

# STRUCTURE OF PRIMITIVE AXIAL ALGEBRAS

LOUIS HALLE ROWEN\*      YOAV SEGEV

**ABSTRACT.** “Fusion rules” are laws of multiplication among eigenspaces of an idempotent. This terminology is relatively new and is closely related to primitive axial algebras, introduced recently by Hall, Rehren and Shpectorov. Axial algebras, in turn, are closely related to 3-transposition groups and vertex operator algebras.

In earlier work we studied primitive axial algebras, not necessarily commutative, and showed that they all have Jordan type. In this paper, we show that all finitely generated primitive axial algebras are direct sums of specifically described flexible finite dimensional noncommutative algebras, and commutative axial algebras generated by primitive axes of the same type. In particular, all primitive axial algebras are flexible. They also have Frobenius forms. We give a precise description of all the primitive axes of axial algebras generated by two primitive axes.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The structure of the paper	4
1.2. Main results	4
2. Miyamoto involutions	6
2.1. Miyamoto involutions in the noncommutative setting	6
3. The axial graph	8
3.1. The strong axial graph	12
4. The structure of noncommutative PAJ's	12
4.1. Uniformly generated noncommutative PAJ's	13
4.2. Flexibility	22
5. Properties of primitive axes	24
5.1. The idempotents of CPAJ's of dimension 2	25
5.2. The idempotents of 2-generated CPAJ's of dimension 3	26
5.3. The case where $\gamma \neq 0$	28
6. The existence of a Frobenius form	33
References	37

---

*Date:* June 14, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17A05, 17A15, 17A20 ; Secondary: 17A36, 17C27.

*Key words and phrases.* axis, flexible algebra, power-associative, fusion rules, idempotent.

\*The first author was supported by the ISF grant 1994/20.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by group theory and associated schemes, considerable interest has arisen in studying *axes* (a kind of idempotents) and the algebras they generate, called *axial algebras*. In previous papers [RS1, RS2, RS3], we classified non-commutative algebras generated by two primitive axes. Our goal in this paper is to produce techniques to study the structure of (not necessarily commutative) primitive axial algebras of Jordan type, utilizing a close study of algebras generated by two primitive axes.

### Definitions and notation 1.1.

- (1)  $A$  always denotes an algebra (*possibly not commutative*), over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . We designate an idempotent  $a = a^2 \in A$  and elements  $\lambda, \delta \notin \{0, 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- (2) For  $y \in A$ , write  $L_y$  for the left multiplication map  $z \mapsto yz$  and  $R_y$  for the right multiplication map  $z \mapsto zy$ .
- (3) Write  $A_\eta(X_a)$  for the  $\eta$ -eigenspace of  $A$  with respect to  $X_a$ , where  $X \in \{R, L\}$ .

A *left axis*  $a$  is a semisimple idempotent, i.e.,  $A$  is a direct sum of its left eigenspaces with respect to  $L_a$ . A left axis  $a$  is *primitive* if  $A_1(L_a) = \mathbb{F}a$ . A *right axis* is defined similarly. A (*two sided*) *axis*  $a$  is a left axis which is also a right axis and such that  $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$ , i.e.,  $a(ya) = (ay)a$  for all  $y \in A$ . The axis  $a$  is *primitive* if it is primitive both as a left and a right axis, i.e.,

$$A_1(a) := A_1(L_a) = \mathbb{F}a = A_1(R_a).$$

- (4) We say that a left axis  $a$  has *eigenvalue set*  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , if  $\tilde{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $L_a$ . The eigenvalues 0 and 1 play a special role, so in this paper we take the simplest nontrivial case, that there is only one left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  other than  $\{0, 1\}$ ; we say that  $a$  is a left axis of *type*  $\lambda$  if

$$(1.1) \quad A = \overbrace{A_1(L_a) \oplus A_0(L_a)}^{0\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_\lambda(L_a)}^{1\text{-part}},$$

where this is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$ , which is called the “*fusion rules*”, a special case of general fusion rules treated in [DPSC]. A *right axis of type*  $\delta$  is defined analogously.

An axis  $a$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  is a left axis of type  $\lambda$  which is also a right axis of type  $\delta$ . Since  $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$ ,  $A$  decomposes as a direct sum of subspaces

$$A_{\mu, \eta}(a) := A_\mu(L_a) \cap A_\eta(R_a).$$

An element in  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  will be called a  $(\lambda, \delta)$ -*eigenvector* of  $a$ , with *eigenvalue pair*  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .

If  $\lambda = \delta$  we say that  $a$  is of *commutative* type, which we sometimes call  $\lambda$ , and sometimes  $(\lambda, \lambda)$ ; otherwise  $a$  is of *noncommutative* type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .

When  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , following [RS1, Definition 1.3], we continue the decomposition of (1.1) to

$$(1.2) \quad A = \overbrace{A_1(a) \oplus A_{0,0}(a)}^{(+, +)\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{0,\delta}(a)}^{(+, -)\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,0}(a)}^{(-, +)\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)}^{(-, -)\text{-part}}.$$

and this is a noncommutative (i.e. two sided)  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$ .

- (5) Throughout this paper, when we say that  $a \in A$  is a primitive axis, we mean that  $a$  has some type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ ; that is we assume that the eigenvalues of  $L_a$  are contained in  $\{0, 1, \lambda\}$ , that the eigenvalues of  $R_a$  are contained in  $\{0, 1, \delta\}$ , and that equation (1.2) is satisfied.
- (6) In [RS3, Theorem 2.16] we proved that if  $A$  is generated by primitive axes, then for all these axes we have

$$(1.3) \quad A_{\lambda,0}(a) = A_{0,\delta}(a) = 0.$$

Since we will be concerned with algebras generated by primitive axes, from now on, a *primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$*  is a primitive axis satisfying (1.3). Thus writing  $A_0(a)$  for  $A_{0,0}(a)$ , we have

$$A = \overbrace{A_1(a) \oplus A_0(a)}^{0\text{-part}} \oplus \overbrace{A_\lambda(a)}^{1\text{-part}},$$

with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading. Accordingly any  $y \in A$  has a unique decomposition

$$y = \alpha_y a + y_0 + y_{\lambda,\delta}, \quad \alpha_y \in \mathbb{F}, y_0 \in A_0(a), y_{\lambda,\delta} \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a).$$

- (7)  $X \subseteq A$  denotes a set of primitive axes, not necessarily generating  $A$ .  $\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  denotes the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $X$ .
- (8)  $A$  is a *primitive axial algebra* (of Jordan type), *called a PAJ*, if it is generated by a set  $X$  of primitive axes (not necessarily of the same type). We say that  $A$  is *finitely generated* if  $X$  is a finite set, and  $A$  is *n-generated* if  $|X| = n$ .

A *CPAJ* is a commutative PAJ.

- (9) Given a PAJ  $A$  we denote by  $\chi(A)$  the set of *all* primitive axes of  $A$ .

**Remark 1.2.** When a primitive axis  $a$  is of noncommutative type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , then  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ , by [RS2, Theorem 2.5, Proposition 2.9, and Example 2.6].

**Definition 1.3.** If  $x \in X$  is of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , resp.  $\lambda$  in the commutative case, we define the *complementary type* of  $x$  to be  $(\delta, \lambda)$ , resp.  $1 - \lambda$ .

Write  $X_\lambda$  (resp.  $X_{\lambda,\delta}$ ) for the set of primitive axes of  $X$  of commutative type  $\lambda$  (resp. of noncommutative type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ ). Write  $X_{\{\lambda,\delta\}} := X_{\lambda,\delta} \cup X_{\delta,\lambda}$ . Call  $X$  *uniform* of type  $\lambda$  (resp. type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , resp. type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$ ) if  $X = X_\lambda$  (resp.  $X = X_{\lambda,\delta}$ , resp.  $X = X_{\{\lambda,\delta\}}$ ). A PAJ  $A$  is *uniformly generated* of type  $\lambda$  (resp. type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , resp. type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$ ) if  $A = \langle\langle X_\lambda \rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $A = \langle\langle X_{\lambda,\delta} \rangle\rangle$ , resp.  $A = \langle\langle X_{\{\lambda,\delta\}} \cup X_{\{\delta,\lambda\}} \rangle\rangle$ ).

By definition, all of the algebras in [HRS] are uniformly generated of type  $\lambda$ .

### 1.1. The structure of the paper.

After a review of the basics of PAJ's, we bring in the fundamental notion of Miyamoto involutions, and the ensuing topology on the set of primitive axes (§2), extended to the noncommutative setting. Accompanying this is the axial graph, whose components turn out to be uniform, cf. §4, of type which is uniquely determined up to taking complementary type.

This leads us to the “axial decomposition” (Theorem 3.2) presenting  $A$  as a direct product of a CPAJ and various noncommutative PAJ's, given in §4.1, the most basic of which is  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ ), defined to be the algebra having as a basis the set of idempotents  $E := \{e_i : i \in I\}$ , with multiplication given by  $e_i e_j = \delta e_i + \lambda e_j$ , for each  $i, j \in I$ , where  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$  (Definition 4.4), whose dimension is  $|E|$ . From this we conclude that all PAJ's are flexible (Theorem 4.11).

Having reduced the theory to uniformly generated CPAJ's, in §5 we delve deeper into properties of primitive axes. We start with the projection  $\varphi_a$  of  $A$  to  $\mathbb{F}a$ , for a primitive axis  $a$ , and continue by determining all idempotents in a 2-generated PAJ  $B = \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ , which all turn out to be primitive axes in  $B$ .

In §6 we obtain a Frobenius form for any PAJ, which is not quite unique, and implies  $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$ , which was an axiom in [HRS] but is in fact redundant.

### 1.2. Main results.

$A$  is a PAJ generated by a set of primitive axes  $X$ , and  $a \in X$  in all of these theorems. The notation and terminology can be found in the appropriate sections. These are our main results:

**Theorem A** (Theorem 2.3)

- (i) (Generalizing [HRS, Corollary 1.2, p. 81].)  $A$  is spanned by the set  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .
- (ii) Let  $V \subseteq A$  be a subspace containing  $X$  such that  $xv \in V$ , or  $vx \in V$ , for all  $v \in V$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $V = A$ .
- (iii) If  $X$  is uniform of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  (resp.  $(\lambda, \delta)$  resp.  $\lambda$ ), then  $A$  is spanned by primitive axes of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  (resp.  $(\lambda, \delta)$  resp.  $\lambda$ ).

**Theorem B** (Theorem 3.2) Any PAJ  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  is a sum of uniformly generated algebras  $A_i := \langle\langle X_i \rangle\rangle$ , where  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  are the connected components of  $\text{Cl}(X)$  in the axial graph (§3).

This is strengthened in Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.8)

Suppose  $X = X' \cup X''$  with  $X' = X_{\lambda,\delta}$  and  $X'' = X_{\delta,\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda + \delta = 1$  and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Set  $A' := \langle\langle X' \rangle\rangle$  and  $A'' := \langle\langle X'' \rangle\rangle$ . Let

$$Z = \left\{ \sum \mathbb{F}x'x'' : x' \in X', x'' \in X'' \right\}.$$

Let  $a, a' \in A$  be two primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and  $b \in A$  be an axis of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

- (i)  $A'$  is a direct product of copies of various  $\mathbb{U}_{E_i}(\lambda)$ , and  $A''$  is a direct product of copies of various  $\mathbb{U}_{E_j}(\delta)$ .
- (ii)  $ab, ba \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a')$ , if  $aa' \neq 0$ , while  $ab, ba \in A_0(a')$ , if  $aa' = 0$ . In particular if  $x' \in A$  is an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , with  $a'x' \neq 0$ , then  $A_0(a') \cap Z = A_0(x') \cap Z$  and  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a') \cap Z = A_{\lambda,\delta}(x') \cap Z$ .
- (iii)  $Z$  is an ideal in  $A$ , with  $Z^2 = 0$ , so  $A = A' + A'' + Z$ . Consequently  $A$  is finite dimensional if  $X$  is finite.
- (iv) If  $w \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , then  $w = v' + z'$ , with  $v' \in (\mathbb{F}a + A') \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$  and  $z' \in Z \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ .

In particular, if  $a \in X'$ , then

$$A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) = A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a) + Z \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a).$$

Hence if  $a_1, a_2 \in X'$  are in the same connected component of  $X'$ , then  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a_1) = A_{\lambda,\delta}(a_2)$ . Furthermore  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^2 = 0$ .

- (v) Any primitive axis in  $A'$  (resp.  $A''$ ) is a primitive axis in  $A$ .
- (vi) Suppose  $X'$  is the set of all primitive axes of  $A$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Taking one primitive axis  $a'_j$  for each connected component of  $X'$  we have  $Z \subseteq \sum_{j \in J} A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a'_j)$ . The same assertion holds with  $X''$  in place of  $X'$  (and  $(\delta, \lambda)$  in place of  $(\lambda, \delta)$ ).
- (vii) If  $X'$  is connected, then  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) = \sum \mathbb{F}_{x' \in X'}(x' - a) + Z$ , for all  $a \in X'$ , so  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$  is an ideal of  $A$ , and  $A/A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \cong \mathbb{F} \times \overline{A''}$ .
- (viii) Suppose  $X = \chi(A)$ . Taking one primitive axis  $a'_j$  for each connected component of  $X'$  and one primitive axis  $a''_j$  for each connected component of  $X''$ , let

$$I := \sum_j A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a'_j) + \sum_j A''_{\lambda,\delta}(a''_j).$$

Then  $I$  is an ideal in  $A$ , and  $A/I$  is a direct product of fields.

- (ix)  $A$  has no nonzero annihilating element. Hence  $A$  is a direct product of the  $A_i$ 's where  $A_i$  is as in Theorem B.

**Theorem D** (Theorem 4.9) Every PAJ  $A$  is a direct product of uniformly generated noncommutative PAJ's (described in Theorem C) with a CPAJ.

**Theorem E** (Theorem 4.11) Any PAJ is flexible.

**Theorem F** (Theorem 6.3 and Remark 6.7)

- (i) There is a set  $X'$  of primitive axes, generating  $A$ , and a Frobenius form for which all of the axes of  $X'$  have norm 1.
- (ii) One of the following holds
  - (1) We can choose  $X'$  in (i) so that  $a \in X'$ ; or

- (2)  $a$  is of type  $-1$ , there exists a primitive axis  $b$  of type 2, with  $ab \neq 0$ , and  $a$  is in the radical of any Frobenius form on  $A$ .

**Theorem G** (Theorem 6.11)  $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$ , unless perhaps  $a$  is as in part (ii2) of Theorem F.

**Theorem H** (Theorem 5.12, Theorem 5.9, Proposition 5.11)

If  $A = \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  is a 2-generated CPAJ, then all nontrivial idempotents in  $A$  are primitive axes, and are explicitly described. For  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A$  contains exactly 6 idempotents, and all primitive axes of the same type are conjugate with respect to a Miyamoto involution. For  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $\alpha_b$  as in notation 1.1(6):

- (1) If  $\alpha_b \neq 0$ , then a primitive axis  $b$  is conjugate to  $a$  with respect to a Miyamoto involution, iff  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a square root of  $\alpha_b$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha_b = 0$ , let  $E_d = \{a + \rho e \mid \rho \in \mathbb{F}\}$ , for  $d \in \{a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ . Then

$$E_d = \{e_1^{\tau_e} \mid e \text{ a primitive idempotent in } A\},$$

for any  $e_1 \in E_d$ .

In summary, all nontrivial idempotents of a 2-generated CPAJ are primitive axes. We use this in our next paper to address axes and conjugacy in arbitrary PAJ's.

## 2. MIYAMOTO INVOLUTIONS

In order to treat the structure of PAJ's in greater depth, we need another topic.

### 2.1. Miyamoto involutions in the noncommutative setting.

It is easy to check that any  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$  induces an automorphism of  $A$ , of order 2. Indeed, if  $A = A^+ \oplus A^-$ , then  $y \mapsto y^+ - y^-$  is such an automorphism, where  $y \in A$ , and  $y = y^+ + y^-$ ,  $y^+ \in A^+$ ,  $y^- \in A^-$ . So any primitive axis  $a \in A$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  gives rise to an automorphism  $\tau_a: A \rightarrow A$ , of order 2, given by

$$y = \alpha_y a + y_0 + y_{\lambda, \delta} \mapsto \alpha_y a + y_0 - y_{\lambda, \delta},$$

which in accordance with the literature we call the **Miyamoto involution associated with  $a$**  (even though in standard algebraic terminology, an algebraic involution really means an anti-automorphism).

