

Nonlinear corrections in the quantization of a weakly nonideal Bose gas at zero temperature. II. The general case

Mikhail N. Smolyakov

*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Moscow 119991, Russia*

Abstract

In the present paper, discussion of the canonical quantization of a weakly nonideal Bose gas at zero temperature along the lines of the famous Bogolyubov approach is continued. Contrary to the previous paper on this subject, here the two-body interaction potential is considered in the general form. It is shown that consideration of the first nonlinear correction automatically solves the problem of nonconserved particle number, which is inherent to the original approach, without any modification of the resulting effective Hamiltonian.

1 Introduction

In the famous paper [1], N.N. Bogolyubov proposed an explanation of the superfluidity phenomenon at microscopic level, which was based on the quantization of a weakly nonideal Bose gas at zero temperature. The ideas of Bogolyubov were extensively developed, in particular, in reviews [2–4] and books [5–7] one can find many applications of the Bogolyubov method, including a description of the perturbation theory.

However, the approach proposed in [1] has a drawback, which is a nonconservation of the particle number in the effective theory describing quasi-particles. The latter manifests itself through nondiagonal terms in the resulting quadratic effective Hamiltonian. Within the standard approach, there exist several main ways to solve this problem (see, for example, discussion in [3]), in which the unwanted nondiagonal part of the effective Hamiltonian turns out to be, in fact, just skipped (for example, by incorporation into background energy of the system). Another approach is to deal with a system with a well-defined number of particles, see [8]. A different method to maintain the particle number conservation was proposed in paper [9], in which it was demonstrated that nonconservation of the particle number in the canonical ensemble is due to the fact that, in the case of a stationary time-dependent background solution like the one in [1], the use of only the linear approximation within the second quantization formalism is insufficient for a correct description of even free quasi-particles. Meanwhile, the use of additional nonoscillation modes in the linear approximation (which are exact solutions of the linearized Heisenberg equation) together with the use of the first nonlinear correction allows one to slightly modify the Bogolyubov approach and to solve the problem of nonconserved

particle number in a natural way (i.e., without any modification of the initial Hamiltonian of the system or the resulting effective Hamiltonian) while keeping all the key steps and ideas proposed in [1]. Although technically the approach proposed in [9] may look quite complicated, ideologically it is very simple — the terms (zero order)×(first nonlinear correction) provide contribution of the same order as the terms (linear order)², thus compensating the unwanted nondiagonal terms arising when one calculates the operator of particle number (and, consequently, the effective Hamiltonian) using only the linear approximation.¹ Formally, it turns out that there exists a different solution of the standard Heisenberg equation such that the particle number is conserved automatically for this solution.

In paper [9], two-body interaction potential of the form [11]

$$V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) = g\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (1)$$

where $g > 0$, was considered, leading to the well-known Gross-Pitaevskii differential equation [12,13]. In the present paper, the two-body interaction potential $V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|)$ of the general form is considered. One might think that the successful finding of a suitable nonlinear solution of the Heisenberg equation in [9] was a consequence of the fact that for the simplified two-body interaction potential (1) the Heisenberg equation reduces to a standard local nonlinear differential equation, while in the case of an arbitrary two-body interaction potential the Heisenberg equation reduces to a *nonlocal* integro-differential equation. However, it will be shown below that a suitable nonlinear solution of the latter equation can be found as well.

This paper is more technical than the previous paper [9]. A detailed discussion of the method can be found in [9], so I will not reproduce it below. Moreover, some of the bulky calculations, which are necessary for calculating the canonical commutation relations, fully coincide with those already performed in [9], so I will refer to [9] when necessary. Main notations used in the present paper fully coincide with those used in [9].

Basically, the present paper has the structure that is similar to the one of [9]. It is organized as follows. In Section 2 the basic setup is presented. In Section 3 equations of motion and commutation relations for perturbations are derived. In Section 4 the linear approximation, including the nonoscillation modes, is discussed. In Section 5 an explicit solution for the first nonlinear correction is presented and the corresponding commutation relations are shown to be satisfied. In Section 6 operators of the integrals of motion are calculated. The obtained results are briefly discussed in Conclusion. Appendix contains an auxiliary material.

2 Setup

Within the second quantization formalism, let us consider a system with the Hamiltonian [1]

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3x \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \partial_i \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{y}) \right), \quad (2)$$

¹The same method also works for perturbations against a soliton in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the classical level [10].

where the operators $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$ and $\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x})$ are supposed to satisfy the standard canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (3)$$

$$[\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{y})] = 0. \quad (4)$$

It is clear that with (4) the relation $[\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = 0$ is satisfied automatically.

The operator of particle number is

$$\hat{N} = \int d^3x \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}). \quad (5)$$

For \hat{H} defined by (2) and with (3), (4), the Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{d\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})] \quad (6)$$

leads to the well-known integro-differential equation for the operator $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$:

$$i\hbar \dot{\hat{\Psi}}(t, \vec{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) + \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{y}) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (7)$$

where $\dot{} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i^2$. As in the original paper [1], it is supposed that the system is placed into a spatial “box” of size $L \times L \times L$ with periodic boundary conditions for $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$.

As suggested in [1], because of the large occupation number the creation and annihilation operators of condensed particles can be replaced by c -numbers, which corresponds to the following classical solution of Eq. (7)

$$\Psi_0(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t} \quad (8)$$

with $\omega > 0$ and

$$g = \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) > 0. \quad (9)$$

In these notations, the energy and the particle number of the background solution have the form

$$E_0 = \frac{\omega^2 L^3}{2g}, \quad (10)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{\omega L^3}{g}. \quad (11)$$

3 Equations of motion for perturbations and the commutation relations

Let us represent the operator $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$ as

$$\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} + \hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}) \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x})$ is supposed to satisfy the full nonlinear equation of motion, which follows directly from Eq. (7):

$$i\hbar\dot{\hat{\psi}}(t, \vec{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \right) \\ + \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left[\left(\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \right) \hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \hat{\psi}(t, \vec{y}) \right] + \dots \quad (13)$$

Here “...” denotes the terms of the higher orders in $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x})$ and $\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x})$. The operator $\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x})$ can be represented as the infinite series

$$\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n \hat{\phi}_n(t, \vec{x}) \quad (14)$$

with $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0}} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{\omega L^3}} \ll 1$, where $\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x})$ is a solution of the well-known linear equation

$$i\hbar\dot{\hat{\varphi}}(t, \vec{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \right), \quad (15)$$

which follows from Eq. (13).

As in [9], we will restrict ourselves to the linear and quadratic orders only. Let us denote the first nonlinear correction $\hat{\phi}_1(t, \vec{x})$ as $\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x})$, reduce the operator $\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x})$ to

$$\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) + \beta\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (16)$$

and substitute this simplified representation into Eq. (13). Combining all terms of the order of β , we arrive at the equation

$$i\hbar\dot{\hat{\phi}}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\phi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \right) \\ = \frac{\omega\sqrt{L^3}}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left[\left(\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \right) \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y}) \right]. \quad (17)$$

The operator of particle number of perturbations can be defined as

$$\hat{N}_p = \hat{N} - N_0 = \int d^3x \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) - \int d^3x \Psi_0^*(t) \Psi_0(t), \quad (18)$$

leading for representation (12) to the exact result

$$\hat{N}_p = \int d^3x \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} \left(\hat{\psi}^\dagger + \hat{\psi} \right) + \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} \right). \quad (19)$$

Substituting representation (12) into (2), using the nonlinear Eq. (13) to eliminate the terms with ∂_i and keeping only the terms that are linear and quadratic in $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger$, we get

$$\hat{E}_p = \omega \hat{N}_p + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int d^3x \left(\hat{\psi}^\dagger \dot{\hat{\psi}} - \dot{\hat{\psi}}^\dagger \hat{\psi} \right). \quad (20)$$

Finally, substituting representation (16) into (19) and (20), using $\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} = \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{L^3}}$, and keeping the terms of the order of β^{-1} and $\beta^0 = 1$, we obtain

$$\hat{N}_p = \int d^3x \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} (\hat{\varphi}^\dagger + \hat{\varphi}) + \hat{\varphi}^\dagger \hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} (\hat{\phi}^\dagger + \hat{\phi}) \right), \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{E}_p = \omega \hat{N}_p + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int d^3x (\hat{\varphi}^\dagger \dot{\hat{\varphi}} - \dot{\hat{\varphi}}^\dagger \hat{\varphi}). \quad (22)$$

It is exactly the term $\sim (\hat{\phi}^\dagger + \hat{\phi})$ that compensates the unwanted nondiagonal contributions in (21) originating from the term $\sim \hat{\varphi}^\dagger \hat{\varphi}$.

