

Almost Commutative Manifolds and Their Modular Classes

Shuichi Harako

Abstract

An almost commutative algebra, or a ρ -commutative algebra, is an algebra which is graded by an abelian group and whose commutativity is controlled by a function called a commutation factor. The same way as a formulation of a supermanifold as a ringed space, we introduce concepts of the ρ -commutative versions of manifolds, Q-manifolds, Berezin volume forms, and the modular classes. They are generalizations of the ones in supergeometry. We give examples including a ρ -commutative version of the Schouten bracket and a noncommutative torus.

1 Introduction

For the study of noncommutative algebras, it is convenient to impose a constraint for the noncommutativity of the algebras, which is often called a commutation rule. A commutative algebra is an algebra with a commutation rule $fg = gf$ for all element f, g of the algebra. A superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra which has a commutation rule $fg = -gf$ if homogeneous elements f, g are odd, and $fg = gf$ otherwise. A ρ -commutative (or almost commutative, ϵ -commutative) algebra is an algebra graded by an arbitrary abelian group G with its commutation rule controlled by a map called a commutation factor [15, 2, 5, 4]. This condition characterizing noncommutativity covers not only commutative algebras or superalgebras, but quaternions, quantum planes, and noncommutative tori, etc. ρ -Lie algebras are also defined as the ρ -commutative version of Lie algebras.

Meanwhile, we have a characteristic class called the modular class of a Q-manifold. A Q-manifold or a dg-manifold is a supermanifold with an odd vector field squared to zero as a derivation on functions. This condition for a vector field appears in various cases. Lie algebroids, L_∞ -algebras, and the de Rham or Dolbeault complexes are formulated as Q-manifolds [8]. In the aspect of mathematical physics, the classical BRST formalism is one of the application of Q-manifolds [11]. Theories of characteristic classes of Q-manifolds are studied by Kotov [9], Lyakhovich-Mosman-Sharapov [10], and Bruce [3]. The modular class is one of them which generalizes the modular class of a higher Poisson manifold.

The main goal of this paper is to introduce a concept of the modular classes for ρ -Q-manifolds and give examples of them. Supermanifolds are constructed by replacing the local functional algebras of the underlying manifold with a graded-commutative $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. We apply the same procedure to a manifold but with a ρ -commutative algebra. We call the resulting manifold a ρ -manifold. Vector fields on a ρ -manifold are ρ -derivations on the ρ -commutative functional algebra. An odd vector field squared to zero in this case defines a ρ -Q-manifold. The ρ -commutative version of a Berezin volume form is defined, which allows us to define the modular class of a ρ -Q-manifold the same way as a Q-manifold. We give examples of ρ -Q-manifolds and their modular classes, e.g., the tangent or cotangent bundle of a ρ -manifold, the degree shift of them, and the BRST differential on noncommutative tori.

In Section 2, we review the theory of ρ -commutative algebras and ρ -Lie algebras. We mainly give definitions and examples of them here. In Section 3, we review the matrix algebra whose entries are in a ρ -commutative algebra. We introduce the determinant and the Berezinian in this version by [7, 6]. In Section 4, we discuss the specific algebra which is a subalgebra of the set of formal power series with G -graded indeterminates. This section is devoted to the preparation for argument of ρ -manifolds. In Section 5, we define a ρ -manifold and a ρ -Q-manifold. Here we discuss the de Rham complex and the degree- i Schouten bracket of a ρ -manifold. In Section 6, we introduce the Berezinian bundle of a ρ -manifold and its orientability using the result of Section 3. In section 7, we define the modular class of a ρ -Q-manifold and calculate them in several situations, for example, the degree- i Schouten bracket and noncommutative tori.

Notations. We write $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} for a coefficient field. The underlying manifold M can be a smooth or real analytic or holomorphic manifold. The term ‘manifold’ in this paper means either of them unless otherwise specified. $\mathcal{O}(M)$ means the algebra of real-valued smooth functions $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ or complex-valued smooth functions $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ if M is smooth, real-analytic functions $C^\omega(M, \mathbb{R})$ if M is real-analytic, holomorphic functions $C^\omega(M, \mathbb{C})$ if M is holomorphic. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{O}(M)$ being the set of a real-valued smooth or real-analytic functions, we call this case the *real category*. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{O}(M)$ being the set of a complex-valued smooth or holomorphic functions, we call this case the *complex category*.

Acknowledgments. We are very grateful to our supervisor Takuya Sakasai and our colleagues in laboratory for useful advice and comments.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22J13678.

2 ρ -commutative algebras and ρ -Lie algebras

In this section, we briefly review the theory of ρ -commutative algebras and ρ -Lie algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let G be an abelian group. A *commutation factor* on G is a map $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\rho(i, j)\rho(j, i) = 1$ for all $i, j \in G$,
- (ii) $\rho(i + j, k) = \rho(i, k)\rho(j, k)$ for all $i, j, k \in G$.

Directly from this definition, it is easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(i, j) \neq 0, \quad \rho(i, j) &= \rho(j, i)^{-1} = \rho(-j, i) = \rho(j, -i), \quad \rho(i, i) = \pm 1, \\ \rho(0, i) &= \rho(i, 0) = 1, \quad \rho(i, j + k) = \rho(i, j)\rho(i, k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j, k \in G$.

Let G be an abelian group and $A = \bigoplus_{i \in G} A_i$ be a G -graded algebra over \mathbb{K} . We write $|f| := i$ if and only if $f \in A_i$. Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume f is a G -homogeneous element when we consider the degree $|f|$.

Definition 2.2. A G -graded algebra A is said to be a ρ -commutative algebra if

$$fg = \rho(|f|, |g|)gf$$

holds for all $f, g \in A$.

Example 2.3. For any abelian group G and for the trivial commutation factor $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow \{1\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}$, a ρ -commutative algebra is simply an usual commutative algebra with an extra G -grading.

Example 2.4. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and define $\rho: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$\rho(i, j) := (-1)^{ij}$$

for all $i, j \in G$. In this case, a ρ -commutative algebra coincides with a superalgebra.

Example 2.5. Fix an integer $m > 0$ and a skew-symmetric matrix $\Theta = (\theta_{kl})_{k,l} \in M(m, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}^m$. Write an element of G like $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in G$. Define a map $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\rho(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \mathbf{i}^\top \Theta \mathbf{j})$$

for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in G$. This map becomes a commutation factor on \mathbb{Z}^m because the skew-symmetry of Θ implies that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})\rho(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}) &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} (\mathbf{i}^\top \Theta \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}^\top \Theta \mathbf{i})) \\ &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} (\mathbf{i}^\top \Theta \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i}^\top \Theta \mathbf{j})) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \, ((\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j})^\top \Theta \mathbf{k})) \\ &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \, (\mathbf{i}^\top \Theta \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{j}^\top \Theta \mathbf{k})) = \rho(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k})\rho(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k})\end{aligned}$$

hold for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in G$.

Let A_Θ be an algebra generated by indeterminates u^1, u^2, \dots, u^m with relations

$$u^k u^l = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \, \theta_{kl}) u^l u^k$$

for $1 \leq k, l \leq m$. This algebra has a natural G -grading $A_\Theta = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in G} (A_\Theta)_{\mathbf{i}}$ where

$$(A_\Theta)_{\mathbf{i}} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \{(u^1)^{i_1} (u^2)^{i_2} \dots (u^m)^{i_m}\} & \text{if } i_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, A_Θ becomes a ρ -commutative algebra over \mathbb{C} with respect to the commutation factor ρ defined above. Moreover, A_Θ is nothing but the algebra of functions on the m -dimensional noncommutative torus [13]. The case $m = 2$ is discussed in [4].

Remark 2.6. When a G -graded ρ -commutative algebra is given, we often consider another algebra associated with it which is graded by $G' := \mathbb{Z} \times G$, and whose commutation factor $\rho': G' \times G' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is defined by

$$\rho'((s, i), (t, j)) := (-1)^{st} \rho(i, j)$$

for $(s, i), (t, j) \in G'$. In this case, the G' -degree on a ρ' -algebra is written by $|\cdot|'$. We use these notations from now on.

Example 2.7. Suppose $V = \bigoplus_{i \in G} V_i$ is a G -graded vector space and ρ is a commutation factor on G . The ρ -symmetric algebra $S_\rho^\bullet V := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S_\rho^k V$ on V is defined by

$$S_\rho^k V := V^{\otimes k} / I$$

for $k \geq 0$ where I is the two-sided ideal of $V^{\otimes k}$ generated by

$$\{v \otimes w - \rho(|v|, |w|) w \otimes v \mid v, w \in V\}.$$

This algebra is clearly a ρ -commutative algebra.

On the other hand, the ρ -antisymmetric algebra $\wedge_\rho^\bullet V := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \wedge_\rho^k V$ on V , which is defined by

$$\wedge_\rho^k V := V^{\otimes k} / J$$

for $k \geq 0$ where J is the ideal generated by

$$\{v \otimes w + \rho(|v|, |w|) w \otimes v \mid v, w \in V\},$$

is not ρ -commutative. However, it is regarded as a G' -graded ρ' -commutative algebra if we consider a G' -grading $|\omega|' := (k, |\omega|)$ for $\omega \in \wedge_\rho^k V$.

