arXiv:2206.05013v1 [math.AP] 10 Jun 2022

On the Cauchy problem for a weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation in critical Besov spaces

Zhiying Meng[1] * and Zhaoyang Yin[1,2] †
[1]Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University,
Guangzhou, 510275, China
[2]Faculty of Information Technology,
Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China

Abstract

In this paper, we mainly consider the Cauchy problem of a weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation. We first establish the local well-posedness of equation in Besov spaces $B^s_{p,r}$ with $s > 1+\frac{1}{p}$ and $s = 1+\frac{1}{p}, r = 1,\ p \in [1,\infty)$. Then, we prove the global existence for small data, and present two blow-up criteria. Finally, we get two blow-up results, which can be used in the proof of the ill-posedness in critical Besov spaces.

Keywords: A weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation; Local well-posedness; Global existence; Blow up; Ill-posedness
Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q53, 35B10, 35B65, 35C05

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **2**

3 Local well-posedness **4**

4 Global existence and blow-up **10**
4.1 Global existence for small data 10
4.2 Blow-up criteria 11
4.3 Blow-up 13
4.4 Ill-posedness 15

References **16**

*email: mengzhy3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn
†email: mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the following weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{txx} + 3uu_x + \lambda(u - u_{xx}) = 2uu_x + uu_{xxx} + \alpha u + \beta u^2 u_x + \gamma u^3 u_x + \Gamma u_{xxx}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Gamma$ are arbitrary real constants and $\lambda > 0$. This equation was proposed by Freire in [26]. Let $h(u) = (\alpha + \Gamma)u + \frac{\beta}{3}u^3 + \frac{\gamma}{4}u^4$, $\Lambda^{-2} = (1 - \partial_{xx})^{-1}$, the equation (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u + \Gamma)u_x + \lambda u = Q, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $Q = \Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big(h(u) - u^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2\Big)$.

If $\lambda = \beta = \Gamma = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, it reduces to the Dullin-Gottwald-Holm equation [16, 20]. If $\beta = \gamma = 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha\Gamma \neq 0$, the equation (1.2) becomes the weakly dissipative DGH equation [17, 32, 33]. If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \Gamma = 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, the equation (1.2) becomes weakly dissipative CH equation [35, 36]. If $\lambda = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = \Gamma = 0$, we deduce that

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)u_t = 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx}, \tag{1.3}$$

which is the famous Camassa-Holm (CH) equation. There are many properties of the CH equation. For example, it is completely integrable [7, 8, 13] and has a Hamiltonian structure [21]. Its solitary waves solutions and peakon solutions of the form $ce^{|x-ct|}$ were studied in [5, 14, 15, 38]. The local well-posedness and ill-posedness global strong solutions, blow-up strong solutions of the CH equation were investigated in [2, 6, 9–11, 19, 22, 23, 27–31, 34, 38, 39]. The global weak solutions, global conservative solutions and dissipative solutions f the CH equation also were studied in [3, 4, 12, 24, 25, 37].

Recently, Freire eatablished the local well-posedness and blow-up phenomena for the equation (1.2) in Sobolev spaces [26]. In [18], the authors studied the integrability and global existence of solution for the equation (1.2) under some conditions. Therefore, it is worth noting that the local well-posedness and ill-posedness, global strong solutions, blow-up phenomenan of (1.2) in Besov spaces have not yet been studied. Hence, in this paper, we will study these problems. It is worthy to note that the dissipative term $\lambda(u - u_x x)$ in the equation (1.2) do have impacts on the global existence, which is proved below in Theorem 4.1.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic properties in Besov spaces and some prior estimates about the transport equation. In Section 3, we establish the local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of (1.2). Section 4 is devoted to discussing the the global strong solution of (1.2) with small initial values, and give two blow-up criteria of the equation (1.2). Then, we gain two blow-up results. Finally, we prove that the Cauchy problem of (1.2) is ill-posed in $B^{\frac{3}{2}}_{2,r}$ with $1 < r \leq \infty$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will present some propositions about the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Besov spaces. Now we state some useful results in the transport equation theory, which are important

to the proof of our main theorem later. We first give the following equation

$$\begin{cases} f_t + v\cdot\nabla f = g,\ t>0, \\ f(0,x) = f_0(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *[1] Let $s,t>0,\ p,r\in[1,\infty]$. Define $R_j=[v\cdot\nabla,\Delta_j]f$. There exists a constants C such that*

$$\|(2^{js}\|R_j\|_{L^p})_j\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} \le C\Big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\nabla v\|_{B^{s-1+t}_{p,r}}\|\nabla f\|_{B^{-t}_{\infty,\infty}}\Big).$$

Then, if f solves the equation (2.1), we have

$$\|f(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le \|f_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \Big(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\nabla v\|_{B^{s-1+t}_{p,r}}\|\nabla f\|_{B^{-t}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|g\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\Big)(t')dt'.$$

Lemma 2.2. *[1] Let $1\le p\le p_1\le\infty,\ 1\le r\le\infty,\ s>-d\min(\frac{1}{p_1},\frac{1}{p'})$. Let $f_0\in B^s_{p,r},\ g\in L^1([0,T];B^s_{p,r})$, and let v be a time-dependent vector field such that $v\in L^\rho([0,T];B^{-M}_{\infty,\infty})$ for some $\rho>1$ and $M>0$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla v\in L^1([0,T];B^{\frac{d}{p_1}}_{p_1,\infty}), \quad &&\text{if } s<1+\tfrac{d}{p_1}, \\ &\nabla v\in L^1([0,T];B^{s-1}_{p,r}), \quad &&\text{if } s>1+\tfrac{d}{p_1} \text{ or } (s=1+\tfrac{d}{p_1} \text{ and } r=1). \end{aligned}$$

Then the equation (2.1) has a unique solution f in
-the space $C([0,T];B^s_{p,r})$, if $r<\infty$;
-the space $\Big(\bigcap_{s'<s}C([0,T];B^{s'}_{p,\infty})\Big)\bigcap C_w([0,T];B^s_{p,\infty})$, if $r=\infty$.

Lemma 2.3. *[1, 30] Let $s\in\mathbb{R},\ 1\le p,r\le\infty$. There exists a constant C such that for all solutions $f\in L^\infty([0,T];B^s_{p,r})$ of (2.1) in one dimension with initial data $f_0\in B^s_{p,r}$, and $g\in L^1([0,T];B^s_{p,r})$, we have for a.e. $t\in[0,T]$,*

$$\|f(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le \|f_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t\|g(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}}dt' + \int_0^t V'(t')\|f(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}dt'$$

or

$$\|f(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le e^{CV(t)}\Big(\|f_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t e^{-CV(t')}\|g(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}}dt'\Big)$$

with

$$V'(t) = \begin{cases} \|\nabla v\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,r}}, & \text{if } s>\max(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{p}-1), \\ \|\nabla v\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, & \text{if } s>\frac{1}{p} \text{ or } (s=\frac{1}{p},\ p<\infty,\ r=1), \end{cases}$$

and when $s=\frac{1}{p}-1,\ 1\le p\le 2,\ r=\infty$, and $V'(t)=\|\nabla v\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}$.
If $f=v$, for all $s>0, V'(t)=\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}$.