Now let us turn to the canonical commutation relations. Suppose that

$$[\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$[\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \quad (24)$$

Since in our approximation only the first nonlinear correction is taken into account, with (23) and (24) the relevant part of the canonical commutation relations is reduced to

$$[\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\psi}(t, \vec{y})] \rightarrow \beta[\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + \beta[\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$[\hat{\psi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] \rightarrow \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) + \beta[\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + \beta[\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (26)$$

resulting in

$$[\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$[\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = 0. \quad (28)$$

Commutation relations (27) and (28) imply that the canonical commutation relations are satisfied up to and including the terms $\sim \beta$.

4 Linear approximation

First, let us consider Eq. (15). Using the standard representation

$$V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} v_j, \quad (29)$$

with

$$\vec{k}_j = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} \vec{j}, \quad \vec{j} = (j_1, j_2, j_3), \quad (30)$$

where j_1, j_2, j_3 are integers,² $v_{-j} = v_j$ (follows from $V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) = V(|\vec{y} - \vec{x}|)$), $v_{-j} = v_j^*$ (follows from $V^*(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) = V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|)$) and $v_0 = g$, one can get the well-known solution of Eq. (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_0(t, \vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(c_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j - d_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \left(c_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j - d_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

²Although \vec{j} is a vector, for simplicity the mark of a vector is omitted for the subscript “ j ”.

with

$$c_j = \frac{\omega \tilde{v}_j}{\sqrt{2\gamma_j \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_j - \gamma_j \right)}}, \quad d_j = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_j - \gamma_j}{2\gamma_j}}, \quad (32)$$

where $\tilde{v}_j = \frac{v_j}{v_0} = \frac{v_j}{g}$ and $j_1^2 + j_2^2 + j_3^2 \neq 0$,

$$\gamma_j = \sqrt{\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + 2\omega \tilde{v}_j \right)}, \quad (33)$$

and

$$[\hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_l^\dagger] = \delta_{jl}, \quad (34)$$

$$[\hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_l] = 0. \quad (35)$$

It is easy to check that $c_j^2 - d_j^2 = 1$. Since the Bogolyubov transformations are taken into account in (31), the operators \hat{a}_j and \hat{a}_j^\dagger correspond to quasi-particles.

Linear solution (31) as it is does not satisfy the necessary commutation relation (24). This happens because of the absence of the operators \hat{a}_0 and \hat{a}_0^\dagger in (31):

$$[\hat{\varphi}_o(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}_o^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) - \frac{1}{L^3}. \quad (36)$$

To solve this problem, let us recall that apart from the oscillation modes presented in solution (31), Eq. (15) provides two other solutions, satisfying the periodic boundary conditions in the “box”. Since these solutions do not depend on the spatial coordinate \vec{x} , for these modes Eq. (15) takes the form

$$i\hbar \dot{\hat{\varphi}}_{\text{no}}(t, \vec{x}) = \omega \left(\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \right). \quad (37)$$

This is exactly the linear equation for nonoscillation modes that emerges in the theory with potential (1), the corresponding solutions were found in [9]:

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}1}(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{A}, \quad \hat{A}^\dagger = -\hat{A}, \quad (38)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}2}(t, \vec{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t \right) \hat{B}, \quad \hat{B}^\dagger = \hat{B}. \quad (39)$$

In the classical case, the first mode corresponds to the global $U(1)$ symmetry of the theory, whereas the second mode corresponds to a change of the frequency ω of the background solution (8) (see [9] for a more detailed discussion of these nonoscillation modes). Let us choose

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{2q\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right), \quad (40)$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right), \quad (41)$$

where $q \neq 0$ is an arbitrary dimensionless real constant and

$$[\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger] = 1, \quad (42)$$

$$[\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_j] = 0, \quad j \neq 0, \quad (43)$$

$$[\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = 0, \quad j \neq 0. \quad (44)$$

Now, instead of (31), let us take

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(c_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j - d_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2q\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right) + \frac{q}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t \right) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right),\end{aligned}\quad (45)$$

which satisfies the linear Eq. (15). It is easy to check that with (45) commutation relations (23) and (24) are satisfied.

5 Second order

5.1 Separation of the equations of motion

The operator $\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x})$ can be represented as

$$\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (46)$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}) = \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{\varphi}_j(t, \vec{x}) \quad (47)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}) = \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{\hat{\varphi}}_j(t, \vec{x}) \quad (48)$$

with

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t) = \frac{1}{2q\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right) + \frac{q}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t \right) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right), \quad (49)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_j(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(c_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j - d_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j t - \vec{k}_j \vec{x})} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right), \quad (50)$$

$$\hat{\hat{\varphi}}_j(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \left(c_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j - d_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right), \quad (51)$$

see (31). Here $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t)$ denotes the two nonoscillation modes, $\hat{\varphi}_j(t, \vec{x})$ denotes the j -th oscillation mode, and $\hat{\hat{\varphi}}_j(t, \vec{x})$ is a mixture of some parts of the j -th and $-j$ -th oscillation modes (though representations (47) and (48) lead to the same results, sometimes it is more convenient to use representation (47), whereas sometimes representation (48) is more useful).

It is convenient to represent the operator $\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x})$ as

$$\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\phi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (52)$$

where the operators $\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t)$, $\hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{x})$ and $\hat{\phi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x})$ satisfy the equations

$$i\hbar \dot{\hat{\phi}}_{\text{no}}(t) - \omega \left(\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}^\dagger(t) \right) = \omega \sqrt{L^3} \left(2\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}^\dagger(t) \hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}^2(t) \right), \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\hbar\dot{\hat{\phi}}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\phi}_{\times}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y}) \right) \\
&= \frac{\omega\sqrt{L^3}}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left[(\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}^{\dagger}(t)) (\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x})) + 2\hat{\varphi}_{\text{no}}(t)\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y}) \right], \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\hbar\dot{\hat{\phi}}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\phi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\phi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\phi}_{\text{o}}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y}) \right) \\
&= \frac{\omega\sqrt{L^3}}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left[(\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y})) \hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y})\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{y}) \right], \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

which follow from Eq. (17).

5.2 Nonoscillation modes

Eq. (53) fully coincides with the analogous equation in [9], which in explicit form looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar\dot{\hat{\phi}}_{\text{no}} - \omega \left(\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}} + \hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}^{\dagger} \right) &= \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(-\frac{1}{4q^2} \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\omega t}{\hbar} \right) \left(\hat{a}_0^2 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\omega t}{\hbar} + \frac{\omega^2 t^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right)^2 - 1 \right). \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

Its solution was found in [9] and has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t) &= \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\frac{1}{4\omega q^2} \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} - \frac{it}{\hbar} \right) \left(\hat{a}_0^2 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q^2 \left(\frac{1}{4\omega} + \frac{it}{\hbar} + \frac{\omega t^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\omega} \right). \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations one can check [9] that it satisfies the necessary commutation relations

$$[\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t)] = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$[\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t), \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}^{\dagger}(t)] = 0. \quad (59)$$

5.3 Overlap terms between nonoscillation and oscillation modes

Using representation (29), one can check that

$$\int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} v_j. \quad (60)$$

Using decomposition (48) with (51) for $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{o}}(t, \vec{x})$ and applying (60), after straightforward calculations Eq. (54) can be brought to the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\hbar\dot{\hat{\phi}}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) - \frac{\omega}{g} \int d^3y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left(\hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\phi}_{\times}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y}) \right) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \\
& \times \left[e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j \left(q((1 + \tilde{v}_j)c_j - \tilde{v}_j d_j) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{1}{q} \tilde{v}_j d_j \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) + tq \frac{2i\omega}{\hbar} \tilde{v}_j d_j \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \left. + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j}^{\dagger} \left(q(\tilde{v}_j c_j - (1 + \tilde{v}_j) d_j) \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) + \frac{1}{q} \tilde{v}_j c_j \left(\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) - tq \frac{2i\omega}{\hbar} \tilde{v}_j c_j \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

The existence of the terms $\sim t e^{\mp \frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t}$ suggests the following form of the solution of the inhomogeneous Eq. (61):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_\times(t, \vec{x}) &= \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} (\hat{A}_j + t \hat{B}_j) + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} (\hat{C}_j + t \hat{D}_j) \right) \\ &+ \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} c_j \hat{Q}_j - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} d_j \hat{Q}_{-j}^\dagger \right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Here the second sum is just a solution of the homogeneous part of Eq. (61), which can always be added to a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. Substituting (62) into (61) and combining the terms with the same dependence on time, we get the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \omega \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{A}_j + i \hbar \hat{B}_j - \omega \tilde{v}_j \hat{C}_{-j}^\dagger \\ &= q((1 + \tilde{v}_j) c_j - \tilde{v}_j d_j) (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j - \frac{1}{q} \tilde{v}_j d_j (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(-\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \omega \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{C}_j + i \hbar \hat{D}_j - \omega \tilde{v}_j \hat{A}_{-j}^\dagger \\ &= q(\tilde{v}_j c_j - (1 + \tilde{v}_j) d_j) (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger + \frac{1}{q} \tilde{v}_j c_j (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\left(\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \omega \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{B}_j - \omega \tilde{v}_j \hat{D}_{-j}^\dagger = \frac{2i\omega}{\hbar} q \tilde{v}_j d_j (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j, \quad (65)$$

$$\left(-\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \omega \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{D}_j - \omega \tilde{v}_j \hat{B}_{-j}^\dagger = -\frac{2i\omega}{\hbar} q \tilde{v}_j c_j (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger. \quad (66)$$

