

# Zero-divisor graph and comaximal graph of rings of continuous functions with countable range

Rakesh Bharati, Amrita Acharyya, A. Deb Ray,  
and Sudip Kumar Acharyya

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, two outwardly different graphs, namely, the zero divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  of the ring  $C_c(X)$  of all real-valued continuous functions having countable range, defined on any Hausdorff zero dimensional space  $X$ , are investigated. It is observed that these two graphs exhibit resemblance, so far as the diameters, girths, connectedness, triangulatedness or hyper-triangulatedness. are concerned. However, the study reveals that the zero divisor graph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  of an intermediate ring  $A_c(X)$  of  $C_c(X)$  is complemented if and only if the space of all minimal prime ideals of  $A_c(X)$  is compact. Moreover,  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented when and only when its subgraph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is complemented. On the other hand, the comaximal graph of  $C_c(X)$  is complemented if and only if the comaximal graph of its over-ring  $C(X)$  is complemented and the latter graph is known to be complemented if and only if  $X$  is a  $P$ -space. Indeed, for a large class of spaces (i.e., for perfectly normal, strongly zero dimensional spaces which are not  $P$ -spaces),  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are seen to be non-isomorphic. Defining appropriately the quotient of a graph, it is utilised to establish that for a discrete space  $X$ ,  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  ( $= \Gamma(C(X))$ ) and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  ( $= \Gamma'_2(C(X))$ ) are isomorphic, if  $X$  is atmost countable. Under the assumption of continuum hypothesis, the converse of this result is also shown to be true.

## 1. Introduction

We start with a Hausdorff zero-dimensional topological space  $X$ . Let  $C_c(X)$  denote the set of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  which have countable range. It is well known that  $C_c(X)$  is a subring as well as a sublattice of the familiar ring  $C(X)$  of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ . People have started investigating the algebraic and lattice properties of the rings  $C_c(X)$  and  $C_c^*(X) = C_c(X) \cap C^*(X)$  vis-a-vis corresponding topological properties of  $X$  only recently. We refer the articles [1], [2], [3],

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C30, 54C40, 05C69.

*Key words and phrases.* Zero-divisor graph, Comaximal graph, Space of minimal prime ideals,  $P$ -space, Quotient graph, Graph isomorphism.

The first author acknowledges financial support from University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for the award of research fellowship (F.No. 16-9(June 2018)/2019 (NET/CSIR)).

[11] in this context. It is rightly remarked in paragraph 5 of the introductory section of the article [11] that  $C_c(X)$  proves to be a good companion for  $C(X)$  in pegging some topological properties of  $X$  to appropriate algebraic properties of  $C(X)$  or to that of  $C_c(X)$ . Our intention in this article is to study some relevant properties of each of the two graphs viz. the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  of the ring  $C_c(X)$ . We would like to mention that in the literature there is only one paper on the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of the ring  $C(X)$  [4] and also a solitary article concerning the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$  [6]. It is realized that there is an interplay between the graph properties of  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  (respectively  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ ) and the ring properties of  $C_c(X)$ , leading to further interaction between these two properties and the topological properties of  $X$ . See Theorem 2.8, Theorem 3.11, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.12 in this connection. These may be called the countable counterparts of the corresponding theorems in [4] and in [6]. However in order to establish a number of properties in the present paper, we take to our advantage the presence of characteristic functions of clopen sets in  $X$ , which exist in abundance, thanks to the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ . This has simplified the proof of these results to some extent.

The vertices of the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  are the nonzero zero divisors in the ring  $C_c(X)$  and two vertices  $f$  and  $g$  are called adjacent, in which case these are connected by an edge if and only if  $fg = 0$ . On the other hand the vertices of the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are the nonzero non-units in  $C_c(X)$  and two such vertices  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be adjacent if and only if no maximal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  contains both  $f$  and  $g$ . We divide this article into two distinct parts, where the technical sections 2, 3 comprise several facts focussing on the graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . The subsequent technical section 4, deals with propositions involving the graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . The technical section 5 of this paper focusses mainly on the question: when do the two graphs  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  become isomorphic. This is high time we should narrate the organization of our article.

In section 2, we compute the distances between all possible pairs of vertices in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . It is seen that with the hypothesis  $|X| \geq 3$ , the diameter and girth of  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  are both 3 (Theorem 2.5). It is realized that  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is triangulated if and only if  $X$  is devoid of any isolated point (Theorem 2.8). It is further realized that  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is never hypertriangulated (Theorem 2.10). It is also seen that the length of the smallest cycle joining an arbitrary pair of vertices in this graph can be either 3 or 4 or 6 (Theorem 2.11).

In section 3, the problem when does  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  become complemented is mainly addressed. It turns out  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  becomes a complemented graph when and only when the space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  of all minimal prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$  with Zariski topology is compact (Theorem 3.11). For a typical intermediate ring  $A_c(X)$  lying between  $C_c^*(X)$  and  $C_c(X)$ , its zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is complemented if and only if  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented (Theorem 3.14). If we combine these two facts, then we get that  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is complemented if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(A_c(X))$  of all minimal prime ideals in  $A_c(X)$  with Zariski topology is compact (Theorem 3.18). With the

additional hypothesis that  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional, we realize that the space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  is compact if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$  is compact (Theorem 3.21). This is an instance of how tools and results in graph theory can lead to a purely topological result.

In section 4, we take to the technicalities of the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  of the ring  $C_c(X)$ . We compute the girth, diameter, eccentricity of vertices and the length of the possible smallest cycles  $c(f, g)$  joining two vertices  $f$  and  $g$  of this graph. It is proved that  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is triangulated if and only if  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  (the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$ ) is triangulated and this happens when and only when  $X$  has no isolated point (Remark 4.6). We realize that  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is never hypertriangulated. We further examine, the effect on some relevant properties of the graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  by imposing the additional hypothesis:  $X$  is a  $P$ -space/  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space. We prove that  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is complemented if and only if  $X$  is a  $P$ -space (Theorem 4.10). We realize that  $\text{Rad}\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) \equiv \text{radius of } \Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 3$  if and only if  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space and does not have any isolated point (Theorem 4.2). We like to mention that these are the countable counterparts of the corresponding facts in the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  of the ring  $C(X)$  as addressed in the article [6]. For more information on the ring  $C_c(X)$  and  $C_c^*(X)$ , the articles [1], [2], [3], [11] are referred.

In the last section viz. section 5 of this article, we concentrate mainly on the problem, how far the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  can be different/ same as graphs. A complete solution to this problem seems to be too wild to venture into. However, we have provided with a large class of zero-dimensional spaces  $X$  for which  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  are non isomorphic (Theorem 5.1). To get more examples of spaces  $X$ , having such properties, we initiate a type of quotient graph  $\widehat{G}$  of any simple graph  $G$ . Essentially vertices of  $\widehat{G}$  are constructed after gluing vertices of  $G$  by some natural rule and then defining the adjacency relation on  $\widehat{G}$  accordingly. It turns out that if  $X$  is a  $P$ -space then the quotient graph of the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$  is isomorphic to the quotient graph of the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$  (Remark 5.7). We exploit this result to prove with the support of the continuum hypothesis, that a discrete topological space  $X$  is countable if and only if  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  if and only if  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  (Theorem 5.15).

## 2. Technical notions related to the graph $\Gamma(C_c(X))$

For any two vertices  $f, g$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ , the length of the shortest path containing  $f$  and  $g$  is denoted by  $d(f, g)$ . Also  $C(f, g)$  designates the length of the smallest cycle joining  $f$  and  $g$ . We let  $V_0(C_c(X))$  stand for the set of vertices in the present zero-divisor graph of  $C_c(X)$ . The number  $\sup\{d(f, g) : f, g \in V_0(C_c(X))\}$  is called the diameter of  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ , and for any  $f \in V_0(C_c(X))$ ,  $\sup\{d(f, g) : g \in V_0(C_c(X))\}$  is called the eccentricity of  $f$ , and is denoted by  $e(f)$ . A vertex with largest eccentricity is called center of the graph and eccentricity of any vertex lying on the center is known as the radius of the original graph. For any  $f \in C_c(X)$ ,  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$

is called the zero-set of  $f$ . It is proved in Sublemma 1.1 in [4] that for a pair of vertices  $f, g$  in the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$ , there exists a vertex  $h$  in the same graph adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$  if and only if  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ . The following result tells that the countable counterpart of this important fact is true.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Given  $f, g \in V_0(C_c(X))$ , there exists  $h \in V_0(C_c(X))$  adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$  if and only if  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF.** First let there exist  $h \in V_0(C_c(X))$  adjacent to both of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . Then  $h$  is adjacent to each of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\Gamma(C(X))$ . It follows from sublemma 1.1 in [4] that  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ .

Conversely, let  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ . Choose a point  $x \in \text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g)$ . Since  $X$  is zero-dimensional, there exists a clopen set  $W$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq \text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g)$ . The characteristic function  $1_W : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $1_W(y) = 1$  if  $y \in W$  and  $1_W(y) = 0$  if  $y \in X \setminus W$  is surely a function in  $C_c(X)$ . We observe that  $1_W \cdot f = 0 = 1_W \cdot g$ . Then  $1_W \in V_0(C_c(X))$  is adjacent to both of  $f$  and  $g$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is connected meaning that any pair of distinct vertices in this graph can be joined by a path.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f, g \in V_0(C_c(X))$ . Then there exist  $h, k \in V_0(C_c(X))$  such that  $fh = gk = 0$ . If there exists  $h \in V_0(C_c(X))$  adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$ , then the proof finishes thereon. Assume therefore that no vertex in  $V_0(C_c(X))$  is adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . Now  $fh = gk = 0$  implies that  $(X \setminus Z(h)) \cap (X \setminus Z(k)) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g)$  and therefore  $(X \setminus Z(h)) \cap (X \setminus Z(k)) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $hk = 0$ . Thus  $f \sim h \sim k \sim g$  represents a path joining  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . Here the notation  $f \sim h$  stands for:  $f$  is adjacent to  $h$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 2.3.** The diameter of  $\Gamma(C_c(X)) \leq 3$ .

It is trivial that  $\text{gr}\Gamma(C_c(X)) \equiv$  the girth of  $C_c(X) \geq 3$ . We shall show that with the hypothesis  $|X| \geq 3$ , both the diameter and girth of  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  are exactly equal to 3. We need the following proposition for this purpose.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $f, g \in V_0(C_c(X))$ . Then*

- (1)  $d(f, g) = 1$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ .
- (2)  $d(f, g) = 2$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (3)  $d(f, g) = 3$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ .

**PROOF.** (1) is trivial.

(2) follows from (1) of the present theorem and Theorem 2.1.

(3). It follows from (1) and (2) of the present theorem that if  $d(f, g) = 3$  then  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . Conversely, let  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . Then from (1) and (2) of the present theorem  $d(f, g) \neq 1$  and  $d(f, g) \neq 2$ . Hence in view of Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3, we get that  $d(f, g) = 3$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Suppose  $|X| \geq 3$ . The diameter of  $\Gamma(C_c(X)) =$  girth of  $\Gamma(C_c(X)) = 3$ .*

PROOF. Let  $p, q, r$  be three distinct points in  $X$ . Then by using zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , we can find out a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $\{q, r\} \subseteq K$  and  $p \notin K$ . Therefore  $q \notin (X \setminus K) \cup \{r\}$ . Using the zero-dimensionality of  $X$  once again, we can produce a clopen set  $L$  in  $X$  such that  $q \notin L$  and  $(X \setminus K) \cup \{r\} \subseteq L$ . It is clear that the characteristic functions  $1_K$  and  $1_L$  belong to  $C_c(X)$  and  $Z(1_K) \cup Z(1_L) \neq X$  because  $r \in L \cap K$ . On the other hand,  $Z(1_L) = X \setminus L \subseteq K$  and  $Z(1_K) = X \setminus K$  which imply that  $\text{int}_X Z(1_L) \cap \text{int}_X Z(1_K) = \emptyset$ . It follows from Theorem 2.4(2) that  $d(1_L, 1_K) = 3$ . This implies in view of Remark 2.3 that the diameter of  $\Gamma(C_c(X)) = 3$ . Now  $X \setminus L \subseteq K$  implies that  $1_{X \setminus L} \cdot 1_{X \setminus K} = 0$ . We write  $f = 1_{X \setminus L}^2 + 1_{X \setminus K}^2$ . Then  $f$  takes values 2 on  $(X \setminus L) \cup (X \setminus K)$  and 0 on  $K \cap L$ , a non-empty clopen set in  $X$ . It follows that  $f$  is a nonzero divisor of zero in  $C_c(X)$ , i.e.,  $f \in V_0(C_c(X))$ . Therefore there exists  $g \in V_0(C_c(X))$  such that  $fg = 0$  and we can take  $g \geq 0$  on  $X$ . Consequently  $g \cdot 1_{X \setminus L} = 0 = g \cdot 1_{X \setminus K} = 1_{X \setminus L} \cdot 1_{X \setminus K}$ . Thus  $1_{X \setminus L}, 1_{X \setminus K}$  and  $g$  form the vertices of a triangle. Hence  $\text{gr}\Gamma(C_c(X)) = 3$ .  $\square$

The following formula is the countable counterpart of Remark 1.5 in [4].

THEOREM 2.6. *Let  $f \in V_0(C_c(X))$ , then  $e(f) = 2$  if  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is a one member set, otherwise  $e(f) = 3$ .*

PROOF. First consider the case  $X \setminus Z(f) = \{x\}$ . Then the characteristic function  $1_{\{x\}}$  and  $1_{X \setminus \{x\}}$  are vertices in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . Choose  $g \in V_0(C_c(X))$ ,  $g \neq f$ . If  $x \in Z(g)$  then  $fg = 0$  which implies that  $d(f, g) = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $g$  does not vanish at  $x$  (say  $g = 1_{\{x\}}$ ), then  $Z(g) \subseteq Z(f)$ . Consequently,  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = Z(f) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}_X Z(g) \cap \text{int}_X Z(f) = \text{int}_X Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from Theorem 2.4(2) that  $d(f, g) = 2$ . Thus  $e(f) = 2$  in this case.

Now consider the other case  $\{x, y\} \subseteq X \setminus Z(f)$ , where,  $x \neq y, x, y \in X$ . Then by the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , there exists a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $K \cap (Z(f) \cup \{y\}) = \emptyset$ . The function  $1_{X \setminus K} \in V_0(C_c(X))$ . We observe that  $Z(f) \cup Z(1_{X \setminus K}) \subsetneq X$  and  $\text{int}_X Z(f) \cap \text{int}_X Z(1_{X \setminus K}) = \emptyset$ . It follows from Theorem 2.4(3) that  $d(f, 1_{X \setminus K}) = 3$ . Hence  $e(f) = 3$ .  $\square$

The following proposition will be very close to determining a topological condition on  $X$ , equivalent to the graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  to be triangulated.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let  $f \in V_0(C_c(X))$ . Then  $f$  is a vertex of a triangle in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  if and only if  $\text{int}_X Z(f)$  contains at least two distinct points.*

PROOF. First let  $f$  be a vertex of a triangle in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . Then there exist  $g, h \in V_0(C_c(X))$  such that  $fg = gh = hf = 0$ . Now  $fg = 0$  implies that  $X \setminus Z(g) \subseteq \text{int}_X Z(f)$ . We argue by contradiction and assume that  $\text{int}_X Z(f) = \{p\}$  for a  $p \in X$ . Since  $X \setminus Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ , the last inclusion relation therefore implies that  $\text{int}_X (X \setminus Z(g)) = \{p\}$ . Analogously  $\text{int}_X (X \setminus Z(h)) = \{p\}$ . Therefore  $\text{int}_X (X \setminus Z(g)) \cap \text{int}_X (X \setminus Z(h)) \neq \emptyset$ . This contradicts the relation  $Z(g) \cup Z(h) = X$ . Thus  $\text{int}_X Z(f)$  contains more than one member.

To prove the converse, let  $\{p, q\} \subseteq \text{int}_X Z(f)$  for a pair of distinct points  $p, q$  in  $X$ . By zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , we can find out a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $p \in K \subseteq \text{int}_X Z(f) \setminus \{q\}$ . The function  $1_K$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and we observe that  $q \in \text{int}_X Z(f) \cap \text{int}_X Z(1_K)$ . Thus  $\text{int}_X Z(f) \cap$

$\text{int}Z(1_K) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a vertex  $h$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$ . Consequently,  $f$  is a vertex of a triangle.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.8.**  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a triangulated graph if and only if  $X$  does not contain any isolated point.

Since the analogous fact for the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of the ring  $C(X)$  is already established in Proposition 2.1(ii) in [4], we make the following comment.

**REMARK 2.9.** For a zero-dimensional space  $X$ , the graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is triangulated if and only if the graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is triangulated.

**THEOREM 2.10.**  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is never hypertriangulated.

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is zero-dimensional, there exists a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $\emptyset \neq K \neq X$ . Let  $f = 1_K$  and  $g = 1_{X \setminus K}$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are vertices in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and are adjacent because  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ . We observe in addition that  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that there does not exist any vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$ . Hence the edge  $f \sim g$  is never an edge of a triangle. Thus  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is not hypertriangulated.  $\square$

The following proposition is a straightforward countable counterpart of Proposition 2.2 in [4]. We simply state this result without any proof because this can be accomplished on using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4.

**THEOREM 2.11.** For any two vertices  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ ,

- (1)  $c(f, g) = 3$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $c(f, g) = 4$  if and only if either  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  or  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ .
- (3)  $c(f, g) = 6$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ .

### 3. When does $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ become complemented?

Like any graph, we call  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  a complemented one, if for any vertex  $f$ , there exists a vertex  $g$ , orthogonal to  $f$ , and we write  $f \perp g$  in the sense that  $fg = 0$  and there does not exist any vertex  $h$ , adjacent to both  $f$  and  $g$ . The next result follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4(1).

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a complemented graph if and only if for any vertex  $f$ , there exists a vertex  $g$  such that  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ .

At this point we like to mention that it is proved in Corollary 2.5 in [4] that the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of the ring  $C(X)$  is complemented if and only if the space of minimal prime ideals in the ring  $C(X)$  is compact. We shall obtain a countable counterpart of this fact and also some other related results concerning the zero-divisor graphs of the intermediate rings that lie between the two rings  $C_c^*(X)$  and  $C_c(X)$ . We need to recall a few basic information on the space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative



ring  $A$  from the article [10] and specialize these results with the choice  $A = C_c(X)$ .

NOTATION 3.2. Let  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  be the set of all minimal prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$ . Each prime ideal in  $C_c(X)$  contains a minimal prime ideal (typical Zorn's Lemma arguments) and there are enough of prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$ , indeed, each maximal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  is a prime ideal. Thus  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) \neq \emptyset$ .

NOTATION 3.3. For any  $S \subseteq C_c(X)$  and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$ , we set  $h(S) = \{P \in \mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) : P \supseteq S\}$ , called the hull of  $S$  and  $k(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcap \{P : P \in \mathcal{S}\}$ , called the kernel of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is absolutely a routine check to prove that  $\mathcal{B} = \{h(f) : f \in C_c(X)\}$  is a base for the closed sets of some topology on  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$ . We call the set  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  equipped with this topology, the space of minimal prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$ .

We reproduce below the following 4 facts from [10] with the choice  $A = C_c(X)$ . We will need these facts to prove the main results in this section.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $f, g \in C_c(X)$ ,  $S_1, S_2$  are subsets of  $C_c(X)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$  are subfamilies of  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  then  $h(S_1) \supseteq h(S_2)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$  then  $k(\mathcal{S}_1) \supseteq k(\mathcal{S}_2)$ .*
- (3)  *$h(S_1 \cap S_2) = h(S_1) \cup h(S_2)$  if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are ideals in  $C_c(X)$ .*
- (4)  *$k(\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2) = k(\mathcal{S}_1) \cap k(\mathcal{S}_2)$ .*

*Indeed, these results can be established independently almost immediately.*

For any  $S \subseteq C_c(X)$ , set  $Ann(S) = \{f \in C_c(X) : fg = 0 \text{ for each } g \in S\}$ .

THEOREM 3.5. *For any  $f \in C_c(X)$ ,  $h(Ann(f)) = \mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) \setminus h(f)$ . It follows that  $h(f)$  and  $h(Ann(f))$  are disjoint clopen sets in  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$ .*

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $f, g, l \in C_c(X)$  and  $S \subseteq C_c(X)$ . Then the following results hold.*

- (1)  *$kh(Ann(S)) = Ann(S)$ .*
- (2)  *$Ann(l) = Ann(f) \cap Ann(g)$  if and only if  $h(l) = h(f) \cap h(g)$ .*
- (3)  *$Ann(Ann(f)) = Ann(g)$  if and only if  $h(f) = h(Ann(g))$ .*

The following proposition is the countable counterpart of Lemma 5.4 in [10].

THEOREM 3.7. *Let  $f, g \in C_c(X)$ , then*

- (1)  *$h(Ann(f)) \subseteq h(g)$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ .*
- (2)  *$h(Ann(f)) \supseteq h(g)$  if and only if  $intZ(f) \cap intZ(g) = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. (1). Let  $h(Ann(f)) \subseteq h(g)$ . Then from Theorem 3.4(2) and 3.6(1), it follows that  $Ann(f) \supseteq kh(g)$ . But  $g \in kh(g)$  implies that  $fg = 0$ . On the other hand if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ , then  $g \in Ann(f)$ . Consequently  $h(g) \supseteq h(Ann(f))$  by Theorem 3.4(1).

(2). In view of Theorem 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h(g) \subseteq h(\text{Ann}(f)) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & h(g) \cap h(f) = \emptyset \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) \setminus h(\text{Ann}(g)) \cap (\mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) \setminus h(\text{Ann}(f))) = \emptyset \\
 \Leftrightarrow & h(\text{Ann}(f)) \cup h(\text{Ann}(g)) = \mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & kh(\text{Ann}(f)) \cap kh(\text{Ann}(g)) = k(\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))) = \{0\} \\
 & \hspace{15em} \text{(by Theorem 3.4(3), 3.4(4) and 3.6(1))} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \text{Ann}(f) \cap \text{Ann}(g) = \{0\} \text{ (by Theorem 3.6(1))} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \text{Ann}(f^2 + g^2) = \{0\} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (f^2 + g^2) \text{ is not a divisor of zero in } C_c(X) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \text{int}Z(f^2 + g^2) = \emptyset \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.1 in conjunction with Theorem 3.7 yields the following result:

**THEOREM 3.8.** *The zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented if and only if for any vertex  $f$  in this graph, there exists a vertex  $g$  such that  $h(g) = h(\text{Ann}(f))$ .*

**DEFINITION 3.9.** A commutative ring  $A$  without nonzero nilpotents is said to satisfy the annihilator condition, or is called an a.c. ring, if for every  $x, y \in A$ , there exists  $z \in A$  such that

$$\text{Ann}(z) = \text{Ann}(x) \cap \text{Ann}(y).$$

Since for every  $f, g \in C_c(X)$ ,  $\text{Ann}(h) = \text{Ann}(f) \cap \text{Ann}(g)$  where  $h = f^2 + g^2 \in C_c(X)$ , thus  $C_c(X)$  satisfies the annihilator condition, furthermore,  $C_c(X)$  contains no nonzero nilpotents hence the following proposition is immediate by Theorem 3.4 in [10] and Theorem 3.6(3).

**THEOREM 3.10.** *The space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  is compact if and only if for every  $f \in C_c(X)$  there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $h(g) = h(\text{Ann}(f))$ .*

We can combine Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.10 to get the following result.

**THEOREM 3.11.**  *$\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a complemented zero-divisor graph if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  is compact.*

**PROOF.** First let  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  be complemented. Choose  $f \in C_c(X)$ .

Case1. Let  $f$  be a divisor of zero in  $C_c(X)$ . Then by Theorem 3.8, there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $h(g) = h(\text{Ann}(f))$ .

Case2. Let  $f$  be not a divisor of zero in  $C_c(X)$ . Then  $\text{Ann}(f) = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $h(\text{Ann}(f)) = \mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) = h(g)$  on taking  $g = 0$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  be compact and  $f$  be a vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . It follows from Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.7 that there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . We assert that  $g$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . If not, then  $g = 0$  which implies that  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap$



$\text{int}Z(g) = \text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ , this is a contradiction. By Theorem 3.1,  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented.  $\square$

Let  $A_c(X)$  be a ring lying between the rings  $C_c^*(X)$  and  $C_c(X)$ . We call  $A_c(X)$  a typical intermediate ring in our present study. Therefore the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  considered over the ring  $A_c(X)$  in the usual manner is a subgraph of the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  constructed over  $C_c(X)$ . The following simple result will be helpful to us in the study of  $A_c(X)$  vis-a-vis  $C_c(X)$ .

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Given  $f \in C_c(X)$ , there exists a positive unit  $u$  in the ring  $C_c(X)$  such that  $uf \in C_c^*(X)$ .*

**PROOF.** Choose  $u = \frac{1}{1+|f|}$ .  $\square$

A close look into the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4(1) reveals that for any two vertices  $f, g \in A_c(X)$ ,  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  admit a vertex in  $A_c(X)$  adjacent to both of  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $fg = 0$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  are adjacent in the graph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$ . Therefore as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can write the following sharpened version of this theorem.

**THEOREM 3.13.**  *$\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is a complemented graph if and only if given a vertex  $f$  in this graph, there exists a vertex  $g$  in the same graph such that  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ .*

**THEOREM 3.14.**  *$\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a complemented graph if and only if  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is complemented.*

**PROOF.** First assume that  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented. Let  $f$  be a vertex in  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$ . Then there exists a vertex  $g$  in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  such that  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$  (this follows from Theorem 3.1). Now we apply Theorem 3.12, to find out a unit  $u$  in  $C_c(X)$  such that  $ug \in C_c^*(X)$  and hence  $ug \in A_c(X)$ . It is clear that  $ug$  is a vertex in  $A_c(X)$  and  $Z(ug) = Z(g)$ . This yields  $Z(f) \cup Z(ug) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(ug) = \emptyset$ . We now apply Theorem 3.13 to conclude that  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  is complemented.