Lemma 2.4. *[1, 30] Let $y_0\in B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$ with $1\le p<\infty$, and $f\in L^1([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Define $\bar{\mathbb{N}}=\mathbb{N}\cup\infty$, for $n\in\bar{\mathbb{N}}$, denote by $y_n\in C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$ the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_n + (A_n(u)+\Gamma)\partial_x y_n = f, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}, \\ y_n(t,x)|_{t=0} = y_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where Γ is a real number. Assume for some $\alpha(t)\in L^1(0,T),\ \sup\limits_{n\in\bar{\mathbb{N}}}\|A_n(u)\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}+\Gamma\le\alpha(t)$. If $A_n(u)$ converges in $A_\infty(u)$ in $L^1([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$, then the sequence $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in $C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$.

3 Local well-posedness

In this section, we consider the local well-posedness of (1.2) in Besov spaces, and divided it into two cases : (i) $s > 1 + \frac{1}{p},\ p, r\ \in [1, \infty]$; (ii) $s = 1 + \frac{1}{p},\ p \in [1, \infty),\ r = 1$. Our main results can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *[1] Let $u_0 \in B^s_{p,r}$ with $1 \le p, r \le \infty,\ s > 1 + \frac{1}{p}$. Then, there exists a time $T > 0$ such that the equation (1.2) has a unique solution in*

$$E^s_{p,r}(T) \triangleq \begin{cases} C([0,T]; B^s_{p,r}) \cap C^1([0,T]; B^{s-1}_{p,r}), & \text{if } r < \infty, \\ C_w([0,T]; B^s_{p,\infty}) \cap C^{0,1}([0,T]; B^{s-1}_{p,\infty}), & \text{if } r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u_0 \in B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then, there exists a time $T > 0$ suct that (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in E^p_T \triangleq C\Big([0,T]; B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}\Big) \cap C^1\Big([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}\Big)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data.*

Proof. **First Step : Existence of a local solution.**

We now construct approximate solutions $(u^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which are smooth solutions of the following linear transport equation. Letting $u^0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u^{n+1}_t + (u_n + \Gamma)u^{n+1}_x \triangleq f^n, \\ u^{n+1}(t,x)|_{t=0} = S^{n+1}u_0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

with $f^n = \underbrace{\Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big(h(u^n) - (u^n)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(u^n_x)^2\Big)}_{Q^n} - \lambda u^n$ and $h(u^n) = (\alpha+\Gamma)u^n + \frac{\beta}{3}(u^n)^3 + \frac{\gamma}{4}(u^n)^4$. Applying Δ_j to (3.1), we have

$$\partial_t u^{n+1}_j + u^n \cdot \partial_x u^{n+1}_j + \Gamma\partial_x u^{n+1}_j = F^n_j + [u^n \cdot \nabla, \Delta_j]u^{n+1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Delta_j u^{n+1} = u^{n+1}_j,\ \Delta_j f^n = f_j$. Multiplying both sides of the equation (3.2) by $\mathrm{sgn}(u^{n+1}_j)|u^{n+1}_j|^{p-1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}$. Using the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x u^{n+1}_j \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(u^{n+1}_j)|u^{n+1}_j|^{p-1}dx = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|u^{n+1}_j\|^p_{L^p} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} divu^n |u^{n+1}_j|^p dx &= p\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(u^{n+1}_j)|u^{n+1}_j|^{p-1}dx \\ &\quad + p\int_{\mathbb{R}} [u^n \cdot \nabla, \Delta_j]u^{n+1} \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(u^{n+1}_j)|u^{n+1}_j|^{p-1}dx. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.3 , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \|u^{n+1}\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} &\le C\exp\Big(C\int_0^t \|u^n(t')\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}dt'\Big)\Big[1 + \|u_0\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \exp\Big(-C\int_0^t \|u^n(t'')\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}dt''\Big)(1 + \|u^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}})^4 dt'\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose that $u^n \in L^\infty([0,T]B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Then, we get

$$\|f^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} \le C(1 + \|u^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}})^4, \tag{3.4}$$

which leads to $f^n \in L^\infty([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Using Lemmas 2.2-2.3 , we conclude that there exists a global solution $u^{n+1} \in C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}) \cap C^1([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$ for all $T>0$. Fixed a $T>0$ such that $\frac{2}{3}CT(1+\|u_0\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}})^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$ and

$$1+\|u^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} \leq \frac{(1+\|u_0\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-\frac{2}{3}Ct(1+\|u_0\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}})^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Plugging (3.5) into (3.3), we have

$$1+\|u^{n+1}\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \leq \frac{(1+\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-\frac{2}{3}Ct(1+\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}})^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \forall\, t\in[0,T].$$

Hence, by introduction, we see that $(u^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$.

It follows that the compactness method for the approximating sequence $(u^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that we get a solution u of (1.2). Owing to the uniformly boundedness of u^n in $L^\infty([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$, one can get $\partial_t u^n$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Hence, we deduce that u^n is uniformly bounded in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}) \cap C^{\frac{1}{2}}([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. On the other hand, suppose that $(\phi^j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of smooth functions with value in $[0,1]$ supported in $B(0,j+1)$ and value equal to 1 on $B(0,j)$. According to Theorem 2.94 in [1], we get the map $u^n \mapsto \phi^j u^n$ is compact from $B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$ to $B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$. Ascoli's theorem entails that there has some function u^j such that, up to extraction, $(\phi^j u^n)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to u^j. And using the Cantor's diagonal process, we deduce that there exists a subsequence of $(u^j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ (still denoted by $(u^j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$) such that for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$, $\phi^j u^n$ concerges to u^j in $C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Thanks to $\phi^j\phi^{j+1}=\phi^j$, we get $u^j=\phi^j u^{j+1}$. Therefore, there exists some function u such that for all $\phi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $(\phi u^n)_{n\geq 1}$ tends to ϕu in $C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Since the uniform boundness of u^n and Fatou property guarantee that $u\in L^\infty([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Making use of interpolation, we get ϕu^n tends to ϕu in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon}_{p,1})$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.