Example 2.8. Let R be a usual commutative algebra over \mathbb{K} and x^1, \dots, x^m be G -graded indeterminates. A well-known construction of formal power series

$$R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]] := \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} \mid f_{\mathbf{w}} \in R \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \right\},$$

where $x^{\mathbf{w}}$ is a multi-index notation $x^{\mathbf{w}} := (x^1)^{w_1} (x^2)^{w_2} \dots (x^m)^{w_m}$ for $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}$, does not provide a ρ -commutative algebra in general. It is a direct product of G -homogeneous subspaces

$$R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]] = \prod_{i \in G} R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]_i,$$

not a direct sum if G is an infinite group. Here, for every $i \in G$,

$$R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]_i := \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} \in R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]] \mid |x^{\mathbf{w}}| = i \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \right\}.$$

For this reason, we consider a direct sum

$$R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]_{\bullet} := \bigoplus_{i \in G} R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]_i$$

rather than a direct product. This subspace $R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]_{\bullet}$ of $R[[x^1, \dots, x^m]]$ is certainly a ρ -commutative algebra.

Definition 2.9. Let G be an abelian group and $\rho: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a commutation factor. A G -graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in G} \mathfrak{g}_i$ over \mathbb{K} is said to be a ρ -Lie algebra of degree $d \in G$ if it is equipped with a \mathbb{K} -bilinear map $[-, -]_{\rho}: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

- (i) $|\mathfrak{g}| := |[-, -]_{\rho}| = d$, that is, $|[f, g]_{\rho}| = |f| + |g| + d$,
- (ii) $[f, g]_{\rho} = -\rho(|f|, |g|)[g, f]_{\rho}$ (ρ -antisymmetry),
- (iii) $[f, [g, h]]_{\rho} = [[f, g], h]_{\rho} + \rho(|f| + d, |g| + d)[g, [f, h]]_{\rho}$ (ρ -Jacobi identity)

for all $f, g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In particular, a ρ -Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of degree d is said to be a ρ -Lie antialgebra if $\rho(d, d) = -1$.

A ρ -Lie algebra is originally introduced by Scheunert [15] as an “ ϵ -Lie algebra” and also known as a Lie colored algebra [12]. A ρ -commutative algebra also has another name as an ϵ -commutative algebra [15], a colored algebra [14], or an almost commutative algebra [2, 4], etc.

Example 2.10. Any G -graded algebra A with a commutation factor ρ on G becomes a ρ -Lie algebra of degree 0 by the ρ -commutator on A , which is a \mathbb{K} -bilinear map $[-, -]_\rho: A \times A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$[f, g]_\rho := fg - \rho(|f|, |g|)gf$$

for $f, g \in A$.

Example 2.11. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in G} A_i$ be a ρ -commutative algebra. A homomorphism $X: A \rightarrow A$ of degree $|X| \in G$ is called a ρ -derivation if

$$X(fg) = (Xf)g + \rho(|X|, |f|)f(Xg)$$

holds for all $f, g \in A$. The vector space consisting of all ρ -derivations on A is denoted by $\rho \text{Der}(A)$, which has a natural G -grading $\rho \text{Der}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in G} \rho \text{Der}(A)_i$ where

$$\rho \text{Der}(A)_i := \{X \in \rho \text{Der}(A) \mid |X| = i\}.$$

The space $\rho \text{Der}(A)$ of ρ -derivations becomes a ρ -Lie algebra of degree 0 by the ρ -commutator

$$[X, Y]_\rho(f) = X(Yf) - \rho(|X|, |Y|)Y(Xf).$$

Moreover, it has a structure of a well-defined left A -module

$$(fX)(g) := f(Xg) \quad (X \in \rho \text{Der}(A), f, g \in A)$$

by the ρ -commutativity of A .

Here we introduce a ρ -commutative version of a differential graded algebra or a Q -algebra [4].

Definition 2.12. A pair (A, Q) is called a ρ -commutative Q -algebra (or ρ - Q -algebra for short) if

- (i) A is a ρ -commutative algebra, and $Q \in \rho \text{Der}(A)$,
- (ii) $\rho(|Q|, |Q|) = -1$,
- (iii) $[Q, Q]_\rho (= 2Q \circ Q) = 0$.

This derivation Q is called a *homological ρ -derivation*.

Example 2.13. For any ρ -commutative algebra A , the pair $(A, 0)$ is a ρ' - Q -algebra. Note that we cannot simply say that $\rho(|Q|, |Q|) = -1$ because G might not admit a commutation factor ρ with $\rho(i, i) = -1$ for some $i \in G$. For example, any commutation factor on $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ is trivial.

Example 2.14. Let \mathfrak{g} be an n -dimensional G -graded ρ -Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} . Take a basis (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m) of \mathfrak{g} and denote the structure constant by $\{\gamma_{ab}^c\}_{a,b,c}$, i.e. it satisfies

$$[e_a, e_b]_\rho = \sum_{c=1}^m \gamma_{ab}^c e_c$$

for all $1 \leq a, b \leq m$. Set $\Pi\mathfrak{g}^* := \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a set, with a G' -grading $|\xi|' := (1, |\xi|)$ for $\xi \in \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*$ where the G -grading on the vector space \mathfrak{g}^* is a natural one induced by the G -grading $|\cdot|$ on \mathfrak{g} .

Then, the algebra $S_\rho^\bullet(\Pi\mathfrak{g}^*)$ has a homological ρ' -derivation

$$Q := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^m \gamma_{ab}^c \xi^a \xi^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^c}$$

where $(\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^m)$ is the dual basis of (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m) . In fact, the condition $[Q, Q]_\rho = 0$ is equivalent to the ρ -Jacobi identity of the original bracket on \mathfrak{g} .

A ρ -commutative Q-algebra is a generalization of a Q-algebra in super-algebras. It is a special case where a ρ -commutative algebra A is the one in Example 2.4.

3 The graded matrix algebras

Let A be a G -graded ρ -commutative algebra. Assume G is finitely generated.

Definition 3.1. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in G^{\times n}$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m) \in G^{\times m}$. We define the A -bimodule

$$M(I \times J; A) := \{(n \times m)\text{-matrices whose entries are in } A\}$$

with G -grading $M(I \times J; A) = \bigoplus_{d \in G} M_d(I \times J; A)$ defined by

$$M_d(I \times J; A) := \left\{ (f_{k,l})_{k,l=1}^n \in M(I \times J; A) \mid \begin{array}{l} |f_{k,l}| = i_k - j_l + d \\ \text{for all } k \text{ and } l \end{array} \right\}.$$

The left and right actions by A are defined by

$$gF := (\rho(i_k, |g|)gf_{k,l})_{k,l}, \quad Fg := (\rho(j_l, |g|)f_{k,l}g)_{k,l}$$

for all $g \in A$ and $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} \in M(I \times J; A)$.

For $I = J$, the A -bimodule $M(I \times I; A)$, simply written as $M(I; A)$, turns out to be an A -algebra.

The group consisting of invertible matrices of G -degree 0 is written as

$$\text{GL}_0(I; A) := \{F \in M_0(I; A) \mid F \text{ is invertible}\}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} \in M(I \times J; A)$. The (*super*)*transpose* of F is the matrix $F^\top = (g_{l,k})_{l,k} \in M((-J) \times (-I); A)$ with

$$g_{l,k} := \rho(i_k, j_l - i_k) f_{k,l}$$

where $-I := (-i_1, \dots, -i_n) \in G^{\times n}$ and $-J := (-j_1, \dots, -j_m) \in G^{\times m}$.

Now we introduce a ρ -commutative version of determinant by [6, 7]. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in G^{\times n}$. I is said to be *even* if $I \in (G_0)^{\times n}$, and *odd* if $I \in (G_1)^{\times n}$. Denote the set of all bijections from I to I by $\text{Aut}(I)$.

Definition 3.3. Let $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} \in M_0(I; A)$. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, let t_k be an indeterminate with $|t_k| = i_k$.

- (a) Suppose that I is even. $\rho \det(F) \in A_0$ is defined as the unique element characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \det(F) \cdot t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(I)} f_{1,\sigma(1)} t_{\sigma(1)} \cdot f_{2,\sigma(2)} t_{\sigma(2)} \cdots f_{n,\sigma(n)} t_{\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S_{\rho}^{\bullet} \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \rangle$.

- (b) Suppose that I is odd. $\rho \det(F) \in A_0$ is defined as the unique element characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \det(F) \cdot t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge t_n \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(I)} f_{1,\sigma(1)} t_{\sigma(1)} \wedge f_{2,\sigma(2)} t_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n,\sigma(n)} t_{\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \wedge_{\rho}^{\bullet} \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \rangle$.

