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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study BiHom-NS-algebras, which are a generalization of NS-algebras using two homomorphisms. Moreover, we discuss their relationships with twisted Rota-Baxter operators in a BiHom-associative context. Furthermore, we introduce a generalization of Nijenhuis operators that lead to BiHom-NS-algebras along BiHom-associative algebras.
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## Introduction

NS-algebras (corresponding to associative algebras) have been introduced by Leroux ([9]) and independently by Uchino ([21], which is an earlier, arXiv version of [20]), as algebras with three operations $\prec, \succ$ and $\vee$ satisfying certain axioms that imply that the new operation $*=\prec$ $+\succ+\vee$ is associative. NS-algebras generalize both dendriform ([15]) and tridendriform ([16]) algebras. Examples are obtained via so-called twisted Rota-Baxter operators (see [20]), which are a generalization of $\mathcal{O}$-operators involving a Hochschild 2-cocycle, and via Nijenhuis operators
(see [9]). We recall from [2] that a Nijenhuis operator $N: A \rightarrow A$ on an associative algebra $(A, \mu)$ with multiplication denoted by $\mu(x \otimes y)=x y$, for $x, y \in A$, is a linear map satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x) N(y)=N(N(x) y+x N(y)-N(x y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [9], if one defines $x \prec y=x N(y), x \succ y=N(x) y$ and $x \vee y=-N(x y)$, then $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee)$ is an NS-algebra, and in particular the new multiplication defined on $A$ by $x * y=x N(y)+N(x) y-$ $N(x y)$ is associative. Basic examples (see [2]) are obtained by taking a fixed element $a \in A$ and defining $N_{1}, N_{2}: A \rightarrow A$ by $N_{1}(x)=a x$ and $N_{2}(x)=x a$, for all $x \in A$; it turns out that $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are Nijenhuis operators and in each case the new multiplication $*$ as above boils down to $x * y=x a y$, for all $x, y \in A$. This property can be regarded also in the following (converse) way: the fact that the new multiplication on $A$ given by $x * y=x a y$ is associative (usually, this new operation $*$ is said to be a "perturbation" of the old multiplication of $A$ via the element $a$ ) can be obtained as a consequence of a property of Nijenhuis operators (or, alternatively, that it can be given a Nijenhuis operator interpretation).

Let us mention that one can define NS-algebras corresponding to other classes of algebras than associative, for instance corresponding to Lie or Leibniz algebras (see [3], [5]) and, much more generally, to any class of algebras defined by multilinear relations (see [18]).

Hom-type and BiHom-type algebras are certain algebraic structures (of growing interest in recent years) whose study began in some early papers such as [7], [8], [17] and more recently [6], and can be roughly described as being defined by some identities obtained by twisting a classical algebraic identity (such as associativity) by one or two maps. For instance, a BiHom-associative algebra $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ is an algebra $(A, \mu)$, with notation $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A, \mu(x \otimes y)=x y$, together with two (multiplicative with respect to $\mu$ ) commuting linear maps (called structure maps) $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(x)(y z)=(x y) \beta(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. There exist BiHom analogues of many types of algebras, in particular of (tri)dendriform algebras, infinitesimal bialgebras etc (see for instance [11], [12], [13], [14] and references therein). Examples of (Bi)Hom-type algebras can be obtained from classical types of algebras by a procedure called "Yau twisting".

The BiHom analogue of the "perturbations" mentioned above has been introduced in [14] as follows. Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and let $a \in A$ be such that $\alpha^{2}(a)=$ $\beta^{2}(a)=a$. Define a new operation on $A$ by $x * y=\alpha(x)(\alpha(a) y)$; then $\left(A, *, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHomassociative algebra.

The starting point of this paper was to look for a "Nijenhuis operator interpretation" of this fact. One can notice that Nijenhuis operators defined by the relation (0.1) can be considered on any type of algebra (not necessarily associative), so we were trying to find a Nijenhuis operator on ( $A, \mu, \alpha, \beta$ ) depending on the given element $a$ and that would lead to the operation $*$, but we failed. It turns out (just as it happened before with a certain context in which one was forced to consider a generalized version of Rota-Baxter operators, see [10]) that the solution to this problem was to consider a generalized version of Nijenhuis operators on BiHom-associative algebras (defined by the axioms (4.1)-(4.5) below). And indeed, the operators $N_{1}, N_{2}: A \rightarrow A$, $N_{1}(x)=\alpha(a) x$ and $N_{2}(x)=x \alpha(a)$, for $x \in A$, are such generalized Nijenhuis operators from which one can obtain the multiplication $*$ in a certain way.

We were then led to introduce the concept of BiHom-NS-algebra, the BiHom analogue of Leroux's and Uchino's NS-algebras. They generalize BiHom-(tri)dendriform algebras, and it turns out that the generalized Nijenhuis operators that we introduced lead to BiHom-NS-algebras. We define as well the BiHom analogue of twisted Rota-Baxter operators and prove that they also lead to BiHom-NS-algebras and that, moreover, just as in the classical case in [20], there is an adjunction between BiHom-NS-algebras and twisted Rota-Baxter operators.

## 1 Preliminaries

We work over a base field $\mathbb{k}$. All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over $\mathbb{k}$; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$. Unless otherwise specified, the algebras that will appear in what follows are not supposed to be associative or unital, and the multiplication $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of an algebra $(A, \mu)$ is denoted by $\mu\left(a \otimes a^{\prime}\right)=a a^{\prime}$. For the composition of two maps $f$ and $g$, we will write either $g \circ f$ or simply $g f$. For the identity map on a linear space $V$ we will use the notation $i d_{V}$.

