

Several Characterizations of Left Köthe Rings ^{*†‡}

Shadi Asgari^b, Mahmood Behboodi^{a,b,§} and Somayeh Khedrizeh^a

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology
P.O.Box : 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran

^bSchool of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)
P.O.Box : 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran
sh.asgari@ipm.ir
mbehbood@iut.ac.ir
s.khedrizadeh@math.iut.ac.ir

Abstract

We study the classical Köthe's problem, concerning the structure of non-commutative rings with the property that: "every left module is a direct sum of cyclic modules". In 1934, Köthe showed that left modules over Artinian principal ideal rings are direct sums of cyclic modules. A ring R is called a *left Köthe ring* if every left R -module is a direct sum of cyclic R -modules. In 1951, Cohen and Kaplansky proved that all commutative Köthe rings are Artinian principal ideal rings. During the years 1962 to 1965, Kawada solved the Köthe's problem for basic finite-dimensional algebras: Kawada's theorem characterizes completely those finite-dimensional algebras for which any indecomposable module has square-free socle and square-free top, and describes the possible indecomposable modules. But, so far, the Köthe's problem is open in the non-commutative setting. In this paper, we break the class of left Köthe rings into three categories of nested: *left Köthe rings*, *strongly left Köthe rings* and *very strongly left Köthe rings*, and then, we solve the Köthe's problem by giving several characterizations of these rings in terms of describing the indecomposable modules. Finally, we give a new generalization of Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem.

1 Introduction

An old problem in noncommutative ring theory is to determine rings R whose left modules are direct sums of cyclic modules (see [17, Question 15.8] and [27, Appendix B, Problem

^{*}The research of the second author was in part supported by two grants from IPM (No.1400130213), and (No.1401130213). This research is partially carried out in the IPM-Isfahan Branch.

[†]*Key Words:* Left Köthe rings; Köthe's problem; Finite representation type; Square-free modules; Kawada rings.

[‡]2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D70, 16G60, 16D90; Secondary 16D10, 16P20.

[§]Corresponding author.

2.48]). In 1934, Köthe [22] showed that over an Artinian principal ideal ring, each module is a direct sum of cyclic modules. A ring for which any left (resp., right) module is a direct sum of cyclic modules, is now called a *left* (resp., *right*) *Köthe ring*, and characterizing such rings is called the Köthe's problem. In 1941, Nakayama [25, 26] introduced the notion of generalized uniserial rings as a generalization of Artinian principal ideal rings, and proved that generalized uniserial rings are Köthe rings (a ring R is called a *generalized uniserial ring*, if R has a unit element and every left ideal Re as well as every right ideal eR generated by a primitive idempotent element e possesses only one composition series). However, as shown by Nakayama, the rings of this type are not general enough for solving the Köthe's problem (see [26, Page 289]). In 1951, Cohen and Kaplansky [9] proved that all commutative Köthe rings are Artinian principal ideal rings. Thus, by combining the above results it is obtained that:

Theorem 1.1. (Köthe, [9]). *An Artinian principal ideal ring is a Köthe ring.*

Theorem 1.2. (Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky, [9, 22]). *A commutative ring R is a Köthe ring if and only if R is an Artinian principal ideal ring.*

However, a left Artinian principal left ideal ring R need not be a left Köthe ring, even if R is a local ring (see [11, page 212, Remark (2)]). During the years 1962 to 1965, Kawada [19, 20, 21] solved the Köthe's problem for basic finite-dimensional algebras. Kawada's theorem characterizes completely those finite-dimensional algebras for which any indecomposable module has square-free socle and square-free top (called Kawada algebras), and describes the possible indecomposable modules. In fact, Kawada's theorem, contains a set of 19 conditions which characterize Kawada algebras, as well as the list of the possible indecomposable modules (see [18], for more details of 19 conditions). This seems to be the most elaborate result of the classical representation theory. Faith [11] characterized all commutative rings whose proper factor rings are Köthe rings. Behboodi et al. [7] showed that if R is an Abelian left Köthe ring, then R is an Artinian principal right ideal ring (a ring in which all idempotents are central is called *Abelian*). So, it is obtained that

Theorem 1.3. ([7]). *An Abelian ring R is a Köthe ring if and only if R is an Artinian principal ideal ring.*

This generalizes Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem. In this paper, we solve the Köthe's problem, for a ring without any conditions, by giving several characterizations in terms of describing the indecomposable modules. Also, we give a generalization of Theorem 1.3.

Throughout this paper, all rings have an identity element and all modules are unital. A Köthe (resp. Noetherian, Artinian) ring is a ring which is both a left and right Köthe (resp. Noetherian, Artinian) ring. A principal ideal ring is a ring which is both a left and a right principal ideal ring. For a module M , the *top* of M , denoted by $\text{top}(M)$, is the factor

module $M/\text{Rad}(M)$ where $\text{Rad}(M)$ denotes the radical of M . The module M is called *square-free* if it does not contain a direct sum of two nonzero isomorphic submodules. In Section 2, we provide several characterizations for a left Köthe ring. Among these, it is proved that R is a left Köthe ring if and only if R is of finite representation type and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a cyclic top (see Theorem 2.6). In the sequel, we introduce and study the following concepts. Using them, we obtain more characterizations of Köthe rings.

Definitions 1.4. We say that a ring R is a:

strongly left (resp., *right*) *Köthe ring* if every nonzero left (resp., right) R -module is a direct sum of modules with nonzero square-free cyclic top.

very strongly left (resp., *right*) *Köthe ring* if every nonzero left (resp., right) R -module is a direct sum of modules with simple top.

strongly Köthe ring if R is both a strongly left and a strongly right Köthe ring.

very strongly Köthe ring if R is both a very strongly left and right Köthe ring.

It will be shown that these types of rings are left Köthe (see Theorem 2.6), so we have the following inclusion relationships:

Very strongly left Köthe rings \subsetneq Strongly left Köthe rings \subsetneq Left Köthe rings.