We call $\rho \det(F)$ the ρ -determinant or the *graded determinant* of F in both cases.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that I is even or odd. The map

$$\rho \det: M_0(I; A) \rightarrow A_0$$

defined above satisfies the following properties.

- (a) If $F \in M_0(I; A)$ is invertible, then $\rho \det(F) \in (A_0)^{\times}$.
- (b) $\rho \det(FG) = \rho \det(F) \rho \det(G)$ for all $F, G \in M_0(I; A)$.
- (c) Let $F = (\mathbf{f}_k)_k, G = (\mathbf{g}_k)_k, H = (\mathbf{h}_k)_k \in M_0(I; A)$ in the row vector representation. Let $1 \leq k_0 \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{f}_{k_0} + \mathbf{g}_{k_0} = \mathbf{h}_{k_0}$ and $\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{h}_k$ for all $k \neq k_0$. Then, $\rho \det(F) + \rho \det(G) = \rho \det(H)$.

- (d) Let $F = (\mathbf{f}_k)_k, G = (\mathbf{g}_k)_k \in M_0(I; A)$ in the row vector representation. Let $1 \leq k_0 \leq n$ and $c \in A_0$. Suppose that $c\mathbf{f}_{k_0} = \mathbf{g}_{k_0}$ and $\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{g}_k$ for all $k \neq k_0$. Then, $c \cdot \rho \det(F) = \rho \det(G)$.
- (e) Let $F = (\mathbf{f}_k)_k \in M_0(I; A)$ in the row vector representation. Suppose that $\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{f}_l$ for some $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Then, $\rho \det(F) = 0$.

Proof. See [6, 7]. ■

In fact, you can define the ρ -determinant of a matrix of non-zero G -degree and find that the similar properties hold. However, we do not need it for discussions from here on. For more details, see [6, 7].

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that I is even or odd. Let $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} = (\mathbf{f}_k)_k \in M(I; A)$. Let ε be an indeterminate of G -degree $-|F|$. Then, as a matrix over $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, we have

$$\rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F) = 1 + \text{tr}(\varepsilon F).$$

Proof. Expand $\rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F)$ by using properties of the ρ -determinant. If a matrix has two or more rows whose entries are all factored by ε , then the ρ -determinant of the matrix is 0 by the definition, i.e.,

$$\rho \det \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \rho(i_k, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon \mathbf{f}_k \\ \vdots \\ \rho(i_l, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon \mathbf{f}_l \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence, setting row vectors $\mathbf{f}'_k := \rho(i_k, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon \mathbf{f}_k$ and $\mathbf{e}_k := (\delta_{k,l})_l$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F) \\ &= \rho \det(1) + \rho \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}'_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_n \end{pmatrix} + \rho \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{f}'_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_n \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \rho \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{f}'_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 1 + \rho(i_1, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon f_{1,1} + \rho(i_2, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon f_{2,2} + \cdots + \rho(i_n, |\varepsilon|)\varepsilon f_{n,n}. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

This lemma is considered as an infinitesimal version of a relation between the determinant of the exponential and the exponential of the trace.

Then, we introduce a ρ -commutative version of Berezinian. Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1}, \dots, i_{n+m}) \in (G_0)^{\times n} \times (G_1)^{\times m}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} \in M_0(I; A)$. Suppose that F is written in the block matrix

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_{00} & F_{01} \\ F_{10} & F_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $F_{00} \in M_0((i_1, \dots, i_n), A)$. Define

$$\rho \text{Ber}(F) := \begin{cases} \rho \det(F_{00} - F_{01}F_{11}^{-1}F_{10}) \cdot \rho \det(F_{11})^{-1} & \text{if both } F_{00} \text{ and } F_{11} \text{ are invertible,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call $\rho \text{Ber}(F)$ the ρ -Berezinian or the *graded Berezinian* of F .

Proposition 3.7.

- (i) $\rho \text{Ber}(FG) = (\rho \text{Ber } F)(\rho \text{Ber } G)$ for all $F, G \in \text{GL}_0(I; A)$.
- (ii) $\rho \text{Ber } F = (\rho \det F_{00}) \rho \det(F_{11} - F_{10}F_{00}^{-1}F_{01})^{-1}$ for all $F \in \text{GL}_0(I; A)$.
- (iii) $\rho \text{Ber}(F^\top) = \rho \text{Ber } F$ for all $F \in \text{GL}_0(I; A)$.
- (iv) Suppose that I is even and J is odd. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \text{Ber} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} E_{00} & 0 & F_{00} & 0 \\ E_{10} & E_{11} & F_{10} & F_{11} \\ \hline G_{00} & 0 & H_{00} & 0 \\ G_{10} & G_{11} & H_{10} & H_{11} \end{array} \right) \left(=: \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} E_{00} & F_{00} \\ G_{00} & H_{00} \end{pmatrix} \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & F_{11} \\ G_{11} & H_{11} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for $E \in \text{GL}_0(I; A)$, $F \in M_0(I \times J; A)$, $G \in M_0(J \times I; A)$, and $H \in \text{GL}_0(J; A)$.

Proof. See [7] for (i). (ii), (iii), and (iv) are shown by straightforward computations. ■

Definition 3.8. Let $F = (f_{k,l})_{k,l} \in M(I; A)$. Define

$$\rho \text{tr}(F) := \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \rho(|i_k| + |F|, |i_k|) f_{k,k}.$$

We call $\rho \text{tr}(F)$ the ρ -trace or the *graded trace* of F .

Lemma 3.9. Let $F \in M(I; A)$. Let ε be an indeterminate of G -degree $-|F|$. Then, as a matrix over $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, we have

$$\rho \text{Ber}(1 + \varepsilon F) = 1 + \rho \text{tr}(\varepsilon F).$$

Proof. We use the same notation as in Definition 3.6. Note that $1 + \varepsilon F_{11}$ is invertible in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, and that $(1 + \varepsilon F_{11})^{-1} = 1 - \varepsilon F_{11}$. Since I is even and J is odd, we can apply Lemma 3.5 to F_{00} and F_{11} . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho \operatorname{Ber}(1 + \varepsilon F) \\
&= \rho \det((1 + \varepsilon F_{00}) - (\varepsilon F_{01})(1 + \varepsilon F_{11})^{-1}(\varepsilon F_{10})) \rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F_{11})^{-1} \\
&= \rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F_{00} - \varepsilon F_{01}(1 - \varepsilon F_{11})\varepsilon F_{10}) \rho \det(1 - \varepsilon F_{11}) \\
&= \rho \det(1 + \varepsilon F_{00}) \rho \det(1 - \varepsilon F_{11}) \\
&= (1 + \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon F_{00}))(1 - \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon F_{11})) \\
&= 1 + \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon F_{00}) - \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon F_{11}) = 1 + \rho \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon F). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

4 ρ -commutative functions

In this section, we discuss the G -graded ρ -commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{O}_\rho(U) := \mathcal{O}(U)[[x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{n+m}]]_\bullet$$

with x^1, \dots, x^n being coordinates on a neighbourhood U of a manifold. This algebra corresponds to the space of local functions on a ρ -manifold to be defined in the next section. We call x^1, \dots, x^n together with x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{n+m} *coordinate functions on U* . This algebra consists of elements of the form

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}}$$

where each $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}$ is a multi-index notation with

$$x^{\mathbf{w}} = (x^{n+1})^{w_1} (x^{n+2})^{w_2} \dots (x^{n+m})^{w_m},$$

and $f_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. Since $(x^{n+b})^2 = 0$ if x^{n+b} is odd, we always assume that $f_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$ if there is $1 \leq b \leq m$ such that x^{n+b} is odd and $w_b \geq 2$. If f is assumed to be homogeneous of degree $i \in G$, then we suppose $f_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$ unless $|x^{\mathbf{w}}| = i$.

We denote by \mathcal{I} the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ generated by the set $\{x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{n+m}\}$. Namely, \mathcal{I} consists of all formal power series in x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{n+m} without terms of polynomial degree 0, with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}(U)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let D be a ρ -derivation on $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$. Then, for every function $f = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$, the equation

$$D(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} D(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}})$$

holds.