Definition 1.1 ([g]) An NS-algebra $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee)$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-linear space $A$ equipped with linear maps $\prec, \succ, \vee: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following relations (for all $x, y, z \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x \prec y) \prec z=x \prec(y * z),  \tag{1.1}\\
& (x \succ y) \prec z=x \succ(y \prec z),  \tag{1.2}\\
& (x * y) \succ z=x \succ(y \succ z),  \tag{1.3}\\
& (x \vee y) \prec z+(x * y) \vee z=x \succ(y \vee z)+x \vee(y * z), \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x * y=x \prec y+x \succ y+x \vee y . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A morphism $f:(A, \prec, \succ, \vee) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, \prec^{\prime}, \succ^{\prime}, \vee^{\prime}\right)$ of NS-algebras is a linear map $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ satisfying $f(x \prec y)=f(x) \prec^{\prime} f(y), f(x \succ y)=f(x) \succ^{\prime} f(y)$ and $f(x \vee y)=f(x) \vee^{\prime} f(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$. An immediate consequence is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x * y)=f(x) *^{\prime} f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2 ([6]) A BiHom-associative algebra is a 4-tuple $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, where $A$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-linear space, $\alpha: A \rightarrow A, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ and $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps, with notation $\mu(x \otimes y)=x y$, for all $x, y \in A$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \circ \beta=\beta \circ \alpha,  \tag{1.7}\\
\alpha(x y)=\alpha(x) \alpha(y) \text { and } \beta(x y)=\beta(x) \beta(y), \quad \text { (multiplicativity) }  \tag{1.8}\\
\alpha(x)(y z)=(x y) \beta(z) . \quad(\text { BiHom-associativity }) \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The maps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (in this order) are called the structure maps of $A$.
A morphism $f:\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right) \rightarrow\left(B, \mu_{B}, \alpha_{B}, \beta_{B}\right)$ of BiHom-associative algebras is a linear map $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\alpha_{B} \circ f=f \circ \alpha_{A}, \beta_{B} \circ f=f \circ \beta_{A}$ and $f \circ \mu_{A}=\mu_{B} \circ(f \otimes f)$.

Definition 1.3 ([11]) Let $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and ( $M, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}$ ) a triple where $M$ is $a \mathbb{k}$-linear space and $\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}: M \rightarrow M$ are commuting linear maps.
(i) $\left(M, l, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a left $A$-module if we have a linear map $l: A \otimes M \rightarrow M, x \otimes m \mapsto x \cdot m$, such that $\alpha_{M}(x \cdot m)=\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot \alpha_{M}(m), \beta_{M}(x \cdot m)=\beta_{A}(x) \cdot \beta_{M}(m)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(x^{\prime} \cdot m\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{M}(m), \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in A, m \in M . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\left(M, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a right $A$-module if we have a linear map $r: M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes x \mapsto m \cdot x$, for which $\alpha_{M}(m \cdot x)=\alpha_{M}(m) \cdot \alpha_{A}(x), \beta_{M}(m \cdot x)=\beta_{M}(m) \cdot \beta_{A}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{M}(m) \cdot\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=(m \cdot x) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in A, m \in M . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $\left(M, l, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a left $A$-module and $\left(M, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a right $A$-module, with notation as above, then $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is called an $A$-bimodule if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(m \cdot x^{\prime}\right)=(x \cdot m) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in A, m \in M . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.4 ([11]) A BiHom-tridendriform algebra is a 6 -tuple $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$, where $A$ is $a \mathbb{k}$-linear space and $\prec, \succ, \cdot: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \circ \beta=\beta \circ \alpha,  \tag{1.13}\\
& \alpha(x \prec y)=\alpha(x) \prec \alpha(y), \alpha(x \succ y)=\alpha(x) \succ \alpha(y), \alpha(x \cdot y)=\alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y),  \tag{1.14}\\
& \beta(x \prec y)=\beta(x) \prec \beta(y), \beta(x \succ y)=\beta(x) \succ \beta(y), \beta(x \cdot y)=\beta(x) \cdot \beta(y),  \tag{1.15}\\
& (x \prec y) \prec \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \prec(y \prec z+y \succ z+y \cdot z),  \tag{1.16}\\
& (x \succ y) \prec \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \succ(y \prec z),  \tag{1.17}\\
& \alpha(x) \succ(y \succ z)=(x \prec y+x \succ y+x \cdot y) \succ \beta(z),  \tag{1.18}\\
& \alpha(x) \cdot(y \succ z)=(x \prec y) \cdot \beta(z),  \tag{1.19}\\
& \alpha(x) \succ(y \cdot z)=(x \succ y) \cdot \beta(z),  \tag{1.20}\\
& \alpha(x) \cdot(y \prec z)=(x \cdot y) \prec \beta(z),  \tag{1.21}\\
& \alpha(x) \cdot(y \cdot z)=(x \cdot y) \cdot \beta(z), \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. The maps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (in this order) are called the structure maps of $A$.
A BiHom-tridendriform algebra $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ for which $x \cdot y=0$ for all $x, y \in A$ is called a BiHom-dendriform algebra.

## 2 BiHom-NS-algebras

We begin by introducing the BiHom analogue of classical NS-algebras.
Definition 2.1 $A$ BiHom-NS-algebra is a 6 -tuple $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ consisting of $a \mathbb{k}$-linear space $A$ equipped with linear maps $\prec, \succ, \vee: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following conditions (for all $x, y, z \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \circ \beta=\beta \circ \alpha,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha(x \prec y)=\alpha(x) \prec \alpha(y), \alpha(x \succ y)=\alpha(x) \succ \alpha(y), \alpha(x \vee y)=\alpha(x) \vee \alpha(y),  \tag{2.2}\\
& \beta(x \prec y)=\beta(x) \prec \beta(y), \beta(x \succ y)=\beta(x) \succ \beta(y), \beta(x \vee y)=\beta(x) \vee \beta(y),  \tag{2.3}\\
& (x \prec y) \prec \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \prec(y * z),  \tag{2.4}\\
& (x \succ y) \prec \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \succ(y \prec z),  \tag{2.5}\\
& (x * y) \succ \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \succ(y \succ z),  \tag{2.6}\\
& (x \vee y) \prec \beta(z)+(x * y) \vee \beta(z)=\alpha(x) \succ(y \vee z)+\alpha(x) \vee(y * z), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x * y=x \prec y+x \succ y+x \vee y . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (in this order) are called the structure maps of $A$.
A morphism $f:(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, \prec^{\prime}, \succ^{\prime}, \vee^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ of BiHom-NS-algebras is a linear map $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ satisfying $\alpha^{\prime} \circ f=f \circ \alpha, \beta^{\prime} \circ f=f \circ \beta, f(x \prec y)=f(x) \prec^{\prime} f(y), f(x \succ y)=$ $f(x) \succ^{\prime} f(y)$ and $f(x \vee y)=f(x) \vee^{\prime} f(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$.

