

Ramification theory from homotopical point of view, I

Tomoyuki Abe

Introduction

In the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules for complex varieties, the characteristic cycle plays an important role, both in establishing the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules itself and applications. In the context of the ℓ -adic cohomology theory, similarities with the ramification theory were observed by experts including P. Deligne and G. Laumon. Since then, establishing the theory of characteristic cycles in the étale setting had been an important challenge in the ramification theory of étale sheaves. A breakthrough was brought by A. Beilinson and T. Saito in around 2015. Beilinson defined the singular support for étale sheaves, and based on this work, Saito finally succeeded in defining the characteristic cycle. Saito also established several functorial properties of the characteristic cycle, but the compatibility with proper pushforward, which could be seen as a vast generalization of Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula, remained as a conjecture [S2, Conjecture 1]. One of our main goals of this paper is to solve the conjecture of Saito up to p -torsion. Here is one of the main results of this paper (cf. Remark 6.6):

Theorem. — *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let Λ be a finite local ring whose residue field is of characteristic different from that of k .*

*Now, let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between separated⁽¹⁾ equidimensional smooth k -schemes of finite type. Then we have the diagram $T^*X \xleftarrow{g} T^*Y \times_Y X \xrightarrow{h'} T^*Y$. For a closed subset C of T^*X , we put $h_*(C) := h'_*g^{-1}(C)$. Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible finite Tor-dimensional complex of Λ -sheaves such that the morphism $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Y$ is proper. Then we have the identity*

$$\text{CC}(\text{Rh}_*\mathcal{F}) = h'_*g^!(\text{CC}(\mathcal{F}))$$

in $\text{CH}_{\dim(Y)}(h_(\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})))[1/p]$.*

The case where $Y = \text{Spec}(k)$ and h is projective, the theorem has been proven in the fundamental paper [S1]. In the case where h is projective, and $\dim(h_*(\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}))) \leq \dim(Y)$ (and slightly more conditions), the theorem has been proven in [S3]. Unfortunately, contrary to the characteristic 0 situation, this inequality is frequently not satisfied (e.g. when h is the Frobenius endomorphism), which demonstrates the complexity of the ramification theory for positive characteristic situation. The biggest difference from this theorem of Saito is that, under the dimension assumption, we have $\text{CH}_{\dim(Y)}(h_*(\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}))) = \text{Z}_{\dim(Y)}(h_*(\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})))$, and the equality is an equality of actual cycles, whereas in the general case, we must *construct* a homotopy between two cycles. Very roughly speaking, we locally construct such a homotopy using the deformation argument of Laumon, and we glue them together using the ∞ -categorical machinery. For simplicity, in this introduction, we assume k to be algebraically closed and Λ to be a field.

⁽¹⁾The theory of characteristic cycles works without separatedness assumption, and it is not too hard to lift this assumption by using Zariski descent. However, to avoid unnecessary complication, we leave the details to the interested reader.

0.1. Let us briefly recall the theory of Beilinson and Saito. Let X be a smooth scheme of dimension d over k and \mathcal{F} be a bounded constructible Λ -complex. Then the characteristic cycle $\text{CC}(\mathcal{F})$ is the unique cycle $\sum n_i[C_i]$, where C_i is an integral subscheme of T^*X of dimension d such that for any “isolated characteristic function” $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ for an open subscheme $U \subset X$, we have

$$(0.1.i) \quad -\text{totdim}_u \Phi_f(\mathcal{F}|_U) = \left(\sum n_i[C_i], \text{d}f \right)_{T^*U, u}$$

for any point $u \in U$. This is called the *Milnor formula*. Here totdim is the total dimension, and roughly speaking, an isolated characteristic function is a function f such that the formal sum $\sum_{u \in U} (\text{totdim}_u \Phi_f(\mathcal{F})) \cdot [u]$ defines a 0-cycle on U . Beilinson’s theorem on the existence of singular support implies that we have “enough supply” of isolated characteristic functions so that we can determine characteristic cycle uniquely. A difficulty of proving pushforward formula is that if we are given a proper morphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$, even if we are given an isolated characteristic function f on Y with respect to $\text{R}h_*\mathcal{F}$, the pullback h^*f may fail to be isolated characteristic with respect to \mathcal{F} . We revisit the construction of characteristic cycle, and define it without using Beilinson’s theorem and in a wider context.

0.2. Let us explain our method. The pullback $0^*\text{CC}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$, where $0: X \rightarrow T^*X$ is the zero-section, is called the *non-microlocalized* characteristic cycle⁽²⁾. Following Beilinson [B1], we reverse the order of the construction, and we construct the non-microlocalized one first. We use homotopical argument for the microlocalization, but since the microlocalization process is essentially parallel to [B1], we do not go into the details in this introduction.

To explain the construction of non-microlocalized characteristic cycle, let us introduce a (pre)sheaf $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X$ on $\text{Sch}/_k$ of *flat étale systems*. The definition of this sheaf is modeled on the definition of Suslin-Voevodsky’s relative cycle group in [SV], and we make use of the theory of nearby cycles over general base. Let X be a k -scheme separated of finite type. In Definition 4.8 (see also Remark 4.8), we will introduce a sheaf $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X$ having the following properties:

1. The global section is $\text{K}_0\text{Cons}(X)$, the Grothendieck group of the category of constructible Λ -modules on X .
2. For a k -scheme T , $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\prod_{u \in \text{geom}(T)} \text{K}_0\text{Cons}(X_u)$, where $\text{geom}(T)$ is the set of generic points of T , consisting of $\{F_u\}_{u \in \text{geom}(T)}$ such that F_u are “good” and for any specialization map $u \rightsquigarrow t$, we have $\Psi_{t \leftarrow u}(F_u) = F_t$.

On the other hand, consider the six functor formalism of motivic cohomology developed by Voevodsky, Ayoub, Cisinski-Dégliše. It associates to each $T \in \text{Sch}/_k$ an R -linear symmetric monoidal triangulated category \mathcal{D}_T and they admit six fundamental operations. Here R is a commutative ring such that $\text{char}(k)^{-1} \in R$. This triangulated category has an ∞ -enhancement, which we denote by \mathcal{D}_T . Using this formalism, we have the presheaf $\pi_0 \underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ and the ∞ -presheaf $\underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ sending $T \in \text{Sch}/_k$ to

$$\pi_0 \text{H}^{\text{BM}}(X_T/T) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(h_{T!} h_T^* \mathbf{1}_T, \mathbf{1}_T), \quad \text{H}^{\text{BM}}(X_T/T) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(h_{T!} h_T^* \mathbf{1}_T, \mathbf{1}_T),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_T$ is the unit object of \mathcal{D}_T . In other words, these are relative Borel-Moore homologies. Note that $\pi_0 \underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(k) \cong \text{CH}_0(X; R)$. Using these presheaves, our goal is to construct a (sensible) homomorphism $\text{CC}: \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(k) \rightarrow \pi_0 \underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(k)$ between the global sections. We construct not only the morphism between the global sections, but a morphism of sheaves $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X \rightarrow \pi_0 \underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$. Let $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0} \subset \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X$ be the subgroup consisting of objects whose support is of dimension 0. Then by taking the rank, we may construct a morphism $\tau: \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0} \rightarrow \pi_0 \underline{\text{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ using the trace map.

⁽²⁾It is more common to call it *characteristic class*.

Since the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf with 0-dimensional support should merely be the zero cycle associated to the rank, we should have $\text{CC}|_{\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}} = \tau$. Now, we may ask ourselves how many extensions CC of τ there can be. Surprisingly, if we replace $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ by the (∞) -presheaf $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$, we have the following extension theorem:

Theorem. — *The restriction map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{hP}(\text{Sch}/k)}(\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X, \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{hP}(\text{Sch}/k)}(\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}, \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}})$$

is an isomorphism, where hP denotes the category of $(\mathbb{Z}$ -valued) ∞ -presheaves.

Let us explain why. For the time being, we use $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ instead of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$. Assume that for each $F \in \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T)$, we can find $F' \in \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T \times \square)$, where $\square := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\}$, such that $F'|_{T \times \{0\}} = F$ and $F'|_{T \times \{\infty\}} \in \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}(T)$. Consider $\text{CC}(F') \in \pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T \times \square)$. Since $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T) & \\ & \downarrow q^* & \\ \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{i_0^*} \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T \times \square) \xrightarrow[\sim]{i_\infty^*} & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T) \end{array}$$

where $i_x: T \times \{x\} \hookrightarrow T \times \square$ is the closed immersion, and $q: T \times \square \rightarrow T$ is the projection. The equivalence on the right implies that $\text{CC}(F')$ is equal to $(i_\infty^*)^{-1} \tau(i_\infty^* F')$. Thus, the diagram implies that $\text{CC}(F) = \tau(i_\infty^* F')$, and the value of $\text{CC}(F)$ is determined once we fix F' . The element F' can be regarded as a “deformation” of F , and this deformation “localizes” F to an element of $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}$ at ∞ . We can summarize the above observation by saying that if we have enough supply of deformations, then the extension will be determined uniquely.

Now, how can we construct meaningful deformations? In the celebrated work of Laumon [La], he considered interesting deformations of ℓ -adic sheaves inspired by works of E. Witten. Following this idea, we will take F' as $\mathcal{E}(F; f) := F \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft)$ where f is a (local) function on X parametrized by T , t is the coordinate of \square , and \mathcal{L} is the Artin-Schreier sheaf associated with a non-trivial additive character. We remark that if we take f to be an isolated characteristic function with respect to $F \in \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(k)$, then $\tau i_\infty^* \mathcal{E}(F; f)$ coincides with the 0-cycle in (0.1.i). This comparison is a (rather straightforward) consequence of Laumon’s computation in [La].

0.3. The actual situation is more complicated in various points, but in this introduction, we focus on the following two obstacles:

- Unfortunately, the deformation $\mathcal{E}(F; f)$ is not an element of $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T \times \square)$. What we can say is that there exists a modification $T' \rightarrow T \times \square$ over which $F \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft)$ becomes an element of $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X$. This deficit reflects the philosophy of the theory of nearby cycles over general base that the situation is “good” only after taking a suitable modification on the base.
- In order to kill the arbitrariness of the function of deformation, we need to consider iterative deformation, and must show that this process terminates in finite steps.

To treat the first point, we introduce an ∞ -presheaf $\partial \mathcal{F}$ for any (∞) -presheaf \mathcal{F} on Sch/k . Intuitively, $\partial \mathcal{F}$ is the sheafification of \mathcal{F} with respect to “modification topology”. However, since the naïve modification topology does not behave well under base changes, the definition is not just a sheafification of some topology. Roughly speaking, a section of $\partial \mathcal{F}(T)$ (more or less) corresponds to a section of $\mathcal{F}(V)$ for some modification $V \rightarrow T \times \square$. Then, even though our F' does not belong to $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T \times \square)$, it *does* belong to $\partial \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X(T)$. As a variant, we define $\partial_x \mathcal{F}(T)$ ($x \in \square$) to be the sheaf such that a section over T corresponds to a section of $\mathcal{F}(V \times_\square \{x\})$ for

some modification V . See 1.3. Using this operation, we may formulate the deformation cleanly, but the above strategy breaks down since the morphism we get becomes $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X \rightarrow \partial_\infty \pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ and $\partial_\infty \pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ is not \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant anymore. As long as we are considering the abelian presheaf $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$, the author is not able to do more. However, if we replace $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ by the ∞ -presheaf $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$, we may find a section to the canonical map $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}} \rightarrow \partial_\infty \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$, called the *rewind morphism*. A crucial difference between $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ in the construction of rewind morphism is that $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ admits cdh-descents. The construction of rewind morphism will be explained shortly.

For the second point, let us first explain why we need multiple deformations. As we have seen, deformations are not unique, but we consider deformations parameterized by a space of functions. Assume we are given $F \in \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T)$, and two functions f_1, f_2 on X parameterized by T . Then we may consider deformations $\mathcal{E}(F; f_1), \mathcal{E}(F; f_2)$. Assume we are trying to extend τ to CC, and assume we are in a good situation where $\mathcal{E}(F; f_1), \mathcal{E}(F; f_2)$ belong to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T \times \square)$ (not just $\partial \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T)$) and even nicer f_i localizes F to an element of $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$, namely $\mathcal{E}_\infty(F; f_i) := i_\infty^* \mathcal{E}(F; f_i) \in \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}(T \times \{\infty\})$. Then the observation above implies that $\mathrm{CC}(F)$ should be equal to $\tau(\mathcal{E}_\infty(F; f_i))$. However, we have two choices, $i = 1, 2$. This implies that to define the map CC in this manner, we at least have $\tau(\mathcal{E}_\infty(F; f_1)) = \tau(\mathcal{E}_\infty(F; f_2))$. A benefit of considering presheaves on Sch/k is that the required information to construct the desired equality is already contained! Indeed, we may take a function g on X parameterized by $T \times \mathbb{A}^1$ such that the restriction to $T \times \{i\}$ is equal to f_i . Let $\mathrm{pr}: T \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow T$ be the projection, and we may consider the deformation $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g)$. Assume for simplicity that we are in a lucky situation where $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g) \in \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T \times \mathbb{A}^1)$. If $\mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}(T \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, then $\tau \mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g)$ yields the ‘‘homotopy’’ which gives the desired equality. However, $\mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g)$ often does not belong to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$. Nevertheless, we do not need to be desperate. We may take a secondary function g_2 , and try to deform $\mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g)$ using that function. More precisely, we may consider $\mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g); g_2)$ and see if this belongs to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$ or not. If this one does not belong to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$, we take a tertiary function and we proceed this process. In order for this strategy to work, one finds immediately that there should exist a sequence of functions of *finite length* g_1, \dots, g_n such that the iterated deformation $\mathcal{E}_\infty(\dots \mathcal{E}_\infty(\mathrm{pr}^*F; g_1) \dots; g_n)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$. One of the hardest fact to show in this work is that, in fact, we can always find such a finite sequence. For the proof of this fact, we need new ideas, and the fact will be shown in Part II of this paper. For more details, we refer to the introduction of [Part II].

Looking this construction closely, we notice that the following properties of $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ are needed for the uniqueness and existence of the extension:

1. For any element of $F \in \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T)$ and for any function f on X parameterized by T , we have $\mathcal{E}(F; f) \in \partial \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X(T)$ (functorially with respect to F). Furthermore, we can always find a sequence g_1, \dots, g_n so that $\mathcal{E}_\infty(F; g_1, \dots, g_n)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0}$.
2. The sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and admits a rewind structure.

A pair of presheaf $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ which has properties 1 is called a *localization pair*, and a (∞ -)presheaf having properties 2 is called a *reversible coefficient* (cf. 1.11). The above argument implies that given a localization pair $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a reversible coefficient \mathcal{H} , any morphism $\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ extends (essentially) uniquely to a morphism $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ up to canonical homotopy.

0.4. We have reduced the construction of the morphism $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ to showing that $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0} \subset \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X$ is a localization pair and to constructing a rewind structure on $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$. As we mentioned, the proof that $\mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_{X,0} \subset \mathrm{Fl}\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}_X$ is a localization pair will be given in Part II. Let us explain how we endow $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\mathrm{BM}}$ with rewind structure. Note that, up till now, we have only used 1-categorical argument, but this is one of the points that requires the use of ∞ -categorical arguments, since we need ‘‘gluing of cohomological elements’’.

Recall that, for an ∞ -sheaf \mathcal{F} , a section of $\partial_\infty \mathcal{F}(T)$ corresponds (more or less) to a section of $\mathcal{F}(V_\infty)$ ($V_\infty := V \times_\square \{\infty\}$) for some modification $V \rightarrow T \times \square$. Thus, we wish to construct an element of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(T)$ out of an element $F \in \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(V_\infty)$. The first step is to reduce the construction to the case where $V \rightarrow T$ is flat. For this, we find a cdh-covering $\{T_i\}$ of T and a modification $V_i \rightarrow T_i \times \square$ for each i over which F is defined and $V_i \rightarrow T_i$ is flat. This is, in fact, possible by construction of the functor ∂ and using Gruson-Raynaud's flattening theorem. Then since the ∞ -presheaf $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$ is a cdh-sheaf, which is not the case for the abelian presheaf $\pi_0 \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}$, it suffices to construct the elements for each $V_i \rightarrow T_i \times \square$ which are compatible in a suitable sense. From now on, we assume that $g: V \rightarrow T$ is flat.

For $\alpha: Z \rightarrow W$, let $\mathcal{H}_c^*(Z/W) := \alpha_! \alpha^* \mathbf{1}_W$ in \mathcal{D}_W . Giving an element of $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}}(V_\infty)$ is equivalent to giving a morphism $t_V: \mathcal{H}_c^*(X_{V_\infty}/V_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{V_\infty}$ in $\mathcal{D}(V_\infty)$. Using this cohomological interpretation, we wish to construct a morphism $\mathcal{H}_c^*(X_T/T) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_T$ from t_V . In the case g is flat, we have the trace map $\text{tr}_g: g_! \mathbf{1}_V \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_T$, where $\langle 1 \rangle := (1)[2]$. Since $T \times \square \rightarrow T$ is smooth, the adjoint of the trace map yields $\mathcal{H}_c^*(V/T \times \square) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{T \times \square}$. Taking the base change to $T \times \{\infty\}$, we get a map $\text{tr}'_g: \mathcal{H}_c^*(V_\infty/T) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_T$. Then we map consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_c^*(X_T/T) & \text{---} & \mathbf{1}_T \\ q^* \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{tr}'_g \\ \mathcal{H}_c^*(X_{V_\infty}/T) & \xrightarrow{g_\infty! t_V} & \mathcal{H}_c^*(V_\infty/T), \end{array}$$

where $q: X_{V_\infty} \rightarrow X_T$ and $g_\infty: V_\infty \rightarrow T$. The dashed arrow is the morphism we are looking for.

0.5. Now, we are in the position to prove the non-microlocalized version of the pushforward formula. Assume we are given a proper morphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$. The commutation of the nearby cycle functor and $\text{R}h_*$ induces the morphism $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X \rightarrow \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_Y$. By the functoriality of Borel-Moore homology, we also have the pushforward $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}_Y^{\text{BM}}$. For the pushforward formula, we need to construct the following (homotopy) commutative diagram of ∞ -sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_X} & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_X^{\text{BM}} \\ h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_Y & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_Y} & \underline{\mathbf{H}}_Y^{\text{BM}}. \end{array}$$

Since $(\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}, \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_X)$ is a localization pair and $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_Y^{\text{BM}}$ is a reversible coefficient, if we fix a morphism $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}_Y^{\text{BM}}$, extensions are all homotopic. Thus, in order to construct the commutative diagram above, we only need to construct a commutative diagram for $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X,0}$. This is just a property of the trace map, and we have the pushforward formula.

There are also other interesting consequences of our construction. First, non-microlocalized version of characteristic cycle can be defined for any k -scheme X separated of finite type. In the case where X can be embedded into a smooth scheme, this can be retrieved from Saito's characteristic cycle, but in general, the construction seems to be new. Another consequence is that the non-microlocalized characteristic cycle is compatible with nearby cycle functor. Note that the singular support is *not* compatible with nearby cycle in general [S3, 2.3.2]. This implies that the microlocalized version cannot be expected, unless we change the definition of the (microlocalized) characteristic cycle. See Remark 5.14 for some explanations.

To conclude the introduction, let us outline the structure of this paper. In §1, we formulate abstract formalism of the localization. We define localization system as well as reversible coefficient and show that the map extends essentially uniquely. In §2, we construct a rewind

morphism on $\underline{H}_X^{\text{BM}}$, and show that $\underline{H}_X^{\text{BM}}$ is in fact a reversible coefficient. In §3, we introduce an abstract formalism for microlocalization, inspired by Beilinson. In §4, we define the abelian presheaf FlÉt_X . For this, we need to use the theory of nearby cycles over general base, and we start by reviewing the theory. In §5, we endow FlÉt_X with the structure of localization system. Here, we assume the main result of Part II. Summing up, we construct the characteristic cycle and show the pushforward formula for our characteristic cycle. In the final section §6, we compare our characteristic cycle and the one defined by Saito. In addition, we have 3 sections in the appendix, proving some technical results on ∞ -categories.

We use the theory of ∞ -categories, more precisely quasi-categories, for the most part of this paper. Especially in §§1,2,3, we heavily use the ∞ -category theory, but basic knowledge of [HTT] is sufficient for these sections, and more technical results are shown mostly in appendix.

Acknowledgments

This paper grew out from a project with Deepam Patel on understanding geometric ε -factors in the ℓ -adic setting, and this work would have never appeared without it. The author greatly appreciate Deepam. He thanks Takeshi Saito for his continuous supports and encouragements: The influence of his mathematics to this work is tremendous and apparent. He thanks Ofer Gabber for allowing the author to use his results (*i.e.* Theorem 4.5), which made the construction much cleaner, and various comments on the manuscript. He also thanks Hélène Esnault, Shuji Saito, Enlin Yang for helps and showing their interest. Last but not least, the author thanks Alexander Beilinson for feedbacks and inspiring discussions after this paper was written.

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 16H05993, 18H03667, 20H01790.

Notations and conventions

- We fix a field k of positive characteristic. We put $\square := \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{1\} (\cong \mathbb{A}_k^1)$.
- A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *birational* if it is proper and there exists an open dense subscheme $V \subset Y$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. The morphism is said to be a *modification* if it is birational and each generic point of X is sent to a generic point of Y .
- We denote by $\text{Sch}/_S$ the category of separated S -schemes of finite type.
- In principle, we follow [HTT] for the terminologies and notations of ∞ -categories. Especially, by ∞ -category we always mean quasi-category, and by category we always mean (ordinary) 1-category. Here are some exceptions. We often identify (ordinary) categories with their nerves. We denote by Spc the category of spaces. We denote isomorphisms by \cong and equivalences in ∞ -categories by \simeq .
- Assume we are given topoi $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$. By *geometric morphism* from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{T}' , we mean a pair of adjoint functors $L: \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}: R$ such that L is left exact. If we denote by $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ the geometric morphism, (L, R) are denoted by (F^*, F_*) . Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category equipped with t-structure. As in [HA], we use homological notation. For example $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote $\tau_{\geq n} \tau_{< n}(X) \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$, where \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit denotes the heart of the t-structure, by $\pi_n X$. This is the same as $\mathcal{H}^{-n} X$ in the cohomological notation.
- Let \mathcal{C} be an (∞ -)category admitting fiber products, and let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. If we are given another morphism $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$, we often denote by $X_{Y'}$ or by $g^* X$ the base change $X \times_Y Y'$, if no confusion may arise.
- For a stable ∞ -category, recall from [HA, 1.1.1.4] that a cofiber sequence is a pushout

diagram, equivalently a pullback diagram, of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z, \end{array}$$

where 0 is a zero object of \mathcal{C} . We also denote this cofiber sequence simply by $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ if no confusion may arise.

1. Abstract formalism

1.1. Recall that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes is said to be a *cdp-morphism* if it is proper and for any $y \in Y$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$ and the residue field does not extend. A family of morphisms $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ is said to be a *cdp-covering* if there exists a finite subset $I' \subset I$ such that $\coprod_{i \in I'} X_i \rightarrow X$ is a cdp-morphism (cf. [GK, 2.5 (8)]).

1.2. Let S be a separated noetherian k -scheme (not necessary of finite type). We define Mdf_S to be the subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_S)$ whose objects consist of morphisms $f: V \rightarrow T \times \square$ in $\text{Sch}/_S$ such that f is *proper*. A morphism $(V \rightarrow T \times \square) \rightarrow (V' \rightarrow T' \times \square)$ in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_S)$ belongs to Mdf_S if the morphism $T \times \square \rightarrow T' \times \square$ is of the form $g \times \text{id}_\square$. We define the topology on Mdf_S to be the one generated by the coverings $\{(V_i \rightarrow T_i \times \square)\} \rightarrow (V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ such that $T_i \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ and $\{V_i\} \rightarrow V$ is a cdp-covering. The object $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ is often denoted by $(V \rightarrow T)$, or even simpler, V . We may check easily that Mdf_S admits fiber products. More explicitly, if we are given a diagram $(V_0 \rightarrow T_0) \rightarrow (V \rightarrow T) \leftarrow (V_1 \rightarrow T_1)$, the fiber product is $(V_0 \times_V V_1 \rightarrow T_0 \times_T T_1)$. We let $b: \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ be the functor sending $(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ to T . The functor b is a Cartesian fibration of categories, and commutes with fiber products by the explicit description.

Remark. — The fiber of b over $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$ is $\text{Prop}_{T \times \square}$, the full subcategory of $\text{Sch}/_{T \times \square}$ consisting of objects $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ which is proper. Endowing $\text{Prop}_{T \times \square}$ with the cdp-topology, the fibration b becomes a Cartesian site (cf. Definition A.7). The topology on Mdf_S is nothing but the total topology.

1.3. Let $V \rightarrow \square$ be a morphism. Let $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ be the ideal generated by elements which are supported on non-flat irreducible components of V over \square . We put $V_{\text{fl}} := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V/\mathcal{J})$. Note that as a topological space $V_{\text{fl}} = \overline{V}_\eta$ where $\eta \in \square$ is the generic point. The construction is functorial. In particular, for a morphism $V \rightarrow T \times \square$, we have the morphism $V_{\text{fl}} \rightarrow T \times \square$. Thus, we have the functor $\text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_{S \times \square}$ sending $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ to V_{fl} . Let $x \in \square(k)$. We have the diagram of ∞ -topoi (where the morphisms are geometric morphisms)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S) & \xleftarrow{b} & \text{Shv}(\text{Mdf}_S) & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{P}(\text{Mdf}_S) & \xrightarrow{\text{fl}} & \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_{S \times \square}) & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S) \\ & & & & & & \uparrow i_x & \nearrow \text{id} & \\ & & & & & & \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S) & & \end{array}$$

Here, q is the geometric morphism induced by the first projection $S \times \square \rightarrow S$, i_x is the one induced by the closed immersion $S \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \{x\}} S \times \square$, and L is the topological localization (cf. [HTT, 6.2.2.7]). We sometimes denote $\text{fl} \circ L$ by fl abusing the notations. We define functors $\mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S)$ as

$$\partial_S := b_* \circ L^* \circ \text{fl}^* \circ q^*, \quad \partial_{S,x} := b_* \circ L^* \circ \text{fl}^* \circ i_{x*}.$$

We often abbreviate these by ∂ , ∂_x respectively. The morphism $q^* \rightarrow i_{x*}i_x^*q^* \simeq i_{x*}$ induces the morphism of functors $r_x: \partial \rightarrow \partial_x$. On the other hand, let $b_\circ (= b): \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$ be the functor sending $(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ to T , $(q \circ \text{fl})_\circ: \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$ be the functor sending to V_{fl} . Then the functors b^* , $(q \circ \text{fl})^*: \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Mdf}_S)$ can be identified with the ‘‘precomposition functor’’ with b_\circ , $(q \circ \text{fl})_\circ$. We have the morphism of functors $(q \circ \text{fl})_\circ \rightarrow b_\circ$ corresponding to the morphism $V_{\text{fl}} \rightarrow T$. This induces the morphism $b^* \rightarrow L^*(q \circ \text{fl})^*$, which induces the natural transform $I: \text{id} \rightarrow \partial$ by taking the adjoint.

1.4. Variant This is a variant of the construction of the previous paragraph, and will be used in later sections. Let $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$ be a Cartesian fibration of ∞ -categories. Let us define the functors $\partial_x, \partial: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ similarly to the previous paragraph.

We have the map $T: \text{Mdf} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$ which is the adjoint to $\text{Mdf} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}_S)$ sending $(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ to the morphism $V_{\text{fl}} \rightarrow T$. Note that $T|_{\text{Mdf} \times \{0\}} = \alpha := q \circ \text{fl}$, and $T|_{\text{Mdf} \times \{1\}} = b$, namely, T is a natural transform $\alpha \rightarrow b$. Taking the p -right Kan extension of $b^*\mathcal{C} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ along $b^*\mathcal{C} \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow b^*\mathcal{C} \times \Delta^1$ where the latter is considered to be over Sch_S via T , we have the functor $t: b^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \alpha^*\mathcal{C}$ between Cartesian fibrations over Sch_S which preserves Cartesian edges. Informally, t sends $c \in \mathcal{C}_T$ over $(V \rightarrow T \times \square) \in \text{Mdf}$ to $f^*c \in \mathcal{C}_V$ where $f: V_{\text{fl}} \rightarrow T \times \square \rightarrow T$. Since $b^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the base change of the Cartesian site $b: \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$, it is also a Cartesian site. We endow $b^*\mathcal{C}$ with the total topology. We have the following homotopy commutative diagram of topoi:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{\bar{b}} & \text{Shv}(b^*\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{P}(\alpha^*\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}_S) & \xleftarrow{b} & \text{Shv}(\text{Mdf}_S) & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{P}(\text{Mdf}_S) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}_S). \end{array}$$

Here, \bar{b} , $\bar{\alpha}$ are the geometric morphisms induced by b , α . As before, we put $\partial := \bar{b}_* \circ t^* \circ \bar{\alpha}^*$. Similarly, for $x \in \square(k)$, we have the diagram $\mathcal{P}(\alpha^*\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{fl}}} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{Sch}_S \times \square}) \xleftarrow{i_x} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ of geometric morphisms where $\bar{\text{fl}}$ is the morphism induced by fl , and put $\partial_x := \bar{b}_* \circ t^* \circ \bar{\text{fl}}^* \circ i_{x*}$.

Let $T \in \text{Sch}_S$, and assume we are given a functor $i: \text{Sch}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Sch}_S} \text{Sch}_T$ which preserves Cartesian edges over Sch_T . Then the base change morphism $i^*\bar{b}_* \rightarrow b_*i^*$ is an equivalence, and we have an equivalence of functors $i^*\partial \simeq \partial_T i^*$.

1.5. Any (ordinary) category admitting coproducts is naturally tensored over the category of small sets. For a category \mathcal{C} with coproducts, $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and a small set I , we denote this tensor by $X \otimes I$. Concretely, $X \otimes I \simeq \coprod_{i \in I} X$.

Definition. — A *pre-localization system* over S is a collection of data $(\mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathcal{E})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- μ is a *pointed set-valued* presheaf on Sch_S , whose basepoint is denoted by 0 , equipped with a morphism $c_\mu: \mu \rightarrow p_*p^*\mu$ ($p: \mathbb{A}_S^1 \rightarrow S$ is the projection), called the *contraction morphism*, such that the composition $\mu \rightarrow p_*p^*\mu \rightarrow p_*i_{x*}i_x^*p^*\mu \simeq \mu$ ($i_x: S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is the closed immersion for $x \in \{0, 1\}$) is the identity for $x = 1$ and the constant morphism values at 0 for $x = 0$;
- \mathcal{S} is an abelian presheaf on Sch_S equipped with positive exhaustive filtration;
- \mathcal{E} is a homomorphism $\text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mu \rightarrow \partial \text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S})$ of graded abelian presheaves on Sch_S . Here, \otimes is taken as the underlying *set* rather than pointed set, namely, $\text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mu \cong \bigoplus_{f \in \mu} \text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S})$. As a convention, we put $\text{gr}_0(\mathcal{S}) = 0$, in other words, $\mathcal{S}_{-1} := \mathcal{S}_0$.

This data is subject to the following compatibility conditions:

- The following diagram commutes:

$$(1.5.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) & & \\ \mathrm{id} \times \{0\} \downarrow & \searrow I & \\ \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mu & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}). \end{array}$$

A morphism of pre-localization system $F: (\mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}', \mu', \mathcal{E}')$ is a morphism of presheaves $F_s: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ which respects the filtrations and $F_f: \mu \rightarrow \mu'$ (which we do *not* require to respect the contraction morphism) such that the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mu & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \\ F_s \times F_f \downarrow & & \downarrow F_s \\ \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}') \otimes \mu' & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} & \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}'). \end{array}$$

The category of pre-localization system is denoted by $\mathrm{PreLSys}_S$ or $\mathrm{PreLSys}$ for simplicity.

Remark. — Note that, since the cohomological operations are used in the ∞ -categorical setting, the functor ∂ is “derived” by default. However, since ∂ is left t-exact and $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S})$ is an abelian presheaf, $\pi_i \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ for $i > 0$, in other words, the higher homotopies vanish. Thus, giving \mathcal{E} is equivalent to giving a homomorphism $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \pi_0 \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S})$. Namely, pre-localization system is purely a 1-categorical notion. This indicates that pre-localization systems are not too hard to handle, at least compared to ∞ -categorical data.

Let us introduce some notations. For $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mu^n$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_{n'}) \in \mu^{n'}$, we define the concatenation $\mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g} := (f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n'}) \in \mu^{n+n'}$. Using the base point of μ , we have a map $\mu^m \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \{0\}} \mu^m \times \mu \cong \mu^{m+1}$ where the first m -components are identities. The colimit over $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by μ^∞ . Note that the operation \vee is *not* defined on the level of μ^∞ . For an abelian presheaf \mathcal{F} and sections $F \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{F})$, $\mathbf{f} \in \Gamma(T, \mu^\infty)$ for $T \in \mathrm{Sch}/_S$, we denote by $(F; \mathbf{f})$ the element of $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mu^\infty) \cong \bigoplus_{\Gamma(T, \mu^\infty)} \Gamma(T, \mathcal{F})$ whose \mathbf{f} -component is F and the other components are 0. We put $\partial := \partial_0 \oplus \partial_\infty$, and, recalling the notation r_x from 1.3, let

$$\mathcal{E}: \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mu \xrightarrow{(-r_0 \circ \mathcal{E}, r_\infty \circ \mathcal{E})} \partial_0 \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) \oplus \partial_\infty \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}) =: \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S}).$$

1.6. We have the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{gr}_d(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^{m+1}) \cong (\mathrm{gr}_d \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu) \otimes \mu^m \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E} \times \mathrm{id}} \partial(\mathrm{gr}_d \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^m).$$

Informally, this sends $F \vee f \vee \mathbf{g}$ to $\mathcal{E}(F; f) \vee \mathbf{g}$. Passing to the colimit over m , we have the map $\mathrm{gr}_d(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \rightarrow \partial(\mathrm{gr}_d \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$, which we also denoted by \mathcal{E} . Using this, let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := (r_0 \circ I, 0) + \mathcal{E} = (r_0 \circ I - r_0 \circ \mathcal{E}, r_\infty \circ \mathcal{E}): \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \rightarrow \partial \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty).$$

We put $\mathrm{Adm}_d := \bigcup_l \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^l)$. Now, here is one of the main definitions of this paper:

Definition. — A *localization system* is a pre-localization system $(\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ that satisfies the following condition: For $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(T)$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \mu^l(T \times \Delta_{\mathrm{geom}}^m)$ for some $l, m \geq 0$, we can find a cdh-covering $\{T_i\} \rightarrow T$ and $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mu^{l'}(T_i)$ for some l' such that $(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g}_i) \in \mathrm{Adm}_d(T_i \times \Delta_{\mathrm{geom}}^m)$. We denote by LSys the full subcategory of $\mathrm{PreLSys}$ spanned by localization systems.

Remark. — 1. Since ∂ is left t-exact and $\text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S})$ is an abelian sheaf, $\pi_n(\partial^l \text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) = 0$ for $n > 0$. This implies that for a subsheaf $A \subset \text{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$, the condition $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^l|_A = 0$ is equivalent to $\pi_0 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^l|_A = 0$. Thus, the condition can be expressed entirely in terms of (1-)sheaves.

2. When we are given a localization system, the following slightly stronger condition holds: For a finite set $\{F_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ of elements in $\mathcal{S}_d(T)$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ in $\mu^l(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m)$ for some $l, m \geq 0$, we can find a cdh-covering $\{T_i\} \rightarrow T$ and $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mu^{l'}(T_i)$ for some l' (not depending on $1 \leq k \leq n$) such that $(F_k; \mathbf{f}_k \vee \mathbf{g}_i) \in \text{Adm}_d(T_i \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m)$. Indeed, we use the induction on n . Assume the condition holds for $n < N$. For $\{F_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq N-1}$, we may choose a finite cdh-covering $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ and \mathbf{g}_i . By definition of localization system, for $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(T_i)$ and $\mathbf{f}_N \vee \mathbf{g}_i \in \mu^{l+l'}(T_i \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m)$, we can take a finite cdh-covering $\{T_{i,j}\}_{j \in J_i}$ of T_i and $\mathbf{g}_{i,j}$ such that $(F; \mathbf{f}_N \vee \mathbf{g}_i \vee \mathbf{g}_{i,j}) \in \text{Adm}_d(T_{i,j} \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m)$. Thus the cdh-covering $\{T_{i,j}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ and $\mathbf{g}_i \vee \mathbf{g}_{i,j}$ meet our need.

Example. — Let \mathcal{S} be an abelian presheaf on Sch/S , and μ be an abelian presheaf equipped with contraction morphism. We put the *constant filtration*, namely $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}$ for any $n \geq 0$. This filtered abelian sheaf is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\text{cons}}$. Then $(\mathcal{S}_{\text{cons}}, 0, \mu)$ is a localization system, since $\text{gr}_d(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ for any $d \geq 0$. We denote by LSys_0 the full subcategory of LSys spanned by objects of this form. The inclusion $\iota: \text{LSys}_0 \hookrightarrow \text{LSys}$ admits a right adjoint $z: \text{LSys} \rightarrow \text{LSys}_0$ sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ to $(\mathcal{S}_{0,\text{cons}}, 0, \mu)$.

1.7. From now on, we fix a presentable stable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} . In practice, \mathcal{D} is Mod_R for some commutative ring R (considered as a discrete \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring). Recall that Mod_R is the ∞ -category of R -module objects in Sp whose homotopy category hMod_R is equivalent to the usual derived category $D(R)$ (cf. [HA, 7.1.2.13]). For an ∞ -topos \mathcal{X} , we may consider the ∞ -category of \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ on \mathcal{X} . See §A for some details.

Recall from 1.3 that we have morphisms of functors $I: \text{id} \rightarrow \partial$ and $r_x: \partial \rightarrow \partial_x$. For each x , this defines a functor $I \vee r_x: \Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S))$. Since $\Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$ is an inner anodyne map, this functor extends to $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ in an essentially unique way. Now, consider the map $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \cong \Delta^2 \sqcup_{\Delta^{\{0,2\}}} \Delta^2 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \sqcup (s_0 \circ d_1)} \Delta^2$. By composing with the map above and taking the adjoint, we get a functor $D_x: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ (see diagram (1.7.i) below).

Definition. — Let \mathcal{H} be a \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf on Sch/S , an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S)$.

1. The presheaf \mathcal{H} is said to be \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if the canonical morphism $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow q_* q^* \mathcal{H}$ is an equivalence, where $q: S \times \square \rightarrow S$ is the projection.
2. A *rewind structure* on \mathcal{H} is a diagram $\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S) =: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}$ depicted as

$$(1.7.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{I_0} & \partial_0 \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\text{rw}_0} & \mathcal{H} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow r_0 & & \uparrow r_0 \\ \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{I} & \partial \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\text{rw}} & q_* q^* \mathcal{H} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow r_\infty & & \downarrow r_\infty \\ \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{I_\infty} & \partial_\infty \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\text{rw}_\infty} & \mathcal{H}, \end{array}$$

where the composition of the middle row is the adjunction. For a more precise definition, it is an vertex of (\star) below.

3. A *reversible coefficient* is an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant \mathcal{D} -valued cdh-sheaf equipped with rewind structure. Let $E := \Delta^{\{-\infty, 0\}} \coprod_{\{0\}} \Lambda_0^2$. Let $\text{Adj} \subset \text{Fun}(E, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}})$ be the full subcategory spanned by the functors ϕ such that $\phi(\Delta^{\{-\infty, 0\}})$ is equivalent to the adjunction morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow q_*q^*\mathcal{F}$ and $\phi(\Lambda_0^2)$ is equivalent to the diagram $\mathcal{F} \xleftarrow{r_0} q_*q^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{r_\infty} \mathcal{F}$. Let $\text{Coef}_{\mathcal{D}}$, or more precisely $\text{Coef}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}}$, be the full subcategory of

$$(\star) \quad (\text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^{\{0,1\}}, \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}), D_0 \vee D_\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}) \times_{\text{Fun}(E, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}})} \text{Adj},$$

where $E \rightarrow \Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2$ sends $\Delta^{\{-\infty, 0\}}$ to $\{0\} \times \Delta^{\{0,2\}}$ and Λ_0^2 to $\Lambda_0^2 \times \{2\}$, spanned by the functors such that the presheaf induced by evaluating at $(0, 0) \in \Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2$ is a cdh-sheaf and \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. By evaluating at the vertex $(0, 0) \in \Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2$, we have the functor $h: \text{Coef} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}_{/\mathcal{S}})$.

Remark. — The simplicial set $\text{Coef}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an ∞ -category. Indeed, by putting $K = (\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^{\{0,1\}}) \cup (\{0\} \times \Delta^{\{0,2\}}) \cup (\Lambda_0^2 \times \{2\}) \subset \Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2$, we may write (\star) as $\text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}) \times_{\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}})} \text{Adj}$, the claim follows by [HTT, 2.3.2.5].

1.8. The following variant will be used in the later sections.

Definition (Variant). — Assume we are in the situation of 1.4. Let \mathcal{H} be an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$. A *rewind structure* on \mathcal{H} is a diagram $\Lambda_0^2 \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$ depicted as (1.7.i), where ∂, ∂_x are the functors defined in 1.4, such that the composition of the middle row is the adjunction.

