

ON CERTAIN GENUS 0 ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

RUIMING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this work we prove that an entire function $f(z)$ has only negative zeros if and only if its order is strictly less 1, its root sequence is real-part dominating and there exists an nonnegative integer m the real function $(-\frac{1}{x})^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right)$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for all nonnegative integer k . As an application we state a necessary and sufficient condition for the Riemann hypothesis and generalized Riemann hypothesis for a primitive Dirichlet character.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we study the zeros of entire function $f(z)$,

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_0 \cdot a_n > 0,$$

of order $\rho(f)$ strictly less than 1 where $\rho(f)$ is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \rho(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \log n}{-\log |a_n|}.$$

Many transcendental q -special functions such as Ramanujan's entire function $A_q(-z)$ is of this type, [8].

Since $\rho(f) < 1$, then $f(z)$ is necessarily of genus 0. If it has any roots, then there exists a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that [1, 4]

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{f(z)}{f(0)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\lambda_n} \right)$$

with

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, for any $1 > \alpha > \rho(f)$, [4, Theorem 2.5.18]

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{\alpha}} < \infty.$$

In this work we prove the following:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C15; 44A10. Secondary 33C10; 11M26.

Key words and phrases. Complete monotonic functions; Transcendental special functions; Riemann hypothesis; generalized Riemann hypothesis.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant No. 11771355 and No. 12161022.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function defined in (1.1), (1.3) such that it has a nonzero root and it's of order strictly less than 1. If there is a positive number β_0 with $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (1.3) and (1.4) satisfies*

$$(1.6) \quad \Re(\lambda_n) \geq \beta_0 |\lambda_n| > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $f(z)$ has only negative zeros if and only if there exists a nonnegative integer $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, all the functions

$$(1.7) \quad G_k^{(m)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^m}{x^{m+k}} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} x \right)^k \left(x^m \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The function $G_k^{(m)}(x)$ also has a simpler representation,

$$(1.8) \quad G_k^{(m)}(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{x} \right)^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right),$$

they also satisfy the recursion,

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} G_0^{(m)}(z) &= (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right), \\ G_k^{(m)}(z) &= (k+m) G_{k-1}^{(m)}(z) + z \frac{d}{dz} G_{k-1}^{(m)}(z), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Ramanujan's entire function $A_q(z)$ is an entire function of order 0 with only positive zeros for $0 < q < 1$, [8]. Then for all nonnegative integer k, m and $0 < q < 1$, the real functions $\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{x^m} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{A_q'(-x)}{A_q(-x)} \right) \right)$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. However, there are many other transcendental special functions of the form

$$(1.10) \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n z^{2n}, \quad a_0 \cdot a_n > 0,$$

for example, the Riemann $\Xi(z)$, and the Bessel functions $\frac{J_\nu(z)}{z^\nu}$, $\frac{J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)}{z^\nu}$ with $\nu > -1$, $0 < q < 1$, [2, 8]. If the order of $g(z)$ is strictly less than 2,

$$(1.11) \quad \rho(g) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n \log(2n)}{-\log |a_n|} = 2\rho(f) < 2,$$

then it is not hard to see that Theorem (1) can be applied to $g(z)$ that has at less one zero. The condition (1.6) is replaced by

$$(1.12) \quad \Re(z_n^2) > 0, \quad |\Im(z_n)| \leq M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here $M > 0$ is a positive constant that only depends on $g(z)$, and $\{\pm z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the roots of $g(z)$ with $\Re(z_n) > 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly, $f(z) = g(i\sqrt{z})$ transforms $g(z)$ in (1.10) into $f(z)$ in (1.1) of order strictly less than 1, and the zeros $\{\pm z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $g(z)$ into the zeros $\{-\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $f(z)$ with $\lambda_n = z_n^2$. Therefore, $\lambda_n > 0$ if and only if $z_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $g(z)$ is an entire function not identically 0, then $\{\pm z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no limit points on the finite complex plane. By (1.10) and (1.12) we must have

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| = +\infty, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Re(z_n) = +\infty.$$