It is convenient to take a solution of this system of equations in the form

$$\hat{A}_j = \frac{1}{q\omega} c_j (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j, \quad (67)$$

$$\hat{B}_j = -\frac{iq}{\hbar} \left(1 + \frac{\vec{k}_j^2 \tilde{v}_j}{2m\gamma_j} \right) c_j (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j, \quad (68)$$

$$\hat{C}_j = \frac{q}{\omega^2 \tilde{v}_j} \left(\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \omega \tilde{v}_j \right) \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} c_j (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger, \quad (69)$$

$$\hat{D}_j = \frac{iq}{\hbar} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_j(1 + \tilde{v}_j)}{\omega \tilde{v}_j} + \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \left(\tilde{v}_j + \frac{\gamma_j(1 + \tilde{v}_j)}{\omega \tilde{v}_j} \right) \right) c_j (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger. \quad (70)$$

Let us also take

$$\hat{Q}_j = \frac{qd_j^2}{\omega} \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j + \frac{1}{2q\omega} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2 \tilde{v}_j}{2m\gamma_j} - 1 \right) (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j \quad (71)$$

(the necessity for such an extra term is explained in [9]).

By performing straightforward (though rather bulky) calculations, one can check that for solution (62) with (67)–(71) the necessary commutation relations take the form

$$[\hat{\phi}_\times(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_\times(t, \vec{y})] = \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} \right) \times \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \frac{c_j \vec{k}_j^2}{4m\gamma_j^2} \left((1 + \tilde{v}_j) \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega} + \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{a}_j + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \frac{d_j \vec{k}_j^2}{4m\gamma_j^2} \left((1 + \tilde{v}_j) \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega} - \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right) \quad (72)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\phi}_\times(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_\times^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] \\ &= \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{c_j \left(\left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \omega \tilde{v}_j \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \gamma_j^2 + \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \gamma_j (\tilde{v}_j - 1) \right)}{2\omega \gamma_j^2} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right) \\ &- \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{d_j \left(\left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \omega \tilde{v}_j \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \gamma_j^2 - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \gamma_j (\tilde{v}_j - 1) \right)}{2\omega \gamma_j^2} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Although these expressions are not equal to zero, they will be fully compensated by other nonzero contributions coming from the oscillation modes.

5.4 Oscillation modes

5.4.1 Separation of the equations of motion for oscillation modes

To begin with, let us consider the r.h.s. of Eq. (55). Using (60), it can be brought to the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega \sqrt{L^3}}{g} \int d^3 y V(|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|) \left[(\hat{\phi}_o(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\phi}_o^\dagger(t, \vec{y})) \hat{\phi}_o(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\phi}_o^\dagger(t, \vec{y}) \hat{\phi}_o(t, \vec{y}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(((1 + \tilde{v}_j) d_j^2 - \tilde{v}_j c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger + ((1 + \tilde{v}_j) c_j^2 - \tilde{v}_j c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \right. \\ &+ e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} (\tilde{v}_j c_j^2 - (1 + \tilde{v}_j) c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_{-j} + e^{\frac{2i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} (\tilde{v}_j d_j^2 - (1 + \tilde{v}_j) c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \Big) \\ &+ \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \left(q_{j,l}^{(1)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + q_{j,l}^{(2)} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right) \\ &+ \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \sum_{\substack{l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \left(q_{j,l}^{(3)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + q_{j,l}^{(4)} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where

$$q_{j,l}^{(1)} = (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l)c_j c_l - (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l})c_j d_l - (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l})d_j c_l, \quad (75)$$

$$q_{j,l}^{(2)} = (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l)d_j d_l - (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l})c_j d_l - (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l})d_j c_l, \quad (76)$$

$$q_{j,l}^{(3)} = (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j-l})d_j d_l + (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j-l})c_j c_l - (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l)c_j d_l, \quad (77)$$

$$q_{j,l}^{(4)} = (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j-l})d_j d_l + (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j-l})c_j c_l - (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l)d_j c_l. \quad (78)$$

In representation (74), the terms that do not depend on the coordinate \vec{x} (the first sum), the terms that depend on $e^{\pm \frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}}$ (the first double sum), and the terms that depend on $e^{\pm \frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}}$ (the second double sum) are separated. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the terms with double sums in (74) is due to symmetrization. Note that

$$q_{l,j}^{(1)} = q_{j,l}^{(1)}, \quad q_{l,j}^{(2)} = q_{j,l}^{(2)}, \quad q_{l,j}^{(3)} = q_{j,l}^{(4)}. \quad (79)$$

Contrary to the case of [9], here $q_{l,j}^{(\#)} \neq q_{j,-l}^{(\#)}$ because of the factors $\tilde{v}_{j \pm l}$.

Let us represent the operator $\hat{\phi}_o(t, \vec{x})$ as

$$\hat{\phi}_o(t, \vec{x}) = \hat{\phi}_t(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) \quad (80)$$

such that the operators $\hat{\phi}_t(t)$, $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x})$ and $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x})$ satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \dot{\hat{\phi}}_t - \omega \left(\hat{\phi}_t + \hat{\phi}_t^\dagger \right) &= \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(((1 + \tilde{v}_j)d_j^2 - \tilde{v}_j c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger + ((1 + \tilde{v}_j)c_j^2 - \tilde{v}_j c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} (\tilde{v}_j c_j^2 - (1 + \tilde{v}_j)c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_{-j} + e^{\frac{2i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} (\tilde{v}_j d_j^2 - (1 + \tilde{v}_j)c_j d_j) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right), \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \dot{\hat{\phi}}_{j,l}^+ + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+ - \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \left(\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+ + \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{+\dagger} \right) \\ = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(q_{j,l}^{(1)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + q_{j,l}^{(2)} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \dot{\hat{\phi}}_{j,l}^- + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^- - \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} \left(\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^- + \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{-\dagger} \right) \\ = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(q_{j,l}^{(3)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + q_{j,l}^{(4)} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Now let us turn to solving Eqs. (81)–(83).

5.4.2 Solution and commutation relations for $\hat{\phi}_t(t)$

A solution of Eq. (81) can be easily found and has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_t(t) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sum_{l \neq 0} \left(-\frac{\frac{1+\tilde{v}_j}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \gamma_j \right) + \frac{\omega \tilde{v}_j}{2}}{2\omega \gamma_j} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j - \frac{\frac{1+\tilde{v}_j}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} - \gamma_j \right) + \frac{\omega \tilde{v}_j}{2}}{2\omega \gamma_j} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\tilde{v}_j \left(\gamma_j + \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)}{4\gamma_j^2} e^{-\frac{2i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_{-j} + \frac{\tilde{v}_j \left(\gamma_j - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)}{4\gamma_j^2} e^{\frac{2i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

After straightforward calculations, for the commutation relations one can obtain

$$[\hat{\phi}_t(t), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_t(t)] = \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} \right) \times \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \frac{c_j \vec{k}_j^2}{4m\gamma_j^2} \left((1 + \tilde{v}_j) \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega} + \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{a}_j + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \frac{d_j \vec{k}_j^2}{4m\gamma_j^2} \left((1 + \tilde{v}_j) \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega} - \tilde{v}_j \right) \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger \right) \quad (85)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\phi}_t(t), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_t^\dagger(t)] = \\ &= \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{d_j \left(\left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \omega \tilde{v}_j \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \gamma_j^2 - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \gamma_j (\tilde{v}_j - 1) \right)}{2\omega \gamma_j^2} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j}^\dagger + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_{-j} \right) \\ &- \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{c_j \left(\left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \right)^2 + \omega \tilde{v}_j \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \gamma_j^2 + \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} \gamma_j (\tilde{v}_j - 1) \right)}{2\omega \gamma_j^2} \left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right). \quad (86) \end{aligned}$$

One can see that (85) fully compensates (72), whereas (86) fully compensates (73).

