

ON GLOBAL SOLVABILITY AND REGULARITY FOR GENERALIZED RAYLEIGH-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH HISTORY-DEPENDENT NONLINEARITIES

TRAN DINH KE & NGUYEN NHU THANG*

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with the initial value problem governed by generalized Rayleigh-Stokes equations, where the nonlinearity depends on history states and takes values in Hilbert scales of negative order. The solvability and Hölder regularity of solutions are proved by using fixed point arguments and embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces. An application to a related inverse source problem is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following problem

$$\partial_t u - (1 + D_t^{\{m\}})\Delta u = f(u, \mathcal{H}u) \text{ in } \Omega, t \in (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(0) = \xi \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where f is a given function, $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $D_t^{\{m\}}$ is the nonlocal derivative of Riemann-Liouville type defined by

$$D_t^{\{m\}}v(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t m(t-s)v(s)ds,$$

with kernel $m \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, \mathcal{H} is the convolution operator given by

$$\mathcal{H}v(t) = \int_0^t \ell(t-\tau)v(\tau)d\tau, \ell \in L^1(0, T),$$

which tells us that f depends on the history state of the system.

The proposed system is a general model for some problems studied in literature. Indeed, in the case m is a constant, (1.1) is of classical diffusion type. If m is a regular function, e.g. $m \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ then one gets

$$\partial_t u - (1 + m_0)\Delta u - \int_0^t m_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds = f,$$

with $m_0 = m(0)$ và $m_1(t) = m'(t)$, which is a nonclassical diffusion equation. Let $m(t) = m_0 t^{-\alpha}/\Gamma(\alpha)$ with $m_0 > 0$, then we see that (1.1) is a Rayleigh-Stokes

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35R11, 35C15, 45D05, 45K05.

Key words and phrases. Rayleigh-Stokes problem; nonlocal PDE; well-posedness; Hölder continuity.

* Corresponding author. Email: thangnn@hnue.edu.vn (N.N.Thang).

equation, i.e.

$$\partial_t u - (1 + m_0 \partial_t^\alpha) \Delta u = f. \quad (1.4)$$

The constitution of the last equation was formed in [10, 19] to describe the behavior of second-grade fluids. It should be mentioned that, some numerical schemes for Rayleigh-Stokes equations were developed in [1, 2, 5, 6]. On the other hand, analytical representations for solution of (1.4) in linear case were obtained in [11, 19], and recently, the regularity for nonlinear Rayleigh-Stokes equations has been established in [13, 14, 21]. For more studies related to (1.4), we refer the reader to [15, 17, 20], where the terminal value problem was carried out.

In this work, we are interested in the solvability and regularity analysis for problem (1.1)-(1.3) in the circumstance that the nonlinearity function involves the history state expressed by \mathcal{H} . The appearance of $\mathcal{H}u$ comes from, e.g. the inverse source problem as presented in the last section. In another way, this factor may arise from control problems, where the feedback requires some history information of the system. One will find that, the term $\mathcal{H}u$ causes some difficulties for analyzing regularity of solutions. As an important feature of our study, it is noted that the nonlinearity function f is allowed to take weak values, i.e. $f(u, \mathcal{H}u)$ may belong to dual of fractional Sobolev spaces. This enables us to consider the case when f contains polynomial or gradient terms, which have connections with practical applications. This also extends the recent results established in [12–14].

The rest of this note is as follows. In the next section, we first recall some notions and facts related to Hilbert scales and fractional Sobolev spaces. Additionally, a representation for the solution of linear problem will be shown together with some essential estimates for resolvent operator. Section 3 is devoted to proving the main results, including the global existence and Hölder regularity of solutions. It is worth noting, in particular, that the Hölder regularity result in our work can not be obtained by the technique used in [12], since the differentiability of resolvent operator is unavailable on dual spaces. In order to overcome this impediment, we construct a regular closed subset of solution space, which is invariant under the solution operator, and make use of fixed point arguments. The last section shows an application of the obtained results, where we demonstrate that an inverse source problem governed by Rayleigh-Stokes equations is solvable by transforming it to a prototype of problem (1.1)-(1.3).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Functional spaces. Let $-\Delta$ be the Laplacian associated with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. Then one has a sequence of eigenfunctions $\{e_n\}$ of $-\Delta$ which forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, and we have the following representation

$$-\Delta v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\lambda_n \int_{\Omega} v(x) e_n(x) dx \right) e_n,$$

where $\lambda_n > 0$ is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction e_n . For $\varrho \geq 0$, we define the following functional space

$$\mathbb{H}^\varrho := \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\Omega) \left| \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\varrho}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_n^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) e_n(x) dx \right|^2 < \infty \right. \right\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^{-\varrho}$ denote the dual space of \mathbb{H}^{ϱ} with respect to the dual pair $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-\varrho, \varrho}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{-\varrho} \times \mathbb{H}^{\varrho}$ with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\varrho}}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_n^{-\frac{\varrho}{2}} \langle \varphi, e_n \rangle_{-\varrho, \varrho} \right|^2 < \infty.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{H}^{\varrho_2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\varrho_1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{-\varrho_1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-\varrho_2}$ with $\varrho_2 \geq \varrho_1 \geq 0$. The family of Hilbert spaces \mathbb{H}^{ϱ} , $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$, is said to be the Hilbert scales.