Conversely let  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  be complemented. Suppose  $f$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . It follows from Theorem 3.12 that there exists a unit  $u$  in  $C_c(X)$  such that  $uf$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$ . Hence there exists a vertex  $h \in \Gamma(A_c(X))$  such that  $Z(uf) \cup Z(h) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(uf) \cap \text{int}Z(h) = \emptyset$  (this follows from Theorem 3.13). We get  $Z(f) \cup Z(h) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(h) = \emptyset$  and clearly  $h$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$ . Hence from Theorem 3.1, we get that  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.15.** By closely following the above arguments we can realize that for a Tychonoff space  $X$  (not necessarily zero-dimensional) and any ring  $A(X)$  lying between  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$ ,  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is complemented if and only if  $\Gamma(A(X))$  is complemented.

Before proceeding further we reproduce the following proposition (Theorem 5.1 in [10]), which we will need to prove a theorem concerning the minimal prime ideals  $\mathcal{P}(A_c(X))$  of a typical intermediate ring  $A_c(X)$  lying between  $C_c^*(X)$  and  $C_c(X)$ .

**THEOREM 3.16.** *Let  $B$  be a commutative reduced ring and  $A$  a subring of it with the following condition: for each  $b \in B$ , there exists  $a_b \in A$  and  $u_b \in B$  such that  $b = a_b u_b$  and  $\text{Ann}(u_b) = \{0\}$ . Then the spaces  $\mathcal{P}(B)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of minimal prime ideals of the rings  $B$  and  $A$  become homeomorphic under the map:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(B) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \\ P &\mapsto P \cap A \end{aligned}$$

We use this theorem to prove the next result:

**THEOREM 3.17.** *The space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  of all minimal prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$  is homeomorphic to the space  $\mathcal{P}(A_c(X))$  of all minimal prime ideals in  $A_c(X)$ .*

**PROOF.** It follows from Theorem 3.12 that given  $f \in C_c(X)$ , the function  $u = \frac{1}{1+|f|}$  is a unit in  $C_c(X)$  for which  $uf \in A_c(X)$ . Consequently, we can write  $f = uf(1+|f|)$ . We note that  $\text{Ann}(1+|f|) = \{0\}$ . A straight way application of Theorem 3.16 now yields that  $\mathcal{P}(A_c(X))$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$ . □

On combining Theorem 3.11, Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.17, we get the following proposition.

**THEOREM 3.18.** *The zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(A_c(X))$  of the intermediate ring  $A_c(X)$  is complemented if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(A_c(X))$  is compact.*

**REMARK 3.19.** On making some modifications in the above chain of arguments needed to prove Theorem 3.18 and taking into consideration Corollary 2.5 in [4] the following fact comes out for a Tychonoff space  $X$  not necessarily zero-dimensional: the zero-dimensional graph  $\Gamma(A(X))$  of a ring  $A(X)$  lying between  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$  is complemented if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(A(X))$  is compact.

It is already remarked in [4], vide the comments preceding Corollary 2.5 in [4] that for a Tychonoff space  $X$ ,  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is complemented if and only if for every vertex  $f$  in  $C(X)$  there exists a vertex  $g$  in  $C(X)$  with  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . Incidentally, the countable counterpart of this result on replacing  $C(X)$  by  $C_c(X)$  with  $X$ , a zero-dimensional space is proved in Theorem 3.1 of the present article. Since for a strongly zero-dimensional space  $X$ , i.e., for which  $\beta X$  is zero-dimensional,  $\{Z(f) : f \in C_c(X)\} = \{Z(f) : f \in C(X)\}$  (Theorem 2.4, [3]), we therefore get the following result.

**THEOREM 3.20.** *For a strongly zero-dimensional space  $X$ , the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is complemented if and only if the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is complemented.*

On combining Theorem 3.11 of the present article and Corollary 2.5 in [4], the following fact therefore comes out.

**THEOREM 3.21.** *For a strongly zero-dimensional space  $X$ , the following two statements are equivalent.*

- (1) The space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  of minimal prime ideals in  $C_c(X)$  is compact.
- (2) The space  $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$  of minimal prime ideals in  $C(X)$  is compact.

Now let us examine what happens to the space  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  and  $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$  with the choice  $X = a P$ -space. With such a special choice of  $X$ , it follows from Proposition 5.3 in [8] that  $C_c(X)$  is a Von-Neumann regular ring and we get from 4J in [9] that  $C(X)$  is also a Von-Neumann regular ring. Consequently,  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  coincides with the set  $\mathcal{M}_c(X)$  of all maximal ideals in  $C_c(X)$  with hull-kernel topology and therefore  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X)) = \beta_0 X$ , the Banaschewski compactification of  $X$  [3]. By an identical reasoning  $\mathcal{P}(C(X)) = \beta X$ , the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional as it is a  $P$ -space, it follows that  $\beta X = \beta_0 X$ .

Thus for this special choice of  $X$  viz. that  $X$  is a  $P$ -space we can say that  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  and  $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$  are homeomorphic spaces. We feel it therefore natural to ask the following question.

QUESTION 3.22. Are the two spaces  $\mathcal{P}(C_c(X))$  and  $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$  homeomorphic for an arbitrary strongly zero-dimensional space  $X$ ?

#### 4. Comaximal graph $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ associated with the ring $C_c(X)$ .

We recall that the vertices of the graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are just the nonzero non-units in the ring  $C_c(X)$ . Thus an  $f \neq 0$  in  $C_c(X)$  is a vertex of this graph if and only if  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V_2(C_c(X))$  stand for the set of vertices in this graph. Two functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $V_2(C_c(X))$  are adjacent if and only if  $\langle f \rangle + \langle g \rangle = C(X)$ , here  $\langle f \rangle$  is the principal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  generated by  $f$ . Surely  $f$  and  $g$  are adjacent when and only when  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ .

THEOREM 4.1. *The graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is connected.*

PROOF. Let  $f \in V_2(C_c(X))$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(y) \neq 0$ . Since  $X$  is zero dimensional, there exists a clopen set  $W$  in  $X$  such that  $Z(f) \subseteq W$  and  $y \notin W$ . The characteristic function  $1_W \in V_2(C_c(X))$  and  $Z(f) \cap Z(1_W) = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $1_W$  and  $f$  are adjacent vertices in this graph.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $f, g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ , then*

- (1)  $d(f, g) = 1$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $d(f, g) = 2$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$ .
- (3)  $d(f, g) = 3$  if and only if  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ .

The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Lemma 2.1 in [6] and is therefore omitted.

THEOREM 4.3. *Diameter of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 3$  if and only if  $X$  contains at least 3 distinct points.*

PROOF. First assume that  $X$  contains at least 3 distinct members  $x, y, z$ . Then by the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , there exists a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq K$  and  $z \notin K$ . We use the zero-dimensionality of  $X$  once again to produce a clopen set  $L$  in  $X$  with the property  $(X \setminus K) \cup \{x\} \subseteq L$  and  $y \notin L$ .

The characteristic function  $1_{X \setminus K}$  and  $1_{X \setminus L}$  are functions in  $C_c(X)$ . We observe that  $Z(1_{X \setminus K}) \cap Z(1_{X \setminus L}) \neq \emptyset$  because  $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$  as  $x \in K \cap L$ . On the other hand  $Z(1_{X \setminus K}) \cup Z(1_{X \setminus L}) = K \cup L = X$ . It follows from Theorem 4.2(3) that  $d(1_{X \setminus K}, 1_{X \setminus L}) = 3$ . Thus  $\text{diam}\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 3$ .

If  $X$  contains just a single point then  $C_c(X)$  is isomorphic to the field  $\mathbb{R}$  and therefore the vertex set  $V_2(C_c(X)) = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  becomes an empty graph. Next let  $X = \{a, b\}$ , a two membered set. Then  $C_c(X) = C_c(X)$  becomes isomorphic to the ring  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , which is the direct product of the field  $\mathbb{R}$  with itself. The vertices in the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  can therefore be identified with elements of the form  $(r, 0)$  or  $(0, s)$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . Therefore for any two distinct vertices  $f$  and  $g$  in this graph either  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$  or the two relations  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) \subsetneq X$  combined together. Consequently from Theorem 4.2 we get  $d(f, g) = 1$  or  $d(f, g) = 2$ . Also for any vertex  $f$ ,  $d(f, 2f) = 2$ , an easy verification. Hence  $\text{diam}\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 2$ .  $\square$

The following result is the countable counterpart of Lemma 3.1 in [6].