Several equivalent conditions for the concept of a strongly left Köthe ring are given in Section 3 (see Theorem 3.4). We show that the notions of a left Köthe ring and a strongly left Köthe ring are the same for left quasi-duo rings (see Theorem 3.5). In Section 4, we characterize very strongly left Köthe rings and prove that very strongly Köthe rings are exactly Artinian serial rings (see Theorems 4.1 and 4.7). It is shown that for Abelian rings, the notions of a Köthe ring, a strongly Köthe ring, a very strongly Köthe ring and an Artinian principal ideal ring coincide (see Proposition 4.6). Therefore, an Abelian ring R is Artinian serial if and only if R is an Artinian principal ideal ring. This generalizes a well-known result of Asano stating that a commutative ring R is Artinian serial if and only if R is an Artinian principal ideal ring (see [2, 3]). Moreover, the equivalency of the notions of a very strongly Köthe ring and an Artinian serial ring is a generalization of Theorem 1.3, and so it is a new generalization of Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem.

2 Charactrizations of left Köthe rings

In this section, we solve the left Köthe's problem by giving several characterizations in terms of describing the indecomposable modules. Recall that a submodule N of an R -module M is called an *RD-pure submodule* if $N \cap rM = rN$ for all $r \in R$. Every direct summand of M is a *RD-pure submodule* (we note that in [8], Chase has used the term “pure submodule” instead of “RD-pure submodule”).

The following lemmas play an important role in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. (Chase [8, Theorem 3.1]) *Let R be a ring, and A an infinite set of cardinality ζ where $\zeta \geq \text{card}(R)$. Set $M = \prod_{\alpha \in A} R^{(\alpha)}$, where $R^{(\alpha)} \cong R$ is a left R -module. Suppose that M is an RD-pure submodule of a left R -module of the form $N = \bigoplus_{\beta} N_{\beta}$, where each N_{β} is generated by a subset of cardinality less than or equal to ζ . Then R must satisfy the descending chain condition (DCC) on principal right ideals.*

Lemma 2.2. (B. Zimmermann-Huisgen-W. Zimmermann [34, Page 2]) *For a ring R the following statments are equivalent.*

- (1) *Each left R -module is a direct sum of finitely generated modules.*
- (2) *There exists a cardinal number \aleph such that each left R -module is a direct sum of \aleph -generated modules.*
- (3) *Each left R -module is a direct sum of indecomposable modules (R is left pure semisimple).*

Let M be a left R -module. By [32, Proposition 21.6 (4)], if $\text{Rad}(M)$ is a superfluous submodule of M , then M is finitely generated if and only if $\text{top}(M)$ is finitely generated. Similar to this, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a left R -module such that $\text{Rad}(M)$ is a superfluous submodule of M . Then M is cyclic if and only if $\text{top}(M)$ is cyclic.*

Proof. Let $\text{top}(M) = M/\text{Rad}(M)$ be cyclic with the generating element $x + \text{Rad}(M)$, where $x \in M$. Then for each $m \in M$, $m + \text{Rad}(M) = rx + \text{Rad}(M)$ for some $r \in R$. Hence, $m - rx \in \text{Rad}(M)$ and so $m = (m - rx) + rx \in \text{Rad}(M) + Rx$. Thus, $\text{Rad}(M) + Rx = M$ and so $M = Rx$, since $\text{Rad}(M)$ is superfluous in M . \square

A ring R is called *semiperfect* if R/J is left semisimple and idempotents in R/J can be lifted to R . Local rings and left (or right) Artinian rings are semiperfect. A ring R is called left (right) *perfect* in case each of its left (right) modules has a projective cover. Left perfect rings and right perfect rings are both semiperfect. It is worthy of note that in a semiperfect ring, the radical is the unique largest ideal containing no nonzero idempotents (see [1, (15.12)]). Moreover, a semiperfect ring R decomposes as a left R -module into cyclic

modules: $R = (Re_1)^{(t_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus (Re_n)^{(t_n)}$. If $A = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_n$, then the category of left R -modules and left A -modules are Morita equivalent. The semi-perfect ring R is said to be *basic* if $t_1 = \cdots = t_n = 1$, i.e., there are no isomorphic modules in the decomposition of R into cyclic modules. In fact, a semiperfect ring R is *basic* if the quotient ring R/J is a direct sum of division rings. Let M and N be R -modules. A monomorphism $N \rightarrow M$ is called an *embedding* of N to M , and we denote it by $N \hookrightarrow M$). The following proposition, play a key role in this paper.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a semiperfect ring and M be a finitely generated left R -module with the projective cover $P(M)$. Then $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$, where $n, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basic set of idempotents of R , $R/J \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i/Je_i)^{(t_i)}$, $\text{top}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i/Je_i)^{(s_i)}$, for some $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $P(M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(s_i)}$. Consequently,*

(i) *the followings are equivalent for M .*

- (1) *M is a cyclic R -module.*
- (2) *$\text{top}(M)$ is a cyclic module.*
- (3) *$M \cong Re/Ie$ for some idempotent $e \in R$ and for some left ideal $I \subseteq J$.*
- (4) *Re is equal to the projective cover of M for some idempotent $e \in R$.*
- (5) *By the notations above, we have $s_i \leq t_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*
- (6) *$\text{top}(M) \hookrightarrow R/J$.*

In particular, $\text{top}(M)$ is square-free if and only if $s_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(ii) *The followings are equivalent for M .*

- (1) *M is a local module.*
- (2) *$\text{top}(M)$ is a simple module.*
- (3) *$P(M)$ is an indecomposable module.*
- (4) *$P(M) = Re$ for some local idempotent $e \in R$.*
- (5) *$P(M)$ is a projective cover of a simple module.*

Proof. The first statement is by [1, Corollary 15.18, Theorems 27.6, 27.13 and Proposition 27.10].

(i) (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). It is by Lemma 2.3, since M is finitely generated, and so by [32, Proposition 21.6 (4)], $\text{Rad}(M)$ is superfluous in M .

(i) (1) \Leftrightarrow (3). Assume that M is a cyclic left R -module. Since R is a semiperfect ring, M has a projective cover by [1, Corollary 27.6]. Also by [1, Lemma 27.3], $M \cong Re/Ie$ for some idempotent $e \in R$ and some left ideal $I \subseteq J$, and the natural map $Re \rightarrow Re/Ie \rightarrow 0$ is a projective cover of M .

(i) (3) \Rightarrow (4). By [1, Lemma 27.3], for some idempotent e of R and some left ideal $I \subseteq J$, the natural map $Re \rightarrow Re/Ie \rightarrow 0$ is a projective cover of M .

(i) (4) \Rightarrow (1). Since e is an idempotent in R , so Re is a direct summand of ${}_R R$. Then $Re = P(M)$, and hence M is cyclic.

