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#### Abstract

The red giant $M a C o M P \_V 1$ in Cepheus at coordinates RA (J2000) $22: 49: 05.49$ DEC (J2000) $+57: 52: 41.6$ is a semiregular variable star classified as SRS, number 2225960 in the AAVSO VSX database. Using the Fourier transform, the period $P=(24.751 \pm 0.062)$ d was evaluated and, with the support of the ASAS-SN and ZTF surveys, a well-defined light curve was made. The analysis resulted in the fundamental physical parameters of MaCoMP_V1, such as the mass $M=(4.97 \pm 0.38) \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and radius $R=(40.5 \pm 6.7) \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$, with consistent values suggesting the characteristics of a semiregular red giant. In addition, the effective temperature $T_{e f f}=(4500 \pm 135) \mathrm{K}$ from the Gaia catalog and the stellar evolution based on the SchönbergChandraskehar limit was estimated.


## 1 Introduction

Semiregular variables are the most widespread stars in the galaxy and are very interesting to study because of their evolutionary complexity. After leaving the Main Sequence, they pass into the Cepheid instability region, transforming into pulsating variables of the $\delta$ Cephei type.

These stars can be described as semiregular giants and supergiant variables with spectral classes ranging from F to K , referred to as SRd . If these variables show high luminosity in the evolutionary process, they fall into the red supergiant region, becoming SRc type. In contrast, they turn into SRab semiregular variables with late spectral classes if they show lower luminosity. Finally, in the classification of semiregular variables, there are the pulsating low-mass supergiants, which may be in a thermal evolutionary phase such that they move from red giant to protoplanetary nebula (Kudashkina, 2019).

A month-long photo session was conducted in 2019 on the Wizard Nebula, an object identified in the open cluster classified NGC 3780 in the New General Catalog (Dreyer, 1888). It is an emission nebula and is known for its ionization due to the presence of the DH Cephei binary star system. Therefore, it has proven to be of great interest in both photographic and photometric studies, in fact the star field is known to have a high presence of giant stars (Chavarria-K. et al., 1994).

Many stars were measured using a 60 mm Refractor apochromatic telescope and an ASI-385MM camera. Adopting the Aladin (Centre de Données Astronomiques de Strasbourg, CDS) software, only red stars were chosen and studied because these types have a late evolutionary state, and they are interesting to observe. A star at about 20' from the Wizard Nebula was found through photometric measurements, showing possible brightness variations. To confirm these results, it was necessary to continue observations until
the end of 2021 with a better setup and to compare them with automatic surveys using ASAS-SN (Kochanek et al., 2017) and ZTF (Masci et al., 2019).

At the end of January 2022, the American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO) approved the discovery of 2MASS J22490550 +5752417 at coordinates RA (J2000) $22: 49: 05.49$ DEC (J2000) $+57: 52: 41.6$, and named it MaCoMP_V1. This nomenclature derives from the authors: $M a$ (Mara Moriconi), Co (Conzo Giuseppe) and MP (Marotta Paolo). Finally, V1 indicates the first variable star discovered by the team.

## 2 Photometric observations

The collected images were calibrated appropriately, and photometry was carried out with Maxim DL v6.20 software. The reference and check stars (Check) used for our purposes are listed in Table 1 and are identified in Figure 1.

Table 1: Reference and Check stars used for the photometry.

| Type | Name | Coordinates (J2000) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Reference | 2MASS J22491561+5754412 | $224915.62+575441.2$ |
| Check | 2MASS J22485978+5755182 | $224859.78+575518.2$ |



Figure 1: Star field with the target, reference, and check stars.
The period was obtained with the Fourier Transform, using the Period04 software (Lenz and Breger, 2014), and then the final period was calculated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=24.751 \mathrm{~d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this value, it was possible to merge the observed data with those from ASAS-SN (Kochanek et al., 2017) and ZTF (Masci et al., 2019) surveys. A luminosity variation in cycles of 24 days 18 hours and 14 minutes identified in the light curve was obtained, the profile of which shows a typical semiregular red giant variation of SRS type (Watson, Henden, and Price, 2006).


