

# Optimal time decay estimation for large-solution about 3D compressible MHD equations <sup>1 2 3</sup>

Shuai Wang\*, Fei Chen<sup>\*†</sup>, Chuanbao Wang\*

\* School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qingdao University,  
Qingdao, Shandong 266071, China

**Abstract:** This paper mainly focus on optimal time decay estimation for large-solution about compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in 3D whole space, provided that  $(\sigma_0 - 1, u_0, M_0) \in L^1 \cap H^2$ . In [2] (Chen et al., 2019), they proved time decay estimation of  $\|(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^1}$  being  $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ . Based on it, we obtained that of  $\|\nabla(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^1}$  being  $(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}$  in [24]. Therefore, we are committed to improving that of  $\|\nabla^2(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{L^2}$  in this paper. Thanks to the method adopted in [25] (Wang and Wen, 2021), we get the optimal time decay estimation to the highest-order derivative for space of solution, which means that time decay estimation of  $\|\nabla^2(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{L^2}$  is  $(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}$ .

**Keywords:** Decay estimation; Large-solution; Compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35B45; 35Q35; 35B40

## 1 Introduction

We introduce the following compressible magnetohydrodynamic (CMHD) equations for  $(x, t) \in R^3 \times R^+$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma + \operatorname{div}(\sigma u) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\sigma u) + \operatorname{div}(\sigma u \otimes u) - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla P - (\operatorname{curl} M) \times M = 0, \\ \partial_t M - \nu \Delta M - \operatorname{curl}(u \times M) = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} M = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

the functions are density  $\sigma \in R^+$ , velocity field  $u \in R^3$ , magnetic field  $M \in R^3$  and pressure  $P = P(\sigma) = \sigma^\gamma$  (adiabatic exponent  $\gamma$  satisfies  $\gamma \geq 1$ ).  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  with  $\mu > 0$  and  $2\mu + 3\lambda > 0$  are viscosity coefficients, in addition,  $\nu > 0$  expresses magnetic diffusion coefficient. We supplement (1.1) by giving the following initial data

$$(\sigma, u, M)(x, 0) = (\sigma_0, u_0, M_0)(x) \rightarrow (1, 0, 0), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

About 3 dimensions CMHD equations, above all, we recall some well-posedness results. For classical solutions: global existence under conditions of being vacuum and small energy [15]; for large-solutions: local existence [5, 22], well-posedness for initial-boundary value question in  $H^1$  [23], global existence with  $\nu$  needing large enough and  $\gamma$  being close to 1 [10], as well as global existence and time decay estimations under  $L^l(1 \leq l < \frac{6}{5}) \cap H^3$  [1] or  $L^1 \cap H^2$  [2]. For weak solutions, the well-posedness and time decay estimations can be read in [3, 12, 13, 16, 19, 20, 26].

Next, we emphasis some related paper for time decay estimations about (1.1). In regard to small-solutions, time decay estimation on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  can be found in Zhu with Zi [28]. In the case that

<sup>1†</sup> Corresponding Author: feichenstudy@163.com (Fei Chen).

<sup>2\*\*</sup> Email Address: feichenstudy@163.com (Fei Chen); shuai172021@163.com (Shuai Wang); wcb1216@163.com (Chuanbao Wang).

<sup>3\*\*\*</sup> This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 12101345] and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China [grant number ZR2021QA017].

low-frequency parts of initial data are in some  $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-b}$  ( $b_1 \geq 2, b_2 = \frac{2b_1}{q} - \frac{b_1}{2}, 1 - \frac{b_1}{2} < b \leq b_2$ ), time decay estimation of  $L^\mathfrak{L}$ -solution ( $\mathfrak{L} \in [2, \min(\frac{2b_1}{b_1-2}, 4)]$ ) was studied by Shi with Zhang [18]. If initial data is in  $L^l(1 \leq l < \frac{6}{5}) \cap H^3$ , Chen with Tan [1] proved global existence and showed (1.3) with  $f = 0, 1; \alpha = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{f}{2}$

$$\|\nabla^f(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^{3-f}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha}, \quad (1.3)$$

besides, Li with Yu [14] also got (1.3) in case of  $l = 1$ , as well as Zhang with Zhao [27] additional proved

$$\|\partial_t(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.4)$$

similarly, Pu with Guo [17] obtained (1.3) to full CMHD equations. On this basis of (1.3), Gao, Chen with Yao [6] showed (1.5) with  $f = 2, 3; \beta_1 = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{2}) + 1; \beta_2 = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{f}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2(\sigma - 1, u)\|_{H^1} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\beta_1}, \\ \|\nabla^f M\|_{H^{3-f}} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\beta_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

similarly, Gao, Tao with Yao [7] gained that to full CMHD equations. It's obviously that the decay rates about the higher-order derivatives for space in (1.5) is faster than that in (1.3). Based on Guo with Wang [9], Tan with Wang [21] established (1.6) which is about the higher-order derivatives for space in the event that initial data is under  $H^L(L \geq 3) \cap \dot{H}^n(0 \leq n < \frac{3}{2})$

$$\|\nabla^f(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^{L-f}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{f+n}{2}}, \quad f = 0, 1, \dots, L-1. \quad (1.6)$$

In addition, in case of  $H^S(S \geq 3) \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-s}(0 \leq s \leq \frac{5}{2})$ , Huang, Lin with Wang [11] proved time decay estimation about the highest-order derivatives for space

$$\|\nabla^f(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{f+s}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq f \leq S. \quad (1.7)$$

As for large-solutions, recently, Chen, Huang with Xu [2] studied global stability and time decay estimation for large-solution in case of  $(\sigma_0 - 1, u_0, M_0) \in L^1 \cap H^2$

$$\|(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad (1.8)$$

based on that, Gao, Wei with Yao [8] gained that about the higher-order derivatives for space of  $M$

$$\|\nabla M\|_{H^1} + \|M_t\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \quad (1.9)$$

and we [24] proved that about the higher-order derivatives for space of  $(\sigma, u, M)$

$$\|\partial_t(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \quad (1.10)$$

Hence, in our study, from (1.10), we expect to know: whether we can improve time decay estimation of  $\|\nabla^2(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_{L^2}$ , which is faster than  $(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}$ ?