Proof. The proof is almost same as the case of usual formal power series. By definition, we have $D(\mathcal{J}^2) \subset \mathcal{J}$ and then $D(\mathcal{J}^{k+1}) \subset \mathcal{J}^k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then D induces the well-defined derivation

$$D: \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)/\mathcal{J}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)/\mathcal{J}^k.$$

Let $f = \sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)_i$. Set $g := \sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i} D(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}})$. This g is a well-defined formal power series because $D(\mathcal{J}^{k+1}) \subset \mathcal{J}^k$ implies that each monomial of g only depends on $D(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}})$ in finitely many \mathbf{w} . In $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)/\mathcal{J}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(f + \mathcal{J}^{k+1}) - (g + \mathcal{J}^k) \\ &= D\left(\sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i, |\mathbf{w}| \leq k} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} + \mathcal{J}^{k+1}\right) - \left(\sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i, |\mathbf{w}| \leq k} D(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}}) + \mathcal{J}^k\right) \\ &= D\left(\sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i, |\mathbf{w}| \leq k} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}}\right) - \sum_{|x^{\mathbf{w}}|=i, |\mathbf{w}| \leq k} D(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}}) + \mathcal{J}^k \\ &= 0 + \mathcal{J}^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\mathbf{w}| := \sum_{l=1}^m w_l$ for $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times m}$. Thus, $D(f) - g \in \mathcal{J}^k$ for all $k \geq 1$. Since $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{J}^k = \{0\}$, we conclude that $D(f) = g$. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.1 implies that any ρ -derivation on $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ is uniquely determined by its action on the generators x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n+m} . We denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+m}}$ the ρ -derivations on $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ which satisfies $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(x^b) = \delta_{a,b}$ for all $1 \leq a, b \leq n+m$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ and $X \in \rho \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_\rho(U))$. Let ε be an indeterminate with $|\varepsilon| = -|X|$. For a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$ on U , in $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U) \otimes \mathbb{K}[[\varepsilon]]/(\varepsilon^2)$, it holds that

$$f((x^a + \varepsilon X^a)_a) = f(x) + \varepsilon \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} X^a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a}$$

where $X = \sum_a X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$.

Proof. For each $f_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{w}}((x^a + \varepsilon X^a)_a) &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\times n}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{v}!} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^{\mathbf{v}}} (\varepsilon X^1)^{v_1} (\varepsilon X^2)^{v_2} \dots (\varepsilon X^n)^{v_n} \\ &= f_{\mathbf{w}} + \varepsilon \sum_{a=1}^n X^a \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^a}. \end{aligned}$$

For each monomial $x^{\mathbf{w}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x^{n+1} + \varepsilon X^{n+1})^{w_1} \dots (x^{n+m} + \varepsilon X^{n+m})^{w_m} \\
&= x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m} + \sum_{b=1}^m x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+b-1} \varepsilon X^{n+b} x^{n+b+1} \dots x^{n+m} \\
&= x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m} + \varepsilon \sum_{b=1}^m X^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+b}} (x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f((x^a + \varepsilon X^a)_a) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \left(f_{\mathbf{w}} + \varepsilon \sum_{a=1}^n X^a \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^a} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \left(x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m} + \varepsilon \sum_{b=1}^m X^{n+b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+b}} (x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \left(f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m} + \varepsilon \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{n+1} \dots x^{n+m}) \right) \\
&= f + \varepsilon \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} X^a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prepare the exponential function and the logarithmic function. They are defined only for functions of G -degree 0. However, they satisfy desired properties and differential equations.

Definition 4.3. For $f = f_0 + f_1 \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)_0$ with $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $f_1 \in \mathcal{I}$, define

$$\exp f := \exp f_0 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (f_1)^k.$$

Definition 4.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)_0^\times$. Set $f =: f_0(1 + h)$ where $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ and $h \in \mathcal{I}$. Define

$$\log f := \log f_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} h^k.$$

as long as $\log f_0$ makes sense.

In the real category, $\log f$ is defined if f_0 values in strictly positive number. In the complex category, $\log f$ is defined if f_0 values in a simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} , and if $\log f_0$ is one branch of logarithm.

Proposition 4.5. For all $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)_0$, the following properties hold.

- (i) $\exp(f + g) = \exp f \cdot \exp g$.
- (ii) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(\exp f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \exp f$ for every $1 \leq a \leq m + n$.

Proof. We use the same notation in Definition 4.3. Since both f_1 and g_1 have G -degree 0, $\mathbb{K}\llbracket f_1, g_1 \rrbracket$ is regarded as a usual commutative formal power series with two indeterminates. For (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp f \cdot \exp g &= \exp(f_0) \exp(g_0) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} f_1^k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} g_1^k \right) \\ &= \exp(f_0 + g_0) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (f_1 + g_1)^k \right) \\ &= \exp(f + g). \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(\exp f) \\ &= (\exp f_0) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x^a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (f_1)^k + (\exp f_0) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^a} (f_1)^{k-1} \\ &= (\exp f_0) \left(\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^a} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (f_1)^k = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \exp f. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.6. For all $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)_0^\times$, the following properties hold as long as both sides make sense.

- (i) $\log(fg) = \log f + \log g$.
- (ii) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(\log f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \frac{1}{f}$ for every $1 \leq a \leq m + n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 4.5. \blacksquare

5 ρ -manifolds

In this section, we define ρ -manifolds by the analogy with supermanifolds or other graded manifolds (e.g. [1, 16]).

Definition 5.1. Let G be an abelian group and ρ be a commutation factor on G . A ρ -manifold is a ringed space (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) such that

- (i) its underlying space M is a connected n -dimensional manifold,

- (ii) there is an open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of M which has a smooth coordinate $\{(x_\alpha^1, x_\alpha^2, \dots, x_\alpha^n)\}_\alpha$ and a family

$$\{(x_\alpha^{n+1}, x_\alpha^{n+2}, \dots, x_\alpha^{n+m}) \in (\mathcal{O}_\rho(U_\alpha))^{\times m}\}_\alpha$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_\rho(U) \cong \mathcal{O}(U)[[x_\alpha^{n+1}, x_\alpha^{n+2}, \dots, x_\alpha^{n+m}]]_\bullet.$$

We call each open set U_α and tuple of local functions $(x_\alpha^1, x_\alpha^2, \dots, x_\alpha^{n+m})$, a *coordinate neighbourhood* and its *coordinate functions*, respectively.

Remark 5.2. Since M is connected, a tuple of degrees

$$(|x_\alpha^{n+1}|, |x_\alpha^{n+2}|, \dots, |x_\alpha^{n+m}|) \in G^{\times m}$$

is constant on indices α up to permutations of components. Hence, we may replace G with its subgroup finitely generated by $|x_\alpha^{n+1}|, |x_\alpha^{n+2}|, \dots, |x_\alpha^{n+m}|$ for some index α . We choose an index α and assume that all coordinate functions $y = (y^1, \dots, y^{m+n})$ satisfy $I := (|x_\alpha^1|, \dots, |x_\alpha^{n+m}|) = (|y^1|, \dots, |y^{n+m}|)$.

Definition 5.3. For a ρ -manifold (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) , we define

$$\text{Vect}_\rho(M) := \rho \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_\rho(M)),$$

and we call its element *a vector field on (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ)* .

On the intersection of coordinates $x = (x^a)_a$ on U and $y = (y^a)_a$ on V , the *Jacobian matrix* J_{xy} is defined by

$$J_{xy} := \left(\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^{n+m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y^{n+m}}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y^{n+m}}{\partial x^{n+m}} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_0(I; \mathcal{O}_\rho(U \cap V)).$$

Proposition 5.4. For a ρ -manifold M and its coordinate $(x^a)_a$ on U , there exist the unique ρ -derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+m}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ with

- (i) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(x^b) = \delta_{a,b}$ for all $1 \leq a, b \leq m+n$,
- (ii) $\text{Vect}_\rho(U) \cong \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+m}} \right\rangle$ as $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$ -modules,
- (iii) as a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} = \rho(-|x^a|, -|x^b|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \quad (1 \leq a, b \leq n+m),$$

- (iv) on the intersection of U with a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$ and V with another coordinate $y = (y^a)_a$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} = \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \quad (1 \leq b \leq n+m).$$

Proof. We define $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ by extending (i) as a ρ -derivation on $\mathcal{O}_\rho(U)$.

To see (ii), let $X \in \text{Vect}_\rho(U)$ and set $\tilde{X} := \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} X(x^a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$. Clearly, $X(x^a) = \tilde{X}(x^a)$ and $X((x^a)^k) = \tilde{X}((x^a)^k)$. From the result for derivations on $\mathcal{O}(M)$, it also holds that $X(g) = \tilde{X}(g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. For any function $f = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (X - \tilde{X})(f) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} (X - \tilde{X})(f_{\mathbf{w}}) x^{\mathbf{w}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{\mathbf{w}} f_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{b=1}^m \rho_{\mathbf{w},b} (x^{n+1})^{w_1} \dots (X - \tilde{X})((x^{n+b})^{w_b}) \dots (x^{n+m})^{w_m} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{w},b}$ is some constant determined from the commutation between the derivation $X - \tilde{X}$ and $(x^{n+c})^{w_c}$ for $c < b$.

(iii) is proved by a direct computation. It is enough to show for $n+1 \leq a < b \leq n+m$.

