

08 06 22

**A NOTE ON FREE TIME EVOLUTION OF THE
QUANTUM WAVE FUNCTION AND OPTIMAL
TRANSPORTATION**

LAURA M. MORATO

*Università di Verona, Dipartimento di Informatica; E-mail: laura.morato@univr.it
morato.lauramaria@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT. It is shown that, in the absence of nodes and under regularity assumptions, a solution in a finite interval of time of the free Schroedinger equation solves a minimization problem which is a stochastic generalization of the classical optimal transportation problem with quadratic cost.

arXiv:2206.04338v1 [math-ph] 9 Jun 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the free Schroedinger equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$i\partial_t\psi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\psi = 0, \quad \psi(x, 0) = \psi_o \quad (1.1)$$

Putting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and denoting by S the principal argument of ψ we can write

$$\psi = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{iS}$$

so that, for all (x, t) such that $\rho(x, t)$ is different from zero, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\partial_t\rho(x, t) + \nabla(\rho(x, t)\nabla S(x, t)) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$\partial_t S(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla S(x, t))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho(x, t)}}{\sqrt{\rho(x, t)}} = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

which are the (free) Madelung-fluid equations.

Then $(\rho, \nabla S)$ acts as a "fluid-dynamical couple" and the first Madelung equation represents its continuity equation.

We address the question of whether $(\rho, \nabla S)$ is optimal in some sense among all time dependent fluid-dynamical couples (ρ', v') which belong to a non trivial set such that $\rho'_o = \rho_o$ and $\rho'_1 = \rho_1$, with $\rho_o := |\psi_o|^2$ and $\rho_1 := |\psi_1|^2$.

We do this by exploiting some features of Nelson's Stochastic Mechanics (see [4] for a review).

Introducing the drift-field

$$b[\rho, \nabla S] := \nabla S + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \log \rho$$

we know, thanks to a general result due to Carlen [3], that, if the quantum energy is finite at $t = 0$, then there exists a Markov diffusion process q^b with drift field $b = b[\rho, \nabla S]$, diffusion matrix equal to the identity matrix and time dependent probability density ρ . We call this the "Nelson diffusion associated to $\psi \equiv \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{iS}$ ".

To be more precise, let Ω be the set of continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R}^d . Let \mathcal{F} denote the associated Borel σ -algebra and the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ be defined in the natural way. Let also X_o be equal to $\omega(0)$. Then we know that there exists a probability measure \mathbb{P} and a standard Brownian Motion W on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$, such that the configuration process X satisfies the equality

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b[\rho, \nabla S](X_s, s) ds + W_t, \quad \mathbb{P}a.s. \quad (1.4)$$

and X_t has a probability density equal to $\rho(\cdot, t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then Nelson's diffusion is defined by identifying $q^{b[\rho, \nabla S]}$ with X .

In this paper we consider only the very regular case when ρ_o is smooth and strictly positive and the stochastic differential equation with coefficients $(b[\rho, \nabla S], I)$ has a strong solution. The more general case, when in particular ψ has nodes, is currently the subject of further work.

To formulate the optimization problem, we introduce a suitable set $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ of smooth time dependent fluid-dynamical couples which connect ρ_o to ρ_1 (see Definition 1).

Then, for a given choice of \mathbb{P} and W on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_t)$, such that the law of X_o has probability density ρ_o and W is independent of X_o we define, for any (ρ, v) in Ξ_o , the Nelson diffusion q^b , $b \equiv b[\rho, v]$, as the solution of the S.D.E.

$$q_t^b = X_0 + \int_0^t b(q_s^b, s) ds + W_t \quad (1.5)$$

Introducing the equipartition $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $[0, 1]$ and putting, with $b \equiv b[\rho, v]$,

$$\Delta q_i^b := \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} b(q_s^b, s) ds + (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})$$

we consider for every $\omega \in \Omega$ the classical action in discrete time

$$n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Delta q_i^b(\omega))^2 \quad (1.6)$$

We take the average with respect to the initial configurations and all possible Brownian paths, and leave n going to infinity. Exploiting Nelson's renormalization formula [12] to get rid of the divergent term, one gets

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Delta q_i^b)^2 - nd = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \rho)^2) \rho dx dt \quad (1.7)$$