**Notation:** Throughout the paper, constant  $C > 0$  is not about time, which may be variable in

different lines. Besides, for purpose of simplifying the writing, denote  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} = \|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{H^p} = \|\cdot\|_p$ ,  $\|w_1\|_W + \|w_2\|_W = \|(w_1, w_2)\|_W$  as well as  $\|w_1\|_W^v + \|w_2\|_W^v = \|(w_1, w_2)\|_W^v$ .

Write Theorem 1.1 proved in [2] because of the usage more than once in our study.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume conditions are as follows:  $\mu > \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ ,  $(\sigma, u, M)$  is a smooth global solution about (1.1),  $\sigma \in [0, N_1]$ ,  $(\sigma_0, u_0, M_0)$  with  $0 < a \leq \sigma_0$  satisfies admissible conditions,  $(\sigma_0 - 1, u_0, M_0) \in L^1 \cap H^2$ ,  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\sigma(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\beta} + \sup_{t \geq 0} \|M(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq N_2$  with  $0 < \beta < 1$ , so, for  $\forall t > 0$ ,  $\exists \underline{\sigma} = \underline{\sigma}(a, N_2) > 0$ , it holds*

$$\sigma(x, t) \geq \underline{\sigma}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\|(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_2^2 + \int_0^\infty \left( \|\nabla(\sigma - 1)(\tau)\|_1^2 + \|\nabla(u, M)(\tau)\|_2^2 \right) d\tau \leq C_0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\|(\sigma - 1, u, M)\|_1 \leq C_0(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (1.13)$$

Now, let us give the statement of our conclusion:

**Theorem 1.2.** *On the basis of conditions about Theorem 1.1, for  $\forall t \geq \tilde{T}$ ,  $(\sigma, u, M)$  about (1.1) has time decay estimation*

$$\|\nabla^k(\sigma - 1, u, M)\| \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (1.14)$$

Here, the large time  $\tilde{T}$  is going to be defined in Lemma 2.7.

And then, we present the main method and process. In the first step, based on estimations about the second-order derivative for space of  $(q, u, M)$  and  $\nabla^2 q$  which were obtained in [24], we set up the below energy estimation by classical energy method

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta P'(1) |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C_1 \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for a small constant  $\delta > 0$ , a large enough time  $T_1 > 0$  and a constant  $C_1 > 0$  (not about time), here

$$E(t) := \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q dx + \frac{p'(1)}{2} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 M\|^2.$$

Obviously,  $\int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q dx$  is a difficult term to get time decay estimation. But, owing to the smallness of  $\delta$ ,  $E(t) \sim \|\nabla(q, u, M)\|_1^2$ , so, in [24], we only obtain same time decay estimations of  $\|\nabla(q, u, M)\|$  and  $\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)\|$ . To overcome this difficulty, we adopt the method inspired by Wang with Wen [25]. In [25], they used a method which has no decay loss of the highest-order derivative for space of solution to prove optimal time decay estimation for small-solution about compressible Navier-Stokes equations with reaction diffusion. Thus, in the second step, the detailed process in Lemma 2.4, we establish the estimation of  $\int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx$  ( $q^L$  is low-medium frequency portion of  $q$  which the

details is in Appendix A ), and then take the elimination of it on  $E(t)$ , it can get

$$E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \sim \|\nabla^2(q, u, M)\|^2,$$

further, for  $T_*$  ( large enough time) and constant  $C_* > 0$  (not about time), one has

$$\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(t)\|^2 \leq C e^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(T_*)\|^2 + C \int_{T_*}^t e^{-C_*(t-\tau)} \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau.$$

Finally, based on time decay estimation for solution about linearized equations, which is the analysis for low-middle-frequency portion (details in Appendix B), time decay estimation of  $\|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)(\tau)\|^2$  is derived, further, we set up that of  $\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)\|^2$ .

## 2 Process of proof

The process of proof about Theorem 1.2 mainly includes four parts: energy estimations, elimination of  $\int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx$ ,  $L_x^2 L_t^\infty$ -norm-estimation for low-middle-frequency portion as well as time decay estimation about nonlinear equations. Firstly, define  $q := \sigma - 1$  and  $\eta := \mu + \lambda$ , then, rewrite (1.1) and (1.2), one has

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q + \operatorname{div} u = \mathfrak{a}, \\ \partial_t u + P'(1) \nabla q - \eta \nabla \operatorname{div} u - \mu \Delta u = \mathfrak{b}, \\ \partial_t M - \nu \Delta M = \mathfrak{c}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$(q, u, M)(x, 0) = (q_0, u_0, M_0)(x) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}$  are defined as

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{a} := -u \cdot \nabla q - q \operatorname{div} u, \\ \mathfrak{b} := \frac{1}{q+1} (M \cdot \nabla M + M \cdot \nabla^t M) - \frac{q}{q+1} (\mu \Delta u + \eta \nabla \operatorname{div} u) - \left( \frac{P'(1+q)}{1+q} - P'(1) \right) \nabla q - u \cdot \nabla u, \\ \mathfrak{c} := -u \cdot \nabla M - (\operatorname{div} u) M + M \cdot \nabla u. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

### 2.1 Energy estimations

We thanks to the following Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 obtained in [24], they are estimations about the second-order derivative for space of  $(q, u, M)$  as well as  $\nabla^2 q$  respectively, based on this two Lemma, Lemma 2.3 can be obtained.