Similarly to the characterization of BiHom-dendriform algebras in terms of bimodules from [11], Proposition 3.16, we characterize BiHom-NS-algebras as follows.

Proposition 2.2 Let $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 6 -tuple where $A$ is $a \mathbb{k}$-linear space and $\prec, \succ, \vee$ : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps such that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are multiplicative with respect to $\prec, \succ$ and $\vee$. Define a new multiplication on $A$ by $x * y=x \prec y+x \succ y+x \vee y$, for all $x, y \in A$. Then $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra if and only if $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra, denoted by $A_{\text {bhas }}$, and $(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ is an $A_{\text {bhas }}$-bimodule (notation for bimodules as in Definition 1.3).

Proof. Assume first that $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra. It is easy to see that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are multiplicative with respect to $*$, so we only check (1.9). We compute, for all $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha(x) *(y * z) & & \\
\stackrel{(2.8)}{=} & & \alpha(x) \succ(y \prec z+y \succ z+y \vee z)+\alpha(x) \prec(y * z)+\alpha(x) \vee(y * z) \\
= & & \alpha(x) \succ(y \prec z)+\alpha(x) \succ(y \succ z)+\alpha(x) \succ(y \vee z) \\
& +\alpha(x) \prec(y * z)+\alpha(x) \vee(y * z) \\
(2.4),(2.5),(2.6) \\
= & & (x * y) \succ \beta(z)+(x \succ y) \prec \beta(z)+[\alpha(x) \succ(y \vee z)+\alpha(x) \vee(y * z)] \\
& +(x \prec y) \prec \beta(z) \\
& \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \quad & (x * y) \succ \beta(z)+(x \succ y) \prec \beta(z)+[(x \vee y) \prec \beta(z)+(x * y) \vee \beta(z)] \\
& +(x \prec y) \prec \beta(z) \\
= & & (x * y) \succ \beta(z)+(x \prec y+x \succ y+x \vee y) \prec \beta(z) \\
& +(x * y) \vee \beta(z) \\
& (x * y) \prec \beta(z)+(x * y) \succ \beta(z)+(x * y) \vee \beta(z)=(x * y) * \beta(z),
\end{array}
$$

proving that $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$ is BiHom-associative. The fact that $(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ is an $A_{b h a s}$-bimodule follows immediately from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

Conversely, (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) follow from the fact that $(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ is an $A_{b h a s}$-bimodule, while (2.7) is obtained by subtracting (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) from the BiHom-associativity condition $\alpha(x) *(y * z)=(x * y) * \beta(z)$ written explicitely for $*=\prec+\succ+\vee$.

BiHom-NS-algebras can be obtained by Yau twisting from classical NS-algebras as follows.
Proposition 2.3 Let $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee)$ be an NS-algebra and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ two commuting NSalgebra morphisms. Define $\prec_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)}: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ by

$$
x \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} y=\alpha(x) \prec \beta(y), \quad x \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)} y=\alpha(x) \succ \beta(y), \quad x \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} y=\alpha(x) \vee \beta(y),
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Then $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}:=\left(A, \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra, called the Yau twist of $A$. Moreover, assume that $\left(A^{\prime}, \prec^{\prime}, \succ^{\prime}, \vee^{\prime}\right)$ is another $N S$-algebra and $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $A^{\prime}$ are two commuting NS-algebra morphisms and $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a morphism of NS-algebras satisfying $f \circ \alpha=\alpha^{\prime} \circ f$ and $f \circ \beta=\beta^{\prime} \circ f$. Then $f: A_{(\alpha, \beta)} \rightarrow A_{\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime}$ is a morphism of BiHom-NS-algebras.

Proof. One can easily see that, if we define $*_{(\alpha, \beta)}:=\prec_{(\alpha, \beta)}+\succ_{(\alpha, \beta)}+\bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x *_{(\alpha, \beta)} y=\alpha(x) * \beta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions $(2.2),(2.3)$ are easy to prove and left to the reader. We verify (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6):