The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\gamma}$, $\gamma \geq 0$, is defined as follows

$$(-\Delta)^{\gamma} : \mathbb{H}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-\gamma};$$

$$(-\Delta)^{\gamma} \varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) e_n(x) dx \right) e_n.$$

Then, $\|(-\Delta)^{\gamma} \varphi\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\gamma}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\gamma}}$.

We now recall the notion of the fractional Sobolev spaces (see, e.g. [8, 9] for details). For $r \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, +\infty)$, the functional space

$$W^{r,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+pr}} dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

is called the fractional Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+pr}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}$$

For $r \geq 1$, denote by $[r]$ the integral part of r , we define

$$W^{r,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in W^{[r],p}(\Omega) : D^{\eta} u \in W^{r-[r],p}(\Omega), \forall |\eta| \leq [r] \right\}$$

which is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{W^{[r],p}(\Omega)}^p + \sum_{|\eta|=[r]} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{\eta} u(x) - D^{\eta} u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p(r-[r])}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote

$$W_0^{r,p}(\Omega) := \overline{C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^{r,p}(\Omega)}, H^r(\Omega) := W^{r,2}(\Omega), H_0^r(\Omega) := W_0^{r,2}(\Omega).$$

Assume that Ω is a domain with sufficiently smooth boundary such that $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $H^r(\Omega)$ with $0 < r < 1/2$, then $H_0^r(\Omega) = H^r(\Omega)$ (see [3, Corollary 8.10.1]). It follows from [4] that

$$\mathbb{H}^r = \begin{cases} H_0^r(\Omega), & 0 \leq r < 1/2, \\ H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega) \subsetneq H_0^{1/2}(\Omega), & r = 1/2, \\ H_0^r(\Omega), & 1/2 < r \leq 1, \\ H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^r(\Omega), & 1 < r \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ is the Lions-Magenes space determined by

$$H_{00}^{1/2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{(\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))^2} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Then the following lemma is a direct consequence of (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. Denote by $H^{-r}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $H_0^r(\Omega)$ with $r \geq 0$. If $0 \leq r \leq r' \leq 2$, then

$$\mathbb{H}^{r'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^r \hookrightarrow H^r(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-r}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-r'}.$$

The following lemma represents embeddings between fractional Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 2.2. [3, Theorem 8.12.6] Let $1 \leq p, p' \leq \infty, 0 \leq r, r' < \infty$ and $r' - \frac{d}{p'} \geq r - \frac{d}{p}$. Then,

$$W^{r', p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{r, p}(\Omega).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following embeddings.

Lemma 2.3. We have

- a) $L^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^r(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^r$ if $\left\{ -\frac{d}{2} < r \leq 0, p \geq \frac{2d}{d-2r} \right\}$.
- b) $\mathbb{H}^r \hookrightarrow H^r(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ if $\left\{ 0 \leq r < \frac{d}{2}, 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2d}{d-2r} \right\}$.

2.2. Representation of solutions to linear problem. In order to investigate problem (1.1)-(1.3), we assume the following hypothesis:

(M) The function $m \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is nonnegative such that $a(t) := 1 + m(t)$ is completely positive.

Recall that the real valued function a is said to be completely positive if the solutions to the following integral equations

$$s(t) + \theta \int_0^t a(t-\tau)s(\tau)d\tau = 1, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$r(t) + \theta \int_0^t a(t-\tau)r(\tau)d\tau = a(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

are nonnegative for each $\theta > 0$. The theory of completely positive functions can be found in [7, 18]. An equivalent condition for a to be completely positive is as follows:

(PC) There exists a nonincreasing and nonnegative function $k \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\epsilon a + k * a = 1 \text{ on } (0, \infty).$$

In the present work, we assume that m is unbounded on \mathbb{R}^+ , which implies $\epsilon = 0$. The condition (PC) is satisfied if m is completely monotone, i.e. $(-1)^n m^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [16]).

First we consider the relaxation problem:

$$\omega'(t) + \lambda(1 + D_t^{\{m\}})\omega(t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\omega(0) = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

where the unknown ω is a scalar function, λ is a positive parameter. Integrating both sides of (2.4) over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\omega(t) + \lambda \int_0^t (1 + m(t-\tau))\omega(\tau)d\tau = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

which is just (2.2) with $\lambda = \theta$.

We denote by $\omega(t, \lambda)$ the solution of (2.6) to emphasize the dependence of ω on the parameter λ . We list some properties of ω in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let ω be the solution to (2.4)-(2.5). Then*

(a) *ω is nonincreasing on \mathbb{R}^+ and*

$$0 < \omega(t, \lambda) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \lambda \int_0^t (1 + m(\tau)) d\tau}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \lambda > 0.$$

(b) *The following estimate holds*

$$\int_0^t \omega(\tau, \lambda) d\tau \leq \lambda^{-1} (1 - \omega(t, \lambda)), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \lambda > 0.$$

(c) *For each $t > 0$, the function $\lambda \mapsto \omega(t, \lambda)$ is nonincreasing.*

(d) *The function $v(t) = \omega(t, \lambda)v_0 + \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda)g(\tau)d\tau$ is a solution to the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) + \lambda(1 + D_t^{\{m\}})v(t) &= g(t), \\ v(0) &= v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The properties (a) and (b) are implied from (2.6). The properties (c) and (d) were proved in [12]. \square

Now we look for a representation of the solution to the following initial value linear problem

$$\partial_t u - (1 + D_t^{\{m\}})\Delta u = F \quad \text{in } \Omega, t \in (0, T], \quad (2.7)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, t \in [0, T], \quad (2.8)$$

$$u(0) = \xi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.9)$$

where $F \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.

