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#### Abstract

The goal of this note is to reduce the existing upper bound for the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree 8 and exponent 2 over fields of characteristic 2 from 10 to 9 .


## 1. Introduction

Fixing a field $k$ in the background, we consider the covariant functor $\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}$ sending any field $F$ containing $k$ to the set of all (isomorphism classes of) central simple algebras of degree $d$ and exponent dividing $e$ over $F$. The essential dimension of an algebra $A$ in $\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}(F)$ is the minimal possible transcendence degree of a field $E$ over $k$ with $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$ for which there exists a central simple algebra $A_{0}$ in $\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}(E)$ with $A_{0} \otimes F=A$. The essential dimension of $\mathrm{Alg}_{d, e}$, denoted ed $\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}\right)$, is thus the supremum on the essential dimensions of $A$ where $A$ ranges over all algebras in $\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}(F)$ and $F$ ranges over all fields containing $k$. The question of computing ed $\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{d, e}\right)$ is a very difficult one (see [Mer13, Section 10]) and the exact value is known only in specific cases: it is known that $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{2,2}\right)=\operatorname{ed}\left(\mathrm{Alg}_{3,3}\right)=2$, but for any prime $p>3$ it is still not known what ed $\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{p, p}\right)$ is. The value of $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{4,2}\right)$ is 4 when $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$ and 3 when $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$. The value of $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{8,2}\right)$ is 8 when char $(k) \neq 2$ ([吕12, Corollary 1.4]). The exact value of $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{8,2}\right)$ when $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$ is not known, but was bounded from above by 10 and below by 3 in [Bae11]. The lower bound was improved to 4 in [McK17]. The goal of this short note is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). When $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$, we have $\operatorname{ed}\left(\operatorname{Alg}_{8,2}\right) \leqslant 9$.
This is proved in Section 3, and Section 2describes the main ingredients.

## 2. Preliminaries

When $F$ is a field of $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$, a quaternion algebra over $F$ is of the form $[\alpha, \beta)_{F}=F\left\langle i, j: i^{2}+i=\alpha, j^{2}=\beta, j i j^{-1}=i+1\right\rangle$ for some

[^0]$\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \in F^{\times}$. We write $\wp(t)$ for $t^{2}+t$ and $F\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)\right]$ thus stands for $F\left[i: i^{2}+i=\alpha\right]$. By [GS17, Theorem 9.1.4] (credited to Teichmüller), every class in ${ }_{2} \operatorname{Br}(F)$ is represented by a tensor product of quaternion algebras. In particular, when $A$ is a central simple algebra of degree 4 and exponent 2 , it decomposes as a tensor product of two quaternion algebras ([Rac74] ).

A tool that makes the study of the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree 8 and exponent 2 easier is the chain lemma for quaternion algebras $\left(\left[\overline{\mathrm{Dra83}}\right.\right.$, Chapter 14, Theorem 7]): If $[\alpha, \beta)_{F}=\left[\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)_{F}$, then there exists $\delta \in F$ for which $[\alpha, \beta)_{F}=[\delta, \beta)_{F}=\left[\delta, \beta^{\prime}\right)_{F}=\left[\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)_{F}$. It is also good to have the necessary and sufficient condition for $[\alpha, \beta)_{F}$ to be split: $\alpha=x^{2}+x+y^{2} \beta$ for some $x, y \in F($ Dra83, Page 104]). In [Bae11], Baek was using another necessary and sufficient condition for splitting: $\beta=$ $x^{2}+x y+y^{2} \alpha$ for some $x, y \in F([$ GS17, Corollary 4.7.5]), but here we prefer to use the former condition.