**Lemma 2.1.** *On the basis of conditions to Theorem 1.1, estimation of the second-order derivative for space of solution is as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \left( \frac{p'(1)}{2} |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^2 M|^2 \right) dx + \int_{R^3} \left( \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \|(u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M, \nabla u)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \|\nabla^2(u, M)\|_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *On the basis of conditions to Theorem 1.1, estimation of  $\nabla^2 q$  is as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q dx + \int_{R^3} \frac{7p'(1)}{8} |\nabla^2 q|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^2 u\|_1^2 + C \left( \|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Next, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 contribute to Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.3.** *On the foundation of conditions to Theorem 1.1, define  $E(t)$*

$$E(t) := \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q dx + \frac{p'(1)}{2} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 M\|^2, \quad (2.6)$$

for a small constant  $\delta > 0$ , a large enough time  $T_1 > 0$  and a constant  $C_1 > 0$  (not about time), it establishes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta P'(1) |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C_1 \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* Choosing a small constant  $\delta > 0$ , then, adding up (2.4) with  $\delta \times (2.5)$  and using (1.12), it may check

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q + \frac{p'(1)}{2} |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla^2 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & + \frac{3}{4} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta P'(1) |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C \left( \|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M, \nabla u)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^3 M\|^2 \right) \\ & + C \left( \|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M, \nabla u)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right) \\ & + \tilde{C} \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Using (1.13), it easily check

$$\|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M, \nabla u)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{16}},$$

so, for a large enough time  $T_1 > 0$

$$C \left( \|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M, \nabla u)\|_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{16}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \min\{\delta P'(1), \mu, \nu, 4\tilde{C}\delta\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus, (2.7) can be proved by combining (2.8) and (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta P'(1) |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C_1 \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.2 Elimination of $\int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx$

We aim to demonstrate  $L_x^2 L_t^\infty$ -norm-estimation of  $\nabla^2(q, u, M)$  by the elimination of  $\int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx$  on  $E(t)$  (2.6).

**Lemma 2.4.** *It holds that for  $T_*$  (large enough time) and constant  $C_* > 0$  (not about time)*

$$\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(t)\|^2 \leq C e^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(T_*)\|^2 + C \int_{T_*}^t e^{-C_*(t-\tau)} \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau.$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\nabla(2.1)_2$  by  $\nabla^2 q^L$ , integrating over  $R^3$  and using  $(2.1)_1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx &= -P'(1) \int_{R^3} \nabla^2 q \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx + \eta \int_{R^3} \nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx + \mu \int_{R^3} \nabla \Delta u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \\ &\quad + \int_{R^3} \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx + \int_{R^3} \nabla \operatorname{div} u^L \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u dx - \int_{R^3} \nabla \mathbf{a}^L \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It's obviously to obtain (2.11) by Hölder and Young inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx &\leq \frac{P'(1)}{4} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left( P'(1) + \frac{\mu + \eta + 1}{2} \right) \|\nabla^2 q^L\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u^L\|^2 + \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{a}^L\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

According to (2.3)<sub>1</sub>, by Hölder and G-N (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) inequalities as well as (1.12), we can check

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{a}\| &\leq C \|\nabla^2 q\| \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^3} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^6} + \|q\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\| \\ &\leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla q\|_{L^3} + \|q\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\nabla^2 q\| + \|\nabla^2 u\|) \\ &\leq C \left( \|u\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 u\|^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|q\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 q\|^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) (\|\nabla^2 q\| + \|\nabla^2 u\|) \\ &\leq C \|(q, u)\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2(q, u)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Thanks to (2.14) and (2.15) in [24], it follows

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\| \leq C \left( \|(u, M)\|_1 + \|(q, u, M)\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) (\|\nabla^2 q\| + \|\nabla^2(u, M)\|_1). \quad (2.13)$$

By (A.5) and Lemma A.1, it gets

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{a}^L\| \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{a}\| + \|\nabla \mathbf{a}^h\| \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{a}\|. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.11)-(2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx &\leq \frac{P'(1)}{4} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + C \|\nabla^2 q^L\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u^L\|^2 + \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \left( \|(u, M)\|_1^2 + \|(q, u, M)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \|\nabla^2(u, M)\|_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Similar (2.9), using (1.13), for a large enough time  $T_2 > 0$

$$C\left(\|(u, M)\|_1^2 + \|(q, u, M)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq \frac{P'(1)}{8}. \quad (2.16)$$

Summing  $\delta \times (2.15)$  with (2.7), using (2.16) and then checking that for  $T_* = \max\{T_1, T_2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^3} \left( \delta P'(1) |\nabla^2 q|^2 + \eta |\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu |\nabla^3 u|^2 + \nu |\nabla^3 M|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{P'(1)}{4} \delta \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \delta \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \delta \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + C \delta \|\nabla^2 q^L\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u^L\|^2 \\ & + \delta \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + C_1 \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right) + \frac{P'(1)}{8} \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_1^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