5.4.3 Solutions for $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$

For the most j and l the relations

$$(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + 2\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \right) \neq 0, \quad (87)$$

$$(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + 2\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} \right) \neq 0 \quad (88)$$

are fulfilled. In such a case, solutions of Eqs. (82), (83) can be chosen in the form

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(M_{j,l}^{\star+} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + N_{j,l}^{\star+} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \quad (89)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(M_{j,l}^{\star-} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + N_{j,l}^{\star-} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right). \quad (90)$$

Substituting the latter representations into Eqs. (82), (83), for the coefficients in $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x})$ we get the system of equations

$$M_{j,l}^{\star+} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (N_{j,l}^{\star+})^* = -q_{j,l}^{(1)}, \quad (91)$$

$$M_{j,l}^{\star+} \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) (N_{j,l}^{\star+})^* = -q_{j,l}^{(2)}, \quad (92)$$

leading to

$$M_{j,l}^{\star+} = \frac{\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l)\right) q_{j,l}^{(1)} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \left(q_{j,l}^{(1)} - q_{j,l}^{(2)}\right)}{(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2 - \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l}\right)^2}, \quad (93)$$

$$N_{j,l}^{\star+} = \frac{\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l)\right) q_{j,l}^{(2)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \left(q_{j,l}^{(1)} - q_{j,l}^{(2)}\right)}{(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2 - \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l}\right)^2}; \quad (94)$$

whereas for the coefficients in $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x})$ we get the system of equations

$$M_{j,l}^{\star-} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (N_{j,l}^{\star-})^* = -q_{j,l}^{(3)}, \quad (95)$$

$$M_{j,l}^{\star-} \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) (N_{j,l}^{\star-})^* = -q_{j,l}^{(4)}, \quad (96)$$

leading to

$$M_{j,l}^{\star-} = \frac{\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l)\right) q_{j,l}^{(3)} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} \left(q_{j,l}^{(3)} - q_{j,l}^{(4)}\right)}{(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l})^2 - \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l}\right)^2}, \quad (97)$$

$$N_{j,l}^{\star-} = \frac{\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l)\right) q_{j,l}^{(4)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} \left(q_{j,l}^{(3)} - q_{j,l}^{(4)}\right)}{(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l})^2 - \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l}\right)^2}. \quad (98)$$

Coefficients (93), (94), (97) and (98) have the following properties:

$$M_{l,j}^{\star+} = M_{j,l}^{\star+}, \quad N_{l,j}^{\star+} = N_{j,l}^{\star+}, \quad N_{l,j}^{\star-} = M_{j,l}^{\star-}. \quad (99)$$

However, there may exist some j and l such that for $k = j + l$ (which automatically implies $\vec{k}_k = \vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l$) the frequency γ_k is such that $\gamma_k = \gamma_{j+l} = \gamma_j + \gamma_l$ (analogously, for $\gamma_{j-l} = |\gamma_j - \gamma_l|$), i.e., it is possible that for some j and l the equality

$$(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + 2\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \right) = 0 \quad (100)$$

holds or the equality

$$(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + 2\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} \right) = 0 \quad (101)$$

holds (see an example in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in Appendix A of [9]). In such a case, solutions of Eqs. (82), (83) should be chosen in a more complicated form

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left((M_{j,l}^+ + tL_{j,l}^+) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + (N_{j,l}^+ + tJ_{j,l}^+) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \quad (102)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left((M_{j,l}^- + tL_{j,l}^-) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + (N_{j,l}^- + tJ_{j,l}^-) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right). \quad (103)$$

Substituting these representations into Eqs. (82), (83), for the coefficients in $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x})$ defined by (102) we get the system of equations

$$L_{j,l}^+ \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (J_{j,l}^+)^* = 0, \quad (104)$$

$$L_{j,l}^+ \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) (J_{j,l}^+)^* = 0, \quad (105)$$

$$M_{j,l}^+ \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (N_{j,l}^+)^* - i\hbar L_{j,l}^+ = -q_{j,l}^{(1)}, \quad (106)$$

$$M_{j,l}^+ \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) (N_{j,l}^+)^* + i\hbar (J_{j,l}^+)^* = -q_{j,l}^{(2)}. \quad (107)$$

It is convenient to choose the following solution of this system of equations:

$$L_{j,l}^+ = \frac{-i}{2\hbar(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(1)} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (q_{j,l}^{(1)} - q_{j,l}^{(2)}) \right), \quad (108)$$

$$J_{j,l}^+ = \frac{i}{2\hbar(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(2)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (q_{j,l}^{(1)} - q_{j,l}^{(2)}) \right), \quad (109)$$

$$M_{j,l}^+ = K_{j,l}^+, \quad (110)$$

$$N_{j,l}^+ = 0, \quad (111)$$

where

$$K_{j,l}^+ = \frac{-1}{2\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j + \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(2)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} (q_{j,l}^{(1)} - q_{j,l}^{(2)}) \right) \quad (112)$$

such that

$$K_{j,l}^+ = K_{l,j}^+. \quad (113)$$

For the coefficients in $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x})$ defined by (103) we get the system of equations

$$L_{j,l}^- \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (J_{j,l}^-)^* = 0, \quad (114)$$

$$L_{j,l}^- \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) (J_{j,l}^-)^* = 0, \quad (115)$$

$$M_{j,l}^- \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (N_{j,l}^-)^* - i\hbar L_{j,l}^- = -q_{j,l}^{(3)}, \quad (116)$$

$$M_{j,l}^- \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + \left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) (N_{j,l}^-)^* + i\hbar (J_{j,l}^-)^* = -q_{j,l}^{(4)}. \quad (117)$$

It is convenient to choose the following solution of this system of equations:

$$L_{j,l}^- = \frac{-i}{2\hbar(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(3)} + \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (q_{j,l}^{(3)} - q_{j,l}^{(4)}) \right), \quad (118)$$

$$J_{j,l}^- = \frac{i}{2\hbar(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(4)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (q_{j,l}^{(3)} - q_{j,l}^{(4)}) \right), \quad (119)$$

$$M_{j,l}^- = K_{j,l}^- + c_{j-l} Q_{j,l}^-, \quad (120)$$

$$N_{j,l}^- = -d_{j-l} Q_{j,l}^-, \quad (121)$$

where

$$K_{j,l}^- = \frac{-1}{2\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)} \left(\left(\frac{(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} + (\gamma_j - \gamma_l) \right) q_{j,l}^{(4)} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j-l} (q_{j,l}^{(3)} - q_{j,l}^{(4)}) \right) \quad (122)$$

and the coefficients $Q_{j,l}^-$ will be specified later.

At this step it is convenient to represent the sums in (80) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[j,l]} \hat{\rho}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(j,l)} \hat{\rho}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

Here

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\tilde{M}_{j,l}^+ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + \tilde{N}_{j,l}^+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \quad (124)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\tilde{M}_{j,l}^- e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + \tilde{N}_{j,l}^- e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right), \quad (125)$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_{j,l}^+ = M_{j,l}^{*+}, \quad \tilde{N}_{j,l}^+ = N_{j,l}^{*+} \quad (126)$$

if j and l are such that relation (87) holds;

$$\tilde{M}_{j,l}^- = M_{j,l}^{*-}, \quad \tilde{N}_{j,l}^- = N_{j,l}^{*-} \quad (127)$$

if j and l are such that relation (88) holds;

$$\tilde{M}_{j,l}^+ = M_{j,l}^+, \quad \tilde{N}_{j,l}^+ = N_{j,l}^+ \quad (128)$$

if j and l are such that relation (100) holds; and

$$\tilde{M}_{j,l}^- = M_{j,l}^-, \quad \tilde{N}_{j,l}^- = N_{j,l}^- \quad (129)$$

if j and l are such that relation (101) holds. The operators $\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$ are defined by

$$\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega t}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(L_{j,l}^+ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l + J_{j,l}^+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right), \quad (130)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{\omega t}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(L_{j,l}^- e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_l^\dagger + J_{j,l}^- e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_j - \vec{k}_l)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)t} \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_l \right) \quad (131)$$

and both contain the overall factor t . The notation $[j, l]$ in (123) denotes the set of j and l for which relation (100) holds, whereas the notation (j, l) in (123) denotes the set of j and l for which relation (101) holds. Now we turn to the commutation relations.