We now check that u is the solution of the equation (1.2). For fixed $\psi\in B^{-\frac{1}{p}}_{p',\infty}$, we have

$$\langle\partial_t(\phi u^n)-\partial_t(\phi u),\psi\rangle - \langle(\phi u^n+\Gamma)\partial_x(\phi u^n)-((\phi u)+\Gamma)\partial_x(\phi u),\psi\rangle - \langle F(\phi u^n)-F(\phi u),\psi\rangle \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0. \tag{3.6}$$

The main difficulty is to prove that $\Big\langle \Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big((\phi u^n)_x^2-(\phi u)_x^2\Big),\psi\Big\rangle \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$ in (3.6). For simplicity, we only handle the $\Big\langle \Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big((\phi u^n)_x^2-(\phi u)_x^2\Big),\psi\Big\rangle \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$. The others are similar. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Big\langle \Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big((\phi u^n)_x^2-(\phi u)_x^2\Big),\psi\Big\rangle| &\leq |\Big\langle \Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\Big((\phi u^n)_x-(\phi u)_x\Big)\Big((\phi u^n)_x+(\phi u)_x\Big),\psi\Big\rangle| \\
&\leq \|\Lambda^{-2}\partial_x\{\Big((\phi u^n)_x-(\phi u)_x\Big)\Big((\phi u^n)_x+(\phi u)_x\Big)\}\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\|\psi\|_{B^{-\frac{1}{p}}_{p',\infty}} \\
&\leq \|\Big((\phi u^n)_x-(\phi u)_x\Big)\Big((\phi u^n)_x+(\phi u)_x\Big)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}-1}_{p,1}}\|\psi\|_{B^{-\frac{1}{p}}_{p',\infty}} \\
&\leq \|\Big((\phi u^n)_x-(\phi u)_x\Big)\Big((\phi u^n)_x+(\phi u)_x\Big)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}-\epsilon}_{p,1}}\|\psi\|_{B^{-\frac{1}{p}}_{p',\infty}} \\
&\leq C\|(\phi u^n)_x+(\phi u)_x\|_{L^\infty}\|(\phi u^n)_x-(\phi u)_x\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}-\epsilon}_{p,1}}\|\psi\|_{B^{-\frac{1}{p}}_{p',\infty}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\leq C\|\phi u^n + \phi u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}-\epsilon}}\|\phi u^n - \phi u\|_{B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}-\epsilon}}\|\psi\|_{B_{p',\infty}^{-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

By using the fact that $\phi u^n \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \phi u$ in $C([0,T];B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}-\epsilon})$, and that ϕu^n is bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}})$, we get (3.7) tends to 0 uniformly on $[0,T]$ as $n\to\infty$ and $u\in E_T^p$.

Second Step: Uniqueness.

In order to prove the uniqueness of solution, we reformulate the equation using a transformation that corresponds to the transformation between Eulerian and Lagrangian coordinates. Let $u=u(t,x)$ denote the solution, and $t\mapsto y(t,\xi)$ be the characteristic as follows

$$\begin{cases} y_t(t,\xi) = u(t,y(t,\xi)) + \Gamma, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}, \\ y(t,\xi)|_{t=0} = \bar{y}(\xi). \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Our new variables are $y(t,\xi)$, $U(t,\xi)=u(t,y(t,\xi))$, then the equation (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$U_t(t,\xi) = u_t(t,y(t,\xi)) + y_t(t,\xi)u_x(t,y(t,\xi)) = \tilde{Q}(t,\xi) - \lambda U(t,\xi), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(t,\xi) \triangleq P_x \circ y(t,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{sgn}(y(t,\xi)-x)e^{-|y(t,\xi)-x|}\Big(-h(u)+u^2+\frac{1}{2}u_x^2\Big)(t,x)dx. \tag{3.10}$$

After the change of variables $x=y(t,\eta)$, we have

$$\tilde{Q}(t,\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{sgn}(y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta))e^{-|y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta)|}\Big(-h(U)y_\xi + U^2y_\xi + \frac{U_\xi^2}{y_\xi}\Big)(t,\eta)d\eta. \tag{3.11}$$

For fixed t, $y(t,\cdot)$ is an increasing function, which implies that $\mathrm{sgn}(y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta)) = \mathrm{sgn}(\xi-\eta)$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(t,\xi) =& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{sgn}(\xi-\eta)e^{-|y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta)|}\Big(-h(U)y_\xi + U^2y_\xi + \frac{U_\xi^2}{y_\xi}\Big)(t,\eta)d\eta \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} - \int_{\xi}^{+\infty}\Big)e^{-|y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta)|}\Big(-h(U)y_\xi + U^2y_\xi + \frac{U_\xi^2}{y_\xi}\Big)(t,\eta)d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We now give another new variable ζ defined as $\zeta(t,\xi) = y(t,\xi) - \xi - \Gamma t$, and ζ satisfies

$$\zeta_t(t,\xi) = U(t,\xi). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, the derivatives of $\tilde{Q}$ is given by

$$\tilde{Q}_\xi = (-h(U)+U^2-P)(\zeta_\xi+1) + \frac{U_\xi^2}{2y_\xi}, \tag{3.14}$$

with

$$P(t,\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|(y(t,\xi)-y(t,\eta))|}\Big(-h(U)y_\xi + U^2y_\xi + \frac{U_\xi^2}{y_\xi}\Big)(t,\eta)d\eta.$$

From the above equation, we can deduce that

$$U_{t\xi}(t,\xi) = \tilde{Q}_\xi(t,\xi) - \lambda U_\xi. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.17), we infer that $\zeta(t,\xi)$ satisfies the following integral form

$$\zeta(t,\xi) = \int_0^t U(\tau,\xi)d\tau, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\zeta_\xi(t,\xi) = \int_0^t U_\xi(\tau,\xi)d\tau. \tag{3.17}$$

Note that $\zeta_\xi + 1 = y_\xi$. Using the uniform boundedness of u in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$ and the embedding with $B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1} \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}$, we get $u \in C([0,T];W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty})$. Thereby, we obtain that ζ_ξ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];L^\infty)$, which implies $y_\xi \in L^\infty([0,T];L^\infty)$. More importantly, we have $\frac{1}{2} \le \zeta_\xi, y_\xi \le C_{u_0}$ for $T>0$ small enough. Without loss of generality, suppose that t is sufficiently small, otherwise we use the continuity method. Now, we prove that $U(t,\xi)$ is bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];W^{1,p})$ as follows.

$$\|U\|^p_{L^p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(t,\xi)|^p d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(t,y(t,\xi))|^p \frac{1}{y_\xi} dy \le \|u\|^p_{L^p}\|\frac{1}{y_\xi}\|_{L^\infty} \le 2\|u\|^p_{L^p} \le C;$$

$$\|U_\xi\|^p_{L^p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U_\xi|^p |y_\xi|^{p-1} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_x(t,y(t,\xi))|^p |y_\xi|^{p-1} dy \le \|u_x\|^p_{L^p}\|y_\xi\|^{p-1}_{L^\infty} \le C^{p-1}_{u_0}\|u_x\|^p_{L^p} \le C.$$

Applying the above inequalities, we get $U(t,\xi)\in L^\infty([0,T];W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty})$, $\zeta \in L^\infty([0,T];W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty})$ and $\frac{1}{2} \le \zeta_\xi,\ y_\xi \le C_{u_0}$ for any $t\in[0,T]$.