Note for any primitive axis  $b$  that  $\tau_a(b) \in \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ ;  $\tau_a(b) = b$  iff  $ab = 0$ . (Indeed, if  $b_{\lambda, \delta} = 0$  then  $ab = \alpha_b a$ , contrary to [RS1, Lemma 2.4(ii)].) For  $\rho \in \text{Aut}(A)$  we write  $y^\rho$  for  $\rho(y)$ , for any  $y \in A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a$  be a primitive axis, and  $\rho \in \text{Aut}(A)$ . Then*

$$\tau_{a^\rho} = \tau_a^\rho := \rho^{-1} \tau_a \rho.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda, \delta)$  be the type of  $a$ . Note that for  $\mu \in \{1, 0, (\lambda, \delta)\}$ ,

$$x \in A_\mu(a^\rho) \iff x^{\rho^{-1}} \in A_\mu(a).$$

Let  $\tau := \tau_{a^\rho}$ . Then for any  $u \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u^\tau = u &\iff u \in A_1(a^\rho) \cup A_0(a^\rho) \iff u^{\rho^{-1}} \in A_1(a) \cup A_0(a) \\ &\iff u^{\rho^{-1}\tau_a} = u^{\rho^{-1}} \iff u^{\rho^{-1}\tau_a\rho} = u. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $u^\tau = -u \iff u^{\rho^{-1}\tau_a\rho} = -u$ . Thus  $\tau = \tau_a^\rho$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  denote the set of finite products of Miyamoto involutions of a given set of primitive axes  $X$ , clearly a group, called the *Miyamoto group* of  $X$ . Note that if  $X$  is countable then so is  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ .

We write

$$\text{Cl}(X) = X^{\mathcal{G}(X)} = \{x^\rho : \rho \in \mathcal{G}(X)\}.$$

The set  $X$  is *closed* if  $X = \text{Cl}(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (i)  $\text{Cl}(\text{Cl}(X)) = \text{Cl}(X)$ . Hence  $\text{Cl}$  is the closure operator in a suitable topology on the primitive axes of  $A$ , in which points are closed.

(ii) If  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  then  $\langle\langle X^\rho \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathcal{G}(X) = \mathcal{G}(\text{Cl}(X))$ , so

$$\text{Cl}(X) = X^{\mathcal{G}(X)} = \text{Cl}(X)^{\mathcal{G}(X)} = \text{Cl}(X)^{\mathcal{G}(\text{Cl}(X))} = \text{Cl}(\text{Cl}(X)).$$

(ii) Since  $\rho \in \text{Aut}(\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle)$ , we have  $X^\rho \subseteq \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , so  $\langle\langle X^\rho \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ . The reverse inclusion is obtained by using  $\rho^{-1}$ .  $\square$

A *monomial* in  $X$  is defined inductively: Any primitive axis  $x \in X$  is a monomial of length 1, and if  $h$  is a monomial of length  $k$ , and  $h'$  is a monomial of length  $k'$ , then  $hh'$  is a monomial of length  $k + k'$ .

One of our main tools is the following theorem, a noncommutative version of a result of [KMS].

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose  $A$  is a PAJ generated by a set of primitive axes  $X$ .

- (i) (Generalizing [HRS, Corollary 1.2, p. 81].)  $A$  is spanned by the set  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .
- (ii) If  $V \subseteq A$  is a subspace containing  $X$  such that  $xv \in V$ , or  $vx \in V$ , for all  $v \in V$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $V = A$ .
- (iii) If  $X$  is uniform of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  (resp.  $(\lambda, \delta)$  resp.  $\lambda$ ), then  $A$  is spanned by primitive axes of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  (resp.  $(\lambda, \delta)$  resp.  $\lambda$ ).
- (iv) Let  $a \in A$  be a primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Then for  $\mu \in \{1, 0, (\lambda, \delta)\}$ , we have

$$A_\mu(a) = \sum \{\mathbb{F}b_\mu : b \in \text{Cl}(X)\}.$$

For example,

$$A_0(a) = \sum \{\mathbb{F}b_0 : b \in \text{Cl}(X)\}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Cl}(X) = X^{\mathcal{G}(X)}$ .

(i) By induction on the length of a monomial in the primitive axes  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , it suffices to show that  $ab$  is in the span of  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , for  $a, b \in \text{Cl}(X)$ . Write

$$b = \alpha_b a + b_0 + b_{\lambda, \delta}.$$

Then  $ab = \alpha_b a + \lambda b_{\lambda, \delta}$ . But  $b_{\lambda, \delta} = \frac{1}{2}(b - b^{\tau_a})$ .

(ii) Let  $a \in X$  be of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , and  $v \in V$ . Then  $av = \alpha_v a + \lambda v_{\lambda, \delta}$ , and  $va = \alpha_v a + \delta v_{\lambda, \delta}$ . Thus, by hypothesis,  $v_{\lambda, \delta} \in V$ . But then  $v^{\tau_a} = v - 2v_{\lambda, \delta} \in V$ . Thus  $V^{\tau_a} = V$ . As this holds for all  $a \in X$ , we see that  $\text{Cl}(X) \subseteq V$ , so by (i),  $V = A$ .

(iii)  $x^\rho$  has the same type as  $x$ , for any automorphism  $\rho$ , so apply (i).

(iv) This follows, decomposing  $A$  into eigenspaces, since  $\text{Cl}(X)$  spans  $A$ .  $\square$

As a corollary we get

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose  $A$  is generated by  $X$  and that  $X$  is uniform. If  $X = X_{\{\lambda, \delta\}}$  (resp.  $X = X_\lambda$ ), then all the primitive axes in  $A$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  or  $(\delta, \lambda)$  (resp. of type  $\lambda$  or  $1 - \lambda$ ).*

*Proof.* We start with the case where  $X = X_{\{\lambda, \delta\}}$ . Set  $T := \{(\lambda, \delta), (\delta, \lambda)\}$ . Let  $a$  be a primitive axis in  $A$ . Suppose that the type of  $a$  is not in  $T$ . Then, by [RS1, Examples 2.6],  $ab = 0$ , for any primitive axis  $b \in A$  whose type is in  $T$ . But by Theorem 2.3(i),  $A$  is spanned by  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , and of course  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Cl}(X)_{\{\lambda, \delta\}}$ . Hence  $aA = 0$ , which is impossible since  $a^2 = a$ .

The same argument works when  $X = X_\lambda$  using [RS1, Proposition 2.12(vi)] and Theorem 2.3(i).  $\square$

In Theorem 4.6(3) below we get more precise information when  $X = X_{\lambda, \delta}$ .

### 3. THE AXIAL GRAPH

Let  $A$  be a PAJ. Recall that  $\chi(A)$  denotes the set of *all* primitive axes of  $A$ . We continue to assume that  $X$  is a collection of primitive axes. As in [HSS2, §1.2.1], define the *axial graph* on  $\chi(A)$  to be the graph whose vertex set is  $\chi(A)$ , and whose edges connect primitive axes  $x'$  and  $x''$  when  $x'x'' \neq 0$ . (Note that since any primitive axis is of Jordan type,  $x'x'' \neq 0$  if and only if  $x''x' \neq 0$ .) Given a subset  $X \subseteq \chi(A)$ , when we discuss the graph of  $X$ , we mean the full subgraph induced on  $X$ . Many of the following results are mild generalizations of [HSS2, §6]. By “component” we mean “connected component.”

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ .*

- (i) *For any two primitive axes  $a$  and  $b$ , either  $ab^{\tau_a} \neq 0$  or  $bb^{\tau_a} \neq 0$ ; hence, for  $a, b \in \chi(A)$  with  $ab \neq 0$ , the distance between  $b$  and  $b^{\tau_a}$  is at most 2.*
- (ii) *For any connected subset  $X' \subseteq \chi(A)$ ,  $\text{Cl}(X')$  is also connected.*



- (iii) If  $a, b \in \chi(A)$  are in the same component, then  $b$  has either the same type of  $a$  or the complementary type, cf. Definition 1.3.
- (iv) If  $X$  is connected, then  $\chi(A)$  is connected.
- (v) Any component of  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is closed.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $a$  is of type  $\lambda$ . If  $b^{\tau_a} \neq b$  then  $b = \alpha_b a + b_0 + b_\lambda$ , with  $b_\lambda \neq 0$ , so  $ab^{\tau_a} = \alpha_b a - \lambda b_\lambda \neq 0$ . Likewise for  $a$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .

(ii) Let  $b \in X'$ , and  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}(X')$ , so that  $b^\rho$  is a typical element in  $\text{Cl}(X')$ . Now  $\rho$  is a product  $\tau_{x_1} \dots \tau_{x_m}$ , for  $x_i \in X'$ . We claim that  $b^{\tau_{x_1}}$  is in the same component as  $b$ . This is clear unless  $b^{\tau_{x_1}} \neq b$ . Then  $bx_1 \neq 0$ , so the claim follows from (i), taking  $x_1 = a$ .

By induction on  $m$ ,  $b^\rho$  is in the same component as  $b$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $a$  has type  $\lambda$ . Of course we may assume that  $ab \neq 0$ , so  $b$  is of type  $\lambda$  or  $1 - \lambda$ , by [RS1, Proposition 2.12(vi)]. The noncommutative case is by [RS1, Examples 2.6].

(iv) Suppose that  $X$  is connected. By Theorem 2.3(i),  $\text{Cl}(X)$  span  $A$ . By (ii),  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is connected. Let  $a \in \chi(A)$ . Then  $ax' \neq 0$ , for some  $x' \in \text{Cl}(X)$ , otherwise  $aA = 0$ , which is false. Hence  $\chi(A)$  is connected.

(v) Let  $X'$  be a component of  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . Then, by (ii),  $\text{Cl}(X')$  is connected and is contained in  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . But  $X' \subseteq \text{Cl}(X')$ , and any connected set containing a component equals the component.  $\square$

We say that an element  $y \in A$  is *annihilating* if  $Ay = 0$  (note that  $Ay = 0$  iff  $yA = 0$ , because  $A$  is spanned by primitive axes and  $ay = 0 \iff ya = 0$ , for a primitive axis  $a$ .)

**Theorem 3.2** (The axial decomposition). *Let  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , with  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  the components of  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , and  $A_i = \langle\langle X_i \rangle\rangle$ .*

- (i)  $A = \sum A_i$ .
- (ii) For each  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i A_j = 0$ , and  $A_i \cap A_j$  is annihilating.
- (iii) If  $\sum_i y_i = 0$  for  $y_i \in A_i$ , then each  $y_i$  is annihilating in  $A$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 3.1(v), and Theorem 2.3(i),  $A_i$  is spanned by  $X_i$ . Furthermore  $\text{Cl}(X) = \bigcup X_i$ , so  $A = \sum_{x \in \text{Cl}(X)} \mathbb{F}x = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{x \in X_i} \mathbb{F}x = \sum A_i$ .

(ii) By definition  $x_i x_j = 0$  for each  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $x_j \in X_j$ . Since  $A_i$  is spanned by  $X_i$ , we obtain the first part of (ii); the latter part follows from (i).

(iii)  $A_j y_i = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Since  $\sum y_i = 0$  we have  $y_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} y_j \in \sum_{j \neq i} A_j$ , so  $A_i y_i \subseteq \sum_{j \neq i} A_i A_j = 0$ , by (ii), implying  $A y_i = 0$  by (i).  $\square$

One can cull more information. But first a remark.

**Remark 3.3.**

Uniformly 2-generated CPAJ's are described in [HRS, Proposition 4.1], denoted  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$  there. These are spanned by primitive axes  $a$  and  $b$  of type  $\lambda$  and the element  $\sigma$  satisfying  $ab = \sigma + \lambda(a + b)$ ,  $a\sigma = \gamma a$ ,  $b\sigma = \gamma b$ , and  $\sigma^2 = \gamma\sigma$ , where  $\gamma = (1 - \lambda)\varphi - \lambda$ . It follows that the algebra  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose  $a, b$  are primitive axes, with  $a$  of type  $\lambda$  and  $A := \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  commutative. Then  $b^{\tau_a}$  is a neighbor of  $b$  unless  $2w$  is the multiplicative unit of  $A$ , where*

$$w := \frac{1}{2}(b + b^{\tau_a}) = \alpha_b a + b_0,$$

*in which case  $A$  is the algebra  $B(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  of [HRS].*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $b^{\tau_a} \neq b$ , so  $b_\lambda \neq 0$ , and  $ab \neq 0$ . We are done unless  $bb^{\tau_a} = 0$ . Then  $b = w + b_\lambda$ , so

$$(3.1) \quad bb^{\tau_a} = (w + b_\lambda)(w - b_\lambda) = w^2 - b_\lambda^2.$$

Hence  $w^2 = b_\lambda^2$ , implying  $b = b^2 = 2w^2 + 2wb_\lambda$ , so matching components in  $A_1(a) + A_0(a)$  and  $A_\lambda(a)$  using the fusion rules,

$$w = 2w^2 \text{ and } b_\lambda = 2wb_\lambda.$$

Now  $A_0^2(a) \subseteq A_0(a)$  by [RS1, Corollary 2.11], so, matching components in

$$\alpha_b a + b_0 = 2(\alpha_b^2 a + b_0^2)$$

shows that

$$2b_0^2 = b_0, \quad 2\alpha_b^2 = \alpha_b,$$

so  $\alpha_b \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

If  $\alpha_b = 0$  then  $b = b_0 + b_\lambda$ . Then

$$0 = bb^{\tau_a} = b(b_0 - b_\lambda),$$

implying  $bb_0 = bb_\lambda$ . Then  $b = b^2 = b(b_0 + b_\lambda) = 2bb_0$ , so  $bb_0 = \frac{1}{2}b = bb_\lambda$ . Hence  $bA = b(\mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}b_0 + \mathbb{F}b_\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{F}ba + \mathbb{F}bb_\lambda = \mathbb{F}b_0 + \mathbb{F}b_\lambda$ , because  $ba \in \mathbb{F}b_\lambda$ , and  $bb_0 = bb_\lambda \in \mathbb{F}b \subseteq \mathbb{F}b_0 + \mathbb{F}b_\lambda$ . Hence the two-dimensional space  $\mathbb{F}b_0 + \mathbb{F}b_\lambda$  contains the two eigenvectors of  $b$  having nonzero eigenvalues, as well the 0-eigenvector  $b_0 - b_\lambda$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $\alpha_b = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $2w$  is the unit element of  $A$ , since

$$(2w)a = 2\alpha_b a = a, \quad (2w)w = 2w^2 = w, \quad (2w)b_\lambda = b_\lambda.$$

To conclude, let  $b$  have type  $\lambda'$ . We need to show that  $\lambda = \lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$ . Recall from [RS1, Proposition 2.10(1)] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &:= ab - \lambda'a - \lambda b = \frac{1}{2}a + \lambda b_\lambda - \lambda'a - \frac{\lambda}{2}a - \lambda b_0 - \lambda b_\lambda \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - \lambda' - \frac{\lambda}{2})a - \lambda b_0. \end{aligned}$$

satisfies  $\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} = \mathbf{1} = 2w = 2b_0 + a$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda) - \lambda'$ . Matching coefficients of  $b_0$  shows  $-\frac{\lambda}{\gamma} = 2$ , so

$$-\lambda = 2\gamma = (1 - \lambda) - 2\lambda',$$

implying  $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$  and thus also  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , by [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iv)].  $\square$

We would like the sum in Theorem 3.2(i) to be a direct product, but this need not be the case:

**Example 3.5.**

- (i) The algebra  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$  (see Remark 3.3) is 2-dimensional precisely when  $ab = 0$  (in which case  $\sigma = -\lambda(a+b)$ , and  $\varphi = 0$ ) or  $\lambda \in \{-1, \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $\sigma = 0$ .

Let  $A = \langle\langle a, b' \rangle\rangle$  be a CPAJ such that  $a, b'$  are primitive axes,  $ab' \neq 0$ , and  $a \neq b'$ . If  $\dim A = 2$ , then by [RS1, Theorem A and Proposition C],  $A \cong B(\lambda, \varphi)$ , and the type of  $a$  equals the type of  $b'$ .