Then we consider on $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ the functional

$$A^Q(\rho, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 (v^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \rho)^2) \rho dt dx \quad (1.8)$$

The functional A^Q and equivalent expressions of it, usually generalized by adding terms related to scalar and vector potentials and possibly extended to the case when the configuration space is a Riemannian manifold, were considered in the literature on S.M., mainly during the '80s and '90s. In fact, within a stochastic control approach, their critical points were shown to be related to the solutions of Schroedinger's

equation [7][13]. A fluid-mechanical reformulation of part of the results given in [7] was proposed by Loffredo [9] and was frequently adopted in the literature on S.M.. An approach based on stochastic differential games was proposed in [14] and, recently, a relationship with Fisher information was also suggested [15].

The problem of establishing whether the critical points of A^Q , and of its equivalent expressions, correspond to minimizers or not has remained unsolved. The main difficulty comes from the non convexity of the functional.

It is worth mentioning that a variational method which allows deriving the Schroedinger equation in the framework of Nelson's Stochastic Mechanics starting from a convex functional, was proposed by Yasue in [16]. Unfortunately in his approach the "variations of a Nelson diffusion" are assumed to be smooth functions of the diffusion itself. This allows to exploit a nice integration by parts formula. But, as a consequence, the "varied motions" are Markov diffusions with a new, non constant, diffusion coefficient. Thus the "varied motions", at variance with what happens within the stochastic control approach adopted in [7] starting from A^Q , they are no longer Nelson diffusions and this characteristic makes difficult formulating a minimization problem.

In this work we construct on a proper space a convex functional such that a suitable restriction of it is equivalent, in a proper sense, to A^Q (see (2.13),(3.3) and (3.4)). We prove that, if $\psi \equiv \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp iS$, with satisfies (1.1) with $|\psi_o|^2 = \rho_o$, $|\psi_1|^2 = \rho_1$ and $(\rho, \nabla S) \in \Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$, then

$$A^Q(\rho, \nabla S) \leq A^Q(\rho', v'), \quad \forall (\rho', v') \in \Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1) \quad (1.9)$$

2. A CONVEX ASYMPTOTIC FUNCTIONAL

We consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$, where Ω is the set of continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R}^d , \mathcal{F} denotes the associated Borel σ -algebra and the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ is defined in the natural way.

Let also $X_o := \omega(0)$. Let \mathbb{P} be a probability measure such that the law of X_o has the probability density ρ_o and let W be a standard Brownian Motion independent of X_o .

Remark 1. Let ρ_o be smooth and strictly positive and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ a smooth time dependent drift field with sublinear growth at infinity. Then the Stochastic Differential Equation with coefficients (b, I) has a unique strong solution, so that there exists a unique continuous square integrable Markov process q^b s.t.

$$q_t^b = X_o + \int_0^t b(q_s^b, s) ds + W_t, \quad \mathbb{P}a.s. \quad (2.1)$$

The process admits a time continuous probability density ρ which is smooth and strictly positive. Defining the "current velocity field" v by $v := b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \rho$, the Fokker-Planck equation, describing the time evolution of the probability density ρ , takes the form the continuity equation for the pair (ρ, v) , i.e.

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho v) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

(see [11]).

Definition 1. We denote by Ξ_o the set of pairs (ρ, v) where ρ is a strictly positive smooth time dependent probability density on \mathbb{R}^d , v is a smooth time dependent velocity field on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho v) = 0 \quad (\text{continuity equation}) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v^2 + (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \rho)^2) \rho dx dt < \infty, \quad (\text{finite action condition}) \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover v and $\nabla \log \rho$ are assumed to have a sublinear growth at infinity.

The set Ξ_o is a small subset of the "set of proper infinitesimal characteristics" introduced by Carlen in [5]

We now introduce the following space of processes

$$L_{[0,1]}^2(\mathbb{P}) := \{ \beta : \Omega \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \beta^2(\omega, s) ds \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < \infty \} \quad (2.5)$$

Let define

$$q_t^\beta := X_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + W_t, \quad X_0(\omega) = \omega(0)$$

and

$$\Delta q_i^\beta := q_{t_{i+1}}^\beta - q_{t_i}^\beta$$

Considering the equipartition $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $[0, 1]$ and denoting by \mathbb{E} the integration with respect to \mathbb{P} , we introduce the convex functional $F_n : L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$F_n(\beta) := n\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Delta q_i^\beta)^2 \quad (2.6)$$

Let now β be a "Markovian drift", i.e. such that $\beta_t = \beta_t^b$ where

$$\beta_t^b := b(q_t^b, t), \quad (2.7)$$

q^b being the solution of the stochastic differential equation (2.1).