1.9. For a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category of *positively filtered objects* is defined to be $\mathcal{C}^{\text{fil}} := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{C})$, following [HA, 1.2.2]. This is stable as well by [HA, 1.1.3.1]. Now, we consider the following functor

$$S: \mathcal{C}^{\text{fil}} := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{RKE}} \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})^\triangleleft, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{C}) =: \mathcal{C}^{\text{fil}},$$

where the first functor is given by the right Kan extension along the inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})^\triangleleft$, and the second is induced by the isomorphism $s: \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})^\triangleleft \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})$ sending the cone point to $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. This functor is called the *shift functor*. This is right adjoint to the functor sending X_\bullet to $X_{\bullet+1}$, and informally, it sends a filtered object X_\bullet to X'_\bullet where $X'_\bullet = X_{\bullet-1}$ (and $X'_0 = X_{-1} := X_0$). Now, we have the morphism of functors $\iota \rightarrow s^{-1}$. This morphism induces the morphism of functors $S \rightarrow \text{id}$. We define $\text{gr} := \text{cofib}(S \rightarrow \text{id})$.

Remark. — Assume that \mathcal{C} is a Grothendieck abelian category, and let $\iota: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ be the fully faithful inclusion to the derived ∞ -category [HA, 1.3.5.21]. If X is a positively filtered object in \mathcal{C} (in the usual sense), then $\iota(\text{gr}_\bullet(X))$ coincides with $\text{gr}(\iota(X))$, since the sequence $\iota(X_n) \rightarrow \iota(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \iota(\text{gr}_{n+1}(X))$ is a cofiber sequence.

For an ∞ -category \mathcal{X} , we denote $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})^{\text{fil}}$ by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\mathcal{X})$. We have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\mathcal{X}) := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})) \cong \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{fil}}}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Note that \mathcal{D}^{fil} remains to be a stable presentable ∞ -category by [HTT, 5.5.3.6].

1.10. It will be convenient to upgrade the notion of pre-localization system to the case where \mathcal{S} is possibly an ∞ -presheaf, so that (a part of the data of) reversible coefficients are included. In the following, we often abbreviate $\mathcal{P}_\star^\bullet(\text{Sch}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ by $\mathcal{P}_\star^\bullet$.

Definition. — Let Cont be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}(\text{Sch}_{/\mathcal{S}})$, where Ab denotes the category of abelian groups, spanned by sheaves which admit a contraction morphism. We have the functor

$F: \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}} \times \text{Cont} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}})$, which sends (\mathcal{F}, μ) to the diagram $(\text{gr}\mathcal{F} \otimes \mu \xleftarrow{\text{id} \times \{0\}} \text{gr}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{I} \partial \text{gr}\mathcal{F})$ (where the vertices correspond to the vertices $(1 \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow 2)$ of Λ_0^2). We define

$$\text{PreLSys}^\dagger := (\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}} \times \text{Cont}) \times_{F, \text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}}).$$

We have the obvious fully faithful functor $\text{PreLSys} \hookrightarrow \text{PreLSys}^\dagger$.

Remark. — 1. Since the map $\text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}})$ is an inner fibration by [HTT, 2.3.2.5], the simplicial set PreLSys^\dagger is an ∞ -category.

2. It would be possible to define a notion of ∞ -categorical localization system allowing ∞ -presheaves for \mathcal{S} . However, this ∞ -category may not be a full subcategory of PreLSys^\dagger . In the definition of localization system, we defined it in a way that the kernel of \mathcal{E}^l is “sufficiently large”. For ∞ -categorical localization system, being 0 is not a condition, and we need to add the data of homotopies between 0 and the image of this “kernel”.

Let PreLSys_0^\dagger be the full subcategory of PreLSys^\dagger spanned by vertices $(\mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathcal{E})$ such that the filtration of \mathcal{S} is constant (*i.e.* $\mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{i+1}$ is equivalence for any $i \geq 0$). Evaluation at $0 \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})$ induces the functor $\text{ev}_0: \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\text{Sch}/\mathcal{S})$. Thus, we have the functors $\text{PreLSys}_0^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \times \text{Cont} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0 \times \text{id}} \mathcal{P} \times \text{Cont}$. The composition is a categorical equivalence. Indeed, let $\mathcal{P}_c^{\text{fil}} \subset \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}$ be the full subcategory spanned by constantly filtered objects, and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}$ be the full subcategory spanned by zero objects. Then PreLSys_0^\dagger is isomorphic to the simplicial set

$$(\mathcal{P}_c^{\text{fil}} \times \text{Cont}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{Z})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathcal{Z}).$$

Now, \mathcal{Z} is Kan contractible by [HTT, 1.2.12.9] and $\mathcal{P}_c^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is a trivial fibration by [HTT, 4.3.2.15], thus the claim follows since the map $\text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathcal{Z})$ is a categorical fibration by [HTT, 3.1.2.3].

We have the diagram of functors $\text{Coef} \xrightarrow{h \times \{0\}} \mathcal{P} \times \text{Cont} \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{PreLSys}_0^\dagger$ using the notation of Definition 1.7.3, and by choosing a quasi-inverse to the categorical equivalence, we have a functor $\text{Coef} \rightarrow \text{PreLSys}^\dagger$. Informally, this is the functor sending \mathcal{H} to $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{cons}}, 0, 0)$. By [HTT, 2.4.7.10]⁽³⁾ the map $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\{1\} \times \{0\}} \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \times \text{PreLSys}^\dagger$ is a bifibration. We define \mathcal{X} by the following pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \{0\} \times \{1\} \\ \text{LSys} \times \text{Coef} & \longrightarrow & \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \times \text{PreLSys}^\dagger. \end{array}$$

We also put $\mathcal{X}_0 := \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{LSys}} \text{LSys}_0$. The maps $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{LSys} \times \text{Coef}$ and $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{LSys}_0 \times \text{Coef}$ are swapped bifibrations because they are base change of a swapped bifibration. Unwinding the definition, the fiber in \mathcal{X} over $((\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mu, \mathcal{E}), \mathcal{H}) \in \text{LSys} \times \text{Coef}$ is equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{S}_n$.

Now, we have the functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_1^2, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger)$ sending $(\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ to the sequence $(\mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}}, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Using this, we have a functor

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_1^2, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger)$$

⁽³⁾ In fact, there seems to be a typo in the statement, and $\text{Map}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}$, is a bifibration instead of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$. In [AF, 5.1], they modified the definition of bifibration of [HTT]. We *keep* the definition of [HTT], and the map $\text{Map}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ is said to be a *swapped bifibration*.

by choosing a quasi-inverse to the second equivalent morphism. The composition with the functor $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{0,2\}}, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{0\}}, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger)$ factors through LSys_0 by construction. Thus, the above functor induces a functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$, informally sending $(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H})$ to $(\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H})$. Our main result of this section is as follows:

1.11 Theorem. — *The functor $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{LSys}_0} \text{LSys}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories over $\text{LSys} \times \text{Coef}$.*

Unwinding the definition, the fiber of $\mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{LSys}_0} \text{LSys}$ over $((\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mu, \mathcal{E}), \mathcal{H}) \in \text{LSys} \times \text{Coef}$ is equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H})$, and the restriction map $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H})$ induced by the map of bifibrations is simply the restriction map. The theorem states that this map is an equivalence. Note that, since the restriction morphism is independent of the structure of localization system nor the rewind structure, the *existence* of these structures on \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{H} ensure that the restriction morphism is an equivalence.

As an immediate corollary of the theorem, let us transform it into a more practical form. A pair of an abelian presheaf $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}(\text{Sch}/S)$ and its subsheaf $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ is said to be a *localization pair* if there *exists* a localization system $(\mathcal{S}_\bullet, \mu, \mathcal{E})$ such that the 0-th piece of \mathcal{S} is \mathcal{S}_0 . Likewise, a $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -valued cdh-sheaf \mathcal{H} is said to be *reversible* if there exists a reversible coefficient whose underlying sheaf coincides with \mathcal{H} . In 2.1, we will introduce a coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$ whose fiber over $T \in \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\text{Sch}/T)$, and coCartesian edges are given by the pullback f^* for $f: T' \rightarrow T$ in $\text{Sch}_{/S}$.

Corollary. — *Let \mathcal{E} be an ∞ -category, and assume we are given 3 functors $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$. We assume we are given morphisms $\mathcal{S} \xleftarrow{i} \mathcal{S}_0 \xrightarrow{C} \mathcal{H}$ of functors. Furthermore, we assume the following:*

- For each vertex $e \in \mathcal{E}$, the pair $(\mathcal{S}_0(e) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{S}(e))$ is an localization pair;
- For each vertex $e \in \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{H}(e)$ is reversible.

Then there exists a morphism $\tilde{C}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, unique up to contractible space of choices, extending C .

Proof. The morphism i induces the morphism

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}})}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}})}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}).$$

It suffices to show that this morphism is an equivalence of spaces. In view of Lemma B.5, it suffices to show that for any morphism $x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{E} the induced morphism

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(\mathcal{S}(x), \mathcal{H}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(\mathcal{S}_0(x), \mathcal{H}(y))$$

is an equivalence. Let $T_x \leftarrow T_y: f$ be the image of $x \rightarrow y$ in $\text{Sch}_{/S}$. Since the restriction of a localization pair over T_x to T_y remains to be a localization pair, the equivalence follows by the theorem. \blacksquare

The rest of this section is devoted to proving the theorem.

1.12. For an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$. We have the morphism of endo-functors $\partial \xrightarrow{(-r_0, r_\infty)} \partial_0 \oplus \partial_\infty =: \boldsymbol{\partial}$, which we view as an edge of $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$. The diagram $\Lambda_1^2 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ depicted as $S \circ \partial \rightarrow \partial \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\partial}$ extends to a diagram $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ in an essentially unique way, and we fix one. Note that $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ is stable by [HA, 1.1.3.1]. We define an endo-functor on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S)$ to be

$$\Gamma := \text{cofib}(S \circ \partial \rightarrow \partial \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\partial}).$$

This gives us a diagram $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ depicted as $\partial \rightarrow \partial \rightarrow \Gamma$. Applying this functor to $\text{id} \rightarrow S$, we get a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ depicted as $(\partial S \rightarrow \partial S \rightarrow \Gamma S) \rightarrow (\partial \rightarrow \partial \rightarrow \Gamma)$. We extend further to a $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2)^\triangleleft$ -shaped diagram by sending the cone point to 0. By composing with suitable maps $(\Delta^1)^3 \rightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2)^\triangleleft$ we have the diagrams $(\Delta^1)^3 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \longrightarrow & \partial S, \\ \partial S & \longleftarrow & \downarrow & \longleftarrow & \partial S \\ \partial S & \longrightarrow & \Gamma S & \longleftarrow & \partial S \\ \downarrow & \longleftarrow & \downarrow & \longleftarrow & \downarrow \\ \partial & \xrightarrow{=} & \partial & \xrightarrow{=} & \partial \\ \downarrow & \longleftarrow & \downarrow & \longleftarrow & \downarrow \\ \partial & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longleftarrow & \partial \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \partial S & \longrightarrow & \partial S \\ \partial S & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \partial S \\ \partial S & \longrightarrow & \Gamma S & \longleftarrow & \partial S \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow \\ \partial & \longrightarrow & \partial & \longrightarrow & \partial \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow \\ \partial & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \partial \end{array}.$$

By applying the functor cofib to columns, we get diagrams $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ depicted as the first two diagrams, denoted by D_1, D_2 , below:

$$(1.12.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial & \longrightarrow & \partial \circ \text{gr} \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{D_1} & \downarrow b \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{a} & \Gamma \circ \text{gr}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial \circ \text{gr} & \longrightarrow & \partial \circ \text{gr} \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{D_2} & \downarrow b \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{a} & \Gamma \circ \text{gr}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial & \longrightarrow & \partial \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{=} & \Gamma. \end{array}$$

We also have the homotopy commutative diagram on the right above.

1.13. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and assume we are given an endo-functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha: X \rightarrow F(X)$ be a morphism. Let $I^{\mathbb{N}} := \Delta^{\{0,1\}} \cup \Delta^{\{1,2\}} \cup \dots \subset \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the edge α induces the diagram $I^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\alpha \vee F(\alpha) \vee F^2(\alpha) \vee \dots$. Since $I^{\mathbb{N}} \hookrightarrow N(\mathbb{N})$ is an inner anodyne, this diagram extends to a diagram $D: N(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We put $F_{\alpha}^{\infty}(X) := \varinjlim(D)$, if it exists. The following lemma will be used later.

Lemma. — *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, and F be an endo-functor of \mathcal{C} . For $X \in \mathcal{C}$, assume we are given a retract diagram $X \xrightarrow{i} F(X) \xrightarrow{r} X$. Then this induces the retract diagram $X \xrightarrow{i} F^{\infty}(X) \xrightarrow{r} X$, if $F^{\infty}(X)$ exists.*

Proof. Following [HTT, 4.4.5], let $\text{Ret} := \Delta^2 / \Delta^{\{0,2\}}$. We construct diagrams $R_n: \text{Ret} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $D_n: \text{Ret} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for $n > 0$ inductively. We put R_1 to be the given retract diagram. Assume we are given a retract diagram $X \xrightarrow{i_n} F^n(X) \xrightarrow{r_n} X$, denoted by R_n . Let us construct D_n . We have the map $(\Delta^2 \times \{0\}) \amalg_{\partial \Delta^2 \times \{0\}} (\partial \Delta^2 \times \Delta^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ sending $\Delta^2 \times \{0\}$ to the retract diagram $X \rightarrow F^n(X) \rightarrow X$, and $\Delta^{\{0,2\}} \times \Delta^1$ is sent to the point $\{X\}$, and $\Delta^{\{0,1\}} \times \Delta^1, \Delta^{\{1,2\}} \times \Delta^1$ are any homotopy commutative diagrams of the form below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_n} & F^n(X) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow F^n(i) \\ X & \longrightarrow & F^{n+1}(X), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F^n(X) & \xrightarrow{r_n} & X \\ \downarrow F^n(i) & & \parallel \\ F^{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{r_n \circ F^n(r)} & X. \end{array}$$

By (the dual version of) [HTT, 3.2.1.8], the diagram extends to a diagram $\Delta^2 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. By construction, this map factors through $\text{Ret} \times \Delta^1$, and the induced map is $D_n: \text{Ret} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. This diagram can be depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_n} & F^n(X) & \xrightarrow{r_n} & X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ X & \longrightarrow & F^{n+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

and the rows are retract diagrams. Now, let $R_{n+1} := D_n|_{\text{Ret} \times \{1\}}$. This inductive construction yields, for $n > 0$, a diagram $D_n: \text{Ret} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $D_n|_{\text{Ret} \times \{0\}} = R_n$ and $D_n|_{\text{Ret} \times \{1\}} = R_{n+1}$. By concatenating, we have $\text{Ret} \times I^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{Ret} \times \{i\} = R_i$. Since $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an inner anodyne, the diagram extends to $\text{Ret} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by [HTT, 2.3.2.4]. Taking the adjoint, we have the diagram $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Ret}, \mathcal{C})$, and take a colimit of this functor. Since colimits can be computed pointwise by [HTT, 5.1.2.3], the colimit diagram is the one we are seeking. \blacksquare

1.14. By definition of PreLSys^\dagger , we have the functor $S: \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}$ sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathcal{E})$ to $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty$. We also have the functor $E: \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ which sends $(\mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathcal{E})$ to the diagram $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \partial \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$. Let K be the simplicial subset $\Delta^{\{0', 1', (0,0)\}} \cup (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)$ of $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^{\triangleleft\triangleleft}$, where $0', 1'$ are the cone points with an edge $0' \rightarrow 1'$. Consider

$$(E, D_2 \circ S): \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{0', 1', (0,0)\}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{(0,0)\}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \cong \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}),$$

where D_2 is the diagram in (1.12.i). The inclusion $K \hookrightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^{\triangleleft\triangleleft}$ is an inner anodyne by [HTT, 2.1.2.3] (applied to the right anodyne $\Delta^{\{(0,0)\}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{\{0', 1', (0,0)\}}$ and the inclusion $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \Lambda_2^2$ where the vertices of the horn are $(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)$). Thus, we can extend the functor to $\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^{\triangleleft\triangleleft}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. Picking up suitable vertices, we have the functor $\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ depicted as

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \\ \clubsuit \downarrow & & \downarrow \clubsuit & & \downarrow \clubsuit \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \xrightarrow{a} & \Gamma \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \xleftarrow{b} & \partial \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty), \end{array}$$

where \clubsuit are morphisms induced by \mathcal{E} .

On the other hand, we have the morphism $(r_0 \circ I, 0): \text{id} \rightarrow \partial$. Apply this to the morphism of functors $\text{id} \rightarrow \text{gr}$, we have the diagram $D': \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ depicted as $(\text{id} \rightarrow \text{gr}) \rightarrow (\partial \rightarrow \partial \circ \text{gr})$. Thus, we have

$$\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \xrightarrow{D' \vee D_1} \text{Fun}(\Lambda_1^2 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}(\Delta^2 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}).$$

Picking up suitable vertices, we have the diagram (\star) of the same form where \clubsuit are morphisms induced by $(r_0 \circ I, 0)$ this time. By taking the adjoints, the diagrams (\star) with different \clubsuit yield two edges $F_1, F_2: X \rightarrow Y$ of $\text{Fun}(\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \times \Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. Since the functor ∞ -category is stable, we may consider their addition, namely a composition

$$F_1 + F_2: X \xrightarrow{F_1 \times F_2} Y \times Y \xleftarrow{\sim} Y \amalg Y \xrightarrow{\text{id} \sqcup \text{id}} Y.$$

This can be depicted as a diagram of the form (\star) where \clubsuit are morphisms induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

Now, let us apply the construction of 1.13 to this situation. Using the functor $G: \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ defined by the diagram $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma \leftarrow \partial$, we have the functor

$$D: \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \xrightarrow{G \circ} \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2, \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})) \cong \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2 \times \Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}),$$

where the last map is induced by the diagonal inclusion $\Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \Lambda_2^2 \times \Lambda_2^2$. Informally, D is the functor sending $X_0 \rightarrow X_2 \leftarrow X_1$ to $\Gamma(X_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_2) \leftarrow \partial(X_1)$. Let Z be the object $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty \rightarrow \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$ in $\text{Fun}(\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \times \Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. The edge $F_1 + F_2$ can be viewed as an edge $Z \rightarrow D(Z)$. This enables us to apply the construction 1.13, and get the

diagram $\text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Lambda_2^2, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ depicted as

$$(1.14.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \\ \tilde{\varepsilon} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\varepsilon} & & \downarrow \tilde{\varepsilon} \\ \Gamma_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^\infty(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^\infty \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \longleftarrow & \partial_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^\infty \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the compatibility axiom of pre-localization system (1.5.i) yields the following homotopy commutative diagram on the left

$$(1.14.ii) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \{0\}} & \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty \\ r_\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\varepsilon} \\ \Gamma \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\text{id} \times \{0\})} & \Gamma(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \{0\}} & \mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^\infty(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty), \end{array}$$

and this induces the homotopy commutative diagram on the right above, again by construction 1.13.

Example. — Let \mathcal{H} be a reversible coefficient. Then the rewind structure determines a retract to the canonical map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H}$. Indeed, by Lemma 1.13, it suffices to construct a retract of the map $r_\infty: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{H}$. Let $\phi: \Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$ be the diagram of functors $\partial_0 \leftarrow \partial \rightarrow \partial_\infty$. We have an equivalence $\Gamma \simeq \varinjlim(\phi)$. Regard the diagram (1.7.i) as a diagram of functors $R: \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_0^2, \mathcal{P})$, and consider the composition $\varinjlim \circ R$. We have $(\varinjlim \circ R)(\{1\}) \simeq \Gamma \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, since \mathcal{H} is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, the morphism $q_* q^* \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{r_0} \mathcal{H}$ is an equivalence, and $(\varinjlim \circ R)(\Delta^{\{0,2\}})$ is equivalent to the identity morphism of \mathcal{H} .

1.15. In this paragraph, we introduce the Suslin complex. The construction is well-known to experts. We let $\Delta_{\text{geom}}^n := S \times \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(1 - \sum x_i))$. For $\phi: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ , we have the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_S[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(1 - \sum x_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S[y_0, \dots, y_m]/(1 - \sum y_j)$ sending x_i to $\sum_{j \in \phi^{-1}(i)} y_j$. This gives us a cosimplicial scheme $\Delta_{\text{geom}}^\bullet: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sch}/S$.

Now, let $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}}$ be a coCartesian fibration such that the fiber over $T \in \text{Sch}/S$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/T)$ and the functor $\text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ that classifies the coCartesian fibration is given by sending a morphism f in Sch/S to the pullback f^* . For a rigorous construction of such coCartesian fibration, see 2.1. Since the pullback functor admits a right adjoint, the fibration is Cartesian as well by [HTT, 5.2.2.5]. We put $p: \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} := \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}}, \Delta_{\text{geom}}^\bullet} \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$. Let $D: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be the unique map such that $D|_{\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} = [0]$ and $D|_{\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} = \text{id}$. We define the *Suslin complex functor* by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sus}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S) &\simeq \text{Fun}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}([0], \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}) \xrightarrow{\text{LKE}} \text{Fun}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}) \xrightarrow[\star]{\text{RKE}} \text{Fun}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}(D, \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}(\Delta^{\{0\}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Sch}/S)), \end{aligned}$$

where LKE is the p -left Kan extension functor and (\star) is the p -right Kan extension functor along $\Delta^{\{1\}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow D$. Informally, $\text{Sus}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the simplicial diagram of ∞ -presheaves

$$\dots \quad \mathcal{F}(- \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^2) \overset{\Longleftarrow}{\underset{\Longrightarrow}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{F}(- \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^1) \overset{\Longleftarrow}{\underset{\Longrightarrow}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{F}(-),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(- \times \Delta^m) \simeq f_{m*} f_m^* \mathcal{F}$ using the projection $\Delta_{\text{geom}}^m \rightarrow S$. The geometric realization of $\text{Sus}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{D}}$ (namely the colimit over Δ^{op}) is denoted by $\text{Sus}^{\mathcal{D}}$. When $\mathcal{D} = \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we simply denote $\text{Sus}_{\bullet}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ by Sus_{\bullet} .

Remark. — 1. Let \mathcal{F} be an *abelian* presheaf, namely $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\heartsuit}$. Since for any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the pushforward $f_*: \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(Y)$ is t-exact, $\text{Sus}_m^{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathcal{F})$ remains to be an abelian presheaf, and equivalent to $\text{Sus}_m^{\text{Ab}}(\mathcal{F})$ considered as an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\text{Sch}/S)$. As a convention, we denote $\text{Sus}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathcal{F})$ (not $\text{Sus}^{\text{Ab}}(\mathcal{F})$) by $\text{Sus}(\mathcal{F})$.

2. Let \mathcal{F} be an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf. Then the canonical morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Sus}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence. Indeed, for any edge e of Δ^{op} , the map $\text{Sus}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{D}}(e)(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence. Thus, by [HTT, 4.4.4.10] (as well as [HTT, 5.5.8.4, 5.5.8.7] to see that Δ^{op} is weakly contractible), $\mathcal{F} \simeq \text{Sus}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F})$.

3. Even though we do not use this, we may check that $\text{Sus}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F})$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf. Moreover, a \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if and only if the canonical morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Sus}(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence.

1.16 Lemma. — *Let $i: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\text{Sch}/S)$, and assume we are given a retract $r: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Furthermore, assume there exists the following homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{G} \\ \text{adj}_p \downarrow & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow \text{adj}_p \\ p_*p^*\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{i} & p_*p^*\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{r} & p_*p^*\mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

such that the composition $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{c} p_*p^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{i_x} \mathcal{F}$, using the notation of Definition 1.5, is homotopic to $i \circ r$ when $x = 0$ and homotopic to the identity when $x = 1$. Then $\text{Sus}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Sus}(\mathcal{F})$ induced by i is an equivalence.

Proof. Since p_*p^* is a t-exact functor, the diagram above induces the same diagram replacing \mathcal{F} by $\pi_m\mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{G} by $\pi_m\mathcal{G}$ for any $m \geq 0$. We will show that the map $\text{Sus}(\pi_m\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Sus}(\pi_m\mathcal{F})$ induced by i is an equivalence. For this, it suffices to show that $\text{Sus}(\pi_m\mathcal{G})(T) \rightarrow \text{Sus}(\pi_m\mathcal{F})(T)$ is a homotopy equivalence for any $T \in \text{Sch}/S$, which follows by the following claim.

Claim. — In the statement of the lemma, assume that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are presheaves of sets. Then there exists a simplicial homotopy $\text{Sus}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})(T) \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Sus}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})(T)$ between the identity and $i \circ r$.

To construct a simplicial homotopy for a simplicial set K , we must construct a family of maps $h_m^j: K_m \rightarrow K_{m+1}$ for $m \geq 0$, $m \geq j \geq 0$ satisfying the usual compatibility conditions with degeneracy and face maps. The k -th vertex of Δ_{geom}^m is denoted by v_k^m . We define the map h_m^j as the composition

$$\text{Sus}_m(\mathcal{F})(T) \cong \mathcal{F}(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m \times \mathbb{A}^1) \xrightarrow[\sim]{(a_m^j)^*} \mathcal{F}(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^{m+1}) \cong \text{Sus}_{m+1}(\mathcal{F})(T),$$

where a_m^j is the affine morphism $\Delta_{\text{geom}}^{m+1} \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m \times \mathbb{A}^1$ sending v_k^{m+1} to $(v_k^m, 0)$ if $k \leq j$ and to $(v_{k-1}^m, 1)$ if $k > j$. It is not hard to check that this is the desired homotopy, and the claim follows.

Now, by the argument of [HA, right after Remark 1.2.4.4], the spectral sequence $E_{p,q}^2 = \pi_p\text{Sus}(\pi_q\mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}\text{Sus}(\mathcal{G})$ converges (in the sense explained in the proof of [HA, 1.2.2.14]). We have a similar spectral sequence for \mathcal{F} and they are compatible with respect to i . Since the map $\pi_p\text{Sus}(\pi_q\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \pi_p\text{Sus}(\pi_q\mathcal{F})$ induced by i is an equivalence, the map $\pi_m\text{Sus}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \pi_m\text{Sus}(\mathcal{F})$ is equivalence for any $m \geq 0$. Thus, the claim follows. \blacksquare

1.17. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ be a pre-localization system. Recall that we have a subsheaf $\text{Adm}_d \subset \mathcal{S}_d \otimes \mu^\infty$ from 1.6. By construction, $\text{Adm}_{d-1} \hookrightarrow \text{Adm}_d$, thus Adm_d is organized into a filtered (1-)sheaf $\text{Adm} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S)$. For any ∞ -presheaf \mathcal{F} , we have the morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Sus}_m(\mathcal{F})$ induced by the projection $\Delta_{\text{geom}}^m \rightarrow S$, which admits a retraction defined by any rational point of Δ_{geom}^m . In particular, we have $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Sus}_m(\mathcal{S})$. This enables us to define

$$\text{Adm}'_\bullet := \text{Sus}_\bullet(\text{Adm}) \cap (\mathcal{S} \otimes \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^\infty)),$$

where the intersection is taken in $\text{Sus}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$, and which belongs to the abelian category $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$. The map $\mathcal{S} \otimes \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, induced by the unique map $\text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^\infty) \rightarrow \Delta^0$, induces the morphism $\text{Adm}'_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We denote the value of $d \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N})$ in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}(\text{Sch}/S))$ of Adm'_\bullet by $\text{Adm}'_{d,\bullet}$. More concretely, for $T \in \text{Sch}/S$,

$$\text{Adm}'_{d,m}(T) = \text{Adm}_d(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m) \cap (\mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \mu^\infty(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^m)).$$

The geometric realization of Adm'_\bullet , namely $\varinjlim_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{Adm}'_\bullet$, is denoted by Adm' , defined in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S)$. We note that the construction is functorial and we have the functor $\text{Adm}'_\bullet: \text{LSys} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ab}}^{\text{fil}}(\text{Sch}/S))$. The following contractibility of Adm' is crucial for us.

Lemma. — *If the pre-localization system $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ is a localization system, the canonical morphism $\text{Adm}'_{\text{cdh}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{cdh}}$ is an equivalence in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\text{fil}}$.*

Proof. Since equivalence of a morphism of functors can be checked pointwise (by [HTT, 3.1.3.1]), it suffices to show the equivalence of the morphism $(\text{Adm}'_d)_{\text{cdh}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{d,\text{cdh}}$ of $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -valued ∞ -sheaves for each $d \geq 0$. Since the verification is local with respect to cdh-topology, we may fix a point z of S_{cdh} , and show that the morphism of stalks $(\text{Adm}'_d)_z \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_d)_z$ is a homotopy equivalence. For this, it suffices to show that the map of simplicial sets $(\text{Adm}'_{d,\bullet})_z \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_d)_z$ is a trivial fibration of simplicial sets by [SAG, A.5.3.7]. Since $(\mathcal{S}_d)_z$ is a discrete simplicial set, it suffices to show that each fiber of $(\text{Adm}'_{d,\bullet})_z$ is Kan contractible. Since $(\text{Adm}'_{d,\bullet})_z$ is an abelian simplicial set, it is a Kan complex. Let A_\bullet be the fiber over a vertex $F \in (\mathcal{S}_d)_z$. Since $(\mathcal{S}_d)_z$ is discrete, A_\bullet is a retract of the Kan complex $(\text{Adm}'_{d,\bullet})_z$, so A_\bullet is a Kan complex as well. We must solve the following lifting problem for $n \geq 0$:

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{a} & A_\bullet \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0 \end{array}$$

There exists $T \in \text{Sch}/S$ around z so that the map a corresponds to $n+1$ elements of $\mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \mu^l(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^{n-1})$ for large enough l . Since μ admits a contraction morphism c_μ , the Kan fibration $\mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^l)(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(T)$ is a trivial fibration by Lemma 1.16. In particular, we can find a map $\Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^l)(T)$ whose boundary is equal to a . Let $\sum(F_i; \mathbf{f}_i)$ be the corresponding element of $\mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \mu^l(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^n)$. Note that $\sum F_i = F$. By Remark 1.6.2, by shrinking T around z , we may find $\mathbf{g} \in \mu^l(T)$ such that $(F_i; \mathbf{f}_i \vee \mathbf{g})$ belongs to $\text{Adm}(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^n)$ for any i . This element can be identified with a map $\tilde{b}: \Delta^n \rightarrow A_\bullet$. Now, let $B_k := \Delta^{[n] \setminus \{k\}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ be the face, and $\phi_k: \Delta_{\text{geom}}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\text{geom}}^n$ be the closed immersion corresponding to B_k . We may write the element corresponding to $a|_{B_k}$ as $\sum(G_j^{(k)}; \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)})$ so that $(G_j^{(k)}; \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)}) \in \text{Adm}'_{d,n-1}$. By the choice of $\sum(F_i; \mathbf{f}_i)$, we have $\sum(F_i; \phi_k^* \mathbf{f}_i) = \sum(G_j^{(k)}; \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)})$. The map $\tilde{b}|_{B_k}$ corresponds to

$$(\star\star) \quad \sum \phi_k^*(F_i; \mathbf{f}_i \vee \mathbf{g}) = \sum (F_i; \phi_k^*(\mathbf{f}_i) \vee \mathbf{g}) = \sum (G_j^{(k)}; \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)} \vee \mathbf{g}),$$

where the first equality holds since \mathbf{g} is in $\mu^\infty(T)$ instead of $\mu^\infty(T \times \Delta_{\text{geom}}^n)$. Now, the contraction morphism induces the map $\Delta^1 \times B_k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(T) \otimes \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mu^\infty)(T)$ defined by $\sum(G_j^{(k)}; \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)} \vee c_\mu(\mathbf{g}))$ (where c_μ is the contraction morphism). Since $(G_j^{(k)}, \mathbf{h}_j^{(k)})$ already belongs to Adm_d , this map factors through A_\bullet . By construction, this map yields $c: \Delta^1 \times \partial\Delta^n \rightarrow A_\bullet$. Finally, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^1 \times \partial\Delta^n \coprod_{\{1\} \times \partial\Delta^n} \{1\} \times \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{c \coprod \tilde{b}} & A_\bullet \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^1 \times \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \Delta^0, \end{array}$$

where $c \coprod \tilde{b}$ is well-defined by $(\star\star)$. Since the left vertical inclusion is anodyne by [HTT, 2.1.2.7] and A_\bullet is a Kan complex, the lifting problem has a solution. The restriction to $\{0\} \times \Delta^n$ yields the solution to the lifting problem (\star) . \blacksquare

1.18 Lemma. — *Let $n > 0$, $m \geq 0$ be integers. The inclusion $(\partial\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \cup \Delta^m \times \Lambda_n^n, e) \rightarrow (\Delta^m \times \Delta^n, e)$, where e is the union of the edge $\{m\} \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ (if this edge belongs to the simplicial set) and the set of degenerate edges, is marked anodyne.*

Proof. The case $m = 0$ follows by [HTT, 3.1.2.3]. For $a, b > 0$, let I be a sequence of integers $\{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_a \leq b\}$. Let $\sigma_I^{(a,b)}$ be the $(a+b)$ -simplex of $\Delta^a \times \Delta^b$ whose vertices are $(0, 0), \dots, (0, i_1), (1, i_1), \dots, (1, i_2), (2, i_2), \dots, (a, b)$. Let $K \subset \Delta^m \times \Delta^{n-1}$ be the simplicial subset spanned by all non-degenerate simplex except for the $(m+n-1)$ -simplex $\sigma_{\{0, \dots, 0\}}^{(m, n-1)}$. We view $\Delta^m \times \Delta^{n-1}$ as a simplicial subset of $\Delta^m \times \Delta^n$ via the canonical inclusion $\Delta^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ (that avoids the vertex $n \in \Delta^n$). Then $K_0 := K \cap (\partial\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \cup \Delta^m \times \Lambda_n^n) \rightarrow K$ is an inner anodyne map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &\subset K_0 \cup (\sigma_{\{n-1, \dots, n-1\}}) \subset K_0 \cup (\sigma_{\{n-1, \dots\}} \cup \sigma_{\{n-2, n-1, \dots\}}) \\ &\subset \dots \subset K_0 \cup (\sigma_{\{n-1, \dots\}} \cup \dots \sigma_{\{0, n-1, \dots\}}) =: K_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_I := \sigma_I^{(m, n-1)}$, is a sequence of inner anodyne maps. Then we proceed as $K_1 \subset K_1 \cup \sigma_{\{0, n-2, n-1, \dots\}} \subset K_1 \cup (\sigma_{\{0, n-2, n-1, \dots\}} \cup \sigma_{\{0, n-3, n-1, \dots\}})$. By repeating this procedure, we get the inner anodyne inclusion $K_0 \hookrightarrow K$.

Now, put $L_0 := (K \cup \partial\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \cup \Delta^m \times \Lambda_n^n, e)$. Since $L_0 = (\partial\Delta^m \times \Delta^n \cup \Delta^m \times \Lambda_n^n, e) \coprod_{K_0} K$, it remains to show that $L_0 \rightarrow (\Delta^m \times \Delta^n, e)$ is a marked anodyne map. Let $L \subset \Delta^m \times \Delta^n$ be the simplicial subset spanned by all the non-degenerate simplices except for the $(m+n)$ -simplex $\sigma_{\{0, \dots, 0\}}^{(m, n)}$. We proceed exactly as above and we have inner anodyne $L_0 \subset L$. Finally, $(L, e) \subset (\Delta^m \times \Delta^n, e)$ is a marked anodyne map. Indeed, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{n+m}^{n+m} & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \Delta^{n+m} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\{0, \dots, 0\}}^{(m, n)}} & \Delta^m \times \Delta^n. \end{array}$$

Since this diagram is pushout as well, we get the claim by [HTT, 3.1.1.1 (2)]. \blacksquare

1.19 Corollary. — *Let $n > 0$ be an integer. Let $p: X \rightarrow \Delta^1$ be a map of simplicial sets, and let $X_0 := p^{-1}(0)$, $X_1 := p^{-1}(1)$. The the inclusion $(X \times \Lambda_n^n, \mathcal{E} \cap (X \times \Lambda_n^n)) \cup (X_0 \times \Delta^n)^b \hookrightarrow (X \times \Delta^n, \mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is the union of the set of edges of the form $\{x\} \times \Delta^{\{n-1, n\}}$ for a vertex x of X_1 and the set of degenerate edges, is marked anodyne.*

Proof. First, if $X = X_0 \coprod X_1$, then the claim follows by [HTT, 3.1.2.3]. Thus, by working simplex by simplex, it suffices to show the following claim: Let $q: Y \rightarrow \Delta^1$ be a map of simplicial sets and $f: \partial\Delta^m \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that $m > 0$ and $f(m) \in Y_1 := q^{-1}(1)$. Let $Y \hookrightarrow Y' := Y \coprod_{f, \partial\Delta^m} \Delta^m$ be the inclusion. Let \mathcal{E}_Y be the set of edges of $Y \times \Delta^n$ which is either degenerate edge or edge of the form $\{y\} \times \Delta^{\{n, n-1\}}$ for a vertex y of Y_1 . Then the map $(Y \times \Delta^n \cup Y' \times \Delta^n, \mathcal{E}_Y) \hookrightarrow (Y' \times \Delta^n, \mathcal{E}_{Y'})$ is marked anodyne. This follows by Lemma 1.18. \blacksquare

1.20. Proof of Theorem 1.11

We define a simplicial set $C_X := \text{LSys} \coprod_{X \times \{0\}} (X \times \Delta^1) \coprod_{X \times \{1\}} \text{Coef}$. By small object argument, we have a factorization $C_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \Delta^1$ where the first inclusion is inner anodyne and the second map is an inner fibration. The map $X \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{PreLSys}^\dagger) \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \text{PreLSys}^\dagger$, where ev is the evaluation map, induces the map $C_X \rightarrow \text{PreLSys}^\dagger$. We will construct a functor $\mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{P})$, informally sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ to the map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow (a \text{Sus} \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{S})^\infty \mathcal{S}$, where a is the cdh-sheafification functor.

First, let us construct a diagram $\text{Adm}'_\bullet: C_X \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. We have already constructed $\beta: C_{X,0} \times \Delta^1 \cong \text{LSys} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ sending $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ to the canonical map $\text{Adm}'_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \times \mu^\infty)$ in 1.17. On the other hand, we have the composition $\alpha: C_X \rightarrow \text{PreLSys}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}} \xrightarrow{\text{Sus}_\bullet} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$, where the second functor sends \mathcal{S} to $\mathcal{S} \times \mu^\infty$. Combining these, we have the diagram

$$(C_X \times \Lambda_1^1) \coprod_{C_{X,0} \times \Lambda_1^1} (C_{X,0} \times \Delta^1) \xrightarrow{\alpha \sqcup \beta} \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}).$$

By Corollary 1.19, this extends to a diagram $\text{Adm}'_\bullet: C_X \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. By construction, for $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Coef}$, we have $\text{Adm}'_\bullet(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mathcal{H}_{\text{cons}})$.

Let $X := \Delta^1 \sqcup_{\Delta^{\{1\}}} (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)$, where the morphism $\Delta^{\{1\}} \rightarrow \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$ is determined by $(0, 0) \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$. The diagram (1.14.i) yields the following diagram $C_X \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\star) & \text{Adm}'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim_{\mathcal{E}} \Gamma^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & \boxed{\text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim_{\mathcal{E}} \partial^n \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty))} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim_{\mathcal{E}} \Gamma^n \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)),
\end{array}$$

where the framed object corresponds to the $(0, 1)$ -vertex of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$. Since $X \hookrightarrow (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^\triangleleft$ is an inner anodyne, the functor extends to $F: C_X \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^\triangleleft, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}))$. Consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LSys} \cong C_{X,0} &\xrightarrow{F} \text{Fun}((\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1)^\triangleleft, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\{-\infty, (0,1)\}}, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})) \\
&\cong \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking adjoint, we have the edge

$$G: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{LSys} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{P}).$$

We wish to construct a homotopy between the morphism determined by G and 0. Recall that we have the standard t-structure on \mathcal{P} . Recall that we are using *homological* convention. We claim that G factors through $\text{Fun}(\text{LSys} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0})$. Indeed, since $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is fully faithful, the verification is pointwise. For each $m \geq 0$, Adm'_m is a 1-presheaf, thus it belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$. On the other hand, we have

$$\pi_i \text{Sus}_m(\varinjlim \partial^n \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) \simeq 0$$

for $i > 0$. Indeed, $\text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$ is a 1-sheaf, Sus_m and ∂^n are left t-exact (where the former is even t-exact), and \varinjlim is t-exact by [HA, 1.3.5.21]. Thus, the claim follows. Now, we have the pair of adjoint functors $\iota: \mathcal{P}^\heartsuit \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}: \tau_{\geq 0}$ by [HA, 1.2.1.7]. Composing the adjunction morphism with G , we have a morphism $\iota \circ \tau_{\geq 0} \circ G \rightarrow G$. This morphism, considered as a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{LSys} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{P})$, can be depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota \circ \tau_{\geq 0} \text{Adm}'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\iota \circ \tau_{\geq 0} \circ G} & \iota \circ \tau_{\geq 0} \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim \partial^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Adm}'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{G} & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim \partial^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)). \end{array}$$

The left vertical edge is an equivalence since Adm'_m is in \mathcal{P}^\heartsuit for each m . Since, by the very definition of Adm' , the homomorphism (of abelian presheaves) $\text{Adm}'_\bullet \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \times \mu^\infty))$ is *equal* to 0, $\tau_{\geq 0} \circ G \simeq \pi_0(G)$ is *equal* to 0. Thus the morphism $\iota \circ \tau_{\geq 0} \circ G$ is homotopic to 0. Since the left vertical edge is an equivalence, this homotopy induces a digram $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{LSys} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}), \mathcal{P})$ depicted as

$$(\star\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Adm}'_\bullet & \xrightarrow{G} & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\varinjlim \partial^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)), \end{array}$$

which is the desired homotopy.