**THEOREM 4.4.** *An  $f \in \Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is a vertex of a triangle when and only when  $\text{Cozf} = X \setminus Z(f)$  contains at least two distinct members.*

**PROOF.** By closely following the arguments in the proof of “ $\Leftarrow$ ” part of Lemma 3.1 in [6], it is not hard to prove that if  $f$  is the vertex of a triangle then  $\text{Cozf}$  is not a one membered set. To prove the converse part of this theorem, let  $\text{Cozf} \supseteq \{p, q\}$  where  $p \neq q$ ,  $p, q \in X$ . Then by the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , there exists a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $q \notin K$  and  $\{p\} \cup Z(f) \subseteq K$ . Then  $\chi_K \in C_c(X)$  and is a vertex of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . It is clear that  $p \notin Z(f) \cup Z(\chi_K)$ . We use the zero-dimensionality of  $X$  once again to find out a clopen set  $L$  in  $X$  with the property:  $Z(f) \cup Z(\chi_K) \subseteq L$  and  $p \notin L$ . Then  $\chi_L \in C_c(X)$  and is a vertex of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . We check that  $Z(f) \cap Z(\chi_K) = Z(\chi_K) \cap Z(\chi_L) = Z(\chi_L) \cap Z(f) = \emptyset$ . Thus the vertices  $f$ ,  $\chi_K$ ,  $\chi_L$  make a triangle.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.5.**  *$\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is triangulated if and only if  $X$  has no isolated point.*

Theorem 5.1 in [6] says that a Tychonoff space  $X$  is devoid of any isolated point if and only if the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is triangulated. Thus we can make the following comment.

**REMARK 4.6.** A zero-dimensional space  $X$  is devoid of any isolated point if and only if  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is triangulated if and only if  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is triangulated.

If  $X$  contains at least three distinct points  $p, q, r$  then on using the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , we can find a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $r \notin K$  and  $\{p, q\} \subseteq K$ . The function  $\chi_K$  is a vertex of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  and  $\text{Coz}(\chi_K) \supseteq \{p, q\}$ . This yields to the following fact (we use Theorem 4.4 for this purpose).

**REMARK 4.7.** If  $|X| \geq 3$ , then girth of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 3$ .

The following proposition is the countable counterpart of Lemma 4.1 in [6]. We simply enunciate it, because its proof can be accomplished by

arguing analogously as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 [6] and taking care of the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ .

THEOREM 4.8. *Let  $f, g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ .*

- (1) *Suppose  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ , then*
  - (a)  *$Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  if and only if  $C(f, g) = 3$ .*
  - (b)  *$Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  if and only if  $C(f, g) = 4$ .*
- (2) *Let  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ , then*
  - (a)  *$Z(f) \cup Z(g) \neq X$  if and only if  $C(f, g) = 4$ .*
  - (b)  *$Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  if and only if  $C(f, g) = 6$ .*

Now for the zero-dimensional space  $X$ , there exists a clopen set  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $K \neq \emptyset$  and  $K \neq X$ . Clearly then  $1_K$  and  $1_{X \setminus K} \in V_2(C_c(X))$  and  $Z(1_K) \cap Z(1_{X \setminus K}) = \emptyset$  and  $Z(1_K) \cup Z(1_{X \setminus K}) = X$ . This yields on applying Theorem 4.8(1)(b) above that  $C(1_K, 1_{X \setminus K}) = 4$ .

REMARK 4.9.  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is never hypertriangulated.

THEOREM 4.10. *The following statements are equivalent for a zero-dimensional space  $X$ .*

- (1) *The comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is complemented.*
- (2) *The comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  is complemented.*
- (3)  *$X$  is a  $P$ -space.*

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Assume that  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is complemented. Choose  $f \in C_c(X)$ . If  $f = 0$  or a unit in  $C_c(X)$ , then  $Z(f) = X$  or  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ . Assume that  $f$  is a nonzero non-unit in  $C_c(X)$ . Then  $f$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . The hypothesis indicates that there is a vertex  $g$  in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  such that  $f \perp g$ . Consequently  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 4.2(1) and also  $C(f, g) > 3$ . It follows from Theorem 4.8(a) that  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$ . Thus  $Z(f)$  is a clopen set in  $X$ . Therefore each zero-set in  $X$  is a clopen set, hence  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) follows from Theorem 5.3 in [6].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space and  $f$  a vertex in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . Then  $Z(f)$  is a non empty proper clopen subset of the space  $X$ . Consequently  $1_{Z(f)}$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  and  $Z(f) \cap Z(1_{Z(f)}) = \emptyset$  and also  $Z(f) \cup Z(1_{Z(f)}) = X$ . It follows from Theorem 4.8(1)(b) that  $C(f, 1_{Z(f)}) = 4$ . Thus  $1_{Z(f)}$  is a vertex orthogonal to  $f$  in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . Hence  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is complemented.  $\square$

Before presenting the last theorem of this section which is the countable counterpart of Theorem 5.6 in [6] we need to establish the following elementary fact about the nature of the fixed maximal ideals in  $C_c(X)$ .

THEOREM 4.11. *A fixed maximal ideal  $M_p = \{f \in C_c(X) : f(p) = 0\}$ ,  $p \in X$  is principal in  $C_c(X)$  if and only if  $p$  is an isolated point of  $X$ .*

PROOF. It can be easily proved that by using first isomorphism theorem of Algebra that  $\{M_p : p \in X\}$  is the entire list of fixed maximal ideals in  $C_c(X)$ , here  $M_p = \{f \in C_c(X) : f(p) = 0\}$ . First assume that  $M_p = \langle f \rangle$  for some  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Thus  $p \in Z(f)$ . We claim that  $Z(f) = \{p\}$ , for if  $q \in Z(f)$  for some  $q \neq p$  in  $X$  then  $f \in M_q$  which implies that  $M_p \subseteq M_q$

and hence  $p = q$ , due to the maximality of the ideals, a contradiction. Now the function  $f^{\frac{1}{5}} \in C_c(X)$  and  $f^{\frac{1}{5}}(p) = 0$  and therefore  $f^{\frac{1}{5}} \in \langle f \rangle$ . Consequently there exists  $h \in C_c(X)$  such that  $f^{\frac{1}{5}} = hf$  and hence  $h(x) = \frac{1}{f^{\frac{4}{5}}(x)}$  for all  $x \in X \setminus Z(f)$ . We now assert that  $p$  is an isolated point of  $X$ . If possible let  $p$  be a nonisolated point in  $X$ . Then each neighbourhood of  $p$  contains infinitely many points of  $X$ . Again since  $h$  is continuous at the point  $p$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h(x)| \leq \delta$  for all  $x$  belonging to a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $X$  and hence  $|f^{\frac{4}{5}}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$  for all  $x \in U \setminus \{p\}$ . But since  $f^{\frac{4}{5}}(p) = 0$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $X$  such that  $|f^{\frac{4}{5}}(x)| < \frac{1}{\delta}$  for all  $x \in (U \cap V) \setminus \{p\}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $p$  is an isolated point of  $X$ .

Conversely, let  $p$  be an isolated point of  $X$ . Then the function  $\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}} \in C_c(X)$  and  $Z(\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}}) = \{p\}$ . It follows that for any  $g \in M_p$ ,  $Z(g)$  is a neighbourhood of  $Z(\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}})$ . Hence  $g$  is a multiple of  $\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}}$  in the ring  $C_c(X)$ . This proves that  $M_p = (\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}}) =$  the principal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  generated by  $\chi_{X \setminus \{p\}}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.12.** *The following statements are equivalent for a zero-dimensional space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space (meaning that every non empty zero-set in  $X$  has non empty interior) and there is no isolated point in  $X$ .
- (2)  $C_c(X)$  is an almost regular ring (meaning that every nonzero non-unit in  $C_c(X)$  is a divisor of zero) and there does not exist any maximal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  which is principal.
- (3) Radius of  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X)) = 3$ .
- (4) For each vertex  $f$  in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ , there exists a vertex  $g$  in  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  such that  $C(f, g) = 6$ .