5.4.4 Commutation relations for $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{\pm}(t, \vec{x})$

Since the operators $\hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{\pm}(t, \vec{x})$ in terms of $M_{j,l}^{\pm}$, $N_{j,l}^{\pm}$ or $M_{j,l}^{\pm}$, $N_{j,l}^{\pm}$ have exactly the same form as those in [9], we can use the expressions for the commutators obtained in [9]. Namely, for the operator

$$\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{+}(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^{-}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (132)$$

the first commutation relation looks like [9]

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \vec{y})] &= \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \left(\hat{a}_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_l \vec{x}} \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{\tilde{M}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} d_l - \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} d_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} c_l - \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} c_{j+l} \right) \\ &+ \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_l \vec{x}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\tilde{N}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} c_l - \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} c_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} d_l - \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} d_{j+l} \right) \Bigg), \quad (133) \end{aligned}$$

whereas the second commutation relation looks like [9]

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}^{\dagger}(t, \vec{y})] &= \frac{\omega}{L^3} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \left(\hat{a}_j^{\dagger} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_l \vec{x}} \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{\tilde{M}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} c_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} d_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} d_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} c_{j+l} \right) \\ &+ \hat{a}_j e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \gamma_j t} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l) \vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_l \vec{y}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\tilde{M}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} c_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} d_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} d_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} c_{j+l} \right) \Bigg). \quad (134) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in commutators (133) and (134) we have three independent overall coefficients, which are

$$\frac{\tilde{M}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} d_l - \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} d_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} c_l - \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} c_{j+l}, \quad (135)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{N}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} c_l - \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} c_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} d_l - \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} d_{j+l}, \quad (136)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{M}_{j,l}^{+} + \tilde{M}_{l,j}^{+}}{2} c_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,-j-l}^{+} + \tilde{N}_{-j-l,j}^{+}}{2} d_{j+l} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{j,-l}^{-} + \tilde{N}_{-l,j}^{-}}{2} d_l + \frac{\tilde{N}_{j,j+l}^{-} + \tilde{M}_{j+l,j}^{-}}{2} c_{j+l}. \quad (137)$$

It was shown in [9] that if j and l in (135)–(137) are such that for all terms in (135)–(137) relations (100), (101) are not fulfilled, i.e., in the case of (126), (127), these coefficients can be

reduced to

$$M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l - M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} + M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l - M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l}, \quad (138)$$

$$N_{j,l}^{*+} c_l - N_{j,-j-l}^{*+} c_{j+l} + N_{j,-l}^{*-} d_l - N_{j,j+l}^{*-} d_{j+l}, \quad (139)$$

$$M_{j,l}^{*+} c_l + N_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} + M_{j,-l}^{*-} d_l + N_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l}. \quad (140)$$

If j and l in (135)–(137) are such that at least for some of the terms in (135)–(137) relations (100), (101) are fulfilled, then, as was also shown in [9], with

$$Q_{a+b,a}^- = d_b \left(\frac{2}{c_{a+b}} (K_{a,b}^+ d_b + M_{a,-b}^{*-} c_b - M_{a,-a-b}^{*+} d_{a+b}) - K_{a,a+b}^- \right) + c_b \left(\frac{2}{d_{a+b}} (N_{a,-b}^{*-} d_b - N_{a,-a-b}^{*+} c_{a+b}) - K_{a+b,a}^- \right), \quad (141)$$

$$Q_{a,a+b}^- = c_b \left(\frac{2}{c_{a+b}} (K_{a,b}^+ d_b + M_{a,-b}^{*-} c_b - M_{a,-a-b}^{*+} d_{a+b}) - K_{a,a+b}^- \right) + d_b \left(\frac{2}{d_{a+b}} (N_{a,-b}^{*-} d_b - N_{a,-a-b}^{*+} c_{a+b}) - K_{a+b,a}^- \right), \quad (142)$$

where a and b are such that $\gamma_{a+b} = \gamma_a + \gamma_b$, there remain only two independent coefficients in the corresponding commutation relations. These coefficients have the form³

$$K_{a,b}^+ c_b - N_{a,-a-b}^{*+} \frac{1}{d_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{*-} \frac{d_b c_{a+b}}{d_{a+b}} + M_{a,-b}^{*-} d_b, \quad (143)$$

$$K_{a,b}^+ \frac{d_{a+b} d_b}{c_{a+b}} + M_{a,-a-b}^{*+} \frac{1}{c_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{*-} c_b + M_{a,-b}^{*-} \frac{d_{a+b} c_b}{c_{a+b}}. \quad (144)$$

However, as now $M_{j,l}^{*\pm}$, $N_{j,l}^{*\pm}$ and $M_{j,l}^\pm$, $N_{j,l}^\pm$ depend on the parameters $\tilde{v}_\#$ and differ from those in [9], coefficients (138)–(140) and (143), (144) should be recalculated.

Exactly in the same way as it was done in [9], let us express all terms with momenta in (138)–(140) through $\gamma_\#$ and $\tilde{v}_\#$. Using (93), (97), definitions (32), (75)–(78), and the relations

$$\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} = \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_j, \quad \frac{\vec{k}_l^2}{2m} = \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_l, \quad \frac{(\vec{k}_j + \vec{k}_l)^2}{2m} = \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_{j+l} \quad (145)$$

following from (33), the terms in (138) can be represented in explicit form as

$$M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l = \frac{c_j}{2\tilde{v}_j \gamma_l ((\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 - \gamma_{j+l}^2)} \left[\left(\gamma_j + \gamma_l + \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} \right) \times \left(\tilde{v}_l (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) + \tilde{v}_j (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} \right) + \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_l (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \omega \right) - \tilde{v}_{j+l} (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) \left(\gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} \right) - \omega \left(\tilde{v}_l \tilde{v}_{j+l} (\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) + \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_{j+l} (\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} \right) \right) \right], \quad (146)$$

³In order not to overload the text, derivation of coefficients (138)–(140) and (143), (144) is not reproduced in this paper — detailed explanations and calculations can be found in [9].

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} &= \frac{c_j}{2\tilde{v}_j \gamma_{j+l} \left((\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l})^2 - \gamma_l^2 \right)} \left[\left(\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l} + \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} \right) \right. \\
&\times \left(\tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) + \tilde{v}_j(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} \right) \right. \\
&+ \left. \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \omega \right) - \tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) \left(\gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} \right) \\
&\left. - \omega \left(\tilde{v}_l \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\gamma_j - \sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} \right) + \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} \right) \right) \right], \quad (147)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l &= \frac{c_j}{2\tilde{v}_j \gamma_l \left((\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 - \gamma_{j+l}^2 \right)} \left[\left(\gamma_j - \gamma_l + \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} \right) \right. \\
&\times \left(\tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) + \tilde{v}_j(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l \right) - \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \omega \right) \\
&+ \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l \right) \\
&\left. - \omega \left(\tilde{v}_l \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) + \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l \right) \right) \right], \quad (148)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l} &= \frac{c_j}{2\tilde{v}_j \gamma_{j+l} \left((\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l})^2 - \gamma_l^2 \right)} \left[\left(\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l} + \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} \right) \right. \\
&\times \left(\tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) + \tilde{v}_j(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} + \gamma_{j+l} \right) \right. \\
&- \left. \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \omega \right) + \tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} + \gamma_{j+l} \right) \\
&\left. - \omega \left(\tilde{v}_l \tilde{v}_{j+l}(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right) + \tilde{v}_j \tilde{v}_l(\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} + \gamma_{j+l} \right) \right) \right]. \quad (149)
\end{aligned}$$

It turns out that

$$M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l - M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} + M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l - M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l} = 0. \quad (150)$$

It is a purely algebraic cancellation, i.e., equality (150) is valid for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_j , γ_l , γ_{j+l} and \tilde{v}_j , \tilde{v}_l , \tilde{v}_{j+l} . Analytical check of equality (150) demands very bulky calculations, so it is more convenient to use a program package that is capable for performing symbolic computations (namely, reduction and simplification of analytical expressions). In particular, the validity of equality (150) (and subsequent equalities of this section) was checked using the computer algebra system Maxima [14], see Supplementary material for the files.