Otherwise, by [RS3, Theorem 2.16],  $\dim A = 3$ , and by [RS1, Theorem B],  $A$  is one of the CPAJ's of [HRS]. Suppose  $a$  is of type  $\lambda$ . By [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iii)&(vi)] (see also Remark 6.1 below), if  $\lambda \neq -1$ , then one can find a primitive axis  $b$  of type  $\lambda$ , with  $A = \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ . When  $a$  is of type  $-1$ , then  $b'$  is of type 2 and one can find a primitive axis  $b$  of type 2, with  $A = \langle\langle b, b' \rangle\rangle$ .

Suppose  $\dim B(\lambda, \varphi) = 3$ . When  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{\gamma}\sigma$  is the unit element of  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$ , which we denote as  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{B(\lambda, \varphi)}$ . In this case  $\mathbf{1} - a$  is a primitive axis of type  $1 - \lambda$  in  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$ , so when  $\lambda \neq 2$ ,  $B(\lambda, \varphi) = B(1 - \lambda, \varphi')$  for some  $\varphi'$ , leading to a slight ambiguity.

The remaining case is when  $\dim(B(\lambda, \varphi)) = 3$ , and  $\gamma = 0$ . In this case  $\lambda \in \{\frac{1}{2}, -1\}$ , which does occur, as explained in [HRS, Theorem 1.1]. Then  $\sigma A = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  is annihilating.

- (ii) (An example of a CPAJ  $\bar{A} = \langle\langle \bar{X} \rangle\rangle$  having two subalgebras generated by disjoint components of  $\text{Cl}(\bar{X})$ , with nontrivial intersection.) Suppose  $B(\lambda', \varphi') = \langle\langle a', b' \rangle\rangle$  and  $B(\lambda'', \varphi'') = \langle\langle a'', b'' \rangle\rangle$  are 3-dimensional CPAJ's which have  $\gamma' = \gamma'' = 0$ , i.e., the respective elements  $\sigma'$  and  $\sigma''$  have square zero. Let  $A = B(\lambda', \varphi') \times B(\lambda'', \varphi'')$  and  $\bar{A} = A/I$ , where  $I$  is the ideal generated by  $\sigma' - \sigma''$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  be the set of images  $\{\bar{a}', \bar{b}', \bar{a}'', \bar{b}''\}$ , so  $\text{Cl}(\bar{X})$  has disjoint components  $\text{Cl}(\bar{a}', \bar{b}')$  and  $\text{Cl}(\bar{a}'', \bar{b}'')$ .  $\bar{A} = \langle\langle \bar{X} \rangle\rangle$  is a CPAJ in which  $\bar{\sigma}' = \bar{\sigma}'' \in \overline{B(\lambda', \varphi')} \cap \overline{B(\lambda'', \varphi'')}$ . (As noted in (i), one could have different types  $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda'' = -1$ .)
- (iii) The noncommutative situation will be treated in depth in §4.1, but now let us quickly recall from [RS1, Examples 2.6] that there are two fundamental cases:

In Example 2.6(1),  $\dim A = 2$  and all primitive axes are of the same type.

In Example 2.6(2),  $\dim A = 3$  and  $A$  cannot be generated by primitive axes of the same type, although  $A$  is uniformly generated. In this situation we can construct the same kind of example as in (ii), in which the algebras of disjoint components intersect at a nonzero annihilating ideal.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  be the components of  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . Then for any  $j$ ,  $N_j := \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle \text{Cl}(X) \setminus X_j \rangle\rangle$  is an annihilating ideal of  $A := \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , and*

$$A/N_j \cong \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle/N_j \times \langle\langle X \setminus X_j \rangle\rangle/N_j.$$

*Proof.*  $N_j \triangleleft A$  is annihilating by Theorem 3.2(iii), and the next assertion follows at once.  $\square$

### 3.1. The strong axial graph.

Each component of the axial graph can be refined further.

**Definition 3.7.** Define the *strong axial graph* of  $\chi(A)$  to be the graph whose vertex set is again  $\chi(A)$ , and whose edges connect axes  $x'$  and  $x''$  if  $x' = x''$  or  $\dim\langle x', x'' \rangle = 3$ . We then say that  $x'$  is *strongly connected* to  $x''$ .

A subset  $X' \subseteq \chi(A)$  is strongly connected if it is connected in the strong axial graph.

An axis  $a$  is *strongly connected* to a subset  $X' \subseteq \chi(A)$  if  $a$  is strongly connected to some primitive axis of  $X'$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is  $n$ -generated. For any generating set  $Y \subseteq \chi(A)$  of  $A$  of size  $n$  we let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$  be the strong connected components of  $Y$  (as a full subgraph of the strong axial graph), with  $|Y_1| \geq |Y_2| \geq \dots \geq |Y_k| \geq 1$ . If  $Y'$  and  $Y'_1, Y'_2, \dots, Y'_{k'}$  are as above, we write  $Y \gg Y'$  if for the first  $i$  such that  $|Y_i| \neq |Y'_i|$ , we have  $|Y_i| > |Y'_i|$ .  $Y$  is a *good generating set of size  $n$* , if it is maximal in the above order relation  $\gg$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  where  $X$  is a good generating set of  $A$  of size  $n$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be the strong connected components of  $X$ . Then  $A = \sum \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle$ , so  $\dim A \leq \sum \dim \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $|X_1| \geq |X_2| \geq \dots \geq |X_k|$ . We claim that if  $a \in \text{Cl}(X_j)$  and  $b \in \text{Cl}(X_k)$  for  $j < k$ , then  $ab \in \mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}b$ , i.e.,  $a$  and  $b$  are not strongly connected. We prove the claim by showing that the negation, that  $a$  and  $b$  are strongly connected, leads to a contradiction. Write  $a = x^\rho$  for  $x \in X_j$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}(X_j)$ . We may replace  $X_j$  by  $X_j^\rho$ , by Lemma 2.2(ii) and still obtain a generating set of  $A$  of size  $n$ . Now write  $b = \rho'(x')$  for  $x' \in X_k$  and  $\rho' \in \mathcal{G}(X_k)$ . In view of Lemma 2.2(ii), we may replace  $X_k$  by  $X_k^{\rho'^{-1}}$ . After these replacements we obtain a generating set  $X'$  of  $A$  of size  $n$ , with  $X' \gg X$ . Indeed  $X_j^\rho \cup \{b\}$  is strongly connected. This contradicts the maximality of  $X$ .

The claim implies that  $\sum \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle$  is multiplicatively closed (by means of Theorem 2.3(i)) and contains  $X$ , so we see that  $\sum \langle\langle X_j \rangle\rangle = A$ .  $\square$

## 4. THE STRUCTURE OF NONCOMMUTATIVE PAJ'S

In this section we strengthen Theorem 3.2, showing that for any noncommutative component  $X_i$ ,  $A_i = \langle\langle X_i \rangle\rangle$  is a factor in a direct product.

The following lemma is very useful.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  and let  $e \in A$  be an idempotent. Then*

- (1) If  $a \in \mathbb{F}e + A_0(e) + A_{\lambda,\delta}(e)$ , (we allow  $\lambda = \delta$ ) for all  $a \in \text{Cl}(X)$ , then  $A = \mathbb{F}e + A_0(e) + A_{\lambda,\delta}(e)$ .
- (2) Assume that (1) holds and for any  $a, b \in \text{Cl}(X)$  we have
- (4.1)  $a_0 b_0, a_{\lambda,\delta} b_{\lambda,\delta} \in \mathbb{F}e + A_0(e), \quad a_0 b_{\lambda,\delta}, b_{\lambda,\delta} a_0 \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(e),$   
 where  $a = \alpha_a e + a_0 + a_{\lambda,\delta}$  and  $b = \alpha_b e + b_0 + b_{\lambda,\delta}$ , are the decompositions of  $a, b$  with respect to  $e$ .  
 If  $L_e R_e = R_e L_e$ , then  $e$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) holds as by Theorem 2.3(i),  $A$  is spanned by  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . For part (2) note that as  $A$  is spanned by  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , using (1) we see that any element in  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(e)$  can be written as  $\sum_{x \in \text{Cl}(X)} \alpha_x x_{\lambda,\delta}$ , where this is a finite sum and  $\alpha_x \in \mathbb{F}$ . Similarly the same holds for any element in  $A_0(e)$ . So to check the fusion rules it suffices to check that equation (4.1) holds. Thus if  $L_e R_e = R_e L_e$ , then  $e$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ .  $\square$

#### 4.1. Uniformly generated noncommutative PAJ's.

First we classify all non-commutative PAJ's, starting from [RS1, Example 2.6].

**Remark 4.2.** By [RS2, Theorem 2] every noncommutative 2-generated PAJ is either [RS1, Example 2.6(1)], defined via multiplication

$$ab = \delta a + \lambda b; \quad ba = \delta b + \lambda a,$$

with  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , and  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ , or the “exceptional” axial algebra, [RS1, Example 2.6(2)], to be described presently in greater detail. These examples show that *every connected set of noncommutative primitive axes is uniform*.

Let us elaborate.

**Example 4.3** (The “exceptional” axial algebra, [RS1, Example 2.6(2)]). Let  $B := A_{\text{exc},3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$  denote the 3-dimensional algebra spanned by primitive axes  $a, b$  and an element  $y$ , where

$$ab = ay = yb = \lambda y, \quad ba = ya = \delta y = by, \quad y^2 = 0,$$

for  $\delta = 1 - \lambda, \delta \neq \lambda$ .

Note the decomposition  $b = (b - y) + y$ , so  $b_0 = b - y$ . Hence  $b_0^2 = (b - y)^2 = b - (\lambda + \delta)y = b_0$ .

In fact, it was shown in [RS1, Example 2.6(2)] that all idempotents of  $B$  are either  $a + b - y$ , or the primitive axis  $a + \mu y$ , of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , or the primitive axis  $b + \mu y$  of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ . Note that

$$b^{\tau_a} = b - 2y, a^{\tau_b} = a - 2y, \text{ so } y = \frac{1}{2}(b - b^{\tau_a}) = \frac{1}{2}(a - a^{\tau_b}).$$

The idempotent  $a + b - y$  is the multiplicative unit since

$$(a + b - y)y = \lambda y + \delta y + y^2 = y + 0 = y,$$

$$(a + b - y)b = \lambda y + b - \lambda y = b, \quad (a + b - y)a = a + \delta y - \delta y = a.$$

While  $B$  is uniformly generated, its subalgebra  $\mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}y$  only has primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , whereas  $\mathbb{F}b + \mathbb{F}y$  only has primitive axes of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

4.1.1. *The noncommutative uniformly generated PAJ  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$ .*

**Definition 4.4.**  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ ) is the algebra having as a basis the set of idempotents  $E := \{e_i : i \in I\}$ , with multiplication given by  $e_i e_j = \delta e_i + \lambda e_j$ , for each  $i, j \in I$ , where  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ . As we shall see in Lemma 4.5 below,  $e_i$  is a primitive axis, for all  $i \in I$ , so  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  is a PAJ.

When  $|E| = n$ , we write  $\mathbb{U}_n(\lambda)$  for  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $A = \mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$ . For any  $a \in E$ ,*

$$A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = \sum_{i, j \in I} \mathbb{F}(e_i - e_j) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}(e_i - a),$$

*so  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  is a square-zero ideal.  $A = A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) \oplus \mathbb{F}a$ , and  $A/A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) \cong \mathbb{F}a \cong \mathbb{F}$ , implying  $A$  is local and  $A_0(a) = 0$ . In particular, if  $Az = 0$  for  $z \in A$ , then  $z = 0$ .*

*The nonzero idempotents of  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  all are  $\{a + z : z \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)\}$ , and these are all primitive axes. In particular the elements of  $E$  are primitive axes. If  $e, f \in \mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  are idempotents, then  $ef = \delta e + \lambda f$  and  $e - f \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(e) = A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $a = e_1$ . Then  $e_j^2 = e_j = \delta e_j + \lambda e_j$ , and for each  $i \neq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(x_i - a) &= \delta a + \lambda x_i - (\delta a + \lambda a) = \lambda(x_i - a), \\ (x_i - a)a &= \delta x_i + \lambda a - (\delta a + \lambda a) = \delta(x_i - a), \end{aligned}$$

proving  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}(x_i - a) \subseteq A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , and has codimension 1, so  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = \sum_i \mathbb{F}(x_i - a)$  and  $A = \mathbb{F}a \oplus A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ . Furthermore

$$(x_i - a)(x_j - a) = x_i x_j - (x_i a + a x_j) + a = \delta x_i + \lambda x_j - (\delta x_i + \lambda x_j) = 0,$$

showing that  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  is a square-zero ideal and yielding the fusion rules.

Next, since  $\delta^2 + \lambda = \lambda^2 + \delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_a R_a(e_i) &= a(e_i a) = a(\delta e_i + \lambda a) = \delta(\delta a + \lambda e_i) + \lambda a \\ &= (\delta^2 + \lambda)a + \delta \lambda e_i = (\lambda^2 + \delta)a + \lambda \delta e_i = \delta a + \lambda(\delta e_i + \lambda a) \\ &= (\delta a + \lambda e_i)a = (a e_i)a = R_a L_a(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

As this holds for all  $i$ , we have  $L_a R_a = R_a L_a$ . Thus  $a$  is a primitive axis.

To see when  $y = \nu a + z$  is idempotent, where  $z \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , compute

$$y^2 = \nu^2 a + \nu(az + za) = \nu^2 a + \nu(\lambda z + \delta z) = \nu^2 a + \nu z.$$

So  $\nu = 1$ , and then any  $z$  works.

Take the idempotent  $y = a + z$ . Then for any  $z'$  in  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ ,

$$yz' = (a + z)z' = az' = \lambda z', \quad z'(a + z) = z'a = \delta z'.$$

Thus  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(y) = A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ . Also

$$(a + z)(a + z') = a + \lambda z' + \delta z = \delta(a + z) + \lambda(a + z').$$

Thus, as above  $L_y R_y = R_y L_y$ , and  $y$  is a primitive axis. It is easy to check that  $e - f \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(e)$ .  $\square$

We want the converse.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $A$  be a PAJ generated by a set of primitive axes  $X$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  for  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Then  $A$  is spanned by  $X$ . Furthermore*

- (1) *If  $X$  is connected then  $A$  has a basis  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq X$  such that  $A = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)$ . Moreover, if  $a, b \in \text{Cl}(X)$ , then  $ab \neq 0$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq X$  be a basis of  $A$ , and let  $\{\mathcal{B}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be the connected components of  $\mathcal{B}$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be the connected component of  $\text{Cl}(X)$  containing  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , and let  $A_i := \langle\langle X_i \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a basis of  $A_i$ , and  $A_i = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}_i}(\lambda)$ . Furthermore*

$$A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}_i}(\lambda).$$

- (3) *Let  $a \in A$  be a nonzero idempotent. Then  $a$  is a primitive axis in  $A$  iff  $a \in A_i$ , for some  $i$ . In particular, all axes in  $A$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that by Remark 4.2 and by Example 4.3,  $ab \in \{0, \delta a + \lambda b\}$ , for all  $a, b \in X$ , so  $A$  is spanned by  $X$ , by induction on the length of a monomial in  $X$ .

(1) Let  $a, b \in \text{Cl}(X)$ . By Lemma 3.1(ii),  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is connected. Suppose that  $ab = 0$ . We may assume that there exists  $c \in \text{Cl}(X)$ , with  $ac \neq 0 \neq bc$ . Thus  $ac = \delta a + \lambda c$ , and  $cb = \delta c + \lambda b$ . Suppose  $\alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ . Multiplying by  $a$  we get  $\alpha a + \gamma ac = 0$ . Since  $a, c$  are linearly independent, we see that  $\alpha = 0 = \gamma$ , so  $\beta = 0$ , and  $a, b, c$  are linearly independent.

Now  $c - a \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , by Lemma 4.5. Since  $b \in A_0(a)$ , the fusion rules imply that  $cb = (c - a)b \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ . But  $cb = \delta c + \lambda b$ , so  $\delta ac = a(cb) = \lambda cb$ . This is impossible since  $a, b, c$  are linearly independent.

Hence  $X$  contains a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $A = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ .

- (2) Let  $x_j \in X_j$ , and write

$$x_j = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_j} \alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_j} \alpha_x x.$$

Suppose that  $\alpha_{x'} \neq 0$ , for some  $x' \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_j$ . Then

$$0 \neq v := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_j} \alpha_x x \in A_j.$$

By (1),  $A_j := \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}' }(\lambda)$ , for some basis  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $A_j$ , and  $v \in A_0(b)$ , for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}_j$ . But by Lemma 4.5,  $A_0(b) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $X_j$  is contained in the span of  $\mathcal{B}_j$ , and so  $\mathcal{B}_j$  is a basis of  $A_j$ , and  $A_j = \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{B}_j}(\lambda)$ . Now  $A = \sum_{i \in I} A_i$ , and since, by Lemma 4.5,  $A_i$  contains no annihilating elements,  $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ , by Theorem 3.2.

- (3) Clearly  $a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i$ , where  $a_i \in A_i$  is an idempotent and  $a_i a_j = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $i \in I$ , with  $a_i \neq 0$ . Then  $aa_i = a_i$ , so  $a$  is primitive iff  $a = a_i$ . By Lemma 4.5, all idempotents in  $A_i$  are primitive axes in  $A_i$ , and hence also in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.**  $\mathbb{U}_E(\lambda)$  is commutative if and only if  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ . The assertion of Theorem 4.6 (and its proof) holds true in this case as well.

The case  $\lambda = 1$  has been excluded, since  $\mathbb{U}_2(1)$  would satisfy  $ab = b$ , so the axis  $a$  is not primitive. (Likewise for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathbb{U}_2(0)$ .)

#### 4.1.2. The prototypical uniformly generated noncommutative PAJ.

We complete the classification of noncommutative PAJ's. This relies on Theorem 4.6 and also on the exceptional algebra  $A_{\text{exc},3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$ .

We start by classifying all noncommutative, uniformly generated PAJ's  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ , of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  for  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Of course each primitive axis in  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  or  $(\delta, \lambda)$ . But we can say more.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a uniformly generated PAJ, generated by a set  $X$  with  $X' = X_{\lambda, \delta}$  and  $X'' = X_{\delta, \lambda}$ , where  $\lambda + \delta = 1$  and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Set  $A' := \langle\langle X' \rangle\rangle$  and  $A'' := \langle\langle X'' \rangle\rangle$ . Let  $Z = \{\sum \mathbb{F}x'x'' : x' \in X', x'' \in X''\}$ . Then the rest of the multiplication table of  $A$  is described by the following products:*

- (i) *Let  $a, a' \in A$  be two primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and  $b \in A$  be an axis of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ . Then  $ab, ba \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a')$  if  $aa' \neq 0$ , while  $ab, ba \in A_0(a')$  if  $aa' = 0$ . In particular if  $x' \in A$  is an axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , with  $a'x' \neq 0$ , then  $A_0(a') \cap Z = A_0(x') \cap Z$  and  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a') \cap Z = A_{\lambda, \delta}(x') \cap Z$ .*
- (ii)  *$Z$  is an ideal in  $A$ , so  $A = A' + A'' + Z$ . Furthermore  $\overline{A} := A/Z \cong \overline{A'} \times \overline{A''}$ .*
- (iii)  *$\dim A \leq \dim \langle\langle X' \rangle\rangle + \dim \langle\langle X'' \rangle\rangle + \dim(Z)$ . In particular, if  $X$  is finite, then  $\dim A$  is finite.*
- (iv)  *$Z^2 = 0$ .*
- (v) *Let  $a \in A$  be a primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \text{if } w \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a), \text{ then } w = v' + z',$$
*with  $v' \in (\mathbb{F}a + A') \cap A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  and  $z' \in Z \cap A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ .*

*In particular, if  $a \in X'$ , then*

$$A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = A'_{\lambda, \delta}(a) + Z \cap A_{\lambda, \delta}(a).$$

*Hence if  $a_1, a_2 \in X'$  are in the same connected component of  $X'$ , then  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a_1) = A_{\lambda, \delta}(a_2)$ . Furthermore  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)^2 = 0$ .*

- (vi) *Let  $c$  be a primitive axis in  $A'$ . Then  $c$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ . The same statement holds with  $A''$  in place of  $A'$ .*
- (vii) *Suppose  $a \in X'$  and  $z \in Z$ . If  $a + z$  is an idempotent in  $A$  (i.e.,  $z \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ ), then  $a + z$  is a primitive axis of the same type as  $a$ . In particular, if  $X'$  is connected, then  $a + z$  is a primitive axis for all  $a \in X'$  and  $z \in Z$ . The same statement holds with  $X''$  in place of  $X'$ .*
- (viii) *Suppose  $X'$  is the set of all primitive axes of  $A$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . Taking one primitive axis  $a'_j$  for each connected component of  $X'$  we have*



$Z \subseteq \sum_{j \in J} A'_{\lambda, \delta}(a'_j)$ . The same assertion holds with  $X''$  in place of  $X'$  (and  $(\delta, \lambda)$  in place of  $(\lambda, \delta)$ ).

- (ix) If  $X'$  is connected, then  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) = \sum \mathbb{F}_{x' \in X'}(x' - a) + Z$ , for all  $a \in X'$ , so  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$  is an ideal of  $A$ , and  $A/A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) \cong \mathbb{F} \times \overline{A''}$ .

Suppose  $X = \chi(A)$ . Taking one primitive axis  $a'_j$  for each connected component of  $X'$ , and one primitive axis  $a''_j$  for each connected component of  $X''$ , let

$$(4.3) \quad I := \sum_j A'_{\lambda, \delta}(a'_j) + \sum_j A''_{\lambda, \delta}(a''_j).$$

Then  $I$  is an ideal in  $A$ , and  $A/I$  is a direct product of fields.

- (x)  $A$  has no nonzero annihilating element. Hence  $A$  is a direct product of the  $A_i$ 's where  $A_i$  is as in Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* (i) We may assume that  $z := ab \neq 0$ . Then  $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle \cong A_{\text{exc}, 3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$ . Let  $y := \frac{1}{\lambda}z$ . Note that by Example 4.3,  $a - 2y$  is a primitive axis in  $A$  of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ .

Suppose first that  $a'a \neq 0$ . We claim that  $a'(a - 2y) \neq 0$ . Indeed, by Example 4.3,  $a(a - 2y) \neq 0$ , so, by Theorem 4.6(1),  $a'(a - 2y) \neq 0$ .

By Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.5,  $2y = a - (a - 2y) \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a')$ , so  $a'z = \lambda z$ , and  $za' = \delta z$ . Note that  $ab = \frac{\lambda}{\delta}ba$  as in Example 4.3, so  $ba \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a')$ .

Suppose next that  $a'a = 0$  then  $a' \in A_0(a)$  so, since  $y \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , by the fusion rules  $a'y \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , but if  $a'(a - 2y) \neq 0$  then

$$a'(-2y) = a'(a - 2y) = \lambda(a - 2y) + \delta a' = \lambda(-2y) + \lambda a + \delta a',$$

so

$$\lambda^2(-2y) + \lambda^2 a + \lambda \delta a' = \lambda a'(-2y) = a(a'(-2y)) = \lambda^2(-2y) + \lambda a.$$

Thus  $\lambda a + \delta a' = a$ , so  $a = a'$ , a contradiction. Hence  $0 = a'(a - 2y) = a'(-2y)$ , so  $z \in A_0(a')$ , and then also  $ba \in A_0(a')$ . This proves the first part of (i).

To show that  $A_\mu(a') \cap Z = A_\mu(x') \cap Z$ , for  $\mu \in \{0, (\lambda, \delta)\}$ , it suffices to show that  $b'b'' \in A_\mu(a') \iff b'b'' \in A_\mu(x')$ , for  $b' \in X'$  and  $b'' \in X''$ . But since  $a'x' \neq 0$ , Theorem 4.6(1) implies that  $a'b' \neq 0 \iff x'b' \neq 0$ . Hence the second part of (i) follows the first.

(ii) Since  $\text{Cl}(X)$  consists of primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  or  $(\delta, \lambda)$ , and since, by Theorem 2.3(i),  $A$  is spanned by  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , the fact that  $Z$  is an ideal follows from (i).

By (i),  $a'Z, Za', a''Z, Za'' \subseteq Z$ , for all  $a' \in X'$  and  $a'' \in X''$ . By Theorem 4.6,  $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$  is spanned by  $S$ , for  $S \in \{X', X''\}$ , so  $\langle\langle X' \rangle\rangle \langle\langle X'' \rangle\rangle \subseteq Z$ . Since  $Z$  is an ideal of  $A$ , it follows that  $A' + A'' + Z$  is a subalgebra of  $A$  that contains  $X$ , so it equals  $A$ .

Next,  $\overline{A'A''} = \bar{0}$ , since  $A'A'' \subseteq Z$ . Also if  $\bar{w} \in \overline{A'} \cap \overline{A''}$ , then  $\bar{w}$  is annihilating in  $\overline{A'}$ , so by Theorem 4.6,  $\bar{w} = 0$ .

(iii) This follows from (ii).

(iv) It suffices to show that  $(ab)(cd) = 0$ , for  $a, c \in X'$  and  $b, d \in X''$ . If  $ab = 0$ , this is obvious. Otherwise  $a$  and  $b$  generate  $A_{\text{exc},3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$ . Setting  $y := \frac{1}{\lambda}ab$ , we saw that  $a, a - 2y$  are two primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  in  $A$ . If  $cd \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a - 2y)$ , or  $cd \in A_0(a) \cap A_0(a - 2y)$ , then  $(2y)(cd) = (a - (a - 2y))(cd) = 0$ , so  $(ab)(cd) = 0$ . Assume that  $a(cd) = \lambda(cd)$ , and  $(a - 2y)(cd) = 0$ . Then  $(2y)(cd) = \lambda(cd)$ , so  $y(cd) \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ . However, since  $y, cd \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , the fusion rules imply that  $y(cd) \in \mathbb{F}a + A_0(a)$ . So again  $y(cd) = 0$ , and hence  $(ab)(cd) = 0$ . A similar argument works if  $a(cd) = 0$  and  $(a - 2y)(cd) = \lambda(cd)$ .

(v) We first claim that

$$\text{if } w'' \in A'', \text{ then } w'' \in A_0(a) + z, \text{ with } z \in Z \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a).$$

Indeed let  $w'' = \varphi_a(w'')a + w''_0 + w''_{\lambda,\delta}$ , be the decomposition of  $w''$  with respect to  $a$ . Then  $aw'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , by (i). Hence

$$A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \ni aw'' = \varphi_a(w'')a + \lambda w''_{\lambda,\delta},$$

so  $\varphi_a(w'') = 0$ . But now  $Z \ni aw'' = \lambda w''_{\lambda,\delta}$ , so  $w''_{\lambda,\delta} \in Z$ .

Note that by (i),  $z \in A_0(a) + A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , for all  $z \in Z$ .

Let now  $w = w' + w'' + z \in A$ , with  $w' \in A'$ ,  $w'' \in A''$  and  $z \in Z$ . Assume  $w \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$  and let  $w' = \varphi_a(w')a + w'_0 + w'_{\lambda,\delta}$ ,  $w'' = w''_0 + w''_{\lambda,\delta}$ , and  $z = z_0 + z_{\lambda,\delta}$  be the decompositions with respect to  $a$ . Matching the  $(\lambda, \delta)$  component we get

$$w = w'_{\lambda,\delta} + w''_{\lambda,\delta} + z_{\lambda,\delta}.$$

Note that by Theorem 4.6,  $\langle\langle X' \cup \{a\} \rangle\rangle$  is spanned by  $X' \cup \{a\}$ , so  $\mathbb{F}a + A'$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ . Thus,  $w'_{\lambda,\delta} \in \mathbb{F}a + A'$ . We saw already that  $w''_{\lambda,\delta} \in Z$ , so equation (4.2) holds.

Next note that by Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 4.5,  $A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a_1) = A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a_2)$ , and  $a_1 a_2 \neq 0$ , so by (i),  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a_1) \cap Z = A_{\lambda,\delta}(a_2) \cap Z$ .

Finally, by Lemma 4.5,  $A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^2 = 0$ , and by (iii)  $Z^2 = 0$ . Also, by (i),  $A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a)(Z \cap A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)) = 0$ , so  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)^2 = 0$ .

(vi) Since  $c$  is a primitive axis in  $A'$ , Theorem 4.6(3) implies that  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x'_i$  with  $0 \neq x'_i \in X'$ , and  $x'_i x'_j \neq 0$ , for all  $i, j$ , and  $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_k\}$  are linearly independent. Let  $\mathcal{X}' := \text{Cl}(X)_{\lambda,\delta}$ . Since  $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_k\}$  are axes in  $\langle\langle \mathcal{X}' \rangle\rangle$ , and since  $x'_i x'_j \neq 0$ , for all  $i, j$ , by Theorem 4.6 there exists a component  $Y' \subseteq \mathcal{X}'$  such that  $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_k\} \subseteq Y'$ . But  $\langle\langle Y' \rangle\rangle \cong U_B(\lambda)$ , by Theorem 4.6, and  $c \in \langle\langle Y' \rangle\rangle$ , so by Theorem 4.6(3),  $c$  is a primitive axis in  $\langle\langle \mathcal{X}' \rangle\rangle$ . Hence we may assume that  $X' = \mathcal{X}'$  and that  $X'' = \text{Cl}(X)_{\delta,\lambda}$ . So  $A$  is spanned by  $X' \cup X''$ .

We now use Lemma 4.1, to show that  $c$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ . First observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x'_i = c = c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 x'_i + \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j (x'_i + x'_j).$$

Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$ . As  $c$  is an primitive axis in  $A'$ , we have:

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Let } x' \in X'. \text{ Then either } x' \in A_0(c), \text{ or } x' - c \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c).$$

Next let  $x'' \in X''$ . By (i),  $x'_j x'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(x'_i)$ , for all  $i, j$ . It follows that  $cx'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(x'_i)$ , for all  $i$ , and since  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$ , we get that  $cx'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c)$ . Hence

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Let } x'' \in X''. \text{ Then } cx'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c), \text{ and } x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'' \in A_0(c).$$

By equations (4.4) and (4.5)  $c$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1(1).

We now check that  $c$  satisfies the hypotheses of equation (4.1) of Lemma 4.1(2). Let  $a, b$  be as in Lemma 4.1(2). If we take  $a, b \in X'$ , then the hypotheses of equation (4.1) hold, because  $c$  is a primitive axis in  $A'$ .

Let now  $a = x' \in X'$  and  $b = x'' \in X''$ .

**Case 1.**  $x' \in A_0(c)$ .

In this case  $x'_i x' = 0$ , for all  $i$ , and then, by (i),  $x'(x'_i x'') = 0$ , for all  $i$ , so  $x'(cx'') = 0 = (cx'')x'$ . Also, by (i),  $x'x'', x''x' \in A_0(x'_i)$ , for all  $i$ , so  $x'x'', x''x' \in A_0(c)$ . Hence

$$x'(x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'') = x'x'' \in A_0(c), \text{ and } (x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'')x' = x''x' \in A_0(c).$$

**Case 2.**  $x' - c \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c)$ .

In this case,  $x'_i x'_i \neq 0$ , for all  $i$ . But then, by (i),  $x'_i x'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(x')$ , so  $cx'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(x')$ . Thus, using also equation (4.5),

$$(x' - c)(cx'') = \lambda cx'' - \lambda cx'' = 0 = \delta cx'' - \delta cx'' = cx''(x' - c).$$

Also, by (i),  $x'x'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(x'_i)$ , for all  $i$ , so  $x'x'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c)$ . Hence

$$(x' - c)(x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'') = (x' - c)x'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c) \ni (x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'')(x' - c).$$

Finally assume  $a = a'' \in X''$  and  $b = x'' \in X''$ .

Then  $ca'', cx'' \in Z$ , so  $(ca'')(cx'') = 0$ . Also  $(ca'')(x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}ca'') = (ca'')x''$ . However, by (i) (with  $X''$  in place of  $X'$ ),  $x'_i a'' \in A_0(x'')$ , for all  $i$ , if  $a''x'' = 0$ , so also  $ca'' \in A_0(x'')$ , and  $x'_i a'' \in A_{\delta,\lambda}(x'')$ , for all  $i$ , if  $a''x'' \neq 0$ , so also  $ca'' \in A_{\delta,\lambda}(x'')$ . Now

$$(4.6) \quad (ca'')(x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'') = (ca'')x'', \text{ and } (x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'')(ca'') = x''(ca''),$$

so we see that if  $ca'' \in A_0(x'')$ , then the two products in equation (4.6) are zero, while if  $ca'' \in A_{\delta,\lambda}(x'')$ , then these products are in  $\mathbb{F}(ca'')$ , so they both are in  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(c)$ .

