

# NONEXISTENCE OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUS PSEUDO-PARABOLIC EQUATION

MEIRKHAN B. BORIKHANOV AND BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we study an inhomogeneous pseudo-parabolic equation with nonlocal nonlinearity

$$u_t - k\Delta u_t - \Delta u = I_{0+}^\gamma(|u|^p) + \omega(x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\omega(x) \neq 0$  and  $I_{0+}^\gamma$  is the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . Based on the test function method, we have proved the blow-up result for the critical case  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $p = p_c$  for  $N \geq 3$ , which answers an **open question** posed in [14], and in particular when  $k = 0$  it improves the result obtained in [2]. An interesting fact is that in the case  $\gamma > 0$ , the problem does not admit global solutions for any  $p > 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega(x) dx > 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Zhou in [14] has investigated the inhomogeneous pseudo-parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - k\Delta u_t - \Delta u = |u|^p + \omega(x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p > 0$ ,  $k > 0$  and  $u_0, \omega \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

There was studied the effect of the inhomogeneous term  $\omega(x)$  on the critical exponent  $p_c$  of the problem (1.1), and it was proven that for

$$p_c = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } N = 1, 2, \\ \frac{N}{N-2} & \text{if } N \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

(a) if  $1 < p < p_c$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega(x) dx > 0$ , then the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time.

(b) if  $p > p_c$ , then there exist  $u_0 \geq 0$  and  $\omega \geq 0$  such that the problem (1.1) admits global solutions.

Note that the critical case  $p = p_c$  was left open (see [14, Remark 4(b)]).

At first, the problem (1.1) for  $\omega(x) \equiv 0$  has studied in [4, 11]. It is shown that there exists the critical exponent  $p_F = 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ , for the pseudo-parabolic equation. This exponent coincides with the Fujita critical exponent of the semilinear heat equations, which was first introduced by Fujita in [7].

The problem (1.1) with  $k = 0$  is considered by Bandle et. al. [2]. Namely, it was studied the cases (a), (b) and

---

\*Corresponding author

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K70, 35A01, 35B44.

*Key words and phrases.* semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation, critical exponent, nonexistence of global solution.

(c) if  $N \geq 3$ ,  $p = p_c$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega(x) dx > 0$ ,  $\omega(x) = O(|x|^{-\varepsilon-N})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and either  $u \geq 0$  or

$$\int_{|x|>R} \frac{\omega^-(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy = \frac{o(1)}{|x|^{N-2}}, \quad \omega^- = \max\{-\omega, 0\}$$

when  $R$  is enough large, then (1.1) has no global solutions.

Later on, Jleli et. al. [9] generalized these results with the forcing term  $t^\sigma \omega(x)$ ,  $\sigma > -1$ , and showed the effects of forcing term on the critical exponents.

In this paper, we study the semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with a forcing term depending on the space

$$\begin{cases} u_t - k\Delta u_t - \Delta u = I_{0+}^\gamma(|u|^p) + \omega(x), & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\omega(x) \neq 0$  and  $I_{0+}^\gamma$  is the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ .

We note that the problem (1.2) for  $k = 0$  and  $\omega(x) \equiv 0$ , was considered in [3, 5, 6, 13].

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a blow-up result for the critical case  $p = p_c$  for  $N \geq 3$ , thereby answering the *open question* proposed in [14]. In addition, to study the effect of nonlocal nonlinearity in time on the critical exponent.

### 1.1. Preliminaries.

**Definition 1.1** ([8], p. 69). The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  for an integrable function  $u(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$  are given by

$$I_{0+}^\gamma u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} u(s) ds$$

and

$$I_{T-}^\gamma u(t) = \int_t^T \frac{(s-t)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} u(s) ds.$$

Since  $I^\gamma[u](t) \rightarrow u(t)$  almost everywhere as  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  (see [8]), we can let  $I^0[u](t) = u(t)$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Weak solution). We say that  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a global weak solution to (1.2), if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \varphi dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 (\varphi(0, x) - k \Delta \varphi(0, x)) dx \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \varphi_t dx dt + k \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \Delta \varphi_t dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \Delta \varphi dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all  $T > 0$  and  $\varphi \in C_{t,x}^{1,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\varphi \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp}_x \varphi \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\varphi(T, \cdot) = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** [12, Lemma 3.1] *Let  $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega(x) dx > 0$ . Then there exists a test function  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \phi dx > 0$ .*

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will show the blow-up of the solution to (1.2) using the test function method.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $u_0, \omega \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega(x)dx > 0$ . Then*

- (i) *if  $\gamma > 0$ , then for any  $p > 1$  the problem (1.2) admits no global weak solution.*
- (ii) *if  $\gamma = 0$  and  $p = p_c = \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , then the problem (1.2) admits no global weak solution.*

*Remark 2.2.* Note that the part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 answers to the open question posed by Zhou in [14].