Let u_1, u_2 be two solutions of (1.2), and $U_i(t,\xi) = u(t,y_i(t,\xi))$ $(i=1,2)$ satisfy

$$U_{it}(t,\xi) = u_{it}(t,y_i) + y_{it}(t,\xi)u_{ix}(t,y_i(t,\xi)) = \tilde{Q}_i(t,\xi) - \lambda U_i(t,\xi). \tag{3.18}$$

Likewise, we have $U_i(t,y_i(t,\xi)) \in L^\infty([0,T];W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty})$, $\zeta_i(t,\xi) \in L^\infty([0,T];W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty})$ and $\frac{1}{2} \le \zeta_{i\xi},\ y_{i\xi} \le C_{u_0}$ for sufficiently small $T>0$.

We now demonstrate the following estimate.

$$\|\tilde{Q}_1(t,\xi) - \tilde{Q}_2(t,\xi)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}} \le C(\|U_1-U_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}} + \|y_1-y_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}).$$

According to (3.12), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{Q}_1(t,\xi) - \tilde{Q}_2(t,\xi)\\
&= \frac{1}{4}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} - \int_{\xi}^{+\infty}\Big) e^{-|y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta)|}\Big(\frac{U^2_{1\eta}}{y_{1\eta}} - \frac{U^2_{2\eta}}{y_{2\eta}}\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} - \int_{\xi}^{+\infty}\Big)\Big(e^{-|y_1(\xi)-y_1(\eta)|} - e^{-|y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta)|}\Big)\frac{U^2_{1\eta}}{y_{1\eta}}(\eta)d\eta\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} - \int_{\xi}^{+\infty}\Big)\Big(e^{-|y_1(\xi)-y_1(\eta)|} - e^{-|y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta)|}\Big)\Big(-h(U_1)y_{1\eta} + U_1^2 y_{1\eta}\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} - \int_{\xi}^{+\infty}\Big) e^{-|y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta)|}\Big(h(U_2)y_{2\eta} - h(U_1)y_{1\eta} + U_1^2 y_{1\eta} - U_2^2 y_{2\eta}\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
&\triangleq \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{I}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

We first give an estimate of $\mathcal{I}_1$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{-(y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta))}\Big(\frac{U^2_{1\eta}}{y_{1\eta}} - \frac{U^2_{2\eta}}{y_{2\eta}}\Big)(\eta)d\eta - \frac{1}{4}\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{y_2(\xi)-y_2(\eta)}\Big(\frac{U^2_{1\eta}}{y_{1\eta}} - \frac{U^2_{2\eta}}{y_{2\eta}}\Big)(\eta)d\eta$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\frac{1}{4}\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{-(\xi-\eta)}e^{-\int_0^t(U_2(\xi)-U_2(\eta))(\tau)d\tau}\Big(\frac{U_{1\eta}^2-U_{2\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}}+\frac{U_{2\eta}^2(y_{2\eta}-y_{1\eta})}{y_{1\eta}y_{2\eta}}\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
&-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\xi-\eta}e^{\int_0^t(U_2(\xi)-U_2(\eta))(\tau)d\tau}\Big(\frac{U_{1\eta}^2-U_{2\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}}+\frac{U_{2\eta}^2(y_{2\eta}-y_{1\eta})}{y_{1\eta}y_{2\eta}}\Big)(\eta)d\eta. \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $|e^{-|x|}-e^{-|y|}|\le \max\{e^{-|x|},e^{-|y|}\}|x-y|$, and $\frac{1}{2}\le\zeta_\xi,\ y_\xi\le C_{u_0}$ for $T>0$ small enough. According to $U_i(t,\xi)$ and $y_i(t,\xi)$ are bounded in $L_T^\infty(W^{1,\infty})$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1\le& C\Big(\|\frac{U_{1\eta}+U_{2\eta}}{y_{1\eta}}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\frac{U_{2\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}y_{2\eta}}\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\int_{\xi}^{+\infty}e^{\xi-\eta}\Big(|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
&+C\Big(\|\frac{U_{1\eta}+U_{2\eta}}{y_{1\eta}}\|_{L^\infty}+\|\frac{U_{2\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}y_{2\eta}}\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\int_{-\infty}^{\xi}e^{-(\xi-\eta)}\Big(|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)(\eta)d\eta\\
\le& C\Big[1_{\ge0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)+1_{\le0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the other terms can be proved similarly, then we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}_1(t,\xi)-\tilde{Q}_2(t,\xi)\le& C\Big[1_{\ge0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(|U_1-U_2|+|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)\Big]\\
&+C\Big[1_{\le0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(|U_1-U_2|+|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}|+|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}|\Big)\Big]\\
&+C\|U_1-U_2\|_{L^\infty}\Big[1_{\ge0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(h(U_1)y_{1\eta}+\frac{U_{1\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}}+U_{1\eta}^2y_{1\eta}\Big)\\
&+1_{\le0}(x)e^{-|x|}*\Big(h(U_1)y_{1\eta}+\frac{U_{1\eta}^2}{y_{1\eta}}+U_{1\eta}^2y_{1\eta}\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\|\tilde{F}_1-\tilde{F}_2\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}\le C\Big(\|U_1-U_2\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}+\|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}+\|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}\Big). \qquad (3.21)
$$

It is now clear that the same estimate holds for $\|\tilde{F}_{1\xi}-\tilde{F}_{2\xi}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}$ as follows

$$
\|\tilde{F}_{1\xi}-\tilde{F}_{2\xi}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}\le C\Big(\|U_1-U_2\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}+\|U_{1\eta}-U_{2\eta}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}+\|y_{1\eta}-y_{2\eta}\|_{L^\infty\cap L^p}\Big). \qquad (3.22)
$$

Making use of (3.21) and (3.22) yields that

$$
\|\tilde{F}_1(t,\xi)-\tilde{F}_2(t,\xi)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}\le C(\|U_1-U_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1-y_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}). \qquad (3.23)
$$