Finally let

$$v := (a'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}ca'')(x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}cx'') = a''x'' - \frac{1}{\lambda}a''(cx'') - \frac{1}{\lambda}(ca'')x''.$$

If  $a''x'' = 0$ , then we saw already that  $v = 0$ . Assume  $a''x'' \neq 0$ . Then, as above  $a''(cx'') = \delta cx''$ ,  $(ca'')x'' = \lambda ca''$ , so since  $ca'', cx'' \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(c)$ , we get

$$v = a''x'' - \frac{\delta}{\lambda}cx'' - ca'' = \lambda a'' + \delta x'' - \frac{\delta}{\lambda}cx'' - ca''.$$

It follows that  $cv = \lambda ca'' + \delta cx'' - \delta cx'' - \lambda ca'' = 0$ . This completes the verification that  $c$  satisfies the hypotheses of equation (4.1).

We shall show in Theorem 4.11 below that  $A$  is flexible, so we conclude that  $c$  is a primitive axis.

(vii) Denote by  $\chi'$  (resp.  $\chi''$ ) the set of all primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  (resp.  $(\delta, \lambda)$ ) of  $A$ .

Set  $a' = a + z$ . Of course we may assume that  $z \neq 0$ . We use Lemma 4.1. Let  $x' \in \chi'$ . If  $ax' = 0$ , then, by (i),  $zx' = 0$ , so  $a'x' = 0$ , and  $x' \in A_0(a')$ . Suppose  $ax' \neq 0$ , then  $zx' = \delta x'$ , by (i), so

$$a'x' = ax' + \delta z = \delta a + \lambda x' + \delta z = \delta a' + \lambda x',$$

That is  $\langle\langle a', x' \rangle\rangle \cong U_2(\lambda)$ . Thus  $x' - a' \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a')$ . We have shown:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{For } x' \in \chi' \text{ we have:} \\ &\text{either } x' \in A_0(a'), \text{ or } x' - a' \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a'). \end{aligned}$$

Next let  $x'' \in \chi''$ . Then  $z(a'x'') = 0$ , as  $a'x'' \in Z$ , so by (i),  $a'x'' = ax'' + zx'' \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a') \cap Z$ . Thus

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{For } x'' \in \chi'' \text{ we have:} \\ &x'' = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\lambda x'' - a'x'' + a'x''), \\ &\text{with } \lambda x'' - a'x'' \in A_0(a'), \text{ and } a'x'' \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a'). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to check condition (2) of Lemma 4.1.

Let  $x'_1, x'_2 \in \chi'$ . Suppose first that  $a'x'_1 = 0$ . If  $a'x'_2 = 0$ , then either  $x'_1x'_2 = 0$ , so of course  $x'_1x'_2 \in A_0(a')$ , or  $x'_1x'_2 = \delta x'_1 + \lambda x'_2 \in A_0(a')$ .

Suppose  $a'x'_2 \neq 0$ , and  $x'_1x'_2 \neq 0$ . We claim that this is impossible. Indeed, by the proof of Theorem 4.6(1), with  $x'_2$  taking the role of  $c$ ,  $x'_1$  taking the role of  $a$ , and  $a'$  taking the role of  $b$ , we see that  $a'x'_1 \neq 0$ .

Thus we may assume that  $a'x'_1 \neq 0 \neq a'x'_2$ . Again, as above, we must have  $x'_1x'_2 \neq 0$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} (x'_1 - a')(x'_2 - a') &= x'_1x'_2 - x'_1a' - a'x'_2 - a'^2 \\ &= \lambda x'_1 + \delta x'_2 - \lambda x'_1 - \delta a' - \lambda a' - \delta x'_2 - a' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x' \in \chi'$  and  $x'' \in \chi''$ . Suppose first that  $a'x' = 0$ . Then  $ax' = 0$ , so by (i),  $x'(a'x'') = x'(ax'' + zx'') = 0$ , and  $x'(\lambda x'' - ax'') = \lambda x'x''$ . But by (i) and (iii),  $a(x'x'') = 0$  and  $z(x'x'') = 0$ , so  $x'(\lambda x'' - ax'') \in A_0(a')$ . Similarly  $(\lambda x'' - ax'')x' \in A_0(a')$ .

Suppose next that  $a'x' \neq 0$ . Then  $ax' \neq 0$ , and by (iii),  $z(a'x') = 0$ , as  $a'x'' \in Z$ . Since  $x'a \neq 0$ , and  $az = \lambda z$ , (i) implies that  $x'(zx'') = \lambda zx''$ . Also by (i),  $x'(ax'') = \lambda ax''$ , hence  $x'(a'x'') = \lambda a'x''$ . Similarly  $a'(a'x'') = a(a'x'') = \lambda a'x''$ , thus  $(x' - a')(a'x'') = 0$ .

Finally let  $x'_1, x'_2 \in \chi''$ . Since  $a'x'_i \in Z$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , (iii) implies

$$(a'x'_1)(a'x'_2) = 0.$$

Note that by (i), for  $i = 1$  or  $2$ ,

$$(a'x''_i)x''_{3-i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x''_1x''_2 = 0, \\ \lambda a'x''_i & \text{if } x''_1x''_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$x''_{3-i}(a'x''_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x''_1x''_2 = 0, \\ \delta a'x''_i & \text{if } x''_1x''_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$a'x''_1(\lambda x''_2 - a'x''_2) = \lambda(a'x''_1)x''_2 = \lambda\mu(a'x''_1) \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a'), \quad \mu \in \{0, \lambda\}.$$

Similarly  $(\lambda x''_2 - a'x''_2)a'x''_1 \in A_{\lambda,\delta}(a')$ . Finally let

$$v := (\lambda x''_1 - a'x''_1)(\lambda x''_2 - a'x''_2) = \lambda^2 x''_1 x''_2 - \lambda x''_1(a'x''_2) - \lambda(a'x''_1)x''_2.$$

Now if  $x''_1x''_2 = 0$ , then  $v = 0$ . Suppose  $x''_1x''_2 \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \lambda^2(\lambda x''_1 + \delta x''_2) - \lambda\delta a'x''_2 - \lambda^2 a'x''_1 \\ &= \lambda^2(\lambda x''_1 - a'x''_1) + \lambda\delta(\lambda x''_2 - a'x''_2) \in A_0(a'). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $a'$  satisfies all the hypotheses in Lemma 4.1, except for  $L_{a'}R_{a'} = R_{a'}L_{a'}$ . This will follow from Theorem 4.11 below, which shows that  $A$  is flexible.

(viii) Let  $a \in X'$  and  $b \in X''$ . It suffices to show that  $ab \in A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , because by Lemma 4.5,  $A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a) = A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a'_j)$ , for some  $j$ . But by (vi) and (i),  $a + ab \in X'$ , so  $ab = a + ab - a \in A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , since  $a(a + ab) \neq 0$ .

(ix) Since  $X'$  is connected,  $A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a) = \sum \mathbb{F}_{x' \in X'}(x' - a)$ , by Theorem 4.6(2). By (i),  $Z \subseteq A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$ , so by (iv),  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$  is as claimed. It is easy to check now that  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(a)$  is an ideal. Since  $A'/A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \cong \mathbb{F}a$ , and  $A/Z \cong \overline{A'} \times \overline{A''}$ , we see that  $A/A_{\lambda,\delta}(a) \cong \mathbb{F} \times \overline{A''}$ . Hence the first paragraph of (viii) holds.

For the second paragraph, using (vii) we see that  $Z$  is contained in the first and second summand of equation (4.3). Hence by (ii),

$$A/I \cong (A'/Z) / \left( \sum_{j \in J} A'_{\lambda,\delta}(a'_j)/Z \right) \times (A''/Z) / \left( \sum_{i \in I} A''_{\lambda,\delta}(b'_i)/Z \right).$$

Now (viii) follows from Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6.

(x) Let  $u \in A$  satisfy  $Au = 0$ . Suppose  $u \neq 0$ . Since  $\overline{A'}$  and  $\overline{A''}$  contain no annihilating elements,  $u \in Z$ , by (ii). Write  $u = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x'_i x''_i$ , with  $x'_j \in X'$ ,  $x''_j \in X''$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}$ , and with  $m$  minimal. By (i),  $0 = x'_1 u = \sum_{\{j: x'_1 x'_j \neq 0\}} \lambda \alpha_j x'_j x''_j$ , so

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x'_i x''_i - \sum_{\{j: x'_1 x'_j \neq 0\}} \alpha_j x'_j x''_j,$$

is a shorter sum, a contradiction. Hence  $u = 0$ .

The last assertion follows from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Every PAJ  $A$  is a direct product of uniformly generated noncommutative PAJ's (described above) with a CPAJ.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_i$  and  $A_i$  be as in Theorem 3.2. By Remark 4.2, if some axis in  $X_i$  is of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , with  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , then  $X_i$  is uniform of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$ . Since, by Theorems 4.6 and 4.8(x) for  $X_i$  of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  as above,  $A_i$  contains no annihilating elements, Theorem 3.2(iii) completes the proof.  $\square$

Thus, after all this effort, the theory of PAJ's reduces to the commutative case in [HRS].

#### 4.2. Flexibility.

We shall now show that every PAJ is flexible. Since commutative algebras are always flexible, we concentrate on noncommutative PAJ's.

2-generated PAJ's are flexible, by their classification in [RS1], see Remark 4.2 and Example 4.3. But the question of flexibility becomes nontrivial when we consider three or more generators. Note that the linearization of flexibility is

$$(4.9) \quad (x_1y)x_2 + (x_2y)x_1 = x_1(yx_2) + x_2(yx_1).$$

**Lemma 4.10.** *If  $A$  is a PAJ generated by a set of primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , with  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , then  $A$  is flexible.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.6, we may assume that  $A := \mathbb{U}_X(\lambda)$ . Now  $\mathbb{U}_X(\lambda)$  is spanned by the set  $X$  of primitive axes of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , as defined in Definition 4.4. Hence it suffices to show that equation (4.9) holds when  $|X| = 3$ . Then it is enough to assume that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, y\}$ .

Thus  $x_iy = \delta x_i + \lambda y$  and  $yx_i = \lambda x_i + \delta y$  for primitive axes  $x_i, y$  all of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1y)x_2 + (x_2y)x_1 &= (\delta x_1 + \lambda y)x_2 + (\delta x_2 + \lambda y)x_1 \\ &= \delta(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1) + 2\lambda\delta y + \lambda^2(x_2 + x_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1(yx_2) + x_2(yx_1) &= x_1(\delta y + \lambda x_2) + x_2(\delta y + \lambda x_1) \\ &= \lambda(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1) + 2\lambda\delta y + \delta^2(x_1 + x_2). \end{aligned}$$

The difference is

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda^2 - \delta^2)(x_1 + x_2) + (\delta - \lambda)(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1) \\ &= (\delta - \lambda)(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 - (x_1 + x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

which is 0 since

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 = (\lambda + \delta)(x_1 + x_2) = x_1 + x_2. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.11.** *Any PAJ  $A$  is flexible.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3(i), it is enough to verify (4.9) for three primitive axes. Since any commutative algebra is flexible, in view of Corollary 4.9, we may assume these axes all are of some noncommutative type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  or  $(\delta, \lambda)$ , for some  $\lambda$ , with  $\delta = 1 - \lambda$ , and that these three axes are in the same connected component of the axial graph.

If all three axes have the same type we are done by Lemma 4.10. Hence we may assume that two have type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and one has type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

We will use repeatedly the fact that:

In the algebra  $A_{\text{exc},3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$  of Example 4.3,  $\delta ab = \lambda ba$ , as well as the structure of the algebra in Remark 4.2.

**Case I.**  $ab \neq 0$ , for all  $a, b \in \{x_1, x_2, y\}$ .

**Subcase II.**  $x_1, x_2$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , and  $y$  is of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

By Theorem 4.8(i),  $x_i y, y x_i \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(x_{3-i})$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 y)x_2 + (x_2 y)x_1 &= \delta x_1 y + \delta x_2 y = \lambda y x_2 + \lambda y x_1 = \\ &= x_1(y x_2) + x_2(y x_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase I2.**  $x_1, y$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , and  $x_2$  is of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

Again we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 y)x_2 + (x_2 y)x_1 &= (\delta x_1 + \lambda y)x_2 + \delta(x_2 y) \\ &= \delta(x_1 x_2) + \lambda(y x_2) + \delta(x_2 y) = \lambda(y x_2) + \delta(x_2 y) + \lambda(x_2 x_1) \\ &= x_1(y x_2) + x_2(\delta y + \lambda x_1) = x_1(y x_2) + x_2(y x_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Case II.**  $ab = 0$ , for some  $a, b \in \{x_1, x_2, y\}$ .

**Subcase III1.**  $ac = 0$ , for all  $c \in \{x_1, x_2, y\} \setminus \{a\}$ .

Of course we may assume that  $a = x_1$ , and that  $y x_2 \neq 0$ . We must show that

$$(4.12) \quad (x_2 y)x_1 = x_1(y x_2).$$

If  $x_2$  and  $y$  have the same type, then  $x_2 y$  and  $y x_2$  are linear combinations of  $x_2$  and  $y$ , so both sides in equation (4.12) are equal to 0. If  $x_2$  and  $y$  are of different types, then, by Theorem 4.8(i), both sides of equation 4.12 equal 0.

**Subcase II2.**  $x_1, x_2$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , and  $y$  is of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

If  $x_1 x_2 = 0$ , then, by Theorem 4.8(i),  $(x_i y)x_j = x_i(y x_j) = 0$ , for  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ , so equation (4.9) holds.

Suppose  $x_1 y = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 y)x_2 + (x_2 y)x_1 &= (x_2 y)x_1 = \delta(x_2 y) = \lambda(y x_2) \\ &= x_1(y x_2) = x_1(y x_2) + x_2(y x_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase II3.**  $x_1, y$  are of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , and  $x_2$  is of type  $(\delta, \lambda)$ .

If  $x_1 y = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 y)x_2 + (x_2 y)x_1 &= (x_2 y)x_1 = \delta(x_2 y) \\ &= \lambda(y x_2) = x_1(y x_2) = x_1(y x_2) + x_2(y x_1). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, equation (4.9) holds if  $x_2y = 0$ .

Finally assume  $x_1x_2 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1y)x_2 + (x_2y)x_1 &= (\delta x_1 + \lambda y)x_2 + \delta(x_2y) = \lambda(yx_2) + \delta(x_2y) \\ &= \lambda(yx_2) + x_2(\delta y + \lambda x_1) = x_1(yx_2) + x_2(yx_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.12.** *For any PAJ  $A$ , and any idempotent  $a \in A$ , we have  $L_a^2 - L_a = R_a^2 - R_a$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is flexible, this follows from [RS2, Lemma 2.3]. □

## 5. PROPERTIES OF PRIMITIVE AXES

In this section we delve deeper into properties of primitive axes. This depends on our determining the structure of 2-generated PAJ's, having classified the noncommutative ones.

The following concept is useful (and will be needed) for arbitrary PAJ's. Following [HRS, P. 95], for any primitive axis  $a$  we define the function

$$\varphi_a: A \rightarrow \mathbb{F},$$

where  $\varphi_a(y)a$  is the projection of  $y$  onto the 1-eigenspace  $\mathbb{F}a$  of  $a$ .

**Proposition 5.1.**  *$\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a)$  for any primitive axes  $a, b \in A$  under any of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $ab = 0$ , in which case  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $a$  or  $b$  has type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  with  $\lambda \neq \delta$ ;
- (iii)  $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  is commutative, and  $a, b$  are of the same type.

*Proof.* (i) Since  $ab = 0$ , also  $ba = 0$ , so the projections are 0.

(ii) We may assume that  $a$  is of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . By Remark 4.2, if  $b$  has type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , then  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a) = 1$  since  $b = a + (b - a)$ ,  $a = b + (a - b)$ , and  $a - b \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a) \cap A_{\lambda, \delta}(b)$ . Otherwise, by Example 4.3,  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a) = 0$  since  $b = (b - y) + y$  and  $a = (a - y) + y$ .