By Lemma A.3, it can check

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla^3 M\|^2 \geq \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 u^h\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\nabla^3 M\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 M^h\|^2. \quad (2.18)$$

Putting (2.18) into (2.17), and then adding  $\frac{\mu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 u^L\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 M^L\|^2$  on either side of the result, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \right) + \frac{P'(1)}{8} \delta \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\nabla^3 M\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{8} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{8} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \delta \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \delta \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + C \delta \|\nabla^2 q^L\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u^L\|^2 + \delta \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|^2 \\ & + C_1 \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \right) + \frac{P'(1)}{8} \delta \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_1^2 + \|\nabla^2 M\|_1^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{\mu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 u^L\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 M^L\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

then, noticing  $\delta \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{8}, \frac{\mu}{2P'(1)}, \frac{\nu}{P'(1)} \right\} := \delta_0$  and then  $B_0^2 \geq \max \left\{ \frac{48\delta_0}{\mu}, \frac{48C_1\delta_0}{\mu}, \frac{6P'(1)\delta_0}{\mu}, \frac{32C_1\delta_0}{\nu}, \frac{4P'(1)\delta_0}{\nu} \right\}$ , we can check it out

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \right) + \frac{P'(1)}{8} \delta \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \|\nabla^2 \operatorname{div} u\|^2 \\ & + \frac{\mu}{8} \|\nabla^3 u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{8} \|\nabla^3 M\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{16} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{16} B_0^2 \|\nabla^2 M\|^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

By (A.5) and Lemma A.1 respectively, it proves

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx &= \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^h dx + \frac{P'(1)}{2} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 M\|^2, \\ \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^h dx &= -\delta \int_{R^3} \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \nabla q^h dx \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \|\nabla q^h\|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \|\nabla \operatorname{div} u\|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \|\nabla^2 q\|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \|\nabla^2 u\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

based on the smallness of  $\delta$ , it implies

$$E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \sim \|\nabla^2(q, u, M)\|^2. \quad (2.22)$$

Together with (2.20) and (2.22), a constant  $C_*$  can exist so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \right) + C_* \left( E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx \right) \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Integrating (2.23)  $\times e^{C_* t}$  on  $[T_*, t]$  which respects to time, we can check it out

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 q^L dx & \leq C e^{-C_* t} \left( E(T_*) - \delta \int_{R^3} \nabla u(T_*) \cdot \nabla^2 q^L(T_*) dx \right) \\ & + C \int_{T_*}^t e^{-C_*(t-\tau)} \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

The combination of (2.22) and (2.24) leads to

$$\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(t)\|^2 \leq C e^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2(q, u, M)(T_*)\|^2 + C \int_{T_*}^t e^{-C_*(t-\tau)} \|\nabla^2(q^L, u^L, M^L)(\tau)\|^2 d\tau.$$

□

### 2.3 $L_x^2 L_t^\infty$ -norm-estimation for low-middle-frequency portion

This part is  $L_x^2 L_t^\infty$ -norm-estimation for low-middle-frequency portion of  $(q, u, M)$  about nonlinear equations (2.1) and (2.2), which is based on the analysis of linearized equations in Appendix B.

Define differential operator  $\mathbf{Q}$

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{div} & 0 \\ P'(1)\nabla & -\eta\nabla \text{div} - \mu\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\nu\Delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.25)$$

so, (2.1) and (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X + \mathbf{Q}X = F(X), \\ X|_{t=0} = X(0), \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

where  $X(t) := (q(t), u(t), M(t))^T$ ,  $F(X) := (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})^T$ , and  $X(0) := (q_0, u_0, M_0)^T$ . Further, denote  $\tilde{X}(t) := (\tilde{q}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \tilde{M}(t))^T$ , the linearized equations are as below

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{X} + \mathbf{Q}\tilde{X} = 0, \\ \tilde{X}|_{t=0} = X(0), \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

we can calculate its solution:  $\tilde{X} = \mathbf{q}(t)X(0)$ ,  $\mathbf{q}(t) = e^{-t\mathbf{Q}}$ .

In Appendix B, we analyze the estimations for low-middle-frequency portion of solution about equations (2.27), which leads to Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose  $1 \leq j \leq 2$ , the following estimation holds for  $\forall$  integer  $k \geq 0$*

$$\|\nabla^k(\mathbf{q}(t)X^L(0))\| \leq C\|X(0)\|_{L^j} (1+t)^{-[\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{k}{2}]}. \quad (2.28)$$



*Proof.* By Plancherel theorem, (B.12) and (B.14) with  $\mathfrak{b} = b_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = B_0$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^k(\widetilde{q}^L, \widetilde{\Upsilon}^L, \widetilde{M}^L)(t)\| &= \|(i\xi)^k(\widehat{\widetilde{q}^L}, \widehat{\widetilde{\Upsilon}^L}, \widehat{\widetilde{M}^L})(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(i\xi)^k(\widehat{\widetilde{q}^L}, \widehat{\widetilde{\Upsilon}^L}, \widehat{\widetilde{M}^L})(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq B_0} |\xi|^{2|k|} |(\widehat{\widetilde{q}}, \widehat{\widetilde{\Upsilon}}, \widehat{\widetilde{M}})(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq b_0} |\xi|^{2|k|} e^{-C_l |\xi|^2 t} |(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \left( \int_{b_0 \leq |\xi| \leq B_0} |\xi|^{2|k|} e^{-c t} |(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

then, taking Hölder as well as Hausdorff-Young inequalities on (2.29), for  $\frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{j'} = 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2 \leq j' \leq \infty$ , it can check