From the above analysis, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|U_1-U_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1-y_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}\\
&\le C\Big(\|U_1(0)-U_2(0)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1(0)-y_2(0)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}\Big)\\
&\quad+C\int_0^T\Big(\|U_1-U_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1-y_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}(t)\Big)dt. \qquad (3.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging (3.23) into (3.24) and using the fact that $y_1(0)=y_2(0)=\xi$, we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|U_1-U_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1-y_2\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}\\
&\qquad\le Ce^{CT}(\|U_1(0)-U_2(0)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+\|y_1(0)-y_2(0)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}})\\
&\qquad\le Ce^{CT}(\|U_1(0)-U_2(0)\|_{W^{1,p}\cap W^{1,\infty}}+0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq Ce^{CT}\|u_1(0)-u_2(0)\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}},$$

from which it follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_1-u_2\|_{L^p} &\leq C\|u_1\circ y_1-u_2\circ y_2\|_{L^p}\\ &\leq C\|u_1\circ y_1-u_2\circ y_1+u_2\circ y_1-u_2\circ y_2\|_{L^p}\\ &\leq C\|U_1-U_2\|_{L^p}+C\|u_{2x}\|_{L^\infty}\|y_1-y_2\|_{L^p}\\ &\leq C\|u_1(0)-u_2(0)\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The embedding $L^p\hookrightarrow B^0_{p,\infty}$ guarantees that

$$\|u_1-u_2\|_{B^0_{p,\infty}}\leq C\|u_1-u_2\|_{L^p}\leq C\|u_1(0)-u_2(0)\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}.$$

This completes the proof of the uniqueness of the solution of (1.2) if $u_1(0)=u_2(0)$.

Third Step: The continuous dependence.

Assume that we are given u^n and u^∞, two solutions of (1.2) with the initial data u_0^n and u_0^∞ satisfying u_0^n tends to u_0^∞ in $B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$. The **First Step** and **Second Step** guarantee that u^n and u^∞ are uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$, and

$$\|(u^n-u^\infty)(t)\|_{B^0_{p,\infty}}\leq C\|u_0^n-u_0^\infty\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}.$$

This implies u^n converges to u^∞ in $C([0,T];B^0_{p,\infty})$. The interpolation ensures that $u^n\to u^\infty$ in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}-\varepsilon}_{p,1})$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. If $\varepsilon=1$, we have

$$u^n\to u^\infty \ in\ C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}). \tag{3.25}$$

In order to get u^n tends to u^∞ in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$, we now prove that u^n_x tends to u^∞_x in $C([0,T];B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Letting $v^n=u^n_x$, we split $v^n=z^n+w^n$ with (z^n,w^n) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} w^n_t+u^nw^n_x+\Gamma w^n_x=f^\infty,\\ w^n(t,x)|_{t=0}=u^\infty_{0x},\end{cases}\quad\text{and}\quad\begin{cases} z^n_t+u^nz^n_x+\Gamma z^n_x=F^n-f^\infty,\\ z^n(t,x)|_{t=0}=u^n_{0x}-u^\infty_{0x},\end{cases}$$

with

$$f^n=-\lambda v^n-h(u^n)+(u^n)^2-\frac{1}{2}(v^n)^2+\Lambda^{-2}\Big(h(u^n)-(u^n)^2-\frac{1}{2}(v^n)^2\Big),\ \forall\ n\in\bar{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Combining Lemma 2.1 with the boundeness of u^n and u^∞ in $C([0,T];B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$, we deduce that $\|u^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\leq C$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f^n-f^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} &\leq C\|u^n-u^\infty\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big(1+\|u^n\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}+\|u^\infty\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big)^3\\ &\leq C\Big(\|u^n-u^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}+\|u^n_x-u^\infty_x\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big)\\ &\leq C\Big(\|u^n-u^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}+\|z^n\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}+\|w^n-w^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and (3.26), for any $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}, t \in [0,T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|z_n(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} &\le C\Big(\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \int_0^t \|f^n - f^\infty\|_{B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} dt'\Big) \\ &\le C\Big(\|u_0^n - u_0^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \int_0^t \Big(\|u^n - u^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|z^n\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|w^n - w^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}}\Big)(t')dt',\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where we used the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \Delta_j z \cdot \mathrm{sgn}(\Delta_j z)|\Delta_j z|^{p-1}dx = 0$ when the linear term Γz_x is estimated in $B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}$. Hence, we have

$$\|z^n\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} \le e^{Ct}\Big(\|v_0^n - v_0^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \int_0^t e^{-Ct'}(\|w^n - w^\infty\|_{B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|z^n\|B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})(t')dt'\Big).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &u^n \to u^\infty \ \ in\ C([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}); \\ &v_0^n \to v_0^\infty \ \ in\ C([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}); \\ &w_0^n \to w_0^\infty\ in\ C([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $z^n \to 0$ in $C([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$ as $n \to \infty$. Lemmas 2.2-2.3 and $z^\infty = 0$ entail that z^n converges to z^∞ in $C([0,T]; B^{\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$. Moreover, we thus conclude that $u^n \to u^\infty$ in $C([0,T]; B^{1+\frac{1}{p}}_{p,1})$.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 . □

4 Global existence and blow-up

In this section, we consider the global existence and blow-up of solutions for (1.2).

4.1 Global existence for small data

We first prove the global existence for small data.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u_0 \in B^s_{p,r}$ with (s,p,r) being as in Theorems 3.1-3.2 . There is a constant ϵ such that if*

$$H_0 \triangleq |\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u_0\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u_0\|^3_{B^s_{p,r}} \le \lambda\epsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

for all real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Gamma$ and $\lambda > 0$, then, there exists a unique global solution u of the equation (1.2), and for any $t \in [0,\infty)$ we have

$$H(t) \triangleq |\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t)\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t)\|^3_{B^s_{p,r}} \le H_0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. According to (1.2) and the proofs of Theorems 3.1- 3.2 , for any $t \in [0,T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \lambda\|u\|_{L^1_t(B^s_{p,r})} \\ &\le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \|u(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}}(|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t')\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t')\|^3_{B^s_{p,r}})dt',\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we used Lemmas 2.2-2.3 . For any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, suppose that for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t)\|_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t)\|^2_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t)\|^3_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} \le 2\lambda\epsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

This means

$$\int_0^T \|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}}(|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t)\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t)\|^3_{B^s_{p,r}})dt \le 2\lambda\epsilon \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}dt, \tag{4.5}$$

for some sufficiently small ϵ.