(iii) This appears in [HRS]. □

Equality fails in  $B(\lambda, \varphi)$  (see Example 3.5(i)), when  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $A = B(\lambda, \varphi)$ , and assume  $a, b \in A$  are primitive axes, with  $a$  of type  $\lambda$  and  $b$  of type  $1 - \lambda$ . If  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a)$ , then  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . By [RS1, Prop. C],  $\dim A = 3$ . By [RS1, Proposition 2.10(4)], taking  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda$ , we have

$$(\varphi_a(b) - 1)(1 - \lambda) = (\varphi_b(a) - 1)\lambda = \gamma.$$

Suppose that  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a)$ . If  $\varphi_a(b) = 1$ , then  $\gamma = 0$ , so by [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iii)],  $\lambda = \lambda'$ . Otherwise  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , a contradiction. □



Ironically, the structure of the CPAJ's is more complicated than the non-commutative PAJ's, which we have already classified in Theorem 4.8. The first step is to determine all of the idempotents of a 2-generated CPAJ.

### 5.1. The idempotents of CPAJ's of dimension 2.

We have already considered the easy case of noncommutative PAJ's of dimension 2.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that  $X = \{a, b\}$  with  $ab \neq 0$ , that  $A = \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  is a CPAJ of dimension 2 and that  $a$  is of type  $\lambda$ . Then  $b$  is also of type  $\lambda$ , and*

- (1)  $\lambda \in \{-1, \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $ab = \lambda a + \lambda b$ .
- (2) If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , the idempotents in  $A$  are  $c_\mu := \mu a + (1 - \mu)b$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ , and they are all primitive axes of type  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\langle\langle a, c_\mu \rangle\rangle = A$ , for  $c_\mu \neq a$ . Letting  $x = a - b$ , we have

$$(*) \quad a^{(\tau_b \tau_a)^k} = a + 2kx, \quad a^{(\tau_b \tau_a)^k \tau_b} = b - (2k + 1)x,$$

and  $a^{\tau_{c_\mu}} = 2c_\mu - a = (2\mu - 1)a + 2(1 - \mu)b$ , in particular  $a^{\tau_{1/2}} = b$ .

- (3) If  $\lambda = -1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then the idempotents in  $A$  are  $a, b, -a - b$ , they are primitive axes of type  $-1$ , and  $-a - b = b^{\tau_a} = a^{\tau_b}$ .

*Proof.* By [RS1, Proposition C(1)],  $b$  is also of type  $\lambda$ .

- (1) Appears in [HRS].

(2) By Lemma 4.5 the primitive axes in  $A$  are as given.  $(*)$  holds by [RS1, Remark 2.14]. Let  $c := c_\mu$ . By Lemma 4.5,  $a = c + a - c$ , with  $a - c \in A_{1/2}(c)$ , so  $a^{\tau_c} = 2c - a = (2\mu - 1)a + 2(1 - \mu)b$ .

- (3) See [HSS2, Lemma 3.1.8]. □

**Example 5.4.** [HRS] gives names to  $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_2(\frac{1}{2})$ . In its classification of CPAJ's of dimension 2, [HRS] defines one more 2-dimensional algebra  $3C(-1)^\times$ , as the algebra spanned by primitive axes  $a$  and  $b$  with  $ab = -a - b$ . So in analogy to Definition 4.4, we define an algebra  $\mathbb{U}'_E$  to be the algebra having as a basis the idempotents  $E := \{e_i : i \in I\}$ , with multiplication given by

$$e_i e_j = -e_i - e_j, \quad i \neq j.$$

Here the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of any idempotent  $a \in E$  is  $\sum_{a \neq e \in E} \mathbb{F}(a + 2e)$  since

$$a(a + 2e) = a - 2a - 2e = -(a + 2e).$$

It is easy to check that  $a$  is a primitive axis in  $\mathbb{U}'_E$ . Thus  $3C(-1)^\times = \mathbb{U}'_{\{a, b\}}$ .

### 5.2. The idempotents of 2-generated CPAJ's of dimension 3.

We can also obtain rather precise information about the primitive axes of 2-generated CPAJ's of dimension 3. Suppose throughout this subsection that  $A = \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  is a CPAJ with  $\dim A = 3$ , where  $a, b$  are primitive axes of respective types  $\lambda, \lambda'$ . As in [RS1], inspired by [HRS] we let

$$\sigma = ab - \lambda'a - \lambda b$$

and

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma := \alpha_b(1 - \lambda) - \lambda' = \beta_a(1 - \lambda') - \lambda \in \mathbb{F},$$

where  $\alpha_b = \varphi_a(b)$ , and  $\beta_a = \varphi_b(a)$ , cf. [RS1, Proposition 2.10(iv)]. By [RS1, Proposition 2.12],  $A := \mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}b + \mathbb{F}\sigma$ , and  $\sigma \neq 0$ , since  $\dim A = 3$ .

Recall from [RS1, Proposition 2.12(v)] that  $\lambda = \lambda'$  or  $\lambda + \lambda' = 1$ . If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , then all primitive axes of  $A$  are of type  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Assume  $\gamma = 0$ . Then*

- (1)  $\lambda = \lambda' \in \{\frac{1}{2}, -1\}$ .
- (2) *If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , then the idempotents in  $A$  are*

$$c_\mu := \mu a + (1 - \mu)b + 2\mu(1 - \mu)\sigma,$$

*which are all primitive axes of type  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\langle\langle a, c_\mu \rangle\rangle = A$ , for all  $c_\mu \neq a$ . We have  $a^{\tau_c} = b$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}\sigma$ .*

- (3) *If  $\lambda = -1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then the idempotents in  $A$  are  $a, b, -a - b + 2\sigma$ . These are all primitive axes of type  $-1$ , and  $c = a^{\tau_b} = b^{\tau_a}$ , for  $c = -a - b + 2\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* (1) This is [RS1, Prop. 2.12(iii)].

(2) Since  $\sigma$  is annihilating, passing modulo  $\mathbb{F}\sigma$ , we get from Lemma 5.3(2), that any idempotent must have image  $\mu\bar{a} + (1 - \mu)\bar{b}$ , so the idempotent is of the form  $\mu a + (1 - \mu)b + \nu\sigma$ , for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{F}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu a + (1 - \mu)b + \nu\sigma &= (\mu a + (1 - \mu)b)^2 \\ &= \mu^2 a + (1 - \mu)^2 b + 2\mu(1 - \mu)(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b) \\ (5.2) \quad &= (\mu^2 + \mu(1 - \mu))a + ((1 - \mu)^2 + \mu(1 - \mu))b + 2\mu(1 - \mu)\sigma \\ &= \mu a + (1 - \mu)b + 2\mu(1 - \mu)\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\nu = 2\mu(1 - \mu)$ . It is easy to check that for

$$c_\mu := \mu a + (1 - \mu)b + 2\mu(1 - \mu)\sigma,$$

we have  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(c_\mu) = \mathbb{F}(a - b + 2(1 - 2\mu)\sigma)$ . Then  $A_1(c_\mu) = \mathbb{F}c_\mu$ ,  $A_0(c_\mu) = \mathbb{F}\sigma$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (a - b + 2(1 - 2\mu)\sigma)^2 &= (a - b)^2 = a - 2ab + b \\ &= a - 2(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b) + b = -2\sigma \in A_0(c_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $c_\mu$  satisfies the fusion rules and we see that every idempotent in  $A$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ . Suppose  $c_\mu \neq a$ , and set  $B := \langle\langle a, c_\mu \rangle\rangle$ . Then since

$ac_\mu \in B$ , we see that  $ab \in B$ , and then it is easy to check that  $a, b, \sigma \in B$ , so  $B = A$ . We saw that the type of  $c_\mu$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}\sigma$ . Then  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(c) = a - b$ , and  $a = c - \frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(a - b)$ . Hence  $a^{\tau_c} = c - \frac{1}{2}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}(a - b) = b$ .

(3) The calculations are easy and we omit them.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.**  $A$  has a nonzero annihilating element iff  $\gamma = 0$ . Indeed if  $y \neq 0$  is any annihilating element, then  $0 = y\sigma = \gamma y$ , so  $\gamma = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** (1)  $b_\lambda = \frac{(\lambda' - \alpha_b)}{\lambda}a + b + \frac{\sigma}{\lambda}$ .

(2) Suppose  $\gamma = 0$ . Then

(i)  $\lambda = \lambda' \in \{\frac{1}{2}, -1\}$ .

(ii)  $\alpha_b = \beta_a = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$ .

(iii)  $b_\lambda^2 = (\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} - 1)a - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma$ .

(3) Suppose  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Then

(i)  $\mathbf{1} := \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}$  is the identity element of  $A$ , and if  $\lambda' \neq \lambda$ , then  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda$ .

(ii) We have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} b_\lambda^2 = & \left( \frac{(\lambda' - \alpha_b)^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda'(\lambda' - \alpha_b)}{\lambda} + \frac{2(\lambda' - \alpha_b)\gamma}{\lambda^2} \right) a \\ & + \underbrace{(1 + 2\lambda' - 2\alpha_b + \frac{2\gamma}{\lambda})}_{=0} b + \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2(\lambda' - \alpha_b)\gamma}{\lambda} \right) \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , then  $\alpha_b = \beta_a$ .

(iv) If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha_b = \beta_a$  can be any number.

(v) If  $\lambda = \lambda' \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\lambda \neq -1$ ,  $\alpha_b = \beta_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$  and  $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda + 1)$ .

(vi) If  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\alpha_b = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \quad \beta_a = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda', \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda - 1).$$

*Proof.* (1) We have

$$\alpha_b a + \lambda b_\lambda = ab = \sigma + \lambda' a + \lambda b,$$

so  $b_\lambda$  is as claimed.

(2) (i) This is [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iii)].

(ii) By (1), and (i), and since  $\sigma v = 0$ , for all  $v \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_\lambda^2 &= \frac{(\lambda - \alpha_b)^2}{\lambda^2} a + b + \frac{2(\lambda - \alpha_b)}{\lambda} ab \\ &= \frac{(\lambda - \alpha_b)^2}{\lambda^2} a + b + \frac{2(\lambda - \alpha_b)}{\lambda} (\sigma + \lambda a + \lambda b) \\ &= \left( \frac{(\lambda - \alpha_b)^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - \alpha_b)}{\lambda} \right) a + (1 + 2(\lambda - \alpha_b)) b + \frac{2(\lambda - \alpha_b)}{\lambda} \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

By the fusion rules the coefficient of  $b$  in equation (5.4) must be 0, so  $2(\lambda - \alpha_b) = -1$ . So  $\alpha_b - \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  and symmetrically,  $\beta_a - \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ; hence  $\alpha_b = \beta_a$ .

Substitution  $\alpha_b = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}$  in (5.4) we get

$$b_\lambda^2 = (\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} - 1)a - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma.$$

(3) (i) This is [RS1, Proposition 2.12(v)&(vi)].

(ii) Since  $\sigma = \gamma\mathbf{1}$ , we get from (1) that

$$b_\lambda^2 = \frac{(\lambda' - \alpha_b)^2}{\lambda^2}a + b + \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2}\mathbf{1} + \frac{2(\lambda' - \alpha_b)}{\lambda}ab + \frac{2(\lambda' - \alpha_b)\gamma}{\lambda^2}a + \frac{2\gamma}{\lambda}b,$$

so since  $ab = \gamma\mathbf{1} + \lambda'a + \lambda b$ , equation (5.3) holds.

(iii) This follows from equation (5.1).

(iv) Since  $b_\lambda^2 \in \mathbb{F}a + \mathbb{F}\mathbf{1}$ , the coefficient of  $b$  in equation (5.3) is 0. So

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda + 2\lambda\lambda' - 2\alpha_b\lambda + 2\gamma &= 0, \text{ iff} \\ (5.4) \quad \lambda + 2\lambda\lambda' - 2\alpha_b\lambda + 2(\alpha_b(1 - \lambda) - \lambda') &= 0, \text{ iff} \\ \lambda + 2\lambda\lambda' - 2\lambda' &= 4\alpha_b\lambda - 2\alpha_b. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , then, by [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iv)],  $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$ , so by part 3(iii),  $\alpha_b = \beta_a$ . We then see that equation (5.4) holds automatically, so there are no other restrictions on  $\alpha_b$ .

(v) By equation (5.4) we see that

$$(5.5) \quad \alpha_b = \frac{\lambda + 2\lambda\lambda' - 2\lambda'}{4\lambda - 2},$$

so if  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , we get  $\alpha_b = \beta_a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ . The formula for  $\gamma$  comes from equation (5.1). But now if  $\lambda = -1$ , then  $\gamma = 0$ , a contradiction.

(vi) This follows from equation (5.5), from symmetry, and from equation (5.1).  $\square$

### 5.3. The case where $\gamma \neq 0$ .

From this point to the end of subsection 5.2, we assume that  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Hence  $\mathbf{1} := \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}$  is an identity element of  $A$ . Note that  $\mathbf{1} - a$  is an axis in  $A$  of type  $1 - \lambda$ , with  $A_0(\mathbf{1} - a) = \mathbb{F}a$  and  $A_{1-\lambda}(\mathbf{1} - a) = A_\lambda(a)$ . We let

$$b = \alpha_b a + \alpha'_b(\mathbf{1} - a) + b_\lambda, \quad \alpha'_b \in \mathbb{F}$$

be the decomposition of  $b$  with respect to  $a$ . We define

$$(5.6) \quad w_b = \alpha_b a + \alpha'_b(\mathbf{1} - a) = (\alpha_b - \alpha'_b)a + \alpha'_b\mathbf{1}.$$

Then

$$(5.7) \quad w_b^2 = \alpha_b^2 a + \alpha_b'^2(\mathbf{1} - a) = (\alpha_b^2 - \alpha_b'^2)a + \alpha_b'^2\mathbf{1},$$

$$(5.8) \quad b_\lambda = b - w_b = b + (\alpha'_b - \alpha_b)a - \alpha'_b\mathbf{1}.$$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Write  $b = w_b + b_\lambda$ , as above, with  $b_\lambda \in A_\lambda(a)$ .*

- (i) If  $\lambda' = \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha_b = \frac{1}{2}\lambda$  and  $\lambda \neq -1$ . If  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha_b = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ .
- (ii) For  $w_e := \alpha_e a + \beta_e(\mathbf{1} - a)$ , an element  $e := w_e + \rho_e b_\lambda$  is an idempotent in  $A$ , iff

$$(5.9) \quad \beta_e = \frac{1-2\lambda\alpha_e}{2(1-\lambda)}, \text{ and}$$

$$(5.10) \quad \rho_e^2 b_\lambda^2 = (\beta_e - \beta_e^2)\mathbf{1} + (\alpha_e - \alpha_e^2 - (\beta_e - \beta_e^2))a.$$

- (iii) Suppose  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\alpha'_b = \frac{\lambda+1}{2}$  if  $\lambda' = \lambda$  and  $\alpha'_b = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}$ , if  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda$ .
- (iv) If  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then in both cases where  $\lambda = \lambda'$  and where  $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ ,

$$\mu_b := \alpha'_b - \alpha_b'^2 = \frac{1-\lambda^2}{4}, \quad \nu_b := \alpha_b - \alpha_b'^2 = \frac{\lambda(2-\lambda)}{4}, \text{ and}$$

$$b_\lambda^2 = \frac{(1-\lambda^2)}{4}\mathbf{1} + \frac{(2\lambda-1)}{4}a.$$

*Proof.* (i) This is Proposition 5.7(3) parts (v) and (vi).

(ii)  $e$  is an idempotent iff  $w_e + \rho_e b_\lambda = e = e^2 = w_e^2 + 2\rho_e w_e b_\lambda + \rho_e^2 b_\lambda^2$ . The fusion rules show that

$$\rho_e b_\lambda = 2\rho_e w_e b_\lambda = 2\rho_e(\lambda\alpha_e b_\lambda + (1-\lambda)\beta_e b_\lambda) = \rho_e(2\lambda\alpha_e + 2\beta_e(1-\lambda))b_\lambda,$$

implying  $1 = 2\lambda\alpha_e + 2\beta_e(1-\lambda)$ , so equation (5.9) holds. Also

$$\rho_e^2 b_\lambda^2 = w_e - w_e^2 = \alpha_e a + \beta_e(\mathbf{1} - a) - (\alpha_e^2 - \beta_e^2)a - \beta_e^2 \mathbf{1}$$

$$(\beta_e - \beta_e^2)\mathbf{1} + (\alpha_e - \alpha_e^2 - (\beta_e - \beta_e^2))a,$$

so (ii) holds.