(i) (1) \Rightarrow (6). If M is cyclic, then by Part (a) above, $\text{top}(M) = M/\text{Rad}(M)$ is a cyclic R/J -module, and it follows that $\text{top}(M)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of R/J .

(i) (6) \Rightarrow (1). It is clear.

(i) (2) \Rightarrow (5). It is well known that, $J(R)\text{top}(M) = 0$. If $\text{top}(M)$ is cyclic, then $\text{top}(M)$ is isomorphic to a summand of $R/J(R)$ and hence it can be embedded in $R/J(R)$. So, $s_i \leq t_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(i) (5) \Rightarrow (2). If $s_i \leq t_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\text{top}(M)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of R/J and hence $\text{top}(M)$ is a cyclic module.

(i) (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). It is clear.

(ii). (1) \Rightarrow (2). It is clear.

(ii). (2) \Rightarrow (1). Since $\text{top}(M)$ is simple, $\text{Rad}(M)$ is the unique maximal submodule of M . Finally, since M is nonzero and finitely generated, every proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule, and therefore $\text{Rad}(M)$ is the greatest proper submodule of M .

The rest of the proof (ii) is by [16, Theorem 1.9.4] and [16, Proposition 1.9.6]. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let R be a semiperfect ring and M be a finitely generated left R -module with the projective cover $P(M)$. If $\text{top}(M)$ is square-free, then $P(M)$ (and so M) is cyclic.*

Proof. If $\text{top}(M)$ is square-free, then by Proposition 2.4, we have;

$$\text{top}(M) \cong (Re_{i_1}/Je_{i_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Re_{i_k}/Je_{i_k}),$$

where e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} are distinct elements of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Also, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a projective cover $f : P = Re_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_{i_k} \rightarrow M$. Clearly P is a direct summand of R , and so P and $M \cong P/\text{Ker}(f)$ are cyclic modules. \square

Recall that a ring R is of *finite representation type* (or *finite type*) if R is Artinian and there is a finite number of the isomorphism classes of finitely generated indecomposable left (and right) R -modules. The ring R is said to be of *left bounded (representation) type*, if it is left Artinian and there is a finite upper bound for the lengths of the finitely generated indecomposable modules in $R\text{-Mod}$. By [32, Proposition 54.3], R is of left bounded representation type if and only if R is of finite representation type. Moreover, A subset X of a ring R is called left T -nilpotent if, for every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots of elements in X , there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 0$. Similarly, a right T -nilpotent subset of R is defined. The importance of the concept of T -nilpotence is due to the fact that the radical $J(R)$ of a ring R is left T -nilpotent precisely when the “Nakayama’s Lemma” [1, Corollary 15.13] holds for all left modules, finitely generated or not.

We are now in a position to provide the following properties and characterizations of left Köthe rings.

Theorem 2.6. *The following statements are equivalent for any ring R .*

- (1) R is a left Köthe ring.
- (2) Every nonzero left R -module is a direct sum of modules with nonzero socle and cyclic top.
- (3) R is left perfect and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with cyclic top.
- (4) R is left Artinian and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with cyclic top.
- (5) R is left pure semisimple and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with cyclic top.
- (6) R is of finite representation type and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a cyclic top.
- (7) R is of finite representation type and $\text{top}(U) \hookrightarrow R/J$ for each indecomposable left R -modules U .
- (8) $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$, where $n, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\{Re_1, \dots, Re_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of indecomposable projective left R -modules, and each indecomposable left R -module is isomorphic to Re/Ie for some idempotent $e \in R$ and for some left ideal $I \subseteq J$.
- (9) R is of finite representation type and $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$, where $n, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{Re_1, \dots, Re_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of indecomposable projective left R -modules and $\text{top}(U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i/Je_i)^{(u_i)}$, for some $u_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $u_i \leq t_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ for each indecomposable left R -module U .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By hypothesis, every R -module is a direct sum of cyclic modules. Clearly, the top of every cyclic module is cyclic. Also, R is left Artinian by [8, Theorem 4.4], and so every cyclic module has a nonzero socle.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Set $\bar{R} = R/J$ where J is the Jacobson radical of R , and $M = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \bar{R}^{(\alpha)}$ where A is an infinite set of cardinality $\zeta \geq \text{card}(\bar{R})$, and $\bar{R}^{(\alpha)} \cong \bar{R}$ is a left \bar{R} -module. Clearly, every left \bar{R} -module is also a direct sum of modules with cyclic top. Thus, $M = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \bar{R}^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$, where Λ is an index set and each N_λ has a cyclic top. Since $J(\bar{R}) = 0$, one can easily see that $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ (see for instance, [1, Page 174, Exercises 3]), and hence by [32, Proposition 21.6 (5)], we conclude that $\text{Rad}(N_\lambda) = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. It follows that N_λ is cyclic for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus, M is a direct sum of cyclic left \bar{R} -modules. Since the cardinality of each cyclic left \bar{R} -module is at most $\text{card}(\bar{R}) \leq \zeta$, by Lemma 2.1, \bar{R} must satisfy the descending chain condition on principal right ideals. By Bass [6, Theorem P], the ring \bar{R} is a semisimple ring, i.e., R/J is a semisimple ring. Now, since by our hypothesis, every nonzero left R -module has a minimal submodule, we conclude that J is T -nilpotent by [1, Remark 28.5], and hence by [1, Theorem 28.4], R is a left perfect ring.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Since R is a left perfect ring, by [1, Theorem 28.4], every nonzero left R -module contains a maximal submodule. It follows that for each left R -module M , every

proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule. Now let M be a module with cyclic top. Then by [32, Proposition 21.16 (3)], $\text{Rad}(M)$ is a superfluous submodule of M , and so by Lemma 2.3, M is cyclic. Thus, every left R -module is a direct sum of cyclic modules, i.e., R is a left Köthe ring.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). Since R is a left Köthe ring, by [8, Theorem 4.4], R is a left Artinian ring. Also, it is clear that each cyclic left R -module has a cyclic top.

(4) \Rightarrow (3). Since R is left Artinian, R is a left perfect ring by [1, Corollary 28.8].

(1) \Rightarrow (5). Since R is a left Köthe ring, R is a left pure semisimple ring by Lemma 2.2. Now it is clear that each cyclic left R -module has a cyclic top.