Now, the cofiber sequence $\text{S} \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \text{gr}$ in $\text{End}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ induces the cofiber sequence $\text{seq}: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C_X, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}))$ depicted as the left diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sus}_\bullet(\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^\infty \text{S}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^\infty(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)) & \quad & \text{seq}(0) & \longrightarrow & \text{seq}(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_\bullet(\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^\infty \text{gr}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)), & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{seq}(2). \end{array}$$

We denote the vertices of the diagram seq by the corresponding vertices in the right diagram above. By (\star) , we have the map of functors $\text{Adm}'_\bullet \rightarrow \text{seq}(1)$, and (\star) together with $(\star\star)$ yields a homotopy between the composition $\text{Adm}'|_{C_{X,0}} \rightarrow \text{seq}(1) \rightarrow \text{seq}(2)$ and 0. Thus, we have the diagram $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C_{X,0}, \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}))$ depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{seq}(0) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Adm}'_\bullet & \longrightarrow & \text{seq}(1). \end{array}$$

This induces the map $C_{X,0} \times \Delta^2 \coprod_{C_{X,0} \times \Lambda_2^2} C_X \times \Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. By Corollary 1.19, this extends to a map $C_X \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ because $\text{seq}(0) \rightarrow \text{seq}(1)$ is an equivalence for $\mathcal{S} \in \text{Coef}$. The map even extends to a functor $\mathcal{C}_X \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$. By taking the geometric realization and the cdh-sheafification, we have the upper horizontal functor of the following diagram (of dimension 1) in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Adm}'_{\text{cdh}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^\infty \text{S}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty))_{\text{cdh}} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \uparrow \sim \\ \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus}_{\tau_\infty}^\infty \text{S}(\mathcal{S})_{\text{cdh}}. \end{array}$$

The left vertical morphism is an equivalence by Lemma 1.17. The right vertical morphism is induced by (1.14.ii). Let us show that this is an equivalence as well. It suffices to show that the morphism $\text{Sus}\Gamma^n \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Sus}\Gamma^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$ is an equivalence. On this level, we have a retract $\Gamma^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty) \rightarrow \Gamma^n \mathcal{S}$. By constructions of 1.3, we have the morphism of functors $\Gamma p_* p^* \rightarrow p_* p^* \Gamma$, which induces a contraction morphism on $\Gamma^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$. Furthermore, this yields a diagram of Lemma 1.16 for $\mathcal{F} = \Gamma^n(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mu^\infty)$, $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma^n \mathcal{S}$. Thus, by the lemma, the claim follows.

By choosing quasi-inverses, the above diagram induces the edge $L: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ depicted as $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow (a \text{Sus}\Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \text{S})(\mathcal{S})$, where a is the cdh-sheafification functor. Finally, the construction 1.13 induces the diagram $L^\infty: \mathcal{C}_X \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}})$ depicted as $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow (a \text{Sus}\Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \text{S})^\infty(\mathcal{S})$. In the following, we denote $(a \text{Sus}\Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \text{S})^\infty$ by $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ the endo-functor of \mathcal{P}^{fil} .

Now, let us finish the proof. Since \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{LSys}_0} \text{LSys}$ are swapped bifibrations over $\text{LSys} \times \text{Coef}$, it suffices to show the equivalence for each fiber by [HTT, 2.4.7.6]. We fix $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \in \text{LSys}$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Coef}$, and show the morphism $r: \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H})$, induced by composing with $\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, is an equivalence. The map $\mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ determines an edge $e: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{X,0}$, and the adjunction of $L^\infty \circ e$ yields a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}$:

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}} & \xrightarrow{L^\infty(\mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}})} & \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}} \\ e \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{\Gamma} e \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{L^\infty(\mathcal{S})} & \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}. \end{array}$$

The morphism $\mathbf{\Gamma} e$ is in fact an equivalence. Indeed, it suffices to check the equivalence for each degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$ of the filtration. The edge $(a \text{Sus}\Gamma^\infty \text{S})^m(\mathcal{S}_{0, \text{cons}})_d \rightarrow (a \text{Sus}\Gamma^\infty \text{S})^m(\mathcal{S})_d$ is an equivalence for $m \geq d$ since the functor S commutes with other functors appearing in the morphism and $(\text{S}^m \mathcal{S})_d \simeq \mathcal{S}_0$. We fix a quasi-inverse of $\mathbf{\Gamma} e$. On the other hand, $L^\infty(\mathcal{H}): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}$ admits a retract. Indeed, the rewind morphism induces the retract diagram $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by Example 1.14. This induces the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Sus} \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus} \Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sus} \mathcal{H}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are equivalences by the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of \mathcal{H} and rows are retract diagrams. Since \mathcal{H} is a cdh-sheaf, this yields a retract diagram $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow a \text{Sus}\Gamma_{r_\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, and another application of Lemma 1.13 yields the retract diagram $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{L^\infty \mathcal{H}} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. We consider the following diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Map}(\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\text{rwo}} & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_0, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\text{rwo}} & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow r \\ \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(\mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_0, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\text{rwo}} & \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}), \end{array}$$

where $\text{Map} := \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}}$. The small squares are homotopy commutative diagrams. In fact, the non-marked squares are the obvious ones. Let us construct the homotopy commutative diagram \textcircled{a} . By [AF, 5.1.1], $\text{Fun}_{\Delta^1}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}_X) \simeq \mathcal{X}$, which implies that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H})} \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H})$ for any $\mathcal{S} \in \text{LSys}$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \text{Coef}$. Now, the functor $F: \mathcal{C}_X \xrightarrow{L^\infty} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \xrightarrow{\{1\}}$

\mathcal{P}^{fil} , which sends to $\Gamma\mathcal{S}$, induces the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}) \times \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(F(\mathcal{S}_0), F(\mathcal{S})) \times \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(F(\mathcal{S}), F(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(F(\mathcal{S}_0), F(\mathcal{H})), \end{array}$$

and the vertex $e \in \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S})$ induces the diagram \textcircled{a} (up to rotation). The homotopy commutative diagrams \textcircled{b} and \textcircled{c} are induced by composing with suitable edges of the diagram (\star) and the (fixed) quasi-inverse to Γe .

Now, this diagram extends to a diagram $\Delta^2 \times \Delta^3 \rightarrow \text{Spc}$, and the middle row induces the map $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H})$. We wish to show that this map is a quasi-inverse to r . For this, it suffices to show that the composition of the 1st row and the 3rd row are homotopic to the identity. We only need to show this for the 1st row, since the 3rd row is a particular case of the 1st row. Consider the canonical map $\Delta^1 \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$ (cf. [HTT, 1.2.2] for the notation Hom). Using this map, we consider the composition $\Delta^1 \times (\Delta^1 \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H})) \rightarrow \Delta^1 \times \mathcal{C}_X \xrightarrow{L^\infty} \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}$. By taking the adjoint, this induces the map

$$H: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_X}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \mathcal{P}^{\text{fil}}) \times_{\text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \partial\Delta^1, \mathcal{P})} \{L^\infty(\mathcal{S}), L^\infty(\mathcal{H})\}.$$

Unwinding the definition, the morphism α in the above diagram is homotopic to the H composed with the restriction to $\{1\} \times \Delta^1 \subset \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$. Since β is defined by composing with $L^\infty(\mathcal{S})$, the map H yields a homotopy between $\beta \circ \alpha$ and H composed with the restriction to $\Delta^{\{(0,0), (1,1)\}} \subset \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$. Thus, $\beta \circ \alpha$ is homotopic to the map $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}) \circ} \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma\mathcal{H})$. Since $\text{rw} \circ L^\infty(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \text{id}$, we get the claim, and the theorem is proven. \blacksquare

2. Construction of rewind morphism

Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian separated schemes, and assume we are given a cohomology theory with “suitable” six functor formalism. Then we have the “Borel-Moore homology functor” $\underline{H}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}: \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ for some commutative ring R , informally, sending $T \rightarrow S$ to $\underline{H}^{\text{BM}}(X_T/T)$ (see Introduction for the notation). When the six functor formalism satisfies some properties, it is not hard to check that this functor is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant and a Mod_R -valued cdh-sheaf. Furthermore, it admits a rewind structure, whose construction is the main theme of this section. In fact, we define a rewind structure in a more general setting for the later use.

Some ∞ -categorical constructions

We start with some general non-sense of ∞ -category theory.

2.1. Let $f: T \rightarrow S$ be a map of simplicial sets. We have the functor $f^*: (\text{Set}_\Delta)_{/S} \rightarrow (\text{Set}_\Delta)_{/T}$ sending $X \rightarrow S$ to the pullback $X \times_S T \rightarrow T$. As in [HTT, 4.1.2.7]⁽⁴⁾, f^* admits a right adjoint f_* as well as a left adjoint $f_!$. Here, $f_!$ is simply the functor viewing a simplicial set over T as that over S via f .

Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, and let $f, g: \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be the map evaluating at $\Delta^{\{0\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{1\}}$ respectively. We define the functor between categories by

$$\mathcal{S}_- := f_* g^*: (\text{Set}_\Delta)_{/\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow (\text{Set}_\Delta)_{/\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}.$$

⁽⁴⁾The description of $p_*(M)$ in [HTT, 4.1.2.7] does not seem to be correct.

Since both g^* and f_* admit left adjoint, the functor \mathcal{S}_- commutes with small limits. Let $p: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be a coCartesian fibration between ∞ -categories. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} & \longleftarrow & g^*\mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} & \xleftarrow[\Delta^{\{0\}}]{f} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) & \xrightarrow[\Delta^{\{1\}}]{g} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}. \end{array}$$

Since f is a Cartesian fibration by [HTT, 2.4.7.12], the map q is a coCartesian fibration by [HTT, 3.2.2.12]. The fiber of q over $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\{X\}, f_*g^*\mathcal{D}) \cong \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(g!f^*\{X\}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/}, \mathcal{D})$$

(see [HTT, 4.2.1] for the definition of the “alternative slice”).

Now, let \mathcal{D}^\sharp be the marked simplicial set with underlying simplicial set \mathcal{D} whose marked edges are p -coCartesian edges (cf. dual version of [HTT, 3.1.1.8]). We have the maps

$$\mathcal{D} \xleftarrow{\Delta^{\{0\}}} \text{Map}^b((\Delta^1)^\sharp, \mathcal{D}^\sharp) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\{1\}}} \mathcal{D}.$$

The first arrow is a categorical equivalence by [HTT, 3.1.2.3] since $\Delta^0 \rightarrow (\Delta^1)^\sharp$ is a (dual version of) marked anodyne. By [HTT, 3.1.2.3] again, $\text{Map}^b(\dots)$ is an ∞ -category. By choosing a quasi-inverse of the first map, we have the map $f^*\mathcal{D} \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{D}$, and induces the functor $e_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Informally, this functor sends $D \in \mathcal{D}$ to the functor $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{p(D)/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ sending $f: p(D) \rightarrow Y$ to D_Y such that $D \rightarrow D_Y$ is a coCartesian edge over f . Assume we are given a map $\alpha: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} which preserves coCartesian edges. Because α preserves coCartesian edges, we have the map $\text{Map}^b((\Delta^1)^\sharp, \mathcal{D}^\sharp) \rightarrow \text{Map}^b((\Delta^1)^\sharp, \mathcal{D}'^\sharp)$. Thus, we have the equivalence of functors $\mathcal{S}_\alpha \circ e_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq e_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ \alpha$.

On the other hand, we have the map $\iota: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ defined by the unique map $\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^0$. This induces the functor $\mathcal{S}_- := f_*g^* \rightarrow f_*\iota_*\iota^*g^* \cong \text{id}$. Thus, we have the functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Informally, this sends a functor $F: (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to the “global section” $F(X)$. Unwinding the definition, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \circ e_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

If we are given a functor $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} , we define

$$\underline{F}: \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_F} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Since $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}} \circ e_{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and by the functoriality of Γ_- , we have an equivalence $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \underline{F} \simeq F$. Furthermore, if we are given another functor $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$, we have $\mathcal{S}_G \circ \mathcal{S}_F = \mathcal{S}_{G \circ F}$, and thus $\mathcal{S}_G \circ \underline{F} = \underline{G \circ F}$.

Lemma. — *For a functor $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} (which may not preserve coCartesian edges), the induced functor \underline{F} preserves coCartesian edges.*

Proof. Let us describe coCartesian edges of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Let $e: X \rightarrow Y$ be an edge in \mathcal{C}^{op} , and view Δ^1 as a simplicial set over \mathcal{C}^{op} by e . By definition we have $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}) \cong \text{Fun}_{\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})}(f^*\Delta^1, g^*\mathcal{D})$, thus, an edge of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ corresponds to a map $A: f^*\Delta^1 \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{D}$ over $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$. The set of edges of $f^*\Delta^1$ can be identified with the set of diagrams $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & Y \\ \downarrow & D & \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W. \end{array}$$

Consider this diagram as an edge $(X \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow W)$ of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$. This edge is f -Cartesian if and only if the edge α is an equivalence by [HTT, 2.4.7.12]. By [HTT, 3.2.2.12], the edge of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ corresponding to A is a q -coCartesian edge if and only if for any diagram D above such that α is an equivalence the edge $(\text{proj} \circ A)(D)$, where $\text{proj}: g^*\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the projection, in \mathcal{D} over $Z \rightarrow W$ is p -coCartesian.

Now, let $e: X \rightarrow Y$ be an edge of \mathcal{C}^{op} , and let $E_e: E_X \rightarrow E_Y$ be a coCartesian edge of \mathcal{E} over e . Then the functor $f^*\Delta^1 \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{E}$ corresponding to $e_{\mathcal{E}}(E_e)$ sends D above to the diagram on the left below in \mathcal{E} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E_Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_Z & \xrightarrow{E_\alpha} & E_W, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(E_X) & \longrightarrow & F(E_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(E_Z) & \xrightarrow{F(E_\alpha)} & F(E_W). \end{array}$$

Here the \sim means that the edge is coCartesian. By [HTT, 2.4.1.7], E_α is a coCartesian edge as well. Now, assume that D is f -Cartesian, or equivalently, α is an equivalent edge. Then, E_α is equivalent by [HTT, 2.4.1.5]. Now, the functor $f^*\Delta^1 \rightarrow g^*\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to the edge $\underline{F}(E_e)$ sends an edge D of $f^*\Delta^1$ to the diagram on the right above viewed as an edge of $g^*\mathcal{D}$. Since E_α is an equivalence, $F(E_\alpha)$ is an equivalence, thus it is a coCartesian edge in \mathcal{D} over \mathcal{C}^{op} by [HTT, 2.4.1.5] once again and the characterization of q -coCartesian edges. This implies that the edge $\underline{F}(E_e)$ is a coCartesian edge as required. \blacksquare

Example. — Let \mathcal{E} be a presentable ∞ -category and take p to be the projection $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$. The fiber of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ over $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is nothing but $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}/X) \simeq \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/}, \mathcal{E})$. Assume we are given a morphism $h: Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{F}_Z \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}/Z)$ for $Z \in \{X, Y\}$. Let $h^*: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}/X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}/Y)$ be the “pullback functor”. The proof of the previous lemma implies that giving an edge $\mathcal{F}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is equivalent to giving a morphism $h^*\mathcal{F}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y$, and the edge is coCartesian if and only if the morphism is an equivalence.

2.2. We will also use a variant of the construction of the previous paragraph. Keeping the notations, we put $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* := f_*f^*\mathcal{D}$. This is also a coCartesian fibration over \mathcal{C}^{op} , and have the functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. We have the natural transform $f \Rightarrow g$ of functors. If p is at the same time a Cartesian fibration, this natural transform induces the functor $P_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ as in 1.4. This map does *not* preserve coCartesian edges in general. Assume we are given a functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} . We denote $P_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \underline{F}$ by \underline{F}^* . We have $\Gamma^* \circ \underline{F}^* \simeq F$. Finally, let $\pi: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^0$ be the unique map. For any ∞ -category \mathcal{D}_0 , we have $\mathcal{S}_{\pi^*\mathcal{D}_0} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\pi^*\mathcal{D}_0}^*$.

Example. — A vertex of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ over $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is nothing but $\text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/}, \mathcal{D}_X)$. Using this presentation, informally, $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ sends a functor $F: (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to a functor $F': (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$ such that $F'(X \rightarrow Y)$ is a p -Cartesian pullback of $F(X \rightarrow Y)$ over $X \rightarrow Y$. Assume we are given an edge $h: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C}^{op} . Given $F \in \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/}, \mathcal{D}_X)$, the coCartesian pushforward of $F \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*)_X$ by h is the composition $h^* \circ F \circ \iota_h$, where $\iota_h: (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{Y/} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{X/}$, and $h^*: \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_Y$ is the functor associated to the coCartesian fibration p . This can be shown similarly to Lemma 2.1.

2.3. Let π be the unique map $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^0$. Let $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be a presentable fibration (cf. [HTT, 5.5.3.2]) and \mathcal{A} be a presentable ∞ -category. Assume we are given a functor $t: \pi^*\mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} which preserves coCartesian edges. We also assume that for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the fiber t_c commutes with colimits separately in each variable. Since the functor \mathcal{S}_- commutes with products, we have the functor $t': \mathcal{S}_{\pi^*\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$. The fiber of t' over

$c \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is the functor

$$\text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{c/}, \mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{c/}, \mathcal{D}_c) \cong \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{c/}, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}_c) \xrightarrow{t_c} \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{c/}, \mathcal{D}_c).$$

This is nothing but the pointwise left-tensor functor $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}^c) \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c)$ (cf. A.4 or more precisely [HA, 2.1.3.4]). The functor t' preserves coCartesian edges since the left-tensor is pointwise, t is assumed to preserve coCartesian edges, and by the description of coCartesian edges in Example 2.2. Since the left-tensor functor $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c$ commutes with small colimits separately in each variable, so is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}^c) \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}^c)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c)$ are presentable, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}^c)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{C}^c)$ admit cotensor and morphism objects by [HA, 4.2.1.33]. Thus, by B.2, we have the morphism object functor and the cotensor functor

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \mathcal{A}}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\pi^* \mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star}.$$

For the later use, we further assume the following:

The ∞ -category \mathcal{A} is compactly generated and endowed with symmetric monoidal structure, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor t_c can be promoted to a left-tensor structure, and the fiber \mathcal{D}_c is compactly generated.

In other words, (*) of A.4 is satisfied fiberwise. Moreover, note that B.4 is also satisfied for t .

2.4. Let \mathcal{D} , π be as in the previous paragraph. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a Cartesian ∞ -site (cf. A.7). Assume we are given a functor $F: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star}$ over \mathcal{C}^{op} . For each $T \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, we have the induced functor between the fibers

$$\mathcal{E}_T^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \{T\}} (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star})_T \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T}).$$

We often denote this composition by F_T .

Lemma. — *Assume we are given a Grothendieck topology τ on \mathcal{C} and F satisfies the following conditions:*

- For each $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$, the \mathcal{D}_T -valued presheaf $F(E) \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star})_T \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ is contained in $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{C}_{/T}$ induced from (\mathcal{C}, τ) ;
- The induced functor $F_T: \mathcal{E}_T^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ is a $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ -valued sheaf on \mathcal{E}_T .

Then the induced functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^{\star}} \mathcal{D}$ belongs to $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E})$ (cf. A.8).

Proof. We denote the functor $\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, viewed as an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{E})$, by G . By Lemma A.8, it suffices to show that the composition $\mathcal{E}_T^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{D}$, which factors through \mathcal{D}_T , is a \mathcal{D}_T -valued sheaf on \mathcal{E}_T . This composition is homotopic to the composition $\mathcal{E}_T^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F_T} \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}_T$, where Γ is the global section functor. Since $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ is presentable and F_T is a $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ -valued sheaf, F_T extends to a limit preserving functor $\widetilde{F}_T: \text{Shv}(\mathcal{E}_T)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T})$ by [SAG, 1.3.1.7]. The composition is equivalent to the composition

$$\mathcal{E}_T^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{E}_T)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{F}_T} \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\mathcal{C}_{/T}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{D}_T.$$

Since Γ is limit preserving by Lemma A.3, $\Gamma \circ \widetilde{F}_T$ is limit preserving as well. Invoking [SAG, 1.3.1.7] again, we conclude. \blacksquare

Suslin-Voevodsky's cycle group

We introduce the relative cycle group $z(X/S)$ of Suslin-Voevodsky. By the assignment $z(X_{S'}/S')$ to $S' \in \text{Sch}/_S$, it becomes a cdh-sheaf. On the other hand, even though we have a functoriality with respect to proper pushforward of X , the sheaf theoretic behavior of $z(X/S)$ with respect to this pushforward is not so well. This causes difficulties in our construction of rewind structure. We remedy this by using the categorical preparations we have made so far. From now on, we fix a commutative ring R , and S denotes a separated noetherian scheme of finite Krull dimension.

2.5. Let \mathbf{P} be the class of morphisms in $\text{Sch}/_S$ which contains all the isomorphisms and which is stable under compositions and pullbacks. Let $\text{Ar}_S^{\mathbf{P}}$ be the subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_S)$ with the same objects and a morphism $(X \rightarrow T) \rightarrow (X' \rightarrow T')$ belongs to $\text{Ar}_S^{\mathbf{P}}$ if it can be written as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X' \times_{T'} T & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T & \xlongequal{\quad} & T & \longrightarrow & T' \end{array}$$

where α belongs to \mathbf{P} . The object $X \rightarrow T$ in $\text{Ar}_S^{\mathbf{P}}$ is also denoted by X/T .

Now, we abbreviate $\text{Ar}_S^{\text{prop}}$ by Ar_S or Ar , where prop is the class of proper morphisms. This category admits fiber products, and can be computed pointwise. The evaluation at $\{1\} \in \Delta^1$ induces the functor $\text{Ar}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$. This is a Cartesian fibration by [HTT, 6.1.1.1]. Let $\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{prop}}$ be the subcategory of $\text{Sch}/_S$ consisting of the same objects and a morphism $T \rightarrow T'$ over S is a morphism in $\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{prop}}$ if it is proper. The fiber of the Cartesian fibration $\text{Ar}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ over $T \rightarrow S$ is the category $\widehat{\text{Sch}}_{/T}^{\text{prop}}$. Thus, by taking the dual construction (cf. B.1), we have another Cartesian fibration $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ whose fiber over $T \rightarrow S$ is, now, $(\text{Sch}_{/T}^{\text{prop}})^{\text{op}}$.

2.6. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of noetherian schemes of finite type, and $n \geq 0$ is an integer. Suslin-Voevodsky defined an abelian group $\Gamma(S, z(X/S, n))$ in [SV, §3.3]. In this paper, we denote $\Gamma(S, z(X/S, n))$ simply by $z(X/S, n)$, and $z(X/S, n)$ does *not* mean the presheaf contrary to the notation of [SV].

For $(Y/T) \in \text{Ar}$, let $\iota_{Y/T}: \text{Sch}/_T \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ar}}$ be the functor sending $T' \rightarrow T$ to $Y_{T'}/T'$. In [A2, 5.1, 5.2], we introduced a topology on $\widehat{\text{Ar}}$ so that we have the following diagram of geometric morphisms of ∞ -topoi:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Shv}(\text{Sch}_{/T, \text{cdh}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{Y/T}^s} & \text{Shv}(\widehat{\text{Ar}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}_{/T}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{Y/T}} & \mathcal{P}(\widehat{\text{Ar}}). \end{array}$$

Here, the vertical morphisms are the “topological localizations”. We also showed that $(\iota_{Y/T}^s)^*$ coincides with the composition $\circ \iota_{Y/T}$. Now, by associating $z(Y/T, n)_R := z(Y/T, n) \otimes R$ to $Y \rightarrow T$, we have a functor $z^{\text{SV}}(n): \widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit}$. This is an abelian sheaf with respect to the topology on $\widehat{\text{Ar}}$. Indeed, we must show that the map $z^{\text{SV}}(n) \rightarrow Lz^{\text{SV}}(n)$ is an equivalence of abelian presheaves. Since equivalences can be checked pointwise, the observation above implies that we only need to show that $\iota_{Y/T}^* z^{\text{SV}}(n)$ is a cdh-sheaf on $\text{Sch}/_T$, which is exactly the content of [SV, 4.2.9]. Now, we define $z(n)$ as the sheafification of the Mod_R -valued presheaf $\widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{z^{\text{SV}}(n)} \text{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_R$. Arguing similarly to the above, the pullback $(\iota_{Y/T}^s)^* z(n)$ is equivalent to the (Mod_R -valued) sheafification of $\iota_{Y/T}^* z^{\text{SV}}(n)$ (see also [A2, 5.2]). If the integer n is obvious, we further simplify $z(n)$ by z .

2.7. Consider the Cartesian fibration $p: \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ evaluating at $\{1\} \in \Delta^1$. This functor commutes with fiber products. We consider the cdp-topology on the fiber $p^{-1}(T) \simeq \text{Sch}/_T$. Then p becomes a Cartesian site.

Now, assume we are in the situation of 2.3 and assume $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mod}_R$, $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}/_S$. We may apply the construction of 2.3 to get a cotensor map $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_R}^* \times_{\text{Sch}/_S}^{\text{op}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$, and we may apply the construction of B.4. In particular, assume we are given a coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$, functors $F: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_R}^* \cong \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_R}$, $G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$, and a section $m: \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$. Then we have two functors $M_G(m): \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_R}^*$ and ${}^F m: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$. Note that by Lemma 2.1, $\underline{z}(n): \widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_R}$ preserves coCartesian edges for any n . We denote $\underline{z}(n)$ by $\underline{z}(n)$ or \underline{z} . The proof of the following proposition will be given in 2.9 after some preparations.

Proposition. — *Assume we are given a functor $\mathcal{F}: \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ over $\text{Sch}/_S$. Recall the notation $\underline{\mathcal{F}}^* := P_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{F}: \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$. We assume further that for any $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$, the fiber $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*$ considered as an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(\text{Sch}/_T)$ is a cdh-sheaf. Then the functor*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ \underline{z} \underline{\mathcal{F}}^*: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

considered as an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}/_S}(\text{Ar})$, is in fact a \mathcal{D} -valued sheaf with respect to the Cartesian site $\text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$.

Remark. — By 2.1, $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T$, considered in $\text{Fun}_{\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}}(\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$, is equivalent to the composition $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}$. Unwinding the definition, $(P_{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\mathcal{F}})_T$ is the p -right Kan extension of $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T: \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along the inclusion $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}} \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^1$ where the latter ∞ -category is considered over $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}}$ via the functor sending $T' \in \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}}$ to $T \rightarrow T'$ in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{op}})$. Thus, if \mathcal{F} preserves coCartesian edges and if we are in the situation where $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ is a coCartesian fibration that comes from a 6 functor formalism as in 2.10, $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*$ is a cdh-sheaf by Lemma C.7, and we may apply the proposition.

2.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a (Grothendieck) site, and \mathcal{F} be a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is a presentable ∞ -category. Recall that the functor \mathcal{F} is said to be a (\mathcal{D} -valued) *cosheaf*⁽⁵⁾ if for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and any covering sieve $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow C$, the induced morphism $\varinjlim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(C)$ is an equivalence. The functor $z(n): \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ is hardly a cosheaf with respect to the cdp-topology on $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}}$. For example, assume that $X \rightarrow T$ is a flat morphism of dimension d between integral schemes, and take a cdp-morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ such that X' is integral and $X' \rightarrow T$ is *not* equidimensional. Then we have $z(X/T, d) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot [X]$, but $z(X'/T, d) = 0$. The issue is that $z(X/T)$ behaves well only cdh-locally with respect to T . In the example, $z(X'/T)$ does possess sections cdh-locally on T but not globally. This issue can be remedied by considering \underline{z} instead of z . More precisely, the advantage of considering \underline{z} over z can be seen from the following lemma:

Lemma. — *The fiber $\underline{z}_T: \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\text{Sch}/_T)$ of $\underline{z} := \underline{z}(n)$ over T factors through the cdh ∞ -topos $\text{Shv}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\text{Sch}/_{T, \text{cdh}})$, and the induced functor $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}} \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\text{Sch}/_{T, \text{cdh}})$ is in fact a $\text{Shv}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\text{Sch}/_{T, \text{cdh}})$ -valued cosheaf with respect to the cdp-topology on $\text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}}$.*

Proof. For $X \rightarrow T \in \text{Sch}/_T^{\text{prop}}$, recall that $\iota_{X/T}: \text{Sch}/_T \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ar}}$ is the functor sending $T' \rightarrow T$ to $X \times_T T' \rightarrow T'$. Unwinding the definition, $\underline{z}_T(X \rightarrow T)$, viewed as a functor, is equivalent to the

⁽⁵⁾For a more general ∞ -topos \mathcal{J} , the ∞ -category of cosheaf is defined to be $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{J})^{\text{op}}$. By [SAG, 1.3.1.7], this definition is compatible with ours.

composition

$$(\mathrm{Sch}/T)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\iota_{X/T}} \widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{Mod}_R.$$

By 2.6, this composition is a Mod_R -valued cdh-sheaf.

Now, we show that \underline{z}_T is an ∞ -sheaf-valued cdp-cosheaf. We abbreviate $\underline{z}_T(X \rightarrow T)$ by $\underline{z}(X)$. By [SAG, A.3.3.1], it suffices to show that, for a cdp-covering $Y \rightarrow X$, $\varinjlim_{\Delta} \underline{z}(Y_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \underline{z}(X)$ is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$, where $T_{\mathrm{cdh}} = \mathrm{Sch}/T_{\mathrm{cdh}}$. The ∞ -topos $\mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$ has enough points by [SAG, A.4.0.5]. By [SAG, 2.1.0.3] we may check the equivalence as underlying spectrally-valued sheaves, it suffices to show the equivalence around any point of $\mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$. Since $\mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$ is 1-localic by [HTT, 6.4.5.6], the set of points of $\mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$ is isomorphic to that of T_{cdh} . By [GK, Thm 2.6], the points have the following description: Let $v: V \rightarrow T$ be a morphism from the spectrum of a henselian valuation ring to T . Then we have the geometric morphism $p_v: \mathrm{Spc} \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$ such that $p_v^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \varinjlim_{V \rightarrow U} \mathcal{F}(U)$, where the colimit is taken over the category of morphisms of T -schemes $V \rightarrow U$ where $U \in \mathrm{Sch}/T$. Since p_v^* admits a right adjoint $(p_v)_*$, it commutes with arbitrary colimits. Thus, in order to show the equivalence, it suffices to show the equivalence for $\varinjlim_{V \rightarrow U} p_v^* \underline{z}(Y_{\bullet}) \rightarrow p_v^* \underline{z}(X)$. Recall that $\underline{z}(W)$ is the Mod_R -valued sheafification of the $\mathrm{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit}$ -valued presheaf sending $T' \rightarrow T$ to $z(W \times_T T'/T', n)_R$ by construction of 2.6. Considering the geometric morphisms $\mathrm{Spc} \xrightarrow{p_v} \mathrm{Shv}(T_{\mathrm{cdh}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sch}/T)$, we get that $p_v^* \underline{z}(W) \simeq \varinjlim_{V \rightarrow U} z(W \times_T U/U, n)_R$, where $U \in \mathrm{Sch}/T$ and the colimit is taken in Mod_R . For a V -scheme Z of finite type, denote by $z(Z/V, n)$ the free abelian group generated by dimension n points of Z_{η} , where $\eta \in V$ is the generic point. Then we have $\varinjlim_{V \rightarrow U} z(W \times_T U/U, n)_R \cong z(W_V/V, n)_R$. Indeed, since $\varinjlim_{V \rightarrow U}$ is filtered, both sides are in $\mathrm{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit}$ by [HA, 7.1.1.13], and we only need to compute the colimit in the category of abelian groups. This follows by [SV, 4.2.11] together with [EGA IV, 11.2.6] (or Gruson-Raynaud's flattening theorem if one wants) and the fact that any irreducible V -scheme which covers η is flat.

Now, it remains to check that for a scheme X separated of finite type over V and a cdp-morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ over V , the morphism (in Mod_R) $\varinjlim_{\Delta} z(Y_{\bullet}/V, n)_R \rightarrow z(X/V, n)_R$, where $Y_m := Y \times_V \cdots \times_V Y$ ($m+1$ -times product), is an equivalence. For each point $x \in X_{\eta}$ of dimension n , we may take $y_x \in Y$ whose residue field does not extend by the definition of cdp-morphism. Let $y_x^{(m)} \in Y_m$ be the image of the morphism $y_x \times_x y_x \times \cdots \times_x y_x \rightarrow Y_m$ (where the product is taken $m+1$ -times). This induces a morphism $z(X/V, n) \rightarrow z(Y_m/V, n)$ sending $\sum a_x[x]$ to $\sum a_x[y_x^{(m)}]$. Invoking [HTT, 6.1.3.16], we get the desired equivalence. \blacksquare

2.9. Proof of Proposition 2.7

For $T \in \mathrm{Sch}/S$ and an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$, $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Sch}/T)$, $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{Sch}/T_{\mathrm{cdh}})$ respectively. It suffices to check the conditions of Lemma 2.4 for $\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}}^*$ with respect to the cdh-topology on Sch/S . For $T \in \mathrm{Sch}/S$, let $\underline{z}_T: \mathrm{Sch}/T^{\mathrm{prop}} \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_T^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}}(T)$ be the fibers of \underline{z} and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}^*$ over T . By 2.3, Proposition B.8, and Lemma B.4, $(\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}}^*)_T$ is equivalent to the composition

$$(\star) \quad (\mathrm{Sch}/T^{\mathrm{prop}})^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\underline{z}_T \times \{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*\}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cot}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T),$$

where $\{\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*\}$ is the constant functor values at $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$. The ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$, $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ are compactly generated by Remark A.4 and Remark C.6. Thus, (*) of A.4 is satisfied if we take \mathcal{A} to be $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ and \mathcal{D} to be $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(T)$. Using Corollary A.4 for the geometric morphism $\mathrm{Shv}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$, if \mathcal{F} is in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ and \mathcal{G} is in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$ then $\underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathrm{Shv}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is equivalent to $\underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$. Thus, to show that $(\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}}^*)_T$ is in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$, it suffices to check that \underline{z}_T is in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*$ is in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$, namely cdh-sheaves. For \underline{z}_T , this has already been checked in 2.6, and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_T^*$ is a sheaf by assumption.

Finally, we need to show that $(\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}}^*)_T$ is a $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(T)$ -valued cdp-sheaf by Lemma A.8.2. Let $T' \rightarrow T$ be an object of $\mathrm{Sch}_{/T}^{\mathrm{prop}}$, and $R \rightarrow T'$ be a cdp-covering in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/T}^{\mathrm{prop}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_{U \in R} (\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}})_T(U) &\simeq \varprojlim_R \underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\underline{z}_T(U), \underline{\mathcal{F}}_T) \simeq \varprojlim_R \underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathrm{Shv}}(\underline{z}_T(U), \underline{\mathcal{F}}_T) \\ &\simeq \underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathrm{Shv}}(\varinjlim_R \underline{z}_T(U), \underline{\mathcal{F}}_T) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Cot}}_{\mathrm{Shv}}(\underline{z}_T(T'), \underline{\mathcal{F}}_T) \simeq (\underline{z}\underline{\mathcal{F}})_T(T'), \end{aligned}$$

where the 1st equivalence follows by (\star) , the 3rd equivalence follows by Lemma A.5, and the 4th one follows since \underline{z}_T is a $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(T)$ -valued cosheaf by invoking Lemma 2.8. \blacksquare

Application to cohomology theory

2.10. Now, we assume given an oriented cohomology theory with 6-functor formalism which admits a trace map such that each stable ∞ -category is compactly generated over a noetherian separated scheme S of finite Krull dimension. For a detailed explanation of the terminologies, we refer to §C. The main example we are having in mind is the ∞ -enhancement of Voevodsky's theory of motives (cf. Example C.1). Given such a theory we have the coCartesian fibration $p: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}$ whose fiber over T is the \mathcal{D}_T , and the functor associated to a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$ is the “normal pullback” $f^*: \mathcal{D}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$. This map is Cartesian as well, since f^* admits a right adjoint f_* . An important feature of the theory is that we may apply the constructions of B.4 (cf. C.1).

In C.2, we recalled the “compactly supported cohomology” functor $\mathcal{H}_c^*: \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Informally, this functor sends $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to $\mathcal{H}_c^*(X/Y) := f_! f^* R_Y$ in \mathcal{D}_Y , and \mathcal{H}_c^* preserves coCartesian edges. Consider the functor $\mathrm{id}: \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}}$ sending $T \rightarrow S$ to $\mathrm{id}_T: T \rightarrow T$. The composition $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_c^*} \mathcal{D}$ is denoted by R . We believe that there will not be any confusion with the ring R we fixed. We define the bivariant homology functor as $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}\langle n \rangle := M_{\mathcal{H}_c^*(n)[2n]}(R): \widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R$ using the notation of B.4, where (n) denotes the n -th Tate twist. The assumption that the theory admits a “trace map” means that we have the trace map $z(d) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}\langle d \rangle$ for any integer $d \geq 0$ in $\mathrm{Fun}(\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Mod}_R)$ (cf. C.3). By definition of the functor $M_-(\cdot)$, the trace map yields the extranatural transform $z(d) \times \mathcal{H}_c^*\langle d \rangle \rightarrow R$ (cf. B.3).

2.11. Now, we apply the construction at the beginning of this section to the coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and get a coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}$. From now on, π is the unique map $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^0$. Recall that we have the functors

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*\langle d \rangle: \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_c^*} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \underline{z}(d): \widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}}} \xrightarrow{z} \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \mathrm{Mod}_R}.$$

Informally, $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*\langle d \rangle(X/Y): \mathrm{Sch}_{/Y}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ sends $Y' \rightarrow Y$ to $\mathcal{H}_c^*(X_{Y'}/Y')\langle d \rangle$ in $\mathcal{D}_{Y'}$, and similarly, $\underline{z}(d)(X/Y): \mathrm{Sch}_{/Y}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R$ sends $Y' \rightarrow Y$ to $z(d)(X_{Y'} \rightarrow Y')$ using the notation of 2.6. Since \mathcal{D} is a Mod_R -linear category, we have the action functor $\mathrm{Mod}_R \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. This defines the functor

$$\underline{z}(d) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*\langle d \rangle: \widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \times_{\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

On the other hand, the section $R: \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces the section $\underline{R}: \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Put $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{Ar}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathrm{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{q} & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \\ e \downarrow & & e \downarrow & & e \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}_p} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_q} & \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E}} \\ & & & \swarrow & \searrow \mathcal{S}_{z \times \mathcal{H}_c^*} \\ & & & & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}, \end{array}$$

\mathcal{S}_R

where $\text{Tw}_c \mathcal{E}$ is introduced in B.3. Since the maps p, q preserve coCartesian edges, the squares are homotopy commutative by 2.1. By functoriality of S_- , the trace map $z(d) \times \mathcal{H}_c^*(d) \rightarrow R$ in 2.10 induces a natural transform of the lower triangle diagram. Thus, the diagram yields the *master trace map*

$$(2.11.i) \quad \underline{z}(d) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(d) \rightarrow \underline{R}$$

for any $d \geq 0$.

2.12. We summarize general constructions of cohomological functors. In order the readers not to be distracted by generalities of cohomology theory, the proofs are postponed till §§C.8,C.9.

1. Let $D \rightarrow S$ be a morphism, and $q_D: \text{Sch}/_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ be the functor sending $T \rightarrow S$ to $T \times_S D \rightarrow S$. Let $f: D' \rightarrow D$ be in $\text{Sch}/_S$. We have the functor $Q_f^*: q_D^* \mathcal{D} \rightarrow q_{D'}^* \mathcal{D}$ sending $\mathcal{F} \in (q_D^* \mathcal{D})_T \cong \mathcal{D}_{T \times_S D}$ to $f^* \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{T \times_S D'}$. We also have the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_D^* \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{q_D^* \mathcal{H}_c^*} & q_D^* \mathcal{D} \\ a_f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_f^* \\ q_{D'}^* \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{q_{D'}^* \mathcal{H}_c^*} & q_{D'}^* \mathcal{D}, \end{array}$$

where the functor a_f^* sends $(X \rightarrow T \times_S D) \in (q_D^* \text{Ar})_T \cong (\text{Ar}_S)_{T \times_S D}$ to the base change $X \times_D D' \rightarrow T \times_S D'$. Moreover, the functor Q_f^* admits a right adjoint Q_{f*} relative to $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ in the sense of [HA, 7.3.2.2].

2. Assume $f: D' \rightarrow D$ is *smooth* of relative dimension d . Then, Q_f^* admits a left adjoint $Q_{f!}(d): q_{D'}^* \mathcal{D} \rightarrow q_D^* \mathcal{D}$ relative to $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ and the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_{D'}^* \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{q_{D'}^* \mathcal{H}_c^*} & q_{D'}^* \mathcal{D} \\ a_{f!} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{f!} \\ q_D^* \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{q_D^* \mathcal{H}_c^*} & q_D^* \mathcal{D}, \end{array}$$

where the functor $a_{f!}$ sends $X \rightarrow T \times_S D'$ to the composition $X \rightarrow T \times_S D' \rightarrow T \times_S D$.