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^k(\widetilde{q}^L, \widetilde{\Upsilon}^L, \widetilde{M}^L)(t)\| &\leq C \|(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(0)\|_{L_\xi^{j'}} (1+t)^{-[\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{j'})+\frac{|k|}{2}]} \\
&\leq C \|(q, \Upsilon, M)(0)\|_{L^j} (1+t)^{-[\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{|k|}{2}]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

In the same way, from (B.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^k(\widetilde{\Gamma}u)^L(t)\| &\leq C \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq B_0} |\xi|^{2|k|} |\widehat{\widetilde{\Gamma}u}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq B_0} |\xi|^{2|k|} e^{-\mu |\xi|^2 t} |\widehat{\Gamma}u(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|u_0\|_{L^j} (1+t)^{-[\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{|k|}{2}]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Combining (2.30) with (2.31), we have verified (2.28).  $\square$

The solution about equations (2.26) can be calculated by the semigroup method and Duhamel principle,

$$X(t) = \mathbf{q}(t)X(0) + \int_0^t \mathbf{q}(t-\tau)F(X)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.32}$$

Combining Lemma 2.5 and (2.32), we obtain time decay estimation for low-middle-frequency portion of  $(q, u, M)$  about nonlinear equations (2.1) and (2.2).

**Lemma 2.6.** *The following estimation holds for  $\forall$  integer  $k \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^k X^L(t)\| &\leq C \|X(0)\|_{L^1} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|F(X)(\tau)\|_{L^1} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|F(X)(\tau)\| (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

## 2.4 Time decay estimation about nonlinear equations

We will use Lemma 2.4 and 2.6 to arrive at time decay estimation for  $(\sigma, u, M)$  about nonlinear equations (2.1) and (2.2).

**Lemma 2.7.** *On the basis of conditions about Theorem 1.1,  $\exists$  a large constant  $\tilde{T} > 0$  for  $\forall t \geq \tilde{T}$ ,  $(\sigma, u, M)$  about (1.1) has time decay estimation*

$$\|\nabla^k(\sigma - 1, u, M)\| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (2.34)$$

*Proof.* Denote

$$N(t) := \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \sum_{n=0}^2 (1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{4}+\frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla^n(q, u, M)(\tau)\| \quad (2.35)$$

so, it has

$$\|\nabla^n(q, u, M)(\tau)\| \leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq t, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 2. \quad (2.36)$$

It's easy to check the following inequalities by (1.11), Hölder and G-N inequalities

$$\|\mathbf{a}(\tau)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|(q, u)\| \|\nabla(q, u)\|, \quad (2.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}(\tau)\|_{L^1} &\leq C(\|M\| \|\nabla M\| + \|q\| \|\nabla^2 u\| + \|q\| \|\nabla q\| + \|u\| \|\nabla u\|) \\ &\leq C\left(\|(q, u, M)\| \|\nabla(q, u, M)\| + \|q\| \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{c}(\tau)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|(u, M)\| \|\nabla(u, M)\|. \quad (2.39)$$

By the combination of (2.37)-(2.39) and the usage of (1.13), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X)(\tau)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\mathbf{a}(\tau)\|_{L^1} + \|\mathbf{b}(\tau)\|_{L^1} + \|\mathbf{c}(\tau)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + C\|q\| \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Similarly, and using (2.36), (1.12) and (1.13), it obtains

$$\|\mathbf{a}(\tau)\| \leq C\|\nabla^2(q, u)\| \|(q, u)\|_1 \leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{7}{4}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{10}{4}}, \quad (2.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}(\tau)\| &\leq C(\|M\|_{L^3} \|\nabla M\|_{L^6} + \|q\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 u\| + \|q\|_{L^3} \|\nabla q\|_{L^6} + \|u\|_{L^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\nabla^2(q, u, M)\| \|(q, u, M)\|_1 + \|\nabla^2 u\| \|\nabla q\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 q\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(N(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{7}{4}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + N(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{7}{4}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{8}}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(N(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{10}{4}} + N(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{17}{8}}\right) \\ &\leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{17}{8}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{c}(\tau)\| \leq C\|\nabla^2(u, M)\| \|(u, M)\|_1 \leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{10}{4}}. \quad (2.43)$$

By the combination of (2.41)-(2.43), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X)(\tau)\| &\leq \|\mathbf{a}(\tau)\| + \|\mathbf{b}(\tau)\| + \|\mathbf{c}(\tau)\| \\ &\leq CN(t)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{17}{8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

According to (2.40), (2.44) and Lemma 2.6, for  $k \in [0, 2]$ , one may check

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k X^L(t)\| &\leq C\|X(0)\|_{L^1}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \\ &\quad + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left( (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \|q\| \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\ &\quad + CN(t) \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{17}{8}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\ &\leq C\|X(0)\|_{L^1}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} + CN(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{9}{8}-\frac{k}{2}} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1} + 1 + N(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{8}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

where we have adopted Young inequality, (1.13) and (1.12) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|q\| \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left( \|(q, \nabla u)(\tau)\|^2 + \|\nabla^3 u(\tau)\|^2 \right) (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left( (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \|\nabla^3 u(\tau)\|^2 \right) (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4, and use (2.45), yields directly for  $k \in [0, 2]$ ,  $t \geq T_*$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 X(t)\|^2 &\leq Ce^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 + C \int_{T_*}^t e^{-C_*(t-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(\tau)(1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right) d\tau \\ &\leq Ce^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 \right) + CN^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{17}{4}} \\ &\leq Ce^{-C_* t} \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