(5) \Rightarrow (6). Since R is a left pure semisimple, by [8, Theorem 4.4], R is a left Artinian ring and every finitely generated indecomposable left R -module is cyclic. Thus, R is of finite length and $\text{length}(R)$ is a finite upper bound for the lengths of the finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules. i.e., ${}_R R$ is bounded. Now, by [32, Proposition 54.3], R is of finite representation type.

(6) \Leftrightarrow (7). It is by Proposition 2.4(i).

(7) \Rightarrow (8). It is by Proposition 2.4(i) and [1, Proposition 27.10].

(8) \Rightarrow (9). It is by Proposition 2.4(i).

(9) \Rightarrow (1). It is clear by Proposition 2.4(i). □

The left Köthe property is not a Morita invariant property. In fact, there exists a ring R and a positive integer $n \geq 2$ such that the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ is a left Köthe ring but R is not a left Köthe ring (see [12, Proposition 4.7, Remark 4.8]). In the last result of this section we give a characterization of a ring R for which any Morita equivalent to it is a left Köthe ring:

Proposition 2.7. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) *Any ring Morita equivalent to R is a left Köthe ring.*
- (2) *R is a semiperfect ring and the basic ring A of R is a left Köthe ring.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By hypothesis, R is a left Köthe ring, and so R is left Artinian. Thus by [1, Proposition 27.14], the basic ring A_0 of R is Morita equivalent to R . Hence by assumption, A_0 is a left Köthe ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let T be a ring Morita equivalent to R . Then by [1, Proposition 27.14], T is Morita equivalent to A , and hence by [12, Corollary 4.2], T is a left Köthe ring. □

3 Characterizations of strongly left Köthe rings

In this section, we study strongly left Köthe rings. Also, we give more characterizations of a left Köthe ring R , whenever R is left quasi-duo. In the sequel, we denote by $R\text{-Mod}$ (resp., $R\text{-mod}$) the category of left R -modules (resp., finitely generated left R -modules), and by $\text{Mod-}R$ (resp., $\text{mod-}R$) the category of right R -modules (resp., finitely generated right R -modules). For an R -module M , $E(M)$ denotes the *injective hull* of M . Now, we explain some concepts regarding the functor rings of the finitely generated modules of $R\text{-Mod}$, which will be used extensively in this section. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_A$ be a family of finitely generated R -modules and $V = \bigoplus_A V_\alpha$. For any $N \in R\text{-Mod}$ we define:

$$\widehat{Hom}(V, N) = \{f \in Hom(V, N) \mid f(V_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for almost all } \alpha \in A\}.$$

For $N = V$, we write $\widehat{Hom}(V, V) = \widehat{End}(V)$. Note that these constructions do not depend on the decomposition of V (see [32, Chap. 10, Sec. 51] for more details).

Remark 3.1. Let R be a ring of finite representation type, and $V := V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$, where $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules. Clearly, the functor ring $T = \widehat{End}(V)$ is equal to $End_R(V)$, and in this case, the ring $T := End_R(V)$ is called the *left Auslander ring* of R . By [4, Proposition 3.6], T is an Artinian ring and so $\text{soc}(T_T)$ is an essential submodule of T_T and T contains only finitely many non-isomorphic types of simple modules. Also by [32, Proposition 46.7], the functor $Hom_R(V, -)$ establishes an equivalence between the category of left R -modules and the full subcategory of finitely generated projective left T -modules, which preserves and reflects finitely generated left R -modules and finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules correspond to finitely generated indecomposable projective T -modules (see [32, Proposition 51.7(5)]). In fact, each finitely generated indecomposable projective T -module is local and hence it is the projective cover of a simple T -module. Therefore $Hom_R(V, -)$ yields a bijection between a minimal representing set of finitely generated, indecomposable left R -modules and the set of projective covers of non-isomorphic simple left T -modules.

Recall that an injective left R -module Q is a *cogenerator* in the category of left R -modules if and only if it cogenerates every simple left R -module, or equivalently, Q contains every simple left R -module as a submodule (up to isomorphism) (see [32, Proposition 16.5]). Also, a cogenerator Q is called *minimal cogenerator* if $Q \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_i)$, where I is an index set and $\{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of simple left R -modules. Let R and S be two rings. An additive contravariant functor $F : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{mod-}S$ is called *duality* if it is an equivalence of categories (see [32, 19, Chap. 9]). Moreover, a ring R is called a *left Morita ring* if there is an injective cogenerator ${}_R U$ in $R\text{-Mod}$ such that for $S = \text{End}({}_R U)$, the module U_S is an injective cogenerator in $\text{Mod-}S$ and $R \cong \text{End}(U_S)$. The following result summarizes all the facts presented above on rings of finite representation type.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a ring of finite representation type, $U := U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n$, where $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules, $Q \cong E(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(S_m)$, where $\{S_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of simple left R -modules, $T := \text{End}_R(U)$ and $S = \text{End}_R(Q)$. Then*

- (a) *The functor $\text{Hom}_R(U, -)$ establishes an equivalence between the category of left R -modules and the full subcategory of finitely generated projective left T -modules.*
- (b) *The functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q) : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$ is a duality with the inverse duality $\text{Hom}_S(-, Q) : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$.*
- (c) *S is a right Artinian ring and $\{\text{Hom}_R(U_1, Q), \dots, \text{Hom}_R(U_n, Q)\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable right S -modules.*
- (d) *The left Auslander ring T of R is isomorphic to the right Auslander ring T' of S (thus R is left Morita to $S = \text{End}_R(Q)$).*
- (e) *If P is an indecomposable projective left T -module, then $P \cong \text{Hom}_R(U, M)$ for some indecomposable left R -module M .*
- (h) *If M is a finitely generated (indecomposable) left R -module with square-free top, then the right S -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ has a square-free socle.*
- (i) *If M is an indecomposable left R -module with square-free top, then M is cyclic with simple top and so the right S -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ has a simple socle.*
- (j) *If M is an indecomposable left R -module with simple top, then the right S -module $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ has a simple socle.*
- (m) *For each finitely generated left R -module X , as S -modules, we have:*

$$\text{soc}(\text{Hom}_R(X, Q)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{top}(X), Q).$$

Proof. (a). Since R is finite of representation type, $U = \bigoplus_A U_\alpha$ is a generator in $R\text{-Mod}$ by Remark 3.1 and [32, Chap. 10, Sec. 52]. The rest of proof is obtained by [32, Page 507, Part(10)].

(b) and (c). By [32, Proposition 47.15].

(e). It is by [32, Chap. 10, Sec. 52].