3. We have the natural transform $\mathcal{H}_c^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$ of functors $\text{Ar} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let $\iota: \text{Ar}_S^{\text{st}} \hookrightarrow \text{Ar}_S$ be the full subcategory spanned by proper morphisms $X \rightarrow T$. Then $\mathcal{H}_c^* \circ \iota \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^* \circ \iota$ is an equivalence.

2.13. Let $s, t: \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ be the functor such that $s(X \rightarrow T) = X$ and $t(X \rightarrow T) = T$. We have the functor $F: \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Ar}$ sending $(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ to $V \rightarrow T$ of Cartesian fibrations over $\text{Sch}/_S$. Each fiber of this functor commutes with pullbacks and is continuous. Thus, by Lemma A.2 and Lemma A.8 the composition with F induces the functor $(\circ F): \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Ar}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Mdf}_S)$, using the notations therein. We put $\mathcal{V} := s \circ F$ and $\mathcal{T} := t \circ F$ the functors $\text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$. If we are given a functor $r: \text{Sch}/_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ and a functor $G: \text{Mdf}_S \rightarrow r^* \text{Ar}$, we denote $(r^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{H}_c^* \circ G^{\text{op}}$ by $\mathcal{H}_c^*(G)$ and $(r^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{H}^* \circ G^{\text{op}}$ by $\mathcal{H}^*(G)$ which are functors $\text{Mdf}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (r^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{D}$. If G preserves Cartesian edges over $\text{Sch}/_S$, then we denote $(r^{\text{op}})^* \underline{z}(n) \circ \widehat{G}^{\text{op}}$ by $\underline{z}(G, n)$. In the following, for a functor F , we sometimes denote F^{op} simply by F if no confusion may arise.

2.14. Let $a: \square_S \rightarrow S$ be the projection. We denote q_{\square_S} by q , Q_{a^*} , Q_a^* , $Q_{a!}$ by Q_* , Q^* , $Q!$. We let $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}: \text{Mdf} \rightarrow \text{Ar}$ be the functor sending $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ to $V \rightarrow T$, and let $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square: \text{Mdf} \rightarrow q^* \text{Ar}$ be the functor sending $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ to $V \rightarrow T \times \square$. The convention above yields functors

$$\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}): \text{Mdf}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square): \text{Mdf}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow q^* \mathcal{D}.$$

Informally, $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square)$) is the functor sending $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ to $\mathcal{H}_c^*(V/T) \in \mathcal{D}_T$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_c^*(V/T \times \square) \in \mathcal{D}_{T \times \square}$). By 2.12.2, we have $Q! \circ \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \simeq \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$.

Now, we have the morphism $\underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \mathcal{S}_{Q!} \circ \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \langle 1 \rangle \simeq \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\bullet} \underline{R}$, where the first equivalence follows by the formula $\mathcal{S}_G \circ \underline{F} \simeq \underline{G} \circ \underline{F}$ in 2.1 and the second morphism is the master trace morphism (2.11.i). This induces the extranatural transform

$$\underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \rightarrow \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times (\mathcal{S}_{Q^*} \circ \mathcal{S}_{Q!} \circ \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \langle 1 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\bullet} \mathcal{S}_{Q^*} \circ \underline{R}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \underline{R}_{\mathcal{T} \times \square}.$$

Let x be a closed point of \square , and denote by $i_x: \text{Spec}(k(x)) \hookrightarrow \square$ the closed immersion. Let $\mathcal{V}_x/\mathcal{T} \otimes k(x): \text{Mdf} \rightarrow q_{i_x}^* \text{Ar}$ be the functor sending $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ to $V_x \rightarrow T \otimes k(x)$. By 2.12.1, we have an equivalence $Q_{i_x}^* \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \simeq \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{V}_x/\mathcal{T} \otimes k(x))$. The natural transform $\text{id} \rightarrow Q_{i_x^*} Q_{i_x}^*$ induces the homotopy commutative diagram in $\text{Fun}(\text{Mdf}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{q^* \mathcal{D}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \underline{R}_{\mathcal{T} \times \square} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times Q_{i_x^*} \underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}_x/\mathcal{T} \otimes k(x)) & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & Q_{i_x^*} \underline{R}_{\mathcal{T} \otimes k(x)}. \end{array}$$

By 2.12.3, we have the canonical morphism $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_c^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T} \times \square)$ which is an equivalence since the morphism $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ of an object of Mdf is proper. Now, we have the functor $\mathcal{S}_{Q_*}: \mathcal{S}_{q^* \mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}}$ is a presentable fibration, we may consider $P_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ from 2.2. Applying $P_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{S}_{Q_*}$, and taking into account the identity $\mathcal{S}_G \circ \underline{F} = \underline{G} \circ \underline{F}$ from 2.1, the master trace morphism finally induces the homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.14.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})^* & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{z}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{V}_x/\mathcal{T})^* & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes k(x)/\mathcal{T})^*. \end{array}$$

2.15. The assignment V_{\square} (cf. 1.3) to V defines a functor $\text{fl}: \text{Mdf} \rightarrow \text{Mdf}$. Caution that this is *not* a continuous morphism of topoi. We put $\mathcal{V} \circ \text{fl} := \mathcal{V}_{\square}$, and define

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) := \text{fib}(\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_{\square}/\mathcal{T}))$$

which is an object of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Mdf})$ using the notation of A.8. Now, for each closed point $x \in \square$, the assignment $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_V/\mathcal{J}_x)$, where \mathcal{J}_x is the ideal of sections supported on $V_x := V \times_{\square} \text{Spec}(k(x))$, to V defines a functor $\mathcal{V}^x: \text{Mdf} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_S$. Note that the underlying set of $\mathcal{V}^x(V)$ is $(V \setminus V_x)^-$, where the closure is taken in V . Note that $(\mathcal{V}_{\square})_x \cong (\mathcal{V}^x)_x$. For closed points x, y of \square , we define $\mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}_y^x/\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}_S}(\text{Mdf})$ so that they fit into the following morphism of cofiber sequences (see Convention):

$$(2.15.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}^x/\mathcal{T}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}_y^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_y^x/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathcal{H}^*((\mathcal{V}^x)_y/\mathcal{T}). \end{array}$$

The morphism $\mathcal{V}_{\square} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^x$ induces the morphism $\mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$. This induces the morphism $\bigoplus_{x \in |\square|} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$, where $|\square|$ is the set of closed points of \square .

2.16 Lemma. — 1. The morphisms $\mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$ and $\bigoplus_{x \in |\square|} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})$ are equivalences.

2. For $x \in |\square|$, fix a rational point $h_x \in (\square \setminus \{x\})(k)$. Then we have a map $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}}(\text{Mdf})$ depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*). \end{array}$$

This functor is defined canonically up to contractible space of choices.

Proof. Let us show the first claim. In order to show the morphism is an equivalence, it suffices to show that $r(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ is an equivalence for any $(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$ in Mdf , where r is one of the either morphisms in the statement. Let $i: V_{\square} \hookrightarrow V$ be the closed immersion and $j: U := V \setminus V_{\square} \hookrightarrow V$ be the complement. For $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}_V$, let $\mathcal{H}^*(V, \mathcal{G}) := \pi_* \mathcal{G}$, where $\pi: V \rightarrow T$ is the structural morphism. We have the localization sequence in \mathcal{D}_V

$$j_! R_U \rightarrow R_V \rightarrow i_* R_{V_{\square}},$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}^*(V, j_! R_U) \simeq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$. Now, by definition, $U = \coprod_{x \in |\square|} U_x$. This implies that $j_! R_U \simeq \bigoplus j_{x!} R_{U_x}$, where $j_x: U_x \hookrightarrow V$, and $\mathcal{H}^*(V, j_! R_U) \simeq \bigoplus \mathcal{H}^*(V, j_{x!} R_{U_x})$. Again by the localization sequence, we have $\mathcal{H}^*(V, j_{x!} R_{U_x}) \simeq \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V})(V \rightarrow T \times \square)$, and the second equivalence follows. The first equivalence follows since both are equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^*(V, j_{x!} R_{U_x}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^*(V_x, j_{x!} R_{U_x})$.

Let us show the second claim. For any $y \in \square(k)$, (2.14.i) induces the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & \Gamma^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{F}_y^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_y/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Gamma^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes k(y)/\mathcal{T})^*). \end{array}$$

Since the cohomology theory is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic and $k(y) = k$, the right vertical morphism γ is an equivalence. Now, take $y = h_x$. Then the morphism $\mathcal{V}_y \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}^x)_y$ is an isomorphism, which implies that the edge \star of the diagram (2.15.i) is an equivalence. This yields a homotopy $\mathcal{F}_y^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \simeq 0$ in essentially unique way. By choosing a quasi-inverse to γ , which is determined essentially uniquely as well, we get the desired diagram. \blacksquare

2.17. From now on, we put $h_x = \infty$ if $x \neq \infty$, and $h_{\infty} = 0$. This choice fixes a homotopy between the trace map $\mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*)$ and 0 for any $x \in |\square|$ by Lemma 2.16.2. This choice yields a trace map by Lemma 2.16.1

$$\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_{\square}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T})} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*).$$

Now, consider the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{F}^x(\mathcal{V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*) \\ & \searrow \star & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{F}_x^x(\mathcal{V}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_x/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^*). \end{array}$$

Since the morphism \star is an equivalence by Lemma 2.16.1 and the composition of the first row is homotopic to 0, the composition of the second row is also homotopic to 0. This homotopy is determined essentially canonically. This induces a morphism $\mathcal{H}^*((\mathcal{V}^x)_x/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^*)$ which fits into the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.17.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}^*((\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}})_x/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^* \circ (\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^*). \end{array}$$

2.18. Recall the notations from 1.4. By the construction of 1.4, we have the morphism $T^*: b^*\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \alpha^*\mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over Mdf^{op} which preserves coCartesian edges. The functor T^* admits a right adjoint denoted by T_* relative to Mdf^{op} by [HA, 7.3.2.6]. We denote the functor $\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mdf}_S^{\text{op}}$ sending T to $T \times \square \rightarrow T \times \square$ by b° . Recalling A.8, we consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\partial}: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}}(\text{Sch}/S) &\xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^*\mathcal{D}/\text{Mdf}}(\text{Mdf}) \xrightarrow{T_* \circ} \mathcal{P}_{b^*\mathcal{D}/\text{Mdf}}(\text{Mdf}) \\ &\xrightarrow{L} \text{Shv}_{b^*\mathcal{D}/\text{Mdf}}(\text{Mdf}) \xrightarrow{(b^\circ)^*} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\text{Sch}}(\text{Sch}/S). \end{aligned}$$

Here, α^* , $(b^\circ)^*$ are base change functor by α and b° . On the other hand, for $x \in \square(k)$, let $i_x^{-1}: \text{Sch}_{/S \times \square} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$ to be the functor sending T to $T \times_{\square} \text{Spec}(k(x))$. By replacing α^* by α_x^* , where $\alpha_x: \text{Mdf} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$ is the functor sending V to $(V_{\mathbb{A}})_x$, in the definition of $\tilde{\partial}$ above, we define $\tilde{\partial}_x$. We have the restriction morphism of functors $\tilde{\partial} \rightarrow \tilde{\partial}_x$. Note that if we replace \mathcal{D} by $\text{Mod}_R \times \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$, the functors coincide with ∂ , ∂_x . We abbreviate $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ by Γ . We will construct the following big homotopy commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\partial} \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & (b^\circ)^* L(\Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^*) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^* \circ b^\circ \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow & & \textcircled{1} \downarrow & & \textcircled{2} \downarrow & \textcircled{3} \\ \tilde{\partial}_x \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & (b^\circ)^* L(\Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^*) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^* \circ b^\circ \longrightarrow \\ & & \textcircled{3} \downarrow & & \textcircled{4} \downarrow & \textcircled{5} \downarrow \\ & & \simeq \Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^* & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \circ \underline{R} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})^* & \simeq \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & & \simeq \Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^* & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \circ \underline{R} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T})^* & \simeq \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \{x\}/\mathcal{T}). \end{array}$$

For $\textcircled{1}$, apply the sheafification functor L to the diagram (2.17.i) and take $(b^\circ)^*$. For $\textcircled{2}$, it suffices to show that $\Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T},1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^*$ is a \mathcal{D} -valued sheaf on Mdf_S for $Z \in \{\square, \{x\}\}$. Consider the diagram (using the functor F introduced in 2.13)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{b^\circ} & \widehat{\text{Mdf}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{F} & \widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\underline{z}^{(1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\dots)^*} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^* \\ & & \searrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & & & \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

By Corollary B.9.1, this object is equivalent to $\Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^* \circ F$. Since the composition with F preserves \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves by 2.13, it suffices to show that $\Gamma \circ \underline{z}^{(1)} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^*$ is a

\mathcal{D} -valued sheaf on Ar . Thus, invoking Proposition 2.7 (and Remark 2.7), we have the diagram ②. The commutativity of ③ is by Corollary B.9.1, again, applied to the diagram above. The element $[T_{\text{red}} \times \square] \in z^{\text{SV}}(T \times \square/T, 1)$ defines a global section of $\underline{z}(\mathcal{T} \times \square, 1)$ by [SV, 3.3.11]⁽⁶⁾, which induces the morphism $\underline{R} \rightarrow \underline{z}(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}, 1)$, and ④. Finally, let us construct ⑤. Since R is the unit object of Mod_R , we have the morphism $\underline{R} \otimes \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^*$ for $Z \in \{\square, \{x\}\}$. This induces the morphism $\underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^* \rightarrow \underline{R}\underline{\mathcal{H}}^*(\mathcal{T} \times Z/\mathcal{T})^*$. This is an equivalence since it is so pointwise. The homotopy commutative diagram ⑤ is induced thus by the equivalence $\Gamma \circ \underline{F}^* \simeq F$ in 2.2. Now, the above diagram induces the homotopy commutative outer big square unique up to contractible space of choices, which yields a diagram (1.7.i), replacing ∂ by $\tilde{\partial}$, for $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T})$.

For this to give a “rewind structure” on $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T})$, we must construct a homotopy between the composition

$$\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \tilde{\partial}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})$$

and the adjunction morphism. We have the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\partial}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & (b^\circ)^*L\Gamma(\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1)}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (b^\circ)^*\Gamma(\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{T}, 1)}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & (b^\circ)^*L\Gamma(\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}, 1)}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (b^\circ)^*\Gamma(\underline{z}^{(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}, 1)}\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})) \end{array}$$

Thus, it suffices to construct a homotopy between the following composition

$$\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{[T_{\text{red}} \times \square] \times \text{id}} z(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}, 1) \times \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})$$

and the identity in $\text{Fun}_{\text{Sch}/S}^{\text{op}}(\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$, where the extranatural map is the one induced by (2.14.i). Note that $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T} \times \square/\mathcal{T})$ is a p -left Kan extension of $\mathcal{H}^*(S \times \square/S): \{S\} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along the inclusion $\{S\} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$. Thus, by [HTT, 4.3.2.16], it suffices to construct the homotopy for the fiber over S . In this case, we have the homotopy by the normalization property of the trace map, namely (*) of C.3.

2.19 Theorem. — *Assume we are in the situation of 2.10. Furthermore, assume we are given a Cartesian fibration $a: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$, and a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which preserves coCartesian edges over $\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$. We define $H(F) := M_F(R): \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$, where $R := \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}): \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Then, we may endow $H(F)$ with a rewind structure in the sense of Definition 1.8.*

Proof. We first define morphisms $\partial M_F(R) \rightarrow M_F(\tilde{\partial}(R))$ and $\partial_x M_F(R) \rightarrow M_F(\tilde{\partial}_x(R))$. We use the notations from 1.4. By construction, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram of coCartesian fibrations over Mdf^{op}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} b^*\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{b^*F} & b^*\mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow T^* \\ \alpha^*\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*F} & \alpha^*\mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

Recall that the 4 functors appearing in this diagram preserve coCartesian edges over Mdf^{op} , and $\bar{\alpha}: \alpha^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the projection. We have morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\star) \quad M_F(R) \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ t &\simeq M_{\alpha^*F}(\alpha^*R) \circ t \simeq M_{\alpha^*F \circ t}(\alpha^*R) \simeq M_{T^* \circ b^*F}(\alpha^*R) \\ &\simeq M_{b^*F}(T^* \circ \alpha^*R) \rightarrow M_{b^*F}(L(T^* \circ \alpha^*R)) \end{aligned}$$

⁽⁶⁾Caution that, in fact, the statement of [SV] is incorrectly normalized as pointed out in Shane Kelly’s thesis, unless the base scheme is reduced. This is why we put T_{red} instead of T .

in $\text{Fun}(b^*\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \text{Mod}_R)$, or a Mod_R -valued presheaf on $(b^*\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}$, where the 1st equivalence follows by Proposition B.8, 2nd by Corollary B.9.1, 3rd by the commutative diagram above, and 4th by B.10. We claim that the last presheaf is a Mod_R -valued sheaf with respect to the total topology on the fibered site $(b^*\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}}$. By Lemma A.8.2, we only need to show that the restriction to the fiber $(b^*\tilde{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}} \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}}} \{c\} \simeq (\text{Mdf}_S)_{a(c)}$ for each $c \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}}$ is a Mod_R -valued sheaf. The situation for $M_{b^*F}(L(T_* \circ \alpha^*R))$ can be depicted as the left diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
b^*\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{b^*F} & b^*\mathcal{D} \\
& \searrow & \downarrow L(T_* \dots) \\
& & \text{Mdf}^{\text{op}}, \\
\{c\} \times \text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{C}_{a(c)} \times \text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F_{a(c)} \times \text{id}} \mathcal{D}_{a(c)} \times \text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}} \\
& \searrow & \downarrow L(T_* \dots) \\
& & \text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}}.
\end{array}$$

By Proposition B.8 and Corollary B.9.1, the restriction of this functor to $(\text{Mdf}_S)_{a(c)}$ is equivalent to $M_{\{F(c)\} \times \text{id}}(L(T_* \circ \dots))$ as in the right diagram above. Lemma A.8.2 implies that $L(T_* \dots)|_{\text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}}} : \text{Mdf}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{a(c)}$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{a(c)}$ -valued sheaf on $\text{Mdf}_{a(c)}$. By Corollary B.9.2, we get the claim since $\text{Mor} : \mathcal{D}_{a(c)}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}_{a(c)} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ commutes with limits for the second argument by definition. By taking L to (\star) , we get

$$L(M_F(R) \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ t) \rightarrow L M_{b^*F}(L(T_* \circ \alpha^*R)) \xleftarrow{\sim} M_{b^*F}(L(T_* \circ \alpha^*R)).$$

By taking the base change with respect to $b^\circ : \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mdf}^{\text{op}}$, we get the desired map $\partial M_F(R) \rightarrow M_F(\tilde{\partial}(R))$.

We similarly have a morphism $\partial_x M_F(R) \rightarrow M_F(\tilde{\partial}_x(R))$. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram, where adj denoted the adjunction map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\partial H(F) := \partial M_F(R) & \longrightarrow & M_F(\tilde{\partial}R) & \xrightarrow{2.18} & M_F(Q_*Q^*R) & \xleftarrow{\sim \text{adj}_Q} & M_F(R) & \xrightarrow{\sim \text{adj}_q} & q_*q^*H(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i_x^* & & \parallel & & \swarrow r_x \\
\partial_x H(F) := \partial_x M_F(R) & \longrightarrow & M_F(\tilde{\partial}_x R) & \xrightarrow{2.18} & M_F(R) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H(F) & &
\end{array}$$

Here, the homotopy commutativity of the leftmost square follows by construction. Thus, the rewind structure on $H(F)$ is defined by that on $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T})$ constructed in 2.18. \blacksquare

2.20 Definition. — Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a separated morphism of finite type. Consider the composition $\underline{\mathbb{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}} : \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{HBM}} \text{Mod}_R$, where the 1st functor sends T to $X_T \rightarrow T$ and the 2nd functor is the one in C.3. This functor is equipped with a rewind structure by the theorem, and in fact a reversible coefficient. Indeed, it is a cdh-sheaf by Lemma C.7, and \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant since the functor is an ∞ -enhancement of the Borel-Moore homology theory.

3. Microlocalization

In this section, we develop a general theory to “microlocalize” a map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}$ where \mathcal{S} is an abelian sheaf on $\text{Sch}_{/S}$ and $X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of schemes. This section is inspired strongly by the work of Beilinson in [B1]. We fix a base scheme S , which is noetherian separated and of finite Krull dimension.

3.1. For a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ over a scheme X , we denote by $\mathcal{O}(E)$ the abelian presheaf on $\text{Sch}_{/X}$ which associates $\text{Hom}_Y(Y, E \times_X Y)$ to $Y \in \text{Sch}_{/X}$. Thus, if we are given $f \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}(E))$, we have the associated morphism $Y \rightarrow E_Y := E \times_X Y$.

Definition. — Let X be an S -scheme separated of finite type. We say that (E, ν, d_ν) is a *base data of microlocalization over X* if

1. E is a vector bundle (of rank r) over X ;
2. ν is an \mathcal{O}_S -module on $\text{Sch}/_X$ equipped with homomorphism $d_\nu: \nu \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E)$ of \mathcal{O}_S -modules.

For $A \in \text{Sch}/_S$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \Gamma(A, \nu)$, we also denote by $d_\nu(\mathbf{f}): A \rightarrow E$ the morphism associated to $d_\nu(\mathbf{f}) \in \Gamma(A, \mathcal{O}(E))$. We often denote d_ν simply by d . A morphism $(E, \nu, d_\nu) \rightarrow (E', \nu', d_{\nu'})$ of base data consists of morphisms $f: E \rightarrow E'$ and $g: \nu \rightarrow \nu'$ such that $d_{\nu'} \circ g = f \circ d_\nu$.

Note that since d_ν is a homomorphism, for $A \in \text{Sch}/_X$ and $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}' \in \Gamma(A, \nu)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{d(\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{f}')} & E \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \uparrow + \\ A \times_X A & \xrightarrow{d\mathbf{f} \times d\mathbf{f}'} & E \times_X E. \end{array}$$

Example. — For a smooth scheme X over S , $(T^*(X/S), \mathcal{O}_{X/S}, d_{X/S})$, where $d_{X/S}: \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T^*(X/S)) \cong \Omega_{X/S}^1$ is the differential, is a base data of microlocalization over X relative to S .

- 3.2 Definition.** —
1. Let Sect be the category whose objects consist of triples (U, A, \mathbf{f}) where $U \subset X$ is an open subscheme, A is a finite dimensional affine space on S (i.e. there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $A \cong \mathbb{A}_S^n$), and a section $\mathbf{f} \in \Gamma(U \times_S A, \nu)$. A morphism $(U, A, \mathbf{f}) \rightarrow (U', A', \mathbf{f}')$ is an inclusion $U \subset U'$ and an affine morphism $A \rightarrow A'$ such that the restriction morphism $\Gamma(U' \times_S A', \nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(U \times_S A, \nu)$ sends \mathbf{f}' to \mathbf{f} .
 2. For a scheme T , let Sm_T be the full subcategory of $\text{Sch}/_T$ spanned by smooth morphisms $Y \rightarrow T$. Let $C \subset E$ be a closed subscheme. We have the functor $D_C: \text{Sect} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \text{Sm}_X)$, often denoted simply by D , sending (U, A, \mathbf{f}) to the Cartesian diagram in Sm_X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\mathbf{f}} & \longrightarrow & E_U \setminus C_U \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ U \times_S A & \xrightarrow{d\mathbf{f}} & E_U, \end{array}$$

where $E_U := E \times_S U$, $C_U := C \times_S U$.

3.3. Before moving further, let us make a categorical digression. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, and let $\delta: \mathbf{\Delta} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ be the functor sending $[n] \in \mathbf{\Delta}$ to Δ^n . We define $\text{Sd}(\mathcal{C})$ to be $\mathbf{\Delta} \times_{\text{cat}_\infty} (\text{Cat}_\infty)_{/\mathcal{C}}$. If \mathcal{C} is a 1-category, $\text{Sd}(\mathcal{C})$ is (equivalent to) a 1-category as well. Assume we are given a diagram $p: K^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ of ∞ -categories for some simplicial set K . Then let $\text{Sd}(p) := (K^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{\Delta}) \times_{p \times \delta, \text{Fun}(\partial \Delta^1, \text{Cat}_\infty)} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Cat}_\infty)$. The map $\text{Sd}(p) \rightarrow K^{\text{op}}$ is a coCartesian fibration by [HTT, 2.4.7.10, 2.4.7.5] (see also footnote (3) in 1.10). Its dual Cartesian fibration is denoted by $\text{Sd}(p) \rightarrow K$. If p is the straightening of a Cartesian fibration $Y \rightarrow K$, we denote $\text{Sd}(p)$ by $\text{Sd}(Y/K)$. Note that for a vertex $s \in K$, we have $\text{Sd}(Y/K)_s \simeq \text{Sd}(Y_s)$.

Remark. — Unwinding the definition, the fiber over $s \in K$ is the ∞ -category whose objects are functors $\Delta^m \rightarrow Y_s$ for some m , and edges are *homotopy commutative* diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_s \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \Delta^m & \xrightarrow{g} & Y_s \end{array}$$

in Cat_∞ . If this were a commutative diagram of simplicial sets, $\text{Sd}(Y_s)$ would be the category of simplices of Y_s . This observation implies that if Y_s is a 1-category such that any isomorphism is the identity, then $\text{Sd}(Y_s)$ is the category of simplicies. In particular, the simplicial set $\text{Sd}(Y_s)$ is homotopic to Y_s by [HTT, 4.2.3.14, 4.1.1.3]. In fact, this homotopy equivalence holds for any ∞ -category Y_s , but we do not need this in this paper.

3.4 Definition. — 1. We define a simplicial set Frm as follows. The set of 0-vertices Frm_0 is equal to $(U, \mathbb{A}_S^r, \mathbf{f}) \in \text{Sect}$ such that the induced morphism $\text{df}: U \times_S \mathbb{A}_S^r \rightarrow E_U := E \times_X U$ is an *isomorphism of vector bundles* over U . In other words, we can write $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f_i x_i$, where $f_i \in \Gamma(U, \nu)$ and x_i is the coordinate of \mathbb{A}^r , such that $\{d_\nu(f_i)\}$ is a basis of $E \times_X U$. An element of Frm_0 is called a *frame over U* .

Let X_{zar} be the category of (Zariski) open sets of X . We have the map $\text{Frm}_0 \rightarrow \text{N}(X_{\text{zar}})_0$ sending $(U, \mathbb{A}^r, \mathbf{f})$ to U . We define a simplicial set Frm by $\text{N}(X_{\text{zar}}) \times_{\text{cosk}_0 \text{N}(X_{\text{zar}})_0} \text{cosk}_0 \text{Frm}_0$. In fact, Frm is (the nerve of) the category whose set of objects are equal to Frm_0 and the set of morphisms $\text{Hom}((U, \mathbb{A}_S^r, \mathbf{f}), (U', \mathbb{A}_S^r, \mathbf{f}'))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(U, U')$. By construction, we have the map $\text{Frm} \rightarrow X_{\text{zar}}$, which is in fact a right fibration.

2. The base data is said to *have enough frames* if the sieve $\{U \in X_{\text{zar}} \mid \text{Frm} \times_{X_{\text{zar}}} \{U\} \neq \emptyset\}$ is a Zariski covering sieve of X . The full subcategory of X_{zar} spanned by the above set is denoted by $X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}}$.

Now, let us construct a functor $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Sect}$ over X_{zar} (of 1-categories). First, fix $U \in X_{\text{zar}}$ and let us construct a functor $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U) \rightarrow \text{Sect}_U$. Giving a vertex of $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U)$ is equivalent to giving frames $\mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_U^r, \nu)$ over U for some $n \geq 0$. We denote this vertex by $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n)$. Let $p_i^n: \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r$ be the projection sending $(t_j)_{1 \leq j \leq r(n+1)}$ to $(t_j)_{ri < j \leq r(i+1)}$. We put $\mathbf{g} := \sum (p_i^n)^* \mathbf{f}_i \in \Gamma(U \times \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \nu)$. Using these, we have an object $(U, \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \mathbf{g})$ of Sect . This assignment $(U, \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \mathbf{g})$ to $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n)$ defines a map $F: \text{Ob}(\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U)) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\text{Sect}_U)$. Let us construct a map between Hom -sets to promote F to a functor between categories. Giving a morphism in $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U)$ is the same as giving a map $\phi: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ and $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m) \in \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U)_m$. Indeed, this data gives us a morphism $\alpha: (U, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(0)}, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(n)}) \rightarrow (U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m)$ in $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U)$. Let us write a point of $\mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}$ as $(\mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n)$, where $\mathbf{s}_i \in \mathbb{A}^r$. The map ϕ induces the morphism $z_\phi: \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r(m+1)}$ sending $(\mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n)$ to (\mathbf{t}_j) where $\mathbf{t}_j = \sum_{i \in \phi^{-1}(j)} \mathbf{s}_i$ if $j \in \text{Im}(\phi)$ and $\mathbf{t}_j = 0$ if $j \notin \text{Im}(\phi)$. We wish to define $F(\alpha)$ as

$$F(U, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(0)}, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{\phi(n)}) = (U, \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \sum (p_i^n)^* \mathbf{f}_{\phi(i)}) \\ \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, z_\phi)} (U, \mathbb{A}^{r(m+1)}, \sum (p_j^m)^* \mathbf{f}_j) = F(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m).$$

In order that the morphism (id, z_ϕ) indeed defines a morphism in Sect , we must check that $z_\phi^*(\sum (p_j^m)^* \mathbf{f}_j) = \sum (p_i^n)^* \mathbf{f}_{\phi(i)}$. Since $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m)$ belongs to Frm , recall that \mathbf{f}_i is linear with respect the coordinates of \mathbb{A}^r . This implies that

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (p_i^n)^* \mathbf{f}_{\phi(i)} = \sum_{j \in \text{Im}(\phi)} \sum_{i \in \phi^{-1}(j)} (p_i^n)^* \mathbf{f}_j = \sum_{j \in \text{Im}(\phi)} (p_j^m \circ z_\phi)^* \mathbf{f}_j = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} (p_j^m \circ z_\phi)^* \mathbf{f}_j.$$

This defines a functor $F_U: \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}_U) \rightarrow \text{Sect}_U$. If we are given a morphism $j: U' \rightarrow U$, we have $j^* \circ F_U = F_{U'} \circ j^*$, not only an equivalence, and defines a functor $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Sect}$ by unstraightening, or Grothendieck construction since we are in the situation of 1-categories.

Now, consider the composition $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Sect} \xrightarrow{D} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \text{Sm}_X)$. We may write

this diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}), \text{Sm}_X)$ as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}} & \longrightarrow & (E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}} & \xrightarrow{\text{df}} & E_{\mathcal{U}}, \end{array}$$

where the vertices correspond to those of the diagram of Definition 3.2.2. For example, the value of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}$ at $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n) \in \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})$ is $U_{\mathbf{g}}$, where $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n)$ in $\Gamma(U \times \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \nu)$. The following easy fact is a key to our construction:

Lemma. — *The evaluation of the map $\text{df}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{U}}$ at any object of $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})$ is an affine bundle.*

Proof. Let $(U, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n) \in \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})$, and put $\mathbf{g} := \sum p_i^* \mathbf{f}_n$ in $\Gamma(U \times \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)}, \nu)$. We must show that the morphism $\text{dg}: U \times \mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)} \rightarrow E_U$ is an affine bundle. Indeed, dg is equal to the composition

$$\mathbb{A}^{r(n+1)} \cong (\mathbb{A}^r)^{\times(n+1)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{(\text{df}_0, \dots, \text{df}_n)} E^{\times(n+1)} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} E.$$

The last morphism is an \mathbb{A}^{rn} -bundle, thus the claim follows. ■

3.5. Now, assume we are in the situation of 2.10. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be the structural morphism. We put $\omega_{X/S} := f^! R_S$ in \mathcal{D}_X . Recall from C.5 that we have the functor $\text{H}^*(-, \omega_{X/S}): \text{Sm}_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ informally sending $g: Y \rightarrow X$ to $\text{H}^*(Y, \omega_{X/S}) \simeq \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(R_Y, g^* \omega_{X/S})$. We finally consider the following composition

$$\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \text{Sm}_X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{H}^*(-, \omega_{X/S})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \text{Mod}_R).$$

This functor can be depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X, \omega_{X/S}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X, \omega_{X/S}) \\ \uparrow & \circledast & \uparrow \\ \text{H}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{U}}/X, \omega_{X/S}) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{(\text{df})^*} & \text{H}^*(E_{\mathcal{U}}/X, \omega_{X/S}). \end{array}$$

Here the equivalences of the horizontal edges follow by Lemma 3.4. We often omit $\omega_{X/S}$ from $\text{H}^*(-, \omega_{X/S})$ in the following.

Lemma. — *Consider the functor $\text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X): \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}} \cong \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$, and the left Kan extension functor*

$$p_!: \text{Fun}(\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}_R) \rightarrow \text{Fun}((X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}_R).$$

with respect to the functor $p: \text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}$. Then we have a canonical equivalence $p_! \text{H}^(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X)|_{(X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}}$ up to contractible space of choices. Furthermore, if the base data have enough frames, then we have $\varprojlim_{(X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}} p_! \text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X) \simeq \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X)$.*

Proof. We have the maps

$$p_! \text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X) \xleftarrow{\sim} p_! \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X)|_{(X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X)|_{(X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}}}.$$

It suffices to show that the second map is an equivalence. Since p is a coCartesian fibration, $p_!$ can be computed fiberwise. Thus, it suffices to show that the fiber of p over any object of $X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}}$ is weakly contractible by [HTT, 4.4.4.10]. For a fixed $U \in X_{\text{zar}}^{\text{fr}}$, the category $\text{Frm} \times_{X_{\text{zar}}} \{U\}$ is Kan contractible by definition. Since any isomorphism in $\text{Frm} \times_{X_{\text{zar}}} \{U\}$ is the identity, the category $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})_U$ is weakly homotopic to $\text{Frm} \times_{X_{\text{zar}}} \{U\}$ by Remark 3.3. The final claim follows by Zariski descent of $\text{H}^*(-, \omega_{X/S})$. ■

3.6. With the preparation so far, let us return to the theory of microlocalizations. Let (X, E, ν, d_ν) be a base data of microlocalization, and assume we are given a morphism $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of presheaves on $\text{Sch}/_S$. For $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{S})$, we wish to talk about the “support of \mathcal{F} on X ”. In order to do this, we need additional structure on \mathcal{S} .

Let $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_k)_{/(X \rightarrow S)}$ spanned by morphisms $U \rightarrow T$ such that the induced morphism $U \rightarrow X \times_S T$ is an open immersion. We have the functor $(X/S)_{\text{zar}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S$ sending $U \rightarrow T$ to T . This is a Cartesian fibration which admits finite limits in each fiber and for each edge of $\text{Sch}/_S$ the associated functor between the fibers commutes with finite limits.

Definition. — Let (X, E, ν, d_ν) be a base data of microlocalization.

1. Let \mathcal{S} be an abelian presheaf on $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$. A *support structure* (with respect to the base data) is a homomorphism $\mathcal{E}': \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{S}$, where ν is considered as a presheaf of sets to take \otimes as usual, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} & \partial \mathcal{S} \\ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow r_0 \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{r_0 \circ I} & \partial_0 \mathcal{S}. \end{array}$$

Here, Σ is the morphism induced by the unique map $\nu \rightarrow \{*\}$. We denote by \mathcal{E}'_x the composition $\mathcal{S} \otimes \nu \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} \partial \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{r_x} \partial_x \mathcal{S}$.

2. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}')$ be a presheaf with support structure with respect to the base data. Let C be a conic in E . An element $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})$ is said to be *microsupported on C* if for any $V \rightarrow T$ in $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$ such that $T \rightarrow S$ is *smooth* and any $f \in \Gamma(V, \nu)$, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}'_\infty(\mathcal{F}, f) \in \Gamma(V \rightarrow T, \partial_\infty \mathcal{S})$ to $V \setminus (d_\nu f)^{-1}(C) \rightarrow T$ is 0. We denote by $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C$, or more precisely $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{E}', C}$, the subgroup of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})$ consisting of elements which are microsupported on C .

Recall from C.4 that we have the functor ${}^{\text{open}}\mathcal{H}_C^*: \widehat{(X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which preserves coCartesian edges over $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$. Consider the functor $H({}^{\text{open}}\mathcal{H}_C^*): (X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ using the notation of Theorem 2.19, which coincides with ${}^{\text{open}}\text{H}^{\text{BM}}$ in C.4 if we forget the rewind structure. We denote $H({}^{\text{open}}\mathcal{H}_C^*)$ by \mathcal{H} , which we view as a Mod_R -valued presheaf on $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$.

3.7. Let (X, E, ν, d_ν) be a base data of microlocalization *with enough frames*. Let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}')$ be a support structure, and assume we are given a morphism $C: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of ∞ -presheaves on $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$. For a conic $C \subset E$, we wish to construct a homomorphism $C^\mu: \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \rightarrow H_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S})$ such that the following diagram is homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C & \xrightarrow{C^\mu} & H_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^* \circ C} & H^*(E, \omega_{X/S}), \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ is the structural morphism.

We have the the following diagram in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_R}((X/S)_{\text{zar}})$ where all the small triangles and

small squares are homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \partial \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\partial C} & \partial \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{rw} & q'_* q'^* \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\{\infty\}} \mathcal{H} \\
& \nearrow \varepsilon' & \downarrow r_0 & & \downarrow r_0 & & \downarrow \sim \{0\} \\
\mathcal{S} \otimes \nu & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'_0} & \partial_0 \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\partial_0 C} & \partial_0 \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{rw_0} & \mathcal{H} \\
& \searrow \Sigma & \uparrow r_0 \circ I & & \uparrow r_0 \circ I & & \parallel \\
& & \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{C} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{H}
\end{array}$$

Here, $q': \mathcal{P}((X/S)_{\text{zar}} \times_{\text{Sch}/S} \text{Sch}/_{S \times \square}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((X/S)_{\text{zar}})$ be the geometric morphism of topoi induced by taking the base change of $q: \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_{S \times \square}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S)$. By picking up the framed objects, this diagram determines the following diagram $D: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{Mod}_R}((X/S)_{\text{zar}})$ in an essentially unique way:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S} \otimes \nu & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'_\infty} & \partial_\infty \mathcal{S} \\
\text{C} \circ \Sigma \downarrow & D & \downarrow \text{rw}_\infty \circ \text{C} \\
\mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{H}
\end{array}$$

Now, let $\text{Sect} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, (X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}})$ be the functor sending (U, A, \mathbf{f}) to the following diagram (in $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \longleftarrow & U \times_S A & \longleftarrow & U_{\mathbf{f}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S & \longleftarrow & A & \xlongequal{=} & A
\end{array}$$

Composing with the functor D considered as a functor $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1, \text{Mod}_R)$, we get a diagram $\text{Sect} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1) \times \Delta^2, \text{Mod}_R)$. By considering the adjoint diagram $(\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1) \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Sect}, \text{Mod}_R)$, and picking up suitable vertices, we have the functor $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Sect}, \text{Mod}_R)$ which sends $(U, A, \mathbf{f}) \in \text{Sect}$ to the homotopy commutative diagram on the left side below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(X/S, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'_\infty(-, \mathbf{f})} \Gamma(U_{\mathbf{f}}/A, \partial_\infty \mathcal{S}) & \Gamma(U_{\mathbf{f}}/A, \partial_\infty \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_{\mathbf{f}}/A, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X, \omega_{X/S}) \\
\text{C} \downarrow & \text{C} \downarrow & \downarrow \text{rw}_\infty \circ \text{C} \\
\Gamma(U_A/A, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \Gamma(U_{\mathbf{f}}/A, \mathcal{H}), & \Gamma(X/S, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_A/A, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{H}^*(\mathbb{A}_U/X, \omega_{X/S}). \\
\text{C} \downarrow & \text{C} \downarrow & \downarrow \text{rw}_\infty \circ \text{C}
\end{array}$$

Note that for any object of $(U, A, \mathbf{f}) \in \text{Sect}$, the morphism $A \rightarrow S$ is smooth. Thus, by applying Lemma C.5, we get the diagram on the right above. Picking up the outer square diagram, we get a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Sect}, \text{Mod}_R)$. Combining this with C of 3.5, we get the functor

$$\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Sect} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda_2^2 \times \Delta^1, \text{Mod}_R).$$

This functor can be depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}, \partial \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{H}^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}/X, \omega_{X/S}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{H}^*((E \setminus C)_U/X, \omega_{X/S}) \\
\varepsilon'_\infty(-, \mathbf{f}) \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \text{H}^*(\mathbb{A}_U/X, \omega_{X/S}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{H}^*(E_U/X, \omega_{X/S}). \\
\text{C} \downarrow & & \downarrow
\end{array}$$

Now, consider the composition

$$\varphi_C: \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'_\infty(-, \mathbf{f})} \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}, \partial \mathcal{S})$$

in $\text{Fun}(\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}), \text{Mod}_R)$. For each vertex v of $\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}})$, the morphism $\varphi_C(v)$ in Mod_R is homotopic to 0 by definition. This implies that $\pi_0\varphi_C$ is 0. Moreover, since $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C$ is in $\text{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit}$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}, \partial\mathcal{S})$ is in $(\text{Mod}_R)_{\leq 0}$, φ_C is homotopic to the composition

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \xrightarrow{\pi_0\varphi_C} \tau_{\geq 0}\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}, \partial\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}, \partial\mathcal{S}).$$

Thus, φ_C is homotopic to 0 in $\text{Fun}(\text{Sd}(\text{Frm}/X_{\text{zar}}), \text{Mod}_R)$. This homotopy yields a homotopy between the composition $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^*((E \setminus C)_{\mathcal{U}}/X, \omega_{X/S})$ and 0. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5, this induces the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C & & \\ & \swarrow C^\mu \text{ (dashed)} & \downarrow \pi^* \circ C & \searrow 0 & \\ \mathbf{H}_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E \setminus C, \omega_{X/S}), \end{array}$$

where the triangle is a diagram $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$, and the row is a cofiber sequence. Thus, we obtain the desired morphism C^μ lifting π^*C .