Analogously, for (139) one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_{j,l}^{*+} c_l - N_{j,-j-l}^{*+} c_{j+l} + N_{j,-l}^{*-} d_l - N_{j,j+l}^{*-} d_{j+l} \\
&= \frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} - \gamma_l} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l - \frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} - \gamma_{j+l}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l - \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} + \gamma_{j+l}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l} \\
&- \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{q_{j,l}^{(1)} c_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{q_{j,-j-l}^{(1)} c_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} + \frac{q_{j,-l}^{(3)} d_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{q_{j,j+l}^{(3)} d_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} \right), \tag{151}
\end{aligned}$$

where the terms $M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l$, $M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l}$, $M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l$ and $M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l}$ are defined by (146)–(149), Eqs. (91), (95) were used to pass from $N_{\#,\#}^{\pm\pm}$ to $M_{\#,\#}^{\pm\pm}$, as well as definitions (32), (75)–(78) and relations (145). With $c_j^2 - d_j^2 = 1$ for any $j \neq 0$, for the last line of (151) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{q_{j,l}^{(1)} c_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{q_{j,-j-l}^{(1)} c_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} + \frac{q_{j,-l}^{(3)} d_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{q_{j,j+l}^{(3)} d_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} \right) \\
&= \frac{c_j}{\omega} \left[\frac{\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} - \frac{\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} + \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_l} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j}{\omega} \right], \tag{152}
\end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_{j,l}^{*+} c_l - N_{j,-j-l}^{*+} c_{j+l} + N_{j,-l}^{*-} d_l - N_{j,j+l}^{*-} d_{j+l} \\
&= \frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} - \gamma_l} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} M_{j,l}^{*+} d_l - \frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} - \gamma_{j+l}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,-j-l}^{*+} d_{j+l} \\
&+ \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_l - \sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} M_{j,-l}^{*-} c_l - \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma_{j+l}^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} + \gamma_{j+l}} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,j+l}^{*-} c_{j+l} \\
&+ \frac{c_j}{\omega} \left[\frac{\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+l}}{\tilde{v}_l} - \frac{\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_l}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} + \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}_{j+l}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{v}_l} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j}{\omega} \right]. \tag{153}
\end{aligned}$$

It also turns out that

$$N_{j,l}^{*+} c_l - N_{j,-j-l}^{*+} c_{j+l} + N_{j,-l}^{*-} d_l - N_{j,j+l}^{*-} d_{j+l} = 0 \tag{154}$$

for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_j , γ_l , γ_{j+l} and \tilde{v}_j , \tilde{v}_l , \tilde{v}_{j+l} , see Supplementary material.

And finally, again with the help of Eqs. (91), (95), coefficient (140) can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{j,l}^{*+}c_l + N_{j,-j-l}^{*+}d_{j+l} + M_{j,-l}^{*-}d_l + N_{j,j+l}^{*-}c_{j+l} &= \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_l)^2} + \gamma_l}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,l}^{*+}d_l \\
+ \frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,-j-l}^{*+}d_{j+l} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_l)^2} - \gamma_l}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,-l}^{*-}c_l \\
+ \frac{\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l} - \sqrt{\gamma_l^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_l)^2}}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} M_{j,j+l}^{*-}c_{j+l} - \frac{1}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} \left(q_{j,-j-l}^{(1)}d_{j+l} + q_{j,j+l}^{(3)}c_{j+l} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{\omega\tilde{v}_l} \left(\gamma_l M_{j,l}^{*+}d_l + (\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+l}) M_{j,-j-l}^{*+}d_{j+l} - \gamma_l M_{j,-l}^{*-}c_l + (\gamma_j - \gamma_{j+l}) M_{j,j+l}^{*-}c_{j+l} - c_j(\tilde{v}_l + \tilde{v}_{j+l}) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

where the terms $M_{j,l}^{*+}d_l$, $M_{j,-j-l}^{*+}d_{j+l}$, $M_{j,-l}^{*-}c_l$ and $M_{j,j+l}^{*-}c_{j+l}$ are defined by (146)–(149), and definitions (32), (75)–(78) and Eq. (150) were used. One can check that

$$M_{j,l}^{*+}c_l + N_{j,-j-l}^{*+}d_{j+l} + M_{j,-l}^{*-}d_l + N_{j,j+l}^{*-}c_{j+l} = 0 \tag{156}$$

for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_j , γ_l , γ_{j+l} and \tilde{v}_j , \tilde{v}_l , \tilde{v}_{j+l} , see Supplementary material. Thus, all three expressions (138)–(140) are equal to zero.

Now let us turn to expression (143). Again, exactly as it was done in [9], it is necessary to perform the following steps: first, using Eqs. (91) and (95), it is convenient to pass from $N_{a,-a-b}^{*+}$ and $N_{a,-b}^{*-}$ to $M_{a,-a-b}^{*+}$ and $M_{a,-b}^{*-}$ respectively; second, using definitions (32), (75)–(78) and relations (145), one should express all terms with momenta in (143) through $\gamma_{\#}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\#}$; and third, one should represent γ_{a+b} as $\gamma_{a+b} = \gamma_a + \gamma_b$ in all places where γ_{a+b} appears. The result looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_{a,b}^{+}c_b - N_{a,-a-b}^{*+} \frac{1}{d_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{*-} \frac{d_b c_{a+b}}{d_{a+b}} + M_{a,-b}^{*-} d_b \\
&= K_{a,b}^{+}c_b - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_b c_{a+b}}{\omega\tilde{v}_b \left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - \gamma_a - \gamma_b \right)} \\
&\times \left[(\gamma_a + \gamma_b) \left(2\gamma_a + \gamma_b - \sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} \right) M_{a,-a-b}^{*+} d_{a+b} + \gamma_b \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} - \gamma_b \right) M_{a,-b}^{*-} c_b \right] \\
&+ \frac{c_a}{2\tilde{v}_a\tilde{v}_b \left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - \gamma_a - \gamma_b \right)} \left[2\tilde{v}_a\tilde{v}_{a+b}(\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \right. \\
&- 2\tilde{v}_a(\tilde{v}_b + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - \gamma_a - \gamma_b}{\omega} - 2\tilde{v}_{a+b}(\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a}{\omega} \\
&- \tilde{v}_b(\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{\left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a \right) \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} - \gamma_b \right)}{\omega\gamma_b} - \tilde{v}_a\tilde{v}_b^2(\tilde{v}_b + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{\omega}{\gamma_b} \\
&\left. + \tilde{v}_a\tilde{v}_b(\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} - \gamma_b}{\gamma_b} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

where $M_{a,-a-b}^{*+}d_{a+b}$ and $M_{a,-b}^{*-}c_b$ are defined by (147) and (148) respectively, but with γ_{a+b}

replaced by $\gamma_a + \gamma_b$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{a,b}^+ c_b = & \frac{c_a \omega}{4\tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_{a+b} \gamma_b (\gamma_a + \gamma_b)} \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} + \gamma_a + \gamma_b}{\omega} \right. \\
& \times \left((\tilde{v}_b + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a) (\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b)}{\omega^2} - \tilde{v}_b (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a}{\omega} \right. \\
& + \tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_b (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \left. \right) + \tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_{a+b} (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b}{\omega} - \tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_b \tilde{v}_{a+b} (\tilde{v}_b + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \\
& \left. - \tilde{v}_{a+b} (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a) (\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b)}{\omega^2} \right]. \quad (158)
\end{aligned}$$

It turns out that

$$K_{a,b}^+ c_b - N_{a,-a-b}^{\star+} \frac{1}{d_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{\star-} \frac{d_b c_{a+b}}{d_{a+b}} + M_{a,-b}^{\star-} d_b = 0 \quad (159)$$

for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_a , γ_b and \tilde{v}_a , \tilde{v}_b , \tilde{v}_{a+b} , see Supplementary material.

Fully analogous steps can be performed for (144), leading to

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_{a,b}^+ \frac{d_{a+b} d_b}{c_{a+b}} + M_{a,-a-b}^{\star+} \frac{1}{c_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{\star-} c_b + M_{a,-b}^{\star-} \frac{d_{a+b} c_b}{c_{a+b}} \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - \gamma_a - \gamma_b}{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b} \cdot \frac{\tilde{v}_b}{\tilde{v}_{a+b}} K_{a,b}^+ c_b + \frac{2}{\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b}} ((\gamma_a + \gamma_b) M_{a,-a-b}^{\star+} d_{a+b} - \gamma_b M_{a,-b}^{\star-} c_b) \\
& - \frac{c_a}{2\tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_{a+b} \gamma_b} \left(\tilde{v}_b (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a}{\omega} + \tilde{v}_a (\tilde{v}_b + \tilde{v}_{a+b}) \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b}{\omega} \right. \\
& \left. - \tilde{v}_a \tilde{v}_b (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) \right), \quad (160)
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_{a,-a-b}^{\star+} d_{a+b}$ and $M_{a,-b}^{\star-} c_b$ are defined by (147) and (148) respectively, but with γ_{a+b} replaced by $\gamma_a + \gamma_b$, and $K_{a,b}^+ c_b$ is defined by (158). Analogously, it turns out that

$$K_{a,b}^+ \frac{d_{a+b} d_b}{c_{a+b}} + M_{a,-a-b}^{\star+} \frac{1}{c_{a+b}} + N_{a,-b}^{\star-} c_b + M_{a,-b}^{\star-} \frac{d_{a+b} c_b}{c_{a+b}} = 0 \quad (161)$$

for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_a , γ_b and \tilde{v}_a , \tilde{v}_b , \tilde{v}_{a+b} , see Supplementary material.

Thus, all five coefficients (138)–(140) and (143), (144) are equal to zero, which means that the operator $\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x})$ satisfies commutation relations (27) and (28).