(d). See [32, 52.9, Exercises, Exercise 1].

The facts (h), (i), (j) and (m) are by (b), (c) and [32, Proposition 47.3] (we see that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q) : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$ is a duality with the inverse duality $\text{Hom}_S(-, Q) : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$). Also by [1, Proposition 24.5] for each finitely generated left R -module X , the lattice of all R -submodules of M and the lattice of all S -submodules of $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ are anti-isomorphic. Hence, for each finitely generated left R -module X , $\text{soc}(\text{Hom}_R(X, Q)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{top}(X), Q)$, and $\text{top}(\text{Hom}_R(X, Q)) = \frac{\text{Hom}_R(X, Q)}{\text{Rad}(\text{Hom}_R(X, Q))} \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{soc}(X), Q)$ as S -modules (see [1, 24. Exercises, Exercises 6]). \square

Recall that a semi-perfect ring R is said to be a *left* (resp., *right*) *QF-2 ring* if every indecomposable projective left (resp., right) R -module has a simple essential socle (see [13]). This definition and the fact that simple modules are square-free motivated us to define the following concept.

Definitions 3.3. We say that a semi-perfect ring R is a *generalized left* (resp., *right*) *QF-2 ring* if every indecomposable projective left (resp., right) R -module has a square-free essential socle. The ring R is called a *generalized QF-2 ring* if R is both a generalized left and a generalized right QF-2 ring.

We are now in a position to provide the following properties and characterizations of stongly left Köthe rings.

Theorem 3.4. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is a stongly left Köthe ring.
- (2) Every nonzero left R -module is a direct sum of modules with nonzero socle and square-free cyclic top.
- (3) R is left perfect, and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with square-free top.
- (4) R is left Artinian and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with square-free top.
- (5) R is left pure semisimple, and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with square-free top.
- (6) R is of finite representation type, and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a square-free top.
- (7) R is of finite representation type, and for the left Morita dual ring of R , every (finitely generated) indecomposable right module has a square-free socle.
- (8) R is of finite representation type, and the left Auslander ring of R is a right generalized QF-2 ring.
- (9) R is of finite representation type, and for each indecomposable left R -module U , $\text{top}(U) \hookrightarrow (Re_1/Je_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Re_n/Je_n)$, where e_1, \dots, e_n is a basic set of primitive idempotents for R .
- (10) $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$, where $n, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{Re_1, \dots, Re_n\}$ is a complete set of representative of the isomorphic classes of indecomposable projective left R -modules, each indecomposable left R -module has a square-free top, and it is isomorphic to Re/Ie for some idempotent $e \in R$ and a left ideal $I \subseteq J$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $0 \neq M = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} M_{\lambda}$, where Λ is an index set and each M_{λ} is a left R -module with nonzero square-free cyclic top. Hence, $\text{Rad}(M_{\lambda}) \neq M_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since each M_{λ} has a cyclic top, $\text{Rad}(M) = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} \text{Rad}(M_{\lambda}) \neq \bigoplus_{\Lambda} M_{\lambda} = M$ by [32, Proposition 21.6 part (5)]. So every nonzero left R -module contains a maximal submodule. It follows that for each left R -module M , every proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal

submodule. Now let M be a module with square-free cyclic top. Then by [32, Proposition 21.16 (3)], $\text{Rad}(M)$ is a superfluous submodule of M , and so by Lemma 2.3, M is cyclic. Thus, every left R -module is a direct sum of cyclic modules, i.e., R is a left Köthe ring. Hence by [8, Theorem 4.4], R is a left Artinian ring, and so every nonzero left R -module has a nonzero socle.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By Theorem 2.6, R is a left Köthe ring. Thus by [8, Theorem 4.4], R is a left Artinian ring and so by [1, Corollary 28.8], R is a left perfect ring.

(3) \Rightarrow (5). Since R is a left perfect ring, by Corollary 2.5, R is a left Köthe ring. So by Theorem 2.6, R is left pure semisimple.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). It is clear, since by [8, Theorem 4.4], every left pure semisimple ring is a left Artinian ring.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Since R is a left Artinian ring, R is a left perfect ring by [1, Corollary 28.8]. Also, by Corollary 2.5, R is a left Köthe ring. Since every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with square-free top, we conclude that R is a strongly left Köthe ring.

(5) \Rightarrow (6). By [8, Theorem 4.4], R is a left Artinian ring. Hence R is a left perfect ring. Also, by Corollary 2.5, R is a left Köthe ring. Thus, R is of finite length and since every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module is cyclic, R is of left bounded representation type, and hence by [32, Proposition 54.3], R is of finite representation type.

In the next steps of proof, for the finite representation type ring R , by Proposition 3.2, we have the following assumptions.

- (i) The left Auslander ring of R is $T = \text{End}_R(U)$, where $U = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n$ and $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules.
- (ii) The left Morita dual ring of R is $S = \text{End}(Q)$, where $Q \cong E(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(S_m)$, where $\{S_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of simple left R -modules.
- (iii) $\{\text{Hom}_R(U_1, Q), \dots, \text{Hom}_R(U_n, Q)\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable right S -modules.

(6) \Rightarrow (7). Since $\{\text{Hom}_R(U_1, Q), \dots, \text{Hom}_R(U_n, Q)\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable right S -modules, and since each U_i has a square-free top, by Proposition 3.2 (i), each indecomposable right S -module $\text{Hom}_R(U_i, Q)$ has a square-free socle.

(7) \Rightarrow (8). Since R of finite representation type, by Proposition 3.2 (d), the left Auslander ring T of R is isomorphic to the right Auslander ring T' of S . Thus, every indecomposable projective right T -module has a square-free socle.

(8) \Rightarrow (6). By Proposition 3.2 (d), $T \cong T'$, where T' is the right Auslander ring of S . By Proposition 3.2 (e) and (c), each of $\text{Hom}(U_1, Q), \dots, \text{Hom}(U_n, Q)$ has a square-free

socle. By Proposition 3.2 (m), we have $\text{soc}(\text{Hom}(U_i, Q)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{top}(U_i), Q)$ and since each $\text{soc}(\text{Hom}(U_i, Q))$ is square-free, we conclude that $\text{top}(U_i)$ is also square-free for each i . Thus R is of finite representation type and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a square-free top.

(6) \Rightarrow (9). It is by Proposition 2.4(i).

(9) \Rightarrow (1). It is by Lemma 2.3.