3.8. Finally, we establish some functorialities of the microlocalized map. We consider 4 types of functorialities: the functoriality when we change the base data, when we change the support systems, when we change the base, and when we change the schemes.

Functoriality for the change of base data:

Assume we are given a morphism $m: (X, E', \nu', d_{\nu'}) \rightarrow (X, E, \nu, d_\nu)$ of base data with enough frames, and a presheaf with support structure $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}')$ with respect to (X, E, ν, d_ν) . Abusing the notation, we also denote by $m: E' \rightarrow E$ the associated morphism. We define $\mathcal{S} \otimes \nu' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by the composition

$$m^*\mathcal{E}': \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu' \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} \partial\mathcal{S}.$$

This defines a support structure. Let $C \subset E$ be a conic. By construction, we have the homomorphism $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{E}', C} \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{m^*\mathcal{E}', m^{-1}(C)}$. Also by construction, we have the following diagram $\Delta^2 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{E}', C} & \xrightarrow{\quad 0 \quad} & & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E \setminus C, \omega_{X/S}) \\ \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{m^*\mathcal{E}', m^{-1}(C)} & \xrightarrow{\quad 0 \quad} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \searrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E', \omega_{X/S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^*(E' \setminus m^{-1}(C), \omega_{X/S}) \end{array}$$

This diagram induces the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{\mathcal{E}', C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_{m^*\mathcal{E}', m^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{m^{-1}(C)}^*(E', \omega_{X/S}). \end{array}$$

Functoriality for the change of presheaves:

Let us fix base data (X, E, ν, d_ν) , and assume we are given a homomorphism of presheaves $\phi: \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1$ endowed with support structures $\mathcal{E}'_0, \mathcal{E}'_1$ such that the following diagram on the

left commutes. Similarly to the previous functoriality, we may construct the following homotopy commutative diagram on the right below. The detail is left to the reader.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes \nu \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_0} \partial \mathcal{S}_0 & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_0)_C \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) \\
\phi \otimes \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \nu \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_1} \partial \mathcal{S}_1 & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_1)_C \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S})
\end{array}$$

Functoriality for the change of base:

Assume we are given a *smooth* morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$. Since any smooth scheme over S' is smooth over S as well, we have the homomorphism $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \rightarrow \Gamma(X_{S'}, g^* \mathcal{S})_{C_{S'}}$ for any conic $C \subset E$, and we have the following homotopy commutative diagram, whose detailed construction is left to the reader:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_C^*(E, \omega_{X/S}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma(X_{S'}, g^* \mathcal{S})_{C_{S'}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{C_{S'}}^*(E_{S'}, \omega_{X_{S'}/S'}).
\end{array}$$

Functoriality for the change of schemes:

For the last functoriality, assume we are given a proper morphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ over S . We assume given base data (Y, E, ν, d_ν) . This defines a base data $(X, E \times_Y X, h^{-1}\nu, d_{h^{-1}\nu})$, and let $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}')$ be a presheaf with support structure with respect to this base data. Let $g: E \times_Y X \rightarrow E$ be the induced proper morphism. The morphism

$$h_* \mathcal{E}': h_* \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu \cong h_*(\mathcal{S} \otimes h^{-1}\nu) \rightarrow h_* \partial \mathcal{S} \cong \partial h_* \mathcal{S}$$

defines a presheaf with support structure $(h_* \mathcal{S}, h_* \mathcal{E}')$. For $V \rightarrow T$ in $(Y/S)_{\mathrm{zar}}$, by definition, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(V \times_Y X/T, \mathcal{S} \otimes h^{-1}\nu) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} & \Gamma(V \times_Y X/T, \partial \mathcal{S}) \\
\sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\
\Gamma(V/T, h_* \mathcal{S} \otimes \nu) & \xrightarrow{h_* \mathcal{E}'} & \Gamma(V/T, \partial h_* \mathcal{S}).
\end{array}$$

Let $C \subset E \times_Y X$ be a conic. This commutative diagram defines a homomorphism $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, h_* \mathcal{S})_{g(C)}$. Using this, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram, whose detailed construction is left to the reader

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})_C & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_C^*(E \times_Y X, \omega_{X/S}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma(Y, h_* \mathcal{S})_{g(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{g(C)}^*(E, \omega_{Y/S}).
\end{array}$$

4. Preliminary — Nearby cycles and flat étale systems

The rest of this paper is devoted mainly to construct a localization system. Our localization system is constructed from étale sheaves. In this section, all the schemes are over the field k of positive characteristic, and Λ be a commutative finite local ring in which $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 0$ is invertible.

For a scheme X , the derived (1-)category of étale Λ -modules is denoted by $D(X, \Lambda)$ or $D(X)$. We denote by $D_c(X)$ (resp. $D_{\text{ctf}}(X)$) be the full subcategory of $D(X)$ consisting of constructible (resp. constructible and finite Tor-dimensional) complexes. When X is quasi-compact, note that $D_{\text{ctf}}(X) = D_{\text{ctf}}^b(X)$.

4.1. A *geometric point* s of a scheme S is a morphism $p: s \rightarrow S$ where s is a spectrum of a separably closed field $k(s)$. We abbreviate the geometric point p by s if no confusion may arise. If we are given another geometric point $p': s' \rightarrow S$, a morphism of geometric points $p \rightarrow p'$ is nothing but an S -morphism $s \rightarrow s'$. The geometric point s is said to be *algebraic* if the extension of fields $k(s)/k(p(s))$ is algebraic. We denote by $S_{(s)}$, or more precisely $S_{(p)}$, the strict henselization of S at s . A *specialization map* ϕ of geometric points, denoted by $\phi: t \rightsquigarrow s$, is a morphism of S -schemes $t \rightarrow S_{(s)}$. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a morphism. We denote the geometric point $s \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} T$ of T by $f(s)$. A specialization map $t \rightsquigarrow s$ on S induces the specialization map $f(t) \rightsquigarrow f(s)$ on T .

4.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes. For a geometric point $s \rightarrow S$, we put $X_{(s)} := X \times_S S_{(s)}$. Assume we are given a specialization map $\phi: t \rightsquigarrow s$ of geometric points on S . Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_s & \xrightarrow{i} & X_{(s)} & \xleftarrow{j} & X_t \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ s & \longrightarrow & S_{(s)} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & t \end{array}$$

Then we define

$$\Psi_{f,\phi} := i^* \circ Rj_*: D^+(X_t) \rightarrow D^+(X_s).$$

We often denote $\Psi_{f,\phi}$ simply by Ψ_ϕ or $\Psi_{s \leftarrow t}$ if no confusion may arise.

Remark. — 1. The functor $\Psi_{f,\phi}$ induces a functor $D^b(X_t, \Lambda) \rightarrow D^b(X_s, \Lambda)$. Indeed, since S is quasi-compact, the dimension of the fibers of f is bounded by [EGA IV, 13.1.7]. If t is algebraic, then Ψ_ϕ preserves the boundedness by [O, Prop 3.1] (or its evident analogue for our Ψ_ϕ). Let $\alpha: s \rightarrow s'$ be a morphism of geometric points of S . To treat the general case, it suffices to show that for $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X_s)$, the pushforward $R\alpha_*\mathcal{F}$ is bounded. We may assume that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf. We have $\dim(X_s) \leq N$ since X_s is of finite type over s . For an open affine subscheme $U \subset X_{s'}$, $U \times_{X_{s'}} X_s$ is affine as well, and [SGA 4, Exp. XIV, Cor 3.2] implies that $H^i(U \times_{X_{s'}} X_s, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any $i > N$. Thus $R^i\alpha_*\mathcal{F} = 0$ for $i > N$. Furthermore, by the same argument as [O, Rem 8.3] using [SGA 4, Exp. XVII, 5.2.11], Ψ_ϕ preserves finite Tor-dimensional complexes as well.

2. Let x be a geometric point of X_s . This yields a point (ϕ, x) of the topos $X \overleftarrow{\times}_S S$. For $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X)$, we may consider the nearby cycle functor over general base $R\Psi_f(\mathcal{F}) \in D^+(X \overleftarrow{\times}_S S)$ (cf. [I, 1.2]). If t is a geometric generic point, then we have $R\Psi_f(\mathcal{F})_{(\phi,x)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{f,\phi}(\mathcal{F})_t$. In general, these are different since $R\Psi_f(\mathcal{F})_{(\phi,x)}$ is the cohomology of “Milnor tube” whereas $\Psi_{f,\phi}(\mathcal{F})_t$ is that of “Milnor fiber”. However, if (f, \mathcal{F}) is Ψ -good in the sense of Illusie [I, 1.5]⁽⁷⁾, these coincide for any geometric point t .

4.3 Definition. — A complex $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X_t)$ is said to be *f-good (at t)* if for any morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$, any specialization map $\phi': t' \rightsquigarrow s'$ on S' , and a morphism of geometric points

⁽⁷⁾The notion first appeared in [O] as far as the author knows, even though Orgogozo did not give a name.

$h: g(t') \rightarrow t$, the canonical morphism

$$\Psi_{f,g(\phi')}(h^*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Psi_{f',\phi'}(h^*\mathcal{F}),$$

where f' is the base change $f_{S'} = f \times_S S'$, is an isomorphism in $D^+(X_{S'})$. A complex $\mathcal{G} \in D^+(X)$ is said to be f -good if for any geometric point t of S , the pullback $\mathcal{G}_t \in D^+(X_t)$ is f -good.

Example. — For $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X)$, assume that (f, \mathcal{F}) is Ψ -good in the sense of Illusie. Then \mathcal{F} is f -good in the sense above. Thus, we have the following examples of f -good complexes:

1. If \mathcal{F} is universally locally acyclic over S , then \mathcal{F} is f -good by [I, 1.7 (b)].
2. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \Lambda)$, and assume that there exists a subscheme $Z \subset X$ such that the composition $Z \rightarrow S$ is quasi-finite and $\mathcal{F}|_{X \setminus Z}$ is universally locally acyclic relative to f' , where $f': X \setminus Z \rightarrow S$. Then \mathcal{F} is f -good by [I, 1.7 (c)].

In fact, Gabber showed that f -good complexes are Ψ -good in the sense of Illusie, but we do not use this result in this paper.

4.4. The following corollary of Orgogozo's theorem is fundamental to us:

Corollary (of Orgogozo's theorem). — *Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, u be a geometric point of S , $\phi: u \rightsquigarrow t$ be a specialization map on S , and \mathcal{F} be an object of $D_c^b(X_u)$.*

1. *Assume that u is algebraic. There exists a modification $g: S' \rightarrow S$ such that, for any geometric point u' of S' with $g(u') = u$, the complex \mathcal{F} considered as an object of $D^+(X_{u'})$ is $f_{S'}$ -good.*
2. *If \mathcal{F} is f -good and belongs to $D_c^+(X_u)$ (resp. to $D_{\text{ctf}}(X_u)$), the complex $\Psi_{f,\phi}(\mathcal{F})$ belongs to $D_c^+(X_t)$ (resp. to $D_{\text{ctf}}(X_t)$).*

Proof. Let us show the first claim. By [O, Lem 4.3], we may assume that u is a geometric generic point. Since \mathcal{F} is bounded, we may assume that \mathcal{F} is a Λ -module. Since u is algebraic and \mathcal{F} is bounded and constructible, there exists a finite surjective morphism $S_0 \rightarrow S$ and a constructible Λ -module \mathcal{G} on X_{S_0} whose pullback \mathcal{G}_u coincides with \mathcal{F} . There exists a modification $S'_0 \rightarrow S_0$ over which \mathcal{G} becomes good by Orgogozo's theorem [O, Thm 2.1]. By [O, Lem 3.2], we can take a following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & S'_0 \\ \text{fin surj} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{alt} \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\text{modif}} & S. \end{array}$$

Then S' is what we need. Indeed, any specialization map $u \rightsquigarrow s'$ on S' can be lifted to that on T since the morphism $T \rightarrow S'$ is finite surjective, and we have $\Psi_{f_T, s' \leftarrow u} \cong \Psi_{f_{S'}, s' \leftarrow u}$ since the morphism $T \rightarrow S'$ is finite.

Let us show the second claim. We may assume that u is a geometric generic point. Even if u is not algebraic, we can still take a proper surjection $S_0 \rightarrow S$ and \mathcal{G} as above. By [O, Thm 8.1], we can take a modification $T \rightarrow S_0$ such that \mathcal{G}_T is f_T -good and $\mathbf{R}\Psi_{f_T}(\mathcal{G}_T) \in D^b(X_T \overleftarrow{\times}_T T)$ is constructible. Since $T \rightarrow S$ is proper surjective, we can take a lifting of ϕ on T . Using this lifting, ϕ can be viewed as a point of the topos $T \overleftarrow{\times}_T T$, and yields a morphism $\sigma_\phi: X_t \rightarrow X_T \times_T (T \overleftarrow{\times}_T T) \cong X_T \overleftarrow{\times}_T T$, where the product is taken in the category of topoi. Since \mathcal{F} is assumed f -good, $\sigma_\phi^* \mathbf{R}\Psi_{f_T}(\mathcal{G}_T)$ is isomorphic to $\Psi_{f,\phi}(\mathcal{F})$, and the constructibility follows. The claim for the finite Tor-dimensionality follows by Remark 4.2.1. \blacksquare

4.5. A certain transitivity of nearby cycle functor is a key to Saito's construction of characteristic cycle, and this appears rather implicitly in [S1, Prop 2.8]. This is also the case for our construction, and we use the following properties of nearby cycle functor due to Gabber:

Theorem (Gabber). — *Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, η be a geometric point of S , and $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X_\eta)$. Let $\phi: \eta \rightsquigarrow \xi$, $\psi: \xi \rightsquigarrow s$ be specialization maps. If \mathcal{F} is f -good, the following hold.*

1. *The complex $\Psi_{f,\phi}(\mathcal{F})$ in $D^+(X_\xi)$ is f -good.*
2. *The canonical homomorphism $\Psi_{f,\psi \circ \phi}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\Psi_{f,\psi} \circ \Psi_{f,\phi})(\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.*

4.6. Let S be a scheme. Let $s \in S$ be a point. We often denote by \bar{s} an algebraic geometric point over s . Now, we put $\text{Cons}(S) := D_{\text{ctf}}(S, \Lambda)$. Let $\text{Cons}_d(S)$ be the full subcategory of $D_{\text{ctf}}(S, \Lambda)$ consisting of complexes \mathcal{F} such that $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^i \mathcal{F})$ is of dimension $\leq d$ for any i . Since the pullback is exact and by considering the localization sequence, the morphism $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_d(S) \rightarrow \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(S)$ is injective.

Now, let $u \rightsquigarrow s$ is a specialization map on S . Since $\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}$ is a functor $\text{Cons}(X_u) \rightarrow D^b(X_s)$ and may not factor through $\text{Cons}(X_s)$, it does not induce a homomorphism $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_u) \rightarrow \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_s)$. However, we have the following:

Lemma. — *Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, and let $u \rightsquigarrow s$ be a specialization map of geometric points of S . Let $\mathcal{F}_+, \mathcal{F}_-, \mathcal{G}_+, \mathcal{G}_-$ be good objects in $\text{Cons}(X_u)$ over S . Assume $[\mathcal{F}_+] - [\mathcal{F}_-] = [\mathcal{G}_+] - [\mathcal{G}_-]$ in $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_u)$. Then for any specialization map $u \rightsquigarrow s$, we have the following in $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_s)$*

$$[\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{F}_+)] - [\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{F}_-)] = [\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{G}_+)] - [\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{G}_-)].$$

Proof. By replacing S by the closure of the image of u in S , we may assume that u is a geometric generic point of S . By definition, there exist finitely many exact triangles $\{\mathcal{H}_0^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^{(k)}\}_{k \in K \sqcup K'}$ in $\text{Cons}(X_u)$ such that

$$[\mathcal{F}_+] - [\mathcal{G}_+] - [\mathcal{F}_-] + [\mathcal{G}_-] = \sum_{k \in K} ([\mathcal{H}_1^{(k)}] - [\mathcal{H}_0^{(k)}] - [\mathcal{H}_2^{(k)}]) - \sum_{k \in K'} ([\mathcal{H}_1^{(k)}] - [\mathcal{H}_0^{(k)}] - [\mathcal{H}_2^{(k)}])$$

in the free group generated by objects of $\text{Cons}(X_u)$. We can take a modification $g: S' \rightarrow S$ over which $\mathcal{H}_i^{(k)}$ are good for any $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $k \in K \sqcup K'$. Because $\mathcal{H}_i^{(k)}$ are good, $\Psi_{s' \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{H}_i^{(k)})$ are constructible for any specialization map $u \rightsquigarrow s'$ on S' . Thus, we can check the equality in $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_{s'})$ for the specialization map $u \rightsquigarrow s'$ easily. We may find a specialization $u \rightsquigarrow s'$ in S' such that s' sits over s and the extension $k(s') = k(s)$. Because \mathcal{F}_\pm is good, $\Psi_{s \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{F}_\pm) = \Psi_{s' \leftarrow u}(g^* \mathcal{F}_\pm)$ in $\text{Cons}(X_{s'}) = \text{Cons}(X_s)$, and similarly for \mathcal{G}_\pm . Thus, the claim follows. \blacksquare

Definition. — Let $h: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes, and let u be a geometric point of S over $s \in S$.

1. Let L/K be an extension of fields, and $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be a scheme. We say that $F \in \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_L)$ is *descendable to K* if for any K -automorphism $\sigma: \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$, we have $\sigma^* F = F$. The subgroup of $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_{(d)}(X_L)$ generated by descendable elements to K is denoted by $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_{(d)}^{\text{dec}}(X_L, K)$.
2. An element $F \in \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_u)$ is said to be *h -good* if there exists a presentation $F = \sum n_i [\mathcal{F}_i]$ such that \mathcal{F}_i is h -good for any i . The subgroup of h -good elements of $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_u)$ is denoted by $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}^{\text{gd}}(X_u, h)$.

Let F be a good element as in 2. The above lemma implies that if we are given a specialization $u \rightsquigarrow t$, the element $\sum n_i[\Psi_{t \leftarrow u}(\mathcal{F}_i)]$ does not depend on the choice of the presentations. We denote this by $\Psi_{t \leftarrow u}(F)$, which belongs to $\mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}^{\mathrm{gd}}(X_t, h)$. Namely, we have a homomorphism $\Psi_{t \leftarrow u}: \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}^{\mathrm{gd}}(X_u, h) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}^{\mathrm{gd}}(X_t, h)$.

Example. — Let \mathcal{F} be a descendable element in $\mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}(X_{\bar{\eta}})$, and \mathcal{L} be an object of $\mathrm{Cons}(X_{\eta})$. Then $[\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}}]$ in $\mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}(X_{\bar{\eta}})$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}}$ denotes the pullback to $X_{\bar{\eta}}$, is descendable, since $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is $\pi_1(\eta)$ -equivalent. This element is denoted by $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

4.7. Now, here is one of the main players of this paper:

Definition. — Let S^0 be the set of generic points of S , and fix algebraic geometric points $\{\bar{\eta}\}_{\eta \in S^0}$. A collection $F := \{F_{\eta}\}_{\eta \in S^0}$ in $\prod_{\eta \in S^0} \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}^{\mathrm{gd}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, h)$ is said to be a *flat étale system* if for any algebraic geometric point $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$, and specialization maps $\bar{\eta} \rightsquigarrow \bar{s}$, $\bar{\eta}' \rightsquigarrow \bar{s}$ such that $\eta, \eta' \in S^0$, we have an equality $\Psi_{\bar{s} \leftarrow \bar{\eta}}(F_{\eta}) = \Psi_{\bar{s} \leftarrow \bar{\eta}'}(F_{\eta'})$. The set of flat étale systems forms a subgroup, and this group is denoted by $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, \{\bar{\eta}\})$. We also define the subgroup of *flat étale system of dimension $\leq d$* by

$$\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}_d(X/S, \{\bar{\eta}\}) = \prod_{\eta \in S^0} \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}_d(X_{\bar{\eta}}) \cap \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, \{\bar{\eta}\}),$$

where the intersection is taken in $\prod_{\eta} \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}(X_{\bar{\eta}})$.

Lemma. — Assume we are given sets of algebraic geometric points $E = \{\bar{\eta}\}_{\eta \in S^0}$ and $E' = \{\bar{\eta}'\}_{\eta \in S^0}$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism $p_{E', E}: \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E')$ such that if we are given another set E'' , we have $\epsilon_{E'', E'} \circ \epsilon_{E', E} = \epsilon_{E'', E}$ and $\epsilon_{E, E} = \mathrm{id}$. Thus, $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E)$ does not depend on the choice of E , and we denote this simply by $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S)$, and similarly for $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}_d(X/S)$.

Proof. Let $F = \{F_{\eta}\}$ be an element of $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E)$. Assume we are given an S -automorphism $\bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$. This yields a specialization map $\bar{\eta} \rightsquigarrow \bar{\eta}$, and the compatibility condition implies that F_{η} is in fact descendable to η . A morphism $E' \rightarrow E$ is a collection of S -isomorphisms $\bar{\eta}' \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$. For a morphism $\phi = \{\phi_{\eta}\}: E' \rightarrow E$, we may consider the pullback $\phi^*: \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}(X/S, E')$. Assume we are given another morphism $\phi' = \{\phi'_{\eta}\}$. Then we can find $\sigma: \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}$ over η such that $\phi'_{\eta} = \sigma \circ \phi$. Since F is descendable, we have $\phi^*(F) = \phi'^*(F)$, and we are allowed to define $\epsilon_{E', E} := \phi^*$. It is easy to check the required properties of ϵ . \blacksquare

Assume we are given a specialization map $\eta \rightsquigarrow s$ on S . To ease the notation, from now on, we sometimes abbreviate $\Psi_{\bar{s} \leftarrow \bar{\eta}}$ by $\Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}$.

4.8 Lemma. — Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes.

1. Let $g: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism between noetherian scheme, and F be a flat étale system on X over S of dimension $\leq d$. For each $\eta' \in S'^0$, we can take a specialization map $\eta \rightsquigarrow g(\eta')$ where η is some generic point of S^0 , and we may consider $\{\Psi_{g(\eta') \leftarrow \eta}(F)\}_{\eta' \in S'^0} \in \prod_{\eta' \in S'^0} \mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{Cons}(X_{S', \bar{\eta}'}))$. Then this does not depend on the choice of the specialization map and it belongs to $\mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}_d(X_{S'}/S')$. This flat étale system is called the pullback of F by g , and denoted by $g^*(F)$. The pullback is transitive.
2. Assume that S is excellent. For each generic point $\eta \in S^0$, assume we are given $F_{\eta} \in \mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}_d^{\mathrm{dec}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \eta)$. Then there exists a modification $S' \rightarrow S$ and $\{G_{\xi}\}_{\xi \in S'^0} \in \mathrm{Fl\acute{E}t}_d(X_{S'}/S')$ such that $F_{\xi} = G_{\xi}$ in $\mathrm{K}_0\mathrm{Cons}(X_{\bar{\xi}})$ for any $\xi \in S'^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} S^0$.

Proof. Let us show the first claim. First, $\Psi_{g(\eta') \leftarrow \eta}(F)$ is good by Theorem 4.5. The compatibility condition can be checked by the definition of goodness, and the last transitivity follows by Theorem 4.5. It remains to show that it is of dimension $\leq d$. For a specialization map $\eta \rightsquigarrow g(\eta')$ as in the statement, we may find a discrete valuation ring R with residue field k and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v := \mathrm{Spec}(k) & \longrightarrow & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V := \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

such that v is sent to η' , and the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is sent to η (e.g. blowup S at the closure of $g(\eta')$, and take an extension of discrete valuation ring corresponding to the generic point of the exceptional divisor). Let η_V be the generic point of V . Since F is good, it is compatible with base change, and it suffices to show the claim for $S' = v$, $S = V$. Now, we may assume that $F = [\mathcal{F}]$ for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Cons}_d(X_{\bar{\eta}})$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\Psi_{v \leftarrow \eta_V}(\mathcal{F})) \subset \overline{(\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{F}))}_v$, and there exists a scheme structure on $\overline{\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{F})}$ which is flat over R by [EGA IV, 2.8.5], the claim follows.

Let us show the second claim. By considering the modification $\coprod_{\eta \in S^0} \bar{S}_\eta \rightarrow S$, we may assume S is irreducible. Write $F_\eta = \sum n_i [\mathcal{F}_i]$ where η is the generic point of S . By modifying S , we may assume that \mathcal{F}_i is good over S for any i by Corollary 4.4. Further modifying S , we may assume that S is normal since S is assumed to be excellent, in which case F_η is a flat étale system. Indeed, let $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ be a geometric point over a point $s \in S$, and assume we are given specialization maps $\phi, \phi' : \bar{\eta} \rightsquigarrow \bar{s}$. We wish to show that the nearby cycles along ϕ and ϕ' are the same. Since étale topos is insensitive to inseparable extensions, we may assume that $\bar{\eta}$ is a spectrum of an algebraically closed field. Now, giving ϕ, ϕ' is equivalent to giving morphisms $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}' : \bar{\eta} \rightarrow S_{(\bar{s})}$. Since S is geometrically unibranch, $S_{(\bar{s})}$ is irreducible. Let ξ_s be its generic point. Then $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}'$ induce $\psi, \psi' : \bar{\eta} \rightarrow \xi_s$. By uniqueness of algebraic closure, we may find σ rendering the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\eta} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & S_{(\bar{s})} \\ \downarrow \sigma & \begin{array}{c} \searrow \psi \\ \searrow \psi' \end{array} & \downarrow \\ \bar{\eta} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}'} & S_{(\bar{s})} \end{array}$$

Since F_η is descendable, this implies that $\Psi_\phi(F) = \Psi_{\phi' \circ \sigma}(F) = \Psi_{\phi'}(\sigma_* F) = \Psi_{\phi'}(F)$ (where $\sigma_* := (\sigma^*)^{-1}$), and F_η is a flat étale system as required. \blacksquare

Definition. — Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian schemes. By the lemma above, the assignment $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_d(X_T/T)$ to $T \in \mathrm{Sch}_S$ defines a presheaf on Sch_S . This presheaf is denoted by $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{d,X/S}$, and $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{X/S} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{d,X/S}$.

Remark. — Let $\mathrm{geom}(S)$ be the set of geometric points of S . Let $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{\mathrm{TS}}(X/S)$ be the subgroup of $\prod_{s \in \mathrm{geom}(S)} \mathrm{K}_0 \mathrm{Cons}^{\mathrm{gd}}(X_s, h)$ consisting of elements $\{F_s\}$ such that for any specialization map $t \rightsquigarrow s$, we have $\Psi_{s \leftarrow t}(F_t) = F_s$. In view of the lemmas above, the restriction homomorphism $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{\mathrm{TS}}(X/S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}(X/S)$ is an isomorphism. The description of $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{\mathrm{TS}}$ is closer to the spirit of the flat function in [S1, Def 2.1], and illustrates the terminology “flat étale system” more clearly. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}$ is closer to the spirit of the relative cycles in [SV], and has an advantage in its brevity for constructing elements, which is why we employed $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}$ rather than $\mathrm{Fl\acute{e}t}_{\mathrm{TS}}$.

4.9. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Since Λ is assumed to be a local ring, we have the unique homomorphism $\dim_\Lambda : \mathrm{K}_0 \mathrm{Cons}(\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{K})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$, called the *dimension homomorphism*

(or *rank homomorphism*), such that $[\Lambda]$ is sent to 1. We sometimes denote \dim_Λ by \dim . Let $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be a morphism of finite type. We define a homomorphism

$$d_Y : \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_0^{\text{des}}(Y_{\overline{K}}, K) \rightarrow Z_0(Y)[1/p]; \quad \mathcal{G} \mapsto \sum_{s \in |Y|} \frac{\dim_\Lambda(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{s}})}{[k(s) : K]_{\text{insep}}} \cdot [s],$$

where $[\cdot]_{\text{insep}}$ denotes the inseparable degree of the extension of fields and \overline{s} is any geometric point of $Y_{\overline{K}}$ lying above s . Since \mathcal{G} is descendable, $\dim_\Lambda(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{s}})$ does not depend on the choice of \overline{s} , and the homomorphism is well-defined. Recall conventions from 2.6.

Lemma. — *Let $h: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian k -schemes, and $p := \text{char}(k) > 0$. The composition*

$$d_h : \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X/S) \rightarrow \prod_{\eta \in S^0} \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_0^{\text{des}}(X_{\overline{\eta}}, \eta) \xrightarrow{\prod d_{X_\eta}} \prod_{\eta \in S^0} Z_0(X_\eta)[1/p]$$

factors through $z(X/S, 0)[1/p]$, and defines a homomorphism $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X/S) \rightarrow z(X/S, 0)[1/p]$. Moreover, if we are given a morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$ between noetherian schemes, the following diagram of abelian groups commutes, where $\text{cycl}(g)$ denotes the pullback homomorphism for the relative cycle group:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X/S) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & z(X/S, 0)[1/p] \\ g^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cycl}(g) \\ \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X_{S'}/S') & \xrightarrow{d_{h'}} & z(X_{S'}/S', 0)[1/p]. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $g: \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be an extension of fields, and $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be a morphism of finite type. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_0^{\text{des}}(Y_{\overline{K}}, K) & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & Z_0(Y)[1/p] \\ g^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \otimes_K L \\ \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_0^{\text{des}}(Y_{\overline{L}}, L) & \xrightarrow{d_{Y_L}} & Z_0(Y_L)[1/p]. \end{array}$$

Indeed, if K is algebraically closed, the commutativity follows since the residue field of any closed point of Y is equal to K . In the general case, since the right vertical map is injective, it suffices to show the case where L is an algebraic closure of K . In this case, the verification is easy.

Let R be a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K , and let $f: \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$ be a dominant morphism. Assume we are given a morphism $\text{Spec}(\kappa) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ over the closed point, where κ is a field. Let $h': X_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be the base change of h . Let $\eta \rightsquigarrow s$ be the specialization on S defined by f . For a closed subscheme $Z \subset X_K$, recall from [SV, 3.1.2] that $\phi_{h'}(Z)$ is the closed subscheme of X_R such that $\phi_{h'}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is flat and $\phi_{h'}(Z) \times_{\text{Spec}(R)} \text{Spec}(K)$ is isomorphic to Z . For $F = \{F_\eta\}_{\eta \in S^0}$ in $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X/S)$, it suffices to show that

$$(\star) \quad \phi_{h'}(d_{h_\eta}(F_\eta) \otimes_{k(\eta)} K) \times_{\text{Spec}(R)} \text{Spec}(\kappa) = d_{h_s}(\Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}(F)) \otimes_{k(s)} \kappa.$$

Indeed, since F is a flat étale system, the right hand side only depends on the rational point $\text{Spec}(\kappa) \rightarrow S$ not on f . Thus, by definition [SV, 3.1.3], we have $d_h(F) \in \text{Cycl}(X/S, 0)[1/p]$. To conclude, we note that $\text{Cycl}(X/S, 0)[1/p] = z(X/S, 0)[1/p]$. Indeed, the proof of [SV, 3.3.14] in fact shows that the abelian presheaf $\text{Cycl}(X/S, r)/z(X/S, r)$ is p -torsion. It remains to show (\star) .

In view of the commutative diagram above, we may assume that $\kappa = k(s)$, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a strictly henselian trait, and $S = \text{Spec}(R)$. For any scheme Y of finite type over an algebraically closed field, we have $Z_0(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0\text{Cons}_0(Y)$, where the map sends $\sum n_i[x_i]$ to $\sum n_i[\Lambda_{x_i}]$. Let $F \in K_0\text{Cons}_0^{\text{des}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \eta)$. By the isomorphism, we may write $F = \sum_{x_i \in |X_{\bar{\eta}}|} n_i[\Lambda_{x_i}]$ where n_i are non-zero integers. Let $W = \bigcup p(x_i)$, where $p: X_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X_{\eta}$. Since F is descendable, it is the pullback of $\sum_{w \in |W|} n_w[\Lambda_w]$, where $n_w = n_i$ for any x_i lying over w . By definition, we have $\Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}(F) = \sum n_w[\Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}(\Lambda_w)]$, and it suffices to show the claim for $F = p^*\Lambda_w$. Let $W := \{w\}^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X$, the normalization of the closure of w in X . By the compatibility of proper pushforward and the nearby cycle functor, we may assume that $X = W$. Namely, h is assumed to be finite and X is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring. Let s_W be the closed point of W , and $\eta_W = w$ be the generic point. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}(\Lambda_{\eta_W}) &= \Psi_{s \leftarrow \eta}(h_*\Lambda_{\eta_W}) = [k(\eta_W) : k(\eta)]_{\text{sep}} \cdot [\Lambda_{s_W}], \\ \phi_h([\eta_W]) \times_S s &= [W \times_S s] = [k(\eta_W) : k(\eta)] \cdot [s_W], \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot]_{\text{sep}}$ denotes the separable degree. Thus, the claim follows. \blacksquare

4.10. Let us recall the Artin-Schreier Λ -module. Let $Y := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p[T]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p[t])$ defined by sending t to $T^p - T$. This is a Galois covering with Galois group canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$ be a character. Then, we get the representation

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(Y/\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\psi} \Lambda^\times.$$

The associated smooth sheaf of rank 1 is denoted by \mathcal{L}_ψ . Let X be a scheme over \mathbb{F}_p , and assume we are given a morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$. Then the pullback $f^*\mathcal{L}_\psi$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_\psi(f)$.

Remark. — For $d > 0$, we do not have the homomorphism $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{e}}t_d(Y/T) \rightarrow z(Y/T, d)$. For example, consider $T = \mathbb{A}_t^1$, $Y = \mathbb{A}_{T,x}^1$, and $F = [\mathcal{L}_\psi(x/t)]$. Then the generic rank is 1 but the rank of $\Psi_{0 \leftarrow \eta}(F)$ is 0. In a similar vein, $\text{Supp}(F)$ is not necessarily in $z(Y/T, d)$ when $d > 0$. For this, consider $T = \text{Spec}(k[t, s]/(ts))$, $Y = \mathbb{A}_{T,x}^1$. If we put $F = [\mathcal{L}_\psi(x/t)]$, this becomes a flat étale system because $\Psi_{0 \leftarrow \eta_t}(F) = 0$, where η_t is the generic point of T corresponding to the irreducible component $\text{Spec}(k[t])$.

5. Construction of localization system

In this section, we construct a localization system, and construct our characteristic cycle. In the course of construction, we use one result whose proof will be postponed to Part II of this paper. We keep the notations from §4. Throughout this section, we fix an excellent separated k -scheme S of finite Krull dimension. We also fix a non-trivial character $\psi: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda^\times$, and the locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\psi(f)$ introduced in 4.10 is denoted simply by $\mathcal{L}(f)$.

5.1. Assume we are given a morphism $X \rightarrow S$ of finite type. We fix this morphism, and we will construct a localization system associated to it. We fix a finite open covering $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of X such that $X_i \rightarrow S$ is affine. Since S is assumed to be separated, such covering always exists.

Construction of μ : Let $\mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}$ be the presheaf on Sch/S associating $\Gamma(X_i \times_S T, \mathcal{O}_{X_i \times_S T})$ to T . This presheaf is obviously pointed by 0, and consider it as a pointed set. We put $\mu_{X/S} := \bigvee_i \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}$. Here, \bigvee is the coproduct in the category of pointed sets. In other words, $\mu_{X/S}(T) = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T) / \sim$, where $0_i \sim 0_{i'}$ ($0_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T)$) for any $1 \leq i, i' \leq n$.

Let us construct a contraction morphism. For T , take $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T)$. Let s be the coordinate of \mathbb{A}^1 . We have $s \cdot f \in \Gamma(T \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S})$, which defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_i/S} \rightarrow p_*p^*\mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}$. The

construction is functorial, the homomorphism preserves the base points, and the composition with restriction to $1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is the identity. Moreover, the composition with restriction to $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is the map sending $f \in \mu$ to $0 \in \mu$. Thus, we have a morphism of presheaves $c_\mu: \mu_{X/S} \rightarrow p_*p^*\mu_{X/S}$, defining a contraction morphism.

5.2. To define a pre-localization system, we need to define a presheaf \mathcal{S} and a morphism \mathcal{E} . The presheaf \mathcal{S} is easy to define:

Construction of \mathcal{S} : We put $\mathcal{S}_{X/S} := \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X/S}$ (cf. Definition 4.8). This presheaf is equipped with the filtration $\{\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{d,X/S}\}_{d \geq 0}$, but we define a finer filtration on \mathcal{S} . For $d > 0$, $n \geq i \geq 0$, we put $\mathcal{S}_{d,i}$ to be the following submodule:

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{d,X/S} \rightarrow \text{gr}_d \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{U_i/S}),$$

where $U_i := \bigcup_{j>i} X_j$. In other words, $F = \{F_\eta\}_{\eta \in T^0} \in \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{d,X/S}(T)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{d,i}(T)$ if $F_\eta|_{(U_i)_{\bar{\eta}}} \in \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}_{d-1}((U_i)_{\bar{\eta}})$ for each $\eta \in T^0$. We have $\mathcal{S}_{d,n} = \mathcal{S}_d$ and $\mathcal{S}_{d,0} = \mathcal{S}_{d-1}$. The filtration can be depicted as

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_{1,0} \subset \mathcal{S}_{1,1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}_{1,n} = \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_{2,0} \subset \mathcal{S}_{2,1} \subset \dots$$

Even though the filtration appears to be double filtered, we can make it a filtration indexed by \mathbb{N} by relabeling suitably. It is exhaustive since if we take d to be greater than the relative dimension of X over S , namely $\max\{\dim(X_s); s \in S\}$, then $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{d,X/S} = \text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}t_{X/S}$.

5.3. To define the homomorphism \mathcal{E} , we will be using the following lemma:

Lemma. — *Let $f: V \rightarrow T \times \square$ be a modification of S -schemes of finite type. Then, there exists an open dense subscheme $U \subset T_{\text{red}}$ such that $f_U: V \times_T U \rightarrow U \times \square$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Shrinking T and considering irreducible component-wise, we may assume that T is integral. Since S is excellent and of finite dimension, the set of normal points of T is open, thus shrinking T further, we may assume that T is normal of dimension $d < \infty$. Let $W \subset T \times \square$ be the set of points $x \in T \times \square$ such that $\dim(f^{-1}(x)) \geq 1$. Then W is closed by [EGA IV, 13.1.3]. By Zariski main theorem [EGA III, 4.4.9], f is isomorphic outside of W . If $\dim(W) \geq d$, then by [EGA IV, 5.6.8], $\dim(f^{-1}(W)) \geq d + 1$, and contradict with the assumption that V is irreducible. Thus, W is of dimension $\leq d - 1$. By [EGA IV, 5.6.8], $\overline{p(W)}$ in T , where $p: T \times \square \rightarrow T$ is the projection, is of dimension $\leq d - 1$ as well. Thus, by [EGA IV, 5.1.8], $U := T \setminus \overline{p(W)}$ is an open dense subscheme which satisfies the condition. \blacksquare

5.4. Let T be an S -scheme, and take $F \in \mathcal{S}_{d,i}(T)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T)$. Let $\mathbf{V} := (V \rightarrow T' \times \square)$ be an object in Mdf over $\mathbf{T} \times \square := (T \times \square \rightarrow T \times \square)$, and denote by t the coordinate of \square . Let $\pi: V \rightarrow T$ and $j_{\bar{\eta}}: (X_i)_{\bar{\eta}} \hookrightarrow X_{\bar{\eta}}$ for each $\eta \in V^0$. Since $(j_{\bar{\eta}})_!$ is an exact functor, it induces a homomorphism between the Grothendieck groups, and we may consider $j_{\bar{\eta}}^* j_{\bar{\eta}}^*(\pi^* F \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_\eta \in \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ where $\eta \in V^0$. In view of Example 4.6, this element is descendable. We put

$$(F_{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\text{gen}} := \left\{ j_{\bar{\eta}}^* j_{\bar{\eta}}^*(\pi^* F \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_\eta \right\} \in \prod_{\eta \in V_{\mathbb{A}}^0} \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}^{\text{des}}(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \eta).$$

Note that this construction only depends on the composition $V \rightarrow T' \times \square \rightarrow T \times \square$, and not on $V \rightarrow T' \times \square$. Of course, this element is not a flat étale system in general. We say that \mathbf{V} is *adapted to (F, f)* if there exists $C = \{C_\eta\}_{\eta \in V_{\mathbb{A}}^0} \in \mathcal{S}_{d,i}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$ such that

$$C_\eta|_{(X_i)_{\bar{\eta}}} = (F_{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_\eta|_{(X_i)_{\bar{\eta}}}.$$

The flat étale system C is determined uniquely up to differences in $\mathcal{S}_{d,i-1}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$. Indeed, assume we are given another C' satisfying the condition. Then we have $(C_{\eta} - C'_{\eta})|_{(X_i)_{\overline{\eta}}} = 0$ by the condition. On the other hand, since $C^{(\iota)} \in \mathcal{S}_{d,i}$, the restriction $C_{\eta}^{(\iota)}|_{(U_i)_{\overline{\eta}}}$ belongs to $\mathbf{K}_0\text{Cons}_{d-1}((U_i)_{\overline{\eta}})$. Combining these, the restriction of $C_{\eta} - C'_{\eta}$ to $U_{i-1} := \bigcup_{j \geq i} X_j = U_i \cup X_i$ belongs to $\mathbf{K}_0\text{Cons}_{d-1}((U_{i-1})_{\overline{\eta}})$, and $C - C'$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{d,i-1}$ as required. We denote the flat étale system C in $\text{gr}_{d,i}\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$ by $F[\mathbf{V}]$, or more precisely, $(F; f)[\mathbf{V}]$. Let us denote this sieve generated by objects \mathbf{V} adapted to $(F; f)$ is denoted by $\mathbf{R}(F; f)$.