Introducing the notation

$$b[\rho, v] := v + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \rho, \quad (2.8)$$

if b is equal to $b[\rho, v]$ with $(\rho, v) \in \Xi_o$, then, by the finite action condition, $\beta^b := (\beta_t^b)_{t \in [0,1]}$ belongs to $L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P})$.

Moreover, exploiting Nelson's renormalization formula ([12]) we can take the limit for n going to infinity, getting, with $b \equiv b[\rho, v]$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Delta q_i^b)^2 - nd = \mathbb{E} \int_0^1 (b^2(q_t^b, t) + \nabla b(q_t^b, t)) dt \quad (2.9)$$

and, integrating by parts,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^1 (b^2(q_t^b, t) + \nabla b(q_t^b, t)) dt = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \rho)^2) \rho dx dt < \infty \quad (2.10)$$

Then, for any "Markovian element" β^b , $b \equiv b[\rho, v]$, (ρ, v) in Ξ_o , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n(\beta^b) - nd) < \infty \quad (2.11)$$

and, for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $(\beta^{b_1}, \beta^{b_2}) \in L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P})$, $b_1 := b[\rho_1, v_1]$ and $b_2 := b[\rho_2, v_2]$ with (ρ_1, v_1) and (ρ_2, v_2) in Ξ_o ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n(\lambda\beta^{b_1} + (1-\lambda)\beta^{b_2}) - nd) &\leq \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\lambda(F_n(\beta^{b_1}) - nd) + (1-\lambda)(F_n(\beta^{b_2}) - nd)\} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Since the convex combination of three elements is equal to the convex combination of proper two elements, one can see by induction that for any finite convex combination $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \beta^{b_i}$, $(\beta^{b_i})_{i=1}^m$ being Markovian elements in $L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P})$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [F_n(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \beta^{b_i}) - nd] < \infty$$

Denoting by Σ the convex set given by all finite convex combinations of Markovian elements in $L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P})$, we can define the convex functional

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_\infty : L^2_{[0,1]}(\mathbb{P}) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} \\ \hat{F}_\infty(\beta) &:= \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n(\beta) - nd) & \forall \beta \in \Sigma \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The elements of Σ are not markovian in general and they are somehow reminiscent of the quantum mixtures, but in fact describing quantum mixtures would require an enlarged probability space (see for example [6]).

3. CRITICAL POINTS AND MINIMA

Definition 2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$, (\mathbb{P}, W) and X_o be defined as in the beginning of Section 2. Assume also $b \equiv b[\rho, v]$, with (ρ, v) in Ξ_o and let q^b be defined by (2.1).

We define the functionals

$$I : \{b = b[\rho, v] : (\rho, v) \in \Xi_o\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$b \mapsto \mathbb{E} \int_0^1 (b^2(q_t^b, t) + \nabla b(q_t^b, t)) dt \quad (3.1)$$

and,

$$A^Q : \{(\rho, v) : \text{finite action condition holds}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\rho, v) \mapsto \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \ln \rho)^2) \rho dx dt \quad (3.2)$$

By (2.10) we have, $\forall (\rho, v) \in \Xi_o$

$$A^Q(\rho, v) = I(b[\rho, v]) \quad (3.3)$$

and, defining $\beta^{b[\rho, v]}$ by (2.7) and (2.8),

$$I(b[\rho, v]) = \hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho, v]}) \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ be constituted by all elements (ρ, v) in Ξ_o such that $\rho(\cdot, 0)$ is equal to ρ_o and $\rho(\cdot, 1)$ is equal to ρ_1 . We face the problem of looking for possible minima of A^Q on $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$.

As a first step we revisit the fluid dynamical version of the variational principle given in [7], that was proposed in [9].