(6) \Rightarrow (10). It is by Propositions 2.4 and [1, Proposition 27.10] and also by [1, Lemma 27.3]. Also, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, every indecomposable module Re_i/Ie_i has a square-free top.

(10) \Rightarrow (1). Since every indecomposable left R -module is isomorphic to the cyclic module Re_i/Ie_i for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and a left ideal $I \subseteq J$, it is enough to show that R is of finite representation type. Since R is an Artinian ring, each Re_i has finite length, and then we set $n = \max\{\text{length}(Re_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. By assumption every indecomposable module M is a factor of Re_i , thus $\text{length}(M) \leq n$ and hence R is of bounded representation type. So by [32, Proposition 54.3], R is of finite representation type. Then every left R -module is a direct sum of indecomposable cyclic modules and each of indecomposable cyclic module Re/Ie has a square-free top. \square

A ring R is said to be *left duo* (resp., *left quasi-duo*) if every left ideal (resp. maximal left ideal) of R is an ideal. Obviously, left duo rings are left quasi-duo. Other examples of left quasi-duo rings include, for instance, the commutative rings, the local rings, the rings in which every non-unit element has a (positive) power that is a central element, the endomorphism rings of uniserial modules, the power series rings and the rings of upper triangular matrices over any of the above-mentioned rings (see [33]). It is easy to see that if a ring R is left duo (resp. left quasi-duo), so is any factor ring of R . By a result of [33], a ring R is left quasi-duo if and only if $R/J(R)$ is left quasi-duo, and if R is left quasi-duo, then $R/J(R)$ is a subdirect product of division rings. The converse is not true in general (see [23, Example 5.2]), but the converse is true when R has only a finite number of simple left R -modules up to isomorphisms (see [23, Page 252]). Consequently, a semilocal ring R is left (right) quasi-duo if and only if $R/J(R)$ is a direct product of division rings. Recall that a ring R is called *basic* if R/J is a direct sum of division rings and idempotents in R/J can be lifted to R . Thus, any basic ring is a left and a right quasi-duo ring.

The following theorem shows that for any left quasi-duo ring R the concepts of “left Köthe” and “strongly left Köthe” coincide.

Theorem 3.5. *The following statements are equivalent for a left quasi-duo ring R .*

- (1) R is a left Köthe ring.
- (2) R is a strongly left Köthe ring.
- (3) R is of finite representation type and every indecomposable left R -module has a cyclic top.

- (4) R is of finite representation type and every indecomposable left R -module has a square-free top.
- (5) R is of finite representation type and for the left Morita dual ring of R , every (finitely generated) indecomposable right module has a (essential) cyclic socle.
- (6) R is of finite representation type and for the left Morita dual ring of R , every (finitely generated) indecomposable right module has a square-free socle.
- (7) R is of finite representation type and the left Auslander ring of R is a generalized right QF-2 ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since R is a left Köthe ring, By [8, Theorem 4.4], R is of finite representation type and each indecomposable left R -module is cyclic. Thus, we can assume that $\{R/I_1, \dots, R/I_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules, where I_1, \dots, I_n are left ideals of R . But R has only a finite number of simple left R -modules (up to isomorphisms) and R is left quasi-duo, so by [23, Page 252], R/J is a finite direct product of division rings and for each maximal (left) ideals $P_1 \neq P_2$ of R , $R/P_1 \not\cong R/P_2$. Now by [1, Corollary 15.18], we have $\text{Rad}(R/I_i) = JR/I_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus,

$$\text{top}(R/I_i) = \frac{R/I_i}{J(R/I_i)} \cong \frac{R}{J + I_i} \cong \bigoplus_{I_i \leq P_j \in \text{Max}(R)} \frac{R}{P_j} \cong \frac{R}{\bigcap_{I_i \leq P_j \in \text{Max}(R)} P_j}.$$

So, every indecomposable left R -module has a square-free top. Hence by Theorem 3.4, the proof is complete.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Since R is of finite representation type, we can assume that $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules. Since by our hypothesis each U_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) has a square-free top, each U_i is cyclic by Corollary 2.5, and hence every left R -module is a direct sum of cyclic modules, i.e., R is a left Köthe ring.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7). By Theorem 3.4.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (5). By Theorem 2.6. □

4 Charactrizations of very strongly left Köthe rings

The rings R satisfying the following (*) condition were first studied by Tachikawa [29] in 1959, by using duality theory.

(*) R is a right Artinian ring and every finitely generated indecomposable right R -module is local.

But, Singh and Al-Bleahed [28] have studied rings R satisfying (*) without using duality. Since each local module is cyclic indecomposable and it has a simple top, the condition

(*) is a stronger condition than the strongly right Köthe condition. In fact, we will see that the rings with (*) condition are exactly very strongly right Köthe rings. In the first result we give several characterizations of very strongly left Köthe rings.

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent for any ring R .*

- (1) R is a very strongly left Köthe ring.
- (2) Every nonzero left R -module is a direct sum of modules with nonzero socle and simple top.
- (3) R is left perfect, and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with simple top.
- (4) R is left Artinian, and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with simple top.
- (5) R is left pure semisimple, and every left R -module is a direct sum of modules with simple top.
- (6) R is of finite representation type, and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a simple top.
- (7) Every left R -module is a direct sum of lifting modules.
- (8) Every left R -module is a direct sum of local modules.
- (9) R is of finite representation type, and for the left Morita dual ring of R every (finitely generated) indecomposable right module has a simple socle.
- (10) R is of finite representation type, and the left Auslander ring of R is a right QF-2 ring.
- (11) R is of finite representation type, and for each indecomposable left R -modules U , $\text{top}(U) \cong Re_i/Je_i$ where $e_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basic set of primitive idempotents for R .
- (12) R is an Artinian right serial ring, $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$, where $\{Re_1, \dots, Re_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of indecomposable projective left R -modules with n , $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and each Re_i has a simple top and each indecomposable left R -module is isomorphic to Re_i/Ie_i for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and a left ideal $I \subseteq J$.

Proof. The equivalence of part (1) to (6) is obtained by Theorem 2.6, since every module with simple top is a module with square-free cyclic top.

(6) \Leftrightarrow (7). It is by [14, Theorem 2.1].

(1) \Leftrightarrow (8). It is by Proposition 2.4 (ii).

In the next steps of proof, for the finite representation type ring R , by Proposition 3.2, we have the following assumptions.