Another important tool is the fact that the essential dimension of compositums of $n$ cyclic quadratic field extensions of fields $F$ containing $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$ is 1 (see [Led04, Lemma 2] and [BF03, Remark 3.8] for reference, and [CFM, Remark 4.6] for an explicit construction; despite the condition $|k| \geqslant 2^{n}$ appearing in [Led04, Lemma 2], the proof of the latter goes through under the weaker assumption that $|F| \geqslant 2^{n}$, and for our purpose this always applies, for in order for noncommutative division algebras to exist over $F$, the cardinality of $F$ must be infinite). The meaning is that if $K=F\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)\right]$ is a compositum of three cyclic quadratic extensions of a field $F$ containing $k$, then there exists a field $L \supseteq k$ transcendence degree 1 over $k$ containing some elements $a, b, c$ such that the tensor product $L\left[\wp^{-1}(a), \wp^{-1}(b), \wp^{-1}(c)\right] \otimes_{L} F$ is $K$. In other words, the elements $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ can be chosen to come from a single field $L$ of transcendence degree at most 1 over $k$.

The last tool is the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1 ([Bae11, Lemma 3.3]). Given a field $F$ of characteristic 2 and a field extension $E=F\left[i: i^{2}+i=\alpha\right]$ and $\beta \in F$, if $x^{2}+x y+y^{2} \alpha=u^{2}+u v+v^{2} \beta$ for some $x, y, u, v \in F$, then $[\beta, x+y i)_{E}=[\beta, y+v)_{E}$.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

Consider a division algebra $A$ of degree 8 and exponent 2 over a field $F$ containing a subfield $k$ of $\operatorname{char}(k)=2$. By [Row84], $A$ contains a maximal subfield $K=F\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)\right]$. By [Led04, Lemma 2], $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $\gamma$ can be chosen to come from a single subfield $k \subseteq L \subseteq F$ of transcendence degree 1 over $k$. Let $E=F\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)\right]=F\left[\mu: \mu^{2}+\mu=\alpha\right]$. Then $A_{E}$ is Brauer equivalent to $[\beta, b)_{E} \otimes[\gamma, c)_{E}$ for some $b, c \in E$. The corestriction back to $F$ is trivial, and so $\left[\beta, N_{b}\right)_{F}=\left[\gamma, N_{c}\right)_{F}$, where $N_{b}=b_{0}^{2}+b_{0} b_{1}+b_{1}^{2} \alpha$, and
$N_{c}=c_{0}^{2}+c_{0} c_{1}+c_{1}^{2} \alpha$, given that $b=b_{0}+b_{1} \mu$ and $c=c_{0}+c_{1} \mu$ for some $b_{0}, b_{1}, c_{0}, c_{1} \in F([$ MM91] $)$. By the chain lemma for quaternion algebras, there exists $\delta \in F$ for which $\left[\beta, N_{b}\right)_{F}=\left[\delta, N_{b}\right)_{F}=\left[\delta, N_{c}\right)_{F}=\left[\gamma, N_{c}\right)_{F}$. Therefore $\delta=\beta+u^{2}+u+x^{2} N_{b}=\gamma+y^{2}+y+z^{2} N_{c}=\lambda^{2}+\lambda+t^{2} N_{b} N_{c}$ for some $u, x, y, z, \lambda, t \in F$. By replacing $\delta, y, \lambda$ with $\delta+u^{2}+u, y+u, \lambda+u$ respectively, we can assume $u=0$. Note that $y=\wp^{-1}\left(\beta+\gamma+x^{2} N_{b}+z^{2} N_{c}\right)$ and $\lambda=\wp^{-1}\left(\beta+x^{2} N_{b}+t^{2} N_{b} N_{c}\right)$. If $x=0$ then $\delta=\beta$, which means $[\beta+\delta, b)_{E}$ is split. If $x \neq 0$, then from the equation $\delta=\beta+x^{2} N_{b}$ we obtain $(\beta+\delta) \frac{1}{x^{2}}=b_{0}^{2}+b_{0} b_{1}+b_{1}^{2} \alpha$. It follows then from Lemma 2.1 that $[\beta+\delta, b)_{E}=\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{E}$. Similarly, if $z=0$ then $[\gamma+\delta, c)_{E}$ is split, and otherwise $[\gamma+\delta, c)_{E}=\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)_{E}$, and if $t=0$ then $[\delta, b c)_{E}$ is split and otherwise $[\delta, b c)_{E}=\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{E}$.