5.4.5 Commutation relations for $\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$

It was explained in detail in [9] that in order to correctly calculate contributions of the terms with $\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$ to the canonical commutation relations, it is necessary to consider the combination

$$\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{x}), \quad (162)$$

where a and b are such that $\gamma_{a+b} = \gamma_a + \gamma_b$. Since in terms of $L_{j,l}^\pm$ and $J_{j,l}^\pm$ the operators $\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$ defined by (130) and (131) have exactly the same form as those in [9], again we can use the explicit expressions for the corresponding commutators obtained in [9]. The first commutation relation for combination (162) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{y})] \\
&= -\frac{\omega t}{L^3} \left(\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a (L_{a,b}^+ d_b - J_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \right. \right. \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a^\dagger (J_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- d_{a+b}) + [a \leftrightarrow b] \\
&+ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b} (L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a) \\
&\left. \left. + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b}^\dagger (J_{a+b,b}^- d_b - J_{a+b,a}^- d_a) - [\vec{x} \leftrightarrow \vec{y}] \right) \right). \quad (163)
\end{aligned}$$

For those j and l for which relation (100) holds, the relation

$$J_{j,l}^+ = \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_j + \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l})^2} - (\gamma_j + \gamma_l)}{\omega \tilde{v}_{j+l}} L_{j,l}^+ \quad (164)$$

holds, which follows from Eq. (104) and solutions (108), (109). Analogously, for those j and l for which relation (101) holds, the relation

$$J_{j,l}^- = \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_j - \gamma_l)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l})^2} - (\gamma_j - \gamma_l)}{\omega \tilde{v}_{j-l}} L_{j,l}^- \quad (165)$$

holds, which follows from Eq. (114) and solutions (118), (119). Using the latter formulas, commutators (163) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{y})] \\
&= -\frac{\omega t}{L^3} \left(\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} - \gamma_b}{\omega \tilde{v}_b} (L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \right. \right. \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a^\dagger \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - (\gamma_a + \gamma_b)}{\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b}} (L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \\
&+ [a \leftrightarrow b] \left. \right) + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b} (L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a) \\
&\left. + e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b}^\dagger \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a}{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b} (L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a) - [\vec{x} \leftrightarrow \vec{y}] \right). \quad (166)
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining independent coefficients in (166) are

$$L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}, \quad (167)$$

$$L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a. \quad (168)$$

The second commutation relation for combination (162) has the form [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), (\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{y}))^\dagger] \\
&= \frac{\omega t}{L^3} \left(\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a (L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \right. \right. \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a^\dagger (J_{a,b}^+ d_b - J_{a+b,a}^- d_{a+b}) + [a \leftrightarrow b] \Big) \\
&+ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b} (L_{a+b,b}^- d_b - J_{a+b,a}^- c_a) \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b}^\dagger (J_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- d_a) + [\vec{x} \leftrightarrow \vec{y}]^\dagger \Big). \tag{169}
\end{aligned}$$

Using relations (164) and (165), commutators in (169) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), (\hat{\rho}_{a,b}^+(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,b}^-(t, \vec{y}) + \hat{\rho}_{a+b,a}^-(t, \vec{y}))^\dagger] \\
&= \frac{\omega t}{L^3} \left(\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a (L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \right. \right. \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_a t} \hat{a}_a^\dagger \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - (\gamma_a + \gamma_b)}{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b} (L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}) \\
&+ [a \leftrightarrow b] \Big) + e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} - \gamma_b}{\omega \tilde{v}_b} (L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a) \\
&+ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_a\vec{x}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_b\vec{y}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)t} \hat{a}_{a+b}^\dagger \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \gamma_a}{\omega \tilde{v}_a} (L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a) + [\vec{x} \leftrightarrow \vec{y}]^\dagger \Big). \tag{170}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we also get only coefficients (167) and (168). Using (108), (118) and (32), (75)–(78), as well as

$$\frac{\vec{k}_a^2}{2m} = \sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_a, \quad \frac{\vec{k}_b^2}{2m} = \sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_b, \tag{171}$$

$$\frac{(\vec{k}_a + \vec{k}_b)^2}{2m} = \sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} - \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b}, \tag{172}$$

one can check that

$$L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b} = 0, \tag{173}$$

$$L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a = 0 \tag{174}$$

for arbitrary nonzero values of γ_a , γ_b and \tilde{v}_a , \tilde{v}_b , \tilde{v}_{a+b} , see Appendix for details. Equalities (173) and (174) imply that commutation relations (27) and (28) are satisfied for the terms containing $\hat{\rho}_{j,l}^\pm(t, \vec{x})$.

5.5 Extra contribution

Finally, let us consider the operator [9]

$$\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \left(\sum_{j \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\omega t}{\hbar} \right) \epsilon_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j - \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{\epsilon_j}{2q} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{k}_j\vec{x}} \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} c_j \hat{P}_j - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\gamma_j t} d_j \hat{P}_{-j}^\dagger \right) \right) \tag{175}$$

with $\hat{P}_j = (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger) \hat{a}_j$ and $\epsilon_{-j} = \epsilon_j$ being free dimensionless parameters. Since the operator $\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{x})$ is a solution of the homogeneous part of Eq. (17), we can add it to the solution $\hat{\phi}(t, \vec{x})$ obtained above. The necessary commutation relations

$$[\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{y})] = 0, \quad (176)$$

$$[\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] + [\hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}), \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}^\dagger(t, \vec{y})] = 0 \quad (177)$$

are satisfied for (175) [9].

6 Operators of the integrals of motion

The whole solution for the operator $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \omega t} & \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{g}} + \hat{\varphi}(t, \vec{x}) + \beta \left(\hat{\phi}_{\text{no}}(t) + \hat{\phi}_{\times}(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\phi}_{\text{t}}(t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq -l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^+(t, \vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j \neq 0, l \neq 0 \\ j \neq l}} \hat{\phi}_{j,l}^-(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(t, \vec{x}) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

As was shown above, the canonical commutation relations (3) and (4) are satisfied for solution (178) in the approximation used above (i.e., up to and including the terms $\sim \beta$). Substituting (178) into (21), (22) and into the momentum operator

$$\hat{\vec{P}} = -i\hbar \int d^3x \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(t, \vec{x}) \nabla \hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}), \quad (179)$$

using the fact that

$$\int d^3x e^{\pm \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{k}_j \vec{x}} = 0 \quad (180)$$

for any $\vec{k}_j \neq 0$, taking

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{L^3}{\omega g}} \tilde{q}, \quad (181)$$

where \tilde{q} is an arbitrary constant with dimensionality of ω (recall that the parameter q originates from (40) and (41)), after straightforward calculations one can get

$$\hat{N}_p = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{j \neq 0} \tilde{v}_j \left(1 - \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \right) \right) + \frac{dN_0}{d\omega} \tilde{q} (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) + \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(\epsilon_j - \frac{\tilde{v}_j \vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \right) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \quad (182)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \left(\frac{\vec{k}_j^2}{2m} + \omega \tilde{v}_j - \gamma_j \right) + \frac{dE_0}{d\omega} \tilde{q} (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 E_0}{d\omega^2} \tilde{q}^2 (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger)^2 \\ + \omega \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(\epsilon_j - \frac{\tilde{v}_j \vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \right) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + \sum_{j \neq 0} \gamma_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

$$\hat{\vec{P}}_p = \sum_{j \neq 0} \vec{k}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j. \quad (184)$$

Here $\hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j$ stands for the number of quasi-particles with momentum \vec{k}_j . As usual, the c -number terms (the first sums in (182) and (183)) arise when one passes from $\hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger$ to $\hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j$. In full analogy with the corresponding formulas in [9], even for arbitrary ϵ_j the operators \hat{N}_p and \hat{E}_p do not contain any nondiagonal terms like those in [1].

Choosing

$$\epsilon_j = \frac{\tilde{v}_j \vec{k}_j^2}{2m\gamma_j} \quad (185)$$

in (175) (recall that ϵ_j are free parameters of the solution) and skipping the irrelevant c -number terms, we get for (182)–(184)

$$\hat{N}_p = \frac{dN_0}{d\omega} \tilde{q} \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right), \quad (186)$$

$$\hat{E}_p = \frac{dE_0}{d\omega} \tilde{q} \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 E_0}{d\omega^2} \tilde{q}^2 \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right)^2 + \sum_{j \neq 0} \gamma_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \quad (187)$$

$$\hat{\vec{P}}_p = \sum_{j \neq 0} \vec{k}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j. \quad (188)$$

The terms with the operators \hat{a}_0 and \hat{a}_0^\dagger are expected for the nonoscillation mode that corresponds to a change of the frequency ω of the background solution (8).⁴ It means that the particle number of the system can be changed only by adding particles to the condensate or removing them from the condensate, see a detailed discussion of the terms with the operator $\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger$ in [9]. Formulas (186)–(188) imply that at zero temperature all particles reside in the condensate and at least free quasi-particles do not change the particle number of the system, but change its energy (which is just γ_j for a quasi-particle) and momentum. This behaviour seems to be natural from a physical point of view.