- (i) The left Auslander ring of R is $T = \text{End}_R(U)$, where $U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ and $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable left R -modules.

- (ii) The left Morita dual ring of R is $S = \text{End}(Q)$, where $Q \cong E(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(S_m)$, where $\{S_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of simple left R -modules.
- (iii) $\{Hom_R(U_1, Q), \dots, Hom_R(U_n, Q)\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of finitely generated indecomposable right S -modules.

(8) \Rightarrow (9). By Proposition 3.2 (c) and (e), it is enough to show that each of indecomposable right S -modules $Hom_R(U_1, Q), \dots, Hom_R(U_n, Q)$, has a simple socle. By our hypothesis, each U_i has a simple top. By Proposition 3.2 (n), we have $soc(Hom(U_i, Q)) \cong soc(\bigoplus_{k=1}^m Hom(S_i, Q)) = Hom(top(U_i), Q)$ is simple. It follows that every indecomposable right S -module $Hom(U_i, Q)$ has a simple socle.

(9) \Rightarrow (10). By Proposition 3.2 (d), $T \cong T'$, where T' is the right Auslander ring of S . Since each of indecomposable right S -module has a simple socle, so every finitely generated indecomposable projective right T -module has a simple socle, i.e., T is a right QF -2 ring.

(10) \Rightarrow (6). By Proposition 3.2 (d), $T \cong T'$, where T' is the right Auslander ring of S . By Proposition 3.2 (e) and (c), each of $Hom(U_1, Q), \dots, Hom(U_n, Q)$ has a simple socle. By Proposition 3.2 (m), we have $soc(Hom(U_i, Q)) \cong Hom_R(top(U_i), Q)$ and since each $soc(Hom(U_i, Q))$ is simple, we conclude that $top(U_i)$ is also simple for each i . Thus, R is of finite representation type and every (finitely generated) indecomposable left R -module has a simple top.

(6) \Rightarrow (11). By Proposition 2.4 (ii)

(11) \Rightarrow (6). It is clear.

(8) \Rightarrow (12). By [28, Theorem 2.4], R is an Artinian right serial ring. Also, by [1, Proposition 27.10], $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (Re_i)^{(t_i)}$ where $n, t_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basic set of idempotents of R . By Proposition 2.4 (ii), each Re_i is local for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now let M be a local left R -module. Since any local module is cyclic, by [1, Lemma 27.3], $M \cong Re/Ie$ for some idempotent $e \in R$ and some left ideal $I \subseteq J$, and the natural map $Re \rightarrow Re/Ie \rightarrow 0$ is a projective cover of M . Since M is indecomposable cyclic left R -module with simple top, by Proposition 2.4 (ii), Re is an indecomposable direct summand of ${}_R R$ and so by [1, Corollary 7.4], e is a primitive idempotent. It follows that by Proposition [1, Proposition 27.10], $Re \cong Re_i$ for some i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and so $M \cong Re_i/Ie_i$, also $\{Re_1, \dots, Re_n\}$ is a (finite) complete set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of indecomposable projective left R -modules. By Proposition 2.4 (ii), $top(M) = Re_i/Je_i$ is simple for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and proof is complete.

(12) \Rightarrow (1). Since every indecomposable left R -module is isomorphic to the cyclic module Re_i/Ie_i for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and a left ideal $I \subseteq J$, it is enough to show that R is of finite representation type. Since R is an Artinian ring, each Re_i has finite length. Set $n = \max\{\text{length}(Re_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. By assumption every indecomposable module M is

a factor of Re_i , thus $\text{length}(M) \leq n$ and hence R of bounded representation type. So by [32, Proposition 54.3], R is of finite representation type. Then every left R -module is a direct sum of indecomposable cyclic modules with simple top. \square

In the rest of paper, as an application, we combine some results of Singh and Al-Bleahed [28] with our main theorems and obtain some interesting results on local Köthe rings and Abelian Köthe rings. Also we give a new generalization of Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem. The following lemmas are helpful.

Lemma 4.2. ([15, Proposition 3]). *Let R be a left Artinian ring. Then R is a finite product of local rings if and only if R is Abelian.*

Lemma 4.3. (See [28, Theorem 2.12]). *Let R be a left Artinian local ring such that every finitely generated indecomposable left R -module is local. Then either $J^2 = 0$ or R is a uniserial ring.*

Theorem 4.4. *The following statements are equivalent for a local ring R .*

- (1) *R is a (very strongly) left Köthe ring.*
- (2) *R is of finite representation type and the left Auslander ring T of R is a right QF-2 ring.*
- (3) *Either R is an Artinian principal ideal ring or R is local with*

$$J = \text{soc}({}_R R) = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_n$$

and $\{R/I_k \mid I_k = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is a mutually non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable left R -module.

Proof. It follows by Theorem 4.1 and [28, Theorem 3.8]. \square

Corollary 4.5. *The following statements are equivalent for a local ring R .*

- (1) *R is a Köthe ring.*
- (2) *R is a strongly Köthe ring.*
- (3) *R is a very strongly Köthe ring.*
- (4) *Any Morita equivalent to R is a Köthe ring.*
- (5) *R is of finite representation type and the left (right) Auslander ring T of R is a QF-2 ring.*
- (6) *R is an Artinian principal ideal ring.*

Proof. It follows by Proposition 2.7, Theorem 4.4 and [28, Theorem 3.8]. \square

Proposition 4.6. *The following statements are equivalent for an Abelian ring R .*

- (1) *Any Morita equivalent to R is a Köthe ring.*
- (2) *R is an Artinian principal ideal ring.*

- (3) $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$, where $k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R_i is a local Artinian principal ideal rings for each $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- (4) R is a Köthe ring.
- (5) R is a strongly Köthe ring.
- (6) R is a very strongly Köthe ring.
- (7) Every left R -module is semidistributive.
- (8) Every right R -module is semidistributive.
- (9) Every left R -module is serial.
- (10) Every right R -module is serial.
- (11) R is an Artinian serial ring.
- (12) R is isomorphic to a finite product of Artinian uniserial rings.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (4). This is obtained by Proposition 2.7 and the fact that Artinian Abelian rings are basic.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (12). See [7, Corollary 3.3].

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4). It is obtained by Lemma 4.2, [30, Theorem 3.6] and the fact that in any Artinian local ring the Jacobson radical is the unique prime ideal.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). These follow from Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.5.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (7) \Leftrightarrow (8). These are obtained by [31, Theorem 11.6].