*Remark 2.3.* When  $k = 0$  the equation (1.2) coincides with the heat equation considered in [2], then our results remain true for the heat equation. Note that the part (i) of Theorem 2.1 in the case  $k = 0$  improves the result in [1], since we do not assume that  $u_0$  is positive. The part (ii) of Theorem 2.1, in case  $k = 0$  improves the result in [2]. Since we do not assume some asymptotic properties of the function  $\omega(x)$  as in [2], our result improves part (b) of Theorem 2.1 from [2].

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We present the proofs of the cases (i) and (ii) separately.

**(i) The case  $\gamma > 0$  and  $p > 1$ .** The proof is done by contradiction.

Assume that  $u$  is a global weak solution to problem (1.2). We choose the test function in the following form

$$\varphi(t, x) = \psi(t)\xi(x),$$

with

$$\psi(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^m, \quad m > \frac{p+\gamma}{p-1}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T \in (0, \infty),$$

and

$$\xi(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{|x|^2}{R^2}\right), \quad R \gg 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let  $\Phi(z) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be a nonincreasing function

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq z \leq 1, \\ \searrow & \text{if } 1 < z < 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, from (1.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \varphi dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0 (\varphi(0, x) - k \Delta \varphi(0, x)) dx \\ & \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\varphi_t| dx dt + k \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\Delta \varphi_t| dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\Delta \varphi| dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Using the  $\varepsilon$ -Young inequality in the right-side of (2.1) with  $\varepsilon = \frac{p}{3}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\varphi_t| dxdt &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi) dxdt \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\varphi_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dxdt}_{\mathcal{I}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\Delta \varphi_t| dxdt &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi) dxdt \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta \varphi_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dxdt}_{\mathcal{I}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u| |\Delta \varphi| dxdt &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi) dxdt \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{T-}^\gamma \varphi)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta \varphi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dxdt}_{\mathcal{I}_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the inequality (2.1) in the following form

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \varphi dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(\varphi(0, x) - k \Delta \varphi(0, x)) dx \leq C(p) \left( \mathcal{I}_1 + k \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C(p) = \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ .

Next, we estimate the integrals  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3$ . At this stage, inserting the equality

$$(I_{T-}^\gamma \psi)(t) = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+m+1)} T^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^{m+\gamma}, \quad t \in [0, T), \quad (2.3)$$

to the term of the above integrals and changing the variable  $y = xR$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\leq CT^{\frac{-\gamma-1}{p-1}} R^N, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &\leq CT^{\frac{-\gamma-1}{p-1}} R^{N-\frac{2p}{p-1}}, \\ \mathcal{I}_3 &\leq CT^{1-\frac{\gamma}{p-1}} R^{N-\frac{2p}{p-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, it follows from a simple calculation that

$$\int_0^T \psi(t) dt = \int_0^T \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^m dt = C(m)T. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.2)-(2.5) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \xi dx + C(m)T^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(\xi - k\Delta\xi)dx \\ \leq C(p, m) \left( CT^{\frac{-\gamma-1}{p-1}-1} R^N + kCT^{\frac{-\gamma-1}{p-1}-1} R^{N-\frac{2p}{p-1}} + CT^{-\frac{\gamma}{p-1}} R^{N-\frac{2p}{p-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, fixing  $R$  and passing  $T \rightarrow +\infty$  in the last inequality and using Lemma 1.3, we deduce that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \xi dx \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**(ii) The critical case  $\gamma = 0$  and  $p = p_c = \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,  $N \geq 3$ .** The proof also will be done by contradiction. Suppose that  $u$  is a global weak solution to (1.2). Now, following the idea of [10], we set the test function as

$$\varphi(t, x) = \eta(t)\phi(x),$$

for large enough  $R, T$

$$\eta(t) = \nu \left( \frac{t}{T} \right), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\phi(x) = \Psi \left( \frac{\ln \left( \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{R}} \right)}{\ln \left( \sqrt{R} \right)} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $\nu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\nu \geq 0$ ;  $\nu \not\equiv 0$ ;  $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset (0, 1)$ , and  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function satisfying

$$\Psi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } -\infty < s \leq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

and there exist positive constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  such that

$$|\phi''(x)| \leq \theta_1 |\phi(x)|, \quad |\phi'(x)| \leq \theta_2 |\phi(x)|. \quad (2.9)$$

Using the fact that  $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset (0, 1)$ , we can easily get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(\varphi(0, x) - k\Delta\varphi(0, x))dx = \nu(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(\phi(x) - k\Delta\phi(x))dx = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Then, acting in the same way as in the above case, we get the following estimate

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \varphi dx dt \leq C(p) \left( \mathcal{J}_1 + k\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 \right), \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\varphi_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt, \\ \mathcal{J}_2 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta\varphi_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt, \\ \mathcal{J}_3 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta\varphi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.6) and (2.7), let us calculate the next integral