Substituting (4.5) into (4.3) yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, \ \forall\ t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.6}$$

Analogously, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t)\|_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t)\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t)\|^3_{B^s_{p,r}} \\
&\quad + \lambda\int_0^t (\|u(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{\beta}{3}\|u(t')\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{\gamma}{4}\|u(t')\|^4_{B^s_{p,r}})dt' \\
&\le H_0 + C\int_0^t (|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t')\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t')\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} + \frac{\gamma}{4}\|u(t')\|^4_{B^s_{p,r}}) \\
&\quad \cdot (|\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \|u(t')\|_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} + \frac{|\beta|}{3}\|u(t')\|^2_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4}\|u(t')\|^3_{L_T^\infty(B^s_{p,r})})dt'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Combining Theorems 3.1-3.2 with (4.4)-(4.7), we have the unique global solution on time initival $[0, T]$, and $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} H(t) \le H_0$. Moreover, we have

$$H(T) \le H_0.$$

Applying Theorems 3.1-3.2 again, we have the unique local solution and $H(t) \le \lambda\epsilon$ on $t \in [T, 2T]$. From (4.4)-(4.6), we get $\sup_{t\in[T,2T]} H(t) \le H_0$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\sup_{[0,2T]} H(t) \le H_0.$$

Repeating the bootstrap argument, we prove the global existence of (1.2). □

4.2 Blow-up criteria

In this subsection, we present two blow-up criteria for the equation (1.2).

Lemma 4.2. *[26] Let $u_0 \in H^s$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$, and let T^* be the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution u to (1.2). For any $t \in [0, T^*)$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} = e^{-\lambda t}\|u_0\|_{H^1}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u \in B^s_{p,r}$ with (s, p, r) being as in Theorems 3.1-3.2 , and let T^* be the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution u to (1.2). Then the solution of (1.2) blows up in finite time $T^* < \infty$ if and only if*

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|u_x(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' = \infty.$$

Proof. Combining (1.2) with Lemma 2.1 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \lambda\|u(t)\|_{L^1_t([0,T];B^s_{p,r})}\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \Big(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|u_x\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} + \|Q\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\Big)(t')dt'\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \Big(\underbrace{\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|Q\|_{B^s_{p,r}}}_{G}\Big)(t')dt'. \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G &\le C\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\Big[\Big(1+\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\Big)^3 + \|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\\
&\le C\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\|u_x(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \qquad (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (4.9) into (4.8), it follows that

$$\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \lambda\|u(t)\|_{L^1_t([0,T];B^s_{p,r})} \le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\Big[\Big(1+\|u\|_{L^\infty}\Big)^3 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}\Big](t')dt', \quad (4.10)$$

which implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le \|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \exp C\int_0^t \|u_x(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt'. \qquad (4.11)$$

If $T^* < \infty$, and $\int_0^{T^*}\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}(t')dt' < \infty$, we know that $u \in L^\infty([0,T^*);B^s_{p,r})$. This contradicts the definition that T^*.

According to Theorem 3.2 and the fact that $B^s_{p,r} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, if $\int_0^{T^*}\|u_x(t')\|_{L^\infty}dt' = \infty$, then u must blow up in finite time. □

Lemma 4.4. *[22] Let $u \in H^2$ be a solution to* (1.2)*. Then, we have*

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \le C\Big(\|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} \cdot \ln(2+\|u\|_{H^2}) + 1\Big).$$

Next we give another blow-up criterion for (1.2) to claim norm inflation in critical Besov spaces.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $u_0 \in H^2$, and let T^* be the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution u to* (1.2)*. Then u blows up in finite time $T^* < \infty$ if and only if*

$$\int_0^{T^*}\|u_x(t')\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}}dt' = \infty.$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 2.1 with $\|u(t)\|_{H^1} \le \|u_0\|_{H^1}$ for $t \in [0,T^*)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t)\|_{H^2} + \lambda\|u(t)\|_{L^1_t(H^2)}\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C\int_0^t \Big(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{H^1} + \|u_x\|_{H^1}\|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} + \|u\|_{H^2} + \|Q\|_{H^2}\Big)(t')dt'\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C\int_0^t \|u\|_{H^2}\Big(1+\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}\Big)(t')dt'. \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.4 , we have

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \le \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C\int_0^t \Big(1+\|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}}\ln(e+\|u\|_{H^2})\|u\|_{H^2}\Big)dt'. \qquad (4.13)$$

The Gronwall inequality entails that

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^2} e^{Ct+\int_0^t \|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} \ln(e+\|u\|_{H^2})dt'}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\ln(e+\|u\|_{H^2}) \leq \Big(\ln(e+\|u_0\|_{H^2}) + Ct\Big) e^{C\int_0^t \|u_x\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} dt'}.$$

If $T^* < \infty$, and $\int_0^{T^*} \|u_x(t')\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} dt' < \infty$, we deduce that $u \in L^\infty([0,T^*];H^2)$, which contradicts the assumption that T^* is the maximal existence time. On the other hand, since the fact that $L^\infty \hookrightarrow B^0_{\infty,\infty}$, we get u must blow up in finite time whenever $\int_0^{T^*} \|u_x(t')\|_{B^0_{\infty,\infty}} dt' = \infty$. □

4.3 Blow-up

The following lemma shows that if the initial value satisfies certain conditions, the strong solution of (1.2) will blow up in finite time.

Theorem 4.6. *[26] Given the initial datum $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$, let $u = u(t,x)$ be the corresponding solution of (1.2), $f(t) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}$, and $\kappa := max\{|\alpha|, \frac{|\beta|}{3}, \frac{|\gamma|}{4}, |\Gamma|\}$. There exists a $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta u_{x0}(x_0) < min\{-\|u_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1}, -\|u_0\|^2_{H^1}\}$ with $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+12\kappa}}$.*

If

$$\lambda \in \Big(0, -\frac{f(0)}{4}\frac{\eta^2 u^2_{x0}(x_0) - max\{-\|u_0\|_{H^1}, -\|u_0\|^4_{H^1}\}}{\eta^2 u^2_{x0}(x_0)}\Big),$$

then the solution of (1.2) blows up in finite time.

Now we give another blow-up result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Assume $u(t,x)$ is the corresponding solution of (1.2) with the initial value u_0. For $n \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$, if the slope of u_0 satisfies*

$$f(0) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{2n+1}_{0x} dx < -\Big(\frac{8cK^2\|u_0\|^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}_{H^1}}{2n-1}\Big)^{\frac{2n-1}{2n}},$$

with

$$K^2 = \frac{\lambda(n+1)\Big(4c\lambda(n+1)\|u_0\|^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}_{H^1}\Big)^{2n-1}}{2n^{2n}} + \frac{(2n+1)(C_1+C_3)}{n+1}\Big(\frac{8n(2n+1)C_2}{(2n-1)(n+1)}\Big)^n,$$

$$C_1 + C_3 = \frac{2(|\alpha|+|\Gamma|)\|u_0\|^{n+1}_{H^1}}{(\sqrt{2})^{n-1}} + \frac{|\beta|(\|u_0\|^{3(n+1)}_{H^1} + \|u_0\|^{3n+5}_{H^1})}{3(\sqrt{2})^{3n+1}} + \frac{|\gamma|\|u_0\|^{4n+4}_{H^1}}{2^{2(n+1)}} + \frac{\|u_0\|^{2(n+1)}_{H^1}}{2^n},$$

$$C_2 = |\alpha| + |\Gamma| + \frac{|\beta|}{3} + \frac{|\gamma|}{4} + \frac{1}{2},$$

and c is the Gagliardo-Nirenberg constant, then there exists a lifespan $T < \infty$ such that the solution u blows up in finite time T. Moreover, the above bound of lifespan T satisfies

$$T \leq \int_{-f(0)}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\frac{2n-1}{4c}\|u_0\|^{-\frac{2}{2n-1}}_{H^1} y^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} - K^2}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$, c is a universal Gagliardo-Nirenberg constant.