$$
\left(x \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} \beta(z)=\alpha(\alpha(x) \prec \beta(y)) \prec \beta^{2}(z)=\left(\alpha^{2}(x) \prec \alpha \beta(y)\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \prec\left(\alpha \beta(y) * \beta^{2}(z)\right)=\alpha^{2}(x) \prec\left(\beta \alpha(y) * \beta^{2}(z)\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \prec \beta(\alpha(y) * \beta(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \prec \beta\left(y *_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right)=\alpha(x) \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(y *_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right), \\
\left(x \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} \beta(z) & =\alpha\left(x \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z)=\alpha(\alpha(x) \succ \beta(y)) \prec \beta^{2}(z) \\
& =\left(\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \alpha \beta(y)\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z)=\left(\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta \alpha(y)\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta(\alpha(y) \prec \beta(z)) \\
& =\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta\left(y \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right)=\alpha(x) \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(y \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right), \\
\left(x *_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)} \beta(z) & =\alpha\left(x *_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \succ \beta^{2}(z) \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \alpha(\alpha(x) * \beta(y)) \succ \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.6)}{=}\left(\alpha^{2}(x) * \alpha \beta(y)\right) \succ \beta^{2}(z)=\left(\alpha^{2}(x) * \beta \alpha(y)\right) \succ \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \succ\left(\beta \alpha(y) \succ \beta^{2}(z)\right)=\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta(\alpha(y) \succ \beta(z)) \\
& =\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta(y \succ(\alpha, \beta) z)=\alpha(x) \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(y \succ_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we check the condition (2.7):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(x \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \prec_{(\alpha, \beta)} \beta(z)+\left(x *_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} \beta(z) \\
&=\alpha\left(x \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z)+\alpha\left(x *_{(\alpha, \beta)} y\right) \vee \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \alpha(\alpha(x) \vee \beta(y)) \prec \beta^{2}(z)+\alpha(\alpha(x) * \beta(y)) \vee \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.6)}{=}\left(\alpha^{2}(x) \vee \alpha \beta(y)\right) \prec \beta^{2}(z)+\left(\alpha^{2}(x) * \alpha \beta(y)\right) \vee \beta^{2}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \succ\left(\alpha \beta(y) \vee \beta^{2}(z)\right)+\alpha^{2}(x) \vee\left(\alpha \beta(y) * \beta^{2}(z)\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \succ\left(\beta \alpha(y) \vee \beta^{2}(z)\right)+\alpha^{2}(x) \vee \beta(\alpha(y) * \beta(z)) \\
&=\alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta(\alpha(y) \vee \beta(z))+\alpha^{2}(x) \vee \beta(\alpha(y) * \beta(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(2.9)}{=} \alpha^{2}(x) \succ \beta\left(y \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right)+\alpha^{2}(x) \vee \beta\left(y *_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right) \\
&=\alpha(x) \succ(\alpha, \beta)\left(y \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)} z\right)+\alpha(x) \vee_{(\alpha, \beta)}(y *(\alpha, \beta) z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we proved that $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra.
The last statement is easy to prove and left to the reader.
Similarly to the classical case (see [21]), BiHom-NS-algebras are actually a generalization of BiHom-tridendriform algebras, as shown by the following result.

Proposition 2.4 Let $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-tridendriform algebra. Then $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra.

Proof. Note that the first 6 axioms defining a BiHom-tridendriform algebra ((1.13)-(1.18)) are identical to the first 6 axioms defining a BiHom-NS-algebra ((2.1)-(2.6)), so we only have to check the relation (2.7). We compute:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(x \cdot y) \prec \beta(z)+(x * y) \cdot \beta(z) & \\
& = & & (x \cdot y) \prec \beta(z)+(x \succ y+x \prec y+x \cdot y) \cdot \beta(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.21)}{=} & & \alpha(x) \cdot(y \prec z)+(x \succ y) \cdot \beta(z)+(x \prec y) \cdot \beta(z)+(x \cdot y) \cdot \beta(z) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{rl}
(1.20),(1.19),(1.22) & \\
& = \\
& \alpha(x) \cdot(y \prec z)+\alpha(x) \succ(y \cdot z)+\alpha(x) \cdot(y \succ z)+\alpha(x) \cdot(y \cdot z) \\
& \\
= &
\end{array}\right) \alpha(x) \cdot(y \prec z+y \succ z+y \cdot z)+\alpha(x) \succ(y \cdot z) \\
& \alpha(y * z)+\alpha(x) \succ(y \cdot z),
\end{array}
$$

finishing the proof.
Our aim now is to give a more conceptual proof of Proposition 2.4, inspired by [18]. Along the way we will introduce bimodule algebras over BiHom-associative algebras and use them to obtain a characterization of BiHom-tridendriform algebras.

We begin by recalling the following observation from [11]. Let $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ be a BiHomassociative algebra, $M$ be a $\mathbb{k}$-linear space, $\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}: M \rightarrow M$ be two commuting linear maps and $l: A \otimes M \rightarrow M, x \otimes m \mapsto x \cdot m$ and $r: M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes x \mapsto m \cdot x$ be two linear maps. On the direct sum $A \oplus M$ consider the algebra structure defined by

$$
(x, m)\left(x^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}, x \cdot m^{\prime}+m \cdot x^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in A, m, m^{\prime} \in M
$$

(the split null extension), denoted by $A \oplus_{0} M$. Then $A \oplus_{0} M$ is a BiHom-associative algebra with structure maps $\alpha, \beta: A \oplus_{0} M \rightarrow A \oplus_{0} M, \alpha((x, m))=\left(\alpha_{A}(x), \alpha_{M}(m)\right)$ and $\beta((x, m))=$ $\left(\beta_{A}(x), \beta_{M}(m)\right)$, if and only if $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is an $A$-bimodule.

Assume again that $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra, $M$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-linear space, $\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}: M \rightarrow M$ are two commuting linear maps and $l: A \otimes M \rightarrow M, x \otimes m \mapsto x \cdot m$ and $r: M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes x \mapsto m \cdot x$ are two linear maps, but assume that we also have a linear map $\bullet: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$.

Definition 2.5 We say that $\left(M, \bullet, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is an A-bimodule algebra if $\left(A \oplus M, *_{\bullet}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra, where

$$
(x, m) *_{\bullet}\left(x^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}, x \cdot m^{\prime}+m \cdot x^{\prime}+m \bullet m^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\alpha((x, m))=\left(\alpha_{A}(x), \alpha_{M}(m)\right), \beta((x, m))=\left(\beta_{A}(x), \beta_{M}(m)\right)$, for all $x, x^{\prime} \in A$ and $m, m^{\prime} \in M$.
We show now that this concept is indeed the BiHom analogue of the corresponding concept introduced for associative algebras in [1].