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \left( \int_0^T \eta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\eta_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta \phi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right). \quad (2.12)$$

Indeed, the function  $\phi$  is a radial, and remaining (2.9) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \phi| &= \frac{d^2 \phi}{dr^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d\phi}{dr} = \phi'' \frac{1}{r^2 \ln^2 \sqrt{R}} + \phi' \frac{N-2}{r^2 \ln \sqrt{R}} \\ &\leq \theta_1 |\phi| \frac{1}{r^2 \ln^2 \sqrt{R}} + \theta_2 |\phi| \frac{N-2}{r^2 \ln \sqrt{R}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^2 \ln R} |\phi|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = |x| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Since,  $p = \frac{N}{N-2}$ , by inserting the last inequality into (2.12), we can verify that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta \phi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \leq C^{\frac{N}{2}} (\ln R)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\phi|}{|x|^N} dx.$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\Delta \phi|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \leq C (\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, from (2.6) one obtains

$$\int_0^T \eta^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |\eta_t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dt = CT^{-\frac{N}{2}+1}. \quad (2.14)$$

By combining (2.13)-(2.14), we can rewrite (2.12) as

$$\mathcal{J}_2 \leq kCT^{-\frac{N}{2}+1} (\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}.$$

Consequently, we will estimate the integrals  $\mathcal{J}_1$  and  $\mathcal{J}_3$ , respectively, in the following form

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \leq CT^{-\frac{N}{2}+1} R^N$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_3 \leq CT^1 (\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}.$$

Finally, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \phi dx \leq C(p) \left( CT^{-\frac{N}{2}} R^N + kCT^{-\frac{N}{2}} (\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} + C(\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Now for  $T = R^j, j > 0$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \phi dx \leq C \left( CR^{-\frac{N(j-2)}{2}} + kCR^{-\frac{N(j-2)}{2}} (\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} + C(\ln R)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \right).$$

Taking  $j > 2$  and passing to the limit as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality and in view of Lemma 1.3, we deduce that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega \phi dx \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP09259578) and by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations. No new data was collected or generated during the course of research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alqahtani, M. Jleli, B. Samet. Finite-time blow-up for inhomogeneous parabolic equations with nonlinear memory. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 66:1, 84–93, (2021).
- [2] C. Bandle, H.A. Levine, Q. Zhang. Critical exponents of Fujita type for inhomogeneous parabolic equations and systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251, 624–648, (2000).
- [3] M. B. Borikhanov, B. T. Torebek. Local existence and global nonexistence results for an integro-differential diffusion system with nonlocal nonlinearities. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 44:2, 1796–1811, (2021).
- [4] Y. Cao, J. Yin, C. Wang. Cauchy problems of semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations. *J. Differential Equations.* 246, 4568–4590, (2009).
- [5] T. Cazenave, F. Dickstein, F. D. Weissler. An equation whose Fujita critical exponent is not given by scaling. *Nonlinear Analysis.* 68, 862–874, (2008).
- [6] A. Fino, M. Kirane. Qualitative Properties of Solutions to a Time-Space Fractional Evolution Equation. 2009. hal-00398110v5.
- [7] H. Fujita. On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for  $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ . *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 13*, 109–124, (1966).
- [8] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, (2006).
- [9] M. Jleli, T. Kawakami, B. Samet. Critical behavior for a semilinear parabolic equation with forcing term depending on time and space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 486:2, 123931, (2020).
- [10] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation on manifolds: the critical case, *Applicable Analysis*. doi: 10.1080/00036811.2021.1986026 (2021).
- [11] E. I. Kaikina, P. I. Naumkin, I. A. Shishmarev. The Cauchy problem for an equation of Sobolev type with power non-linearity. *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.* 69:1, 61–114, (2005).
- [12] S. I. Pokhozhaev. Nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear evolution equations. *Differ. Equ.* 49, 599–606, (2013).
- [13] F. Sun, P. Shi. Global existence and non-existence for a higher-order parabolic equation with time-fractional term. *Nonlinear Analysis.* 75:10, 4145–4155, (2012).
- [14] J. Zhou. Fujita exponent for an inhomogeneous pseudo-parabolic equation. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 50:3, 1125–1137, (2020).

MEIRKHAN B. BORIKHANOV

KHOJA AKHMET YASSAWI INTERNATIONAL KAZAKH–TURKISH UNIVERSITY  
SATTARKHANOV AVE., 29, 161200 TURKISTAN, KAZAKHSTAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

*Email address:* meiirkhan.borikhanov@ayu.edu.kz, meiirkhan.borikhanov@ugent.be

BERIKBOL T. TOREBEK

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING  
125 PUSHKIN STR., 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS  
GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

*Email address:* torebek@math.kz, berikbol.torebek@ugent.be