(9) \Leftrightarrow (10) \Leftrightarrow (11). These follow from [32, Proposition 55.16].

(12) \Rightarrow (11) is clear and (11) \Rightarrow (2) is obtained by [32, Proposition 55.1(2)]. \square

An Artinian ring R is said to have a *self-(Morita) duality* if there is a Morita duality D between $R\text{-mod}$, the category of finitely generated left R -modules, and $\text{mod-}R$, the category of finitely generated right R -modules. Since R is Artinian, by what Morita [24] and Azumaya [5] have shown: R has a self-duality D if and only if there is an injective cogenerator ${}_R E$ of $R\text{-mod}$ and a ring isomorphism $v : R \rightarrow \text{End}({}_R E)$ (which induces a right R -structure on E via $x.r = xv(r)$ for $x \in E$ and $r \in R$) such that the dualities D and $\text{Hom}_R(-, {}_R E_R)$ are naturally equivalent. In the last resut we give more equivalent conditions for a very strongly Köthe ring. In particular, we show that R is a very strongly Köthe ring if and only if R is an Artinian serial ring. This equivalence is a generalization of Theorem 1.3, and so it is a new generalization of Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R .*

- (1) R is a very strongly Köthe ring.
- (2) R is an Artinian serial ring.
- (3) R is of finite representation type and has colocal type (i.e., every finitely generated indecomposable left R -module has a simple socle).
- (4) Every left and right R -module is a direct sum of uniform modules.

- (5) *Every left and right R -module is a direct sum of extending modules.*
- (6) *R is of finite representation type and the left (right) Auslander ring of R is a QF-2 ring.*
- (8) *Every left R -module is a direct sum of (finitely generated) indecomposable modules with simple socle and simple top.*

Proof. The equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (5) follows from [10, Corollary 2], and the others are obtained by Theorem 4.1 and the fact that every Artinian serial ring has self-duality. \square

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1992).
- [2] K. Asano, *Über verallgemeinerte abelsche gruppen mit hyperkomplexen operatorenring und ihre anwendungen*, Japan J. Math., 15 (1939), 231-253.
- [3] K. Asano, *Über Hauptidealringe mit Kettensatz*, Osaka J. Math. 1 (1949) 52-61.
- [4] M. Auslander, *Representation theory of artin algebras II*, Comm. Algebra 1 (1974), 269-310.
- [5] G. Azumaya, *A duality theory for injective modules*, Amer. J. Math. 81, (1959), 249-278.
- [6] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466-488.
- [7] M. Behboodi, A. Ghorbani, A. Moradzadeh-Dehkordi, and S. H. Shojaei, *On left Köthe rings and a generalization of a Köthe-Cohen-Kaplansky theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (2014), 2625-2631.
- [8] S. U. Chase, *Direct product of modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 457-473.
- [9] I. S. Cohen, and I. Kaplansky, *Rings for which every module is a direct sum of cyclic modules*, Math. Z. 54 (1951), 97-101.
- [10] N. Er, *Rings whose modules are direct sums of extending modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (7) (2009), 2265-2271.
- [11] C. Faith, *On Köthe rings*, Math. Ann. 164 (1966), 207-212, 16-40.
- [12] Z. Fazelpour and A. Nasr-Isfahani, *Connection between representation-finite and Köthe rings*, J. Algebra 514 (2018), 25-39.
- [13] K. R. Fuller and H. Hullinger, *Rings with finiteness conditions and their categories of functors*, J. Algebra 55 (1978), 94-105.
- [14] P. A. Guil Asensio and D. Keskin Tütüncü, *Rings whose pure-injective right modules are direct sum of lifting modules*, J. Algebra 383 (2013), 78-84.
- [15] J. M. Habeb, *A note on zero commutative and duo rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), 73-76.
- [16] M. Hazewinkel and N. Gubareni, *Algebras, Rings and Modules Non-commutative Algebras and Rings*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (2016).
- [17] S. K. Jain, A. K. Srivastava, and A. A. Tuganbaev, *Cyclic Modules and the Structure of Rings*, Oxford University Press, (2012).
- [18] Y. Kawada, *On Köthe's problem concerning algebras for which every indecomposable module is cyclic I*, Proc. Japan Acad. 37(6) (1961), 282-287 . DOI: 10.3792/pja/1195523673

- [19] Y. Kawada, *On Köthe's problem concerning algebras for which every indecomposable module is cyclic I*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 7 (1962), 154-230.
- [20] Y. Kawada, *On Köthe's problem concerning algebras for which every indecomposable module is cyclic II*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 8 (1963), 1-62.
- [21] Y. Kawada, *On Köthe's problem concerning algebras for which every indecomposable module is cyclic III*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 8 (1965), 165-250.
- [22] G. Köthe, *Verallgemeinerte abelsche gruppen mit hyperkomplexem operatorenring*, Math. Z. 39 (1935), 31-44.
- [23] T.Y. Lam and Alex S. Dugas *Quasi-duo rings and stable range descent*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 195 (2005), 243-259.
- [24] K. Morita, *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 6 (1958), 83-142.
- [25] T. Nakayama, *On Frobeniusean algebras II*, Ann. of Math., 42, 1-27 (1941).
- [26] T. Nakayama, *Note on uni-serial and generalized uni-serial rings*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 16 (1940), 285-289.
- [27] L. Sabinin, L. Sbitneva and I. Shestakov, *Non-associative Algebra and its Applications*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 246, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2006).
- [28] S. Singh and H. Al-Bleahed, *Rings with indecomposable modules local*, Beitrage zur "Alg. Geom., 45 (2004), 239-251.
- [29] H. Tachikawa, *On rings for which every indecomposable right module has a unique maximal submodule*, Math. Z., 71 (1959), 200-222.
- [30] Y. Tolooei and M. R. Vedadi, *On rings whose modules have nonzero homomorphisms to nonzero submodules*, Publ. Mat. 57 (2013), 107-122.
- [31] A. Tuganbaev, *Semidistributive Modules and Rings*, Springer Netherlands (Kluwer), Dordrecht-Boston-London, (1998).
- [32] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Branch Reading, (1991).
- [33] H. P. Yu, *On quasi-duo rings*, Glasgow Math. J. 37(1995), 21-31.
- [34] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen and W. Zimmermann, *On the sparsity of representations of rings of pure global dimension zero*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 320 (1990), 695-711.