Proposition 2.6 With the above notations, $\left(M, \bullet, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is an $A$-bimodule algebra if and only if $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is an A-bimodule, $\left(M, \bullet, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra and the following relations hold, for all $x \in A$ and $m, m^{\prime} \in M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(m \bullet m^{\prime}\right)=(x \cdot m) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x\right)=\left(m \bullet m^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}(x)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(x \cdot m^{\prime}\right)=(m \cdot x) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, A-bimodule algebra implies A-bimodule.

Proof. We only sketch the proof and leave the details to the reader. The BiHom-associativity condition for $\left(A \oplus M, *_{\bullet}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ written for elements $(x, m),\left(x^{\prime}, m^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}, m^{\prime \prime}\right) \in A \oplus M$ turns out to be equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(x^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \bullet m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\alpha_{M}(m) \cdot\left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(x^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(m^{\prime} \bullet m^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\left(x x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(x \cdot m^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(m \cdot x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(m \bullet m^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(x \cdot m^{\prime}\right) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(m \cdot x^{\prime}\right) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(m \bullet m^{\prime}\right) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking $x=x^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime}=0$ we obtain $\alpha_{M}(m) \bullet\left(m^{\prime} \bullet m^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(m \bullet m^{\prime}\right) \bullet \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By taking $x^{\prime \prime}=0, m=m^{\prime}=0$, then $x=0, m^{\prime}=m^{\prime \prime}=0$ and then $x^{\prime}=0, m=m^{\prime \prime}=0$, we respectively obtain $\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(x^{\prime} \cdot m^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{M}\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right), \alpha_{M}(m) \cdot\left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(m \cdot x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\alpha_{A}(x) \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x \cdot m^{\prime}\right) \cdot \beta_{A}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, so $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is an $A$-bimodule. Similarly one obtains the relations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12).

The converse is immediate.
Similarly to the characterization of BiHom-dendriform algebras in [11], Proposition 3.16 and the characterization of BiHom-NS-algebras in Proposition 2.2, we can characterize now BiHomtridendriform algebras.
Proposition 2.7 Let $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 6 -tuple where $A$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-linear space and $\prec, \succ, \cdot$ : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps such that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are multiplicative with respect to $\prec, \succ$ and $\cdot$. Define a new multiplication on $A$ by $x * y=x \prec y+x \succ y+x \cdot y$, for all $x, y \in A$. Then $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-tridendriform algebra if and only if $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra and $(A, \cdot, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ is an $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$-bimodule algebra (notation for bimodule algebras as in Definition 2.5).

Proof. Assume that $(A, \prec, \succ, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ is BiHom-tridendriform. The tuple $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$ defines a BiHom-associative algebra by [11], Proposition 3.14, so we only have to prove that $(A, \cdot, \succ$ $, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ is an $(A, *, \alpha, \beta)$-bimodule algebra. The fact that $(A, \cdot, \alpha, \beta)$ is BiHom-associative is equivalent to (1.22), while each of conditions (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) is equivalent to one of the axioms (1.16)-(1.21). These observations indicate also that the converse holds as well.

Thus, as a consequence of Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.7 and the fact from Proposition 2.6 that bimodule algebra implies bimodule, we reobtain Proposition 2.4.

## 3 Twisted Rota-Baxter operators

We introduce in this section the concept of twisted Rota-Baxter operator in the context of BiHom-associative algebras and show that there is an adjunction with BiHom-NS-algebras.

Let $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and ( $M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}$ ) an $A$-bimodule, with notation as in Definition 1.3. Hochschild cohomology of $A$ with coefficients in $M$ has been introduced in [4]. In particular, a Hochschild 2-cocycle on $A$ with values in $M$ is a linear map $H: A \otimes A \rightarrow M$ satisfying (for all $x, y, z \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& H \circ\left(\alpha_{A} \otimes \alpha_{A}\right)=\alpha_{M} \circ H, \quad H \circ\left(\beta_{A} \otimes \beta_{A}\right)=\beta_{M} \circ H,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha_{A}(x) \cdot H(y, z)-H\left(x y, \beta_{A}(z)\right)+H\left(\alpha_{A}(x), y z\right)-H(x, y) \cdot \beta_{A}(z)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Inspired by [20], we introduce the following concept:

Definition 3.1 Let $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra, let $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ be an A-bimodule and let $H: A \otimes A \rightarrow M$ be a Hochschild 2-cocycle. A H-twisted Rota-Baxter operator is a linear map $\pi: M \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $m, n \in M$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi \circ \alpha_{M}=\alpha_{A} \circ \pi, \quad \pi \circ \beta_{M}=\beta_{A} \circ \pi,  \tag{3.3}\\
& \pi(m) \pi(n)=\pi(\pi(m) \cdot n+m \cdot \pi(n)+H(\pi(m), \pi(n))) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3.2 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra. One can easily see that $(-\mu)$ : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle on $A$ with values in the bimodule ( $A, l, r, \alpha, \beta$ ), where $l(x \otimes y)=r(x \otimes y)=x y$, for all $x, y \in A$. By analogy with the classical case (see [19], [20]), a $(-\mu)$-twisted Rota-Baxter operator is called a Reynolds operator. Thus, a Reynolds operator on $A$ is a linear map $R: A \rightarrow A$ commuting with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and satisfying the relation

$$
R(x) R(y)=R(R(x) y+x R(y)-R(x) R(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A
$$