**PROOF.** Since a zero-dimensional space is almost  $P$  if and only if  $C_c(X)$  is an almost regular ring (vide: Theorem 4.6 in [1]), it follows by taking into consideration the result of Theorem 4.11 that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $f \in V_2(C_c(X))$ . It is sufficient to show that  $e(f) = 3$ . Indeed  $f$  is a nonzero non-unit in  $C_c(X)$  implies that  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ , which further yields because  $X$  is almost  $P$  that  $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{int}Z(f)$  contains at least two distinct points. We argue by contradiction. If possible let  $e(f) \neq 3$ . It follows that for each  $g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ ,  $d(f, g) < 3$ . This implies in view of Theorem 4.2 that  $\forall g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ ,  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X \Rightarrow Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$ . This is the case when and only when  $\forall g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ ,  $\text{Coz}(g) \subseteq Z(f) \Rightarrow Z(f) \subseteq \text{Coz}(g)$  and this happens if and only if  $\forall g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ ,  $\text{Coz}(g) \subseteq Z(f) \Rightarrow Z(f) = \text{Coz}(g) \dots (*)$ . Now using the zero-dimensionality of  $X$ , we can produce a  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq \text{Coz}(g) \subseteq \text{int}Z(f) \subseteq Z(f) \subsetneq X$ . Surely then  $g$  is a nonzero non-unit in  $C_c(X)$  and therefore  $g \in V_2(C_c(X))$ . It follows from the above relation (\*) that  $\text{Coz}(g) = Z(f)$  and hence  $Z(f)$  is clopen in  $X$ . To get the desired contradiction, it suffices to show that  $\text{Coz}(g)$  is a singleton. If possible let there exist  $p, q \in \text{Coz}(g)$ ,  $p \neq q$ . Then on using zero-dimensionality of  $X$  once again, we can find out an  $h \in C_c(X)$  such that  $h(\text{Coz}(f) \cup \{q\}) = \{0\}$  and  $h(p) = 1$ . This implies that  $\text{Coz}(h) \subseteq Z(f)$ . But



$q \in Z(f)$  and  $q \notin \text{Coz}(h)$  indicates that  $\text{Coz}(h) \subsetneq Z(f)$ . This contradicts the relation (\*) above.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose  $f \in C(X)$  with  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Lemma 2.2(c) in [3] there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq Z(g) \subseteq Z(f)$ . By (3) it follows that  $e(g) = 3$ . So there exists  $h \in V_2(C_c(X))$  such that  $d(g, h) = 3$ . Hence by Theorem 4.2,  $Z(g) \cap Z(h) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z(g) \cup Z(h) = X$ . From this it follows that  $Z(g)$  contains at least two distinct points and also  $\text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently  $Z(f)$  also contains at least two distinct points and  $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus for an arbitrary vertex  $f$  in the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$ , neither interior of  $Z(f)$  is empty nor  $Z(f)$  is a singleton. We now apply Proposition 2.3 in [6], to conclude that  $e(f) = 3$ . Thus radius of  $\Gamma'_2(C(X)) = 3$ . It follows from Theorem 5.6 in [6] that  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space, devoid of any isolated point. Thus the first three statements (1), (2), (3) are equivalent.

The implication relation (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) could be established by following the arguments in Theorem 5.6 in [6].  $\square$

## 5. Zero-divisor graph versus comaximal graph in $C_c(X)/C(X)$

Since for a zero-dimensional space  $X$ , the two graphs  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are syntactically different, it is desirable that for a large class of spaces  $X$ , these two graphs are algebraically different. This means that there should not exist any graph isomorphism from  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  onto  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$ . Any graph isomorphism from a graph  $G_1$  onto a graph  $G_2$  stands for a bijective map between the vertices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  which further preserves the adjacency relation. This section begins with a natural class of zero-dimensional space  $X$ , for which  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are non isomorphic as graphs.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal strongly zero-dimensional space such that  $X$  is not a  $P$ -space (there are enough examples of such spaces  $X$ , viz. if  $X$  is a dense subset of an Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$  is also dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $X$  is an example of such a space). Then  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is not a complemented graph, although  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a complemented graph.*

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is not a  $P$ -space, it follows from Theorem 4.10 that  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  is not a complemented graph. Since  $X$  is strongly zero-dimensional, to show that  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is a complemented graph, it is equivalent to showing in view of Theorem 3.20 that  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is a complemented graph. For that purpose choose any vertex  $f$  in the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  of the ring  $C(X)$ . Therefore  $f$  is a nonzero divisor of zero in the ring  $C(X)$  and consequently,  $\text{int}Z(f) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{int}Z(f) \neq X$ . Since  $X$  is perfectly normal, every closed subset of  $X$  is a zero-set in it. Hence there exists  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $Z(g) = X \setminus \text{int}Z(f)$ . We observe that  $\emptyset \neq X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq X \setminus \text{int}Z(f) = Z(g)$ . This implies that  $\text{int}Z(g) \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $g$  is a nonzero divisor of zero in  $C(X)$ . In other words  $g$  is a vertex of  $\Gamma(C(X))$  and  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  with  $\text{int}Z(f) \cap \text{int}Z(g) = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $g$  is orthogonal to  $f$  in the graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$ . Thus  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is a complemented graph.  $\square$

The next example is of a finite (zero-dimensional) space  $X$  for which the zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$  ( $= C_c(X)$ ) is the same as the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  ( $= C_c(X)$ ).

EXAMPLE 5.2. Let  $X = \{p, q\}$ , a two member space. Then  $X$  is a discrete space and  $C(X)$  can be identified with the ring  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} =$  direct product of the ring  $\mathbb{R}$  with itself. Here  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  are the only nonzero proper ideals in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Hence these are the only maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Also  $C(X) = C_c(X)$ . It is easy to check that the set  $\{(r, 0) : r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{(0, r) : r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$  is identical to the set of all vertices in either of the two graphs  $\Gamma(C(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$ . Furthermore, for any two distinct vertices  $f$  and  $g$  in any of these two graphs,  $f$  is adjacent to  $g$  in the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  if and only if there exist  $r \neq 0, s \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = (r, 0)$  and  $g = (0, s)$  (or  $f = (0, s)$  and  $g = (r, 0)$ ). This is the case if and only if no maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  contains both of  $f$  and  $g$ , i.e., if and only if  $f$  is adjacent to  $g$  in the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$ . Thus it is proved that the identity map

$$\begin{aligned} I : V_0(C(X)) &\rightarrow V_2(C(X)) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}$$

is a graph isomorphism on  $\Gamma(C(X))$  onto  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$ . Here  $V_0(C(X))$  stands for the set of all vertices in  $\Gamma(C(X))$  with an analogous meaning for  $V_2(C(X))$ .

If  $X$  contains finitely many points only, say  $|X| = n$  with  $n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $X$  is still a discrete space and  $C(X)$  can be identified with the ring  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$  (direct product of  $\mathbb{R}$  with itself 'n' times). It is easy to check that the set of all vertices in the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C(X))$  is identical to the set of all vertices in  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$ . We may be tempted to believe that the identity map

$$\begin{aligned} I : V_0(C_c(X)) &\rightarrow V_2(C_c(X)) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}$$

is, this time also, a graph isomorphism. We now show that this is not the case. We shall demonstrate a negative answer to the above belief with the case  $n = 3$ . The fact that identity is no longer a graph isomorphism on  $\Gamma(C(X))$  onto  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$ , whenever  $|X| = n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  chosen arbitrary,  $n \geq 3$ , can be established by analogous reasoning. For that purpose we simply observe that  $(0, 1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, 0)$  are two different vertices in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  (equipped with both zero-divisor graph and comaximal graph respectively). These two vertices are surely adjacent in the zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$  (with  $|X| = 3$ ). Since  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , is a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  containing each of  $(0, 1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, 0)$ , it follows that these two vertices are not adjacent in the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$ . Thus the identity map

$$\begin{aligned} I : V_0(C(X)) &\rightarrow V_2(C(X)) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}$$

fails to preserve the adjacency relation and is hence not an isomorphism.

We are now going to show that if  $X$  is a discrete topological space containing at most countably infinitely many points, then  $\Gamma(C(X)) = \Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $\Gamma'_2(C(X)) = \Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are indeed isomorphic as graphs, though of course, such an isomorphism is different from the identity isomorphism if  $|X| \geq 3$ , as observed above. For that purpose we need to introduce a kind of quotient graph of an arbitrary graph  $G$  and then establish a result which tells under certain conditions a graph isomorphism between the quotient graphs  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\widehat{H}$  will imply a graph isomorphism between two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ . This will ultimately lead to a graph isomorphism from  $\Gamma(C(X))$  onto  $\Gamma'_2(C(X))$  for a discrete space  $X$  with  $|X| \leq \aleph_0$ . Let us now take up the formal construction of the quotient  $\widehat{G}$  of a simple graph  $G$ . Let  $V(G)$  be the set of all vertices in  $G$ . For  $x \in V(G)$ , set  $[x] = \{y \in V(G) : x \sim y\}$ . Define a binary relation “ $\approx$ ” on  $V(G)$  as follows: for  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,  $x \approx y$  if and only if  $[x] = [y]$ . Then “ $\approx$ ” is an equivalence relation on  $V(G)$ . For  $x \in V(G)$ , we write  $\widehat{x} = \{y \in V(G) : x \approx y\}$ . Thus the quotient set  $V(G)/\approx = \{\widehat{x} : x \in V(G)\}$ . For notational simplicity we write  $V(G)/\approx = \widehat{G}$ . We now initiate a new graph, whose set of vertices is  $\widehat{G}$  and the adjacency relation is defined as follows: for  $\widehat{x}, \widehat{y} \in \widehat{G}$  with  $\widehat{x} \neq \widehat{y}$ , we write  $\widehat{x} \sim \widehat{y}$  (and call  $\widehat{x}$  and  $\widehat{y}$  adjacent) if and only if  $x \sim y$  in the original graph  $G$ . The following result demonstrates that the definition of ‘ $\sim$ ’ in  $\widehat{G}$  does not suffer from any ambiguity.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $\widehat{x} \sim \widehat{y}$  in  $\widehat{G}$  and  $a \in \widehat{x}$ ,  $b \in \widehat{y}$ . Then  $\widehat{a} \sim \widehat{b}$ .*

**PROOF.**  $a \in \widehat{x} \Rightarrow [a] = [x]$ . On the other hand,  $\widehat{x} \sim \widehat{y} \Rightarrow x \sim y$ . It follows therefore that  $a \sim y$ . Again  $b \in \widehat{y} \Rightarrow [b] = [y]$ . Hence  $a \sim b$  and consequently  $\widehat{a} \sim \widehat{b}$ .  $\square$

We designate  $\widehat{G}$  as the quotient of the graph  $G$ .