For any sufficiently regular function f of a pair (ρ, v) in Ξ_o and for any sufficiently regular pair $(\delta\rho : (\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}), \delta v : (\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d))$ we will use the short-hand notation

$$\tilde{D}f(\rho, v)(\delta\rho, \delta v) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [f(\rho + \epsilon\delta\rho, v + \epsilon\delta v) - f(\rho, v)] \quad (3.5)$$

Definition 3. We will say that $(\rho, v) \in \Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ is a critical point of A^Q if

$$\tilde{D}A^Q(\rho, v)(\delta\rho, \delta v) = 0$$

for all $(\delta\rho, \delta v)$ satisfying the conditions

- a) $\delta\rho \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$, $\delta v \in C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\delta\rho_o = 0$ and $\delta\rho_1 = 0$
- b) $\frac{d}{dy} \{ \partial_t(\rho + y\delta\rho) + \nabla[(\rho + y\delta\rho)(v + y\delta v)] \} |_{y=0} = 0$.

Lemma 1. *Let $(\delta\rho, \delta v)$ satisfy conditions a) and b).*

Then a sufficient condition in order that an element (ρ, v) of $\Xi_o(\rho_0, \rho_1)$ satisfies the equality

$$\tilde{D}A^Q(\rho, v)(\delta\rho, \delta v) = 0, \quad \forall(\delta\rho, \delta v) \quad \text{s.t. a) and b) hold} \quad (3.6)$$

is that, for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$

i) There exists a smooth $S : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(x, t) = \nabla S(x, t)$$

ii) $(\rho, \nabla S)$ satisfies Madelung's equations, so that

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) + \nabla(\rho(x, t)\nabla S(x, t)) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

$$\partial_t S(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla S(x, t))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho(x, t)}}{\sqrt{\rho(x, t)}} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth. Define

$$F(\rho, v, \lambda) := A^Q(\rho, v) + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho v)) dx dt$$

In the given assumptions one has, for every (ρ, v) in Ξ_o

$$F(\rho, v, \lambda) := A^Q(\rho, v)$$

and, for all $(\delta\rho, \delta v)$ which satisfy a) and b),

$$\tilde{D}F(\rho, v, \lambda)(\delta\rho, \delta v, 0) = \tilde{D}A^Q(\rho, v)(\delta\rho, \delta v)$$

Expliciting \tilde{D} and integrating by parts, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D}F(\rho, v, \lambda)(\delta\rho, 0, 0) = \\ & = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [(-\partial_t \lambda - \nabla \lambda)v + v^2 + \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}] \delta\rho dx dt + [\lambda \delta\rho]_0^1 + [\lambda v \delta\rho]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D}F(\rho, v, \lambda)(0, \delta v, 0) = \\ & = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2v - \nabla \lambda)\rho \delta v dx dt + [\lambda \rho \delta v]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where all boundary terms are equal to zero. Then putting $\lambda = 2S$ and $v = \nabla S$, we get, by (3.8),

$$\tilde{D}A^Q(\rho, \nabla S)(\delta\rho, \delta v) = \tilde{D}F(\rho, \nabla S, 2S)(\delta\rho, \delta v, 0) = 0$$

□

Corollary 1. *If the Schroedinger equation*

$$i\partial_t\psi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\psi = 0, \quad |\psi_0|^2 = \rho_0, \quad |\psi_1|^2 = \rho_1 \quad (3.11)$$

is satisfied by $\psi := \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(iS)$ with $(\rho, \nabla S) \in \Xi_o$ then (3.6) holds.

As a second step we show that Lemma 1 and the convexity of \hat{F}_∞ allows to solve a minimization problem for A^Q .

Theorem 1. *Let (ρ_o, ρ_1) be probability densities on \mathbb{R}^d with finite variance.*

Assume that $\psi := \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp^{iS}$ satisfies the free Schroedinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\psi = 0 \quad , \quad |\psi_0|^2 = \rho_0, |\psi_1|^2 = \rho_1, \quad (3.12)$$

and that $(\rho, \nabla S)$ belongs to $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$.

Then

$$A^Q(\rho, \nabla S) \leq A^Q(\rho', v') \quad (3.13)$$

$$\forall(\rho', v') \in \Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$$

Proof. Let (ρ', v') belong to $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$. Put $g := \rho' - \rho$. Being ρ' and ρ normalized to 1 one has that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g dx$ is equal to 0 and that $\rho + yg$ is also normalized to 1 and strictly positive for all $y \in [-1, 1]$. We consider firstly the case when g is of class C_K^∞ .