Lemma. — 1. The sieve $\mathbf{R}(F; f)$ is a covering sieve of $\mathbf{T} \times \square$.

2. Let $\phi: \mathbf{V}' \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ be a morphism in Mdf . Assume that \mathbf{V} is adapted to $(F; f)$. Then \mathbf{V}' is adapted as well, and we have $\phi_{\mathbb{A}}^* F[\mathbf{V}] = F[\mathbf{V}']$ in $\text{gr}_{d,i}\mathcal{S}(V'_{\mathbb{A}})$, where $\phi_{\mathbb{A}}: V'_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the morphism induced by ϕ .

Proof. Let us show the first claim. We may assume that T is reduced. It suffices to find a cdp-covering $\{V_i\} \rightarrow V$ such that $V_i \rightarrow T \times \square$ is adapted to $(F; f)$. First, there exists a modification $V \rightarrow T \times \square$ over which $(F_{\mathbf{T} \times \square} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\text{gen}}$, becomes a flat étale system by Lemma 4.8. By definition, this V is adapted to $(F; f)$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists an open dense subscheme $U_0 \subset T$ such that $V \times_T U_0 \rightarrow U_0 \times \square$ is an isomorphism. Put $T_1 := T \setminus U_0$, and we can take a modification V_1 of $T_1 \times \square$ over which $(F_{\mathbf{T}_1 \times \square} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\text{gen}}$ becomes a flat étale system. Using the lemma, we can take a closed subscheme over which $V_1 \rightarrow T_1 \times \square$ is an isomorphism. We iteratively construct T_i, V_i , and this process terminates in finitely many steps since T is noetherian. Then $\{V_i \rightarrow T \times \square\}$ is a desired covering.

Let us show the second claim. We take a lifting of $F[\mathbf{V}]$ in $\mathcal{S}_{d,i}$, and abusively denote by $F[\mathbf{V}]$. By construction of $F[\mathbf{V}']$, it suffices to show that $(F_{\mathbf{V}'} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\eta'}|_{(X_i)_{\overline{\eta'}}} = \phi_{\mathbb{A}}^*(F[\mathbf{V}]|_{(X_i)_{\overline{\eta'}}})$ in $\mathbf{K}_0\text{Cons}((X_i)_{\overline{\eta'}})$ for each $\eta' \in V_{\mathbb{A}}'^0$. Thus, we may assume that $X = X_i$. In this situation, f is a regular function. Take a generic point η' of $V_{\mathbb{A}}'$. By definition of $V_{\mathbb{A}}'$, the image of η' in \square is the generic point of \square . Thus, we can find a specialization $\eta \rightsquigarrow \phi(\eta')$ from a generic point η of $V_{\mathbb{A}}$. The situation can be depicted as below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathbb{A}}' & & \eta' \\ \phi_{\mathbb{A}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_{\mathbb{A}} & & \eta \rightsquigarrow \phi(\eta') \end{array}$$

By the choice, $\phi(\eta')$ sits over the generic point of \square , and $f|_{Vt}$ is regular around $\phi(\eta')$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}(f|_{Vt})$ is smooth around $\phi(\eta')$, which implies

$$\Psi_{\phi(\eta') \leftarrow \eta}(F_V \otimes \mathcal{L}(f|_{Vt})) = F_{\phi(\eta')} \otimes \mathcal{L}(f|_{Vt}).$$

By definition, this means that $(F_{\mathbf{V}'} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\eta'}|_{X_{\overline{\eta'}}} = \phi_{\mathbb{A}}^*(F[\mathbf{V}]|_{X_{\overline{\eta'}}})$. ■

Now, we are ready to construct \mathcal{E} .

Construction of \mathcal{E} : Assume we are given an element $F \in \mathcal{S}_{d,i}(T)$, and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_j/S}(T)$ for some j . If $j \neq i$, we simply put $\mathcal{E}_{X/S}(F; f) = F$. The map is non-trivial only in the case $i = j$. In this case, the above lemma implies that $\{F[\mathbf{V}]\}_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{R}(F; f)}$ determines an element of

$$\varprojlim_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{R}(F; f)} \Gamma(V_{\mathbb{A}}, \text{gr}_{d,i}(\mathcal{S})) \cong \varprojlim_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{R}(F; f)} \Gamma(\mathbf{V}, \mathbb{A}^* \text{gr}_{d,i}(\mathcal{S})).$$

Since \mathbf{R} is a covering sieve of $\mathbf{T} \times \square$, this element determines an element of $\pi_0 \partial \text{gr}_{d,i}(\mathcal{S})(T)$. The construction does not depend on the differences by $\mathcal{S}_{d,i-1}$, and it induces the morphism $\text{gr}_{d,i}\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T) \rightarrow \pi_0 \partial \text{gr}_{d,i}\mathcal{S}(T)$. This element is defined to be $\mathcal{E}(F; f)$. These morphisms

define a morphism of presheaves because the construction of $(F_{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\text{gen}}$ only depends on the morphism $V \rightarrow T$. Note that $\mathcal{E}(F; 0) = F$ for $0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T)$, so the maps glue together to get $\mathcal{S}_{d,i} \otimes \mu \rightarrow \pi_0 \partial \text{gr}_{d,i} \mathcal{S}$. Composing with $\pi_0 \partial \text{gr}_{d,i} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \partial \text{gr}_{d,i} \mathcal{S}$, we define the morphism \mathcal{E} .

Summing up, for a morphism $X \rightarrow S$ of finite type, and for a choice of finite open covering $\{X_i\}$ of X such that $X_i \rightarrow S$ is affine, we have the pre-localization system $\text{LSys}_{X/S} := (\mathcal{S}_{X/S}, \mu_{X/S}, \mathcal{E}_{X/S})$ over S . This pre-localization system *does* depend on the choice of the open coverings, but since merely the existence is important for us, we do not put this data in the notation.

5.5. Let us give a variant of the previous construction. Take an S -scheme T , $F \in \text{FlÉt}(X_T/T)$, \mathbf{V} , and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X/S}(T)$, as before. We may consider $\{(\pi^* F \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))_{\eta}\}_{\eta \in V_{\mathbb{A}^0}}$ as well. This time, since f is defined everywhere, we have a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}'_{X/S}: \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{S}.$$

Note that, by construction, the composition $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'} \partial \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \partial_0 \mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to the canonical map $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. This morphism endows \mathcal{S} with a support structure, and will be used for microlocalization later.

5.6. To show that the pre-localization systems we defined is a localization system, let us analyze the condition that $\mathcal{E}^n(F; \mathbf{f}) = 0$ for $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(T)$ for an integer $d > 0$. First, we introduce some terminologies. Fix $T \in \text{Sch}/S$. For T' over T , and $\mathbf{V} := (V \rightarrow T' \times \square) \in \text{Mdf}_{T'}$, let $\mathbf{V}_{\infty} := V_{\mathbb{A}} \times_{\square} \{\infty\}$. This is a T' -scheme. An *Mdf-sequence over T (of length $n \geq 0$)* is a set $\{\mathbf{V}_i \in \text{Mdf}_{\mathbf{V}_{i-1,\infty}}\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ such that $\mathbf{V}_0 := (T \times \square \rightarrow T \times \square)$. The sequence is said to be *trivial* if $\mathbf{V}_i = (T \times \square \rightarrow T \times \square)$ for any i . A morphism of Mdf-sequences $\{\mathbf{V}_i\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}'_i\}$ is a collection of morphisms $V_i \rightarrow V'_i$ compatible with $\mathbf{V}_{i-1,\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'_{i-1,\infty}$. Similarly to the definition of \mathcal{E} , we define as follows:

Definition. — Assume that X_T and T are affine, and let $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(T)$, $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{X_T}(T)^{\infty}$.

1. We say that an Mdf-sequence $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ is *adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$* if the following condition holds: Put $F[\emptyset]_{\infty} := F$, and assume $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}_{i < k}]_{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{V}_{n,\infty})$ is defined. Then, $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}_{i < k}]_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{L}(f_k t)$ is a flat étale system on \mathbf{V}_k . We let $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}_{i \leq k}]_{\infty}$ be the restriction to $\mathbf{V}_{k,\infty}$ of this flat étale system.
2. An Mdf-sequence $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ is said to be a *principal sequence* if each morphism $\mathbf{V}_i: V_i \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{i-1,\infty} \times \square$ is surjective.

We put $\mathcal{E}_x := r_x \circ \mathcal{E}$, where r_x is the one in 1.3. Using this notion, we may detect the kernel of \mathcal{E}_{∞}^n as follows:

Lemma. — Let $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(T)$, and $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{X_T}(T)^{\infty}$.

1. Let $\phi = \{\phi_i\}: \{\mathbf{V}'_i\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ be a morphism of Mdf-sequences of length n adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$. Then $F[\{\mathbf{V}'_i\}]_{\infty} = \phi_n^* F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}]_{\infty}$.
2. Let $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ be a principal Mdf-sequence of length n , and $\{\mathbf{W}_i\}$ be any Mdf-sequence of length n . Then, we can find a diagram $\{\mathbf{V}_i\} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{V}'_i\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{W}_i\}$ such that $\mathbf{V}'_{i,\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{i,\infty}$ is surjective for any $i \leq n$.
3. A principal Mdf-sequence adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$ exists.

4. If there exists a principal sequence $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$ such that $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}]_\infty$ is in \mathcal{S}_{d-1} , then $\mathcal{E}_\infty^n(F; \mathbf{f}) = 0$.

Proof. The first claim follows by the functoriality of flat étale systems, and can be checked as in Lemma 5.4.2. Let us show the second claim. We use the induction on the length. For $n = 0$, the claim is obvious. Assume that the claim is true for $n < l$. We show the claim for $n = l$. We have already constructed the following diagram of solid arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{V}_n & \xleftarrow{\dots\dots\dots} & Z & \xrightarrow{\dots\dots\dots} & \mathbf{W}_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{V}_{n-1,\infty} \times \square & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{V}'_{n-1,\infty} \times \square & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{W}_{n-1,\infty} \times \square. \end{array}$$

We can find Z which is of finite type rendering the diagram commutative with the vertical dotted arrow proper. By putting $\mathbf{V}'_n := (Z \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'_{n-1,\infty} \times \square)$, the sequence $\{\mathbf{V}'_n\}$ has the desired properties.

Let us show the third claim. Let $\mathbf{V}_1 := (V_1 \rightarrow T \times \square)$ be a modification over which $F \otimes \mathcal{L}(f_1 t)$ becomes a flat étale system. Then put $F_1 := (F \otimes \mathcal{L}(f_1 t))|_{\mathbf{V}_{1,\infty}}$. Then take a modification $\mathbf{V}_2 := (V_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{1,\infty} \times \square)$ so that $F_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(f_2 t)$ becomes a flat étale system. Put $F_2 := (F_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(f_2 t))|_{\mathbf{V}_{2,\infty}}$, and repeat this process till we construct \mathbf{V}_n, F_n . Then $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ is a principal Mdf-sequence adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$.

Let us show the last claim. Let $\mathbb{W} := \{\mathbf{W}_i\}_{i \leq n}$ be an Mdf-sequence of T adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f})$. Let $\mathbb{W}_{\leq k} := \{\mathbf{W}_i\}_{i \leq k}$. Fix $\{\mathbf{W}_i\}_{i < n}$ and vary \mathbf{W}_n . Then the family $\{F[\mathbb{W}]_\infty\}_{\mathbf{W}_n}$ determines an element $F[\mathbb{W}_{< n}]_\infty$ of $\partial_\infty \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{W}_{n-1,\infty})$. By 1, this element is functorial with respect to varying \mathbf{W}_{n-1} fixing $\mathbb{W}_{\leq n-2}$. Thus, the family $\{F[\mathbb{W}_{\leq n-1}]_\infty\}_{\mathbf{W}_{n-1}}$ determines an element of $\partial_\infty^2 \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{W}_{n-2,\infty})$. Repeating this, $\{F[\mathbb{W}]_\infty\}_{\mathbb{W}}$ determines an element of $\partial_\infty^n \mathcal{S}(T)$, which is nothing but $\mathcal{E}_\infty^n(F; \mathbf{f})$. If $F[\mathbb{W}]_\infty$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{d-1}(\mathbf{W}_{n,\infty})$ for any \mathbb{W} , then $\mathcal{E}_\infty^n(F; \mathbf{f}) = 0$. Thus, in order to show the claim, it suffices to check that $F[\mathbb{W}]_\infty \in \mathcal{S}_{d-1}$ for any \mathbb{W} . By 2, we can find a diagram $\{\mathbf{V}_i\} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{V}'_i\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{W}_i\}$ such that $\mathbf{V}'_n \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_n$. By 1, $F[\{\mathbf{V}'_i\}]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{d-1}(\mathbf{V}'_{n,\infty})$. The surjectivity of $\mathbf{V}'_n \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_n$ and 1 implies that $F[\mathbb{W}]_\infty \in \mathcal{S}_{d-1}(\mathbf{W}_{n,\infty})$. ■

5.7. The main result of the second part is the following existence result. Since the proof is completely of different flavor and independent, we postpone the proof to Part II.

Theorem (Main result of [Part II]). — *Assume that $X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of finite type between noetherian affine schemes over k (not necessarily of finite type). For any $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(S)$, $m \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{X \times \Delta^m}(X \times \Delta^m)^{\times l}$, we can find $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)^{\times l'}$ such that for a principal Mdf-sequence $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g})$, $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}]_\infty$ is in \mathcal{S}_{d-1} .*

Using this theorem, we use the following vanishing result:

Corollary. — *We keep the notation. For any $F \in \mathcal{S}_d(S)$ and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{X \times \Delta^m}(X \times \Delta^m)^{\times l}$, we can find $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_T}(X_T)^{\times l'}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_\infty^n(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g}) = 0$ for n sufficiently large.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{E}_0(G, f) - I(F) = 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X \times \Delta^m}(X \times \Delta^m)$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}_d(T)$, since the function is defined entirely on $X_T \times \Delta^m$. Thus, it suffices find \mathbf{g} so that $\mathcal{E}_\infty^n(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g}) = 0$. By the theorem, we can find \mathbf{g} so that for a principal Mdf-sequence $\{\mathbf{V}_i\}$ adapted to $(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g})$, $F[\{\mathbf{V}_i\}]_\infty$ belongs to \mathcal{S}_{d-1} . By Lemma 5.6.4, the corollary follows. ■

5.8 Theorem. — *The pre-localization system $(\mathcal{S}_{X/S}, \mu_{X/S}, \mathcal{E}_{X/S})$ is in fact a localization system.*

Proof. We must check the condition of Definition 1.6 for each piece of the filtration. Assume we are given $(F; \mathbf{f}) \in (\mathcal{S}_{d,i} \otimes \mu^\infty)(T \times \Delta^m)$. It suffices to find a finite sequence $\mathbf{g} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_i/S}(T) \subset$

$\mu_{X/S}(T)$ Zariski locally with respect to each $t \in T$ so that $(F; \mathbf{f} \vee \mathbf{g})$ is in Adm . Shrinking T around t , we may assume that T is affine. Since we took $X_i \rightarrow S$ to be affine, $(X_i)_T$ is affine as well. Then the condition is exactly the content of Corollary 5.7. \blacksquare

Construction of characteristic cycles

5.9. Now, let us construct the characteristic cycle. First let us explain the construction of non-microlocalized characteristic cycles fixing a morphism $X \rightarrow S$. Logically, this paragraph is unnecessary, but this should make it easier to understand the main idea.

Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism, and consider the localization system $\text{LSys}_{X/S}$ we have just constructed. Assume we are given a six functor formalism as in 2.10, and recall from Definition 2.20 that we have a reversible coefficient $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}$. We also assume that $\text{char}(k)$ is invertible in R . Let $\underline{z}_{X/S}^{\text{SV}}(n): \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ be the functor sending T to the abelian group $z(X_T/T, n) \otimes R$. We have the morphism of presheaves:

$$\mathcal{S}_{X/S,0} \rightarrow \underline{z}_{X/S}^{\text{SV}}(0) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}},$$

where the first homomorphism is constructed in 4.9, and the second by the trace map C.3. By Theorem 5.8, $\mathcal{S}_{X/S}$ admits a structure of localization system whose 0-th piece is $\mathcal{S}_{X/S,0}$. Thus, by Theorem 1.11, the above homomorphism extends (essentially uniquely) to a homomorphism

$$\text{CC}_X: \mathcal{S}_{X/S} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}.$$

By taking the global section and taking π_0 , we have the homomorphism of R -modules

$$\text{CC}: \text{FlEt}(X/S) \rightarrow \pi_0 \Gamma(S, \mathcal{S}_{X/S}) \rightarrow \pi_0 \Gamma(S, \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}) \simeq \pi_0 \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(X/S, R_S).$$

This homomorphism is called the (*non-microlocalized*) *characteristic cycle homomorphism*. Note that, in the case $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ where k is perfect and if we take the six functor formalism to be the one associated with the $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -motivic cohomology theory (cf. Example C.1), $\pi_0 \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(X/S, \mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \cong \text{CH}_0(X)[1/p]$ and $\text{FlEt}(X/S) \cong \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X)$. We wish to microlocalize the construction by means of §3. For this, we need to make this construction far more functorial as follows.

5.10. We have an abelian presheaf $z_{X/S}: (X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ sending $U \rightarrow T$ to $z(U/T, 0) \otimes R$. In C.4, we defined the ∞ -presheaf ${}^{\text{open}}\mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}: (X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ sending $U \rightarrow T$ to $\mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(U/T, R_T)$. In view of the exact sequence [SV, 4.3.1] and the construction of ${}^{\text{open}}\mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}$ as well as Lemma C.7.2, the trace map induces the morphism of functors $z_{X/S} \rightarrow {}^{\text{open}}\mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}$.

On the other hand, we have the ∞ -presheaf $\mathcal{S}_{X/S}$ equipped with filtration on $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$. By Lemma 4.9, we have the morphism $\mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow z_{X/S}$ of abelian sheaves. Combining together, we have the diagram $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \mathcal{S}_0 \xrightarrow{\text{CC}} \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}$ in $\text{Fun}((X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Now, the functor $(X/S)_{\text{zar}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$ sending $U \rightarrow T$ to $T \rightarrow S$ is a Cartesian fibration. The above diagram is equivalent to a diagram in $\text{Fun}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}}((X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}, \pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Thus, we get a diagram $\underline{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}_0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{BM}}$ in $\text{Fun}_{\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}}((X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}})$. For each object $U/T \in (X/S)_{\text{zar}}$, the pair $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_0(U/T), \underline{\mathcal{S}}(U/T))$ is a localization pair in the sense of 1.11 by Theorem 5.8. On the other hand, $\underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{BM}}(U/T)$ is reversible by Definition 2.20. Thus, invoking Corollary 1.11, the morphism $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{BM}}$ extends essentially uniquely to a morphism $\underline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{H}}^{\text{BM}}$. By applying $\Gamma_{\pi^* \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ (cf. 2.1), we get a map $\text{CC}_{X/S}: \mathcal{S}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}$ in $\text{Fun}((X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$. This is the functorial version of non-microlocalized characteristic cycle map.

Now, let us consider the functoriality. Assume we are given a proper morphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ over S . Since the functor $(Y/S)_{\text{zar}} \rightarrow (X/S)_{\text{zar}}$ sending (V/T) to $(V \times_Y X/T)$ is continuous

and commutes with finite limits fiberwise, it induces the geometric morphism $\mathrm{Shv}((X/S)_{\mathrm{zar}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}((Y/S)_{\mathrm{zar}})$, also denoted by h . Since the pushforward functor along proper morphism and the nearby cycle functor commute, we have the following morphism (on the left) and homotopy commutative diagram (on the right):

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S} & h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S,0} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CC}_{X/S}} h_* \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\mathrm{BM}} \\ h_* \downarrow & h_* \downarrow & \downarrow h_* \\ \mathcal{S}_{Y/S} & \mathcal{S}_{Y/S,0} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CC}_{Y/S}} \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{Y/S}^{\mathrm{BM}}. \end{array}$$

Now, we have the following diagram (which is possibly not commutative for the moment):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CC}_{X/S}} & h_* \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\mathrm{BM}} \\ h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \mathcal{S}_{Y/S} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CC}_{Y/S}} & \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{Y/S}^{\mathrm{BM}}. \end{array}$$

In fact this diagram is homotopy commutative. Indeed, by invoking Corollary 5.8, the morphism $\mathrm{Map}(h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S}, \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{Y/S}^{\mathrm{BM}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S,0}, \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{Y/S}^{\mathrm{BM}})$ is an equivalence. Thus, we must show the homotopy commutativity of the diagram after restricting to $h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S,0}$, but this is nothing but the homotopy commutative diagram (\star) . It is worth noting that we did not make any smoothness assumption so far. In this sense, our non-microlocalized characteristic cycle is a generalization of that of Saito that we will recall in the next section.

5.11. Finally, we will microlocalize our characteristic cycle. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a scheme of finite type, and we have constructed the morphism $\mathcal{S}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathrm{open} \mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\mathrm{BM}}$ of ∞ -presheaves on $(X/S)_{\mathrm{zar}}$. If X is *smooth* over S , we consider the base data $(X, T^*(X/S), \mathcal{O}_X, d_X)$ (cf. Example 3.1), where $d_X: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ is the usual differential. We have constructed in 5.5 the homomorphism $\mathcal{E}': \mathcal{S}_{X/S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X/S} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{S}_{X/S}$ satisfying the condition of Definition 3.6. Thus it defines a support structure. By the construction of 3.7, we have the (*microlocalized*) *characteristic cycle map*

$$\mathrm{CC}_{X/S}^\mu: (\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_C \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_C^*(T^*(X/S)/X, \omega_{X/S}).$$

The formation is compatible with base change of S by smooth morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ by 3.8.

5.12. Now, let us establish the functoriality. Assume we are given a proper morphism $h: X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth S -schemes of finite type. Consider the canonical morphisms $T^*(X/S) \xleftarrow{g} T^*(Y/S) \times_Y X$ $X \xrightarrow{h'} T^*(Y/S)$. We will construct the following homotopy commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_C & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_C^*(T^*X) & & (\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_{g^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{g^{-1}(C)}^*(T^*Y \times_Y X) \\ \downarrow & \textcircled{1} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \textcircled{2} & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_{g^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{g^{-1}(C)}^*(T^*Y \times_Y X), & & (h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S})_{h'g^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{h'g^{-1}(C)}^*(T^*Y), \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & (h_* \mathcal{S}_{X/S})_{h'g^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{h'g^{-1}(C)}^*(T^*Y) \\ & & & \textcircled{3} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & (\mathcal{S}_{Y/S})_{h'g^{-1}(C)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{h'g^{-1}(C)}^*(T^*Y). \end{array}$$

These diagrams all follow from 3.8. The diagram ① follows from the functoriality of base data for the morphism $(X, T^*(Y/S) \times_Y X, h^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y, d_Y) \rightarrow (X, T^*(X/S), \mathcal{O}_X, d_X)$. The diagram ② follows from the functoriality of the pushforward via the morphism of schemes h . The diagram ③ follows from the functoriality with respect to the homomorphism of presheaves $h_*\mathcal{S}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{Y/S}$. Combining these diagrams, we have the following theorem.

5.13 Theorem. — *Recall that S is an excellent scheme of finite Krull dimension, and we are fixing a six functor formalism as in 2.10 such that the ring of coefficient R contains $\text{char}(k)^{-1}$. Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between smooth S -schemes of finite type, and let C be a conic in $T^*(X/S)$. Consider the canonical morphisms $T^*(X/S) \xleftarrow{g} T^*(Y/S) \times_Y X \xrightarrow{h'} T^*(Y/S)$, and let $h_\circ(C) := h'(g^{-1}(C))$. We have the following homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_C & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_{X/S}^\mu} & \mathbf{H}_C^*(T^*(X/S), \omega_{X/S}) \\ h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h'_* g^* \\ (\mathcal{S}_{Y/S})_{h_\circ C} & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_{Y/S}^\mu} & \mathbf{H}_{h_\circ C}^*(T^*(Y/S), \omega_{Y/S}). \end{array}$$

Remark. — For a separated scheme X of finite type over S and a closed embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow P$ such that P is a smooth separated S -scheme, we may consider the base data $(X, T^*(P/S) \times_P X, i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_P, i^{-1}d_P)$. We endow $\mathcal{S}_{X/S}$ with the support structure $\mathcal{S}_{X/S} \otimes i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{X/S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_{X/S}} \partial\mathcal{S}_{X/S}$. Then we have the characteristic cycle map $(\mathcal{S}_{X/S})_C \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_C^*(T^*(P/S) \times_P X, \omega_{X/S})$. We also have a variant of the pushforward formula if we are given a morphism $P \rightarrow Y$ between smooth S -schemes such that the composition $X \rightarrow Y$ is proper. This is exactly the form conjectured by Saito in [S2, Conjecture 1]. Since the verification is the same, we leave the details to the reader.

5.14. Summary For the convenience of the reader, let us summarize what we have proven in specific cases of interest. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and S be a k -scheme of finite type. We choose the six functor formalism associated to the $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -motivic cohomology theory (cf. Example C.1). Then we may apply the theorem above. If we take $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, we have $\pi_0 \mathbf{H}_C^*(T^*(X/S), \omega_{X/S}) \cong \text{CH}_{\dim(X)}(C)[1/p]$. Thus, by taking π_0 in the homotopy commutative diagram of Theorem 5.13, we get a similar equality as the one in Theorem of Introduction for our characteristic cycle. At this moment, we have not proven the coincidence between our characteristic cycle and Saito's. This will be proven in the next section.

Remark. — 1. In the case where S is a k -scheme of finite type, we have another interesting consequence, at least for non-microlocalized characteristic cycles. Since the non-microlocalized characteristic cycle homomorphism $\text{CC}_{X/S}: \mathcal{S}_{X/S} \rightarrow \text{open} \mathbf{H}_{X/S}^{\text{BM}}$ is a homomorphism of *presheaves*, it contains interesting functorial information by itself. For example, assume S is a smooth integral curve with generic point η and k is separably closed. Then we have a map $\text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_\eta) \rightarrow \Gamma(X/S, \mathcal{S}_{X/S})$ since any sheaf on X is already good over S . Let $i: s \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed immersion from a closed point s . Then the functoriality of $\text{CC}_{X/S}$ implies that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_{X_\eta/\eta}} & \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(X/S) \\ \Psi_{s \leftarrow \bar{\eta}} \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* \\ \text{K}_0 \text{Cons}(X_s) & \xrightarrow{\text{CC}_{X_s/s}} & \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(X_s/s). \end{array}$$

This can be regarded as a compatibility of the characteristic cycle homomorphism and the nearby cycle functor. This can even be microlocalized, but for this, we need to modify the definition of microsupport in Definition 3.6. More precisely, in the definition, we considered only $T \rightarrow S$ which is smooth, but we need to consider morphisms of the form $T \rightarrow Z \hookrightarrow S$ where the first morphism is smooth and the second one is an immersion. The detail is left to the interested reader.

2. The assumption that S is of finite type over a field k is made merely because the six functor formalism for motivic cohomology satisfying the conditions of 2.10 has been constructed only under the assumption. We did not try particularly hard to lift these assumptions. In view of the previous remark, checking the assumptions of 2.10 in the case where S is a trait may be of interest.
3. In the theorem above, we need to invert $\text{char}(k)$. We have two places where we need to make this assumption. First is to construct the trace map in the motivic setting in [A2]. If we assume the existence of the resolution of singularities, this assumption can be lifted. More seriously, we need the inversion in the construction of the homomorphism r in 4.9. For this construction, the inversion is indispensable.
4. Concerning the integrality question we discussed in 3, let us conclude with loose ends. We assume the existence of the resolution of singularities. Let k be an algebraically closed field, $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, T be a parameter space with generic point η , and let $\text{pr}: X \times_S T \rightarrow T$. Let ξ be a closed point of $X \times_S \eta$ such that the extension $k(\xi)/k(\eta)$ is *inseparable*. The sheaf Λ_ξ belongs to $\text{Fl}\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}_0(X \times_S T/T)$, but we need a denominator when we consider $d_{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\xi)$ using the notation of Lemma 4.9. However, if we replace T by its suitable relative Frobenius over S , we may make ξ separable, and remove the denominator. Pursuing this idea, it seems possible to construct a localization system without denominators, and show the integral version of the non-microlocalized pushforward formula. Now, let $T^{(m)*}X := T^*X \times_{X, \text{Frob}^m} X$, where Frob^m is the m -th Frobenius endomorphism of X . Then we have the Frobenius morphisms $T^*X \rightarrow T^{(1)*}X \rightarrow T^{(2)*}X \rightarrow \dots$ relative to X . A natural place for the microlocalization seems to be $T^{(m)*}X$ for large enough m . On this level, it seems possible to show the integral version of the microlocalized pushforward formula. However, for a closed subscheme $Z \subset T^*X$, the homomorphism $\text{CH}_{\dim(X)}(Z) \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\dim(X)}(i_m(Z))$, where $i_m: T^*X \rightarrow T^{(m)*}X$, may have non-trivial kernel (which vanishes if we invert p) unless we have some constraint on Z .

6. Comparison with Saito's characteristic cycles

In this final section, we compare the microlocalized characteristic cycle we constructed with that of T. Saito. We keep using the ring Λ from the previous section.

6.1. Let us recall the definition of singular support:

Definition (Beilinson [B2]). — Let X be a smooth scheme over a field k , and \mathcal{F} be a constructible Λ -module on X .

1. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conic subset. A pair of morphisms $(X \xleftarrow{f} U \xrightarrow{g} Y)$ is said to be *C-transversal at $u \in U$* if $\text{Ker}(df) \cap C = 0$ in $T^*X \times_X \{u\}$ and $(dg)^{-1}(f^\circ C) = 0$ in $T^*Y \times_Y \{u\}$, where $f^\circ C := \text{Im}(C \times_X U \hookrightarrow T^*X \times_X U \xrightarrow{df} T^*U)$.
2. We define the *singular support of \mathcal{F}* denoted by $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ to be the smallest conic in T^*X

having the following property: For any pair $(X \xleftarrow{f} U \xrightarrow{g} Y)$ and $u \in U$ which is $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at $u \in U$, g is universally locally acyclic at u with respect to $f^*\mathcal{F}$.

The existence of singular support is not too hard to check, but surprisingly, the main result of Beilinson states that $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ is equidimensional and $\dim(\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})) = \dim(X)$.

6.2. Now, let us recall the definition of characteristic cycle:

Definition (Saito [S1, Def 5.10]). — Let X be a smooth scheme of finite type over a perfect field k equidimensional of dimension d , and $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\text{ctf}}(X, \Lambda)$.

1. Let C be a conic closed subset of T^*X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be an *isolated C -characteristic point* of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ if x is an isolated point in $\iota_X^{-1}(\text{df})^{-1}(C)$. Here $\iota_X: X \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{A}^1 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} X$ is the section defined by ds using the coordinate s of \mathbb{A}^1 .
2. Write $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{i \in I} W_i$ by irreducible components where W_i is integral. There exists a unique number in $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ for each $i \in I$ such that, for any finite extension l/k of fields, for any local function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ where $U \subset X \otimes_k l$ is an open subscheme, and for any isolated $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -characteristic point $x \in U$ of f , we have the equality

$$(6.2.i) \quad -\text{totdim}(\Phi_f(\mathcal{F}|_U)_{\bar{x}}) = (\text{df}, \sum n_i [W_i])_{T^*U, x},$$

where totdim denotes the total dimension (*i.e.* $\text{totdim}(V) = \dim(V) + \text{Sw}(V)$), and the right hand side denotes the intersection number in T^*U at x . We define $\text{CC}(\mathcal{F}) := \sum n_i [W_i]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_d(T^*X)[1/p]$.

Remark. — In fact, Saito uses étale local functions with target scheme arbitrary smooth curve, contrary to using only Zariski local functions on $X \otimes_k l$ with target scheme \mathbb{A}^1 , to define characteristic cycles. Thus, *a priori*, it is not clear if the numbers n_i are uniquely determined in our definition. However, they are in fact unique. To see this, note that it suffices show the existence in the case where k is algebraically closed. In this situation, Saito checks conditions of [S1, Prop 5.8.1] for the $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -valued function on isolated $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -characteristic points defined by the left hand side of (6.2.i) above, and [S1, Prop 5.8.1] uses only Zariski-local functions as in our definition. Moreover, in view of [S1, Prop 5.8.2], we may assume that the target of the function is \mathbb{A}^1 .

6.3. First, let us reformulate results of Laumon on local Fourier transform, which is a crucial observation for us:

Theorem (Laumon). — Let k be a perfect field, let $T := (\mathbb{A}_k^1)_{(0)}$ (*resp.* $T' := (\mathbb{A}_k^1)_{(0)}$) be the henselization with coordinate t (*resp.* t'), and let $T \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_1} T \times_k T' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} T'$ be the projections. For $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\text{ctf}}(T)$, we have

$$-\text{totdim}(\Phi_{\text{id}}(\mathcal{F})) = \dim(\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(t/t'))_{(\bar{0}, \bar{0})}).$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}_!(t/t')$ is the zero-extension of $\mathcal{L}(t/t')$ on $T \times T' \setminus T \times \{0'\}$.

Proof. Since the invariants appearing in the equality are stable under taking the reduction, we may assume that Λ is a field. Thus, we may assume that \mathcal{F} is a constructible sheaf. Let $i: \{0\} \hookrightarrow T$ be the closed point and $j: \eta \hookrightarrow T$ be the generic point. By Brauer's theory, we may take a lift $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\eta \in D_c^b(\eta, E)$, where E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ whose residue field is Λ , such that the reduction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\eta$ is equal to $\mathcal{F}_\eta := j^*\mathcal{F}$ in the Grothendieck group $K_0 D_c^b(\eta, \Lambda)$.

Now, we have $\text{totdim}(\Phi_{\text{id}}(\mathcal{F})) = \text{totdim}(\mathcal{F}_\eta) - \dim(\mathcal{F}_\eta) = \text{totdim}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\eta) - \dim(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$. On the other hand, we have $\text{pr}_1^* i_* i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(t/t') \cong \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes j_! j'^* \Lambda_{0 \times T'}$. This implies that $\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\text{pr}_1^* i_* i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(t/t'))_{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}')} \cong \mathcal{F}_\eta$. Since $\mathcal{L}_!(t/t')$ is 0 on $\text{pr}_2^{-1}(0')$, we have $\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\dots) \cong \Phi_{\text{pr}_2}(\dots)$. Recall the definition of local Fourier transform from [La, 2.4.2.3]. Using this, we can compute as

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim(\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(t/t'))_{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}')} \\ &= \dim(\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\text{pr}_1^* j_! j'^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(t/t'))_{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}')} + \dim(\Psi_{\text{pr}_2}(\text{pr}_1^* i_* i^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(t/t'))_{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}')} \\ &= -\dim_E \mathcal{F}^{(0, \infty')}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\eta) + \dim_\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the vanishing result [La, 2.4.2.2] at the last equality. Invoking [La, 2.4.3 (i)-(b)], the theorem follows. \blacksquare

6.4. We need the following basic result:

Lemma. — *Let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes (not necessary of finite type). Let \mathcal{L} be a locally constant constructible flat Λ -module on Y . Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in D^+(X, \Lambda)$ on X , we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{R}g_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{R}g_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes g^* \mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. The construction of the homomorphism is standard using adjoints. Since, now, the claim is local, we may assume that Y is the spectrum of a strictly henselian ring. In this situation, we may assume that \mathcal{L} is the constant sheaf $\Lambda^{\oplus n}$, in which case, the claim is obvious. \blacksquare

6.5 Proposition. — *Let X, S be schemes of finite type over a perfect field k such that S is smooth and integral. Let \mathcal{F} be an object of $D_{\text{ctf}}^+(X)$, and $f: X \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ be an S -morphism, in other words, a “function parameterized by S ”. Let $x \in X, s \in S$ be points, and we denote by $f_s := f|_{X \times \{s\}}$. We put $\Psi_{(x,s)} := \Psi_{(s, \infty) \leftarrow \eta}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(f_t))_{\bar{x}}$, where the nearby cycle is taken with respect to the projection $X \times S \times \square \rightarrow S \times \square$, η is the generic point of $S \times \square$, and $\mathcal{L}_!$ is the zero extension of \mathcal{L} .*

1. *Assume f_s is $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at x (namely, f_s is smooth at x and $df_s(x) \cap \text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) = \{0\}$ in $T_x^* X$). Then $\Psi_{(x,s)} = 0$.*
2. *Assume $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ and x is an isolated characteristic point of f . Then $\dim(\Psi_x) = -\text{totdim}(\Phi_f(\mathcal{F}))$.*

Proof. Let us initialize the notation for a moment. Let S be a spectrum of a noetherian henselian local scheme, and $Y \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type. Let $g: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of noetherian henselian local schemes, and take geometric points $y \rightarrow Y, s' \rightarrow S'$ such that $g(s') = f(y)$ and s' lies over the closed point of S' . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Y_{S'})_{(y,s')} & \xrightarrow{k} & Z & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y_{(y)} \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow f' & \square & \downarrow f \\ & & S' & \xrightarrow{g} & S. \end{array}$$

Let $\Psi_{f'}$ be the nearby cycle functor $D^+(Z) \rightarrow D^+(Z \overleftarrow{\times}_{S', S'})$. For $\mathcal{G} \in D^+(Z)$, we have $\text{R}h_*(k^* \mathcal{G}) \cong \Psi_{f'} \mathcal{G}|_{(y,s') \overleftarrow{\times}_{S', S'}}$ by [I, the next equation to (1.12.10)]. Now, let \mathcal{F} be an object of $D^+(Y_{(y)})$ which is f -good. The goodness implies that $\Psi_{f'} g'^* \mathcal{F} \cong (g' \overleftarrow{\times}_{g, g})^* \Psi_f \mathcal{F}$. Thus, using the above formula of Illusie twice, we have $\text{R}h_* k^* g'^* \mathcal{F} \cong g^* \text{R}f_* \mathcal{F}$.

Now, let the notation be as in the statement of the proposition. We denote the strict henselian scheme $(S \times \square)_{(\bar{s}, \infty)}$ by T , and abusing notation, let η be its generic point. By definition of the nearby cycle functor, $\Psi_{(x,s)}$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma((X \times S \times \square)_{(\bar{x}, \bar{s}, \infty)} \times_T \bar{\eta}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(ft)).$$

To compute this, we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(X \times S \times \square)_{(\bar{x}, \bar{s}, \infty)} \xrightarrow{f'=(f \times \mathrm{id})_{(\bar{x}, \bar{s}, \infty)}} (\mathbb{A}_y^1 \times T)_{(f(\bar{x}), \bar{s}, \infty)} \xrightarrow{\pi} T = (S \times \square)_{(\bar{s}, \infty)}$$

$\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2}$

where π, pr_2 denote the projections. We denote by f'_η and π_η the fiber of f' and π over η . By smooth base change, we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma((X \times S \times \square)_{(\bar{x}, \bar{s}, \infty)} \times_T \bar{\eta}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_!(ft)) \cong (\mathrm{R}\pi_{\eta*} \mathrm{R}f'_{\eta*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft)))_{\bar{\eta}}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.4 and the definition of $\mathcal{L}(ft)$, we have

$$\mathrm{R}f'_{\eta*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft)) \cong \mathrm{R}f'_{\eta*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes f'^* \mathcal{L}(yt)) \cong \mathrm{R}f'_{\eta*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(yt).$$

Now let $f_{(x,s)}: (X \times S)_{(\bar{x}, \bar{s})} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_S^1)_{(f(\bar{x}), \bar{s})}$ be the canonical morphism. We assume that \mathcal{F} is $f_{(x,s)}$ -good. Then the observation at the beginning implies that $\mathrm{R}f'_{\eta*}(\mathcal{F}) \cong h^* \mathrm{R}f_{(x,s)*}(\mathcal{F})$, where $h: (\mathbb{A}^1 \times T)_{(f(\bar{x}), \bar{s}, \infty)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_S^1)_{(f(\bar{x}), \bar{s})}$. Summing up, under the goodness assumption, we have

$$(\star) \quad \Psi_{(x,s)} \cong (\mathrm{R}\pi_{\eta*}(h^* \mathrm{R}f_{(x,s)*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(yt)))_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \Psi_{(s, \infty) \leftarrow \eta}(h^* \mathrm{R}f_{(x,s)*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(yt)).$$

Let us show the second statement first. In this case, since $(\mathbb{A}^1)_{(f(x), s)}$ is a henselian *trait*, \mathcal{F} is already good and we have (\star) . Invoking the result of Laumon, Theorem 6.3, we get the result.