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two simple graphs which are isomorphic under a graph isomorphism  $\psi : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$ . Then the map  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  defined by  $\Phi(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{\psi(x)}$  is a graph isomorphism onto  $\widehat{G}_2$ . Furthermore, for any  $x \in G_1$ ,  $\psi([x]) = [\psi(x)]$  and  $|\widehat{x}| = |\widehat{\psi(x)}|$ ,  $|Y|$  designating the cardinal number of the set  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\widehat{x}, \widehat{y} \in \widehat{G}_1$  be such that  $\widehat{\psi(x)} = \widehat{\psi(y)}$ , then  $[\psi(x)] = [\psi(y)]$ . The last relation holds if and only if  $[x] = [y]$  because of the fact that  $\psi$  is a graph isomorphism from  $V(G_1)$  onto  $V(G_2)$ . This is true when and only when  $\widehat{x} = \widehat{y}$ . This settles that the map  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  defined above is a bijection between the vertices of these two quotient graphs. Furthermore,  $\widehat{x} \sim \widehat{y}$  in  $\widehat{G}_1$  ( $x, y \in G_1$ )  $\Leftrightarrow x \sim y$  in  $G_1 \Leftrightarrow \psi(x) \sim \psi(y)$  in  $G_2$  (as  $\psi : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$  is a bijection)  $\Leftrightarrow \widehat{\psi(x)} \sim \widehat{\psi(y)}$  in  $\widehat{G}_2 \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\widehat{x}) \sim \Phi(\widehat{y})$  in  $\widehat{G}_2$ . Thus it is proved that  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  is a graph isomorphism. The remaining parts of the theorem are straightforward consequence of the fact that  $\psi : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$  is a graph isomorphism.  $\square$

The following Banach-Stone like theorem ascertaining that under certain conditions, for a pair of graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the existence of a graph isomorphism:  $\widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  implies that of a graph isomorphism:  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ .

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Suppose  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two simple graphs with the following properties:*

- (1) *There exists a graph isomorphism  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  and*
- (2) *For each  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{G}_1$  (where  $x \in G_1$ ),  $|\Phi(\widehat{x})| = |\widehat{x}|$ . Then there can be defined a graph isomorphism from  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{G}_1$ , then  $\widehat{x}$  is actually an equivalence class of elements in  $G_1$ . We choose exactly one element  $x_\lambda$  from this equivalence class and this we do for each  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{G}_1$ . This defines a set  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of members of  $G_1$ . Thus for  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ,  $\widehat{x}_\lambda = \widehat{x}_\mu$  if and only if  $\lambda = \mu$ . By our hypothesis (2), there exists for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , a bijection  $\Phi_\lambda : \widehat{x}_\lambda \rightarrow \Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda)$ . It is also clear that  $\widehat{G}_2 = \{\Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Define a map  $\psi : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$  as follows: if  $x \in V(G_1)$ , then there exists a unique  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in \widehat{x}_\lambda$ . We set  $\psi(x) = \Phi_\lambda(x)$ . Then  $\psi$  is a well defined map from  $V(G_1)$  onto  $V(G_2)$ .

To show that  $\psi$  is a one-to-one map, choose any two distinct vertices  $a$  and  $b$  from  $V(G_1)$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are contained in the same equivalence class  $\widehat{x}_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then as  $\Phi_\lambda$  is one-to-one it follows that  $\Phi_\lambda(a) \neq \Phi_\lambda(b)$  and this implies that  $\psi(a) \neq \psi(b)$ . Assume therefore that  $a$  and  $b$  belong to different equivalence classes:  $a \in \widehat{x}_\lambda$  and  $b \in \widehat{x}_\mu$  with  $\lambda \neq \mu$  in  $\Lambda$ . As  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  is a one-to-one map, this implies that  $\Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda) \neq \Phi(\widehat{x}_\mu)$ , furthermore,  $\psi(a) = \Phi_\lambda(a) \in \Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda)$ . Analogously  $\psi(b) \in \Phi(\widehat{x}_\mu)$ . Since the equivalence classes  $\Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda)$  and  $\Phi(\widehat{x}_\mu)$  in  $G_2$  are disjoint, it follows that  $\psi(a) \neq \psi(b)$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a bijection on  $V(G_1)$  onto  $V(G_2)$ . To complete the proof it remains to show that  $\psi$  preserves the adjacency relation. So let  $a$  and  $b$  be adjacent vertices in  $G_1$ , i.e.,  $a \sim b$ . Then there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  such that  $a \in \widehat{x}_\lambda$  and  $b \in \widehat{x}_\mu$ . Since no two elements in the same equivalence class  $\widehat{x}$  in  $V(G_1)$  can be adjacent in the original graph  $G_1$ , a fact which can be easily checked because no vertices in  $G_1$  can be adjacent to itself, it follows that  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . This yields that  $\widehat{x}_\lambda \sim \widehat{x}_\mu$  in  $\widehat{G}_1$ . Since  $\Phi$  is graph isomorphism, this implies that  $\Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda) \sim \Phi(\widehat{x}_\mu)$  in  $\widehat{G}_2$ . But as  $\psi(a) = \Phi_\lambda(a) \in \Phi(\widehat{x}_\lambda)$  and analogously  $\psi(b) \in \Phi(\widehat{x}_\mu)$  it follows that  $\psi(a) \sim \psi(b)$  in  $V(G_2)$ . Conversely, if  $\psi(a) \sim \psi(b)$  in  $V(G_2)$  for  $a, b \in V(G_1)$ , then it can be proved by reversing back the above chain of arguments that  $a \sim b$  in  $V(G_1)$ . Thus  $\psi : V(G_1) \rightarrow V(G_2)$  is a graph isomorphism from the graph  $G_1$  onto the graph  $G_2$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.6.** *Let  $X$  be a discrete topological space. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  designate the zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$  and the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  respectively. Then the quotient graphs  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$  are graph isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** It is easy to check that  $V(G_1) =$  the set of all vertices in  $G_1 = V(G_2) =$  the set of all vertices in  $G_2 = \{f \in C(X) : \emptyset \neq Z(f) \text{ and } Z(f) \neq X\}$ . It is clear that for any  $f \in V(G_1) = V(G_2)$ ,  $\widehat{f} = \widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)}$  is the characteristic function of  $X \setminus Z(f)$ . Therefore  $\widehat{G}_1 = \widehat{G}_2 = \{\widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)} : f \text{ is a nonzero non-unit in } C(X)\}$ . Let  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  be the map defined as follows:  $\Phi(\widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)}) = \widehat{\chi}_{Z(f)}$ . Then clearly  $\Phi$  is a bijection between the vertices  $V(\widehat{G}_1)$  and  $V(\widehat{G}_2)$  of the quotient graphs  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$ . Furthermore, for nonzero non-units  $f, g$  in  $C(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)} \sim \widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(g)}$  in  $\widehat{G}_1$  if and only if  $\widehat{f} \sim \widehat{g}$  (recall that  $\widehat{f} = \widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)}$ , a relation obtained earlier) if and only

if  $Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X$  if and only if  $(X \setminus Z(f)) \cap (X \setminus Z(g)) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $Z(\chi_{Z(f)}) \cap Z(\chi_{Z(g)}) = \emptyset$ . The last relation is true if and only if  $\chi_{Z(f)} \sim \chi_{Z(g)}$  in the comaximal graph  $G_2$ . Surely this is the case when and only when  $\widehat{\chi}_{Z(f)} \sim \widehat{\chi}_{Z(g)}$  in  $\widehat{G}_2$ , i.e., if and only if  $\Phi(\widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(f)}) \sim \Phi(\widehat{\chi}_{X \setminus Z(g)})$  in  $\widehat{G}_2$ . Thus it is proved that both of  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  and  $\Phi^{-1} : \widehat{G}_2 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1$  preserve the adjacency relation. Hence  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  defined above is a graph isomorphism.  $\square$

REMARK 5.7. Since for a  $P$ -space  $X$ , the nonzero non-units in  $C(X)$  are the same as the nonzero divisors of zero in the ring and for  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $Z(f)$  is a clopen set in  $X$ , a careful look into the above proof yields the following more general fact: If  $X$  is a  $P$ -space and  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are the zero-divisor graph and comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  respectively, then the quotient graphs  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$  are isomorphic.