Assume that

$$u(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t)e_n, \quad F(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(t)e_n.$$

Using these expansions in (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u'_n(t) + \lambda_n(1 + D_t^{\{m\}})u_n(t) &= F_n(t), \\ u_n(0) &= \xi_n := (\xi, e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.4(d), we get

$$u_n(t) = \omega(t, \lambda_n)\xi_n + \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n)F_n(\tau)d\tau.$$

Therefore,

$$u(t) = S(t)\xi + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)F(\tau)d\tau, \quad (2.10)$$

where $S(t)$ is the *resolvent operator* determined by

$$S(t)\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(t, \lambda_n)\xi_n e_n, \quad \xi \in L^2(\Omega). \quad (2.11)$$

Obviously, $S(t)$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, we have the following statements.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the resolvent family defined by (2.11), $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $T > 0$. Then,*

- (a) $S(\cdot)v \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\|S(t)\| \leq \omega(t, \lambda_1)$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (b) For $g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1})$, $\mu > 0$, we have $S * g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$. Furthermore,

$$\|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_1) \|g(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau, \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

- (c) If m is nonincreasing, then $S(\cdot)v \in C^1((0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and it holds that

$$\|S'(t)\| \leq t^{-1} \text{ for all } t > 0. \quad (2.13)$$

- (d) For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta})$, we have

$$\|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\delta} \|g(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau.$$

- (e) If $(1 * m)^{-1} \in L^1(0, T)$, then for $g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{\mu-2})$, we have

$$\|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq \int_0^t \frac{\|g(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-2}}^2}{(1 * m)(t - \tau)} d\tau.$$

Proof. The properties (a) and (c) were proved in [12, Lemma 1]. Now we show (b). For $g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1})$, we have

$$\|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^\mu \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) g_n(\tau) d\tau \right)^2, \quad g_n(\tau) = (g(\tau), e_n).$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) g_n(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \lambda_n^{-1} \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_1) |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_1) \lambda_n^{\mu-1} |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_1) \|g(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove (d). Assume that $g \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta})$. Then

$$\|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^\mu \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) g_n(\tau) d\tau \right)^2, \quad g_n(\tau) = (g(\tau), e_n).$$

Using the Hölder inequality and Proposition 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) g_n(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \omega(t - \tau, \lambda_n) |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \lambda_n^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{|g_n(\tau)|^2}{1 + \lambda_n(t - \tau)} d\tau \\ &\leq \lambda_n^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{|g_n(\tau)|^2}{\lambda_n^\delta (t - \tau)^\delta} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

here, we used the inequality $1 + b \geq b^\delta$ for $b \geq 0, \delta \in (0, 1)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S * g(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\mu-1-\delta} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \|g(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The last property is proved similarly by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_n) g_n(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_n) d\tau \right) \left(\int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_n) |g_n(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \lambda_n^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{|g_n(\tau)|^2}{1 + \lambda_n(1 * m)(t-\tau)} d\tau \\ &\leq \lambda_n^{-2} \int_0^t \frac{|g_n(\tau)|^2}{(1 * m)(t-\tau)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

3. GLOBAL SOLVABILITY AND HÖLDER REGULARITY

In order to solve problem (1.1)-(1.3), we require the following assumption on the nonlinearity:

(F) The function $f : \mathbb{H}^\mu \times \mathbb{H}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-\theta}$ satisfies $f(0, 0) = 0$ and for $\rho, \rho' > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(v_1, w_1) - f(v_2, w_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\theta}} &\leq L_f(\rho) \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} + K_f(\rho') \|w_1 - w_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}, \\ \text{where } \|v_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}, \|v_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} &\leq \rho, \|w_1\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}, \|w_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \rho', \quad 0 < \mu < 2, \quad \theta > 0, \quad L_f \\ &\text{and } K_f \text{ are nonnegative functions.} \end{aligned}$$

By the representation of the solution to the linear problem given by (2.10), we have the following definition of mild solution to (1.1)-(1.3).

Definition 3.1. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{H}^\mu$. The function $u \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$ is called a mild solution to (1.1)-(1.3) on the interval $[0, T]$ if the following identity holds

$$u(t) = S(t)\xi + \int_0^t S(t-\tau) f(u(\tau), \mathcal{H}u(\tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

3.1. Global solvability.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the condition (F) is satisfied with $\theta = 1 + \delta - \mu$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} L_f(\rho) = L_f^*, \quad \limsup_{\rho' \rightarrow 0} K_f(\rho') = K_f^*,$$

such that

$$8T^{1-\delta}(1-\delta)^{-1}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2}\|\ell\|_{L^1}^2) < 1.$$

Then there exists $\rho^* > 0$ such that for $\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^*$, problem (1.1)-(1.3) possesses a unique mild solution u on $[0, T]$ obeying $\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \rho^*$, for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. We will show that the operator Φ determined by

$$\Phi(u)(t) = S(t)\xi + \int_0^t S(t-\tau) f(u(\tau), \mathcal{H}u(\tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

has a fixed point in the space $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$ furnished by the norm $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}$.