Without loss of generality we may let

$$(1.14) \quad 0 < \Re(z_1) \leq \Re(z_2) < \dots \leq \Re(z_n) \leq \Re(z_{n+1}) \leq \dots$$

Then for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1.15) \quad \epsilon |z_n|^2 \geq 2M^2, \quad \forall n \geq N_\epsilon.$$

Hence, for all $n \geq N_\epsilon$

$$(1.16) \quad 0 < \Re(z_n^2) = |z_n|^2 - 2(\Im(z_n))^2 \geq |z_n|^2 - 2M^2 \geq (1 - \epsilon) |z_n|^2.$$

Let

$$(1.17) \quad \beta_0 = \min \left\{ 1 - \epsilon, \frac{\Re(z_1^2)}{|z_1|^2}, \dots, \frac{\Re(z_{N_\epsilon}^2)}{|z_{N_\epsilon}^2|} \right\} \in (0, 1),$$

then it is clear that

$$(1.18) \quad \Re(z_n^2) \geq \beta_0 |z_n^2|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

thus $\lambda_n = z_n^2$ satisfies (1.6).

It is known that for $\nu > -1$ the even entire function $\frac{J_\nu(z)}{z^\nu}$ is of order 1 with only real zeros, while for $\nu > -1$, $0 < q < 1$ the q -Bessel function $\frac{J_\nu^{(2)}(z; q)}{z^\nu}$ is an even entire functions that of order 0 with only real zeros, [8]. Applying Theorem 1 to the Bessel functions we conclude that for all nonnegative integers k, m and any positive number q satisfying $0 < q < 1$, the functions $\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)\right)$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ where $f(x) = x^{-\nu/2} I_\nu(\sqrt{x})$ or $f(x) = x^{-\nu/2} I_\nu^{(2)}(\sqrt{x}; q)$.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

2.1. The heat kernel $\Theta(x)$.

Lemma 2. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function defined in (1.1), (1.3) with order $\rho(f) < 1$ such that there exists a positive number $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ it satisfies (1.6). Then its associated heat kernel*

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t}, \quad t > 0$$

is in $C^\infty(0, \infty)$, and for any

$$(2.2) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad 1 > \alpha > p(f), \quad 0 < \beta < \beta_0 \inf \left\{ |\lambda_n| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

the function $\Theta(t)$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \Theta^{(k)}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-\alpha-k}), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta^{(k)}(t) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\beta t}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Furthermore, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^\infty t^k e^{-xt} |\Theta(t)| dt \leq \frac{k!}{\beta_0^{k+1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{k+1}} < \infty$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad (-1)^k \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{(k)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(x + \lambda_n)^{k+1}} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} t^k \Theta(t) dt.$$

Proof. Since for any $y > 0$

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{x>0} x^y e^{-x} = \left(\frac{y}{e} \right)^y,$$

then for any $t > 0$, $k \geq 0$ by (1.5) and (1.6),

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n^k e^{-\lambda_n t}| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^k e^{-\Re(\lambda_n)t} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_0 t |\lambda_n|)^{k+\alpha} e^{-\beta_0 |\lambda_n| t}}{(\beta_0 t)^{\alpha+k} |\lambda_n|^{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{x>0} x^{k+\alpha} e^{-x}}{(\beta_0 t)^{\alpha+k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{k+\alpha}{e\beta_0 t} \right)^{k+\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{\alpha}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\Theta(t) \in C^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ and (2.3).

Let $0 < \beta < \beta_0 \inf \left\{ |\lambda_n| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, then there exists a positive number ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \beta = \epsilon \beta_0 \inf \left\{ |\lambda_n| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \leq \epsilon \beta_0 |\lambda_n|, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus for $t \geq 1$ by (2.8),

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |e^{\beta t} \Theta^{(k)}(t)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^k e^{-(\beta_0 |\lambda_n| - \beta)t} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^k e^{-(1-\epsilon)\beta_0 |\lambda_n| t} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^k e^{-(1-\epsilon)\beta_0 |\lambda_n|} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes (2.4).