For (iv), let $f = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} f_{\mathbf{w}} y^{\mathbf{w}}$. It holds that

$$\sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^a} = \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^b}$$

because if $1 \leq b \leq n$ then it is just a chain rule for the underlying manifold M , and if $n+1 \leq b \leq n+m$ then both sides are 0. It also holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{c=1}^m \frac{\partial y^{n+c}}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial y^{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^{n+c}} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^m \rho \left(-|x^b|, \sum_{c'=1}^{a-1} w_{c'} |y^{n+c'}| \right) (y^{n+1})^{w_1} \dots (y^{n+c-1})^{w_{c-1}} \\ & \quad \cdot w_c \frac{\partial y^{n+c}}{\partial x^b} (y^{n+c})^{w_c-1} \cdot (y^{n+c+1})^{w_{c+1}} \dots (y^{n+m})^{w_m} \\ &= \frac{\partial y^{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} (f) &= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^a} y^{\mathbf{w}} + \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} f_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\partial y^{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^a} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^a} y^{\mathbf{w}} + \sum_{a=n+1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} f_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\partial y^{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial y^a} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^b} y^{\mathbf{w}} + f_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\partial y^{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial x^b} \right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^b}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.5. A vector bundle E on a ρ -manifold (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) of rank r is a locally free \mathcal{O}_ρ -module $\Gamma(-, E)$ of rank r . In other words, the sheaf $\Gamma(-, E)$ satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) There is a tuple $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in G^{\times r}$.
- (ii) There is an open covering $\{V_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of M which forms an atlas $\{(x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^{n+m})\}_\alpha$ on (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) and satisfies $\Gamma(V_\alpha, E) \cong \langle e_1^\alpha, \dots, e_r^\alpha \rangle$ as $\mathcal{O}_\rho(V_\alpha)$ -modules with $(|e_1^\alpha|, \dots, |e_r^\alpha|) = -I$.
- (iii) On the intersection $V_{\alpha\beta} := V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$, there exists a matrix $g_{\alpha\beta} \in GL_0(I; \mathcal{O}_\rho(V_{\alpha\beta}))$, called the *transition functions* or the *transition rules*, such that the transformation between $(e_k^\alpha)_k$ and $(e_l^\beta)_l$ has the matrix representation

$$(e_1^\alpha|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \cdots e_r^\alpha|_{V_{\alpha\beta}}) = (e_1^\beta|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \cdots e_r^\beta|_{V_{\alpha\beta}}) g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Moreover, the family of transition functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{V_{\alpha\beta} \neq \emptyset}$ satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha\alpha} &= 1 && \text{for all } \alpha, \text{ and} \\
g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} g_{\gamma\alpha} &= 1 && \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ with } V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \cap V_\gamma \neq \emptyset.
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, setting the dual of e_k^α as ξ_α^k for each k , the sheaf \mathcal{E} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(V_\alpha) := \mathcal{O}(V_\alpha)[[x_\alpha^{n+1}, \dots, x_\alpha^{n+m}, \xi_\alpha^1, \dots, \xi_\alpha^r]]_\bullet$$

forms a ρ -manifold. We call $\xi_\alpha^1, \dots, \xi_\alpha^r$ the *linear coordinates* on E . They are transformed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_\beta^1|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_\beta^r|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = g_{\alpha\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\alpha^1|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_\alpha^r|_{V_{\alpha\beta}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 5.6. Let (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) be a ρ -manifold. The *tangent bundle* of M is a vector bundle TM over M defined by the linear coordinates $(\partial_x^1)^*, \dots, (\partial_x^{n+m})^*$ for each coordinate $(x^a)_a$ on a neighbourhood U with $|(\partial_x^a)^*| = |x^a|$ for $1 \leq a \leq n+m$, and by the transition functions being the Jacobian matrices. On the intersection of coordinates $(x^a, (\partial_x^a)^*)_a$ and $(y^a, (\partial_y^a)^*)_a$, the linear coordinates $((\partial_x^a)^*)_a$ and $((\partial_y^a)^*)_a$ are transformed as

$$(\partial_y^a)^* = \sum_{b=1}^{n+m} (\partial_x^b)^* \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b}.$$

Example 5.7. Similarly, the *cotangent bundle* T^*M of M is defined by the linear coordinates p_1, \dots, p_{n+m} with $|p_a| = -|x^a|$, and by the transition functions being the transposition of the Jacobian matrices. The linear coordinates are transformed as

$$q_a = \sum_{b=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^a} p_b$$

from $(x^a, p_a)_a$ to $(y^a, q_a)_a$.

We introduce two different types of the degree shift of a vector bundle.

Definition 5.8. Let E be a vector bundle of rank r on a ρ -manifold (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) characterized by the linear coordinates $\xi_\alpha^1, \dots, \xi_\alpha^r$ and the transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} = ((g_{\alpha\beta})_b^a)_{a,b}$ with

$$\xi_\beta^a = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r (g_{\alpha\beta})_b^a \xi_\alpha^b \quad ((g_{\alpha\beta})_b^a \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(U_{\alpha\beta})).$$

- (a) The *shift* of E or the *shifted* E is a vector bundle ΠE over the ρ' -manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\rho'}) = (M, \mathcal{O}_\rho)$ by the linear coordinates $(\xi')_\alpha^1, \dots, (\xi')_\alpha^r$ and the transition rules

$$(\xi')_\beta^a = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r (g_{\alpha\beta})_b^a (\xi')_\alpha^b \quad (5.1)$$

with G' -degrees $|(\xi')_\alpha^a|' := (1, |\xi_\alpha^a|)$ for $1 \leq a \leq r$.

- (b) Let $i \in G$. The *degree i -shift* of E is a vector bundle $[-i]E$ over the ρ -manifold (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) by the linear coordinates $(\xi')_\alpha^1, \dots, (\xi')_\alpha^r$ and the transition rules (5.1) with G -degrees $|(\xi')_\alpha^a| := |\xi_\alpha^a| - i$ for $1 \leq a \leq r$.

The double shift $\Pi\Pi E$ is naturally isomorphic to the original vector bundle E by definition, but the double degree i -shift $[-i][-i]E = [-2i]E$ is not in general.

Example 5.9. The shifted tangent bundle ΠTM of (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ) is described by the linear coordinates dx^1, \dots, dx^{n+m} with $|dx^a|' = (1, |x^a|)$ and the transition rule

$$dy^a = \sum_{b=1}^{n+m} dx^b \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b}$$

from $(x^a, dx^a)_a$ to $(y^a, dy^a)_a$.

The sheaf of functions on ΠTM is called the *de Rham complex of (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ)* and denoted by Ω^\bullet_M or simply Ω^\bullet . Functions on ΠTM are called *differential forms on (M, \mathcal{O}_ρ)* .

This is the analogy of the de Rham complex of non-graded manifolds. The fundamental operations on differential forms are also defined like the *de Rham differential*

$$d := \sum_a dx^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a},$$

the *Lie derivative along $X \in \text{Vect}_\rho(M)$ on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$*

$$L_X := \sum_a \left(dx^a \frac{\partial X^b}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^b} + X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \right),$$

and the *interior product of $X \in \text{Vect}_\rho(M)$*

$$i_X := \sum_a X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a}.$$

Here we used a local description $X = \sum_a X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ of a vector field $X \in \text{Vect}_\rho(M)$. The Cartan identities in this version

$$[L_X, L_Y]_{\rho'} = L_{[X, Y]_\rho}, \quad [d, L_X]_{\rho'} = 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

can be shown [5].

Example 5.10. The shifted cotangent bundle ΠT^*M is described by the linear coordinates x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+m}^* with $|x_a^*|' = (1, -|x^a|)$ and the transition rule

$$y_a^* = \sum_{b=1}^{n+m} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial y^a} x_b^*$$

from $(x^a, x_a^*)_a$ to $(y^a, y_a^*)_a$.

Example 5.11. Consider the degree i -shift of the cotangent bundle T^*M using the notation in Example 5.10. The linear coordinates on $[-i]T^*M$ are x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+m}^* with G -gradings $|x_a^*| = -|x^a| - i$. The *degree- i Schouten bracket* on $\mathcal{O}_\rho([-i]T^*M)$ is defined by

$$[[f, g]] := \sum_{a=i}^{n+m} \left(\rho(|f| + |x^a| + i, |x^a| + i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_a^*} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^a} - \rho(|x^a|, |f| + i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_a^*} \right) \quad (5.2)$$

locally on a coordinate $(x^a, x_a^*)_a$. The degree-0 Schouten bracket on T^*M corresponds to the Poisson bracket.

Lemma 5.12. Take a coordinate $(x^a, x_a^*)_a$ on $[-i]T^*M$ and rewrite it as

$$(x^1, \dots, x^{n+m}, x_1^*, \dots, x_{n+m}^*) =: (z^1, \dots, z^{2(n+m)}).$$

Then, we have

$$[[f, g]] = \sum_{a,b=1}^{2(n+m)} \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} [[z^a, z^b]] \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^b}.$$

Proof. Note that $[[x_a^*, x^b]] = \delta_{a,b}$ and $[[x^a, x_b^*]] = -\rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + i)\delta_{a,b}$ for $1 \leq a, b \leq n+m$ by the definition. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a,b=1}^{2(n+m)} \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} [[z^a, z^b]] \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^b} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x_a^*|, |f| - |x_a^*|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_a^*} [[x_a^*, x^a]] \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^a} \\ & \quad + \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x^a|, |f| - |x^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} [[x^a, x_a^*]] \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_a^*} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(-|x^a| - i, |f| + |x^a| + i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_a^*} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^a} \\ & \quad + \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x^a|, |f| - |x^a|) \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_a^*} \\ &= [[f, g]]. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 5.13. The degree- i Schouten bracket has the following properties.