Introducing a time dependent vector field X_y^g , we consider the family $(\rho'_y, v'_y)_{y \in [-1, 1]}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \rho'_y := \rho + yg \\ v'_y := \nabla S + X_y^g \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Requiring (ρ'_y, v'_y) to satisfy, for all $y \in [-1, 1]$, the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho'_y + \nabla(\rho'_y v'_y) = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

and putting

$$u := (\rho + yg)(X_y^g)$$

one gets

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} u_i = -y[\partial_t g + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}(g \partial_{x_i} S)]$$

This equation admits solutions u in $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$ so that X_y^g can be chosen in $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then (ρ'_y, v'_y) belongs to $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ for all $y \in [-1, 1]$.

Moreover one can check that X_y^g depends smoothly on y and that $\frac{d}{dy}X_y^g|_{y=0}$ also belongs to $C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$. Defining

$$\delta\rho := g$$

and

$$\delta v := \frac{d}{dy}X_y^g|_{y=0}$$

one can see that conditions a) and b) in Lemma 1 are satisfied. Then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{y} (A^Q(\rho'_y, v'_y) - A^Q(\rho, \nabla S)) = \tilde{D}A^Q(\rho, \nabla S)(\delta\rho, \delta v) = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

We can exploit (3.3) to get

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{y} (I(b[\rho'_y, v'_y]) - I(b[\rho, \nabla S])) = 0$$

which, by (3.4), is equivalent to

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{y} (\hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho'_y, v'_y]}) - \hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho, \nabla S]})) = 0$$

Since by the chain of equalities (3.3) and (3.4), for all $y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho'_y, v'_y]}) = A^Q(\rho'_y, v'_y) \quad (3.17)$$

with (ρ'_y, v'_y) defined by (3.14), the dependence of $\hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho'_y, v'_y]})$ on $y \in [-1, 1]$ is smooth by construction.

Finally, by the convexity of \hat{F}_∞ ,

$$\hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho, \nabla S]}) \leq \hat{F}_\infty(\beta^{b[\rho'_y, v'_y]}), \quad \forall y \in [-1, 1] \quad (3.18)$$

Then, again by the chain of equalities (3.3) and (3.4) and putting $y = 1$ we find

$$A^Q(\rho, \nabla S) \leq A^Q(\rho', v')$$

for all (ρ', v') in $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ such that $\rho' := \rho + g$, $g \in C_K^\infty$.

For a generic element (ρ', v') of $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$ put again $g := \rho' - \rho$. Then g is smooth and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g dx = 0$ but its support is non necessarily compact.

Let $(D_j)_j$ be a sequence of open bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$ such that $D_j \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$.

Let also $(h_j)_j \subset C_K^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq h_j \leq 1$ and

$$h_j(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x, t) \in D_j \\ 0 & (x, t) \in \bigcup_{m=j+2}^\infty (D_m \setminus D_{m-1}) \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

Then, putting $g_j := gh_j$, $\rho'_j := \rho + g_j$ and $v'_j := v + X_1^{g_j}$, where $X_y^{g_j}$, $y \in [0, 1]$, is constructed as before, one has, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^Q(\rho, \nabla S) &\leq A^Q(\rho'_j, v'_j) = \\ &= \int_{D_j} (v'^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \rho')^2) \rho' dx dt + \int_{D_j^c} (v_j'^2 - (\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log \rho'_j)^2) \rho'_j dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$A^Q(\rho, \nabla S) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A^Q(\rho'_j, v'_j) = A^Q(\rho', v')$$

for all (ρ', v') in $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$. □

4. COMPARISON WITH THE CLASSICAL OPTIMAL TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM

The classical Monge-Kantorovich Optimal Transportation Problem [10][8] with quadratic cost, in the Monge formulation, is

$$\inf_{T: T\#_{\rho_o} = \rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Tx - x|^2 \rho_0(x) dx \quad (4.1)$$

where the infimum is taken on the set of maps $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that "transport ρ_0 onto ρ_1 ".