7 Conclusion

It is shown that the use of additional nonoscillation modes in the linear approximation together with the first nonlinear correction provides a natural solution to the problem of nonconserved particle number in the case of arbitrary two-body interaction potential in the same way as it was done in [9] for the special case of two-body interaction potential (1).⁵ This approach slightly modifies the original Bogolyubov approach but keeps all the key steps and ideas proposed in [1]. Within the proposed method, the particle number is conserved automatically and additional methods ensuring the particle number conservation (like those that rely on modifications of the resulting effective Hamiltonian) turn out to be completely unnecessary.

A peculiar property of the approach is that since

$$\hat{\Psi}(0, \vec{x}) \neq \Psi_0(0, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}_0(0, \vec{x}), \quad (189)$$

⁴In full analogy with the result of [9], the term $\sim \left(\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger \right)^2$ is absent in (182) because $\frac{d^2 N_0}{d\omega^2} = 0$ (see (11)) for the background solution (8).

⁵One can check that all formulas presented in this paper can be reduced to those of [9] by taking $v_j = v_0 = g$ (i.e., $\tilde{v}_j = 1$) for all j .

where $\hat{\varphi}_o(t, \vec{x})$ is defined by (31), solution (178) cannot be considered just as an approximation of the solution of Eq. (7) with the usual initial condition $\Psi_0(0, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}_o(0, \vec{x})$, see the discussion in [9]. Thus, considering a different solution of the nonlinear integro-differential equation (7) (though obtained using the standard methods of perturbation theory) results in cancellation of the unphysical nondiagonal terms arising when one takes the standard solution. Of course, solution (178) (as well as the original solution $\Psi_0(t, \vec{x}) + \hat{\varphi}_o(t, \vec{x})$ of [1]) is not exact⁶ — in order to get an exact solution, one should find all $\hat{\phi}_n(t, \vec{x})$ in the infinite series (14). However, at the moment it is not clear how to calculate even the second nonlinear correction — the calculation of the first nonlinear correction $\hat{\phi}_1(t, \vec{x})$ presented above is rather bulky, so calculation of the second nonlinear correction $\hat{\phi}_2(t, \vec{x})$ seems to be much more difficult. This problem, as well as calculation of the interaction Hamiltonian corresponding to the new solution $\hat{\Psi}(t, \vec{x})$ presented in this paper, call for further investigation.

Appendix

Let us consider equality (174). In explicit form (with (118) and definitions (77), (78)), the expression $L_{a+b,b}^- c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_a$ looks like

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{ic_b}{2\hbar\gamma_a} \left(\left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_a)^2} + \gamma_a \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)d_{a+b}d_b + (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b}c_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b}d_b \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \omega\tilde{v}_a \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b}d_b + (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)c_{a+b}c_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b}c_b \right) \right) \\ & + \frac{ic_a}{2\hbar\gamma_b} \left(\left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b}d_a + (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b}c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)c_{a+b}d_a \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \omega\tilde{v}_b \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b}d_a + (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b}c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)d_{a+b}c_a \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (190)$$

Using the relations

$$c_j d_j = \frac{\omega\tilde{v}_j}{2\gamma_j}, \quad c_j^2 = \frac{(\omega\tilde{v}_j)^2}{2\gamma_j \left(\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_j)^2} - \gamma_j \right)} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_j^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_j)^2} + \gamma_j}{2\gamma_j}, \quad (191)$$

which follow from (32), one can get for (190)

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i}{4\hbar\gamma_a\gamma_b} \left[\left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_a)^2} + \gamma_a \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)d_{a+b}\omega\tilde{v}_b + (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)c_{a+b}\omega\tilde{v}_b \right) - \omega\tilde{v}_a \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b}\omega\tilde{v}_b + (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a)c_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b)d_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega\tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

⁶Meanwhile, it is more accurate with respect to the canonical commutation relations than the original solution of [1], see [9].

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) d_{a+b} \omega \tilde{v}_a + (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) c_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} + \gamma_a \right) \right. \\
& \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) c_{a+b} \omega \tilde{v}_a \right) + \omega \tilde{v}_b \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) d_{a+b} \omega \tilde{v}_a + (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) c_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} + \gamma_a \right) \right. \\
& \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) d_{a+b} \left(\sqrt{\gamma_a^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_a)^2} + \gamma_a \right) \right) \Bigg]. \tag{192}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the similar terms, one can easily check that this expression is equal to zero identically. Thus, equality (174) is satisfied.

Now we turn to equality (173). In explicit form (with (108), (118) and definitions (75)–(78)), the expression for $L_{a,b}^+ c_b - L_{a+b,a}^- c_{a+b}$ looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{ic_b}{2\hbar(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)} \left(\left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} + \gamma_a + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) c_a c_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) c_a d_b \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) d_a c_b \right) - \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b} \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) d_a d_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) c_a d_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) d_a c_b \right) \right) \\
& + \frac{ic_{a+b}}{2\hbar\gamma_b} \left(\left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) d_{a+b} d_a + (\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) c_{a+b} c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) c_{a+b} d_a \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \omega \tilde{v}_b \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) d_{a+b} d_a + (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) c_{a+b} c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) d_{a+b} c_a \right) \right). \tag{193}
\end{aligned}$$

Using relations (191), one can get for (193)

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{i}{4\hbar(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)\gamma_b} \left[\left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} + \gamma_a + \gamma_b \right) \right. \\
& \times \left(\left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) d_a \right) - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) c_a \omega \tilde{v}_b \right) \\
& - \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b} \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) d_a \omega \tilde{v}_b - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) c_a \omega \tilde{v}_b - \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) d_a \right) \\
& - \left(\sqrt{\gamma_b^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_b)^2} + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) d_a \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b} \right. \\
& \left. + \left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} + \gamma_a + \gamma_b \right) \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) c_a - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) d_a \right) \right) \\
& + \omega \tilde{v}_b \left((\tilde{v}_a + \tilde{v}_b) d_a \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b} + \left(\sqrt{(\gamma_a + \gamma_b)^2 + (\omega \tilde{v}_{a+b})^2} + \gamma_a + \gamma_b \right) (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_b) c_a \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (\tilde{v}_{a+b} + \tilde{v}_a) c_a \omega \tilde{v}_{a+b} \right) \right]. \tag{194}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the similar terms, one can easily check that this expression is equal to zero identically. Thus, equality (173) is also satisfied.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material contains the file for the computer algebra system Maxima with wxMaxima interface [14], which was used to compute some coefficients in the commutators presented in this paper, and its .pdf version allowing one to look at the result of the computations without installing the program package. Maxima 5.44.0 (with wxMaxima 20.06.6) was used for the computations.

References

- [1] N.N. Bogolubov, “*On the theory of superfluidity*”, J. Phys. (USSR) **11** (1947) 23.
- [2] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, “*Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases*”, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71** (1999) 463 [arXiv:cond-mat/9806038].
- [3] V.A. Zagrebnov, J.-B. Bru, “*The Bogoliubov model of weakly imperfect Bose gas*”, Phys. Rep. **350** (2001) 291.
- [4] J.O. Andersen, “*Theory of the weakly interacting Bose gas*”, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76** (2004) 599 [arXiv:cond-mat/0305138].
- [5] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, “*Bose-Einstein condensation*”, Oxford: Clarendon Press (2003).
- [6] C. Pethick, H. Smith, “*Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases*”, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2008).
- [7] I.A. Kvasnikov, “*Introduction to the theory of ideal and non-ideal Bose gases*”, Moscow: Librokom (2014) (*in Russian*).
- [8] Y. Castin, R. Dum, “*Low-temperature Bose-Einstein condensates in time-dependent traps: Beyond the $U(1)$ symmetry-breaking approach*”, Phys. Rev. A **57** (1998) 3008.
- [9] M.N. Smolyakov, “*Nonlinear corrections in the quantization of a weakly nonideal Bose gas at zero temperature*”, Chaos Soliton. Fract. **153** (2021) 111505 [arXiv:2103.12030 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].
- [10] M.N. Smolyakov, “*Some properties of small perturbations against a stationary solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*”, Chaos Soliton. Fract. **132** (2020) 109570 [arXiv:1710.10445 [math-ph]].
- [11] A.L. Fetter, “*Nonuniform states of an imperfect Bose gas*”, Ann. Phys. **70** (1972) 67.
- [12] E.P. Gross, “*Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems*”, Nuovo Cim. **20** (1961) 454.
- [13] L.P. Pitaevskii, “*Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas*”, Sov. Phys. JETP **13** (1961) 451.
- [14] Computer algebra system Maxima, <https://maxima.sourceforge.io>.