Denote by B_ρ the closed ball of radius ρ in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$ which centers at the origin. For $u \in B_\rho$, we have $\mathcal{H}u \in B_{\rho'}$ with $\rho' = \rho \|\ell\|_{L^1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2\|S(t)\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 2\|S * f(u(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u(\cdot))(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq 2\|S(t)\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 2\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \|f(u(\tau), \mathcal{H}u(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.5(d). Using the condition (F), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 4\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} [L_f(\rho)^2 \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + K_f(\rho')^2 \|\mathcal{H}u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2] d\tau \\ &\leq 2\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 4\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 4T^{1-\delta} (1-\delta)^{-1} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho^* > 0$ is chosen such that

$$8T^{1-\delta} (1-\delta)^{-1} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \leq 1, \text{ for all } \rho \leq \rho^*.$$

Then, for $u \in B_{\rho^*}$ and $\|\xi\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^*$, we can estimate

$$\|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \rho^*, \text{ for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence $\Phi(B_{\rho^*}) \subset B_{\rho^*}$. It remains to verify that Φ is a contraction on B_{ρ^*} . Indeed, for $u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho^*}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(u_1(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_1(\tau)) - f(u_2(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 \\ &\leq 2L_f(\rho^*)^2 \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 2K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\mathcal{H}u_1(\tau) - \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq 2(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_1)(t) - \Phi(u_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq \|S * [f(u_1(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u_1(\cdot)) - f(u_2(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u_2(\cdot))](t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} \|f(u_1(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_1(\tau)) - f(u_2(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq 2T^{1-\delta} (1-\delta)^{-1} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we arrive at

$$\|\Phi(u_1) - \Phi(u_2)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty.$$

The proof is complete. \square

In the case the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies global Lipschitz condition, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{H}^\mu \times \mathbb{H}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}$ is continuous and satisfies the condition*

$$\|f(v_1, w_1) - f(v_2, w_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}} \leq L_f^* \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} + K_f^* \|w_1 - w_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu},$$

for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{H}^\mu$, where $L_f^, K_f^* \geq 0$. Then, Problem (1.1)-(1.3) possesses a unique mild solution in the space $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$.*

Proof. In $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$, we use an equivalent norm

$$\|u\|_{\beta, \infty} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\beta t} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu},$$

where $\beta > 0$ satisfies

$$L^* := 2(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2) \int_0^T e^{-2\beta\tau} \tau^{-\delta} d\tau < 1.$$

Consider the solution operator Φ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, where $u_1, u_2 \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u_1)(t) - \Phi(u_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} L_f^{*2} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\delta} K_f^{*2} \|\mathcal{H}u_1(\tau) - \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

according to the assumption of the theorem and Lemma 2.5(d). Then, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\beta t} \|\Phi(u_1)(t) - \Phi(u_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^t e^{-2\beta(t-\tau)} (t-\tau)^{-\delta} L_f^{*2} [e^{-2\beta\tau} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2] d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t e^{-2\beta(t-\tau)} (t-\tau)^{-\delta} K_f^{*2} [e^{-2\beta\tau} \|\mathcal{H}u_1(\tau) - \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2] d\tau \\ &\leq 2(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2) \left(\int_0^t e^{-2\beta\tau} \tau^{-\delta} d\tau \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\beta, \infty}^2, \end{aligned}$$

here, we have used the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\beta\tau} \|\mathcal{H}u_1(\tau) - \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-z)} \ell(\tau-z) [e^{-\beta z} \|u_1(z) - u_2(z)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}] dz \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\tau e^{-\beta z} |\ell(z)| dz \right)^2 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\beta, \infty}^2 \\ &\leq \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\beta, \infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Phi(u_1) - \Phi(u_2)\|_{\beta, \infty} \leq \sqrt{L^*} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\beta, \infty}.$$

In other words, Φ is a contraction on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. (i) In Theorem 3.2, we do not require that $\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}$ is small. Besides, we also relax the condition on L_f^* and K_f^* in comparison with the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, the result obtained in Theorem 3.2 still holds if we add to the right-hand side of equation (1.1) an external force, that means the right-hand side of (1.1) has the form $f(u, \mathcal{H}u) + g(t, x)$.

(ii) In the case $(1 * m)^{-1} \in L^1(0, T)$, we achieve a similar result to that in Theorem 3.2 assuming that the nonlinearity f can take ‘weaker’ values:

$$\|f(v_1, w_1) - f(v_2, w_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-2}} \leq L_f^* \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} + K_f^* \|w_1 - w_2\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu},$$

for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{H}^\mu$, where $L_f^*, K_f^* \geq 0$. In this situation, we use the estimate in Lemma 2.5(e).

3.2. Hölder regularity. In this part, we assume an additional condition on the kernel m as follows.

(M*) The hypothesis (M) is satisfied with a nonincreasing function m .

Note that, under the assumption (M*), the resolvent $S(\cdot)$ is differentiable in $(0, \infty)$ and $\|S(t)\| \leq t^{-1}$ for $t > 0$ by Lemma 2.5.