In addition, according to (A.5) and lemma A.1, it gets for  $k \in [0, 2]$

$$\|\nabla^k X(t)\|^2 \leq C \left( \|\nabla^k X^L(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^k X^h(t)\|^2 \right) \leq C \left( \|\nabla^k X^L(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^2 X(t)\|^2 \right). \quad (2.47)$$

Substituting (2.45) and (2.46) into (2.47), for  $k \in [0, 2]$ ,  $t \geq T_*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k X(t)\|^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-k} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right) \\ &\quad + Ce^{-C_*t} \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right) \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-k} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right) + Ce^{-C_*t} \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

Thus, from (2.35) and (2.48),  $\exists C_{**} > 0$  such that

$$N^2(t) \leq C_{**} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + N^2(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 \right).$$

Further,  $\exists \tilde{T} > 0$  for  $t \geq \tilde{T}$ , it holds

$$C_{**}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

such that

$$N^2(t) \leq 2C_{**} \left( \|X(0)\|_{L^1}^2 + 1 + \|\nabla^2 X(T_*)\|^2 \right),$$

combining it with (1.12), we can obtain for  $\forall t \geq \tilde{T}$

$$N(t) \leq C.$$

According to (2.36), we have proved (2.34), further more, we have confirmed Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## Appendix

### A Frequency decomposition

For  $\chi_x = \frac{1}{i}\nabla = \frac{1}{i}(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3})$ ,  $\phi_0(\chi_x)$  and  $\phi_1(\chi_x)$  are pseudo differential operators.  $0 \leq \phi_0(\xi), \phi_1(\xi) \leq 1$  ( $\xi \in R^3$ ) are cut off and also smooth functions which satisfy

$$\phi_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\xi| > b_0, \\ 1, & |\xi| < \frac{b_0}{2}, \end{cases} \quad \phi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| > B_0 + 1, \\ 0, & |\xi| < B_0, \end{cases}$$

Here,  $b_0$  and  $B_0$  (fixed constants) satisfy

$$0 < b_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{P'(1)}{\eta + \mu}}, \quad (A.1)$$

$$B_0 \geq \max \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{48\delta_0}{\mu}}, \sqrt{\frac{48C_1\delta_0}{\mu}}, \sqrt{\frac{6P'(1)\delta_0}{\mu}}, \sqrt{\frac{32C_1\delta_0}{\nu}}, \sqrt{\frac{4P'(1)\delta_0}{\nu}} \right\}, \quad (A.2)$$

Thus, by Fourier transform, for a function  $g(x) \in L^2(R^3)$ , let's define a frequency decomposition  $(g^l(x), g^m(x), g^h(x))$

$$g^l(x) = \phi_0(\chi_x)g(x), \quad g^m(x) = (I - \phi_0(\chi_x) - \phi_1(\chi_x))g(x), \quad g^h(x) = \phi_1(\chi_x)g(x). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Further, denote

$$g^L(x) := g^l(x) + g^m(x), \quad g^H(x) := g^m(x) + g^h(x), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

one has

$$g(x) = g^l(x) + g^m(x) + g^h(x) := g^l(x) + g^H(x) := g^L(x) + g^h(x). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Using Plancherel theorem and (A.3), the following inequalities can be gained.

**Lemma A.1.**  $\forall$  integers  $p_0, p, p_1, s$ , for  $p_0 \leq p \leq p_1 \leq s$  and  $g(x) \in H^s(R^3)$ , it gets

$$\|\nabla^p g^l\| \leq b_0^{p-p_0} \|\nabla^{p_0} g^l\|, \quad \|\nabla^p g^l\| \leq \|\nabla^{p_1} g\|, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\|\nabla^p g^h\| \leq \frac{1}{B_0^{p_1-p}} \|\nabla^{p_1} g^h\|, \quad \|\nabla^p g^h\| \leq \|\nabla^{p_1} g\|, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and

$$b_0^p \|g^m\| \leq \|\nabla^p g^m\| \leq B_0^p \|g^m\|. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

## B The analysis of linearized equations

Denote  $\Omega := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $\Upsilon := \Omega^{-1} \operatorname{div} u$ , then,  $u = -\Omega^{-1} \nabla \Upsilon - \Omega^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{curl} u)$ .

From (2.1), it can get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q + \Omega \Upsilon = \mathfrak{a}, \\ \partial_t \Upsilon - P'(1) \Omega q - (\eta + \mu) \Delta \Upsilon = \Omega^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{b}, \\ \partial_t M - \nu \Delta M = \mathfrak{c}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

while  $\Gamma u = \Omega^{-1} \operatorname{curl} u$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Gamma u - \mu \Delta \Gamma u = \Gamma \mathfrak{b}, \\ \Gamma u(x, 0) = \Gamma u_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Thus, based on the above analysis, the analysis of  $u$  only relies on the analysis of  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Gamma u$ . By the application of Fourier transform on (B.1), we can check