Figure 2: Light curve of MaCoMP_V1, ZTF Survey (Sloan $R$ and $G$ filters) and ASAS-SN Survey (Johnson $V$ filter) compared with GAP data (clear).

The plot shows four sets of data centred on HJD epoch 2458726,641 at values 0,1 , and 2 in the phase domain when the star is brightest. The data observed by GAP (black dots in Fig. 2) were made in clear using two different telescopes:

- Apochromatic Refractor Telescope with 600 mm focal length and $\mathrm{f} / 7.5$ ratio;
- Schmidt Cassegrain Telescope 2000 mm focal length and $\mathrm{f} / 10$ ratio.

The ZTF survey data (red and green dots in Fig. 2) are splitted into the Red Sloan filter (Brauneck et al., 2018) and the Green Sloan filter (Brauneck et al., 2018). The $R$ filter shows an uncertainty $\sigma=0.01 \mathrm{mag}$, while the $G$ filter, with a larger scatter, shows an uncertainty $\sigma=0.02 \mathrm{mag}$. The data from the ASAS-SN Survey (gray dots in Fig. 2) were made in the Johnson $V$ filter (Bessell, 1990) and have an uncertainty sigma $=0.08 \mathrm{mag}$.

Since no filter was used during the photometric sessions, it was necessary to adjust the magnitudes to the standard Johnson $V$ magnitude, using the average magnitude of the Gaia catalogue (Riello et al., 2021). The photometric filters have different passbands than the Johnson-Cousins ones, so switching to a different photometric system was necessary.

This was done by adopting the Equ. 2 and using the coefficients from the corresponding conversions webpage (Jordi et al., 2010).

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=a+b \cdot C_{2}+c \cdot C_{2}^{2}+d \cdot C_{1}^{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this method, the reference value of stellar magnitude was obtained, defining it as "zero point" and expressing all magnitudes in $V$, in fact, the GAP data differ by 1.724 mag , the red ZTF data differ by 1.297 mag , and the green ZTF data differ by 1.069 mag .

## 3 Data analysis

### 3.1 Fundamental parameters studying

From photometric observations, fundamental physical parameters were estimated, starting with the relative magnitude and stellar distance. The absolute magnitude was obtained, using the parallax parameter $R U W E=0.971$ (Gaia Collaboration, 2020) to adopt a reliable distance from Gaia. This resulted in a parallax $p l x=(0.1423 \pm 0.0129)$ mas and a photometric magnitude $V=15.29 \mathrm{mag}$, which makes it possible to use the magnitudedistance relation (Hughes, 2006). We considered the extinction $A_{v}=(3.29 \mathrm{pm0.16}) \mathrm{mag}$ (Teplitz et al., 2018) relative to the direction of the star and the distance $d=(7027 \pm$ 637) pc from the Gaia parallax, to calculate the absolute magnitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{v}=m-5 \log (d)+5-A_{v}=(-2.24 \pm 0.48) \mathrm{mag} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The brightness of $M a C o M P_{-} V 1$ can be estimated, from absolute magnitude and Gaia distance, using the brightness-magnitude relationship, like a standard candle at 10 pc (Unsöld, 2013).

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=10^{\frac{M_{v}-M_{\odot}}{-2.5}}=(609 \pm 185) \mathrm{L}_{\odot} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{v}$ is the absolute magnitude obtained in relation (3) and $M_{\odot}$ is the absolute magnitude of Sun. Therefore, using $T_{\text {eff }}=(4500 \pm 135) \mathrm{K}$ from Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2020) and with Stefan-Boltzmann law (Narimanov and Smolyaninov, 2011), the star radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sqrt{\frac{L}{4 \pi \sigma T_{e f f}^{4}}}=(40.57 \pm 6.67) \mathrm{R}_{\odot} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained. Finally, using the luminosity and the temperature, the mass was calculated (Eker et al., 2015) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=L^{1 / 4}=T_{e f f} \sqrt{R}=(4.96 \pm 0.38) \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This confirms the correctness of the photometry in relation to the temperature suggested by Gaia, but it should be noted that the estimation of the parameters is done analytically. To achieve higher accuracy and veracity, spectrometric observations leading to an estimate of actual temperature and metallicity would be required.