We prove now that twisted Rota-Baxter operators provide examples of BiHom-NS-algebras, extending the situation in the associative case in [20], Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 3.3 Let $\left(A, \mu_{A}, \alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}\right)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra, let $\left(M, l, r, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ be an $A$-bimodule, let $H: A \otimes A \rightarrow M$ be a Hochschild 2-cocycle and let $\pi: M \rightarrow A$ be a $H$-twisted Rota-Baxter operator. Define the following operations on $A$ :

$$
m \prec n=m \cdot \pi(n), \quad m \succ n=\pi(m) \cdot n, \quad m \vee n=H(\pi(m), \pi(n)),
$$

for all $m, n \in M$. Then $\left(M, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra.
Proof. We need to check the relations (2.1)-(2.7). By Definition 1.3, $\alpha_{M}$ and $\beta_{M}$ commute, so (2.1) holds. The relations (2.2) and (2.3) are easy to prove, by using the bimodule axioms and (3.1), (3.3). Let now $x, y, z \in M$; to prove (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x \prec y) \prec \beta_{M}(z) & =(x \cdot \pi(y)) \cdot \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=}(x \cdot \pi(y)) \cdot \beta_{A}(\pi(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(1.11)}{=} \alpha_{M}(x) \cdot(\pi(y) \pi(z)) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \alpha_{M}(x) \cdot \pi(\pi(y) \cdot z+y \cdot \pi(z)+H(\pi(y), \pi(z))) \\
& =\alpha_{M}(x) \cdot \pi(y \succ z+y \prec z+y \vee z)=\alpha_{M}(x) \prec(y * z), \\
(x \succ y) \prec \beta_{M}(z) & =(\pi(x) \cdot y) \cdot \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=}(\pi(x) \cdot y) \cdot \beta_{A}(\pi(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(1.12)}{=} \alpha_{A}(\pi(x)) \cdot(y \cdot \pi(z)) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right) \cdot(y \cdot \pi(z))=\alpha_{M}(x) \succ(y \prec z), \\
(x * y) \succ \beta_{M}(z) \quad & =(\pi(x) \cdot y+x \cdot \pi(y)+H(\pi(x), \pi(y))) \succ \beta_{M}(z) \\
& =\pi(\pi(x) \cdot y+x \cdot \pi(y)+H(\pi(x), \pi(y))) \cdot \beta_{M}(z) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=}(\pi(x) \pi(y)) \cdot \beta_{M}(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.10)}{=} \alpha_{A}(\pi(x)) \cdot(\pi(y) \cdot z) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right) \cdot(\pi(y) \cdot z)=\alpha_{M}(x) \succ(y \succ z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we check (2.7):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{M}(x) \succ(y \vee z)-(x * y) \vee \beta_{M}(z)+\alpha_{M}(x) \vee(y * z)-(x \vee y) \prec \beta_{M}(z) \\
=\pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right) \cdot H(\pi(y), \pi(z))-(x \succ y+x \prec y+x \vee y) \vee \beta_{M}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& +\alpha_{M}(x) \vee(y \succ z+y \prec z+y \vee z)-H(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \prec \beta_{M}(z) \\
= & \pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right) \cdot H(\pi(y), \pi(z))-H\left(\pi(\pi(x) \cdot y+x \cdot \pi(y)+H(\pi(x), \pi(y))), \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right)\right) \\
& +H\left(\pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right), \pi(\pi(y) \cdot z+y \cdot \pi(z)+H(\pi(y), \pi(z)))\right)-H(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \cdot \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right) \\
\stackrel{(3.4)}{=} & \pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right) \cdot H(\pi(y), \pi(z))-H\left(\pi(x) \pi(y), \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right)\right. \\
& +H\left(\pi\left(\alpha_{M}(x)\right), \pi(y) \pi(z)\right)-H(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \cdot \pi\left(\beta_{M}(z)\right) \\
\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} & \alpha_{A}(\pi(x)) \cdot H(\pi(y), \pi(z))-H\left(\pi(x) \pi(y), \beta_{A}(\pi(z))\right. \\
& +H\left(\alpha_{A}(\pi(x)), \pi(y) \pi(z)\right)-H(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \cdot \beta_{A}(\pi(z)) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} 0,
\end{array}
$$

finishing the proof.
Lemma 3.4 Let $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-NS-algebra and consider the BiHom-associative algebra $A_{b h a s}$ and the $A_{b h a s}$-bimodule $(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ as in Proposition 2.2. Define the linear map $H: A \otimes A \rightarrow A, H(x, y)=x \vee y$, for all $x, y \in A$. Then $H$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle on $A_{b h a s}$ with values in $(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. The relation (3.1) for $H$ follows immediately from the the multiplicativity of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with respect to the operation $\vee$. We need to check the cocycle condition (3.2). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(x) \succ & H(y, z)-H(x * y, \beta(z))+H(\alpha(x), y * z)-H(x, y) \prec \beta(z) \\
& =\alpha(x) \succ(y \vee z)-(x * y) \vee \beta(z)+\alpha(x) \vee(y * z)-(x \vee y) \prec \beta(z) \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof.
Let BHNS denote the category of BiHom-NS-algebras, where the objects are BiHom-NSalgebras and the morphisms are as described in Definition 2.1. Let TRB denote the category of twisted Rota-Baxter operators, where the objects are the twisted Rota-Baxter operators described in Definition 3.1 and morphisms are defined by the commutative diagram

and compatibility with linear maps.
Theorem 3.5 There is an adjoint pair of functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathbf{B H N S} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{T R B}: \mathbf{G} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the adjoint relation (for $(\pi, H)$ an object in TRB)

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T R B}}(F(A),(\pi, H)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{B H N S}}(A, G(\pi, H))
$$

Proof. The functor $G$ is given by Proposition 3.3 and the functor $F$ is defined by the identity map $i d_{A}:(A, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow A_{b h a s}$ as a twisted Rota-Baxter operator with a 2 -cocycle defined as $H(x, y)=x \vee y$ for all $x, y \in A$ (Lemma 3.4).

## 4 Generalized Nijenhuis operators

On a BiHom-associative algebra, one can consider usual Rota-Baxter operators (as in [11]), but also a more general version, depending on some extra maps, called $\{\sigma, \tau\}$-Rota-Baxter operators in [10]. The aim of this section is to show that a similar situation occurs for Nijenhuis operators.

