ superfields, $X$ and $\tilde{X}$ respectively. In order to eliminate these new degrees of freedom we find and impose constraints (2.7) and (4.10) which are consistent with the second supersymmetry transformations and relate $X(\tilde{X})$ to $W_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$. We show that these constraints can be solved and their solutions are used to write explicitly the corresponding 3D, $\mathcal{N}=1$ superspace actions which are also invariant under the second nonlinear supersymmetry. Furthermore, we extract the spacetime component actions for both cases and confirm that their bosonic part generates the expected 3D BI action. In addition, we show that the derived second supersymmetry transformations and nonlinear constraints correspond to the partial supersymmetry breaking $\mathcal{N}=2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}=1$ of the $3 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=2$ vector or tensor multiplets. Also, we show that under duality transformations the Maxwell-Goldstone multiplet maps to the Tensor-Goldstone multiplet and vice versa. Finally, we consider the existence of consistent
deformations of the superspace action. A characteristic feature of the 3D vector multiplet is the existence of a gauge invariant mass term which has the structure of an abelian CS term in superspace. We find that this mass term can be used to find a consistent deformation (3.6) of the theory which respects the nonlinearly realized supersymmetry. However, this deformation explicitly breaks the first supersymmetry.
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## A Projection from 4D Cecotti-Ferrara Action

In this appendix, we review the Cecotti-Ferrara Lagrangian [3] in $4 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=1$ superspace and construct the 3D Cecotti-Ferrara action via the dimensional reduction.

First, recall that the 4D, $\mathcal{N}=1$ Cecotti-Ferrara Lagrangian [3] reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{C F}^{4 D}=\hat{T}+\int d^{2} \theta d^{2} \bar{\theta} \Psi(T, \bar{T}) W^{2} \bar{W}^{2} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T=\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \bar{W}^{2}, \quad \bar{T}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{2} W^{2} \\
& \Psi(T, \bar{T})=\frac{1}{1-\hat{T}+\sqrt{1-2 \hat{T}-\check{T}^{2}}}  \tag{A.2}\\
& \hat{T}=\frac{1}{2}(T+\bar{T}) \quad, \quad \check{T}=\frac{1}{2 i}(T-\bar{T}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Before projecting the CF Lagrangian to 3D, first note that in $4 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=1$ superspace, superfields are complex, the chirality and complex conjugate operation are well-defined, and there are two types of superspace covariant derivatives $\mathrm{D}_{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. However, in 3D, $\mathcal{N}=1$ superspace, superfields are real, the chirality and complex conjugate operation are not defined, and there is only one type of superspace covariant derivative $\mathrm{D}_{\alpha}$. Then, one can project the 4D Lagrangian (A.1) to 3D simply by (i) doing $d^{2} \bar{\theta}$ integral first, $\int d^{2} \bar{\theta} \Psi(T, \bar{T}) W^{2} \bar{W}^{2}=$ $\Psi(T, \bar{T}) W^{2} \overline{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \bar{W}^{2} ;(i i)$ setting everything as real, i.e. $T=\bar{T}=\hat{T}, W=\bar{W}$, and $\check{T}=0$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{C F}^{3 D}=\int d^{3} x T+2 \int d^{3} x d^{2} \theta \Psi(T) W^{2} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(T)$ takes the same form as (2.20) and (A.3) matches with the supersymmetric BornInfeld action (2.19).

## B Conventions in 3D, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}=1$ Superspace

In this appendix, we will briefly summarize our conventions and notations, which mostly follow from those of [26]. In three dimensional spacetime, the Lorentz group is $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ and the corresponding fundamental representation acts on a real (Majorana) two-component spinor $\psi^{\alpha}=\left(\psi^{+}, \psi^{-}\right)$. Vector indices are denoted as $\underline{a}=0,1,2$.

We choose Gamma matrices as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma^{0}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} & =\left(i \sigma^{2}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
\left(\gamma^{1}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} & =\left(\sigma^{3}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta},  \tag{B.1}\\
\left(\gamma^{2}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} & =\left(\sigma^{1}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfy the Clifford algebra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\gamma^{\underline{a}}, \gamma^{\underline{b}}\right\}=2 \eta^{\underline{a b}} \mathbb{I} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Minkowski metric is

$$
\eta_{\underline{a b}}=\eta^{\underline{a b}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0  \tag{B.3}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The gamma matrix has the following trace identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left(\gamma_{\underline{b}}\right)_{\beta}^{\alpha}=2 \delta_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the spinor metric to raise and lower spinor indices:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{\alpha} & =\psi^{\beta} C_{\beta \alpha} \\
\psi^{\alpha} & =C^{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta} \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the definition of the spinor metric is

$$
C_{\alpha \beta}=-C_{\beta \alpha}=-C^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i  \tag{B.6}\\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

From (B.5) and (B.6), we have the following identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\alpha \beta} C^{\gamma \delta}=\delta_{[\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta]}^{\delta}  \tag{B.7}\\
& C_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha} C^{\alpha}=\delta_{\beta}^{\delta},  \tag{B.8}\\
& \psi^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}=i \psi^{+} \psi^{-},  \tag{B.9}\\
& \psi^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}=-\psi_{\alpha} \psi^{\alpha} . \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the spinor metric, we know that the gamma matrices are symmetric, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)_{\beta \alpha},  \tag{B.11}\\
& \left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)^{\beta \alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

Below, we list some useful identities of gamma matrices.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{[\alpha} B_{\beta]}=-C_{\alpha \beta} A^{\gamma} B_{\gamma},  \tag{B.12}\\
& \gamma^{\underline{a}} \gamma_{\underline{a}}=3 \mathbb{I},  \tag{B.13}\\
& \gamma_{\underline{a}} \gamma_{\underline{b}}=-\epsilon_{a \underline{a b}} \gamma^{\underline{c}}+\eta_{\underline{a} b} \mathbb{I},  \tag{B.14}\\
& \gamma^{\underline{b}} \gamma_{\underline{a}} \gamma_{\underline{b}}=-\gamma_{\underline{a}},  \tag{B.15}\\
& \left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)^{\gamma \delta}=-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta}^{\delta}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)_{\beta}^{\delta},  \tag{B.16}\\
& \left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)^{\delta}=-\delta_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta)}{ }^{\delta}=-\left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{(\alpha}^{\gamma}\left(\gamma_{\underline{a}}\right)_{\beta)}{ }^{\delta}, \tag{B.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where we define $\epsilon^{012}=1$.
In the $3 \mathrm{D}, \mathcal{N}=1$ superspace, the superspace coordinate is labeled by $z^{A}=\left(x^{\alpha \beta}, \theta^{\alpha}\right)$. They satisfy the hermiticity condition $\left(z^{A}\right)^{\dagger}=z^{A}$. Define derivatives as

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\alpha \beta} x^{\gamma \delta} & \equiv\left[\partial_{\alpha \beta}, x^{\gamma \delta}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} \delta_{\beta)}^{\delta}  \tag{B.18}\\
\partial_{\alpha} \theta^{\beta} & \equiv\left\{\partial_{\alpha}, \theta^{\beta}\right\}=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

implying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\partial_{\alpha \beta}\right]^{\dagger}=-\partial_{\alpha \beta}, \quad\left[\partial_{\alpha}\right]^{\dagger}=\partial_{\alpha}, \quad\left[\partial^{A}\right]^{\dagger}=-\partial^{A} \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superspace covariant derivatives are defined as $\mathrm{D}_{A}=\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta}, \mathrm{D}_{\alpha}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\alpha \beta}=i\left(\gamma^{\underline{a}}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\underline{a}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\alpha}=\partial_{\alpha}+i \theta^{\beta} \partial_{\alpha \beta} . \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

They satisfy the algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\mathrm{D}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{D}_{\beta}\right\}=2 i \partial_{\alpha \beta}  \tag{B.21}\\
& {\left[\partial_{\alpha \beta}, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma}\right]=0}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we list some identities of covariant derivatives, which are useful in the calculations we have encountered throughout this paper.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{\alpha \gamma} \partial_{\beta \gamma}=\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \square  \tag{B.22}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta}=i \partial_{\alpha \beta}-C_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{D}^{2},  \tag{B.23}\\
& \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha}=-\mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}^{2}=i \partial_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{D}^{\beta},  \tag{B.24}\\
& \mathrm{D}^{\beta} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\beta}=0  \tag{B.25}\\
& \left(\mathrm{D}^{2}\right)^{2}=\square \tag{B.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\square & =\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha \beta}=\partial^{\underline{a}} \partial_{\underline{a}}, \\
\mathrm{D}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathrm{D}_{\alpha} . \tag{B.27}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Up}$ to additional transformations of background fields and parameters

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ One should also turn on the background two-form $C_{2}$ and four-form $C_{4}$ of the R-R sector and the NS-NS two form $B_{2}$. However they are not necessary for observing the presence of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathrm{R})$ duality group.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We follow the conventions of Superspace [26]. For details see appendix B.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ For details look in appendix A.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See $[9,24]$.