Now, $A_{E}=[\beta, b)_{E} \otimes[\gamma, c) \sim_{B r}[\beta+\delta, b)_{E} \otimes[\gamma+\delta, c)_{E} \otimes[\delta, b c)_{E}$. In the expression $[\beta+\delta, b)_{E} \otimes[\gamma+\delta, c)_{E} \otimes[\delta, b c)_{E}$, each term is either split or isomorphic to $\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{E},\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)_{E}$ and $\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{E}$ respectively. In either case, each term descends to $F$. If one of the terms is split, then $A_{E}$ is the restriction of a biquaternion algebra $Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2}$ from $F$ to $E$, and thus $A=Q_{1} \otimes Q_{2} \otimes[\alpha, d)_{F}$ for some $d \in F^{\times}$. This means $A$ is a decomposable algebra of degree 8 and exponent 2, and by McK17, Corollary 5.9], $A$ descends to a field of transcendence degree at most 4 over $k$. We may therefore continue with the case of $x, z, t \neq 0$. Hence, $A \sim_{B r}[\alpha, a)_{F} \otimes\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{F} \otimes\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)_{F} \otimes\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{F}$. Consider now the algebra $B=[\alpha, a)_{T} \otimes\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{T} \otimes\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{z}\right)_{T} \otimes\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{T}$ where $T=L\left(a, b_{0}, b_{1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, x, z, t, y, \lambda\right)$. Note that $y$ and $\lambda$ are algebraic over $L\left(a, b_{0}, b_{1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, x, z, t\right)$, and thus the transcendence degree of $T$ over $k$ is at most 9 . In order to conclude the argument, we need to explain why $B$ is of index 8 rather than 16 , which means that it is $M_{2}\left(A_{0}\right)$ for some division algebra $A_{0}$ of degree 8 over $T$, and thus $A$ descends to a degree 8 division algebra over a field of transcendence degree at most 9 over $k$. The restriction of $B$ to $R=T\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)\right]$ is Brauer equivalent to $\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{R} \otimes\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\frac{1}{z}\right)_{R} \otimes\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{R}$. Since $\left[\beta+\delta, b_{1}+\frac{1}{x}\right)_{R}=[\beta+\delta, b)_{R}\left(\right.$ for the same equality $\delta=\beta+x^{2} N_{b}$ holds true as before), $\left[\gamma+\delta, c_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)_{R}=[\gamma+\delta, c)_{R}$ and $\left[\delta, \frac{1}{t}+b_{0} c_{1}+b_{1} c_{0}+b_{1} c_{1}\right)_{R}=[\delta, b c)_{R}$, we get that $B \otimes R$ is Brauer equivalent to $[\beta, b)_{R} \otimes[\gamma, c)_{R}$. Thus, $B$ is split by $T\left[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)\right]$, and so its index is 8 .

Remark 3.1. It seems that in the argument in [Bae11], the author is suggesting that one could assume $x=z=1$ in our computation above. If we were talking only about the quadratic equations $\delta=\beta+x^{2} N_{b}=\gamma+y^{2}+y+z^{2} N_{c}$ this would be correct, because replacing $b_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ with $\frac{b_{i}}{x}$ and $\frac{c_{j}}{z}$ would eliminate their occurrences in these equations. However, that would change
$[\beta, b)_{E}$ to $\left[\beta, \frac{b}{x}\right)_{E}$ and $[\gamma, c)_{E}$ to $\left[\gamma, \frac{c}{z}\right)_{E}$. It is therefore not clear why one can assume $x=z=1$. If this were true, that would reduce the upper bound of the essential dimension from 9 to 7 .
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