### 3.2 MaCoMP_V1 evolution based on Schönberg-Chandraskehar limit

In the case of stars with masses $M>2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ there is a limiting mass value of the core at which accretion must stop before hydrogen is converted into helium. Consequently, the main sequence corresponds to the melting of the initial mass of hydrogen. This can be proved by the virial theorem (Collins, 1978) which defines the surface pressure term which must be less than the maximum value for equilibrium conditions to exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {sup }, \max } \propto \frac{T_{c}^{4}}{\mu_{c}^{4} M_{c}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ refers to the stellar core. Stability condition can be written as average weight per particle between the surrounding envelope and the core.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{c}}{M} \leq 0.37\left(\frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{c}}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the total mass, $\mu_{c}$ and $\mu_{e}$ are the average weights for particles in nucleus and envelope which are $\mu_{c}=1.3$ and $\mu_{e}=0.6$, respectively. This results in $\simeq 0.10 M$ critical mass in solar units.

This procedure is known as the Schönberg-Chandraskehar limit (SC) (Ziółkowski and Zdziarski, 2020) and it studies the collapse of the nucleus on a Kelvin-Helmholtz ( $\simeq 30$ Myr) time scale (MacDonald and Mullan, 2003). The layers outside the nucleus increase the star size by $\simeq 10$, transforming it into a red giant.

In the case of $M a C o M P_{-} V 1$, to interpret the relation (8) it is necessary to find the value of the core mass, starting from the star brightness

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \simeq 200 L_{\odot}\left(\frac{M_{\text {core }}}{0.3 M_{\odot}}\right)^{7.6} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reversing the relationship (9), we get $M_{c}=(0.35 \pm 0.03) M_{\odot}$, so by evaluating the mass ratio, it is possible to define whether the stars core is close to instability.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{M_{c}}{M}=0.070 \pm 0.008  \tag{10}\\
0.37\left(\frac{\mu_{e}}{\mu_{c}}\right)^{2}=0.079 \pm 0.007 \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The result of the relation (10) is smaller than the result of the relation (11), then $M a C o M P_{-} V 1$ meets the mass limit value defined in the law (8), ensuring the stability of the stellar core. MaCoMP_V1, as a red giant star, is very bright and, according to stellar evolution, has completed hydrogen-burning but has not yet collapsed. It has not finished nuclear reactions within the core, and helium burning has begun (Ziółkowski and Zdziarski, 2020).

## 4 Results

Observations conducted near the Wizard Nebula between 2019 and 2021 revealed a suspected change in the star's brightness. Photometry sessions led to define that the star 2MASS J22490550 +5752417 at coordinates RA (J2000) $22: 49: 05.49$ DEC (J2000) $+57: 52: 41.6$ is an SR-type variable (Kudashkina, 2019). After data collection, it was approved and registered under VSX code 2225960 in the Variable Star Database (Watson, Henden, and Price, 2022).

MaCoMP_V1 was shown to be a semiregular red giant with brightness variation over the period $P=24.751$ d, describing typical pulsating stars trend shown in Figure 2. The variability, the star stability and, according to the SC limit, core stability was analysed. Data analysis led to the physical characteristics defined in Table 2.

Table 2: Fundamental parameters of $M a C o M P_{-} V 1$

| Name | MaCoMP_V1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Variability type | SRS |
| Period | $24.751 \pm 0.062 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| Distance | $7027 \pm 637 \mathrm{pc}$ |
| Absolute Magnitude | $-2.24 \pm 0.48 \mathrm{mag}$ |
| Luminosity | $609 \pm 185 \mathrm{~L}_{\odot}$ |
| Temperature | $4500 \pm 1385 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Radius | $40.57 \pm 6.67 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$ |
| Mass | $4.69 \pm 0.38 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ |

Evolutionary tracks are defined by the physical processes that take place inside stars. Objects with different masses will have different evolutionary paths (Kippenhahn, 1994). MaCoMP_V1 has more than two solar masses, so it should have left the Main Sequence and should arrive in the Red Giant branch. The found parameters allow to place the star in the diagram shown in Figure 3.
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