In [1] Br enier proved that the solution T_0 of problem (4.1) is the unique map $T_o : T_o\#_{\rho_o} = \rho_1$, which is a gradient of a convex function ϕ .

Moreover, by introducing the "time variable" $t \in [0, 1]$, in [2] a computational fluid-mechanical solution of the Monge problem was constructed.

The new problem can be formulated as follows (see [17] chap 8):

$$\inf_{(\rho, v) \in \mathbb{V}(\rho_0, \rho_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 v^2 \rho dt dx$$

where $\mathbb{V}(\rho_0, \rho_1)$ is a very general set of fluid-dynamical couples (ρ, v) which in particular satisfy the continuity equation in distributional sense. The "set of proper infinitesimal characteristics" connecting ρ_o to ρ_1 , and in particular $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$, are subsets of $\mathbb{V}(\rho_0, \rho_1)$.

Assuming that ρ_0 and ρ_1 have finite variance and denoting by $\tau_2(\rho_0, \rho_1)$ the infimum in (4.1), we have the B enamou-Br enier formula

$$\tau_2(\rho_0, \rho_1) = \inf_{(\rho, v) \in \mathbb{V}(\rho_0, \rho_1)} A(\rho, v)$$

where

$$A(\rho, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 v^2 \rho dt dx$$

which, when restricted to $\Xi_o(\rho_o, \rho_1)$, is the classical limit of A^Q . By a simple change of variables the functional A becomes convex.

Moreover if (ρ, v) is the solution to the B enamou-Br enier problem, it turns to be a gradient-flow and, in the smooth case, the classical limit of the free Madelung fluid equations holds, which are equivalent to

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho v) = 0$$

$$\partial_t v + v \nabla v = 0, \quad v_o(x) = \nabla \phi(x) - x$$

where $\nabla \phi$ is the solution of the Monge problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Br nieri Y. Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions, Communications on pure and applied mathematics, volume 44 (4), 375-417, (1991)
- [2] B namou J.D. and Y. Br nieri Y. A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem, Numerische Mathematik volume 84, 375-393,(2000)
- [3] Carlen E. Conservative diffusions, Communications in Mathematical Physics, volume 94, 293-315, (1984)
- [4] Carlen E. Stochastic Mechanics: a look back and a look ahead, in Diffusion, Quantum Theory, and Radically Elementary Mathematics, Faris W. ed,117-139, (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press) (2006)
- [5] Carlen E. Progress and problems in Stochastic Mechanics. In: Gielerak,R., Karwoski,W.(eds) Stochastic Processes-Mathematics and Physics. Lecture notes in Mathematics. Vol.1158, pp.25-51.Berlin, Heidelberg,New York: Springer (1985)
- [6] Cufaro Petroni N. and Morato L.M. Entangled states in Stochastic Mechanics. Physica A: Math. Gen.**33**, 5833-5848 (2000)
- [7] Guerra F. and Morato L.: Quantization of Dynamical Systems and Stochastic Control Theory, *Phys.Rev.D*, **27**,1774-1786 (1983)
- [8] Kantorovich L. On the translocation of masses. C.R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.), 37:199-201, (1942).
- [9] Loffredo M.I. Eulerian Variational Principle in Stochastic Mechanics, private communication, (1986), Rapporto Matematico 226, Universita' di Siena (1990)
- [10] Monge G. Memoire sur la theorie des deblais et de remblais, Memoires de l'Academie des Sciences (1781)
- [11] Nelson E. Dynamical Theories of Brownian Motion(Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)(1967)
- [12] Nelson E. in Seminaire de Probabilites,Vol. XIX of lecture notes in Mathematics, edited by J.Azema and M Yor, Springer, New York,(1984)
- [13] Nelson E. *Quantum Fluctuations* (Princeton University Press)(1985)
- [14] Pavon M. Hamilton's Principle in stochastic mechanics, J. Math. Phys. 36, 6774 (1995)
- [15] Jianhao M. Yang Variational Principle for Stochastic Mechanics based on Information Measures J. Math. Phys. 62, 102104 (2021)
- [16] Yasue K , Stochastic Calculus of Variations, J. of Functional Analysis 41, 327-340 (1981)
- [17] Villani C. *Topics in Optimal Transportation*, American Mathematical Soc. ISBN 978-0-8218-3312-4,(2003)