We begin by introducing a sort of Nijenhuis analogue of $\{\sigma, \tau\}$-Rota-Baxter operators, and prove that they satisfy the expected property, namely they lead to BiHom-NS-algebras.

Theorem 4.1 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra, let $\sigma, \gamma, \tau, \delta: A \rightarrow A$ be linear maps that are multiplicative with respect to $\mu$ and such that any two of the maps $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \gamma, \tau, \delta$ commute and let $N: A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map satisfying the following conditions (for all $x, y \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \sigma \gamma N=N \alpha \sigma \gamma,  \tag{4.1}\\
& \beta \tau \delta N=N \beta \tau \delta,  \tag{4.2}\\
& \sigma \gamma N(x) \tau \delta N(y)=N(\sigma \gamma(x) \delta N(y)+\gamma N(x) \tau \delta(y)-N(\gamma(x) \delta(y))),  \tag{4.3}\\
& \alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta N(y)=N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \delta N(y)+\alpha \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta(y)-N\left(\alpha \gamma^{2}(x) \delta(y)\right)\right),  \tag{4.4}\\
& \sigma \gamma N(x) \beta \tau \delta^{2} N(y)=N\left(\sigma \gamma(x) \beta \delta^{2} N(y)+\gamma N(x) \beta \tau \delta^{2}(y)-N\left(\gamma(x) \beta \delta^{2}(y)\right)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(we call such $N$ a generalized Nijenhuis operator). Define the following operations on A:

$$
x \prec y=\sigma \gamma(x) \delta N(y), \quad x \succ y=\gamma N(x) \tau \delta(y), \quad x \vee y=-N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)),
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Then $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha \sigma \gamma, \beta \tau \delta)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra. In particular, if we define a new multiplication on $A$ by $x * y=\sigma \gamma(x) \delta N(y)+\gamma N(x) \tau \delta(y)-N(\gamma(x) \delta(y))$, then ( $A, *, \alpha \sigma \gamma, \beta \tau \delta$ ) is a BiHom-associative algebra.

Proof. We need to check the conditions (2.1)-(2.7). The condition (2.1) is obviously satisfied, while (2.2) and (2.3) follow immediately by using (4.1) and (4.2). To check (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x \prec y) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z) & =(\sigma \gamma(x) \delta N(y)) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z)=\left(\sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x) \sigma \gamma \delta N(y)\right) \delta N \beta \tau \delta(z) \\
& \stackrel{(4.2)}{=}\left(\sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x) \sigma \gamma \delta N(y)\right) \beta \tau \delta^{2} N(z) \\
& \stackrel{(1.9)}{=} \alpha \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\sigma \gamma \delta N(y) \tau \delta^{2} N(z)\right)=\alpha \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x) \delta(\sigma \gamma N(y) \tau \delta N(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \alpha \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x)(\delta N(\sigma \gamma(y) \delta N(z)+\gamma N(y) \tau \delta(z)-N(\gamma(y) \delta(z)))) \\
& =\alpha \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}(x) \delta N(y * z)=\sigma \gamma(\alpha \sigma \gamma(x)) \delta N(y * z)=\alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \prec(y * z), \\
(x \succ y) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z) & =(\gamma N(x) \tau \delta(y)) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z)=\left(\sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \sigma \gamma \tau \delta(y)\right) \delta N \beta \tau \delta(z) \\
& \stackrel{(4.2)}{=}\left(\sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \sigma \gamma \tau \delta(y)\right) \beta \tau \delta^{2} N(z) \stackrel{(1.9)}{=} \alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x)\left(\sigma \gamma \tau \delta(y) \tau \delta^{2} N(z)\right) \\
& =\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta(\sigma \gamma(y) \delta N(z))=\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta(y \prec z) \\
& \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \gamma N(\alpha \sigma \gamma(x)) \tau \delta(y \prec z)=\alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \succ(y \prec z), \\
\alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \succ(y \succ z) & \stackrel{=}{=} \alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \succ(\gamma N(y) \tau \delta(z))=\gamma N \alpha \sigma \gamma(x)\left(\tau \delta \gamma N(y) \tau^{2} \delta^{2}(z)\right) \\
& \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x)\left(\tau \delta \gamma N(y) \tau^{2} \delta^{2}(z)\right) \stackrel{(1.9)}{=}\left(\sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta \gamma N(y)\right) \beta \tau^{2} \delta^{2}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad \gamma(\sigma \gamma N(x) \tau \delta N(y)) \beta \tau^{2} \delta^{2}(z) \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \gamma N(x * y) \beta \tau^{2} \delta^{2}(z) \\
& =\gamma N(x * y) \tau \delta(\beta \tau \delta(z))=(x * y) \succ \beta \tau \delta(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we check (2.7):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \vee y) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z)+(x * y) \vee \beta \tau \delta(z) \\
& =\quad-N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \prec \beta \tau \delta(z)+(\sigma \gamma(x) \delta N(y)) \vee \beta \tau \delta(z) \\
& +(\gamma N(x) \tau \delta(y)) \vee \beta \tau \delta(z)-N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \vee \beta \tau \delta(z) \\
& =\quad-\sigma \gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \delta N \beta \tau \delta(z)-N\left(\left(\sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \gamma \delta N(y)\right) \delta \beta \tau \delta(z)\right) \\
& -N\left(\left(\gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta \gamma(y)\right) \delta \beta \tau \delta(z)\right)+N(\gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \delta \beta \tau \delta(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(4.2),(1.9)}{=}-\sigma \gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \beta \tau \delta^{2} N(z)-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\gamma \delta N(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right) \\
& -N\left(\alpha \gamma^{2} N(x)\left(\tau \delta \gamma(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right)+N(\gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \delta \beta \tau \delta(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(4.5)}{=}-N\left(\left(\sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \sigma \gamma \delta(y)\right) \beta \delta^{2} N(z)\right)-N\left(\gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \beta \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right) \\
& +N^{2}\left(\left(\gamma^{2}(x) \gamma \delta(y)\right) \beta \delta^{2}(z)\right)-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\gamma \delta N(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right) \\
& -N\left(\alpha \gamma^{2} N(x)\left(\tau \delta \gamma(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right)+N(\gamma N(\gamma(x) \delta(y)) \delta \beta \tau \delta(z)) \\
& \stackrel{(1.9)}{=} \quad-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\sigma \gamma \delta(y) \delta^{2} N(z)\right)\right)+N^{2}\left(\alpha \gamma^{2}(x) \delta(\gamma(y) \delta(z))\right) \\
& -N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\gamma \delta N(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right)-N\left(\alpha \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta(\gamma(y) \delta(z))\right) \\
& \stackrel{(4.4)}{=}-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\sigma \gamma \delta(y) \delta^{2} N(z)\right)\right)-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x)\left(\gamma \delta N(y) \tau \delta^{2}(z)\right)\right) \\
& +N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \delta N(\gamma(y) \delta(z))\right)-\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta N(\gamma(y) \delta(z)) \\
& =\quad N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \delta(N(\gamma(y) \delta(z))-\sigma \gamma(y) \delta N(z)-\gamma N(y) \tau \delta(z))\right) \\
& -\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta N(\gamma(y) \delta(z)) \\
& =\quad-N\left(\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2}(x) \delta(y * z)\right)+\alpha \sigma \gamma^{2} N(x) \tau \delta(y \vee z) \\
& \stackrel{(4.1)}{=}-N(\gamma(\alpha \sigma \gamma(x)) \delta(y * z))+\gamma N(\alpha \sigma \gamma(x)) \tau \delta(y \vee z) \\
& =\quad \alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \vee(y * z)+\alpha \sigma \gamma(x) \succ(y \vee z) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof.
We consider now some particular cases of Theorem 4.1.
Corollary 4.2 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and let $N: A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map commuting with $\alpha^{2}$ and $\beta^{2}$ and satisfying the following conditions (for all $x, y \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha N(x) \beta N(y)=N(\alpha(x) N(y)+\alpha N(x) \beta(y)-N(\alpha(x) y))  \tag{4.6}\\
& \alpha N(x) \beta^{2} N(y)=N\left(\alpha(x) \beta N(y)+\alpha N(x) \beta^{2}(y)-N(\alpha(x) \beta(y))\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Define new operations on $A$ by $x \prec y=\alpha(x) N(y), x \succ y=\alpha N(x) \beta(y), x \vee y=-N(\alpha(x) y)$, for all $x, y \in A$. Then $\left(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra. In particular, if we define a new multiplication on $A$ by $x * y=\alpha(x) N(y)+\alpha N(x) \beta(y)-N(\alpha(x) y)$, then $\left(A, *, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra.