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{q} + |\xi| \widehat{\Upsilon} = \widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \\ \partial_t \widehat{\Upsilon} - P'(1)|\xi| \widehat{q} + (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 \widehat{\Upsilon} = \widehat{\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{b}}, \\ \partial_t \widehat{M} + \nu |\xi|^2 \widehat{M} = \widehat{\mathbf{c}}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

further, the linearized equations are as below

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{q} + |\xi| \widehat{\Upsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t \widehat{\Upsilon} - P'(1)|\xi| \widehat{q} + (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 \widehat{\Upsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t \widehat{M} + \nu |\xi|^2 \widehat{M} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

In fact, (B.4) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{q} \\ \widehat{\Upsilon} \\ \widehat{M} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{q} \\ \widehat{\Upsilon} \\ \widehat{M} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

while

$$\mathbb{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\xi| & 0 \\ -P'(1)|\xi| & (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu|\xi|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### B.1 The study of low-frequency portion

By (B.4), one may calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{P'(1)|\widehat{q}|^2 + |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + |\widehat{M}|^2}{2} \right) + (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2 |\widehat{M}|^2 = 0. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Adding up  $(B.4)_1 \times \widehat{\Upsilon}$  with  $\overline{(B.4)_2} \times \widehat{q}$ , it can check

$$\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{q} \widehat{\Upsilon}) + |\xi| |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 - P'(1)|\xi| |\widehat{q}|^2 = -(\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{q} \widehat{\Upsilon}). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Choosing  $\delta_* > 0$  (fixed small constant) and summing up  $-\delta_* |\xi| \times$  (B.7) with (B.6), yields directly

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{P'(1)|\widehat{q}|^2 + |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + |\widehat{M}|^2 - 2\delta_* |\xi| \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{q} \widehat{\Upsilon})}{2} \right) \\ & + (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2 |\widehat{M}|^2 - \delta_* |\xi|^2 |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + P'(1)\delta_* |\xi|^2 |\widehat{q}|^2 \\ & = \delta_* (\eta + \mu) |\xi|^3 \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{q} \widehat{\Upsilon}) \\ & \leq \frac{\delta_* (\eta + \mu)^2}{2P'(1)} |\xi|^4 |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \frac{P'(1)\delta_*}{2} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{q}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

By noticing

$$0 < \delta_* \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\eta + \mu}{2} \right\},$$

it can get from (B.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{P'(1)|\widehat{q}|^2 + |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + |\widehat{M}|^2 - 2\delta_*|\xi|Re(\widehat{q}\widehat{\Upsilon})}{2} \right) + \frac{\eta + \mu}{2}|\xi|^2|\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2|\widehat{M}|^2 + \frac{\delta_*P'(1)}{2}|\xi|^2|\widehat{q}|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(\eta + \mu)^2}{4P'(1)}|\xi|^4|\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

further, noticing a small constant  $b_0$ , for

$$|\xi| \leq b_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{P'(1)}{\eta + \mu}},$$

it can obtain from (B.9)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ell(\xi, t) + \frac{\eta + \mu}{4}|\xi|^2|\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2|\widehat{M}|^2 + \frac{\delta_*P'(1)}{2}|\xi|^2|\widehat{q}|^2 \leq 0, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where

$$\ell(\xi, t) := \frac{P'(1)|\widehat{q}|^2 + |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + |\widehat{M}|^2 - 2\delta_*|\xi|Re(\widehat{q}\widehat{\Upsilon})}{2}.$$

Because of  $\delta_*b_0 \leq \min\{\frac{P'(1)}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ , one has

$$\ell(\xi, t) \sim |\widehat{q}|^2 + |\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + |\widehat{M}|^2,$$

so, for  $|\xi| \leq b_0$ ,  $\exists$  constant  $C_l > 0$  such that

$$C_l|\xi|^2\ell(\xi, t) \leq \frac{\eta + \mu}{4}|\xi|^2|\widehat{\Upsilon}|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2|\widehat{M}|^2 + \frac{\delta_*P'(1)}{2}|\xi|^2|\widehat{q}|^2. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Thus, from (B.10) and (B.11), it obtains

$$\ell(\xi, t) \leq e^{-C_l|\xi|^2t}\ell(\xi, 0), \quad |\xi| \leq b_0. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

## B.2 The study of middle-frequency portion

Let's calculate the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda_0} = |Q - \lambda_0 I| = \left( \lambda_0^2 - (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2\lambda_0 + P'(1)|\xi|^2 \right) \left( \nu|\xi|^2 - \lambda_0 \right) = -\alpha_0\lambda_0^3 + \alpha_1\lambda_0^2 - \alpha_2\lambda_0 + \alpha_3,$$

here

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2, \quad \alpha_2 = \nu(\eta + \mu)|\xi|^4 + P'(1)|\xi|^2, \quad \alpha_3 = \nu P'(1)|\xi|^4.$$

Since  $\alpha_0, \alpha_4$  all positive, by Routh-Hurwitz theorem, all roots of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda_0}$  have positive real part if and only if  $\mathbb{Q}_1 > 0$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}_1 &= \alpha_1 = (\eta + \mu)|\xi|^2 + \nu|\xi|^2 > 0, \\ \mathbb{Q}_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix} = \nu(\eta + \mu)^2|\xi|^6 + (\eta + \mu)P'(1)|\xi|^4 + \nu^2(\eta + \mu)|\xi|^6 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

According to the analysis in subsection 3.3 proofed in [4], Lemma B.1 is attainable.