For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, denote

$$V_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma} = B_{\rho^*} \cap \{u \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^\mu) : \sup_{\substack{h > 0 \\ t \in (0, T-h]}} \frac{t^\gamma \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}}{h^\gamma} \leq \rho\}.$$

We will show that the mild solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) obtained by Theorem 3.1 is Hölder continuous in $(0, T]$ by proving that the solution mapping Φ is contractive on $V_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma}$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that (M*) and all assumptions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Moreover, with $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}\delta, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1^* &= \sup_{\substack{h > 0 \\ t \in (0, T-h]}} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^\gamma \int_t^{t+h} |\ell(\tau)| d\tau < \infty, \\ 16B(1 - \delta, 1 - 2\gamma)T^{1-\delta}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2}\ell_2^{*2}) &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Beta function and

$$\ell_2^* = \sup_{t \in (0, T]} t^\gamma \int_0^t \frac{|\ell(\tau)|}{(t - \tau)^\gamma} d\tau.$$

Then, the solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) is Hölder continuous on $(0, T]$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\Phi(V_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma}) \subset V_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma}$ for a certain $\rho > 0$.

Since $S(\cdot)$ is differentiable in $(0, \infty)$, using the Mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[S(t+h) - S(t)]\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} &\leq h \int_0^1 \|S'(t + \zeta h)\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} d\zeta \\ &\leq h \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \int_0^1 \frac{d\zeta}{t + \zeta h} = \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \ln \left(1 + \frac{h}{t}\right) \\ &\leq \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \gamma^{-1} t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, for $u \in V_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{H}u(t+h) - \mathcal{H}u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \int_t^{t+h} |\ell(\tau)| \|u(t+h-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t |\ell(\tau)| \|u(t+h-\tau) - u(t-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} d\tau \\
& \leq t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma \rho^* \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^\gamma \int_t^{t+h} |\ell(\tau)| d\tau \\
& + t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma t^\gamma \int_0^t \frac{|\ell(\tau)|}{(t-\tau)^\gamma} [(t-\tau)^\gamma h^{-\gamma} \|u(t+h-\tau) - u(t-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}] d\tau \\
& \leq t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma \rho^* \left[\left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^\gamma \int_t^{t+h} |\ell(\tau)| d\tau \right] + t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma \rho \left[t^\gamma \int_0^t \frac{|\ell(\tau)|}{(t-\tau)^\gamma} d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{H}u(t+h) - \mathcal{H}u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma (\rho^* \ell_1^* + \rho \ell_2^*). \quad (3.2)$$

Denote

$$D_h f(u)(t) = f(u(t+h), \mathcal{H}u(t+h)) - f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t)). \quad (3.3)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_h f(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}} \leq \|f(u(t+h), \mathcal{H}u(t+h)) - f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}} \\
& \leq L_f(\rho^*) \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}) \|\mathcal{H}u(t+h) - \mathcal{H}u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \\
& \leq t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma L_f(\rho^*) \rho + t^{-\gamma} h^\gamma K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}) (\rho^* \ell_1^* + \rho \ell_2^*),
\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

here, we employed the estimate (3.2).

Finally, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Phi(u)(t+h) - \Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq 2\| [S(t+h) - S(t)] \xi \|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\
& + 4 \int_0^t \tau^{-\delta} \|D_h f(u)(t-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau \\
& + 4 \int_t^{t+h} \tau^{-\delta} \|f(u(t+h-\tau), \mathcal{H}u(t+h-\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau \\
& = E_1(t) + E_2(t) + E_3(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), we get

$$E_1(t) \leq 2\gamma^{-2} t^{-2\gamma} h^{2\gamma} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2.$$

Using (3.4), we gain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2(t) & \leq 8h^{2\gamma} [\rho^2 L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2)^2 (\rho^* \ell_1^* + \rho \ell_2^*)^2] \int_0^t \tau^{-\delta} (t-\tau)^{-2\gamma} d\tau \\
& \leq 8h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} [\rho^2 L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2)^2 (\rho^* \ell_1^* + \rho \ell_2^*)^2] B(1-\delta, 1-2\gamma) T^{1-\delta} \\
& \leq 16h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} B(1-\delta, 1-2\gamma) T^{1-\delta} \\
& \quad \times [(L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2)^2 \ell_2^{*2}) \rho^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2)^2 \rho^{*2} \ell_1^{*2}]
\end{aligned}$$

here we utilized the identity

$$\int_0^t \tau^{-\delta} (t-\tau)^{-2\gamma} d\tau = B(1-\delta, 1-2\gamma) t^{1-\delta-2\gamma}.$$

We can estimate $E_3(t)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(t) &= 4 \int_0^h (t+h-\tau)^{-\delta} \|f(u(\tau), \mathcal{H}u(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1-\delta}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq 8\rho^{*2} [L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2] \int_0^h (t+h-\tau)^{-\delta} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^h (t+h-\tau)^{-\delta} d\tau &= (1-\delta)^{-1} [(t+h)^{1-\delta} - t^{1-\delta}] \\ &\leq ht^{-\delta} \leq h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} h^{1-2\gamma} t^{2\gamma-\delta} \leq h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} T^{1-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$E_3(t) \leq 8h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} (\rho^*)^2 T^{1-\delta} [L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2].$$