(iii) This follows from equation (5.9) and (i).

(iv) The calculations for  $\mu_b$  and  $\nu_b$  come from (i) and (iii). The calculation for  $b_\lambda^2$  come from applying (i) and (iii) to equation (5.10), since  $\rho_b = 1$ .  $\square$

### 5.3.1. The case where $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** Suppose that  $\gamma \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the idempotents in  $A$  distinct from  $0, \mathbf{1}$  are  $a, \mathbf{1} - a, b, \mathbf{1} - b, b^{\tau_a}$  and  $\mathbf{1} - b^{\tau_a}$ . All these idempotents are primitive axes, and if  $\lambda' = \lambda$ , then  $a^{\tau_b} = b^{\tau_a}$ , while if  $\lambda' = 1 - \lambda$ , then  $a^{\tau_b} = \mathbf{1} - b^{\tau_a}$ .

*Proof.* We use the notation of Lemma 5.8. Let  $e = w_e + \rho_e b_\lambda$  be an idempotent in  $A$  as in Lemma 5.8(ii), and assume that  $e \notin \{0, \mathbf{1}, a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ . Notice that by equation (5.10) (first for  $b$  and then for  $e$ ),

$$(5.11) \quad \rho_e^2 \mu_b = \beta_e - \beta_e^2 \text{ and } \rho_e^2 \nu_b = \alpha_e - \alpha_e^2.$$

Also,  $\rho_e \neq 0$ , as  $e \notin \{0, \mathbf{1}, a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ . We show that there are at most two possibilities for  $\alpha_e$ . Then by equation (5.9),  $\beta_e$  is determined and  $\rho_e$  is determined up to a sign, by equation (5.10). But there are two distinct possibilities for  $\alpha_e$ , these are  $\alpha_b$  and  $1 - \alpha_b$ . Indeed

$$\mathbf{1} - b = (1 - \alpha_b)a + (1 - \alpha_b')(\mathbf{1} - a) - b_\lambda,$$

and  $\alpha_b \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , by Lemma 5.8(i). This will show that  $e \in \{b, b^{\tau_a}, \mathbf{1} - b, \mathbf{1} - b^{\tau_a}\}$ .

Suppose first that  $\mu_b = 0$ . Then  $\lambda = -1$ , and  $\beta_e = 0$  or  $1$ , and then by equation (5.9),  $\alpha_e$  is determined.

Suppose next that  $\lambda \neq -1$ . In particular,  $\mu_b \neq 0$ . Set  $\xi_e := \frac{\nu_b}{\mu_b} = \frac{\lambda(\lambda-2)}{\lambda^2-1}$ . By (5.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_e^2 - \alpha_e &= \xi_e(\beta_e^2 - \beta_e) = \xi_e \left( \frac{(1-2\lambda\alpha_e)^2}{4(1-\lambda)^2} - \frac{(1-2\lambda\alpha_e)}{4(1-\lambda)} \right) \\ &= \xi_e \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{(\lambda-1)^2} (\alpha_e^2 - \alpha_e) + \frac{1}{4(\lambda-1)^2} - \frac{(1-2\lambda\alpha_e)}{4(1-\lambda)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha_e$  solves a quadratic equation whose coefficient of  $\alpha_e^2$  is  $\xi_e \frac{\lambda^2}{(\lambda-1)^2} - 1$ . So if that coefficient is zero, then

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{\lambda(\lambda-2)}{\lambda^2-1} = \xi_e = \frac{(\lambda-1)^2}{\lambda^2}.$$

so  $\lambda^4 - 2\lambda^3 = (\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 - 1) = \lambda^4 - 2\lambda^3 + 2\lambda - 1$ . Since  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , the equality in equation (5.12) does not hold, so  $\alpha_e$  has at most two solutions.

Now if  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , then  $a^{\tau_b} \in \{a, b, b^{\tau_a}\}$ . Further,  $a^{\tau_b} \neq b$ , as  $a \neq b$ . Also  $a^{\tau_b} \neq a$ , since by [RS1, Lemma 2.8(2)],  $a_{\lambda'} \neq 0$  (here  $a_{\lambda'}$  is the projection of  $a$  on  $A_{\lambda'}(b)$ ). Hence  $a^{\tau_b} = b^{\tau_a}$ . A similar argument works when  $\lambda + \lambda' = 1$ .  $\square$

### 5.3.2. The case where $\gamma \neq 0$ , and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We are left with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , which in the set-up of [HRS] is the algebra  $B(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_b)$  and is rather different. By [RS1, Proposition 2.12(iv)],  $\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}$ . Also,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_b - 1)$ , which is nonzero (since  $\gamma = 0$  is Case II), implying  $\alpha_b \neq 1$ . Also  $\alpha_b = \varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a) = \beta_a$ , by Proposition 5.1.

We exploit the primitive axis  $a' := \mathbf{1} - a$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $a' := \mathbf{1} - a$ , and set  $\nu_b = \alpha_b(1 - \alpha_b)$ .*

- (i) *An element  $e := \alpha_e a + \beta_e(\mathbf{1} - a) + \rho_e b_\lambda$  is a non-trivial idempotent in  $A$  iff  $\beta_e = 1 - \alpha_e$ , and*

$$(5.13) \quad \rho_e^2 b_\lambda^2 = (\alpha_e - \alpha_e^2) \mathbf{1}.$$

*These idempotents exist iff either*

- (1)  *$\alpha_b = 0$ , and then  $\alpha_e \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\rho_e$  is arbitrary, or*  
 (2)  *$\alpha_b \neq 0$  and  $\frac{\alpha_e(1-\alpha_e)}{\nu_b}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}$ , in which case  $\rho_e =$*   

$$\pm \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_e(1-\alpha_e)}{\nu_b}}.$$

- (ii)  *$b = \alpha_b a + (1 - \alpha_b)(\mathbf{1} - a) + b_\lambda$ , with  $b_\lambda^2 = \nu_b \mathbf{1}$ . Any idempotent  $e \in A$  is a primitive axis with  $A_1(e) = \mathbb{F}e$ ,  $A_0(e) = \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{1} - e)$ , and  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(e) = \mathbb{F}x$ , with*

$$x = 4\alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)a - 2\alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + (1 - 2\alpha_e)\rho_e b_\lambda,$$

*if  $e \notin \{a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ , while  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(e) = \mathbb{F}b_\lambda$ , if  $e \in \{a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.8(ii) (equation (5.9)),  $\beta_e = 1 - \alpha_e$ . But then  $\alpha_e - \alpha_e^2 = \beta_e - \beta_e^2$ , so by equation (5.10), equation (5.13) holds.

Note that since  $b$  is an idempotent,  $b = \alpha_b a + (1 - \alpha_b)(\mathbf{1} - a) + b_\lambda$ , and  $b_\lambda^2 = \nu_b \mathbf{1}$ , by equation (5.13), because  $\rho_b = 1$ . Hence, if  $\alpha_b = 0$ , then  $\nu_b = 0$ , so by (5.13),  $\alpha_e \in \{0, 1\}$ , and there are no restrictions on  $\rho_e$ , while if  $\alpha_b \neq 0$ , then  $\nu_b \neq 0$ , and the restriction on  $\rho_e$  comes from (5.13).

Next we show that  $e$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ . We claim that

$$(5.14) \quad ae = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}e.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}e \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}((2\alpha_e - 1)a + (1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + \rho_e b_\lambda) \\ &= \alpha_e a + \frac{1}{2}\rho_e b_\lambda = ae. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$x := a + (1 - 2\alpha_e)e + (\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1},$$

Note that  $x = 0$  precisely when  $e \in \{a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ . Using equation (5.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ex &= ae + (1 - 2\alpha_e)e + (\alpha_e - 1)e = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}e - \alpha_e e \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((1 - 2\alpha_e)e + a + (\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1}) = \frac{1}{2}x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A_1(e) = \mathbb{F}e$ ,  $A_0(e) = \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{1} - e)$ , and  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(e) = \mathbb{F}x$ .

We check the fusion rules.

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= (a + (1 - 2\alpha_e)e + (\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1})^2 \\ &= a + (1 - 2\alpha_e)^2 e + (\alpha_e - 1)^2 \mathbf{1} + 2(1 - \alpha_e)ae + 2(\alpha_e - 1)a + 2(1 - 2\alpha_e)(\alpha_e - 1)e \\ &= (2\alpha_e - 1)a - (1 - \alpha_e)e + (\alpha_e - 1)^2 \mathbf{1} + 2(1 - \alpha_e)ae \\ &= (2\alpha_e - 1)a + (2\alpha_e - 1)e + (\alpha_e - 1)^2 \mathbf{1} + 2(1 - 2\alpha_e)(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}e) \\ &= \alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $(\mathbf{1} - e)x = \frac{1}{2}x$ , so the fusion rules are satisfied and  $e$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ .

Finally we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a + (1 - 2\alpha_e)((2\alpha_e - 1)a + (1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + \rho_e b_\lambda) + (\alpha_e - 1)\mathbf{1} \\ &= (1 - (2\alpha_e - 1)^2)a - 2\alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + (1 - 2\alpha_e)\rho_e b_\lambda \\ &= 4\alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)a - 2\alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + (1 - 2\alpha_e)\rho_e b_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Proposition 5.11.**

- (1) Let  $e := \alpha_e a + (1 - \alpha_e)(\mathbf{1} - a) + \rho_e b_\lambda$  be a primitive axis in  $A$ , as in Theorem 5.10(i), and let  $c := a^{\tau_e}$ , and set  $\mu := 2\alpha_e - 1$ . Then

$$a^{\tau_e} = c = \alpha_c a + (1 - \alpha_c)(\mathbf{1} - a) + 2\mu\rho_e b_\lambda, \quad \alpha_c = \mu^2.$$

In particular,  $c_\lambda = 2(2\alpha_e - 1)\rho_e b_\lambda$ .

(2) If  $\alpha_b \neq 0$ , then there exists a primitive axis  $e \in A$ , with  $a^{\tau_e} = b$ , iff  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a square root of  $\alpha_b$ .

(3) If  $\alpha_b = 0$ , let  $E_d = \{a + \rho e \mid \rho \in \mathbb{F}\}$ , for  $d \in \{a, \mathbf{1} - a\}$ . Then

$$E_d = \{e_1^{\tau_e} \mid e \text{ a primitive idempotent in } A\},$$

for any  $e_1 \in E_d$ .

*Proof.* (1) Using Theorem 5.10(i) with  $e$  in place of  $a$  and  $a$  in place of  $e$ , decompose  $a$  with respect to  $e$  as

$$a = \varphi_e(a)e + (1 - \varphi_e(a))(\mathbf{1} - e) + a_\lambda.$$

Noticing that  $\varphi_e(a) = \varphi_a(e)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\tau_e} &= \alpha_e e + (1 - \alpha_e)(\mathbf{1} - e) - (a - \alpha_e e - (1 - \alpha_e)(\mathbf{1} - e)) \\ &= 2(2\alpha_e - 1)e + 2(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} - a. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $e = w_e + e_\lambda$ , with  $w_e = \alpha_e a + (1 - \alpha_e)(\mathbf{1} - a) = 2(\alpha_e - 1)a + (1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1}$ , and  $e_\lambda = \rho_e b_\lambda$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\tau_e} &= 2(2\alpha_e - 1)(w_e + e_\lambda) + 2(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} - a \\ &= 2(2\alpha_e - 1)w_e + 2(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} - a + 2(2\alpha_e - 1)e_\lambda \\ &= 2(2\alpha_e - 1)(2\alpha_e - 1)a + (1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} + 2(1 - \alpha_e)\mathbf{1} - a + 2(2\alpha_e - 1)e_\lambda \\ &= (2(2\alpha_e - 1)^2 - 1)a + 4(1 - \alpha_e)\alpha_e\mathbf{1} + 2(2\alpha_e - 1)e_\lambda \\ &= (2\alpha_e - 1)^2 a + (1 - (2\alpha_e - 1)^2)(\mathbf{1} - a) + 2(2\alpha_e - 1)e_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e_\lambda = \rho_e b_\lambda$ , (1) holds.

(2) Suppose that  $\alpha_b \neq 0$  and that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a square root of  $\alpha_b$ , and let  $\alpha_e \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $(2\alpha_e - 1)^2 = \alpha_b$ . Then

$$4\alpha_e^2 - 4\alpha_e + 1 = \alpha_b,$$

so  $\alpha_e - \alpha_e^2 = \frac{1 - \alpha_b}{4}$ . But then the equation  $\rho_e^2 \nu_b = \alpha_e(1 - \alpha_e)$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{F}$ , that is  $\rho_e = \frac{1}{2(2\alpha_e - 1)}$ . Hence by Theorem 5.10,

$$e := \alpha_e a + (1 - \alpha_e)(\mathbf{1} - a) + \rho_e b_\lambda$$

is a primitive axis in  $A$ , and  $a^{\tau_e} = b$ , by (1).

The converse is easy to check using (1).

(3) Reversing the role of  $a$  and  $\mathbf{1} - a$ , we may assume that  $d = a$ . Since  $e_2 = e_1 + \rho b_\lambda$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$ , for any  $e_1, e_2 \in E_a$ , we may assume that  $e_1 = a$ . By Theorem 5.10(i1),  $\alpha_e \in \{0, 1\}$ , for all primitive axes  $e \in A$ . Hence, in (1),  $\mu^2 = 1$ , so  $a^{\tau_e} = a + 2\rho_e b_\lambda$ .  $\square$

This concludes subsection 5.2. We conclude §5 with the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $A = \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  be a 2-generated PAJ. Then any idempotent in  $A$  distinct from 0 and  $\mathbf{1}$  (if  $\mathbf{1}$  exists) is a primitive axis in  $A$ .*



*Proof.* We take  $A$  case by case.

(1)  $A = U_{\{a,b\}}(\lambda)$ . Then  $ab = \delta a + \lambda b$ , and  $ba = \delta b + \lambda a$ . By [RS1, Example 2.6(1)], the idempotents in  $A$  are  $e_\alpha := \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(e_\alpha) = a - b$ , and  $(a - b)^2 = 0$ , so  $e_\alpha$  is a primitive axis.

(2)  $A = U'_{\{a,b\}}(-1)$ , with  $-1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , as in Example 5.4, that is  $ab = -a - b$ . By [HSS1, Lemma 3.1.8(5)] the idempotents in  $A$  are  $a, b, -a - b$  and they are all primitive axes.

(3)  $A = A_{\text{exc},3}(\{a,b\}, \lambda)$  as in Example 4.3. Then, by [RS1, Example 2.6(2)], the non-trivial idempotents in  $A$  are  $e_\alpha = a + \alpha y$  and  $b + \alpha y$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Note that  $A_{\lambda,\delta}(e_\alpha) = \mathbb{F}y$ , for all  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = -1$ , then  $A_0(e_{-1}) = b$ , while if  $\alpha \neq -1$ , then  $A_0(e_\alpha) = b - z$ , with  $z = (1 + \alpha y)$ . Clearly the fusion rules are satisfied, so  $e_\alpha$  is a primitive axis. Similarly,  $b + \alpha y$  is a primitive axis in  $A$ .

(4) In the notation of [HRS],  $A = B(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , or  $A = B(-1, -\frac{1}{2})$ , so  $\dim(A) = 3$ , and  $\gamma = 0$ . By Lemma 5.5, the theorem holds.

(5)  $A = B(\lambda, \varphi)$ , with  $\dim(A) = 3$ , and  $\gamma \neq 0$ . By Theorems 5.9 and 5.10, the theorem holds.  $\square$

## 6. THE EXISTENCE OF A FROBENIUS FORM

In this section  $A$  is a PAJ generated by a set  $X$  of primitive axes. We refer the reader to Definition 1.3 for the terminology below. Let  $Y$  be a connected component of the axial graph on  $\chi(A)$ , then one of the following holds:

(Case I)  $Y$  is uniform of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$  with  $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ . This follows from Remark 4.2.