Proof. Letting the function $p = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$, then $p * f = \Lambda^{-2}f$ for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Differentiating (1.2) with respect to variable x, we have

$$u_{tx} + (u+\Gamma)u_{xx} + \lambda u_x = -h(u) + u^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + P. \tag{4.15}$$

In view of (4.15), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+1}dx + \lambda(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+1}dx = -\frac{2n-1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx \underbrace{-(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u)u_x^{2n}dx}_{\mathcal{I}_1}\\ \underbrace{+(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2u_x^{2n}dx}_{\mathcal{I}_2}\underbrace{-(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} P\cdot u_x^{2n}dx}_{\mathcal{I}_3},\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

with $P = \Lambda^{-2}(-h(u) + u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)$.
Using Lemma 4.2 and Hölder's inequality yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{(p*u)}_{P_1}u_x^{2n}dx &\le \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1^{n+1}dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx\Big)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} &(4.17)\\ &\le \frac{\|P_1\|_{L^\infty}^{n-1}\cdot\|P_1\|_{L^2}^2}{(n+1)\epsilon^{n+1}} + \frac{n\epsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx\\ &\le \frac{\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{n+1}}{(\sqrt{2})^{n-1}(n+1)\epsilon^{n+1}} + \frac{n\epsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{n+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx, &(4.18)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\|P_1\|_{L^\infty} \le \|u\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{\|u_0\|_{H^1}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\|P_1\|_{L^2} \le \|u\|_{L^2} \le \|u_0\|_{H^1}$. Note that $\int_0^t p(x) * (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2)\cdot u_x^{2n}dx > 0$. Similar to the above inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\mathcal{I}_i \le \frac{2n+1}{(n+1)\epsilon^{n+1}}\Big[\underbrace{\frac{2(|\alpha|+|\Gamma|)\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{n+1}}{(\sqrt{2})^{n-1}} + \frac{|\beta|(\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{3(n+1)} + \|u_0\|_{H^1}^{3n+5})}{3(\sqrt{2})^{3n+1}}}_{C_1}\Big]\\ + \frac{(4n^2+2n)\epsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{n+1}\underbrace{(|\alpha|+|\Gamma|+\frac{|\beta|}{3}+\frac{|\gamma|}{4}+\frac{1}{2})}_{C_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx\\ + \frac{2n+1}{(n+1)\epsilon^{n+1}}\Big[\underbrace{\frac{|\gamma|\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{4n+4}}{2^{2(n+1)}} + \frac{\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{2(n+1)}}{2^n}}_{C_3}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \Big(\frac{(2n-1)(n+1)}{8n(2n+1)C_2}\Big)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$, and defining $f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+1}dx$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t) \le -\frac{2n-1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx + \lambda(2n+1)|f(t)| + K_1^2, \tag{4.20}$$

with $K_1^2 = \frac{(2n+1)(C_1+C_3)}{n+1}\Big(\frac{8n(2n+1)C_2}{(2n-1)(n+1)}\Big)^n$.

Combining the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with the Young inequality, it follows that

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+1}dx\Big)^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} \le c\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^2dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx \le c\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^{2n+2}dx, \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\lambda(2n+1)|f(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\Big(\frac{\lambda(2n+1)}{\epsilon_0}\Big)^{2n} + \frac{2n-1}{2n}\Big(|f(t)|\epsilon_0\Big)^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}. \tag{4.22}$$

Let $\epsilon_0 = \Big(\frac{n}{4c\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}}\Big)^{\frac{2n-1}{2n}}$. After a few calculations, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t) \leq -\frac{2n-1}{8c\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}} f^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}(t) + K^2, \tag{4.23}$$

where $K^2 = K_1^2 + \frac{\lambda(n+1)\Big(4c\lambda(n+1)\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}\Big)^{2n-1}}{2n^{2n}}$. Using the fact that $f(0) < -\Big(\frac{8cK^2\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}}{2n-1}\Big)^{\frac{2n-1}{2n}}$, we then get $f'(t) < 0$ and $f(t)$ is a decreasing function. Suppose that u is a global solution, there exists a time $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$f'(t) \leq -\frac{2n-1}{16c\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}} f^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}(t), \quad t \geq t_1, \tag{4.24}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{16c\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{2}{2n-1}}}(t-t_1) + f^{\frac{-1}{2n-1}}(t_1) \leq f^{\frac{-1}{2n-1}}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{4.25}$$

Thanks to $f(t_1) < 0$, if $t \geq t_1$ large enough, we deduce that the above inequality is not vaild. Therefore, there exists a lifespan $T < \infty$ such that $\lim_{t\to T} f(t) = -\infty$. By solving (4.23), we end up with

$$T \leq -\int_0^T \frac{f'(t)dt}{\frac{2n-1}{8c}\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{2}{2n-1}} f^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}(t) - K^2},$$

which menas that

$$T \leq \int_{f(0)}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\frac{2n-1}{8c}\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{2}{2n-1}} y^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} - K^2}. \tag{4.26}$$

Then Lemma 4.6 guarantees that

$$\liminf_{t\to T}\Big(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} u_x(t,x)\Big) = -\infty.$$

□

4.4 Ill-posedness

Theorem 4.8. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 < r \leq \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $u_0 \in H^\infty$ such that the following holds*

(1) $\|u_0\|_{B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \epsilon$;

(2) *There is a unique solution $u \in C([0,T]; H^\infty)$ to the equation (1.2) with a maximal lifespan $T < \epsilon$;*

(3) $\limsup_{t\to T^-} \|u\|_{B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \geq \lim_{t\to T^-} \|u\|_{B^1_{\infty,\infty}} = \infty$.