Combining the estimates of $E_1(t)$, $E_2(t)$ and $E_3(t)$ above, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^{2\gamma} \|\Phi(u)(t+h) - \Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2\gamma^{-2} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\quad + 16B(1-\delta, 1-2\gamma) T^{1-\delta} [(L_f^*{}^2 + K_f^{*2} \ell_2^{*2} + \epsilon) \rho^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \rho^{*2} \ell_1^{*2}] \\ &\quad + 8\rho^{*2} T^{1-\delta} [L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2], \end{aligned}$$

here, $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen such that

$$16T^{1-\delta} [L_f(\rho^*)^2 + K_f(\rho^* \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \ell_2^{*2}] \leq 16T^{1-\delta} (L_f^*{}^2 + K_f^{*2} \ell_2^{*2} + \epsilon) < \frac{1}{B(1-\delta, 1-2\gamma)}.$$

Now, we take a sufficiently large $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^{2\gamma} \|\Phi(u)(t+h) - \Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq \rho^2, \text{ for all } h > 0, t \in (0, T-h].$$

This implies that $\Phi(u) \in W_{\rho, \rho^*}^{\mu, \gamma}$. The proof is complete. \square

We now consider the case when the nonlinearity $f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t))$ is more regular, i.e. it takes values in $\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}$. We will show the Hölder continuity of the solution without the assumptions on the coefficients as in Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that the condition (M^*) and (F) are satisfied with $\theta = 1-\mu$, and*

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} L_f(\rho) = L_f^*, \quad \limsup_{\rho' \rightarrow 0} K_f(\rho') = K_f^*,$$

such that

$$4(L_f^*{}^2 + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2) < \lambda_1.$$

Then, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for $\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \eta$, problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique mild solution u in $[0, T]$. Moreover, $u(\cdot)$ is Hölder continuous on $(0, T]$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, first we look for $\rho^* > 0$ such that $\Phi(B_{\rho^*}) \subset B_{\rho^*}$. Let $u \in B_{\rho^*}$, $\rho > 0$, and $f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t)) \in \mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}$.

Then using Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S * f(u(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u(\cdot))\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) \|f(u(\tau), \mathcal{H}u(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) [L_f(\rho)^2 \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + K_f(\rho \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\mathcal{H}u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2] d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) [L_f(\rho)^2 + K_f(\rho \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2] \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \|u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\rho^* > 0$ is chosen such that

$$4[L_f(\rho)^2 + K_f(\rho \|\ell\|_{L^1})^2 \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2] \leq 4(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \leq \lambda_1,$$

for all $\rho \leq \rho^*$. Then,

$$\|S * f(u(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u(\cdot))\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq 2\lambda_1^{-1}(1 - \omega(t, \lambda_1))(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2}.$$

We can estimate the solution operator Φ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 2\omega(t, \lambda_1)^2 \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 2\|S * f(u(\cdot), \mathcal{H}u(\cdot))\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\
&\leq 2\omega(t, \lambda_1) \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 4\lambda_1^{-1}(1 - \omega(t, \lambda_1))(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2} \\
&\leq 2\omega(t, \lambda_1) [\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 - 2\lambda_1^{-1}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2}] \\
&\quad + 4\lambda_1^{-1}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\eta = 2\lambda_1^{-1}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2}$, with $\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \eta$ we have

$$\|\Phi(u)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq 4\lambda_1^{-1}(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon)\rho^{*2} \leq \rho^{*2}.$$

Hence $\Phi(u) \in B_{\rho^*}$. Then, we show that Φ is contractive on B_{ρ^*} . For $u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho^*}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi(u_1)(t) - \Phi(u_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\
&\leq \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) \|f(u_1(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_1(\tau)) - f(u_2(\tau), \mathcal{H}u_2(\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \omega(t-\tau, \lambda_1) (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \lambda_1^{-1} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|\Phi(u_1) - \Phi(u_2)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty,$$

which implies that Φ is contractive on B_{ρ^*} , and problem (1.1)-(1.3) possesses a unique mild solution $u \in B_{\rho^*}$.

It remains to prove that this solution is Hölder continuous on $(0, T]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h) - u(t) &= [S(t+h) - S(t)]\xi + \int_0^t S(\tau) D_h f(u)(t-\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} S(\tau) f(u(t+h-\tau), \mathcal{H}u(t+h-\tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 &\leq 3\|[S(t+h) - S(t)]\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \\ &\quad + 3 \int_0^t \|D_h f(u)(t-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + 3 \int_t^{t+h} \|f(u(t+h-\tau), \mathcal{H}u(t+h-\tau))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\mu-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &= F_1(t) + F_2(t) + F_3(t), \end{aligned}$$

here we employed Lemma 2.5(b) and the fact that $\omega(t, \lambda_1) \leq 1$.