For all $x, k \geq 0$ since

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-xt} |\Theta(t)| dt &\leq \int_0^{\infty} t^k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(x+\Re(\lambda_n))t} \right) dt \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-(x+\beta_0 |\lambda_n|)t} dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(x + \beta_0 |\lambda_n|)^{k+1}} \leq \frac{k!}{\beta_0^{k+1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{k+1}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{(k)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(x + \lambda_n)^{k+1}} \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-(x+\lambda_n)t} dt = (-1)^k \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} t^k \Theta(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. Let $f(z)$, $\Theta(x)$ and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be defined as in Theorem 1 and Lemma 2. Then the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is positive if and only if the function $\Theta(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is positive, then

$$(2.13) \quad (-1)^k \Theta^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k e^{-\lambda_n t} \geq 0, \quad \forall t > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

hence $\Theta(t)$ is completely monotonic by definition.

On the other hand if $\Theta(t)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then by the Bernstein's theorem there exists a nonnegative measure $d\mu(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that [9, 10]

$$(2.14) \quad \Theta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-yt} d\mu(y), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

For any $x \geq 0$ we multiply e^{-xt} both sides of (2.14) and integrate it with respect to t from 0 to ∞ to get

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} \Theta(t) dt &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x + \lambda_n} = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-yt} d\mu(y) \right) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{x + y}. \end{aligned}$$

The exchange of orders between summation and integration, and between integrations are guaranteed by (2.5), (2.6) and Fubini's theorem.

By Fatou's Lemma and (2.5), (2.6) and (2.15),

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &< \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} = \int_0^{\infty} \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \frac{d\mu(y)}{x + y} \leq \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{x + y} \\ &= \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \left| \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right| \leq \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x + \Re(\lambda_n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

For $x, y > 0$ since $\frac{1}{(x+y)^2} < \frac{1}{4xy}$ then,

$$(2.17) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x+y)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4x} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} < \infty.$$

For $x, y > 0$, $|h| < \frac{x}{2}$ by (2.15), Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and the inequality

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{2}{|(x+y)(x+y+h)|} \leq \frac{1}{(x+y)^2} + \frac{1}{(x/2+y)^2}$$

we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right) &= -\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{x+y} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x+y} - \frac{1}{x+y+h} \right) d\mu(y) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x+y)(x+y+h)} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x+y)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Fatou's Lemma and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad 0 &< \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} = \int_0^\infty \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x+y)^2} \leq \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{(x+y)^2} \\
 &= \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right| \leq \liminf_{x \downarrow 0} \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(x + \Re(\lambda_n))^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.21) \quad s(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{z+y},$$

we first show that $s(z)$ is continuous and bounded on $\Re(z) \geq 0$ and analytic in $\Re(z) > 0$.

For $\Re(z) \geq 0$ since

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{|z+y|} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{\Re(z)+y} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} < \infty$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{|z+y|^2} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{(\Re(z)+y)^2} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} < \infty,$$

then both integrals

$$(2.24) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{z+y}, \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{(z+y)^2}$$

converge absolutely for $\Re(z) \geq 0$ and uniformly on any of its compact subsets. Thus they are continuous on $\Re(z) \geq 0$ by (2.22) and (2.23). Similar to the proof of (2.19) we can show that

$$(2.25) \quad s'(z) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{(z+y)^2}, \quad \Re(z) > 0,$$

Then $s(z)$ is analytic in $\Re(z) > 0$.

Next we prove that $s(z)$ is continuous on any of its compact subsets of $\{z | \Re(z) \leq 0, \Im(z) \neq 0\}$ and analytic in $\{z | \Re(z) < 0, \Im(z) \neq 0\}$.