- (i) $[[f, g]] = |f| + |g| + i$,
- (ii) $[[f, g]] = -\rho(|f| + i, |g| + i)[[g, f]]$,
- (iii) $[[f, [g, h]]] = [[f, g], h] + \rho(|f| + i, |g| + i)[[g, [f, h]]]$,
- (iv) $[[f, gh]] = [[f, g]]h + \rho(|f| + i, |g|)g[[f, h]]$

for all $f, g, h \in \mathcal{O}_\rho([-i]T^*M)$.

Proof. Each property is checked by using Lemma 5.12. For example, (iv) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket f, gh \rrbracket &= \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} \llbracket z^a, z^b \rrbracket \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^b} h \\
&\quad + \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} \llbracket z^a, z^b \rrbracket g \cdot \rho(-|z^b|, g) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^b} \\
&= \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} \llbracket z^a, z^b \rrbracket \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^b} h \\
&\quad + \rho(i + |f|, g) \rho(|z^a|, |f| - |z^a|) g \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^a} \llbracket z^a, z^b \rrbracket \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^b} \\
&= \llbracket f, g \rrbracket h + \rho(|f| + i, |g|) g \llbracket f, h \rrbracket. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.14. A ρ - Q -manifold is a pair (M, Q) of a ρ -manifold M and a vector field $Q \in \text{Vect}_\rho(M)$ such that the pair $(\mathcal{O}_\rho(M), Q)$ forms a ρ - Q -algebra. In this case, Q is called a *homological vector field on M* .

Example 5.15. Any ρ -manifold with the zero vector field $Q = 0$ is obviously a ρ' - Q -manifold (cf. Example 2.13).

Example 5.16. Let M be a ρ -manifold. As we discussed in Example 5.9, the de Rham differential $d = \sum_a dx^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ is squared to zero. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(df) &= \sum_{a,b} dx^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \left(dx^b \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^b} \right) = \sum_{a,b} \rho(-|x^a|, |x^b|) dx^a dx^b \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} \\
&= \sum_{b,a} \rho(-|x^b|, |x^a|) dx^b dx^a \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^b \partial x^a} \\
&= \sum_{b,a} \rho(-|x^b|, |x^a|) \cdot (-\rho(|x^b|, |x^a|)) \cdot \rho(-|x^b|, -|x^a|) dx^a dx^b \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} \\
&= - \sum_{b,a} \rho(-|x^a|, |x^b|) dx^a dx^b \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(\Pi TM) = \Omega^\bullet(M)$. Thus, a pair $(\Pi TM, d)$ is a ρ' - Q -manifold.

Next, we assume M is a ρ - Q -manifold with a homological vector field Q . Since the Lie derivative along Q on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ is squared to zero because

$$2L_Q \circ L_Q = [L_Q, L_Q]_{\rho'} = L_{[Q, Q]_\rho} = 0,$$

a pair $(\Pi TM, L_Q)$ also becomes a ρ' - Q -manifold.

Moreover, the Cartan identity for $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ implies that $[d, L_Q]_{\rho'} = 0$. It follows that $[d + L_Q, d + L_Q]_{\rho'} = 0$, which gives another ρ' - Q -manifold $(\Pi TM, d + L_Q)$.

Example 5.17. Consider the degree- i Schouten bracket (Example 5.11) on the degree- i shift of the cotangent bundle $[-i]T^*M$. Suppose that M has a homological vector field Q . A natural correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Vect}_\rho(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_\rho([-i]T^*U) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} & \longmapsto & x_a^* \end{array}$$

on U with a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$ maps $Q|_U = \sum_a Q^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ to a local function $\sum_a Q^a x_a^*$, which is patched up to some global function f_Q of degree $|f_Q| = |Q| - i$ because the transition rules for $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a})_a$ and $(x_a^*)_a$ are identical. This map is of degree $-i$. Then, $\tilde{Q} := \llbracket f_Q, - \rrbracket$ is a ρ -derivation on $\mathcal{O}_\rho([-i]T^*M)$ of degree $|Q|$ by (iv) in Proposition 5.13. Using Lemma 5.12, we have locally

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket f_Q, f_Q \rrbracket \\ &= \rho(-|x^a| - i, |Q| + |x^a|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^*} (Q^b x_b^*) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (Q^c x_c^*) \\ & \quad - \rho(|x^a|, |Q|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (Q^b x_b^*) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^*} (Q^c x_c^*) \\ &= Q^a \frac{\partial Q^c}{\partial x^a} x_c^* - \rho(|x^a|, |Q|) \rho(|x^a| + i, |Q| + |x^a|) \frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} x_b^* Q^a \\ &= 2Q^a \frac{\partial Q^c}{\partial x^a} x_c^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is just an interpretation of the condition $[Q, Q]_\rho = 0$. Hence, for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_\rho([-i]T^*M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(\tilde{Q}f) &= \llbracket f_Q, \llbracket f_Q, f \rrbracket \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \llbracket f_Q, f_Q \rrbracket, f \rrbracket + \rho(|f_Q| + i, |f_Q| + i) \llbracket f_Q, \llbracket f_Q, f \rrbracket \rrbracket \\ &= -\llbracket f_Q, \llbracket f_Q, f \rrbracket \rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $\tilde{Q} \circ \tilde{Q} = 0$. Therefore, $([-i]T^*M, \tilde{Q})$ is a ρ - Q -manifold.

Example 5.18. A noncommutative torus (Example 2.5) is considered as a ρ -manifold M whose underlying manifold is a point. A ρ -commutative algebra A_Θ is $\mathbb{Z}^{\times m}$ -graded and its generators are graded positively, which gives

$$A_\Theta = C^\omega(\{*\}, \mathbb{C}) \llbracket u^1, \dots, u^m \rrbracket_\bullet = \mathbb{C}[u^1, \dots, u^m].$$

Its BRST quantization corresponds to the ρ' -commutative algebra $C_{\rho'}^\omega(\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times M, \mathbb{C})$ where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of m -dimensional torus. The corresponding BRST differential Q is

$$Q = - \sum_{a=1}^m 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\eta^a u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \in \text{Vect}_{\rho'}(\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times M)$$

where each $\eta^a \in C_{\rho'}^{\omega}(\Pi\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ is a coordinate function with

$$|\eta^a|' = (1, (0, \dots, 0)) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\times m}.$$

This Q becomes a homological vector field on $\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times M$.

6 Volume forms on a ρ -manifold

For a while, let M be a G -graded ρ -manifold.

Definition 6.1. Let M be a ρ -manifold. The ρ -Berezinian bundle on M is the line bundle $\rho \text{Ber}(M)$ on M characterized by a local frame $D(x)$ of degree 0 on a coordinate neighbourhood $x = (x^a)_a$, and the transition rule

$$D(y) = D(x) \rho \text{Ber} \left(\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b}$$

between coordinate neighbourhoods $y = (y^a)_a$ and $x = (x^b)_b$.

Since the Jacobian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b}$ between neighbourhoods clearly satisfies the cocycle condition, by Proposition 3.7, a vector bundle $\rho \text{Ber}(M)$ is well-defined.

Definition 6.2. A ρ -manifold M is said to be *orientable* if there is a global section $\text{vol} \in \Gamma(M, \rho \text{Ber}(M))$ whose restriction to each neighbourhood U_x with a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$ is of a form $\text{vol}|_{U_x} = D(x)s(x)$ with $s(x) \in (C_{\rho}^{\infty}(U_x))_0$ invertible.

In this case, we call this section vol a ρ -Berezin volume form on M or simply a *volume form* on M . We denote by $\text{Vol}(M)$ the set of all ρ -Berezin volume forms on M .

Definition 6.3. Let M be a ρ -manifold and $\text{vol}_1, \text{vol}_2 \in \Gamma(M, \rho \text{Ber}(M))$ be two ρ -Berezin volume forms. vol_1 and vol_2 are said to be *equivalent* if $\text{vol}_2 = \text{vol}_1 \cdot \exp h$ for some $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\rho}(M)_0$.

Remark 6.4. Let us consider the equivalence of volume forms in the non-graded case. The ρ -Berezinian bundle is just the determinant line bundle $\det M$ on M . A volume form on M is a non-vanishing section on $\det M$, which makes $\det M$ trivial.

In the real category, since any positive function is the exponential of some function, two volume forms are equivalent if and only if they are identical up to a multiple by a positive function. This equivalence relation divide $\text{Vol}(M)$ into two equivalence classes.