By an analogous argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we get

$$\|[S(t+h) - S(t)]\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu} \leq \gamma^{-1} h^\gamma t^{-\gamma} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}, \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

So it is clear that

$$F_1(t) \leq 3\gamma^{-2} h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(t) &\leq 6 \int_0^t (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \|u(t+h-\tau) - u(t-\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau \\ &= 6 \int_0^t (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \|u(\tau+h) - u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 d\tau, \\ F_3(t) &\leq 6 \int_t^{t+h} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^{*2} d\tau \\ &\leq 6h(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^{*2} \\ &\leq 6Th^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} (L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^{*2}, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the fact that $0 < h, t < T$. Putting the estimates of $F_1(t)$, $F_2(t)$ and $F_3(t)$ together, we get

$$\|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq C_0 h^{2\gamma} t^{-2\gamma} + \int_0^t C_1 \tau^{-2\gamma} [\tau^{2\gamma} \|u(\tau+h) - u(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2] d\tau$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= 3\gamma^{-2} \|\xi\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 + 6T(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon) \rho^{*2}, \\ C_1 &= 6(L_f^{*2} + K_f^{*2} \|\ell\|_{L^1}^2 + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$t^{2\gamma} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^\mu}^2 \leq e^{C_1(1-2\gamma)^{-1} t^{1-2\gamma}} C_0 h^{2\gamma} \text{ for } t > 0.$$

In other words, $u(\cdot)$ is Hölder continuous on $(0, T]$. \square

Example 3.1. Consider the case $d \geq 3$ and

$$f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t)) = |u(t)|^p + \int_0^t \ell(t - \tau)(\chi \cdot \nabla)u(\tau) d\tau, p > 1,$$

with $\chi \in [L^\infty(\Omega)]^d$. The nonlinear function contains the advection term which depends on the history of states. Noting that, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $q = \frac{2d}{d+2\theta}$, $\hat{p} = \frac{2d}{d-2}$, $\hat{q} = \frac{2d}{2+2\theta}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{1}{\hat{q}} = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Applying general Hölder inequality with $u \in L^{(p-1)\hat{q}}(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$, we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u|^{p-1}v \|_{L^q} &\leq \| |u|^{p-1} \|_{L^{\hat{q}}} \|v\|_{L^{\hat{p}}} \\ &= \|u\|_{L^{(p-1)\hat{q}}}^{p-1} \|v\|_{L^{\hat{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $(p-1)\hat{q} \leq \hat{p}$, which means

$$p \leq \frac{d+2\theta}{d-2}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathbb{H}^1 \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega) \subset L^{(p-1)\hat{q}}(\Omega).$$

Therefore

$$\| |u|^{p-1}v \|_{L^q} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1} \|v\|_{\mathbb{H}^1},$$

where C is a positive constant which does not depend on u and v . Moreover, we have

$$L^q(\Omega) \subset H^{-\theta} \subset \mathbb{H}^{-\theta}.$$

Hence,

$$\| |u|^{p-1}v \|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\theta}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1} \|v\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}. \quad (3.5)$$

When $u, v \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^1)$, applying the inequality (3.5), we have

$$\| |u(t)|^p - |v(t)|^p \|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\theta}} \leq C (\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1} + \|v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1}) \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}. \quad (3.6)$$

For $\mathcal{H}u(t) = \ell * u$, we have

$$\|(\chi \cdot \nabla)[\mathcal{H}u(t) - \mathcal{H}v(t)]\| \leq \|\chi\|_\infty \cdot \|\mathcal{H}u(t) - \mathcal{H}v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}.$$

Because $L^2(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{H}^{-\theta}$, we get

$$\|(\chi \cdot \nabla)[\mathcal{H}u(t) - \mathcal{H}v(t)]\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\theta}} \leq C_\theta \|\chi\|_\infty \cdot \|\mathcal{H}u(t) - \mathcal{H}v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}, \quad (3.7)$$

with C_θ is a positive constant. Combining (3.6) and (3.7), it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u(t), \mathcal{H}u(t)) - f(v(t), \mathcal{H}v(t))\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-\theta}} &\leq C (\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1} + \|v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{p-1}) \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \\ &\quad + C_\theta \|\chi\|_\infty \cdot \|\mathcal{H}u(t) - \mathcal{H}v(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the function f satisfies condition (F) with $\mu = 1$, $\theta = \delta$ and

$$L_f(\rho) = 2C\rho^{p-1}, \quad K_f(\rho) = C_\theta \|\chi\|_\infty.$$

Then, we get the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 và 3.3 when $\|\chi\|_\infty$ is sufficiently small.

4. APPLICATION

Consider the following problem of identifying parameter

$$\partial_t u - (1 + D_t^{\{m\}})\Delta u = g(x)p(t) + f_1(u) \text{ in } \Omega, t \in (0, T), \quad (4.1)$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, t \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$u(0) = \xi \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa(x)u(t, x)dx = \psi(t), t \in [0, T], \quad (4.4)$$

where $p(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is an unknown parameter, $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ is given. In this model, (4.4) is the complementary measurement with $\kappa \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $(g, \kappa) \neq 0$, $\psi \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$.

In this problem, we require further that the kernel m fulfils:

(M*) *Assumption (M) holds and $m' \in L^1(0, T)$.*

Then, problem (4.1) is rewritten as follows

$$\partial_t u - (1 + m_0)\Delta u - m_1 * \Delta u = g(x)p(t) + f_1(u), m_0 = m(0), m_1 = m'.$$

Combining with (4.4), we obtain

$$\psi' + (1 + m_0)(\nabla u, \nabla \kappa) + m_1 * (\nabla u, \nabla \kappa) = (g, \kappa)p(t) + (f_1(u), \kappa).$$

Therefore,

$$p(t) = (g, \kappa)^{-1}[\psi' + (1 + m_0)(\nabla u, \nabla \kappa) + m_1 * (\nabla u, \nabla \kappa) - (f_1(u), \kappa)].$$

Set

$$f_2(u, \mathcal{H}u) := (1 + m_0)(\nabla u, \nabla \kappa) + (\nabla(m_1 * u), \nabla \kappa) - (f_1(u), \kappa),$$

where \mathcal{H} is the convolution operator with the kernel m_1 .