Let $\Re(z) = -a \leq 0$ and $b = \Im(z) \neq 0$, then for any positive number $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.26) \quad &\int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{|(y-a)+bi|} = \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|(y-a)+bi|} + \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{|(y-a)+bi|} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|b|} \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{y d\mu(y)}{y} + \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{y}{(y-a)} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} \\
 &\leq \frac{a+\epsilon}{|b|} \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} + \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{y-a+a}{y-a} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} \\
 &\leq \frac{a+\epsilon}{|b|} \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} + \left(1 + \frac{a}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{a}{\epsilon} + \frac{a+\epsilon}{|b|}\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.27) \quad & \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{|(y-a)+bi|^2} \leq \frac{1}{b^2} \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{y^2 d\mu(y)}{y^2} + \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{(y-a+a)^2}{(y-a)^2} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{a+\epsilon}{b}\right)^2 \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} + \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{a}{y-a}\right)^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{a+\epsilon}{b}\right)^2 \int_0^{a+\epsilon} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} + \left(1 + \frac{a}{\epsilon}\right)^2 \int_{a+\epsilon}^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} \\
& \leq \left(\left(\frac{a+\epsilon}{b}\right)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{a}{\epsilon}\right)^2\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y^2} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then both integrals

$$(2.28) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{y+z}, \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(y)}{(y+z)^2}$$

converge absolutely and uniformly on any of the compact subsets of $\{z | \Re(z) \leq 0, \Im(z) \neq 0\}$. Similar to the case $\Re(z) \geq 0$, the above estimates imply that $s(z)$ is continuous on $\{z | \Re(z) \leq 0, \Im(z) \neq 0\}$, analytic in $\{z | \Re(z) < 0, \Im(z) \neq 0\}$.

For any z_0 with $\Re(z_0) = 0$ and $\Im(z_0) \neq 0$, there exists a positive number $\epsilon > 0$ such that the disk $D(z_0, \epsilon) = \{z : |z - z_0| < \epsilon\}$ is completely contained in $\{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \Im(z) > 0\}$ or $\{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \Im(z) < 0\}$. Without losing any generality we may assume that $\Im(z_0) > 0$ and $D(z_0, \epsilon) \subset \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \Im(z) > 0\}$, and the other case can be treated similarly.

We first observe that $s(z)$ is continuous on the closure of $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$ except possibly on its intersection with the imaginary axis $\Re(z) = 0$ where it has only one-sided continuity. For any z in the intersection, let $\{z_j\}$ be a sequence in the closure of $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$ that converges to z . If all $\{z_j\}$ except finitely many are completely inside one of $\Re(z) \geq 0$ and $\{z | \Re(z) \leq 0, \Im(z) \geq \epsilon/3\}$, then by the one-sided continuity we have $s(z_j) \rightarrow s(z)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise, the sequence can be decomposed into two converging subsequences $\{z_{j_1}\}$ and $\{z_{j_2}\}$, which are completely contained inside in $\Re(z) \geq 0$ and $\{z | \Re(z) \leq 0, \Im(z) \geq \epsilon/3\}$ respectively. However, by the one-sided continuities proved on both sets we have $s(z_{j_k}) \rightarrow s(z)$ as $j_k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k = 1, 2$. Therefore, $s(z)$ is continuous on the closure of $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$.

Given any closed piecewise C^1 curve γ in $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$. If it does not intersect the imaginary axis $\Re(z) = 0$, then it's completely contained in one of two disjoint regions, $\Re(z) > 0$ and $\{z | \Re(z) < 0, \Im(z) > 0\}$. From the above discussion $s(z)$ is analytic in both of them, then by Cauchy's integration theorem we have $\oint_\gamma s(z) dz = 0$. Otherwise, γ can be decomposed into a sum of closed piecewise C^1 curves $\{\gamma_j\}$ in $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$ by adding the line segments with opposed directions on $\Re(z) = 0$ enclosed by γ . Then $s(z)$ is continuous on the closed sets enclosed by each γ_j and analytic in their interiors. By a weaker version of Cauchy's integration theorem for each j we have $\oint_{\gamma_j} s(z) dz = 0$ and [1]

$$(2.29) \quad \oint_\gamma s(z) dz = \sum_j \oint_{\gamma_j} s(z) dz = \sum_j 0 = 0.$$

Then by Morera's theorem $s(z)$ is analytic in $D(z_0, \epsilon/3)$, in particular at z_0 .