In the complex category, the situation is a bit more complicated. For example, on a punctured complex plane $M = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we have two volume

forms $\text{vol}_1 = 1dz$ and $\text{vol}_2 = zdz$ which are not equivalent. Since the action of $\mathcal{O}(M)^\times$ by multiplication on $\text{Vol}(M)$ is transitive and free, if we pick some volume form, then $\text{Vol}(M)$ is identified with $\mathcal{O}(M)^\times$. Recall that the exponential sheaf sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow 0$$

yields a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}^\times) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

The identification $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}(M)$ and $H^0(M, \mathcal{O}^\times) = \mathcal{O}^\times(M)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(M)^\times / \sim &= \mathcal{O}(M)^\times / \text{Im}(\exp: H^0(M, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}^\times)) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(M)^\times / \text{Ker } \delta \end{aligned}$$

where \sim means the equivalence relation in Definition 6.3. The connecting homomorphism δ satisfies

$$(\delta f)(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{f \circ \gamma} \frac{dz}{z}$$

for a loop $\gamma \in H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, the equivalence relation \sim between volume forms means they have “the same winding number”.

Example 6.5. If M is a point or an open subset of \mathbb{K}^n , then M has a trivial ρ -Berezin volume form $\text{vol} = D(x) \cdot 1$.

Example 6.6. Let us consider the shifted tangent bundle ΠTM (Example 5.9) of M with coordinates $x = (x^a)_a$. To deal with the Jacobian matrix, we write the even coordinates as $x^{\text{even}} := (x^a)_{|x^a| : \text{even}}$ and the odd coordinates as $x^{\text{odd}} := (x^a)_{|x^a| : \text{odd}}$. The ρ' -Berezinian of the Jacobian matrix is written in block matrices as

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho' \text{Ber } J_{(x,dx),(y,dy)} \\ &= \rho' \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial dx^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial dx^{\text{even}}} \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial dx^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial dx^{\text{even}}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \rho' \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \rho' \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial dx^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{odd}}}{\partial dx^{\text{even}}} \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial dx^{\text{odd}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial dy^{\text{even}}}{\partial dx^{\text{even}}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \rho' \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \rho' \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}} \right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}} \right) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Proposition 3.7 (iv). Hence, ΠTM has a ρ' -Berezin volume form $\text{vol} = D(x, dx) \cdot 1$.

Example 6.7. For the cotangent bundle T^*M (Example 5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho \text{Ber } J_{(x,p),(y,q)} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial p^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial p^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial p^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial p^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial p^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{even}}}{\partial p^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial p^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial q^{\text{odd}}}{\partial p^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial x^{\text{even}}}{\partial y^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial x^{\text{even}}}{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}{\partial y^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix}^\top \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used Proposition 3.7 (iii) and (iv). Hence, T^*M has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\text{vol} = D(x, p) \cdot 1$.

Example 6.8. For the tangent bundle TM (Example 5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho \text{Ber } J_{(x,p),(y,q)} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{even}})^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{odd}})^*}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{even}})^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{odd}})^*}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{even}})^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{even}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{odd}})^*}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{even}})^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial(\partial_y^{\text{odd}})^*}{\partial(\partial_x^{\text{odd}})^*}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
&= (\rho \text{Ber } J_{xy})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the tangent bundle TM has a ρ -Berezin volume form if the base ρ -manifold M has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\text{vol} = D(x)s(x)$. In this case, $\widetilde{\text{vol}} := D(x, (\partial_x)^*)s(x)^2$ becomes a ρ -Berezin volume form on TM .

Example 6.9. For the shifted cotangent bundle ΠT^*M (Example 5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho' \operatorname{Ber} J_{(x,x^*), (y,y^*)} \\
&= \rho' \operatorname{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{odd}}^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{even}}^*}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{odd}}^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{even}}^*}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho' \operatorname{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \rho' \operatorname{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{odd}}^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{odd}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{even}}^*}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{odd}}^*}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y_{\text{even}}^*}{\partial x_{\text{even}}^*}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \rho' \operatorname{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{even}}}{\partial x^{\text{odd}}}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial y^{\text{odd}}}{\partial x^{\text{even}}}\right) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\
&= (\rho \operatorname{Ber} J_{xy})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the shifted cotangent bundle ΠT^*M has a ρ -Berezin volume form given by $\widetilde{\operatorname{vol}} := D(x, x^*)s(x)^2$ if the base ρ -manifold M has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\operatorname{vol} = D(x)s(x)$.

Example 6.10. Consider the degree- i shifted cotangent bundle $[-i]T^*M$ (Example 5.11). If i is even, then the parity of each coordinate x_a^* is the same with that of x_a . Hence, the ρ -Berezinian of the Jacobian matrix is always 1 similarly to Example 6.7. Thus $[-i]T^*M$ has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\operatorname{vol} = D((x^a, x_a^*)_a) \cdot 1$.

If i is odd, then the parity of each coordinate x_a^* is opposite to that of x_a . Hence, the ρ -Berezinian of the Jacobian matrix is the square of the ρ -Berezinian of the Jacobian matrix on the base ρ -manifold similarly to Example 6.9. Consequently, $[-i]T^*M$ has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\widetilde{\operatorname{vol}} = D(x, x^*)s(x)^2$ if M itself has a ρ -Berezin volume form $\operatorname{vol} = D(x)s(x)$.

By deforming the coordinates infinitesimally, we consider Lie derivative of a section of ρ -Berezinian bundle.

Let X be a vector field on a ρ -manifold M . Infinitesimal deformation of a coordinate $(x^a)_a$ on a neighbourhood U_x is regarded as

$$x^a \mapsto x^a + \varepsilon X^a =: y^a$$

where $X = \sum_a X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ is the local description of X on U_x , and ε is an infinitesimal parameter, i.e., an indeterminate of degree $-|X|$ with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$.

The Jacobian matrix of this deformation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} (x^a + \varepsilon X^a) \right)_{a,b} &= \left(\delta_{a,b} + \rho(-|x_b|, |\varepsilon|) \varepsilon \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b} \\ &= 1 + \varepsilon \cdot \left(\rho(-|x_a| - |x_b|, |\varepsilon|) \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.9, the deformation of the local frame $D(x)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D(y) &= D(x) \rho \operatorname{Ber} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} (x^a + \varepsilon X^a) \right)_{a,b} \\ &= D(x) \rho \operatorname{Ber} \left(1 + \varepsilon \cdot \left(\rho(-|x_a| - |x_b|, |\varepsilon|) \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b} \right) \\ &= D(x) \left(1 + \rho \operatorname{tr} \left(\varepsilon \cdot \left(\rho(-|x_a| - |x_b|, |\varepsilon|) \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_{a,b} \right) \right) \\ &= D(x) \left(1 + \varepsilon \sum_a \rho(|x_a|, |x_a| + |X|) \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for every local function $s(x) \in C_\rho^\infty(U_x)$, we have

$$s(y) = s(x) + \varepsilon X^a \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^a}$$

from Lemma 4.2. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D(y)s(y) &= D(x) \left(1 + \varepsilon \sum_a \rho(|x_a|, |x_a| + |X|) \frac{\partial X^a}{\partial x^a} \right) \left(s(x) + \varepsilon X^a \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^a} \right) \\ &= D(x)s(x) + \varepsilon D(x) \sum_a \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |X|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (X^a s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the *Lie derivative along X* of a section of $\rho \operatorname{Ber}(M)$ is described locally by

$$L_X(D(x)s(x)) = D(x) \sum_a \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |X|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (X^a s) \quad (6.1)$$

for a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$ on U_x and a local function $s(x) \in C_\rho^\infty(U_x)$.

The Lie derivative of a ρ -Berezin volume form on a ρ -manifold is again a section of ρ -Berezinian bundle. The divergence of a ρ -Berezin volume form is defined as follows.

Definition 6.11. Let vol be a ρ -Berezin volume form on M . For a vector field $X \in \operatorname{Vect}_\rho(M)$, define the function $\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X$ called the *divergence of X with respect to vol* by

$$\operatorname{vol} \cdot (\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X) = L_X(\operatorname{vol}).$$

In a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$, it is written as

$$\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X = \sum_a \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |X|) s^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (X^a s)$$

where $\operatorname{vol} =: D(x)s(x)$.

Proposition 6.12. For all $X, Y \in \operatorname{Vect}_\rho(M)$, $f, g \in C_\rho^\infty(M)$ with $|g| = 0$, and a ρ -Berezin volume form vol on M , the following properties hold:

- (i) $\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}}(fX) = f \operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X + \rho(|f|, |X|)X(f)$,
- (ii) $\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol} \cdot \exp(g)} X = \operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X + X(g)$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}}[X, Y]_\rho = X(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Y) - \rho(|X|, |Y|)Y(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} X)$.

Proof. By a direct computation. ■

The definition of the divergence and its properties above coincide with the ones in the case of supermanifolds [3].

7 The modular class of a ρ -manifold

For a ρ -Q-manifold (M, Q) , the condition $Q^2 = 0$ implies that the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{O}_\rho(M)_Q := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_\rho(M)_{k|Q|} \subset \mathcal{O}_\rho(M)$$

has a structure of a cochain complex by an action of Q . We write the cohomology group of this cochain complex $(\mathcal{O}_\rho(M)_Q, Q)$ as $H_Q^\bullet(M)$.