Let us show the first statement. Under the assumption, we claim that $f_{(x,s)}$ is universally locally acyclic with respect to \mathcal{F} . Admitting this for a moment, this implies that \mathcal{F} is $f_{(x,s)}$ -good, and we have the isomorphism (\star) . Furthermore, $\mathrm{R}f_{(x,s)*}(\mathcal{F})$ is constant on $(\mathbb{A}_S^1)_{(f(x), s)}$, with value $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}$, by [SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Th. Finitude, A.2.6 and A.2.4]. By the theorem of Katz-Laumon (cf. [La, 1.3.1.2]), we know that $\Psi_{(s, \infty) \leftarrow \eta}(\mathcal{L}(yt))_{f(\bar{x})} = 0$, and the result follows. To conclude the proof, let us show the local acyclicity of $f_{(x,s)}$.

In fact, the claim follows easily from the definition of singular support as follows: Consider the test pair $(X \xleftarrow{p_1} X \times S \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}_S^1)$. By definition of singular support, it suffices to show that the test pair is $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at $(x, s) \in X \times S$. Since p_1 is smooth, $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ is p_1 -transversal, and $p_1^* \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \times S \cong \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \times T_S^* S \subset T^* X \times T^* S$. Let (x_1, \dots, x_d) and (s_1, \dots, s_n) be local coordinates of X and S at x and s . Let y be the coordinate of \mathbb{A}_S^1 . Then $df(dy) = \omega$ such that $\omega(x, s) = df_s(x) + g_1 ds_1 + \dots + g_n ds_n$, and $df(ds_i) = ds_i$. This presentation implies that

$$(df)^{-1}(\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \times T_S^* S) \times_S \{s\} \xrightarrow{\sim} (df_s)^{-1}(\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})) \times \{s\},$$

where the morphism is induced by $T^* \mathbb{A}^1 \times T^* S \rightarrow T^* \mathbb{A}^1 \times S$. Thus, the test pair is $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at (x, s) if and only if f_s is $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ -transversal at x . \blacksquare

6.6 Corollary. — Assume we are in the situation of 5.14. Assume further that the trace map of the motivic cohomology theory is chosen so that the induced map

$$z^{\mathrm{SV}}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(k), 0) \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(k)) \cong \mathrm{CH}_0(\mathrm{Spec}(k))[1/p]$$

sends $[\mathrm{Spec}(k)]$ to $[\mathrm{Spec}(k)]$, which exists by [A2, 2.5, 5.4]. Let X be a smooth scheme over a perfect field k , and let $\mathcal{F} \in D_{\mathrm{ctf}}(X, \Lambda)$.

1. The class $[\mathcal{F}] \in K_0\text{Cons}(X)$ is microsupported on $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ in the sense of Definition 3.6.
2. We have $\text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu([\mathcal{F}]) = \text{CC}(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. The first claim follows immediately from Proposition 6.5.1. For the second claim, we only need to check the Milnor formula (6.2.i) for $\text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu([\mathcal{F}])$. Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a morphism such that $U \subset X \otimes_k l$ for some finite extension l of k as in Definition 6.2. Since $\text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu$ is stable under base change by étale extension of k , we may assume that $l = k$. We wish to show that the left side of (6.2.i) is equal to $(df, \text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu([\mathcal{F}]))$. Let $Z := \iota_U^{-1}(df)^{-1}(C)$, using the notation of Definition 6.2, where $C = \text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$. By definition, Z is of dimension 0. This implies that $\mathcal{E}'_\infty(-, f)$ induces the homomorphism $\mathcal{S}(U)_C \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(U)$ by Example 4.3.2. Put $V := U \setminus Z$. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & z(Z, 0) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(Z, 0) \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\phi & & \mathcal{S}(U)_C & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_\infty(-, f)} & \mathcal{S}_0(U) & \xrightarrow{d_U} & z(U, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(U, 0) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
& & \mathcal{S}(V)_C & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_\infty(-, f)} & \mathcal{S}_0(V) & \xrightarrow{d_V} & z(V, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(V, 0).
\end{array}$$

Let ϕ be the composition of the 2nd row. Since $\mathcal{E}'_\infty([\mathcal{F}], f)|_V = 0$, this yields a homotopy between $\text{res} \circ \phi([\mathcal{F}])$ and 0. Since the last column is a cofiber sequence, this homotopy determines an element in $\pi_0 \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}(U \setminus V, 0) \cong \text{CH}_0(U \setminus V)[1/p] \cong \bigoplus_{x \in |U \setminus V|} \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \cdot [x]$. By construction, this element coincides with $(df, \text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu([\mathcal{F}]))$. Now, by definition, $\mathcal{E}'([\mathcal{F}], f) = [\Psi_{\infty \leftarrow \eta}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(ft))]$, where η is the generic point of \square . By Proposition 6.5.2, $d_U \circ \mathcal{E}'([\mathcal{F}], f)$ coincides with the cycle $-\sum_x \text{totdim}(\Phi_f(\mathcal{F}|_{U \setminus \bar{x}})) \cdot [x]$ in $z(U \setminus V)$. Thus, the Milnor formula holds for $\text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu([\mathcal{F}])$, which terminates the proof. \blacksquare

Remark. — In view of Theorem 5.13, we have the pushforward formula for $\text{CC}_{X/\text{Spec}(k)}^\mu$. Together with Remark 5.13, this corollary implies that [S2, Conjecture 1] is true if we invert $\text{char}(k)$ in $\text{CH}_d(T^*Y)$, as we promised in the introduction.

A. Functoriality of ∞ -topoi

A.1. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos (cf. [HTT, 6.1.0.4]), and \mathcal{D} be an ∞ -category. Recall from [SAG, 1.3.1.4] that the ∞ -category of \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves denoted by $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ spanned by limit preserving functors. For an ∞ -site \mathcal{C} (i.e. small ∞ -categories endowed with Grothendieck topology [HTT, 6.2.2.1]), we may define the notion of \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves on \mathcal{C} by descent property (cf. [SAG, 1.3.1.1]) and this forms an ∞ -category $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$. The ∞ -categories $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}))$ and $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{C})$ do not coincide in general, but if \mathcal{D} admits small limits, these two ∞ -categories coincide by [SAG, 1.3.1.7]. In this paper, we talk about \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves exclusively in the case where \mathcal{D} is presentable. In particular, in that case, \mathcal{D} admits small limits by [HTT, 5.5.2.4]. For this reason, we identify the above two ∞ -categories without further mentioning.

A.2. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -site. Instead of saying that a subcategory $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathcal{C}/C$ is a sieve of C (cf. [HTT, 6.2.2.1]), we often say that $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow C$ is a sieve for short. Let us recall a well-known construction of geometric morphisms of ∞ -topoi.

Definition. — Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be ∞ -sites. Let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. The morphism f is said to be *continuous* if any covering sieve $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow C$ of $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the sieve of $f(C)$ generated by $\{f(r) \rightarrow f(C)\}_{r \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a covering sieve in \mathcal{D} .

Let us recall the following analogues of [SGA 4, Exp. III, 1.6 and 1.3 (5)]:

Lemma. — *Let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a continuous morphism between ∞ -sites.*

1. *Assume that \mathcal{C} admits pullbacks, and f commutes with pullbacks. Let \mathcal{E} be a presentable ∞ -category. Then the functor $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C})$ defined by composing with f^{op} factors through $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C})$, and defines a functor $f^{\mathrm{s}}: \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{C})$.*
2. *Assume further that \mathcal{C} admits finite limits, and f commutes with finite limits. Then f^{s} admits an exact left adjoint, and defines a geometric morphism $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ of ∞ -topoi.*

Proof. Let us show the first statement. By a similar argument to the beginning of the proof of [SAG, A.3.3.1], it suffices to show the claim when $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{Spc}$. This case follows by [SAG, 20.6.1.3]. The second claim follows by [HTT, 6.2.3.20] since the composition $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$ commutes with finite limits by [HTT, 5.1.3.2]. \blacksquare

A.3 Lemma. — *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi, and let \mathcal{D} be a presentable ∞ -category. The composition with $(f^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ induces the functor $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}: \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y})$, and it admits a left adjoint $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}$. The functors $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}, f_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ are often denoted by f_*, f^* for simplicity.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram of ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{Y}}} & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \\ & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow G \\ \downarrow F \end{array} \\ \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

where $F := \circ(f^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$, and G is a left Kan extension whose existence is assured by [HTT, 4.3.2.15] and the assumption that \mathcal{D} is presentable. Thus, by [HTT, 4.3.3.7], G is left adjoint to F . Now, since f^* preserves small colimits, its opposite $(f^*)^{\mathrm{op}}$ preserves small limits. This implies that the essential image of $F \circ \iota_{\mathcal{X}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y})$, and yields the desired functor $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}$. The functor $\iota_{\mathcal{X}}$ admits a left adjoint $L_{\mathcal{X}}$ by [SAG, 1.3.4.3]. The composition $L_{\mathcal{X}} \circ G \circ \iota_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a left adjoint to $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}$. Indeed, given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y})$, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(L_{\mathcal{X}} \circ G \circ \iota_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\iota_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G}), \iota_{\mathcal{Y}} f_*^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}))$$

by the adjointness, and since $\iota_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is fully faithful, we get the claim. \blacksquare

A.4. We consider the following condition:

- (*) Let \mathcal{A} be a closed symmetric monoidal ∞ -category (cf. [HA, 4.2.1.32]) and \mathcal{D} be an ∞ -category enriched, left-tensored, and cotensored over \mathcal{A} (cf. [HA, 4.2.1.28]). Furthermore, we assume that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} are compactly generated (cf. [HTT, 5.5.7.1]), in particular presentable.

Very informally, these mean that we are endowed with left-tensor functor $\otimes: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (as well as great amount of homotopy coherence data), and for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $d, d' \in \mathcal{D}$, the morphism object $\mathrm{Mor}(d, d') \in \mathcal{A}$ and cotensor object ${}^a d \in \mathcal{D}$ are defined. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. By [HA, 2.1.3.4], $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ is left-tensored over $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{A})$. This left-tensor structure descends to a left-tensor structure for sheaves as follows:

Lemma. — *For an ∞ -topos \mathcal{X} , the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is closed symmetric monoidal, and $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is an ∞ -category enriched, left-tensored, and cotensored over $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. We borrow the argument from [SAG, 1.3.4.6]. Let $\otimes: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ denote the left-tensor functor (cf. [HA, 4.2.1.19]). The existence of morphism objects and exponential objects implies that \otimes commutes with colimits separately in each variable. By [HTT, 5.5.8.6, 5.5.8.3], the functor \otimes commutes with small *filtered* colimits. Now, the left-tensor on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ is pointwise, and can be described as the composition

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}) \times \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}),$$

Note that the product of compactly generated ∞ -categories remains to be compactly generated by [HTT, 5.5.7.6]. By [SAG, 1.3.4.4], since we are assuming \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D} are compactly generated, this functor preserves L -equivalences. Similarly, the monoidal structure of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A})$ preserves L -equivalence as well. Thus, as [HA, 2.2.1.7], the left-tensor and monoidal structure are compatible with L , and invoking [HA, 2.2.1.9], we conclude that $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is left-tensored over it. Moreover, [HA, 2.2.1.9] implies that for $A \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ and $D \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$, the left-tensor $A \otimes D$ is equivalent to $L(\iota(A) \otimes \iota(D))$, where $\iota: \text{Shv}_{-}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, -)$ is the inclusion functor. This presentation implies that the left-tensor $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}) \times \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ commutes with small colimits separately in each variable. Since $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ are presentable, by [HA, 4.2.1.33], $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is cotensored and enriched. \blacksquare

The morphism object functor and exponential object functor are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Mor}}_{\mathcal{X}}: \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) &\rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}), \\ \underline{\text{Cot}}_{\mathcal{X}}: \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) &\rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. — Let us give two examples of compactly generated ∞ -categories we use in this paper. First, let \mathcal{C} be a compactly generated symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and the tensor product preserves small colimits separately in each variable. Then for an algebra object A of \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category $\text{RMod}_A(\mathcal{C})$ is compactly generated. In fact, the proof of [HA, 5.3.2.12 (3)] shows this.

Secondly, let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos such that $\text{Shv}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\mathcal{X})$ is a compactly generated for some commutative ring R . Let \mathcal{D} be a compactly generated R -linear ∞ -category (cf. [SAG, D.1.4.1]). Then since $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Shv}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}$ by [SAG, 1.3.1.6], the ∞ -category $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a compactly generated ∞ -category by [SAG, D.5.3.3].

A.5 Lemma. — *We assume (*) of A.4. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. Let I be a simplicial set, and $F: I \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$, $G: I \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ be functors. For $A \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ and $D \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$, we have equivalences*

$$\underline{\text{Cot}}(\varinjlim(F), D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \underline{\text{Cot}}(F, D), \quad \underline{\text{Cot}}(A, \varinjlim(G)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \underline{\text{Cot}}(A, G).$$

We have similar equivalences also for $\underline{\text{Mor}}$.

Proof. It suffices to show the equivalences after applying $\text{Map}(E, -)$ for $E \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$. This follows by the fact that \otimes commutes with small colimits separately in each variable. \blacksquare

A.6 Lemma. — *We assume (*) of A.4. Assume we are given a geometric morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ∞ -topoi. Then $f_{\mathcal{A}}^*: \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X})$ can be promoted to a monoidal functor and $f_{\mathcal{D}}^*: \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$ is monoidal as well with respect to the left-tensor structure (more precisely \mathcal{LM}^{\otimes} -monoidal using the notation of [HA, 4.2.1.13]).*

Proof. Let $f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{P}}: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$, or $f^{\mathbb{P}}$ for short, be the left adjoint of the functor $(\circ f^*)$. Recall from the proof of Lemma A.3 that $f_{\mathcal{D}}^* \simeq L_{\mathcal{X}} \circ f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{P}}$. Assume that $D \rightarrow D'$ is an $L_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -equivalence in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$. For any E in $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$\text{Map}(f^{\mathbb{P}}D', E) \simeq \text{Map}(D', E \circ f^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(D, E \circ f^*) \simeq \text{Map}(f^{\mathbb{P}}D, E),$$

where the second equivalence follows since $E \circ f^*$ remains to be a sheaf. By definition (cf. [HTT, 5.5.4.1]), the localization functor L is compatible with $f^{\mathbb{P}}$, namely $f^{\mathbb{P}}(D) \rightarrow f^{\mathbb{P}}(D')$ is $L_{\mathcal{X}}$ -equivalent.

For $Z \in \{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}\}$, let $\mathcal{C}_Z^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{LM}^{\otimes}$ be the coCartesian fibration of ∞ -operads which exhibits the pointwise left-tensor structure of $\text{Fun}(Z^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$. Then we have the localization map $L_Z^{\otimes}: \mathcal{C}_Z^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_Z^{\otimes}$ so that \mathcal{D}_Z^{\otimes} exhibits the left-tensor structure of $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(Z)$ over $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z)$. Since L_Z^{\otimes} and the inclusion are maps of ∞ -operads by [HA, 2.2.1.9], we define a map of ∞ -operads as the composition

$$F: \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{f^{\mathbb{P}, \otimes}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\otimes}.$$

By construction of f^* in Lemma A.3, the underlying functor coincides with $f_{\mathcal{A}}^* \times f_{\mathcal{D}}^*$. We must show that this map preserves coCartesian edges (over \mathcal{LM}^{\otimes}) to conclude the proof. It suffices to show that for $A, A' \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y})$, $D \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Y})$, the morphisms

$$F(A \otimes A') \rightarrow F(A) \otimes F(A'), \quad F(A \otimes D) \rightarrow F(A) \otimes F(D)$$

are equivalences. These follow by the compatibility of L and $f_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{P}}, f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{P}}$. ■

Corollary. — *We assume (*) of A.4. Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi. For $A \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $D \in \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{X})$, we have canonical equivalences*

$$\underline{\text{Mor}}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A, f_*^{\mathbb{D}}D) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*^{\mathbb{D}} \underline{\text{Mor}}_{\mathcal{X}}(f_{\mathcal{A}}^*(A), D), \quad \underline{\text{Cot}}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A, f_*^{\mathbb{D}}D) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*^{\mathbb{D}} \underline{\text{Cot}}_{\mathcal{X}}(f_{\mathcal{A}}^*(A), D).$$

Proof. These follow by the adjointness of the pair $(f_{\mathcal{D}}^*, f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{D}})$ and the previous lemma. ■

A.7. We finish this section by introducing a family version of ∞ -site.

Definition (cf. [SGA 4, Exp. VI, 7.2.1, 7.4.2]). — 1. A *Cartesian ∞ -site*⁽⁸⁾ is a Cartesian fibration $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of ∞ -categories equipped with Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{X}_c for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the following holds: 1. for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the fiber \mathcal{X}_c admits pullbacks; 2. for each morphism $c \rightarrow c'$ in \mathcal{C} , the associated functor $\mathcal{X}_{c'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_c$ is continuous with respect to the Grothendieck topologies and commutes with pullbacks.

2. The *total topology* on \mathcal{X} is the topology generated by the coverings $\{\iota_c(X_i) \rightarrow \iota_c(X)\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering in \mathcal{X}_c and $\iota_c: \mathcal{X}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the inclusion.

A.8. For a Cartesian ∞ -site, it is convenient to introduce some generalization of \mathcal{D} -valued sheaves. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a Cartesian ∞ -site, and let $p: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be a presentable fibration. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) := \text{Func}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$. Let $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ spanned by functors \mathcal{F} such that for any sieve $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{X} with respect to the total topology on \mathcal{X} , the diagram $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleleft} \cong (\mathbb{R}^{\text{p}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}$ is a p -limit diagram.

Lemma. — 1. *The ∞ -category $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ is stable if each fiber of p is stable.*

⁽⁸⁾Strictly speaking, the definition is not compatible with that of SGA even in the case of 1-topos. In order to be compatible with that of SGA, we should have assumed the existence of finite limits for each fiber and finite limits should be preserved by pullbacks. We could have employed the finite limit version, but to clarify what we need in the discussion, we used pullback version.

2. A presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a sheaf if and only if for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the fiber $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_c^{\text{op}}}$ is a \mathcal{D}_c -valued sheaf on \mathcal{X}_c .
3. There exists a localization functor $L: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ is stable by [HA, 1.1.4.5] (applied to $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}})$). Let us show 1. It suffices to show that given a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ in $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$, the cofiber computed in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a sheaf. Let \mathcal{H} be the cofiber in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$. It suffices to show that $p\text{-}\varprojlim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p\text{-}\varprojlim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow p\text{-}\varprojlim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}$, where $p\text{-}\varprojlim$ denotes the p -limit and $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a covering sieve, is a cofiber sequence in \mathcal{D}_X . Since \mathcal{D}_X is stable, this is equivalent to showing that the sequence is a fiber sequence. Since the formation of fiber sequence commutes with p -limits, it suffices to show that the sequence $\mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Y)$ is a fiber sequence for any $Y \in \mathcal{X}$, which follows since the sequence is a cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$.

Let us show 2. First, let us show the claim in the case $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ for some presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{D}_0 . In this case, $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_0 \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(\mathcal{X})$. Assume \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{D}_0 -valued sheaf. Since the functor $\mathcal{X}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuous and commutes with pullbacks, $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_c^{\text{op}}}$ is a sheaf by Lemma A.2. Assume \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{D}_0 -valued presheaf such that the restriction to \mathcal{X}_c is a sheaf for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Now, an obvious ∞ -categorical analogue of [SGA 4, Exp. II, 2.2] for \mathcal{D}_0 -valued presheaves hold. Since the formation of the generating families $\{\iota_c(X_i) \rightarrow \iota_c(X)\}_{i \in I}$ is stable under pullbacks by the assumption that the associated functor $\mathcal{X}_{c'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_c$ commutes with pullbacks, it suffices to show the Čech descent for the generating families. This follows since the functor $\iota_c: \mathcal{X}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ commutes with pullbacks.

Let us handle the general case. Assume we are given a \mathcal{D} -valued presheaf \mathcal{F} so that $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_c}$ is a \mathcal{D}_c -valued sheaf for any c . Let $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be a sieve, and we wish to show that the diagram $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a p -limit diagram. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}$ be the image of X . By replacing \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{C}/_c$, which does not affect the p -limit since $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is presentable, we may assume that c is a final object in \mathcal{C} . Consider the functor $\mathcal{D} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ sending $\mathcal{D} \times \{0\}$ to c and $\mathcal{D} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is p . We consider the p -right Kan extension of $\text{id}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \times \Delta^1$. By restricting the Kan extension to $\mathcal{D} \times \{0\}$, we have a functor $\alpha: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ of presentable fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} . Informally, this is the functor sending $d \in \mathcal{D}$ to $F(d)$ where $F: \mathcal{D}_{p(d)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c$ is the associated functor. It suffices to show that $(\mathbb{R}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleleft} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_c \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is a p -limit diagram by [HTT, 4.3.1.9]. For this, it suffices to show that $\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}$ is a \mathcal{D}_c -valued sheaf. By what we have shown, we must show that $(\alpha \circ \mathcal{F})|_{\mathcal{X}_{c'}}$ is a sheaf for any $c' \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\alpha_{c'}: \mathcal{D}_{c'} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c$ commutes with limits, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_{c'}}$ is a sheaf, but this is the assumption. The other direction can be checked similarly.

Let us show 3. For $c \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the restriction functor $r_c: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{X}_c)$. By considering the p -left Kan extension of $\mathcal{X}_c^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_c \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ along $\mathcal{X}_c^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}$, r_c admits a left adjoint by [HTT, 4.3.2.16]. Let \mathcal{S}_c be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ spanned by objects \mathcal{F} such that $r_c(\mathcal{F})$ belongs to $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}_c}(\mathcal{X}_c)$. By [HTT, 5.5.4.17], \mathcal{S}_c is strongly reflective. By 2, we have $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{S}_c$, thus by [HTT, 5.5.4.18], $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ is strongly reflective as well, and the existence of L follows. \blacksquare

B. Family of morphism objects and end calculus

B.1. Let $p: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coCartesian fibration. Let $\tau: \text{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ be the functor sending \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}^{op} . We have the coCartesian fibration $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} := \text{Un}(\tau \circ \text{St}(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. This is a coCartesian fibration whose fiber over $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{op}}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ depends on p , the notation is ambiguous, and we use the notation unless any confusion may arise. The construction is functorial for functors which preserve coCartesian edges. Namely, if we are given a functor $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ which preserves coCartesian edges, we have the induced functor $\tilde{F}: \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}'}$. Caution that if F does

not preserve coCartesian edges, we generally do not have the induced functor. We also have a similar construction for Cartesian fibrations. If $q: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a Cartesian fibration, we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the Cartesian fibration whose fiber over any $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is $q^{-1}(c)^{\text{op}}$ constructed similarly. By construction, we have $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}}$ for a coCartesian fibration \mathcal{D} over \mathcal{C} .

Some models of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ are known, but let us recall that of Lurie from [SAG, 14.4.2]. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a map of simplicial sets. We put $\text{Dl}(f) := f_*(X \times \text{Spc})$ (cf. 2.1), which we also denote by $\text{Dl}(X)$. The fiber of $\text{Dl}(f)$ over $s \in S$ is $\text{Fun}(X_s, \text{Spc}) \cong \mathcal{P}(X_s^{\text{op}})$. The simplicial set $\text{Dl}^0(f)$ is the full simplicial subset of $\text{Dl}(f)$ spanned by vertices of the form $\text{Map}(x, -): X_s \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ for some $s \in S$ and $x \in X_s$. In other words, vertices of $\text{Dl}^0(f)$ correspond to corepresentable functors. If f is a coCartesian fibration, $\text{Dl}^0(f)$ and $\text{Dl}(f)$ are coCartesian fibrations as well by [SAG, 14.4.2.3]. By [SAG, 14.4.2.4]⁽⁹⁾, $\text{Dl}^0(f)$ is categorically equivalent to \widetilde{X} .

Now, let $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be coCartesian fibrations, and assume we are given a functor $t: \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$. By definition, this functor is equivalent to giving a functor $t': \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Dl}(\mathcal{D})$. Assume the following condition holds:

For each $e \in \mathcal{E}$, the functor $t(-, e): \mathcal{D}_{t(e)} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ is corepresentable.

Then t' factors through $\text{Dl}^0(\mathcal{D})$. Note that the above condition can be checked fiberwise.

B.2. We will perform a relative version of the construction of [HA, 4.2.1.31]. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, and let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $p: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be coCartesian fibrations. Assume we are given a functor $t: \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ over \mathcal{C} which preserves coCartesian edges. The functor t is called the *left-tensor functor relative to \mathcal{C}* . Because of this preservation property, we may consider \widetilde{t} . This induces the functor

$$\tau: \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq (\mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M})^{\sim} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{t} \times \text{id}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Spc},$$

where the second map is the map corresponding to $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Dl}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Dl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$, or informally, the functor sending (m, m') to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(m, m')$. This induces the functors

$$t': \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Dl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}), \quad t'': \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Dl}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}).$$

Consider the following conditions:

1. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}_c$, the functor $\tau(-, m, m') \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}_c}(t(-, m), m'): (\mathcal{A}_c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ is corepresentable in $\mathcal{A}_c^{\text{op}}$ (equivalently, representable in \mathcal{A}_c);
2. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_c$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_c$, the functor $\tau(a, -, m): (\mathcal{M}_c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ is corepresentable in $\mathcal{M}_c^{\text{op}}$.

If the first condition is satisfied, then t' induces $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Dl}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}) \simeq \mathcal{A}$ by the observation in B.1. This functor is denoted by $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}$. If the second condition is satisfied, then t'' induces $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Dl}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \mathcal{M}$. This functor is denoted by $\text{Cot}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Remark. — Let $e: x \rightarrow y$ be an edge in \mathcal{C} , and assume we are given $a \in \mathcal{A}_x$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_x$. Then the functor $\text{Cot}_{\mathcal{M}}: \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ induces the morphism $\widetilde{e}: e_! \text{Cot}_{\mathcal{M}}(a, m) \rightarrow \text{Cot}_{\mathcal{M}}(e_! a, e_! m)$ where $e_!$ denotes the coCartesian pushforward along e . This edge can be described up to homotopy equivalence as follows: Consider the composition of morphisms

$$t(e_!(a) \boxtimes e_! \text{Cot}(a, m)) \xleftarrow{\sim} e_! t(a \boxtimes \text{Cot}(a, m)) \rightarrow e_! m,$$

⁽⁹⁾We think that the claim of the reference contains typos, and q in [SAG, 14.4.2.4] should be a coCartesian fibration not a Cartesian fibration. Indeed, if we are given a Cartesian fibration $q: X \rightarrow S$, the map $\text{Dl}^0(p) \rightarrow S$ may no longer be Cartesian. To see this, consider a presentable fibration $q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ whose associated left adjoint $F: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ does *not* admit a left adjoint. By [SAG, 14.4.2.3 (4)], $\text{Dl}^0(q) \rightarrow S$ is a coCartesian fibration. If it were a Cartesian fibration as well, then F^{op} would admit a right adjoint, which would imply that F admits a left adjoint. This is a contradiction.

where the first morphism is an equivalence since t is assumed to preserve coCartesian edges. By taking adjoint, this morphism yields $e_! \text{Cot}(a, m) \rightarrow \text{Cot}(e_! a, e_! m)$. Unwinding the definition, this morphism is homotopic to \tilde{e} .

B.3. For an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the ∞ -category of twisted arrows $\text{Tw}\mathcal{C}$ is defined in [HA, 5.2.1.1]. If we are given a categorical equivalence $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of ∞ -categories, the induced functor $\text{Tw}(F)$ is also a categorical equivalence. Indeed, it suffices to show that the induced functor $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Tw}(\mathcal{D}) \times_{(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}})} (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$ is a categorical equivalence, but since both are right fibrations over $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ by [HA, 5.2.1.3], the verification is fiberwise. On the other hand, since each fiber is the ∞ -groupoid of mapping spaces, the claim follows. Thus, since Cat_∞ is the localization of Set_Δ° (i.e. the full subcategory of Set_Δ spanned by fibrant and cofibrant objects) with respect to categorical equivalences, Tw induces the functor $\text{Tw}: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$. Let $p: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coCartesian fibration. We put $\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}) := \text{Un}(\text{Tw} \circ \text{St}(p))$, which is the coCartesian fibration over \mathcal{C} whose fiber over $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{D}_c)$. This is equipped with a functor $\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} which preserves coCartesian edges.

Now, let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coCartesian fibration, and assume we are given functors $F: \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ over \mathcal{C} , and a section $m: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then this induces a functor

$$F \boxtimes G: \text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{F \times G} \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{M}.$$

Let $\text{tw}_\mathcal{E}: \text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the canonical functor. The *space of extranatural transform* is defined by

$$\text{Ex}(F \times G, m) := \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})}(F \boxtimes G, m \circ \text{tw})$$

A vertex of the space, an extranatural transform, is denoted by $F \times G \xrightarrow{\bullet} m$.

The *coend of $F \times G$ relative to \mathcal{C}* is the p -left Kan extension of $F \boxtimes G$ along the morphism $\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and denoted by $F \otimes G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. By definition, we have the equivalence

$$\text{Ex}(F \times G, m) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})}(F \otimes G, m).$$

B.4. From now on, we assume the following:

The coCartesian fibrations $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are presentable fibrations, and the left-tensor commutes with colimits in each variable fiberwise.

Let us fix a functor $G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then we have the functors

$$\text{RT}_G: \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}), \quad \text{LT}_F: \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}),$$

sending F to $F \otimes G$ and sending G to $F \otimes G$. Let us show that these functors admit right adjoints. We only treat the case of RT_G since the existence of an adjoint of LT_F can be shown similarly. First, RT_G commutes with arbitrary colimits. Indeed, since $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be presentable and in particular a Cartesian fibration, colimits in $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \text{Fun}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ can be computed pointwise by [HTT, 5.1.2.2]. Similarly, colimits in $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ can also be computed pointwise. Thus, the functor $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$, sending $F: \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ to the composition $\text{Tw}_\mathcal{C} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{F \times G} \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{M}$, commutes with colimits since the left-tensor functor commutes with colimits separately in each variable by assumption. Since the left Kan extension functor commutes with colimits by (obvious relative version of) [HTT, 4.3.3.7], RT_G commutes with colimits. By [HTT, 5.5.3.17], both $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ are presentable. Thus, by adjoint functor theorem [HTT, 5.5.2.9], RT_G admits a right adjoint. Let us denote this adjoint by M_G . The right adjoint of LT_F is denoted by ${}^F(\cdot)$.

Now, assume G preserves coCartesian edges. Then, we have the functor

$$\mathrm{Mor}(G, m): \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{G} \times m} \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{A}.$$

Similarly, if F preserves coCartesian edges, we have

$$\mathrm{Cot}(F, m): \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\tilde{F} \times m} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cot}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Lemma. — *Assume further that $\mathcal{C} = \Delta^0$. Then we have canonical equivalences $M_G(m) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}(G, m)$ and ${}^F m \simeq \mathrm{Cot}(F, m)$.*

Proof. Since the proof for the first and the second equivalence are similar, we only show the first one. We must show that there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{A})}(F, \mathrm{Mor}(G, m)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \otimes G, m).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{A})}(F, \mathrm{Mor}(G, m)) &\simeq \varprojlim_{(x \rightarrow y) \in \mathrm{Tw}^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}})} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(F(x), \mathrm{Mor}(G, m)(y)) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{(x \rightarrow y) \in \mathrm{Tw}^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}})} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(F(x) \otimes G(y), m) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(\varinjlim_{(x \rightarrow y) \in \mathrm{Tw}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}})} F(x) \otimes G(y), m) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \otimes G, m), \end{aligned}$$

where we used [GHN, 5.1] for the first equivalence. ■

Remark. — We believe that the lemma holds for any \mathcal{C} and any F, G which preserve coCartesian edges over \mathcal{C} . In fact, if we can construct a suitable morphism $\mathrm{Mor}(G, m) \otimes G \rightarrow m$, then by the base change property, Proposition B.8, the verification is reduced to the case $\mathcal{C} = \Delta^0$. We have just checked the equivalence in this case. We will show various properties of M_G and ${}^F(-)$ that we use in the main text, but these properties immediately follow if we can show the above lemma for general \mathcal{C} .

B.5. It is a nice occasion to record the following straightforwardly corollary of [GHN, 5.1]:

Lemma. — *Let \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D} be ∞ -categories, let $F, G, H: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors, and let $\alpha: F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$. Assume that for any objects x, y in \mathcal{E} , the induced morphism $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(G(x), H(y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), H(y))$ is an equivalence. Then the induced morphism $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})}(G, H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})}(F, H)$ is an equivalence.*

B.6 Lemma. — *Let K be a simplicial set and $F, G: K \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}$ be functors. Let $X \rightarrow K$ be the left fibration classified by F . Then we have a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(K, \mathrm{Spc})}(F, G) \simeq \varprojlim (X \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{G} \mathrm{Spc}).$$

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathrm{Un}(F) \simeq X$. By [HTT, 2.2.1.2], we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_K(\mathrm{Un}(F), \mathrm{Un}(G)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Un}(F)}(\mathrm{Un}(F), \mathrm{Un}(G) \times_K \mathrm{Un}(F)).$$

Invoking [HTT, 3.3.3.4], we get the lemma. ■

B.7. In order to facilitate the presentation, we use end/coend notation. Assume we are given a functor $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between ∞ -categories. We define

$$\int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} F(c, c) := \varprojlim (\text{Tw}^{\text{op}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}), \quad \int^{c \in \mathcal{C}} F(c, c) := \varinjlim (\text{Tw} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D})$$

and call them the *end* and *coend* respectively. Even though the notation is slightly ambiguous, we do not think it causes any confusion. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category admitting colimits. Then \mathcal{C} is left tensored over Spc by [HTT, 4.4.4]. For $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \in \text{Spc}$, the left tensor is denoted by $X \cdot C$. The following crucial results are ∞ -categorical analogues of well-known properties of coend in the ordinary category theory:

Lemma. — *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between ∞ -categories, and $G: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a right fibration. Then, we have a canonical equivalence*

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{X}} (F \circ G) \simeq \int^{c \in \mathcal{C}} G^{-1}(c) \cdot F(c).$$

If we are given a map of right fibrations over \mathcal{C} , then this equivalence is functorial in an evident manner.

Proof. For any $y \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\int^{c \in \mathcal{C}} \text{St}(G)(c) \cdot F(c), y \right) &\simeq \int_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Map}_{\text{Spc}}(\text{St}(G)(c), \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(c), y)) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Spc})}(\text{St}(G), \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(-), y)) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(F \circ G(x), y) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varinjlim_{\mathcal{X}} F \circ G, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we used [GHN, 5.1] for the 2nd equivalence and Lemma B.6 for the 3rd equivalence. Given a morphism $y \rightarrow y'$ in \mathcal{C} , the above equivalence is functorial. Thus, the lemma follows. \blacksquare

Corollary (co-Yoneda lemma). — *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between ∞ -categories. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$, we have a canonical equivalence*

$$F(x) \simeq \int^{c \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, x) \cdot F(c).$$

Proof. Apply the previous lemma to the right fibration $\mathcal{C}/_x \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. \blacksquare

B.8 Proposition. — *Let the notation be as in B.4. Assume G preserves coCartesian edges. Let $h: \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor, and let $\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{E}'$ be the base changes to \mathcal{C}' . We also denote by G', m' the base changes of G, m . Then we have $M_G(m) \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}' \simeq M_{G'}(m')$. If F preserves coCartesian edges, we have a similar equivalence ${}^F m \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}' \simeq {}^F m'$.*

Proof. Since the proof is essentially the same, we only show the claim for G . Let $p_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We have the $p_{\mathcal{A}}$ -left Kan extension functor

$$h_!: \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}', \mathcal{A}') \cong \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}', \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Abusing the notation, we denote by $h_!$ also for the left Kan extension $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{M}') \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ defined similarly. The right adjoint, which is merely the restriction to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ or to \mathcal{C}' , is denoted by h^* . Let $\text{RT}_{G'}: \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}', \mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{M}')$ be the functor sending F' to $F' \otimes G'$. By adjunction, the proposition is equivalent to showing the equivalence $h_! \circ \text{RT}_{G'} \simeq \text{RT}_G \circ h_!$, which we will prove.

By universal property of left Kan extension, we have a morphism $\mathrm{RT}_{G'} \rightarrow h^* \circ \mathrm{RT}_G \circ h_!$, and by taking the adjoint, we have the morphism $h_! \circ \mathrm{RT}_{G'} \rightarrow \mathrm{RT}_G \circ h_!$. We wish to show that this is an equivalence. Since the verification is pointwise, and the construction of the morphism is functorial with respect to the composition of h , it suffices to show the claim for $\mathcal{C}' = \Delta^0$. Furthermore, it suffices to take $F \in \mathrm{Fun}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}', \mathcal{A}')$ and show that the morphism $\varphi: h_! \mathrm{RT}_{G'}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{RT}_G(h_!(F))$ is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$. Again, this is pointwise, thus, it suffices to show that $\varphi(c)$, regarded as a morphism in \mathcal{M} , is equivalent for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Let e' be the vertex of \mathcal{C} determined by $h: \Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

To show this, we use some coend calculus. Let $q: \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For $e \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, consider the map $\alpha_e: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{e'} \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{/e} \rightarrow \{e'\} \times_e \mathcal{C}_{/e}$, which is a coCartesian fibration by [HTT, 2.4.3.2]. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$, we denote $t(a, m)$ by $a \otimes m$, and if we are given an edge a of \mathcal{C} , a^* denotes the coCartesian pushforward. Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RT}_G h_!(F)(c) &\stackrel{(1)}{\simeq} \int^{e \in \mathcal{E}_c} \left(\lim_{\rightarrow g: e' \rightarrow e \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{e'} \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{/e}} q(g)^* F(e') \right) \otimes G(e) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{\simeq} \int^{e \in \mathcal{E}_c} \left(\lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} \lim_{\rightarrow g: e' \rightarrow e \in \alpha_e^{-1}(f)} f^* F(e') \right) \otimes G(e) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{\simeq} \int^{e \in \mathcal{E}_c} \lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} \left(\int^{e' \in \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{E}_c^{\mathrm{op}}}(f^* e', e) \cdot f^* F(e') \otimes G(e) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{\simeq} \lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} \int^{e' \in \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}} \int^{e \in \mathcal{E}_c} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{E}_c^{\mathrm{op}}}(f^* e', e) \cdot f^* F(e') \otimes G(e) \\
&\stackrel{(5)}{\simeq} \lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} \int^{e' \in \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}} f^* F(e') \otimes \int^{e \in \mathcal{E}_c} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{E}_c}(e, f^* e') \cdot G(e) \\
&\stackrel{(6)}{\simeq} \lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} \int^{e' \in \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}} f^* F(e') \otimes G(f^* e') \\
&\stackrel{(7)}{\simeq} \lim_{\rightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}_{e'//c}} f^* \left(\int^{e' \in \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}} F(e') \otimes G(e') \right) \simeq h_! \mathrm{RT}_{G'}(F)(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, (1) follows by [HTT, 4.3.1.9, 4.3.1.10], (2) follows since α_e is a coCartesian fibration, (3) follows by applying Lemma B.7 to the right fibration $\alpha_e^{-1}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{e'}^{\mathrm{op}}$, (4) is by some commutations of colimits, (6) follows by co-Yoneda lemma, and (7) follows since G preserves coCartesian edges and the coCartesian fibration is presentable. For (3) and (5), we used the assumption that the left-tensor commute with colimits separately in each variable. We leave the reader to check that the map coincides with $\varphi(c)$. \blacksquare

B.9. Now, let us show some additional properties of M_G and $F(-)$.

Corollary. — Assume G preserves coCartesian edges.

1. The canonical map $M_{\mathrm{id}}(m) \circ \tilde{G} \rightarrow M_G(m)$ is an equivalence. In particular, if we are given a functor $f: \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} such that f preserves coCartesian edges, we have an equivalence $M_G(m) \circ \tilde{f} \simeq M_{G \circ f}(m)$.
2. Assume $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times \mathcal{C}$. Let $d \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and $m: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ be a functor. Let $G := \{d\} \times \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $m' := m \times \mathrm{id}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then $M_G(m'): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \mathcal{A}_0$ is equivalent to the composition $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\{d\} \times m} \mathcal{M}_0^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mor}} \mathcal{A}_0$.
3. For any edge $f: x \rightarrow y$ of \mathcal{E} , the morphism $M_G(m)(x) \rightarrow M_G(m)(y)$ is homotopic to the morphism $\mathrm{Mor}(G, m)(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(G, m)(y)$ in \mathcal{A} .

When F preserves coCartesian edges, we also have similar results for Fm .

Proof. Let us show the first claim. By taking the adjoint to the identity $M_{\text{id}}(m) \rightarrow M_{\text{id}}(m)$, we have the map $M_{\text{id}}(m) \boxtimes \text{id} \rightarrow m \circ \text{tw}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of functors $\text{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. By composing with the map $\text{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}(G): \text{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Tw}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{M}$, we have the map $M_{\text{id}}(m) \circ \tilde{G} \boxtimes G \rightarrow m \circ \text{tw}_{\mathcal{E}}$. The adjoint of this map is the morphism in the statement. We wish to show that this morphism is an equivalence. Since the verification is pointwise, and the formation is compatible with base change by the proposition, it suffices to show the claim for $\mathcal{C} = \Delta^0$. In this case, Lemma B.4 implies the equivalence. The second claim can be shown similarly.

Let us show the last claim. By the proposition, we may assume that $\mathcal{C} = \Delta^1$. If f is over Δ^0 , then the claim follows by Lemma B.4. Thus, we may assume that f is a coCartesian edge. In this case, by 1, we may even assume that $\mathcal{E} = \Delta^1$. Under this situation, let us construct a morphism of functors $\text{Mor}(G, m) \otimes G \rightarrow m$. Let e be the unique non-degenerate edge of $\mathcal{E} = \Delta^1$. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram in \mathcal{M} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Mor}(G(0), m(0)) \otimes G(0) & \longrightarrow & m(0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
e^*\text{Mor}(G(0), m(0)) \otimes e^*G(0) & \longrightarrow & e^*m(0) \\
\downarrow & & \parallel \\
\text{Mor}(e^*G(0), e^*m(0)) \otimes e^*G(0) & \longrightarrow & e^*m(0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Mor}(G(1), m(1)) \otimes G(1) & \longrightarrow & m(1)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \downarrow \\
& & \text{Mor}(e^*G(0), m(1)) \otimes e^*G(0) \longrightarrow m(1) \\
& & \sim \downarrow \\
& & \text{Mor}(G(1), m(1)) \otimes G(1) \longrightarrow m(1)
\end{array}$$

Here, the commutativity of the first square follows by functoriality of e^* , the second by taking the adjunction of the map $e^*\text{Mor}(G(0), m(0)) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(e^*G(0), e^*m(0))$, the third by functoriality of Mor , and the last by the assumption that G preserves coCartesian edges. Thus we get the desired map. By taking the adjoint, we have the morphism $\text{Mor}(G, m) \rightarrow M_G(m)$. It remains to show that this is an equivalence. Since the verification is pointwise, it suffices to show the equivalence for each fiber. By the base change, it suffices to show the equivalence in the case $\mathcal{C} = \Delta^0$, which we have already checked. \blacksquare

B.10. We finish this section with small observations. Let $\alpha: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a map of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} . Assume that $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}'$ are left-tensored over \mathcal{A} with the left-tensor functors $t_{\mathcal{D}}, t_{\mathcal{D}'}$, and satisfy the conditions in B.2, B.4. Assume further that α is compatible with the left-tensor structure, namely $\alpha \circ t_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq t_{\mathcal{D}'} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \times \alpha)$. Now, assume we are given a map $G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} . Under this situation, by definition, we have the morphism $M_G(-) \rightarrow M_{\alpha \circ G}(\alpha \circ (-))$ in $\text{Fun}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}), \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A}))$. Assume α admits a right adjoint β relative to \mathcal{C} in the sense of [HA, 7.3.2.2]. Note that then α preserves coCartesian edges by [HA, 7.3.2.6]. Then we have the morphism in $\text{Fun}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}', \text{Sp}_{\mathcal{C}})$

$$M_G(\beta(-)) \rightarrow M_{\alpha \circ G}(\alpha \circ \beta(-)) \rightarrow M_{\alpha \circ G}(-)$$

using the adjunction morphism $\alpha \circ \beta \rightarrow \text{id}$. Assume further that G preserves coCartesian edges. Then by Proposition B.8, the fiber of this morphism over each vertex of \mathcal{C} is an equivalence. Thus we have the equivalence of functors $M_G(\beta(-)) \simeq M_{\alpha \circ G}(-)$.

B.11. Let us make a small variant of the observation of the last paragraph. Let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta^0$. Assume we are given a functor $f: \pi^*\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between coCartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} which preserves coCartesian edges. Assume that both coCartesian fibrations are left-tensored over $\pi^*\mathcal{A}$ relative to \mathcal{C} . Consider the functor $\tilde{f}^{\text{op}}: \pi_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^*\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}}$ between Cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C}^{op} . Assume that \tilde{f}^{op} admits a left adjoint g^{op} relative to \mathcal{C}^{op} . By [HA, 7.3.2.6], this is equivalent

to the existence of left adjoint of $(\tilde{f}^{\text{op}})_c \simeq f_c$ for each vertex c of \mathcal{C} . Now, assume we are given a functor $G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which preserves coCartesian edges and $m \in \mathcal{D}'$. As in the previous paragraph, if g commutes with left-tensor structures, we have an equivalence $M_G(f \circ \pi^* m) \simeq M_{\pi \circ g \circ \tilde{G}}(m)$ in $\text{Fun}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{A})$.

C. Enhanced cohomological operations and motivic cohomology

C.1. Let R be a commutative ring, and S be a noetherian scheme over \mathbb{F}_p . We fix an $(\infty, 2)$ -functor $\mathbf{D}_!^*: \mathbf{Corr}(S)_{\text{sep}; \text{all}}^{\text{pro}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LinCat}_R^{2\text{-op}}$ which enhances a motivic category of coefficients (cf. [A1, 6.3] for the details), and $\mathbf{D}_!^*(T)$ is a *compactly generated* symmetric monoidal ∞ -category for each $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$. We do not try to recall the definition of these terminologies, but informally, this means that we have an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant 6-functor formalism. In particular, for any $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$, an R -linear ∞ -category \mathcal{D}_T is associated, and given a morphism $f: T \rightarrow T'$, we have functors $f_*, f_!: \mathcal{D}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{T'}$ and $f^*, f^!: \mathcal{D}_{T'} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T$ with usual adjointness properties. We have a morphism of functors $f_! \rightarrow f_*$ and this is an equivalence if f is proper. If we are given a closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow T$, let $j: T \setminus Z \hookrightarrow T$ be the complement. Then we have the localization cofiber sequence $j_! j^* \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow i_* i^*$ in \mathcal{D}_T . By taking the underlying $(\infty, 1)$ -functor of $\mathbf{D}_!^*$, we, in particular, have a functor $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ sending $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$ to \mathcal{D}_T and $f: T \rightarrow T'$ in $\text{Sch}/_S$ to f^* . By unstraightening this functor, we have a coCartesian fibration $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$. Since f^* admits the right adjoint f_* , this coCartesian fibration is a Cartesian fibration as well by [HTT, 5.2.2.5]. Since the fibers are presentable, the fibration is in fact a presentable fibration. Let $\mathcal{L}\text{inCat}_R$ be the ∞ -category of R -linear ∞ -categories, or more precisely the underlying ∞ -category of \mathbf{LinCat}_R (which is equivalent to $\text{RMod}_{\text{Mod}_R}^{\mathbb{A}^{\infty}}(\text{Pr}^{\text{L}})$ by [A1, 3.11] using the notation therein). Since the fibration is deduced from a functor $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{inCat}_R$, \mathcal{D} is left-tensored over Mod_R . By definition, morphisms of \mathbf{LinCat}_R commute with the left-tensor. Thus, the left-tensor $\text{Mod}_R \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves coCartesian edges. Since any functor which belongs to Pr^{L} commutes with small colimits by definition, the left-tensor commutes with small colimits separately in each variable. In particular, the presentable fibrations $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ and $\text{Mod}_R \times \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ satisfy the requirements of B.2 and B.4, and we may apply results of the previous section.

Example. — Either of the following cohomology theories satisfy the requirements of this paragraph.

1. Let R be a torsion ring in which p is invertible. Then the derived categories of (étale) constructible R -modules form a motivic category of coefficients and it admits an enhancement. See [A1, 6.6] for a detail.
2. For a separated noetherian scheme of finite dimension S over k , Voevodsky constructed the stable homotopy ∞ -category $\mathcal{SH}(S)$. For a commutative ring R , he also constructed the “motivic Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum” $\mathbb{H}R_S$, which is an algebra object in $\mathcal{SH}(S)$. The categories $\mathcal{SH}(S)$ admit a six functor formalism, and in particular, if we are given a morphism $f: T \rightarrow S$, we may consider the pullback $\mathbb{H}R_{T/S} := f^* \mathbb{H}R_S$. We put $\mathcal{D}_T := \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{H}R_{T/S}}(\mathcal{SH}(T))$. Since $\mathcal{SH}(T)$ is compactly generated (cf. [HA, 1.4.4.3]), so is \mathcal{D}_T by Remark A.4. These categories are organized into a six functor formalism. The construction is due essentially to Voevodsky, Ayoub, Cisinski-Dégliše, and Gaitsgory-Rozenblyum, and the details as well as references can be found in [A1, 6.8]. In particular, if $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ where k is a perfect field and $\text{char}(k)$ is invertible in R , then the associated Borel-Moore homology (of certain degree)⁽¹⁰⁾ coincides with Chow group (see, for example, [A2, 3.1]).

⁽¹⁰⁾In general, Borel-Moore homology should coincide with Bloch’s higher Chow group, but we could not find a reference.

C.2. Assume we are given a cohomology theory as in the previous paragraph. Let $a: \mathbb{P}_S^1 \rightarrow S$ is the projection. The unit object of \mathcal{D}_T is denoted by R_T for $T \in \text{Sch}/_S$. We put $R_S\langle 1 \rangle := \text{cofib}(R_S \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_a} a_* a^* R_S)$. Note that $R_S\langle n \rangle \simeq R_S(n)[2n]$ using the Tate twist. We put $R_S\langle n \rangle := (R_S\langle 1 \rangle)^{\otimes n}$ for $n \geq 0$. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_T$ for $b: T \rightarrow S$, we put $\mathcal{F}\langle n \rangle := \mathcal{F} \otimes b^* R\langle n \rangle$.

We may extract a fundamental functor. Recall the category $\text{Ar} = \text{Ar}_S^{\text{prop}}$ from 2.5. In [A1, 5.19], we constructed a map $\mathcal{H}_c^*: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of coCartesian fibrations over $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ which preserves coCartesian edges. Informally, this functor associates to a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in Ar the object $f_! f^* R_Y$. The construction of \mathcal{H}_c^* is involved since we need the full strength of Gaitsgory-Rozenblyum's formalism, and is one of the main theme of [A1].

C.3. We assume that the cohomology theory is *oriented* in the sense of Cisinski-Déglise, more precisely, for any $X \in \text{Sch}/_S$, we are given a map (of sets) $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(R_X, R_X\langle 1 \rangle)$ functorial in X , called the *orientation*. If we are given an orientation, for a smooth morphism f of relative dimension d in $\text{Sch}/_S$, we have a functorial equivalence $\tau_f: f^! \simeq f^*\langle d \rangle$. Now, we put $\text{H}^{\text{BM}}\langle n \rangle := M_{\mathcal{H}_c^*\langle n \rangle}(R): \widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ using the notation of B.4. This is the *bivariant homology functor*. Since \mathcal{H}_c^* preserves coCartesian edges, this functor sends $X \rightarrow Y$ to $\text{H}^{\text{BM}}(X/Y)\langle n \rangle := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{H}_c^*(X/Y)\langle n \rangle, \mathbf{1}_Y)$ by Proposition B.8, Lemma B.4, and moreover, Corollary B.9.3 shows that it serves as an ∞ -enhancement of the ordinary Borel-Moore homology. Recall the notations from 2.6. The oriented cohomology theory is said to *admit a trace map* if there exists a morphism of functors $z(d) \rightarrow \text{H}^{\text{BM}}\langle d \rangle$ for any integer $d \geq 0$ in $\text{Fun}(\widehat{\text{Ar}}^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}_R)$ which is normalized by the following property:

(*) Consider the morphism $z^{\text{SV}}(\mathbb{A}_S^1/S, 1) \rightarrow z(1)(\mathbb{A}_S^1/S) \rightarrow \text{H}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbb{A}_S^1/S)\langle 1 \rangle$. The image of $[\mathbb{A}_{S, \text{red}}^1]$ by this map, considered as a morphism $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathbb{A}_S^1/S)\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow R_S$, is homotopic to the composition $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathbb{A}_S^1/S)\langle 1 \rangle \simeq q_! q^* R_S\langle 1 \rangle \simeq q_! q^! R_S \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_q} R_S$, where the second equivalence is $q_! \tau_q$.

Example. — When p is invertible in R , the cohomology theories in Example C.1 admit trace maps. For the motivic case, this follows from the main result of [A2, 5.4] because the assumption of [A2, 5.3] is satisfied for our H^{BM} . For the étale case, this follows by considering [A2, 2.6].

C.4. Let Corr be the category whose objects are the same as those of $\text{Sch}/_S$ and a morphism $Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a correspondence, namely a diagram in $\text{Sch}/_S$ of the form $Y' \leftarrow Y'' \rightarrow Y$. We have the canonical functor $\text{Corr} \rightarrow \mathbf{Corr}(S)^{\text{prop}}_{\text{sep;all}}$. Now, put $\text{All} := (\text{Ar}^{\text{all}})^{\wedge} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}/_S)^{\wedge}$ using the notation of 2.5. Let $\text{All}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Corr}$ be the functor sending $Y \rightarrow T$ to Y and the morphism $F: (Y \rightarrow T) \rightarrow (Y' \rightarrow T')$ in All to the correspondence $Y' \xleftarrow{g} Y'_T \xrightarrow{f} Y$ where g is the projection. Composing with the underlying $(\infty, 1)$ -functor of $\mathbf{D}_!^*$, we get a functor $\text{All}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}^{\text{L}}$. By unstraightening, we have the coCartesian fibration $D: \mathcal{D}_!^* \rightarrow \text{All}^{\text{op}}$ of coCartesian fibrations over $\text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$. Informally, the fiber over $(Y \rightarrow T)$ is \mathcal{D}_Y , and given a morphism F as above, the associated functor is $\mathcal{D}_{Y'} \xrightarrow{g^*} \mathcal{D}_{Y'_T} \xrightarrow{f_!} \mathcal{D}_Y$.

Now, we use the notations of 3.6. Let $h: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite type between noetherian separated schemes. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}: \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{All}^{\text{op}}$ be the functor sending U/T to U/T , and let $b_X: \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}}$ be the functor sending (U/T) to X_T . Unwinding the definition, we have the following commutative diagram on the left

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{D}_!^* \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_!^* \\
\downarrow & \searrow \text{---} & \downarrow D \\
\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{D}_!^* \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{All}^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{b} \text{All}^{\text{op}},
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D}_!^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow D \\
\widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{c} & \text{All}^{\text{op}},
\end{array}$$

where b sends $(U/T, 0)$ to (U/T) and $(U/T, 1)$ to (X_T/T) . By taking a D -left Kan extension and restricting to $\mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{D}_!^* \times \{1\}$, we have the functor $J_! : \mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{D}_!^* \rightarrow b_X^* \mathcal{D}$, which preserves coCartesian edges. The fiber of this map over $U/T \in \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to the functor $j_! : \mathcal{D}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X_T}$ where $j : U \hookrightarrow X_T$ is the open immersion. Thus, invoking [HA, 7.3.2.6], $J_!$ admits a right adjoint J^* relative to $\widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}}$. The section $R : \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow b_X^* \mathcal{D}$, sending (U/T) to R_{X_T} , induces the functor $F := J^* \circ R : \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \mathcal{D}_!^*$. Now, consider the right diagram above, where c is the functor sending $(U/T, 0)$ to U/T and $(U/T, 1)$ to T/T . We take a D -left Kan extension of the right diagram above. We restrict this left Kan extension to $\widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \times \{1\}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}_c^* : \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_!^*$. Informally, this functor sends U/T to $\mathcal{H}_c^*(U/T) \in \mathcal{D}_T$. By construction, this functor factors through $\mathcal{D}_!^* \times_{\text{All}^{\text{op}}} \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$, where $\text{Sch}_{/S} \rightarrow \text{All}$ sends T to $T \rightarrow T$. Thus, we have

$$\text{open} \mathcal{H}_c^* : \widehat{(X/S)}_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_c^*} \mathcal{D}_!^* \times_{\text{All}^{\text{op}}} \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{D}$$

This functor preserves coCartesian edges over $\text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$. We finally put

$$\text{open} \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}} := M_{\text{open} \mathcal{H}_c^*}(R) : (X/S)_{\text{zar}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R.$$

C.5. Let \mathcal{F} be an object of \mathcal{D}_S . Consider the Cartesian fibration $q : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$. Assume we are given a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$ between ∞ -categories. Recall we have the section $R : \text{Sch}_{/S} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}}$ sending T/S to R_T . Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{R \circ F} & \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{C} \times \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Sch}_{/S}, \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map sends $\mathcal{C} \times \{1\}$ to S . We *assume* that a q -left Kan extension of $R \circ F$ exists, which we denote by $\text{LKE}(R \circ F)$. Then we denote by $\mathbf{H}^*(F, \mathcal{F})$ the composition

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{LKE}(R \circ F)|_{\mathcal{C} \times \{1\}}} (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_S^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_S^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \{\mathcal{F}\}} \mathcal{D}_S^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}_S \xrightarrow{\text{Mor}} \text{Mod}_R.$$

Let Sm_S be the full subcategory of $\text{Sch}_{/S}$ spanned by smooth morphisms $T \rightarrow S$, and let $\iota : \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}$ be the inclusion. In this situation, $\mathbf{H}^*(\iota, \mathcal{F})$ is defined. Indeed, for $f : T \rightarrow S \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_T$, it suffices to check the existence of a q -coCartesian edge $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_{\sharp} \mathcal{F}$ over f . This follows by [A1, 1.5].

Lemma. — *Let $h : X \rightarrow S$ be as before, and let $\omega_{X/S} := h^1 R_S$ in \mathcal{D}_X . Let $(X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}}$ be the full subcategory of $(X/S)_{\text{zar}}$ spanned by objects U/T such that $T \rightarrow S$ is smooth, and let $\tau : (X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/X}$ be the functor sending U/T to $U \subset X_T \rightarrow X$. Then we have the equivalence $\mathbf{H}^*(\tau, \omega_{X/S}) \simeq \text{open} \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}}}$.*

Remark. — The equivalence $\mathbf{hH}^*(\tau, \omega_{X/S}) \simeq \mathbf{h}(\text{open} \mathbf{H}^{\text{BM}}|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}}})$ of functors between the homotopy categories is an elementary exercise. Indeed, assume we are given $U/T \in (X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}}$, which yields the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & X_T & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f_T & \square & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{=} & T & \xrightarrow{g} & S. \end{array}$$

Then $H^*(\tau, \omega_{X/S})(U/T)$ is equivalent to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(R_X, g'_* j_* j^* g'^*(\omega_{X/S}))$. This is equivalent to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_U}(R_U, j^* g'^* f^! R_S) \simeq \text{Hom}(j_! R_U, f_T^! g^* R_S) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_c^*(U/T), R_T)$, where the first equivalence follows since g is smooth. The last one is equivalent to ${}^{\text{open}}\text{H}^{\text{BM}}(U/T)$.

Proof. We denote $(X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{open}}$ by $(X/S)_{\text{sm}}$ for short. Let $\mathcal{C} := (\text{Sm}_S)^{\text{op}}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{D} \times_{\text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{C}$. We put $\pi_K: K \rightarrow \Delta^0$ and $\pi := \pi_{\mathcal{C}}$. The pullback functor induces the functor $f^*: \pi^* \mathcal{D}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$ by left Kan extension. Since f^* preserves coCartesian edges and $f_T^*: \mathcal{D}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T$ admits a left adjoint, which we denote by $f_{T\sharp}$, for each $T \in \mathcal{C}$ since $T \rightarrow S$ is smooth, we have a left adjoint $f_{\sharp}^{\text{op}}: \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^* \mathcal{D}_S$ of $(f^*)^{\text{op}}$ relative to \mathcal{C}^{op} as in B.11. The functor f_{\sharp} commutes with the left-tensor structure $\text{Mod}_R \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ since f_{\sharp} is a certain twist of $f_!$. Consider the composition

$$G: (X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{open}}\mathcal{H}_c^*} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{f_{\sharp}} \pi^* \mathcal{D}_S^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathcal{D}_S^{\text{op}}.$$

By B.11, ${}^{\text{open}}\text{H}^{\text{BM}}|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}}}$ is equivalent to the composition $(X/S)_{\text{sm}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{G \times \{R\}} \mathcal{D}_S^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}_S \xrightarrow{\text{Mor}} \text{Mod}_R$. It will be sufficient to show that G is equivalent to $h_! \circ \text{LKE}(R \circ \tau)$, where $h_!: \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_S$. We will analyze G more closely.

By taking a suitable model for $(-)^{\sim}$ and taking $(-)^{\text{op}}$, we have a categorical fibration $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^*)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ar}^{\text{all}}$ of Cartesian fibrations over Sch_S which preserves Cartesian edges. Let $\text{Ar}' := \text{Ar}^{\text{all}} \times_{\text{Sch}_S} \text{Sm}_S$. We consider the base change $r: (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^*)^{\text{op}} \times_{\text{Ar}^{\text{all}}} \text{Ar}' \rightarrow \text{Ar}'$ which is a map of Cartesian fibrations over Sm_S . This map is neither Cartesian nor coCartesian (and only categorical fibration by our choice). However, we have:

(*) Let $g: T \rightarrow T'$ be a *smooth* morphism in Sm_S . For any edge $e: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Ar}'$ over g and any object $\mathcal{F} \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^*)^{\text{op}} \times_{\text{Ar}^{\text{all}}} \text{Ar}'$ such that $r(\mathcal{F}) = e(0)$, there exists an r -coCartesian edge $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ over e .

Indeed, by [A1, 1.5], it suffices to show that the fiber $r_g := r \times_{\text{Sm}_S, g} \Delta^1$ is a coCartesian fibration. To show this, we first show that r_g is a Cartesian fibration. Indeed, we must check the conditions of [Lu, 1.4.14]. The condition [Lu, 1.4.14 (a)] is easy. For $Z \in \text{Sch}_S$, the fiber $D_Z: (\mathcal{D}_1^*)_Z \rightarrow \text{All}_Z^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Sch}_Z$ is a coCartesian fibration associated to the functor $\text{Sch}_Z \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ sending Y/Z to \mathcal{D}_Y and f to $f_!$. Since $f_!$ admits a right adjoint, $f^!$, D_Z is in fact a Cartesian fibration as well by [HTT, 5.2.2.5]. Since $(r_g)_0 = r_T$, $(r_g)_1 = r_{T'}$ are base changes of D_T , $D_{T'}$, they are Cartesian fibrations. Thus, [Lu, 1.4.14 (b)] is satisfied. Since $f^!$ for any morphism f and g^* commutes because g is assumed to be smooth, and [Lu, 1.4.14 (c)] follows. Thus r_g is a Cartesian fibration as desired. Note that up till now, we have not used that g is smooth. To show that r_g is coCartesian, it suffices to show this over a fixed edge of $(\text{Ar}')_g$. Assume we are given an edge of $(\text{Ar}')_g$ depicted as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & U'_T & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{g}} & U' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{=} & T & \xrightarrow{g} & T'. \end{array}$$

Unwinding the definition, the Cartesian pullback associated to this edge is $f^! \widetilde{g}^*$. This admits a left adjoint $\widetilde{g}_{\sharp} f_!$, thus r_g is a coCartesian fibration as desired.

Let $(X/S)^{\square}$ be the (ordinary) category whose set of objects is $\text{Obj}((X/S)_{\text{sm}}) \sqcup \text{Obj}(\text{Sm}_S)$. The object corresponding to $T \in \text{Sm}_S$ is denoted by T/T . The morphism is defined so that $\text{Hom}(Y/T, Y'/T')$ is equal; 1. to $\text{Hom}(Y/T, Y'/T')$ if both Y/T and Y'/T' are in $(X/S)_{\text{sm}}$; 2. to $\text{Hom}(T, T')$ if $Y'/T' \in \text{Sm}_S$; and 3. to \emptyset if $Y/T \in \text{Sm}_S$ and $Y'/T' \in (X/S)_{\text{sm}}$. In other words, it is a Grothendieck construction of the functor $\text{Sm}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ sending T to $\text{Open}(X_T)^{\square}$, where

Open is the category of open subsets. The map $(X/S)^\triangleright \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S$ is a Cartesian fibration, and we have the inclusions $(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow (X/S)^\triangleright \hookrightarrow \text{Sm}_S$. We have the functor $\alpha: (X/S)^\triangleright \rightarrow \text{Ar}'$ extending the functor $u: (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Ar}'$ sending U/T to U/T such that a map $U/T \rightarrow T'/T'$ in $(X/S)^\triangleright$ where $U/T \in (X/S)_{\text{sm}}$ is sent to the diagram in Ar'

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{=} & T & \longrightarrow & T' \end{array}$$

Consider the base change $\beta = \alpha^*r: \mathcal{X} := \alpha^*(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1^*)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (X/S)^\triangleright$. Since r and α preserves Cartesian edges over Sm_S , \mathcal{X} is a Cartesian fibration over Sm_S as well. Thus, we have the property (*) also for β using [A1, 1.5]. Moreover, unwinding the definition, we have the following homotopy Cartesian diagram

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}} \\ \beta = \alpha^*r \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta' & \square & \downarrow \\ (X/S)_{\text{sm}}^\triangleright & \longleftarrow & (X/S)_{\text{sm}} & \xrightarrow{u} & \text{Sch}/S \end{array}$$

of categorical fibrations, where u is the functor sending U/T to U .

Consider the functor $(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Sch}/S$ sending U/T to U . By using the section $R: \text{Sch}/S \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}}$ sending T to R_T , we have the composition $(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Sch}/S \xrightarrow{R} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{op}}$, and (*) induces the functor $G': (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Unwinding the definition, this is equivalent to \tilde{F}^{op} of C.4. We consider the following commutative diagram on the left

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{G'} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \beta \\ (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (X/S)^\triangleright \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{=} & T & \longrightarrow & S, \end{array}$$

where γ sends U/T to the diagram on the right above. We wish to show that a β -left Kan extension of G' along i exists. In view of [HTT, 4.3.2.15], it suffices to show the existence of certain β -colimits. This existence follows by using the property (*), which is possible since the morphism $T \rightarrow S$ in the diagram above is smooth, and [HTT, 4.3.1.9] to reduce the computation to colimits on the fiber over $S \in \text{Sm}_S$, and invoke [HTT, 4.3.1.15].

Let us denote the β -left Kan extension by $\text{LKE}_i(G')$. The functor $\text{LKE}_i(G')|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{2\}}$ is equivalent to G^{op} by using [HTT, 4.3.2.8]. Let $i': (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^{\{0,2\}}$, where $(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^{\{0,2\}}$ is considered over $(X/S)^\triangleright$ via $\gamma|_{\Delta^{\{0,2\}}}$. We also consider $\gamma': (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^2 \rightarrow (X/S)^\triangleright$ sending U/T to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{=} & S, \end{array}$$

and $k: (X/S)_{\text{sm}} = (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^2$ over $(X/S)^\triangleright$ via γ' . Then

$$G^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{LKE}_i(G')|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{2\}} \simeq \text{LKE}_{i'}(G')|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{2\}} \simeq \text{LKE}_k(G')|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{2\}},$$

where the existence of the left Kan extensions follows similarly. Let $k' : (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^{\{0,1\}}$, where $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ is considered to be over $(X/S)^\geq$ via γ' , and recall that $h : X \rightarrow S$ is the morphism we are fixing. Then G^{op} is equivalent to the composition

$$(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{\text{LKE}_{k'}(G')|_{(X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{1\}}} \mathcal{X}_{(X/S)} \simeq \mathcal{D}_X \xrightarrow{h_!} \mathcal{D}_S.$$

Now, the diagram for $\text{LKE}_{k'}(G')$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & G' & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{G'_y} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{X} \\ & \downarrow k' & \downarrow \beta' & \square & \downarrow \beta \\ (X/S)_{\text{sm}} \times \Delta^{\{0,1\}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma'|_{\Delta^{\{0,1\}}}} & (X/S)_{\text{sm}} & \longrightarrow & (X/S)^\geq. \end{array}$$

Since β is presentable, $\text{LKE}_{k'}(G'_y)$, the β' -left Kan extension of G'_y along k' , coincides with $\text{LKE}_{k'}(G')$. Finally, in view of the diagram (\star) as well as invoking [HTT, 4.3.1.15], we have $\text{LKE}_{k'}(G'_y) \simeq \text{LKE}(R \circ \tau)$. Thus, we get the claim because $h^! R_S \simeq \omega_{X/S}$. \blacksquare

C.6. Let us recall Brown-Gersten property (B.G.-property for short) of cdh-topology. For the detail, see [V1]. Let S be a noetherian separated scheme of finite dimension, and let P be a collection of commutative diagrams Q in $\text{Sch}/_S$, stable under isomorphisms, of the form:

$$(C.6.i) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ A & \xrightarrow{e} & X. \end{array}$$

Let S_P be the site whose underlying category is $\text{Sch}/_S$, and whose topology is the coarsest topology containing the sieves generated by the morphisms $\{A \rightarrow X, Y \rightarrow X\}$ for some $Q \in P$. On the other hand, P induces a collection of maps $G_P^0 := \{Y \sqcup_B A \rightarrow X\}_{Q \in P}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S)$. Let G_P be the union of G_P^0 and $\emptyset' \rightarrow \emptyset$, where \emptyset' is an initial object of $\mathcal{P}(\text{Sch}/_S)$ and \emptyset is the presheaf represented by the initial object of $\text{Sch}/_S$. One of the favorable features of the theory is that we can detect sheaves on S_P easily, namely it possesses a B.G.-property:

Lemma. — *Let \mathcal{D} be a presentable ∞ -category. Assume we are given a collection of squares P which is regular complete and bounded (cf. [V1] for the terminologies). Let $\mathcal{F} : \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor such that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y) \times_{\mathcal{F}(B)} \mathcal{F}(A)$ is an equivalence for any Q and $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset)$ is an initial object. Then \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{D} -valued sheaf on S_P .*

Proof. By [HTT, 5.1.5.6], \mathcal{F} extends to a colimit preserving functor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{P}(S_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$. By assumption, this functor factors through $\overline{G}_P^{-1} \mathcal{P}(S_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$. By [V1, 3.8], $\overline{G}_P^{-1} \mathcal{P}(S_P) \simeq \text{Shv}(S_P)$. By [HTT, 5.5.4.20], we get that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ factors through a colimit preserving functor $\text{Shv}(S_P)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$, and the lemma follows. \blacksquare

We consider the following types of squares. A square Q is said to be a *blowup square* if the square is Cartesian, e is a closed immersion, p is proper, and $p \times_X (X \setminus A)$ is an isomorphism. A square Q is said to be a *Nisnevich square* if the square is Cartesian, e is an open immersion, p is étale, and $p \times_X (X \setminus A)_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism. If we put P_{cdh} to be the collection of blowup squares and Nisnevich squares, then $S_{P_{\text{cdh}}}$ is nothing but the cdh-site on S . The main theorem of [V2, 2.2] states that P_{cdh} is regular complete and bounded, and we may apply the previous lemma.

Remark. — If P is complete regular bounded, then $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(S_P)$ is compactly generated. Indeed, since it is finitary (cf. [SAG, A.3.1.1]) by [V1, just before 2.8], the ∞ -topos $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{Sch}/S, \mathrm{cdh})$ is coherent by [SAG, A.3.1.3]. Moreover, it is of finite cohomological dimension by [V1, 2.27], thus the claim follows. In particular, if S is finite Krull dimensional, $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(\mathrm{Sch}/S, \mathrm{cdh})$ is compactly generated for any commutative ring R .

C.7 Lemma. — 1. Let $H: \mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_S$ be a functor such that; 1. For each object $f: T \rightarrow S$ of $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$, we have $H(T) \simeq f_* f^* H(S)$; 2. For each morphism $T \rightarrow T'$ in $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$, the morphism $H(T) \rightarrow H(T')$ is defined by the adjunction via the equivalence of the first condition. Then H is a \mathcal{D}_S -valued cdh-sheaf.

2. Let $G: \mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor over $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$ which preserves coCartesian edges. Then $M_F(R): \mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R$ is a cdh-sheaf.

3. For each $Y \rightarrow T$ in $(X/S)_{\mathrm{zar}}$, the functor $Y_{\mathrm{zar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow (X/S)_{\mathrm{zar}}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{openHBM}} \mathrm{Mod}_R$ is a Mod_R -valued Zariski-sheaf on Y .

Proof. Let us show the first claim. By Lemma C.6, it suffices to check the following conditions:

1. $H(\emptyset)$ is an initial object;
2. For a blowup square (resp. Nisnevich square) Q of the form (C.6.i), the morphism $H(X) \rightarrow H(Y) \times_{H(B)} H(A)$ is an equivalence;

The first condition is obvious, and the verification of the second condition is standard. For the convenience of the reader, we recall an argument for the second condition. We only treat the blowup square. For an object $f: T \rightarrow S$ of $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$, let $\mathcal{F}_T := f^* H(S)$. Let $i = e: A \rightarrow X$ be the closed immersion, $j: X \setminus A \rightarrow X$ be the complement, $f = p: Y \rightarrow X$, and $h: B \rightarrow X$. We must show that the map $\mathcal{F}_X \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F}_Y \times_{h_* \mathcal{F}_B} i_* \mathcal{F}_A$ is an equivalence. Since the pair $(j_! j^*, i_* i^*)$ is conservative (cf. [A1, 6.1]), it suffices to check the equivalence after applying $j_! j^*$ and $i_* i^*$ separately. This is easy by using localization sequence.

Let us show the second claim. Let $\mathcal{H}^*: \mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_S$ be the functor sending $f: T \rightarrow S$ to $f_* R$ defined by taking a p -right Kan extension of R . By B.10 and Corollary B.9.2, $M_G(R)$ is equivalent to the composition $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\{G(S)\} \times \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{D}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{D}_S \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mor}} \mathrm{Mod}_R$. Since \mathcal{H}^* is a \mathcal{D}_S -valued cdh-sheaf by the first claim, this composition is a Mod_R -valued cdh-sheaf as required. For the last claim, since Zariski topology also satisfy the B.G.-property with respect to Mayer-Vietoris squares, and we may prove similarly. \blacksquare

We finish this paper by providing proofs of the claims in 2.12.

C.8. Proof of 2.12.1 and 2.12.2

The proofs of 2.12.1 and 2.12.2 are essentially the same, so we only show 2.12.2. By taking a left Kan extension, we have the functor Q_f^* sending $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{T \times_S D}$ over T to $f_T^* \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{T \times_S D'}$, where $f_T: T \times_S D' \rightarrow T \times_S D$ is the projection. We wish to show that this functor admits a left adjoint relative to $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$ (cf. [HA, 7.3.2.2]). Recall that the map $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}}$ is presentable. We claim that the functor Q_f^* preserves Cartesian edges. Let $\phi: T' \rightarrow T$ be a morphism in Sch/S . By [HTT, 7.3.1.3], it suffices to show that the following diagram is right adjointable:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_T & \xrightarrow{f_T^*} & \mathcal{D}_{T \times \square} \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\phi \times \mathrm{id})^* \\ \mathcal{D}_{T'} & \xrightarrow{f_{T'}^*} & \mathcal{D}_{T' \times \square}. \end{array}$$

In other words, we must check that the base change morphism $f_T^* \phi_* \rightarrow (\phi \times \text{id})_* f_{T'}^*$ is an equivalence. This is an equivalence since f is assumed to be smooth. Now, by [HA, 7.3.2.6] and since f_T^* admits a left adjoint, F admits a relative left adjoint as desired.

Let us show the second claim. First, observe that $a_{f!}$ is left adjoint to a_f^* . Thus, by taking the adjoint of the homotopy commutative diagram of 2.12.1, we have the morphism of functors $Q_{f!} \circ q_{D'}^* \mathcal{H}_c^* \rightarrow q_D^* \mathcal{H}_c^* \circ a_{f!}$. It remains to show that this morphism is an equivalence, which can be checked pointwise. This follows from the following properties: for a smooth morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of relative dimension d , $f_! \langle d \rangle$ is left adjoint to f^* ; for morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, we have the canonical equivalence $g_! \mathcal{H}_c^*(X/Y) \simeq \mathcal{H}_c^*(X/Z)$. ■

C.9. Proof of 2.12.3

Once the morphism is defined, then the claim for the equivalence is classical since the verification is pointwise. Let us construct the morphism. By taking $(-)^{\text{op}}$ to the inclusion $\text{Ar} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}_{/S})$, we have $\text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}})$. This is the functor sending $X \rightarrow T$ to the edge $e: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}$ corresponding to the morphism $T \leftarrow X$ in $\text{Sch}_{/S}$ (so $e(0) = T$ and $e(1) = X$). By taking the adjoint, we have the map $\text{ar}: \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Ar}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}})$. Define a simplicial set \mathcal{X} by the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\text{Ar}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}) \\ F \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{ar}} & \text{Fun}(\text{Ar}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}}). \end{array}$$

By [HTT, 3.1.2.1], the right vertical map is Cartesian as well as coCartesian. Thus, F is also Cartesian and coCartesian. Now, consider the functor $\text{prod}: \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Ar}$ sending $X \rightarrow T$ to $\text{pr}_2: X \times_T X \rightarrow X$. One checks easily that this indeed defines a functor. Let $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}) := \mathcal{H}_c^* \circ \text{prod}: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, which sends $X \rightarrow T$ to $\mathcal{H}_c^*(X \times_T X/X)$. This functor is a vertex of \mathcal{X}_1 . We define $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X})$ to be the composition $\mathcal{H}_c^* \circ c$, where $c: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ar}^{\text{op}}$ is the functor sending $X \rightarrow T$ to $X \rightarrow X$. We have the functor $\text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Ar}^{\text{op}})$ sending $X \rightarrow T$ to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{prod}(X/T) & & X \times_T X \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} X \\ \Downarrow & & \Delta \uparrow \quad \uparrow = \\ c(X/T) & & X \xrightarrow{=} X. \end{array}$$

Note here that Δ is proper since $X \rightarrow T$ is separated. This defines a morphism of functors $\text{prod} \rightarrow c$. Thus, we have an edge $e_1: \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X})$ in \mathcal{X}_1 . On the other hand, let $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) := \mathcal{H}_c^*$, which is a vertex of \mathcal{X}_0 .

Now, let us construct an edge $e_2: \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X})$ in \mathcal{X} . We have the functor $D: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Ar}^{\text{op}})$ sending $X \rightarrow T$ to the (Cartesian) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}(X/T) & & X \longrightarrow T \\ \Downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \uparrow \quad \square \quad \uparrow \\ \text{prod}(X/T) & & X \times_T X \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} X. \end{array}$$

Consider the composition $E: \text{Ar}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Ar}^{\text{op}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_c^*} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D})$. If we compose E with the canonical map $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}_{/S}^{\text{op}})$, the composition coincides with ar . This implies that the adjoint $\Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Ar}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D})$ of E defines an edge of \mathcal{X} , which we denote by e_2 .

Let us finish the construction. By definition, we have a Cartesian edge $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X})$ in \mathcal{X} . What we have constructed can be summarized as the following diagram in \mathcal{X}

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{e_2} & \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}) \\
 & & \downarrow e_1 \\
 \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) & \rightsquigarrow & \mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}) \\
 \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \{1\},
 \end{array}$$

where \rightsquigarrow is a Cartesian edge. Thus, we have an edge $\mathcal{H}_c^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T})$ making the above diagram homotopy commutative. ■

REFERENCES

- [Part II] Abe, T.: *Ramification theory from homotopical point of view, II*, available in arxiv.
- [HTT] Lurie, J.: *Higher topos theory*, Ann. Math. Studies **170**, (2009).
- [HA] Lurie, J.: *Higher algebra*, Sept. 18, 2017, preprint available at Lurie’s webpage.
- [SAG] Lurie, J.: *Spectral algebraic geometry*, Feb. 3, 2018, preprint available at Lurie’s webpage.

- [A1] Abe, T.: *Enhanced bivariant homology theory attached to six functor formalism*, to appear in J. Topology, arxiv.org/abs/2008.01948.
- [A2] Abe, T.: *Trace formalism for motivic cohomology*, preprint available at arxiv.org/abs/2108.07459.
- [AF] Ayala D., Francis J.: *Fibrations of ∞ -categories*, Higher structures **4**, p.168–265 (2020).
- [B1] Beilinson, A.: *Topological E-factors*, Pure and Appl. Math. Q. **3**, p.357–391 (2007).
- [B2] Beilinson, A.: *Constructible sheaves are holonomic*, Selecta Math. **22**, p.1797–1819 (2016).
- [GK] Gabber, O., Kelly, S.: *Points in algebraic geometry*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219**, p.4667–4680 (2015).
- [GHN] Gepner, D., Haugseng, R., Nikolaus, T.: *Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories*, Documenta Math. **22**, p.1225–1266 (2017).
- [I] Illusie, L.: *Around the Thom-Sebastiani theorem*, Manuscripta Math. **152**, p.61–125 (2017).
- [La] Laumon, G.: *Transformation de Fourier, constantes d’équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil*, Publ. Math. IHES **65**, p.131–210 (1987).
- [Lu] Lurie, J.: *$(\infty, 2)$ -Categories and the Goodwillie Calculus I*, Oct. 8, 2009, preprint available at Lurie’s webpage.
- [O] Orgogozo, F.: *Modifications et cycles proches sur une base générale*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2006**, (2006).
- [S1] Saito, T.: *The characteristic cycle and the singular support of a constructible sheaf*, Invent. Math. **207**, p.597–695 (2017).
- [S2] Saito, T.: *On the proper push-forward of the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **97.2**, p.485–494 (2018).
- [S3] Saito, T.: *Characteristic cycles and the conductor of direct image*, Journal of AMS **34**, p.369–410 (2021).
- [SV] Suslin, A., Voevodsky, V.: *Relative cycles and Chow sheaves*, Ann. Math. Studies **143**, p.10–86 (2000).
- [V1] Voevodsky, V.: *Homotopy theory of simplicial sheaves in completely decomposable topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214**, p.1384–1398 (2010).
- [V2] Voevodsky, V.: *Unstable motivic homotopy categories in Nisnevich and cdh-topologies*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214**, p.1399–1406 (2010).

Tomoyuki Abe:

Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI)

The University of Tokyo

5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8583, Japan

e-mail: tomoyuki.abe@ipmu.jp