Assume that $f_1 : \mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ verifies the condition

$$\|f_1(u) - f_1(v)\| \leq L_1\|u - v\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}, \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbb{H}^1.$$

Then, for all $u, v \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2(u, \mathcal{H}u) - f_2(v, \mathcal{H}v)| &\leq (1 + m_0)\|\kappa\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\|u - v\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \\ &\quad + \|m_1 * (u - v)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}\|\kappa\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + L_1\|\kappa\|\|u - v\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f(u, \mathcal{H}u) := g(g, \kappa)^{-1}f_2(u, \mathcal{H}u) + f_1(u)$ verifies the conditions of Theorem 3.2 with

$$L_f^* = |(g, \kappa)^{-1}||g|[(1 + m_0)\|\kappa\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + L_1\|\kappa\|] + L_1,$$

$$K_f^* = |(g, \kappa)^{-1}||g|\|\kappa\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}.$$

Therefore, problem (4.1)-(4.4) is solvable in the sense that there exists a unique mild solution $(u, p) \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^1) \times C([0, T])$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bazhlekova, B. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, *An analysis of the Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a generalized second-grade fluid*, Numer. Math. 131 (2015), no. 1, 1-31.
- [2] X. Bi, S. Mu, Q. Liu, Q. Liu, B. Liu, P. Zhuang, J. Gao, H. Jiang, X. Li, B. Li, *Advanced implicit meshless approaches for the Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a heated generalized second grade fluid with fractional derivative*, Int. J. Comput. Methods 15 (2018), no. 5, 1850032, 27 pp.
- [3] P.K. Bhattacharyya, *Distributions. Generalized functions with applications in Sobolev spaces*. De Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.

- [4] M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J.L.Vázquez, *Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 35 (2015), no. 12, 5725-5767.
- [5] C.M. Chen, F. Liu, K. Burrage, Y. Chen, *Numerical methods of the variable-order Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a heated generalized second grade fluid with fractional derivative*, IMA J. Appl. Math. 78 (2013), no. 5, 924-944.
- [6] C.M. Chen, F. Liu, V. Anh, *Numerical analysis of the Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a heated generalized second grade fluid with fractional derivatives*, Appl. Math. Comput. 204 (2008), no. 1, 340-351.
- [7] Ph. Clément, J. A. Nohel, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear Volterra equations with completely positive kernels*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 12 (1981), 514-535.
- [8] F. Demengel, G. Demengel, *Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations*. Translated from the 2007 French original by Reinie Ern . Universitext. Springer, London; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2012.
- [9] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), no.5, 521-573.
- [10] C. Fetecau, M. Jamil, C. Fetecau, D. Vieru, *The Rayleigh-Stokes problem for an edge in a generalized Oldroyd-B fluid*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 60 (2009), no. 5, 921-933.
- [11] M. Khan, *The Rayleigh-Stokes problem for an edge in a viscoelastic fluid with a fractional derivative model*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 10 (2009), no. 5, 3190-3195.
- [12] T.D. Ke, N.N. Thang, *On regularity and stability for a class of nonlocal evolution equations with nonlinear perturbations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 21 (2022), no. 3, 817-835.
- [13] D. Lan, *Regularity and stability analysis for semilinear generalized Rayleigh-Stokes equations*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory 11 (2022), no. 1, 259-282.
- [14] N.H.Luc, D.Lan, D.O'Regan, N.A.Tuan, Y. Zhou, *On the initial value problem for the nonlinear fractional Rayleigh-Stokes equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 23 (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 60, 28 pp.
- [15] N.H. Luc, N.H. Tuan, Y. Zhou, *Regularity of the solution for a final value problem for the Rayleigh-Stokes equation*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 42 (2019), no. 10, 3481-3495.
- [16] R.K. Miller, *On Volterra integral equations with nonnegative integrable resolvents*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 22 (1968), 319-340.
- [17] T. B. Ngoc, N. H. Luc, V. V. Au, N. H. Tuan and Y. Zhou, *Existence and regularity of inverse problem for the nonlinear fractional Rayleigh-Stokes equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 44 (2021), 2532-2558.
- [18] J. Pr uss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications*. Monographs in Mathematics 87, Birkh user, Basel, 1993.
- [19] F. Shen, W. Tan, Y. Zhao, T. Masuoka, *The Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a heated generalized second grade fluid with fractional derivative model*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 7 (2006), no. 5, 1072-1080.
- [20] N.H. Tuan, Y. Zhou, T.N. Thach, N.H. Can, *Initial inverse problem for the nonlinear fractional Rayleigh-Stokes equation with random discrete data*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 78 (2019), 104873, 18 pp.
- [21] Y. Zhou, J.N. Wang, *The nonlinear Rayleigh-Stokes problem with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 44 (2021), 2431-2438.