Proof. Fix $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $1 < r \le \infty$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Let

$$g(x) = \sum_{j\ge 1} \frac{1}{2^j j^{\frac{2}{1+r}}} g_j(x), \tag{4.27}$$

with $\hat{g}_j(\xi) = i2^{-j}\xi\tilde{\chi}(2^{-j}\xi)$. Let χ be a non-negative, non-zero C_0^∞ function satisfies $\tilde{\chi}\chi_0 = \tilde{\chi}$. Hence, we obtain that $\Delta_j g(x) = \frac{1}{2^j j^{\frac{2}{1+r}}} g_j(x)$, $\|\Delta_j g(x)\|_{L^p} \sim \frac{2^{\frac{j}{p'}}}{2^j j^{\frac{2}{1+r}}}$, and

$$\|g\|_{B_{p,q}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \sim \|\frac{1}{j^{\frac{2}{1+r}}}\|_{l^q},$$

from which it follows $g \in B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \setminus B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}$, and

$$g'(0) = \int \hat{g}'(\xi)d\xi = \int 2\pi i\xi\hat{g}(\xi)d\xi = -c\sum_{j\ge 1}\frac{1}{j^{\frac{2}{1+r}}} = -\infty.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $u_{0,\epsilon} = \|g\|^{-1}_{B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \epsilon S_K(g)$ where K is a large enough such that $\eta u'_{0,\epsilon}(0) < \min\{-\|u_0\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2\}$. Therefore, $u_{0,\epsilon} \in H^\infty$, $\|u_{0,\epsilon}\|_{B_{p,r}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \le \epsilon$. Taking advantage of Theorem 4.6 , we get there exists an unique associated solution $u \in C([0,T]; H^\infty)$ with maximal lifespan $T < \epsilon$. By Lemmas 4.4-4.5 , we can prove that $\limsup_{t\to T^-} \|u\|_{B^1_{\infty,\infty}} = \infty$. □

Acknowledgments This work was partially supported by NNSFC (Grant No. 12171493), FDCT (Grant No. 0091/2018/A3), the Guangdong Special Support Program (Grant No.8-2015).

References

[1] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol.343, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] L. Brandolese, M.F. Cortez, Blowup issues for a class of nonlinear dispersive wave equations, *J. Differential Equations* 256(12):3981–3998, 2014.

[3] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*

[4] A. Bressan, A. Constantin, Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 183(2):215–239, 2007.

[5] D. D. Camassa, R. Holm, J. M. Hyman, A new integrable shallow water equation, *Adv. Appl.* 31:1–33, 1994.

[6] A. Constantin, Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 50(2):321–362, 2000.

[7] A. Constantin, On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation, *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 457(2008):953–970, 2001.

[8] A. Constantin, H. P. McKean, A shallow water equation on the circle, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 52(8):949–982, 1999.

[9] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Global existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 26(2):303–328, 1998.

[10] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations, *Acta Math.* 181(2):229–243, 1998.

[11] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 51(5):475–504, 1998.

[12] A. Constantin, L. Molinet, Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 211(1):45–61, 2000.

[13] A. Constantin, V. S. Gerdjikov, R. I. Ivanov. Inverse scattering transform for the Camassa-Holm equation, *Inverse Problems* 22(6):2197–2207, 2006.

[14] A. Constantin, W. Strauss, Stability of peakons, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 53(5):603–610, 2000.

[15] A. Constantin, W. Strauss, Stability of a class of solitary waves in compressible elastic rods, *Phys Lett A* 270(3-4):140–148, 2000.

[16] P. L. Silva, Classification of bounded travelling wave solutions for the Dullin-Gottwald-Holm equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 471(1-2):481–488, 2019.

[17] P. L. da Silva, I. L. Freire , An equation unifying both Camassa-Holm and Novikov equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 304–311, 2015.

[18] P. L. da Silva, I. L. Freire, Integrability, existence of global solutions, and wave breaking criteria for a generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 145(3):537–562, 2020.

[19] R. Danchin, A few remarks on the Camassa-Holm equation, *Differential Integral Equations* 14(8):953–988, 2001.

[20] H. Dullin, G. Gottwald, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with linear and nonlinear dispersion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2001.

[21] A. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner, Symplectic structures, their bcklund transformations, hereditary symmetries, *Phys D* 4(1):47–66, 1981.

[22] Z. Guo, X. Liu, L. Molinet, Z. Yin, Ill-posedness of the Camassa-Holm and related equations in the critical space, *J. Differential Equations* 266(2-3):1698–1707, 2019.

[23] Y. Guo, W. Ye, Z. Yin, Ill-posedness for the cauchy problem of the Camassa-Holm equation in $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R})$, *J. Differential Equations* 327: 127-144, 2022.

[24] H. Holden, X. Raynaud, Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation—a Lagrangian point of view, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32(10-12):1511–1549, 2007.

[25] H. Holden, X. Raynaud, Global conservative solutions of the generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation, *J. Differential Equations* 233(2):448–484, 2007.

[26] I. L. Freire, Wave breaking for shallow water models with time decaying solutions, *J. Differential Equations* 269: 3769–3793, 2020.

[27] A.Y. Li, J.P. Olver, Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation, *J. Differential Equations* 162(1):27–63, 2000.

[28] J. Li, Y. Yu, Y. Guo, W. Zhu, Ill-posedness for the Camassa-Holm equation in $B^1_{p,1} \cap C^{0,1}$, *arXiv: 2201.02839*, 2022.

[29] Y. Li, Y. Yu, W. Zhu, Ill-posedness for the Camassa-Holm and related equations in Besov spaces, *J. Differential Equations* 306:403–417, 2022.

[30] J. Li, Z. Yin, Remarks on the well-posedness of Camassa-Holm type equations in Besov spaces, *J. Differential Equations* 261(11):6125–6143, 2016.

[31] Y. Liu, Z. Yin, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 267(3):801–820, 2006.

[32] E. Novruzov, Blow-up phenomena for the weakly dissipative Dullin-Gottwald-Holm equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 54(9):092703, 8, 2013.

[33] E. Novruzov, Blow-up of solutions for the dissipative Dullin-Gottwald-Holm equation with arbitrary coefficients, *J. Differential Equations* 261(2):1115–1127, 2016.

[34] G. Rodríguez-Blanco, On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation, *Nonl. Anal.* 46(3, Ser. A: Theory Methods):309–327, 2001.

[35] S. Wu, Z. Yin, Blow-up, blow-up rate and decay of the solution of the weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 47(1):013504, 12, 2006.

[36] S. Wu, Z. Yin, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation, *J. Differential Equations* 246(11):4309–4321, 2009.

[37] Z. Xin, P. Zhang, On the weak solutions to a shallow water equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 53(11):1411–1433, 2000.

[38] W. Ye, Z. Yin, Y. Guo, A new result for the local well-posedness of the Camassa-Holm type equations in critial Besov spaces $B_{p,1}^{1+\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < +\infty$, *arXiv: 2101.00803,2021.*

[39] Z. Yin, Well-posedness, blowup, and global existence for an integrable shallow water equation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 11(2-3):393–411, 2004.