(Case II)  $Y$  is uniform of type  $\lambda$ . This is always true if there exists an axis in  $Y$  of type  $\frac{1}{2}$ . See Lemma 3.1(iii).

(Case III) There exists  $\frac{1}{2} \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $Y = Y_\lambda \cup Y_{1-\lambda}$ , and  $Y_\nu \neq \emptyset$ , for  $\nu \in \{\lambda, 1 - \lambda\}$ . See Lemma 3.1(iii).

**Remark 6.1.** The proof of [RS1, Proposition 2.12(vi)] shows more than its statement. Namely, it shows that if  $B := \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$  is a CPAJ generated by two primitive axes  $a$  of type  $\lambda$  and  $b$  of type  $\lambda'$ , and  $\gamma \neq 0$  (where  $\gamma$  is as in §5), so  $\dim(B) = 3$ , then either  $\lambda = \lambda'$ , or  $B = \langle\langle a, a^{\tau_b} \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle b, b^{\tau_a} \rangle\rangle$ , unless  $\lambda' = -1, \lambda = 2$ , and then  $B = \langle\langle a, a^{\tau_b} \rangle\rangle$ . (Note that in the proof of part (vi) of [RS1, Proposition 2.12], the displayed equalities in the proof of that part should start with  $\lambda'a_\lambda$  and not with  $\frac{1}{2}a_\lambda$ .)

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a connected component of  $\chi(A)$  as in Case III. If  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 2\}$ , then  $\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$  is spanned by  $Y_\nu$ , for either  $\nu \in \{\lambda, 1 - \lambda\}$ , and  $Y_\nu$  is connected and closed. If  $\lambda \in \{-1, 2\}$ , then  $\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$  is spanned by  $Y_2$ , and  $Y_2$  is connected and closed.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $Y_\nu$  is closed. Let  $a \in Y$  be of type  $\nu$  if  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 2\}$  and of type  $\nu = 2$  otherwise. Let  $y \in Y$ , and let  $a = y_1, \dots, y_m = y$  be a path connecting  $a$  to  $y$  in  $Y$ . We claim that there is a path  $a = z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, y_m = y$  in  $Y$ , such that  $z_i$  is of type  $\nu$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ .

If  $y_2$  is not of type  $\nu$ , then, by Lemma 5.3,  $\dim \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = 3$ , and by Remark 6.1,  $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_1^{\tau_{y_2}} \rangle$ . But now, by Theorem 2.3(i),  $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ , is spanned by axes of type  $\nu$ , namely the axes  $\text{Cl}(y_1, y_1^{\tau_{y_2}}) \subseteq Y$ . Note that  $y_3$  is connected to some axis say  $z_2 \in \text{Cl}(y_1, y_1^{\tau_{y_2}})$ , otherwise  $y_2 y_3 = 0$ . By induction on  $m$ , the claim holds.

If  $y$  is of type  $\nu$ , then we found a path from  $a$  to  $y$  in  $Y_\nu$ . Thus  $Y_\nu$  is connected. If  $y$  is of type  $1 - \nu$ , then  $\langle z_{m-1}, y \rangle$  is spanned by  $\text{Cl}\{z_{m-1}, z_{m-1}^{\tau_y}\}$ , and we see that  $y$  is in the span of  $Y_\nu$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$  be the set of connected components of  $\chi(A)$ . If  $X_i$  is as in Case I or Case II, let  $X'_i = X_i$ . If  $X_i$  is as in case III, and  $\lambda \in \{-1, 2\}$  let  $X'_i = (X_i)_2$ . Finally if  $X_i$  is as in case III, and  $\lambda \notin \{-1, 2\}$ , choose  $\lambda_i \in \{\lambda, 1 - \lambda\}$  and let  $X'_i = (X_i)_{\lambda_i}$ . Let  $X' := \bigcup X'_i \subseteq X$ .

Of course, using Proposition 6.2, we see that  $X'$  spans  $A$ , and  $X' = \text{Cl}(X')$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \cup \mathcal{B}_i$  be a basis of  $A$  with  $\mathcal{B}_i \subseteq X'_i$ .

Recall that a non-zero bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on an algebra  $A$  is called **Frobenius** if the form associates with the algebra product, that is,

$$(x, yz) = (xy, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

For PAJs we specialize the concept of Frobenius form further by asking that the “normalized” condition  $(a, a) = 1$  be satisfied for each axis  $a \in X'$ .

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem (extending [HSS2, Theorem 4.1, p. 407]):

**Theorem 6.3.** *The algebra  $A$  admits a normalized Frobenius form, which is unique up to choice of  $\lambda_i$ . The form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies  $(a, u) = \varphi_a(u)$  for all  $a \in X'$  and  $u \in A$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 6.3.*

We start by defining the bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the base  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq X'$ . We let  $(a, b) = \varphi_a(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Extending by linearity we get the bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Note that by our choice of  $\mathcal{B}$  and by Proposition 5.1,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric.

**Lemma 6.4.** (1)  $(a, u) = \varphi_a(u)$ , for all axes  $a \in X'$  and all  $u \in A$ ;  
 (2)  $(a, a) = 1$ , for all axes  $a \in X'$ ;  
 (3)  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is invariant under any automorphism of  $A$  that preserves  $X'$ .  
 In particular  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is invariant under any automorphism in  $\mathcal{G}(X')$ .

*Proof.* (1&2): First suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ . We know that (1) holds for any  $u$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , by definition. For  $u = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b$ , since  $\varphi_a$  is linear,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_a(u) &= \varphi_a \left( \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b \right) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b \varphi_a(b) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b (a, b) = (a, \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b) = (a, u), \end{aligned}$$

and (1) holds for  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $u \in A$ .

Now suppose that  $a \in X' \setminus \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_b(a)$ , by the choice of  $X'$ . Further by Proposition 5.1, and  $\varphi_b(a) = (b, a)$ , as (1) holds for  $b$ . Finally, since  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric  $(b, a) = (a, b)$ , so  $\varphi_a(b) = (a, b)$ , so, as above,  $(a, u) = \varphi_a(u)$ , for all  $u \in A$ , and (1) holds for any axis  $a \in X'$ .

In particular,  $(a, a) = 1$  for every axis  $a \in X'$ , since  $\varphi_a(a) = 1$ . Thus (2) holds.

(3): Let  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(A)$  preserving  $X'$ , and  $a \in X'$  be a primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  or  $\lambda$ . If

$$u = \varphi_a(u)a + u_0 + u_{\lambda, \delta}$$

is the decomposition of  $u \in A$  with respect to  $a$ , then the decomposition of  $u^\psi$  with respect to the primitive axis  $a^\psi \in X'$ , also of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , is  $u^\psi = \varphi_a(u)a^\psi + u_0^\psi + u_{\lambda, \delta}^\psi$ . Hence  $\varphi_{a^\psi}(u^\psi) = \varphi_a(u)$ , and so  $(a^\psi, u^\psi) = (a, u)$ . Finally, taking an arbitrary  $v \in A$  and decomposing it with respect to the base  $\mathcal{B}$  as  $v = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (v^\psi, u^\psi) &= \left( \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b^\psi, u^\psi \right) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b (b^\psi, u^\psi) \\ (6.1) \quad &= \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b (b, u) = \left( \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_b b, u \right) = (v, u). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *For every axis  $a \in X'$ , the different eigenspaces of  $a$  are orthogonal with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $a$  is of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ . The argument for  $a$  of type  $\lambda$  is exactly the same.

Clearly, if  $u \in A_0(a) + A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , then  $(a, u) = \varphi_a(u) = 0$ . Hence  $A_1(a) = \mathbb{F}a$  is orthogonal to both  $A_0(a)$  and  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ . It remains to show that  $A_0(a)$  is orthogonal to  $A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ . For  $u \in A_0(a)$  and  $v \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(a)$ , the fact that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is invariant under  $\tau_a$  gives us  $(u, v) = (u^{\tau_a}, v^{\tau_a}) = (u, -v) = -(u, v)$ . Clearly, this means that  $(u, v) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $a \in X'$  be a primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$ , with  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , or of type  $\lambda$ . Let  $v \in A$  be a  $\mu$ -eigenvector of  $a$  and  $w$  a  $\nu$ -eigenvector of  $a$ , with  $\mu, \nu \in \{1, 0, (\lambda, \delta)\}$ , (resp.  $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1, \lambda\}$ ). Then*

- (1) *If  $\mu \neq \nu$ , then  $0 = (va, w) = (av, w) = (v, aw) = (v, wa)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mu = \nu \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $(va, w) = (av, w) = (v, aw) = (v, wa)$ .*
- (3)  *$(x, yz) = (yx, z)$ , and  $(xy, z) = (x, zy)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .*

- (4) If  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , and  $\mu = \nu = (\lambda, \delta)$ , then  $(v, w) = 0$ .  
 (5)  $(x, yz) = (xy, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $(va, w) = \rho(v, w)$ , for some  $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$ , so by Lemma 6.5,  $(va, w) = 0$ . Similarly  $(av, w) = (v, aw) = (v, wa) = 0$ .

(2) This is clear.

(3) Consider the equality  $(x, yz) = (yx, z)$ . This is linear in  $x, y$ , and  $z$ . In particular, since  $A$  is spanned by  $X'$ , we may assume that  $y := a \in X'$ . Furthermore, since  $A$  decomposes as the sum of the eigenspaces of  $a$ , we may assume that  $x := v$  and  $y := w$  are eigenvectors of  $a$ . By (1) and (2) we only consider the case where  $v$  and  $w$  are  $(\lambda, \delta)$ -eigenvectors (resp.  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors), but clearly the equality holds here. The proof of the second equality in (3) is similar.

(4) By (3) we have

$$\lambda(v, w) = (v, aw) = (av, w) = (a, vw) = (wa, v) = (v, wa) = \delta(v, w).$$

Since  $\lambda \neq \delta$ ,  $(v, w) = 0$ .

(5) Again we may assume that  $y \in X'$  is an axis and that  $x, z$  are eigenvectors of  $y$ . Then (5) follows from (1), (2) and (4).  $\square$

Part (5) concludes the proof of Theorem 6.3.  $\square$

**Remark 6.7.** Notice that by our choice of  $X'$  in Theorem 6.3, for any primitive axis  $a \in A$ , we can choose  $X'$  so that  $a \in X'$ , unless perhaps  $a$  is of type  $-1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and there exist a primitive axis  $b \in A$  of type 2, with  $ab \neq 0$ .

The normalized Frobenius form of a noncommutative uniformly generated PAJ is easy to compute.

**Examples 6.8.** Suppose that  $A$  is as in Theorem 4.8, a uniformly generated PAJ of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$ , where  $\lambda + \delta = 1$  and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ . Then for  $a, b \in \chi(A)$ ,

$$(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a, b \text{ are axes of the same type in the same component;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, note first that in this case  $X'$  of Theorem 6.3 equals  $\chi(A)$ . If  $a, b$  are of the same type, and in the same component, then, by Theorem 4.6(1),  $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle \cong U_2(\lambda)$ , so  $b = a + b - a$ , with  $b - a \in A_0(a)$ . Thus  $(a, b) = \varphi_a(b) = 1$ . Otherwise  $ab = 0$ . If  $a$  has type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  and  $b$  has type  $(\delta, \lambda)$  then either  $ab = 0$  so  $(a, b) = 0$ , or  $b = (b - y) + y$  in  $A_{\text{exc}, 3}(\{a, b\}, \lambda)$ , so  $(a, b) = \varphi_a(b) = 0$ .

Recall that the **radical** of an associative bilinear form on an algebra  $A$  is  $\{u \in A : (a, u) = 0\}$ , and is an ideal of  $A$ .

**Remark 6.9.** Let  $A$  be a PAJ and let  $(, )$  be a Frobenius form on  $A$ . Let  $b \in A$  be a primitive axis of type  $(\lambda, \delta)$  (we allow here  $\lambda = \delta$ ). If  $(b, b) = 0$ , then  $b$  is in the radical  $R$  of  $(, )$ . Indeed, let  $y \in A_0(b)$ . Then  $(b, y) = (b^2, y) = (b, by) = (b, 0) = 0$ . Let  $z \in A_{\lambda, \delta}(b)$ . Then  $(b, z) = (b^2, z) =$

$(b, bz) = \lambda(b, z)$ , so  $(b, z) = 0$ . Since  $A = \mathbb{F}b + A_0(b) + A_{\lambda, \delta}(b)$ , if  $(b, b) = 0$ , then  $(b, A) = 0$ , so  $b \in R$ .

**Lemma 6.10.** *The radical of the Frobenius form on a uniformly generated PAJ  $A$  of type  $\{\lambda, \delta\}$ , with  $\lambda + \delta = 1$ , and  $\lambda \neq \delta$ , equals  $R = \sum \mathbb{F}(a - b)$ , where the sum is taken over all pairs  $a, b$  of primitive axes of the same type, and in the same connected component.  $A/R$  is a direct product of copies of  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $R$  is contained in the radical, by Examples 6.8. By Theorem 4.8(ix),  $A/R$  is a direct product of copies of  $\mathbb{F}$ , so  $R$  is the radical.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.11.** *Let  $a \in A$  be a primitive axis. Then either*

- (i)  $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$ ; or
- (ii)  $a$  is of type  $-1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists a primitive axis  $b \in A$  of type 2 with  $ab \neq 0$ , and  $a$  is in the radical of any Frobenius form on  $A$ .

*Proof.* If  $a$  is not as in (ii), then using Remark 6.7, we choose a Frobenius form such that  $a \in X'$ . Let  $x, y \in A_0(a)$ . Then

$$(a, xy) = (ax, y) = 0.$$

Since  $xy \in \mathbb{F}a + A_0(a)$ , and  $(a, A_0(a)) = 0$ , while  $(a, a) = 1$ , we must have  $xy \in A_0(a)$ .

Now suppose that  $a$  is as in (ii), and let  $(\ , \ )$  be any Frobenius form on  $A$ . If  $(a, a) \neq 0$ , then the same argument as in the previous paragraph of the proof shows that  $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$ . Hence  $(a, a) = 0$ , so by Remark 6.9,  $a$  is in the radical of  $(\ , \ )$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.12.** Let  $A = B(2, \frac{3}{2})$ , as in Remark 3.3, with  $2 \neq -1$ . So  $A$  is generated by primitive axes  $a$ , of type 2 and  $b$  of type  $-1$ . One can check that for the Frobenius form  $(\ , \ )$  that we constructed in Theorem 6.3,  $(b, b) = 0$ , so by Remark 6.9,  $b$  is in the Radical of that form. However the following question remains open:

**Question.**

Let  $A$  be a CPAJ and let  $a \in A$  be a primitive axis as in Theorem 6.11(ii). Is it true that  $A_0(a)^2 \subseteq A_0(a)$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [DPSC] T. De Medts, S.F. Peacock, D. Shpectorov, and M. Van Couwenberghe, *Decomposition algebras and axial algebras*, arXiv:1905.03481v3 [math.RA], 25 Aug 2020.
- [GS] I. Gorshkov, A. Staroletov, *On primitive 3-generated axial algebras of Jordan type*, J. Algebra **563** (2020), 74–99.
- [HRS] J. I. Hall, F. Rehren, S. Shpectorov, *Primitive axial algebras of Jordan type* J. Algebra **437** (2015), 79–115.
- [HSS1] J. Hall, Y. Segev, S. Shpectorov, *On primitive axial algebras of Jordan type*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) **13** (2018), no. 4, 397–409. arXiv:1705.03492v1 (2017).

- [HSS2] J. Hall, Y. Segev, S. Shpectorov, *Miyamoto Involutions in axial algebras of Jordan type half*, Israel J. Math. **223** (2018), no. 1, 261–308.
- [KMS] S. Khasraw, J. McNroy, S. Shpectorov, *On the structure of axial algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), no. 3, 2135–2156.
- [RS1] L. Rowen and Y. Segev, *Axes of Jordan type in non-commutative algebras*, Journal of Algebra and Applications (2021) arXiv: 2011.11702.
- [RS2] L. Rowen and Y. Segev, *Axes in nonassociative algebras*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics (2021), <http://arXiv.org/abs/2109.00941>.
- [RS3] Primitive axial algebras are of Jordan type, submitted.

LOUIS ROWEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

*Email address:* rowen@math.biu.ac.il

YOAV SEGEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

*Email address:* yoavs@math.bgu.ac.il