**Lemma B.1.** *For  $\forall$  constant  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  ( $0 < \mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{B}$ ),  $\exists$  constant  $\varsigma > 0$  which relies only on  $\eta, \nu, \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that for  $\forall \mathfrak{b} \leq |\xi| \leq \mathfrak{B}$  and  $t \in R^+$*

$$|e^{-t\mathbb{Q}}| \leq Ce^{-\varsigma t}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

From (B.5) and (B.13), for  $\forall \mathfrak{b} \leq |\xi| \leq \mathfrak{B}$ , one has

$$|(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(\xi, t)| = |e^{-t\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(\xi, 0)| \leq Ce^{-\varsigma t}|(\widehat{q}, \widehat{\Upsilon}, \widehat{M})(\xi, 0)|. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

### B.3 Estimation of $\widehat{\Gamma u}(\xi, t)$

Taking Fourier transform on (B.2), the linearized portion of that is as below

$$\partial_t \widehat{\Gamma u} + \mu|\xi|^2 \widehat{\Gamma u} = 0, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

for  $\forall |\xi| \geq 0$ , one has

$$|\widehat{\Gamma u}(\xi, t)|^2 \leq Ce^{-\mu|\xi|^2 t} |\widehat{\Gamma u}(\xi, 0)|^2. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

## References

- [1] Q. Chen and Z. Tan, “Global existence and convergence rates of smooth solutions for the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory Methods and Applications*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 4438–4451, 2010.
- [2] Y. H. Chen, J. C. Huang, and H. Y. Xu, “Global stability of large solutions of the 3-D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 47, pp. 272–290, 2019.
- [3] B. Ducomet and E. Feireisl, “The equations of magnetohydrodynamics: On the interaction between matter and radiation in the evolution of gaseous stars,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 266, no. 3, pp. 595–629, 2006.
- [4] R. Danchin and B. Ducomet, “On a simplified model for radiating flows,” *Journal of Evolution Equations*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 155–195, 2014.
- [5] J. S. Fan and W. H. Yu, “Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 392–409, 2009.



- [6] J. C. Gao, Y. H. Chen, and Z. A. Yao, “Long-time behavior of solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 128, pp. 122–135, 2015.
- [7] J. C. Gao, Q. Tao, and Z. A. Yao, “Optimal decay rates of classical solutions for the full compressible mhd equations,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 67, no. 2, 2016.
- [8] J. C. Gao, Z. Z. Wei, and Z. A. Yao, “Decay rate of strong solution for the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 102, 2020.
- [9] Y. Guo and Y. J. Wang, “Decay of dissipative equations and negative sobolev spaces,” *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 2165–2208, 2012.
- [10] G. Y. Hong, X. F. Hou, H. Y. Peng, and C. J. Zhu, “Global existence for a class of large solutions to three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum,” *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 2409–2441, 2017.
- [11] W. T. Huang, X. Y. Lin, and W. W. Wang, “Decay-in-time of the highest-order derivatives of solutions for the compressible isentropic mhd equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 502, no. 2, pp. 125273, 2021.
- [12] X. P. Hu and D. H. Wang, “Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 283, no. 1, pp. 255–284, 2008.
- [13] X. P. Hu and D. H. Wang, “Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows,” *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 197, no. 1, pp. 203–238, 2010.
- [14] F. C. Li and H. J. Yu, “Optimal decay rate of classical solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A: Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 109–126, 2011.
- [15] H. L. Li, X. Y. Xu, and J. W. Zhang, “Global classical solutions to 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large oscillations and vacuum,” *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 1356–1387, 2013.
- [16] S. Q. Liu, H. B. Y. a, and J. W. Zhang, “Global weak solutions of 3D compressible mhd with discontinuous initial data and vacuum,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 254, no. 1, p. 229–255, 2013.
- [17] X. K. Pu and B. L. Guo, “Global existence and convergence rates of smooth solutions for the full compressible mhd equations,” *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 519–538, 2013.
- [18] W. X. Shi and J. Z. Zhang, “A remark on the time-decay estimates for the compressible magnetohydrodynamic system,” *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 100, no. 11, pp. 2478–2498, 2021.

- [19] A. Suen, “Existence and uniqueness of low-energy weak solutions to the compressible 3D magnetohydrodynamics equations,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 268, no. 6, pp. 2622–2671.
- [20] A. Suen and D. Hoff, “Global low-energy weak solutions of the equations of three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamics,” *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 27–58.
- [21] Z. Tan and H. Q. Wang, “Optimal decay rates of the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 188–201, 2013.
- [22] A. I. Vol’pert and S. I. Hudjaev, “On the cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations,” *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 517–544, 1972.
- [23] D. H. WANG, “Large solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics,” *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1424–1441, 2003.
- [24] S. Wang, F. Chen, and C. Wang, “Estimation of decay rate to large-solution of 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic system,” *submitted*.
- [25] W. J. Wang and H. Y. Wen, “Global well-posedness and time-decay estimates for compressible navier-stokes equations with reaction diffusion,” *Science China Mathematics*, vol. 65, pp. 1199–1228, 2022.
- [26] G. C. Wu, Y. H. Zhang, and W. Y. Zou, “Optimal time-decay rates for the 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows with discontinuous initial data and large oscillations,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 817–845, 2020.
- [27] J. W. Zhang and J. N. Zhao, “Some decay estimates of solutions for the 3-D compressible isentropic magnetohydrodynamics,” *Communications in mathematical sciences*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 835–850, 2010.
- [28] L. M. Zhu and R. Z. Zi, “Decay estimates of the smooth solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations on  $T^3$ ,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 288, pp. 1–39, 2021.