In the summary of above discussion we have proved that $s(z)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0)$ and analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.

Since the meromorphic function

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z + \lambda_n}$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $s(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, while by (2.15) they are equal on $z \geq 0$, then we must have

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = s(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\{-\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup (-\infty, 0])$$

by analytic continuation.

If there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that λ_{n_0} is not positive. Since $\Re(\lambda_{n_0}) > 0$ and $-\lambda_{n_0}$ is not negative, then $s(z)$ is analytic at $-\lambda_{n_0}$. Hence,

$$(2.32) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow -\lambda_{n_0}} s(z) = s(-\lambda_{n_0}) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(y)}{y - \lambda_{n_0}} \neq \infty.$$

On the other hand since $-\lambda_{n_0}$ is a zero of $f(z)$, then it is a simple pole of $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ with a positive residue. Hence,

$$(2.33) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow -\lambda_{n_0}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \infty.$$

Combining (2.32) and (2.33) we have reached the following contradiction,

$$(2.34) \quad \infty \neq s(-\lambda_{n_0}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -\lambda_{n_0}} s(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -\lambda_{n_0}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \infty.$$

This contradiction proves that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ must be a positive sequence. \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem.

Proof. For $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t > 0$ and z with $\Re(z) > 0$ we define

$$(2.35) \quad \Theta_k(t) = (-t)^k \Theta^{(k)}(t), \quad G_k^{(m)}(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} t^m \Theta_k(t) dt, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(2.36) \quad \Theta_0(t) = \Theta(t), \quad G_0^{(m)}(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} t^m \Theta(t) dt = (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right).$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t > 0$, it is clear that $(-1)^k \Theta^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $\Theta_k(t) \geq 0$. By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6) $G_k^{(m)}(x) \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ exists for all nonnegative integers k, m .

For each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, apply the Bernstein theorem to $G_k^{(m)}(x)$ we get that $\Theta_k(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $G_k^{(m)}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, by (2.3), (2.4) and integration by parts,

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} G_k^{(m)}(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} t^m \Theta_k(t) dt = (-1)^k \int_0^{\infty} t^{k+m} e^{-xt} \frac{d}{dt} \Theta^{(k-1)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (k+m-xt) e^{-xt} t^m \Theta_{k-1}(t) dt = (k+m) G_{k-1}^{(m)}(x) + x \frac{d}{dx} G_{k-1}^{(m)}(x) \\ &= x^{1-k-m} \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{k+m} G_{k-1}^{(m)}(x) \right) = \frac{1}{x^{k+m}} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} x \right) \left(x^{k-1+m} G_{k-1}^{(m)}(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

then,

(2.38)

$$x^{k+m}G_k^{(m)}(x) = \left(x \frac{d}{dx} x\right) \left(x^{k-1+m}G_{k-1}^{(m)}(x)\right) = \cdots = \left(x \frac{d}{dx} x\right)^k \left((-x)^m \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)\right),$$

it leads to

$$(2.39) \quad G_k^{(m)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^m}{x^{m+k}} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} x\right)^k \left(x^m \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)\right).$$

On the other hand, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$ by (2.3), (2.4) and integration by parts,

(2.40)

$$\begin{aligned} G_k^{(m)}(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} t^m \Theta_k(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} (-t)^k t^m \Theta^{(k)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (e^{-xt} t^{k+m}) \Theta(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \left(e^y y^{-m} \frac{d^k}{dy^k} (e^{-y} y^{k+m}) \right) \Big|_{y=xt} e^{-xt} t^m \Theta(t) dt \\ &= k! \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^y y^{-m}}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dy^k} (e^{-y} y^{k+m}) \right) \Big|_{y=xt} e^{-xt} t^m \Theta(t) dt = k! \int_0^\infty L_k^{(m)}(xt) e^{-xt} t^m \Theta(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(2.41) \quad G_k^{(m)}(x) = k! \int_0^\infty L_k^{(m)}(xt) e^{-xt} t^m \Theta(t) dt,$$

where we have applied the Rodrigues formula for Laguerre polynomials,[2, 8]

$$(2.42) \quad L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha} e^x}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha}).$$