Lemma 7.1. For a ρ -Q-manifold (M, Q) with a ρ -Berezin volume form vol ,

$$Q(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q) = 0.$$

Proof. Write $\operatorname{vol} = D(x)s(x)$ locally. Since $L_Q \circ L_Q = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_Q L_Q(\operatorname{vol}) = L_Q(D(x)s(x) \operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q) \\ &= \rho(|x^a|, |Q| + |x^a|) D(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (Q^a s(x) \operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q) \\ &= (D(x)s(x))(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q)^2 + D(x)s(x) Q(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q) \\ &= \operatorname{vol} \cdot Q(\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 7.2. The *modular class* of a ρ -Q-manifold (M, Q) with a ρ -Berezin volume form vol is the cohomology class of the divergence of Q , i.e.

$$\operatorname{Mod}_Q(M; \operatorname{vol}) := [\operatorname{Div}_{\operatorname{vol}} Q] \in H_Q^1(M).$$

Lemma 7.3. Suppose that (M, Q) is an orientable ρ -Q-manifold. The modular class of (M, Q) does not depend on equivalent ρ -Berezin volume forms. Moreover, in the real category, it holds also for ρ -Berezin volume forms which are not equivalent.

Proof. Suppose that vol_1 and vol_2 are equivalent ρ -Berezin volume forms on M . There is some function $h \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(M)_0$ such that $\text{vol}_2 = \text{vol}_1 \cdot \exp h$. From Proposition 6.12 (ii), it holds that $\text{Div}_{\text{vol}_2} Q = \text{Div}_{\text{vol}_1} Q + Q(h)$.

In the real category, for any pair of volume forms vol_1 and vol_2 , there is some invertible function $f = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} f_{\mathbf{w}} x^{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{O}_\rho(M)_0$ with $\text{vol}_2 = \text{vol}_1 \cdot f$. Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ be the term in f which has no G -graded indeterminates. The invertibility of f implies that f_0 is always positive or always negative. Hence, we have $\text{vol}_2 = \text{vol}_1 \cdot (\pm \exp(\log |f|))$ and

$$\text{Div}_{\text{vol}_2} Q = \text{Div}_{\text{vol}_1} Q \pm Q(\log |f|). \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 7.4. In the real category, since the modular class does not depend on ρ -Berezin volume forms, we also write $\text{Mod}_Q(M) := \text{Mod}_Q(M; \text{vol})$.

Example 7.5. Consider the de Rham complex of a ρ -manifold M with a coordinate $x = (x^a)_a$. By Example 6.6, a ρ' -manifold $\Pi T M$ has a ρ -Berezin volume form vol which is locally $D(x, dx) \cdot 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Div}_{\text{vol}} d \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho'(|x^a|, |x^a| + |d|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (dx^a) + \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho'(|dx^a|, |dx^a| + |d|) \frac{\partial}{\partial dx^a} (0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{Mod}_d(\Pi T M; \text{vol}) = 0$.

Example 7.6. Consider the de Rham complex $(\Pi T \mathbb{C}^\times, Q = dz \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ of \mathbb{C}^\times . Here we regard \mathbb{C}^\times as a non-graded holomorphic manifold. We have a ρ -Berezin volume form $\text{vol}_1 := D(z, dz) \cdot 1$. We also have another volume form $\text{vol}_2 := D(z, dz) \cdot z$. We see that $\text{Div}_{\text{vol}_1} Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (dz) = 0$ and $\text{Div}_{\text{vol}_2} Q = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (dz \cdot z) = \frac{1}{z} dz$. Since there is no holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C}^\times which satisfies $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (f) = \frac{1}{z}$, we have $\text{Mod}_Q(M; \text{vol}_2) \neq 0 = \text{Mod}_Q(M; \text{vol}_1)$. Indeed, the two volume forms vol_1 and vol_2 are not equivalent.

Example 7.7. Let (M, Q) be a ρ -Q-manifold with a ρ -Berezin volume form vol . Consider a ρ -manifold $[-i]T^*M$ ($i \in G$) with its homological vector field $\tilde{Q} := \llbracket f_Q, - \rrbracket$ defined in Example 5.17. Denote by $\widetilde{\text{vol}}$ the ρ -Berezin volume form on $[-i]T^*M$ induced by vol with a local description $\widetilde{\text{vol}} =$

$\tilde{D}(x, x^*)\tilde{s}(x, x^*)$. Since $\tilde{s} = 1$ if i is even and $\tilde{s} = s^2$ if i is odd, its logarithm is written as

$$\log \tilde{s} = (1 - \rho(i, i)) \log s.$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{Q}(\log \tilde{s}) = \tilde{Q}((1 - \rho(i, i)) \log s) = (1 - \rho(i, i))Q(\log s).$$

The non- \tilde{Q} -exact term of the divergence of \tilde{Q} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |Q|) \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a} - \sum_{a,b=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x_a^*|, |x_a^*| + |Q|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^*} \left(\frac{\partial Q^b}{\partial x^a} x_b^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |Q|) \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a} - \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x_a^*|, |x_a^*| + |Q|) \rho(-|x_a^*|, |Q|) \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a} \\ &= (1 - \rho(i, i)) \sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \rho(|x^a|, |x^a| + |Q|) \frac{\partial Q^a}{\partial x^a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\text{Div}_{\widetilde{\text{vol}}} \tilde{Q} = (1 - \rho(i, i)) \text{Div}_{\text{vol}} Q$$

and

$$\text{Mod}_{\tilde{Q}}([-i]T^*M; \widetilde{\text{vol}}) = (1 - \rho(i, i)) \text{Mod}_Q(M, \text{vol}).$$

Example 7.8. We continue the example of noncommutative tori (Example 5.18). For the BRST differential Q on the functional algebra A_Θ on a noncommutative torus, the divergence of Q with respect to the trivial ρ -Berezin volume form vol on a point $M = \{*\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Div}_{\text{vol}} Q &= - \sum_{a=1}^m \rho'(|u^a|', |u^a|' + |Q|') \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} (2\pi\sqrt{-1}\eta^a u^a) \\ &= - \sum_{a=1}^m \rho(|u^a|, |u^a|) 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\eta^a \\ &= -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{a=1}^m \eta^a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\text{Mod}_Q(\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times M; \text{vol}) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{a=1}^m [\eta^a] \in H_Q^1(\Pi\mathfrak{g} \times M).$$

References

- [1] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo, and D. H.-Ruipérez. *The Geometry of Supermanifolds*. Mathematics and Its Applications. Springer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [2] P. J. M. Bongaarts and H. G. J. Pijls. “Almost commutative algebra and differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 2.35 (1994), pp. 959-970.
- [3] A. J. Bruce. “Modular classes of Q -manifolds: A review and some applications”. In: *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 53.4 (May 2017), pp. 203-219.
- [4] A. J. Bruce. “Almost commutative Q -algebras and derived brackets”. In: *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 14.2 (2020), pp. 681-707.
- [5] C. Ciupală. “Differential calculus on almost commutative algebras and applications to the quantum hyperplane”. In: *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 41.4 (2005), pp. 359-377.
- [6] T. Covo and J.-Ph. Michel. “Determinants over graded-commutative algebras, a categorical viewpoint”. In: *Enseign. Math.* 62.6 (2016), pp. 361-420.
- [7] Y. Kobayashi and S. Nagamachi. “Lie groups and Lie algebras with generalized supersymmetric parameters”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 25.12 (1984), pp. 3367-3374.
- [8] M. Kontsevich. “Rozansky-Witten Invariants via Formal Geometry”. In: *Compos. Math.* 115.1 (Jan. 1999), pp. 115-127.
- [9] A. Kotov and T. Strobl. “Characteristic classes associated to Q -bundles”. In: *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 12 (Dec. 2007).
- [10] S. L. Lyakhovich, E. A. Mosman, and A. A. Sharapov. “Characteristic classes of Q -manifolds: Classification and applications”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 60 (June 2009), pp. 729-759.
- [11] P. Mnev. *Quantum Field Theory: Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism and Its Applications*. University Lecture Series. 2019.
- [12] D. A. Richter. “Colored brackets and 2-manifolds”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 1.39 (2001), pp. 1-8.
- [13] M. A. Rieffel. “Noncommutative tori – a case study of noncommutative differentiable manifolds”. In: *Contemp. Math.* 105 (1990).
- [14] V. Rittenberg and D. Wyler. “Generalized superalgebras”. In: *Nuclear Phys. B* 3.139 (1978), pp. 189-202.

- [15] M. Scheunert. “Generalized Lie algebras”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 20.4 (1979), pp. 712-720.
- [16] Th. Th. Voronov. “Graded geometry, Q -manifolds, and microformal geometry”. In: *Fortschr. Phys.* 67 (May 2019).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY
OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: harako@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp