

Comment on "Anisotropic peridynamics for
homogenized microstructured materials" by Vito
Diana, Andrea Bacigalupo, Marco Lepidi and Luigi
Gambarotta [Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.
392 (2022) 114704]

Marcin Maździarz*

*Institute of Fundamental Technological Research Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw,
Poland*

Keywords: Continuum-molecular model, Anisotropic pair potential, Cauchy material, Poisson's ratio

Recently, Vito Diana, Andrea Bacigalupo, Marco Lepidi and Luigi Gambarotta [1] proposed an anisotropic continuum-molecular model based on pair potentials for describing the linear behavior of periodic heterogeneous Cauchy materials in the framework of peridynamic theory.

Unfortunately, the proposed model for an isotropic material may have zero or even negative shear stiffness and this will be the case for *Silicene* or *Germanene*, for example. In addition, 2D symmetries were confused with 3D symmetries, and hence the limits for the Poisson's ratio.

In Ref.[1, Eq.38] two elastic quadratic potentials of pairwise axial (w_s) and shearing deformation measures (w_γ) in the special case of isotropic materials reduce to:

$$w_s = \frac{1}{2} s^2 |\xi| k_n = 6\pi h \delta^3 s^2 |\xi| (C_{1111} - C_{1212}). \quad (1)$$

$$w_\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 |\xi| k_t = 6\pi h \delta^3 \gamma^2 |\xi| (3C_{1212} - C_{1111}). \quad (2)$$

The elasticity tensor for an ordinary 2D material with isotropic symmetry has a representation in the Voigt notation:

*Corresponding author
Email address: mmazdz@ippt.pan.pl (Marcin Maździarz)

$$C_{ijkl} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_{1111} & C_{1122} & 0 \\ C_{1122} & C_{1111} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{1212} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $C_{1212} = \frac{C_{1111} - C_{1122}}{2}$, hence the number of independent components reduces here to 2. We can therefore write this as:

$$C_{ijkl} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_{1111} & C_{1122} & 0 \\ C_{1122} & C_{1111} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{C_{1111} - C_{1122}}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1111} & C_{1111} - 2C_{1212} & 0 \\ C_{1111} - 2C_{1212} & C_{1111} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{1212} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The mechanical stability condition requires the elasticity tensor C_{ijkl} to be positive definite, see [2]. This imposes conditions on the elastic constants and for any isotropic two-dimensional material (2D) means:

$$C_{1212} > 0, \quad (5)$$

$$C_{1111} > C_{1212}. \quad (6)$$

We see that the condition 6 implies positivity of w_s in Eq.1 but for $C_{1111} > 3C_{1212}$ w_γ in Eq.2 is negative, which is not acceptable as the stiffness cannot be negative.

This is not some contrived, theoretical case, e.g. for *Silicene* $C_{1111}=68.3\text{N/m}$, $C_{1212}=22.5\text{N/m}$, what means that $(3C_{1212} - C_{1111}) = -0.8\text{N/m}$, see [3].

In Ref.[1, Section 5.1, P.16] is written: "... leads to the effective fourth order elasticity tensor \mathbb{C} having the elasticities of the two-dimensional cubic symmetry ...". No such symmetry exists for 2D materials, there is isotropy, tetragonal, orthotropy and anisotropy, see [2].

In Ref.[1, Section 5.1, P.17] is written: "... representative extreme values $\nu_a \approx 1/2$ (e.g. 0.49), ...". For any isotropic two-dimensional material (2D) the correct limits for the Poisson's ratio, resulting from the positive definiteness of the stiffness tensor, are $-1 \leq \nu \leq 1$, see [4].

References

- [1] V. Diana, A. Bacigalupo, M. Lepidi, L. Gambarotta, Anisotropic peridynamics for homogenized microstructured materials, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 392 (2022) 114704. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2022.114704.
- [2] M. Maździarz, Comment on ‘The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals’, *2D Materials* 6 (4) (2019) 048001. doi:10.1088/2053-1583/ab2ef3.

- [3] Z.-Q. Wang, T.-Y. Lü, H.-Q. Wang, Y. P. Feng, J.-C. Zheng, Review of borophene and its potential applications, *Frontiers of Physics* 14 (3) (2019) 33403. doi:10.1007/s11467-019-0884-5.
- [4] K. W. Wojciechowski, Remarks on “Poisson Ratio beyond the Limits of the Elasticity Theory”, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* 72 (7) (2003) 1819–1820. doi:10.1143/JPSJ.72.1819.