Proof. Take in Theorem $4.1 \sigma=i d, \gamma=\alpha, \tau=\beta, \delta=i d$. Then (4.3) becomes (4.6), (4.4) is a consequence of (4.6) and (4.5) becomes (4.7).

Corollary 4.3 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and let $N: A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map commuting with $\alpha^{2}$ and $\beta^{2}$ and satisfying the following conditions (for all $x, y \in A$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha N(x) \beta N(y)=N(\alpha(x) \beta N(y)+N(x) \beta(y)-N(x \beta(y)))  \tag{4.8}\\
& \alpha^{2} N(x) \beta N(y)=N\left(\alpha^{2}(x) \beta N(y)+\alpha N(x) \beta(y)-N(\alpha(x) \beta(y))\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Define new operations on $A$ by $x \prec y=\alpha(x) \beta N(y), x \succ y=N(x) \beta(y), x \vee y=-N(x \beta(y))$, for all $x, y \in A$. Then $\left(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra. In particular, if we define a new multiplication on $A$ by $x * y=\alpha(x) \beta N(y)+N(x) \beta(y)-N(x \beta(y))$, then $\left(A, *, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra.

Proof. Take in Theorem $4.1 \sigma=\alpha, \gamma=i d, \tau=i d, \delta=\beta$. Then (4.3) becomes (4.8), (4.4) becomes (4.9) and (4.5) is a consequence of (4.8).

Remark 4.4 Note that, in Corollary 4.2, if $N$ commutes with $\beta$, then (4.7) is a consequence of (4.6); however, in general $N$ does not commute with $\beta$, such a situation occurs for instance in Example 4.6 below. A similar discussion holds for Corollary 4.3.

Finally, by taking in Theorem $4.1 \sigma=\gamma=\tau=\delta=i d$, one can easily see that we obtain:
Corollary 4.5 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and let $N: A \rightarrow A$ be a Nijenhuis operator in the usual sense, that it

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x) N(y)=N(x N(y)+N(x) y-N(x y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which commutes with $\alpha$ and $\beta$. If we define new operations on $A$ by $x \prec y=x N(y), x \succ y=$ $N(x) y, x \vee y=-N(x y)$, then $(A, \prec, \succ, \vee, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-NS-algebra.

Example 4.6 Let $(A, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ be a BiHom-associative algebra and let $a \in A$ such that $\alpha^{2}(a)=$ $\beta^{2}(a)=a$. Define $N_{1}, N_{2}: A \rightarrow A$ by $N_{1}(x)=\alpha(a) x$ and $N_{2}(x)=x \alpha(a)$, for all $x \in A$. Then one can easily see that $N_{1}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 4.2 and $N_{2}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 4.3. In both cases, the new multiplication $*$ is given by the same formula, namely $x * y=\alpha(x)(\alpha(a) y)$, so it turns out that $\left(A, *, \alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)$ is a BiHom-associative algebra, which was the content of Lemma 3.1 in [14], for which we have thus obtained a Nijenhuis operator interpretation.
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