Since

$$(2.43) \quad L_k^{(m)}(xt) = \frac{e^{xt}}{k! x^m} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (e^{-tx} x^{k+m}),$$

then

(2.44)

$$\begin{aligned} G_k^{(m)}(x) &= k! \int_0^\infty L_k^{(m)}(xt) e^{-xt} t^m \Theta(t) dt = x^{-m} \int_0^\infty \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (e^{-tx} x^{k+m}) t^m \Theta(t) dt \\ &= x^{-m} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} x^{k+m} \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} t^m \Theta(t) dt = \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. AN APPLICATION

The Riemann ξ -function is defined by [2, 7],

$$(3.1) \quad \xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} (s-1) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s), \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad \sigma, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\Gamma(s)$, $\zeta(s)$ are the respective analytic continuations of

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s-1} dx, \quad \sigma > 0$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \sigma > 1.$$

It is well-known that $\xi(s)$ is an order 1 entire function that satisfies $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, and it has infinitely many zeros, all of them are in the vertical strip $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Then the Riemann Xi function $\Xi(s) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is\right)$ is an even entire function of order 1, and it has infinitely many zeros, all of them are in the horizontal strip $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. The Riemann hypothesis is that all the zeros of $\xi(s)$ are on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, or equivalently, all the zeros $\{\pm z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\Xi(s)$ are real where $z_1 \approx 14.1347$.

Let

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_n = z_n^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then it is known that for any $\epsilon > 0$, [5, 7]

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^{1/2+\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

Let

$$(3.6) \quad \Theta_{\Xi}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n^2 t}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by Lemma 2 we have

$$(3.7) \quad \Theta_{\Xi}^{(k)}(t) = \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1/2-\epsilon-k}\right), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

Since [7]

$$(3.8) \quad \Xi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(u) e^{ius} du = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(u) \cos(us) du,$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi(u) = \Phi(-u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(4n^4 \pi^2 e^{9u/2} - 6n^2 \pi e^{5u/2}\right) e^{-n^2 \pi e^{2u}} > 0.$$

Then,

$$(3.10) \quad \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n s^{2n}, \quad a_n = \frac{2}{(2n)!} \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(u) u^{2n} du > 0$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad f(s) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{s}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n s^n$$

defines an entire function of order $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\Xi(s)$ has only real roots if and only if $f(s)$ has only negative roots. Apply Theorem 1 to $f(s)$ we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4. *The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if there exists a non-negative integer m , all the functions*

$$(3.12) \quad \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{\xi'\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{x}\right)}{\sqrt{x} \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{x}\right)}\right)\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

For a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi(n)$ modulo q , let [2, 3, 5, 7]

$$(3.13) \quad \xi(s, \chi) = \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{(s+\kappa)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa}{2}\right) L(s, \chi),$$

where κ is the parity of χ and $L(s, \chi)$ is the analytic continuation of

$$(3.14) \quad L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}, \quad \sigma > 1.$$

Then $\xi(s, \chi)$ is an entire function of order 1 such that [5]

$$(3.15) \quad \xi(s, \chi) = \epsilon(\chi) \xi(1-s, \bar{\chi}),$$

where

$$(3.16) \quad \epsilon(\chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}}, \quad \tau(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^q \chi(n) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i n}{q}\right).$$

Let

$$(3.17) \quad G(s, \chi) = \xi(s, \chi) \cdot \xi(s, \bar{\chi}),$$

then

$$(3.18) \quad G(s, \chi) = \epsilon(\chi) \cdot \epsilon(\bar{\chi}) G(1-s, \chi).$$