REMARK 5.8. In the above Theorem 5.6, for a discrete topological space  $X$ , in the quotient graph  $\widehat{G}_1$ , for any  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $\widehat{f} = \{g \in C(X) : Z(g) = Z(f)\}$ . Therefore the cardinal number of the set  $\widehat{f} = |\widehat{f}| = |\mathbb{R}^{X \setminus Z(f)}| = c^{|X \setminus Z(f)|}$ . It is easy to check that  $|\widehat{\chi}_{Z(f)}|$  in the quotient graph  $\widehat{G}_2 = |\mathbb{R}^{Z(f)}| = c^{|Z(f)|}$ . If we combine Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 and take into consideration for any  $f \in C(X)$ , the cardinal number  $|\widehat{f}|$  and  $|\widehat{\chi}_{Z(f)}|$  in the quotient graphs  $\widehat{G}_1$  and  $\widehat{G}_2$  respectively, then this yields the following result:

THEOREM 5.9. *Suppose  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are respectively the zero-divisor graph and the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  with  $X$  a discrete topological space. Suppose further that for any  $f \in C(X)$  with  $f \neq 0$  and  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $c^{|Z(f)|} = c^{|X \setminus Z(f)|}$ , here  $c$  is the cardinal number of the continuum. Then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic as graphs.*


Now if the discrete space  $X$  is atmost countable then for any  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ ,  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $|Z(f)| \leq \aleph_0$  and  $|X \setminus Z(f)| \leq \aleph_0$ . Consequently,  $c^{|Z(f)|} = c^{|X \setminus Z(f)|} = c$ . Hence the following proposition results:

THEOREM 5.10. *If the discrete space  $X$  is atmost countable (i.e.,  $X$  is either finite or a countably infinite space), then the zero-divisor graph  $G_1$  and the comaximal graph  $G_2$  of the ring  $C(X)$  are isomorphic.*

An explicit representation for an isomorphism between  $G_1 = \Gamma(C(X)) = \Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $G_2 = \Gamma'_2(C(X)) = \Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  with  $|X| = 3$  is provided by the following diagram.

EXAMPLE 5.11. Suppose  $|X| = 3$ , then  $G_1 = \Gamma(C(X)) = \Gamma(C_c(X))$  and  $G_2 = \Gamma'_2(C(X)) = \Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  are isomorphic.

PROOF.  $C(X) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .  $V(G_1) = V(G_2) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x, y, z \text{ are not all simultaneously equal to zero and not all nonzero}\} = V$ , say. Then  $\widehat{G}_1 = \{\widehat{\xi} : \xi = (r_1, r_2, r_3) \in V : r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{0, 1\}\} = \widehat{G}_2$ . Here  $|\widehat{G}_1| = |\widehat{G}_2| = 6$ . Define  $\Phi : \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2$  as follows  $\Phi(\widehat{(r_1, r_2, r_3)}) = \widehat{(s_1, s_2, s_3)}$ , where  $s_i = 0$  if  $r_i = 1$  and  $s_i = 1$  if  $r_i = 0$ . This is the desired graph isomorphism.  $\square$



The next theorem shows that the countable hypothesis of the set in Theorem 5.10 can not be dropped.

**THEOREM 5.12.** *We assume continuum hypothesis (CH). Suppose  $X$  is an uncountable discrete topological space. Then the zero-divisor graph  $G_1 = \Gamma(C(X))$  and the comaximal graph  $G_2 = \Gamma'_2(C(X))$  of  $C(X)$  are not isomorphic.*

**PROOF.** If possible let there exist a graph isomorphism  $\psi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ . Choose an  $f \in C(X)$  with  $Z(f) = \{p\}$  for some point  $p$  in  $X$ . Then by Theorem 5.4,

$$|\widehat{f}| = |\widehat{\psi(f)}| \text{ and } |[f]| = |[\psi(f)]|,$$

the notations having their usual meaning as explained while defining the quotient graph  $\widehat{G}$  of a simple graph  $G$ . The first relation implies in view of Remark 5.8 that  $c^{X \setminus Z(f)} = c^{X \setminus Z(\psi(f))}$ . Since

$$|X \setminus Z(f)| = |X \setminus \{p\}| = |X| \geq c \text{ (by CH),}$$

it follows therefore that  $|X \setminus Z(\psi(f))| \geq c$  (the reason is that  $c^{\aleph_0} = c$  and  $c^c = 2^c > c$ ). To get the desired contradiction we shall show that  $|[f]| \neq |[\psi(f)]|$ . Indeed we shall show that  $|[f]| < |[\psi(f)]|$ . For that purpose we observe that  $[f] = \{g \in C(X) : gf = 0\} = \{g \in C(X) : g(x)f(x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in Z(f) \text{ and } g(x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X \setminus Z(f)\} = \{g \in C(X) : g(x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X \setminus \{p\}\}$  and therefore  $|[f]| = c$ . On the other hand, in the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  for any  $h \in C(X)$ ,  $h \in [\psi(f)]$  if and only if  $Z(h) \cap Z(\psi(f)) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $[\psi(f)] = \{h \in C(X) : h \text{ takes nonzero values at each point in } Z(\psi(f))\} \geq c^{|X \setminus Z(\psi(f))|} \geq c^c$ , as  $|X \setminus Z(\psi(f))| \geq c$ , observed earlier. Thus we get  $|[\psi(f)]| \geq c^c > c = |[f]|$ .  $\square$

Theorem 5.10 and Theorem 5.12 combined together yield the following proposition.

**THEOREM 5.13.** *(CH) A discrete topological space  $X$  is atmost countable if and only if the zero-divisor graph and the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  are isomorphic.*



A careful scrutiny into the proof of Theorem 5.12 leads to the following result.

**THEOREM 5.14.** (CH) *For an uncountable discrete topological space  $X$ , the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(C_c(X))$  is not isomorphic to the comaximal graph  $\Gamma'_2(C_c(X))$  of the ring  $C_c(X)$ .*

We conclude this section by combining all the above mentioned theorems.

**THEOREM 5.15.** (CH) *For a discrete topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$  is isomorphic to the comaximal graph of  $C(X)$ .*
- (2) *The zero-divisor graph of  $C_c(X)$  is isomorphic to the comaximal graph of  $C_c(X)$ .*
- (3)  *$X$  is at most a countable set.*

## References

- [1] S.K. Acharyya, R. Bharati, A. Deb Ray, Rings and subrings of continuous functions with countable range, *Queast. Math.*, to appear.
- [2] S.K. Acharyya, R. Bharati, A. Deb Ray, Intrinsic characterization of  $C$ -realcompact spaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.*, **22**(2), 2021, 295-302.
- [3] F. Azarpanah, O.A.S. Karamzadeh, Z. Keshtkar and A.R. Olfati, On maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  and the uniformity of its localizations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **48**(2), 2018, 345-384.
- [4] F. Azarpanah and M. Motamedi, Zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$ , *Acta Math. Hungar.* **108**(1-2), 2005, 25-36.
- [5] D.F. Anderson and P.S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra*, **217**(2), 1999, 434-447.
- [6] M. Badie, Comaximal graph of  $C(X)$ , *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **57**(3), 2016, 353-364.
- [7] B. Bose and A. Das, Graph theoretic representation of rings of continuous functions, *Filomat*, **34**(10), 2020, 3417-3428
- [8] M. Ghadermazi, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, M. Namdari : *On the functionally countable subalgebras of  $C(X)$* , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 129 (2013), 47-69.
- [9] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand Reinhold co., New York, 1960.
- [10] M. Henriksen and M. Jerison, The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115**, 1965, 110-130.
- [11] O.A.S. Karamzadeh and Z. Keshkar, On  $C$ -realcompact sapces, *Queast. Math.* **41**(8), 2018,1135-1167.
- [12] R. Levy, Almost  $P$ -spaces, *Can. J. Math.* **29**(2), 1977, 284-288.

~~R~~AKESH BHARATI, AMRITA ACHARYYA, A. DEB RAY, AND SUDIP KUMAR ACHARYYA

(Rakesh Bharati) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,  
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA - 700019, INDIA  
*Email address:* `rbharati.rs@gmail.com`

(Amrita Acharyya) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY  
OF TOLEDO, MAIN CAMPUS, TOLEDO, OH 43606-3390  
*Email address:* `amrita.acharyya@utoledo.edu`

(A. Deb Ray) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA,  
35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA - 700019, INDIA  
*Email address:* `debrayatasi@gmail.com`

(Sudip Kumar Acharyya) DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF  
CALCUTTA, 35, BALLYGUNGE CIRCULAR ROAD, KOLKATA - 700019, INDIA  
*Email address:* `sdpacharyya@gmail.com`