Since [5]

$$(3.19) \quad \tau(\bar{\chi}) = \overline{\tau(\chi)}, \quad |\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{q},$$

then

$$(3.20) \quad G(s, \chi) = G(1-s, \chi).$$

Since the entire function $\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \chi\right)$ has an integral representation, [5]

$$(3.21) \quad \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \chi\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{isy} \varphi(y, \chi) dy,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad \varphi(y, \chi) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\kappa \chi(n) \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 \pi}{q} e^{2y} + \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right) y\right),$$

then

$$(3.23) \quad \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \chi\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n a_n(\chi) s^n, \quad a_n(\chi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \varphi(y, \chi) dy.$$

It is known that the fast decreasing smooth function $\varphi(y, \chi)$ satisfies the functional equation [5]

$$(3.24) \quad \varphi(y, \chi) = \frac{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \varphi(-y; \bar{\chi}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} a_n(\bar{\chi}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \varphi(y, \bar{\chi}) dy = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \varphi(-y, \bar{\chi}) dy \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n \tau(\bar{\chi})}{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \varphi(y, \chi) dy = \frac{(-1)^n \tau(\bar{\chi})}{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}} a_n(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(3.26) \quad f(s, \chi) = s^{-2\mu} G\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \chi\right) = s^{-2\mu} \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \chi\right) \cdot \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is, \overline{\chi}\right),$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the least nonnegative integer such that $a_\mu(\chi) \neq 0$, then the even entire function $f(s, \chi)$ has the series expansion

$$(3.27) \quad f(s, \chi) = f(-s, \chi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n b_n(\chi) s^{2n},$$

where $b_0(\chi) = \frac{(-1)^\mu \tau(\overline{\chi})}{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}} a_\mu^2(\chi)$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n(\chi) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2n} a_{j+\mu}(\chi) a_{2n-j+\mu}(\overline{\chi}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\mu \tau(\overline{\chi})}{i^\kappa \sqrt{q}} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j a_{j+\mu}(\chi) a_{2n-j+\mu}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $\xi(s, \chi)$ is an order 1 entire function with infinitely many zeros, all of them are in the horizontal strip $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, [5]. Then $f(s, \chi)$ is an order 1 even entire function with infinitely many zeros, all of them are in the horizontal strip $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. Clearly, all the zeros of $\xi(s, \chi)$ on the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if all the zeros of $f(s, \chi)$ are real. Therefore, the generalized Riemann hypothesis for $L(s, \chi)$ is equivalent to that all the zeros $\{\pm z_n(\chi)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $f(s, \chi)$ are real where $\Re(z_n^2) > 0$.

Let

$$(3.29) \quad \lambda_n(\chi) = z_n^2(\chi), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then it is know that for any $\epsilon > 0$, [5, 7]

$$(3.30) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n(\chi)|^{1/2+\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

Let

$$(3.31) \quad \Theta_{\Xi}(t, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n^2(\chi)t}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by Lemma 2 we have

$$(3.32) \quad \Theta_{\Xi}^{(k)}(t, \chi) = \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1/2-\epsilon-k}\right), \quad t \downarrow 0.$$

Similar to Corollary 4 we apply Theorem 1 to $f(s, \chi)$ we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 5. *The generalized Riemann hypothesis for a primitive Dirichlet character χ is true if and only if there exists a nonnegative integer m all the functions*

$$(3.33) \quad \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^m \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(x^{k+m} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \left(\frac{f'(\sqrt{x}, \chi)}{\sqrt{x} f(\sqrt{x}, \chi)}\right)\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ where $f(s, \chi)$ is defined by (2.25).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill Education, 1979.
- [2] G. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, 1st edition, Cambridge University Press.
- [3] T. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [4] R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, 1st edition, Academic Press, 1954.
- [5] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [6] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.3 of 2021-09-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [7] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover Publications, 2001.
- [8] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [9] R. Schilling, R. Song and Z. Vondracek, *Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications*, 1st edition, de Gruyter, 2010.
- [10] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 6, Princeton University Press, 1941.

Email address: `ruimingzhang@guet.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, GUILIN UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY, GUILIN, GUANGXI 541004, P. R. CHINA.